# **Editorial**

The views expressed in this editorial are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the position of the Canadian Medical Association or its subsidiaries, the journal's editorial board or the Canadian College of Neuropsychopharmacology.

# Lessons from ecology for understanding the heterogeneity of bipolar disorder

Abraham Nunes, MD, PhD, MBA; Katie Scott, BSc; Martin Alda, MD

Bipolar disorder (BD) is a lifelong neuropsychiatric disorder that exerts a substantial personal and public health toll, an elevated lifetime risk of suicide,1 and a remarkable degree of heterogeneity or diversity (we use these terms interchangeably). Existing studies of heterogeneity in BD tend to focus on variability or clustering along a limited number of features or domains.2 However, identifying heterogeneity across a limited number of features does not necessarily help us characterize the overall heterogeneity of BD across levels of analysis (e.g., genetic, molecular, psychological, clinical). Until recently, it was not clear how heterogeneity should best be measured or conceptualized,<sup>2,3</sup> thereby limiting our ability to understand the diversity of BD in a holistic sense. In addition to these recent advancements in heterogeneity measurement, 4,5 understanding the diversity of BD requires us to develop research strategies and methods specific to this purpose.

In this editorial, we argue that understanding the diversity of BD involves characterizing its nature, causes and consequences. The nature of diversity refers to the different ways in which BD can manifest. The causes of heterogeneity are the mechanisms by which diversity itself arises in BD. Finally, the consequences of diversity are the effects on management, diagnosis and understanding of BD attributable to the condition's heterogeneity. We propose a framework for understanding heterogeneity in BD by inducing principles of diversity from other disciplines — chiefly statistical conservation ecology, which is concerned with the analysis of the nature, mechanisms and consequences of biodiversity.<sup>6</sup> This framework involves the pursuit and integration of 6 methodological solutions, akin to the stages proposed by Robins and Guze,7 which we summarize using the acronym "FIELDS": family studies, interventional studies, environmental characterization, longitudinal studies, detailed multimodal phenotyping, and statistical and computational advances. Ultimately, we hope this roadmap will help us understand the remarkable diversity of BD.

# Nature of heterogeneity in bipolar disorder

One can show that the proper measure of heterogeneity is the effective number of configurations in which one can find a system.<sup>2,4,8</sup> Here, our system of interest consists of randomly sampled individuals with BD. Now we must ask, "what constitutes a 'configuration'?" In other words, what feature(s) must we record to identify different BD phenotypes uniquely? Ecologists may label organisms in an environment with their taxonomic classes, which implies that the species label of any given organism is the relevant configuration along which organisms vary. Ecologists would then measure the heterogeneity of an ecosystem as the effective number of species. However, ecologists often use more complex configuration identifiers, such as organisms' functional properties and genotypes. Configuration labels can be multidimensional, and they can also be continuous, discrete, or both. What matters is that one's configuration definition includes all relevant information necessary to quantify variation. In this section, we argue that relevant configurations of BD require measuring features across multiple levels of analysis, from genotypic and family history data to clinical presentations and environmental differences.

# Clinical phenotypic heterogeneity

Bipolar disorder is defined by the evolution of a multidimensional clinical phenotype (i.e., clinical syndrome) over time. By the presence or absence of *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (*DSM*)<sup>9</sup> criteria alone, using the formula presented by Nunes and colleagues,<sup>2</sup> one can show that BD-II has 37 001 distinct phenotypic presentations. In contrast, BD-I with a single mixed episode with catatonic features can present in 148 633 017 different ways. These numbers overestimate the effective number of *DSM* presentations since features of mania and depression tend to co-occur, perhaps according to a lower-dimensional factor structure. They

Correspondence to: A. Nunes, 5909 Veterans Memorial Lane (Room 3083B), Abbie J. Lane Memorial Building, QE II Health Sciences Centre, Halifax, NS, B3H 2E2; nunes@dal.ca

Cite as: J Psychiatry Neurosci 2022 October 18;47(5). doi: 10.1503/jpn.220172

© 2022 CMA Impact Inc. or its licensors

simultaneously underestimate the true diversity of BD since there are many other clinical factors relevant to the BD phenotype that are not part of the diagnostic criteria and that show variation across patients.

