GENOME SEQUENCES

Draft Genome Sequence of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia MDMC339, Isolated from Soil of Merzouga Desert in Morocco

M. W. Chemao-Elfihri,a Amina Manni,b Meriem Laamarti,a Souad Kartti,a Abdelmounim Essabbar,a Tarek Alouane,a Loubna Temsamani,b Jamal-Eddine Eljamali,b Mouna Ouadghiri,a [Nargisse El Hajjami,a](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0253-3292) Laila Sbabou,b Lahcen Belyamani,c,d Azeddine Ibrahimi,^a Abdelkarim Filali-Maltouf^b

aBiotechnology Lab (MedBiotech), Bioinova Research Center, Rabat Medical & Pharmacy School, Mohammed V University, Rabat, Morocco ^bLaboratory of Microbiology and Molecular Biology, Faculty of Sciences, Mohammed V University, Rabat, Morocco c Emergency Department, Military Hospital Mohammed the Vth, Rabat, Morocco dBioinova Research Center, Rabat Medical & Pharmacy School, Mohammed V University, Rabat, Morocco

ABSTRACT Here, we report the draft genome sequence of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia MDMC339, a strain able to survive in the difficult conditions imposed by the Merzouga desert. The analyzed genome contains 4,788,525 bp with 4,262 genes coding for proteins, including several genes related to stress.

Merzouga is a small Saharan village in southeastern Morocco known for its arid climate
and low rainfall. The region is characterized by a very hot climate with significant variations in temperatures between day and night. In such situations, living organisms have to cope with extreme temperatures, low humidity, and low nutrient availability. However, some bacteria implement several strategies to counter stress [\(1](#page-1-0)[–](#page-1-1)[3\)](#page-1-2).

Stenotrophomonas maltophilia is a ubiquitous, Gram-negative, aerobic, rod-shaped, and mobile bacterium with some polar flagella [\(4,](#page-1-3) [5\)](#page-1-4). It is also an opportunistic pathogen that has low sensitivity to many antibiotics [\(4,](#page-1-3) [6\)](#page-1-5). Nevertheless, several strains have been described as producing plant growth-promoting substances or enzymes involved in bioremediation, thus bringing out its environmental benefit [\(7\)](#page-2-0).

In this study, we report the draft genome sequence of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia MDMC339, isolated from nonvegetated dunes of the Merzouga region.

The sample was collected (at the coordinates –3.97852083325, 31.1093333325) in three replicates.

Bacterial isolation was done using 1 g of sand, which was suspended in 9 ml of physiological water and then shaken vigorously for 30 min. Serial dilutions (from 10^{-3} to 10^{-7} ml/ml) were made. Then, 100 μ l of each dilution was spread on the surface of a petri dish containing nutrient agar medium supplemented with 50 μ g/ml of amphotericin B. The dishes were then incubated at 28°C for 2 days. Once purified, the isolate was seeded on liquid nutrient broth and incubated overnight. Subsequently, the isolate was designated MDMC339 (Moroccan Desert Microorganisms Collection) and stored in 40% (vol/vol) glycerol solution at -80° C.

From the frozen stock, the culture process was carried out in 5 ml of nutrient broth at 28°C for 48 h. After centrifugation, the pellet was used for DNA extraction using the phenol-chloroform method as described by Chen and Kuo [\(8\)](#page-2-1) and quantified using the Quantus fluorometer (Promega). Isolated bacterial genomic DNA was used both for bacterial identification using the 16S rRNA gene and for the whole-genome sequencing (WGS).

MDMC339 was identified as a Stenotrophomonas maltophilia isolate after 16S rRNA gene amplification using the universal primers FD1 (5'-CCGAATTCGTCGACAACAGAGT TTGATCCTGGCTCAG-3') and RS16 (5'-TACGGCTACCTTGTTACGACTT-3') followed by Sanger sequencing of amplicons (Genoscreen, Lille, France).

