

ARTICLE

Received 19 Apr 2013 | Accepted 9 Jan 2014 | Published 4 Feb 2014

DOI: 10.1038/ncomms4235

OPEN

Defect-induced supersolidity with soft-core bosons

F. Cinti^{1,2}, T. Macrì¹, W. Lechner³, G. Pupillo⁴ & T. Pohl¹

More than 40 years ago, Andreev, Lifshitz and Chester suggested the possible existence of a peculiar solid phase of matter, the microscopic constituents of which can flow superfluidly without resistance due to the formation of zero-point defects in the ground state of self-assembled crystals. Yet, a physical system where this mechanism is unambiguously established remains to be found, both experimentally and theoretically. Here we investigate the zero-temperature phase diagram of two-dimensional bosons with finite-range soft-core interactions. For low particle densities, the system is shown to feature a solid phase in which zero-point vacancies emerge spontaneously and give rise to superfluid flow of particles through the crystal. This provides the first example of defect-induced, continuous-space supersolidity consistent with the Andreev-Lifshitz-Chester scenario.

¹ Max Planck Institute for the Physics of Complex Systems, 01187 Dresden, Germany. ² National Institute for Theoretical Physics (NITheP), Stellenbosch 7600, South Africa. ³ IQOQI, Austrian Academy of Science, and Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Innsbruck, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria. ⁴ IPCMS (UMR 7504) and ISIS (UMR 7006), Université de Strasbourg and CNRS, 67000 Strasbourg, France. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to F.C. (email: cinti@sun.ac.za).

S pontaneous symmetry breaking is a focal principle of condensed matter physics, yet simultaneous breaking of fundamentally different symmetries represents a rare phenomenon. A prime example is the so-called supersolid phase¹, which displays both crystalline and superfluid properties, that is,

ARTICLE

which displays both crystalline and superfluid properties, that is, the simultaneous breaking of continuous translational and global gauge symmetry. The first mentioning of such a state goes back to Gross², who predicted the possibility of a density-modulated superfluid phase of weakly interacting Bosons described by a classical field. Later, Andreev and Lifshitz³, and Chester (ALC)⁴ conjectured a microscopic mechanism for strongly interacting systems, based on two key assumptions: first, that the ground state of a bosonic crystal contains defects such as vacancies and interstitials and, second, that these defects can delocalize, thereby, giving rise to superfluidity. However, the physical realizability of this scenario has since remained under active debate⁵.

In 2004, torsional oscillator experiments^{6,7} provided first suggestive evidence for superfluidity in solid ⁴He through a rapid drop of the resonant oscillation period below a critical temperature, viewed indicative for superfluid decoupling of a fraction of the He crystal. This finding has sparked a host of new experimental activity⁸⁻¹⁸ that, however, challenged the original interpretation and pointed out several artefacts causing a nonsupersolid origin of the observations. Theoretical work has established that crystal incommensurability is a necessary condition for superfluidity¹⁹ and that zero-point defects in ground-state solid He are prevented by a large activation energy^{20,21}. In addition, Boninsegni *et al.*²¹ Rota and Boronat²², Ma *et al.*²³, and Lechner and Dellago²⁴ have shown that pointlike defects experience an effective attraction that results in defect-clustering and phase separation, ruling out the possibility of defect-induced supersolidity²¹ as in the ALC scenario. Several experiments^{11,14-16} have shown that the original observations were caused by shear modulus stiffening of bulk solid He, and later found no signature of superfluidity on avoiding this effect²⁵. As a result, there now seems to be consistent experimental and theoretical evidence for the absence of the long-sought supersolid phase in He. The mere existence of continuous-space supersolidity induced by zero-point defects thus remains an open question.

In this Article, we show that bosonic particles interacting via soft-core potentials (see Fig. 1) provide a prototype system for addressing this question. Using exact numerical techniques, we determine the underlying zero-temperature phase diagram, which reveals the emergence of defect-induced supersolidity in the vicinity of commensurate solid phases, as conjectured by ALC^{3,4}.