Citation Chemao-Elfihri MW, Manni A, Laamarti M, Kartti S, Essabbar A, Alouane T, Temsamani L, Eljamali J-E, Ouadghiri M, El Hajjami N, Sbabou L, Belyamani L, Ibrahimi A, Filali-Maltouf A. 2020. Draft genome sequence of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia MDMC339, isolated from soil of Merzouga desert in Morocco. Microbiol Resour Announc 9:e00634- 20. [https://doi.org/10.1128/MRA.00634-20.](https://doi.org/10.1128/MRA.00634-20)

Editor Frank J. Stewart, Georgia Institute of Technology

Copyright © 2020 Chemao-Elfihri et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [International license.](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Address correspondence to Nargisse El Hajjami, [nargisseha0506@gmail.com.](mailto:nargisseha0506@gmail.com)

Received 5 June 2020 **Accepted** 6 July 2020 **Published** 6 August 2020

Using BLAST [\(9\)](#page-2-2), the obtained 16S rRNA gene sequences showed more than 99% similarity to the 16S rRNA gene sequences of other S. maltophilia strains. More information about the identity of the bacterium was revealed after the whole-genome sequencing.

The sequencing library was prepared using the Nextera XT DNA sample preparation kit (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). Sequencing was done in paired-end mode with 2×300 -bp reads via the MiSeq sequencer using the MiSeq reagent (600-cycle) kit version 3 (Illumina).

A total of 4,005,852 raw reads were generated and then assembled directly with no filtering according to quality using SPAdes version 3.11.1 [\(10\)](#page-2-3) with k values of 21, 33, 55, 77, 99, and 127. The quality of the assembly was evaluated using QUAST version 5.0.0 [\(11\)](#page-2-4), and genome annotation was accomplished using Prokka version 1.12 [\(12\)](#page-2-5) and the RAST server [\(13\)](#page-2-6).

Genome assembly yielded 185 contigs with a total length of 4,788,525 bp, an N_{50} value of 57,069 bp, and a G+C content of 66.14%. The average depth of coverage was $209.0\times$. The annotation of the contigs revealed that the strain possessed 4,262 genes encoding proteins and 76 RNA genes (70 tRNAs and 6 rRNAs). From a total of 4,262 identified proteins, 2,967 were classified as nonhypothetical proteins, while 1,929 sequences were assigned to SEED subsystems.

Using the JSpeciesWS online service [\(14\)](#page-2-7), average nucleotide identity (ANI) values for the draft genome sequence of S. maltophilia MDMC339 compared to other Stenotrophomonas spp. were determined. The highest ANI value was 93.52% with S. maltophilia strain K279a (GenBank accession number [NC_010943\)](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NC_010943).

All software used during this study was run with default parameters.

The genome of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia MDMC339 contains genes that code for proteins induced by heat shock, notably dnaK, dnaJ, and grpE, and transcription repressor hrcA [\(15\)](#page-2-8). In addition to heat shock proteins, the genome also contains genes involved in the response to cold shock (cspA and cspD) [\(16\)](#page-2-9).

Genome analysis also reveals the presence of the betA and betB genes involved in the enzymatic conversion of choline and glycine betaine aldehyde to glycine betaine. The import of choline is done using high-affinity choline uptake gene betT, the sequence of which is present in four copies [\(17\)](#page-2-10). Finally, the genome encodes proteins of the type VI secretion system, which is known for its role in interbacterial competition [\(18\)](#page-2-11) and which could be useful in competition for environmental resources.

Data availability. This whole-genome shotgun project has been deposited at DDBJ/ENA/GenBank under the accession number [RXLZ00000000.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/RXLZ00000000) The version de-scribed in this paper is the first version, [RXLZ01000000.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/RXLZ01000000) The raw sequencing data are available from the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under the accession number [SRR11886254.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/SRR11886254) The bacterial 16S rRNA marker gene sequenced during this study is available at the NCBI GenBank database under accession number [KX013440.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/KX013440)

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was carried out under national funding from the Moroccan Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research (PPR program) to Azeddine Ibrahimi. This work was also supported by a grant from the Institute of Cancer Research of the Lalla Salma Foundation.

REFERENCES

- 1. Aanniz T, Ouadghiri M, Melloul M, Swings J, Elfahime E, Ibijbijen J, Ismaili M, Amar M. 2015. Thermophilic bacteria in Moroccan hot springs, salt marshes and desert soils. Braz J Microbiol 46:443– 453. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1590/S1517-838246220140219) [10.1590/S1517-838246220140219.](https://doi.org/10.1590/S1517-838246220140219)
- 2. Gommeaux M, Barakat M, Montagnac G, Christen R, Guyot F, Heulin T. 2010. Mineral and bacterial diversities of desert sand grains from southeast Morocco. Geomicrobiol J 27:76 –92. [https://doi.org/10.1080/](https://doi.org/10.1080/01490450903393066) [01490450903393066.](https://doi.org/10.1080/01490450903393066)
- 3. Bär M, von Hardenberg J, Meron E, Provenzale A. 2002. Modelling the

survival of bacteria in drylands: the advantage of being dormant. Proc Biol Sci 269:937–942. [https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2002.1958.](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2002.1958)