Results

Supersolidity with soft-core bosons. We consider a twodimensional ensemble of N bosons with density ρ , interacting via a pair potential of the type

$$V = \frac{V_0}{r^{\gamma} + R_c^{\gamma}}.$$
 (1)

This interaction approaches a constant value V_0/R_c^{γ} as the inter-particle distance, r, decreases below the soft-core distance R_c and drops to zero for $r > R_c$. The limiting case $\gamma \to \infty$ yields the soft-disc model²⁶, while $\gamma = 3$ and $\gamma = 6$ correspond to soft-core dipole–dipole²⁷ and van der Waals²⁸ interactions that can be realized with ultracold atoms^{28,29} or polar molecules^{30,31}. Here we focus on the latter case ($\gamma = 6$) for which the Hamiltonian reads

$$\hat{H} = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\nabla_i^2}{2} + \sum_{i< j}^{N} \frac{U}{1 + r_{ij}^6},$$
(2)

where the units of length and energy are R_c and \hbar^2/mR_c^2 , respectively, and *m* denotes the particle mass. In these units, the zero-temperature physics is controlled by the dimensionless interaction strength $U = mV_0/(\hbar^2 R_c^4)$ and the dimensionless density $R_c^2 \rho$.

Particles with soft-core interactions have been studied previously in the field of soft condensed matter physics^{32–34} in the classical high-temperature regime. One of the main findings has been that pair potentials with a negative Fourier component³² favour the formation of particle clusters, which in turn can crystallize to form a so-called cluster crystal. In the quantum domain, theoretical work has so far focused on the regime of weak interactions and high particle densities^{27,28,35–38}, which was shown to be well described by mean-field calculations^{39,40}. In this limit, one finds strongly modulated superfluid states^{2,26,27} with broken translational symmetry in the form of a density wave.

In the following, we investigate the strong coupling domain where correlations and quantum fluctuations are expected to



Figure 1 | Crystallization due to singular and soft-core interactions. (a) For singular potentials with pure power-law repulsion, indicated by the blue areas, particles assemble into a commensurate and insulating solid. (b) On removing the singularity, particles can cluster and, under proper conditions, form an incommensurate crystal with more particles than lattice sites. Defect delocalization due to inter-site tunnelling can then promote a finite superfluid response of the self-assembled crystalline ground state.

become important. We employ path-integral Monte Carlo simulations to determine the ground-state properties of the Hamiltonian equation (2) (see Methods section). The obtained phase diagram, shown in Fig. 2, reveals a rich spectrum of phases with varying interaction strength and density.

Small particle densities. At small densities $R_c^2 \rho \leq 0.5$, we find two phases: a superfluid and an insulating triangular crystal composed of singly occupied sites, that is, where the number of lattice sites, $N_{\rm s}$, equals the particle number N. The observed lobe structure of this crystalline region is readily understood by noticing that at very low densities, that is, large inter-particle distances $\bar{r} = 1/\sqrt{\pi\rho} > R_{c}$, the physics is dominated by the long-range tail of the interaction potential, $V \sim 1/r^6$. For a fixed interaction strength $U \gtrsim 35$, we thus find a first-order liquid-solid quantum phase transition with increasing $A\rho$, consistent with previous work on bosons with power-law interactions^{30,41-43}. In particular, the location of the liquid-solid phase transition for very low densities coincides with that for pure van der Waals interactions. With increasing density, however, the average inter-particle spacing, \bar{r} , approaches the soft-core radius R_c and drops to values for which equation (1) strongly deviates from pure $\sim 1/r^6$ interactions and levels off below the turning point $R_0 = (5/7)^{1/6} R_c$. As a result of the decreasing repulsive interparticle forces, the crystal melts again for increasing densities. As indicated in Fig. 2, we indeed find a re-entrant superfluid at particle densities for which $\bar{r} < R_0$.



Figure 2 | Zero-temperature phase diagram of two-dimensional soft-core bosons. The phase diagram displays the emergence of superfluid (SF) and different solid (NS) and supersolid (SS) phases for varying interaction strength U and density ρ . The density on the left y axis has been scaled by the soft-core radius $R_{\rm c}$. The right axis gives the density in units of the inverse area, $A = \sqrt{3}(1.6R_c)^2/2$, of the unit cell of the high-density solid phase, corresponding to the lattice site occupation N/N_s for a given number of particles and lattice sites, N and N_s, respectively. For $A\rho \gtrsim 1.5$, the grey region labelled as NS corresponds to a cluster crystal with $N/N_s > 1$, as indicated by the grey scale. Supersolid phases with different occupation numbers are found between two hyperbolas, defined by $R_c^2 \rho U = \text{const.}$ (dotted lines). At high densities ($A\rho \gtrsim 3.5$) they can be understood in terms of density-modulated superfluids. In contrast, superfluidity within the low-density supersolid lobes emerges from delocalized zero-point defects according to the ALC scenario. The horizontal error bars represent statistical uncertainties and uncertainties due to the finite stepping of U.

Intermediate densities. A distinctive consequence of the softcore interaction is that the energy cost for forming close particle pairs is bound by V_0 . This potentially enables the formation of crystalline phases with $N > N_s$ above a critical density where doubly occupied lattice sites become energetically favourable on increasing the lattice constant. As expected for a triangular crystal, the lattice constant decreases as $a = (\sqrt{3}\rho/2)^{-1/2}$ at small densities. However, around $a \approx 1.4 R_c$ it increases again and settles to a density-independent value of $a_0 \simeq 1.6 R_c$ on further increase of ρ . The corresponding volume of the unit cell $A = \sqrt{3/4a_0^2}$ provides a measure of the lattice occupancy $N/N_s = A\rho$, which is also shown in Fig. 2. The transition to cluster crystals occurs at $A\rho \approx 1.5$. This indeed coincides with the critical density for crystallization of the re-entrant superfluid phase.

Around this density, a thin region of phase separation is found to lay in between the cluster crystal and the superfluid phase. Figure 3b shows a typical example for the particle density distribution in this region. Two distinct coexisting phases can be recognized: a crystal phase with exactly two particles per site (upper part of the figure) and a superfluid phase (lower part). We have carefully checked that the occurrence of this phase-separated state is not an artifact of the simulations by performing accurate annealing and by choosing different initial conditions, such as random and different crystalline configurations.

Above incommensurate lattice occupations $N/N_s \gtrsim 1.5$, the direct liquid-solid quantum phase transition is replaced by a firstorder transition from a superfluid to a supersolid phase. The supersolid phase is approximately found to occur between the two hyperbola defined by $R_c^2 \rho U = \alpha$, with $\alpha \approx 28$ and $\alpha \approx 38$, respectively (see dotted lines in Fig. 2). These two lines are derived from the weak interaction limit $(U \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } \rho \rightarrow \infty \text{ with }$ $\alpha = \text{const.}$), where mean-field theory predicts a transition to a density-wave supersolid that is determined only by the value of α (ref. 28). While this mean-field prediction becomes exact in the high-density limit (see Fig. 2), the situation is dramatically different at moderate densities where the discrete nature of the particles plays a significant role. This gives rise to the emergence of supersolid regions with a lobe structure, which vanish at commensurate lattice occupations $N/N_s = 2$ and $N/N_s = 3$. There, we find a direct transition between a superfluid and an insulating solid phase.

This behaviour is illustrated in Fig. 3 where the superfluid fraction, f_s , is shown as a function of $A\rho$ for $\alpha = 32$, that is, in between the two dotted lines in Fig. 2. As shown in Fig. 3c,e, at $A\rho = 2$ and $A\rho = 3$ one finds a commensurate crystal with exactly $N/N_s = 2$ (Fig. 3c) and $N/N_s = 3$ (Fig. 3e) particles per lattice site, respectively, and vanishing superfluidity (Fig. 3g). Importantly, the crystal structure in between these two densities is practically unchanged, as seen by comparing the particle density distributions $n(\mathbf{r})$ and density-density correlation functions $g_2(r)$ in Fig. 3c-e. However, the incommensurate lattice filling N/N_s and the resulting fluctuations of individual site occupations enables particles to tunnel between the sites. This gives rise to a non-vanishing superfluid fraction of the crystal, which can assume sizable values of $f_s = 0.3$ for $N/N_s \approx A\rho = 2.5$.