- 4. Brooke JS. 2012. Stenotrophomonas maltophilia: an emerging global opportunistic pathogen. Clin Microbiol Rev 25:2– 41. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00019-11) [.1128/CMR.00019-11.](https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00019-11)
- 5. Carmody LA, Spilker T, LiPuma JJ. 2011. Reassessment of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia phenotype. J Clin Microbiol 49:1101–1103. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.02204-10) [doi.org/10.1128/JCM.02204-10.](https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.02204-10)
- 6. Sánchez MB. 2015. Antibiotic resistance in the opportunistic pathogen

Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. Front Microbiol 6:658 – 658. [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.00658) [.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.00658.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.00658)

- 7. Mukherjee P, Roy P. 2016. Genomic potential of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia in bioremediation with an assessment of its multifaceted role in our environment. Front Microbiol 7:967–967. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00967) [.3389/fmicb.2016.00967.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00967)
- 8. Chen WP, Kuo TT. 1993. A simple and rapid method for the preparation of Gram-negative bacterial genomic DNA. Nucleic Acids Res 21: 2260 –2260. [https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/21.9.2260.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/21.9.2260)
- 9. Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. J Mol Biol 215:403– 410. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2) [S0022-2836\(05\)80360-2.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2)
- 10. Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, Lesin VM, Nikolenko SI, Pham S, Prjibelski AD, Pyshkin AV, Sirotkin AV, Vyahhi N, Tesler G, Alekseyev MA, Pevzner PA. 2012. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. J Comput Biol 19:455– 477. [https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2012.0021.](https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2012.0021)
- 11. Gurevich A, Saveliev V, Vyahhi N, Tesler G. 2013. QUAST: quality assessment tool for genome assemblies. Bioinformatics 29:1072–1075. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt086) [doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt086.](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt086)
- 12. Seemann T. 2014. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. Bioinformatics 30:2068 –2069. [https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu153.](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu153)
- 13. Aziz RK, Bartels D, Best AA, DeJongh M, Disz T, Edwards RA, Formsma K, Gerdes S, Glass EM, Kubal M, Meyer F, Olsen GJ, Olson R, Osterman AL,

Overbeek RA, McNeil LK, Paarmann D, Paczian T, Parrello B, Pusch GD, Reich C, Stevens R, Vassieva O, Vonstein V, Wilke A, Zagnitko O. 2008. The RAST server: Rapid Annotations using Subsystems Technology. BMC Genomics 9:75–75. [https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-9-75.](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-9-75)

- 14. Richter M, Rosselló-Móra R, Oliver Glöckner F, Peplies J. 2016. JSpeciesWS: a Web server for prokaryotic species circumscription based on pairwise genome comparison. Bioinformatics 32:929 –931. [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv681) [.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv681.](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv681)
- 15. Roncarati D, Scarlato V. 2017. Regulation of heat-shock genes in bacteria: from signal sensing to gene expression output. FEMS Microbiol Rev 41:549 –574. [https://doi.org/10.1093/femsre/fux015.](https://doi.org/10.1093/femsre/fux015)
- 16. Keto-Timonen R, Hietala N, Palonen E, Hakakorpi A, Lindström M, Korkeala H. 2016. Cold shock proteins: a minireview with special emphasis on Csp-family of enteropathogenic Yersinia. Front Microbiol 7:1151. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.01151.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.01151)
- 17. Mandon K, Østerås M, Boncompagni E, Trinchant JC, Spennato G, Poggi MC, Le Rudulier D. 2003. The Sinorhizobium meliloti glycine betaine biosynthetic genes (betICBA) are induced by choline and highly expressed in bacteroids. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 16:709 –719. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI.2003.16.8.709) [doi.org/10.1094/MPMI.2003.16.8.709.](https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI.2003.16.8.709)
- 18. Coulthurst S. 2019. The type VI secretion system: a versatile bacterial weapon. Microbiology 165:503–515. [https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0](https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.000789) [.000789.](https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.000789)