High densities. For higher densities $A\rho > 3$, the scenario described above changes considerably. As shown in Fig. 3g, the superfluid fraction approaches a constant, density-independent value $f_s \approx 0.24$ with increasing ρ . In particular, for an average commensurate filling $N/N_s = 4$ there is no direct phase transition between a superfluid and a solid insulating phase, and instead the supersolid phase persists with no significant difference to the case of incommensurate lattice occupancies $N/N_s \neq 4$. This behaviour signals a crossover to the regime where the supersolid phase



Figure 3 | Characterization of the different phases across the phase diagram. Panels **a**-**f** show the radial pair correlation function $g_2(r)$ and the particle density distribution $n(\mathbf{r})$ (see Methods section) for $\rho R_0^2 U = 32$ and different values of $A\rho$, indicated in **g**. Panel **g** displays the superfluid fraction f_s as a function of $A\rho$, covering all phases indicated in Fig. 2. Global superfluidity is not well defined in the phase-separation region (green area) and therefore omitted. The horizontal dotted line shows the $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ limit of f_s for a density-wave supersolid, obtained from a mean-field evaluation following the approach of Leggett⁵⁸.

can be understood in terms of a density-modulated superfluid^{2,26–28,39}, where the discrete nature of the particles becomes irrelevant. In this limit, the superfluid–supersolid quantum phase transition is well captured by a mean-field description^{26,28,40}. It predicts a transition point at $\alpha = R_c^2 \rho U = 28.2$ as well as a superfluid fraction that is solely determined by the value α , and yields $f_s = 0.23$ for $\alpha = 32$. As shown in Figs 2 and 3g, both predictions are well confirmed by our Monte Carlo results for $A\rho \gtrsim 3.5$, suggesting that the transition to density-wave supersolidity takes place at a surprisingly small number of only $N/N_s \approx 3.5$ particles per lattice site.

Defect delocalization. The most interesting behaviour takes place around the superfluid-solid quantum phase transition at $N/N_{\rm s} = 2$. Figure 4 provides a more detailed look at the transition between the insulating crystal and the supersolid phase, that is, for U=31 and $N/N_s \approx 2$. Starting from the insulating solid with doubly occupied lattice sites, we successively remove a small number of particles from randomly chosen sites and monitor the superfluid fraction of the resulting new ground state obtained from our simulations. Removing a small number of particles does not cause structural changes of the ground state but rather creates a small fraction $f_{def} = (2N_s - N)/N_s$ of zero-point crystal defects in the form of singly occupied sites. An analysis of the Monte Carlo configurations shows that defects do not cluster and instead delocalize, as illustrated in Fig. 4b. This is also confirmed by the vacancy-vacancy pair correlation function, as shown in Fig. 4c. For $r \ge a_0$, it closely resembles the $g_2(r)$ of the underlying solid, as expected for a very dilute gas of repulsive bosons. Indeed,

we find a finite superfluid fraction even for small defect concentrations, which increases linearly with f_{def} . We have verified that this finding is pertinent to the ground state and not to a metastable configuration by performing simulations with different initial conditions, including clustered defects. The observed behaviour is, thus, consistent with defect-induced supersolidity according to the ALC scenario, and constitutes the central result of this work.

Discussion

Supersolidity in this system is the consequence of two unique features of soft-core bosons. First, the energy cost for forming close particle pairs is bound by V_0 , which facilitates the formation of cluster crystals that naturally entail zero-point defects. Second, the dynamics and interaction of these defects differs fundamentally from those of conventional solids. In the latter case, vacancies and interstitials induce displacement fields that lead to purely attractive defect interactions^{21,23,24,44} and, therfore, prevent a delocalization of defects²¹. In cluster solids, on the other hand, defect interactions are purely repulsive, since they interact via the same underlying particle interaction V(r) of equation (1). In the present case, the transition between these two regimes is controlled by the particle density. For $A\rho \gtrsim 1.5$ delocalized zero-point defects allow for the formation of supersolid phases. Below this density particles do not explore the soft-core part of the interaction potential, such that defects are attractive and supersolidity is absent consistent with the results of Boninsegni et al.²¹ Around the transition region $A\rho \approx 1.5$ neither picture applies, and one observes separation between a



Figure 4 | Defect-induced superfluidity. Panel **a** shows the superfluid fraction in a doubly occupied solid ($N/N_s = 2$) as a function of the fraction of defects in the form of singly occupied sites for fixed U = 31 and varying particle number between N = 139 and 144. For N = 144, the system forms an insulating commensurate solid with $N_s = 72$ doubly occupied sites. Decreasing the particle number does not affect N_s but leads to the formation of a small fraction $f_{def} = (2N_s - N)/N_s$ of singly occupied lattice sites. Panel **b** shows the particle density (colour code) for $f_{def} = 0.03$, obtained from a Monte Carlo configuration along with the particle positions (spheres) for a single imaginary time slice. The two initially adjacent vacancies (red and green sphere) delocalize, as indicated by the corresponding imaginary time trajectories. Accordingly, the vacancy-vacancy pair correlation function (red), shown in **c** for $f_{def} = 0.07$, resembles the $g_2(r)$ of the underlying crystal (blue), as expected for a delocalized, very dilute gas of repulsive bosons. The error bars in panel **a** represent statistical uncertainties of the Monte Carlo sampling.

superfluid and a doubly occupied, insulating cluster solid. Preliminary calculations based on path-integral Langevin dynamics⁴⁵ suggest that in this region structural and dynamical heterogeneity can give rise to a quantum glass phase at finite temperature.

Having identified a physical system that facilitates defectinduced supersolidity, we hope that this work will provide useful guidance for future experiments and initiate further theoretical explorations. An important question concerns the general features of the interaction potential that are required to maintain the type of supersolid states described in this work. While the emergence of weakly interacting density-wave supersolids is largely insensitive to the detailed shape of the soft-core interaction, the low-density physics described in this work may be strongly affected. In fact, it seems reasonable to expect an interesting competition between intra- and inter-site interactions within the self-assembled crystal that will depend on the longrange tail of the particle interactions. Moreover, the role of the dimensionality and confined geometries of finite systems represent another outstanding issue, and in particular their role for frustration effects with regard to defect delocalization.

While the considered interactions do not straightforwardly occur in natural crystals, they can be designed in ultracold atom experiments. Recent experiments with Bose–Einstein condensates in optical cavities have already demonstrated a density-wave supersolid due to the breaking of a discrete translational symmetry^{46,47} and theoretical work has devised several schemes^{30,31} for the manipulation of long-range interactions between polar molecules by external fields. Moreover, far off-resonant excitation of high lying Rydberg states^{28,29,48} in degenerate atomic gases^{49–51} was shown to realize interactions of the type of equation (1). Following Maucher *et al.*²⁹, such a Rydberg dressing of ⁸⁷Rb condensates to Rb(35*p*_{3/2}) states⁵² with

a laser detuning of ~ 500 MHz, and an intensity of 100 kW cm⁻² would produce a sizeable interaction strength of U~35. While we have focussed here on the zero-temperature limit, we have also performed finite-temperature simulations, showing that these parameters will permit the experimental observation of defect-induced supersolid phases for temperatures $T \leq 10$ nK, around typical densities ~ 10^8 cm⁻² and with a condensate lifetime of ~ 30 ms, limited by radiative decay of the weakly admixed Rydberg state. Recent experimental breakthroughs reporting the first observation of Rydberg interaction effects in a laser-driven Bose–Einstein condensate⁵³ hold high promise for the prospective realization of the setting described in this work.

Methods

Numerical details. Our numerical results were obtained from path-integral Monte Carlo simulations⁵⁴ based on the continuous-space worm algorithm⁵⁵ to determine the equilibrium properties of equation (2) in the canonical ensemble, that is, at a fixed temperature T and a fixed particle number, chosen between N = 100 and 400. From these simulations we obtain, for example, density profiles, $n(\mathbf{r}) = \langle \sum_{i} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{i}(t)) \rangle_{t}$, and pair correlation functions, $g_{2}(r) = [2\pi n(N-1)r]^{-1}$ $\langle \sum_{i} \sum_{j \neq i} \delta(r - r_{ij}(t)) \rangle_t$, as well as the superfluid fraction f_s , computed from the area estimator, as described in Sindzingre *et al.*⁵⁶ and Pollock *et al.*⁵⁷ Here $r_{ij} = |\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_i|, \mathbf{r}_i$ are the positions of the i = 1, ..., N particles, and $\langle ... \rangle_t$ denotes an average of the corresponding imaginary time trajectories $\mathbf{r}_i(t)$. The properties of the system ground state were obtained by extrapolating to the limit of zero temperature, that is, by lowering the temperature until observables, such as the total energy, superfluid fraction and pair correlations did not change on further decrease of T. Moreover, the size of our two-dimensional simulation box with periodic boundary conditions was varied to assure insensitivity to system size. The calculated observables were used to construct the phase diagram. The first-order superfluid-normal solid transition is detected by an abrupt increase of the maximum value (S_{max}) of the static structure factor $S(k) = 1 + \rho \int d\mathbf{r} e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r}}(g_2(r) - 1)$ and a simultaneous vanishing of the superfluid fraction. The superfluid-supersolid transition is characterized by a jump of S_{max} and an abrupt decrease of the superfluid fraction from $f_s \approx 1$ to a finite value $f_s > 0$, while the supersolid-normal solid transition is signalled by the vanishing of f_s .

ARTICLE

References

- 1. Boninsegni, M. & Prokof ev, N. V. Colloquium: supersolids: what and where are they? *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 84, 759–776 (2012).
- Gross, E. P. Unified theory of interacting bosons. *Phys. Rev.* 106, 161–162 (1957).
- Andreev, A. F. & Lifshitz, I. M. Quantum theory of defects in crystals. *JETP* 29, 1107–1113 (1969).
- 4. Chester, G. V. Speculations on Bose-Einstein condensation and quantum crystals. *Phys. Rev. A* 2, 256–258 (1970).
- 5. Balibar, S. The enigma of supersolidity. Nature 464, 176-182 (2010).
- Kim, E. & Chan, M. H. W. Probable observation of a supersolid helium phase. Nature 427, 225–227 (2004).
- Kim, E. & Chan, M. H. W. Observation of superflow in solid helium. Science 305, 1941–1944 (2004).
- Day, J. & Beamish, J. Pressure-driven flow of solid helium. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 96, 105304 (2006).
- Rittner, A. S. & Reppy, J. Observation of classical rotational inertia and nonclassical supersolid signals in solid He-4 below 250 mK. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 97, 165301 (2006).
- Aoki, Y., Graves, J. C. & Kojima, H. Oscillation frequency dependence of nonclassical rotation inertia of solid ⁴He. Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 015301 (2007).
- 11. Day, J. & Beamish, J. Low-temperature shear modulus changes in solid ⁴He and connection to supersolidity. *Nature* **450**, 853–856 (2007).
- 12. Hunt, B. et al. Evidence for a superglass state in solid ⁴He. Science **324**, 632–636 (2009).
- 13. West, J. T., Lin, X., Cheng, Z. G. & Chan, M. H. W. Supersolid behavior in confined geometry. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 185302 (2009).
- 14. Day, J., Syshchenko, O. & Beamish, J. Intrinsic and dislocation-induced elastic behavior of solid helium. *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 214524 (2009).
- Day, J., Syshchenko, O. & Beamish, J. Nonlinear elastic response in solid helium: critical velocity or strain? *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 075302 (2010).
- Reppy, J. D. Nonsuperfluid origin of the nonclassical rotational inertia in a bulk sample of solid ⁴He. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 255301 (2010).
- Choi, H., Takahashi, D., Choi, W., Kono, K. & Kim, E. Staircaselike suppression of supersolidity under rotation. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 105302 (2012).
- Mi, X. & Reppy, J. D. Anomalous behavior of solid ⁴He in porous vycor glass. Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 225305 (2012).
- 19. Prokof ev, N. & Svistunov, B. Supersolid state of matter. Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 155302 (2005).
- Ceperley, D. M. & Bernu, B. Ring exchanges and the supersolid phase of ⁴He. Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 155303 (2004).
- Boninsegni, M. et al. Fate of vacancy-induced supersolidity in ⁴He. Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 080401 (2006).
- 22. Rota, R. & Boronat, J. Onset temperature of Bose-Einstein condensation in incommensurate solid ⁴He. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 045308 (2012).
- Ma, P. N., Pollet, L., Troyer, M. & Zhang, F.-C. A classical picture of the role of vacancies and interstitials in helium-4. *J. Low Temp. Phys.* 152, 156–163 (2008).
- Lechner, W. & Dellago, C. Defect interactions in two-dimensional colloidal crystals: vacancy and interstitial strings. Soft Matter 5, 2752–2758 (2009).
- Kim, D. Y. & Chan, M. H. W. Absence of supersolidity in solid helium in porous vycor glass. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 109, 155301 (2012).
- Pomeau, Y. & Rica, S. Dynamics of a model of supersolid. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 72, 2426–2430 (1994).
- 27. Cinti, F. et al. Supersolid droplet crystal in a dipole-blockaded gas. Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 135301 (2010).
- Henkel, N., Nath, R. & Pohl, T. Three-dimensional roton excitations and supersolid formation in Rydberg-excited Bose-Einstein condensates. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 104, 195302 (2010).
- Maucher, F. et al. Rydberg-induced solitons: three-dimensional self-trapping of matter waves. Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 170401 (2011).
- Büchler, H. P. *et al.* Strongly correlated 2D quantum phases with cold polar molecules: controlling the shape of the interaction potential. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 98, 060404 (2007).
- Micheli, A., Pupillo, G., Büchler, H. P. & Zoller, P. Cold polar molecules in two-dimensional traps: tailoring interactions with external fields for novel quantum phases. *Phys. Rev. A* 76, 043604 (2007).
- 32. Likos, C. N., Lang, A., Watzlawek, M. & Löwen, H. Criterion for determining clustering versus reentrant melting behavior for bounded interaction potentials. *Phys. Rev. E* 63, 031206 (2001).
- Mladek, B. M. et al. Formation of polymorphic cluster phases for a class of models of purely repulsive soft spheres. Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 045701 (2006).
- 34. Coslovich, D., Strauss, L. & Kahl, G. Hopping and microscopic dynamics of ultrasoft particles in cluster crystals. *Soft Matter* 7, 2127–2137 (2011).
- Sepulveda, N., Josserand, C. & Rica, S. Superfluid density in a two-dimensional model of supersolid. *Eur. Phys. J. B* 78, 439–447 (2010).

- 36. Saccani, S., Moroni, S. & Boninsegni, M. Excitation spectrum of a supersolid. *Phys. Rev. B* 83, 092506 (2011).
- Kunimi, M. & Kato, Y. Mean-field and stability analyses of two-dimensional flowing soft-core bosons modeling a supersolid. *Phys. Rev. B* 86, 060510 (2012).
- Mason, P., Josserand, C. & Rica, S. Activated nucleation of vortices in a dipole-blockaded supersolid condensate. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 109, 045301 (2012).
- Henkel, N., Cinti, F., Jain, P., Pupillo, G. & Pohl, T. Supersolid vortex crystals in Rydberg-dressed Bose-Einstein condensates. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 108, 265301 (2012).
- Macrì, T., Maucher, F., Cinti, F. & Pohl, T. Elementary excitations of ultracold soft-core bosons across the superfluid-supersolid phase transition. *Phys. Rev. A* 87, 061602 (2013).
- Astrakharchik, G. E., Boronat, J., Kurbakov, I. L. & Lozovik, Y. E. Quantum phase transition in a two-dimensional system of dipoles. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 98, 060405 (2007).
- Mora, C., Parcollet, O. & Waintal, X. Quantum melting of a crystal of dipolar bosons. *Phys. Rev. B* 76, 064511 (2007).
- 43. Osychenko, O. N., Astrakharchik, G. E., Lutsyshyn, Y., Lozovik, Y. u. E. & Boronat, J. Phase diagram of Rydberg atoms with repulsive van der Waals interaction. *Phys. Rev. A* 84, 063621 (2011).
- Lechner, W. & Dellago, C. Point defects in two-dimensional colloidal crystals: simulation vs. elasticity theory. Soft Matter 5, 646–659 (2009).
- Markland, T. E. et al. Theory and simulations of quantum glass forming liquids. J. Chem. Phys. 136, 074511 (2012).
- Baumann, K., Guerlin, C., Brennecke, F. & Esslinger, T. Dicke quantum phase transition with a superfluid gas in an optical cavity. *Nature* 464, 1301–1306 (2010).
- 47. Mottl, R. et al. Roton-type mode softening in a quantum gas with cavity-mediated long-range interactions. Science 336, 1570–1573 (2012).
- Pupillo, G., Micheli, A., Boninsegni, M., Lesanovsky, I. & Zoller, P. Strongly correlated gases of Rydberg-dressed atoms: quantum and classical dynamics. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 223002 (2010).
- 49. Heidemann, R. et al. Rydberg excitation of Bose-Einstein condensates. Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 033601 (2008).
- Viteau, M. et al. Rydberg excitations in Bose-Einstein condensates in quasi-onedimensional potentials and optical lattices. Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 060402 (2011).
- 51. Schauß, P. et al. Observation of spatially ordered structures in a two-dimensional Rydberg gas. Nature 491, 87-91 (2012).
- 52. Tong, D. et al. Local blockade of Rydberg excitation in an ultracold gas. Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 063001 (2004).
- Balewski, J. B. *et al.* Coupling a single electron to a Bose-Einstein condensate. *Nature* 502, 664–667 (2013).
- 54. Ceperley, D. M. Path integrals in the theory of condensed helium. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **67**, 279–355 (1995).
- Boninsegni, M., Prokof ev, N. & Svistunov, B. Worm algorithm for continuousspace path integral Monte Carlo simulations. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 96, 070601 (2006).
- Sindzingre, P., Klein, M. L. & Ceperley, D. M. Path-integral Monte Carlo study of low-temperature ⁴He clusters. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 63, 1601–1605 (1989).
- Pollock, E. L. & Ceperley, D. M. Path-integral computation of superfluid densities. *Phys. Rev. B* 36, 8343–8352 (1987).
- 58. Leggett, A. J. Can a solid be "superfluid"? Phys. Rev. Lett. 25, 1543-1547 (1970).

Acknowledgements

We thank M. Boninsegni, S. Pilati, N.V. Prokof ev and S.G. Söyler for valuable discussions. This work was supported by the EU through the ITN COHERENCE. G.P. is supported by the ERC-St Grant 'ColDSIM' (Grant agreement 307688) and EOARD. W.L. acknowledges support by the Austrian Science Fund through P 25454-N27.

Author contributions

F.C. performed the simulations and analysed the data together with T.M.; T.P. drafted the manuscript; and all authors conceived the project, discussed the results and contributed to the final version of the article.

Additional information

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Reprints and permission information is available online at http://npg.nature.com/ reprintsandpermissions/

How to cite this article: Cinti, F. et al. Defect-induced supersolidity with soft-core bosons. Nat. Commun. 5:3235 doi: 10.1038/ncomms4235 (2014).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/