# Familial and genetic heterogeneity

Family studies have shown substantial diversity of phenotypic and comorbidity patterns in relatives of BD probands, 10,11 and early molecular genetic studies showed significant heterogeneity in the potential genetic etiology of BD.<sup>12</sup> Modern genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have revealed effect size distributions for additive effects of common variants on the expression of BD diagnosis13 and lithium response<sup>14</sup> in large clinical samples. Still, distinct multivariate combinations of genetic risk variants may better characterize subgroups of these cohorts.<sup>15</sup> Novel analytical approaches, such as MiXeR, which attempt to quantify polygenic overlap beyond linear correlation, 13,16 may help us to identify distinct multivariate genetic profiles within the broad class of patients diagnosed with BD. Notwithstanding, phenotypic heterogeneity makes it difficult to infer the genetic architecture of psychopathology based on GWAS data alone.<sup>17</sup>

# Molecular heterogeneity

Many molecular features may be relevant to understanding both state and trait expression of BD, including signs of "accelerated aging,"18 epigenetic markers,19 and variation in second messenger systems and cellular calcium handling. These systems may shed light on many aspects of BD, from its genetic overlap with schizophrenia to the mechanisms of mood stabilizers and calcium channel antagonists, and the neurobiology of hippocampal and cognitive impairments.<sup>20-23</sup> Importantly, second messenger signalling cascades are likely to change in a mood state-dependent fashion, which would necessitate longitudinal measurements.24 Extensive variability in the expression of microRNAs (miRNAs) across brain regions and studies may also be a salient form of biological heterogeneity in BD.25 Given their putative involvement in synaptic plasticity, miRNAs may be of particular relevance toward understanding the translational neurobiology of BD.25

#### Synaptic, cellular, circuit and structural heterogeneity

Many lines of evidence suggest that changes in synaptic plasticity contribute to the pathophysiology of BD and its treatment. <sup>26</sup> These include variations in dendritic branching, length and spine density <sup>27,28</sup> as well as lithium-induced changes in synaptic plasticity (for example, altering the synaptic AMPA–NMDA receptor ratio). <sup>29</sup> Dentate gyrus granule cell-like neurons cultured from induced pluripotent stem cells of patients with BD suggest hyperexcitability of glutamatergic cells in patients with BD compared with healthy controls. <sup>30</sup> This hyperexcitability may be reversed by exposure to the mood stabilizer to which a given patient was clinically responsive.

Large-scale studies have shown that BD is associated with reductions in the volume of the hippocampus, amygdala, thalamus, left pars opercularis, left fusiform gyrus and left rostral middle frontal cortex, and increased ventricle volume compared with healthy controls, albeit with significant heterogeneity (ranging from 19.4% for amygdalar volume to 65.4% for lateral ventricular volume). Ohorts with BD also show compromised white matter integrity. A substantial amount of heterogeneity may be related to medication use, illness duration, number of episodes and familial patterns of genetic risk. 4

Functional neuroimaging studies have consistently shown increased limbic region activity during emotional processing tasks and reduced connectivity between the amygdala and prefrontal cortical regions.<sup>35,36</sup> However, there is considerable diversity in findings across studies, depending on mood state, experimental conditions (e.g., resting state v. task-based) and illness stage.<sup>35,36</sup>

# Physiological heterogeneity

Variation in multiple physiological systems is associated with BD, including the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis, circadian rhythms, glycemic and metabolic control systems, immune/inflammatory systems, and the gut microbiome. Corticosteroid therapy has well-known adverse effects of hypomania/mania or depression, depending on treatment duration.<sup>37</sup> Bipolar disorder is also associated with cortisol nonsuppression on the dexamethasone suppression test and elevated diurnal cortisol secretion that may be associated with symptom profiles and lithium responsiveness.<sup>38</sup>

Circadian abnormalities are also relevant for BD, which reliably shows variations in sleep/wake cycle, activity, chronotype and circadian pacemaker gene mutations.<sup>39</sup> Circadian abnormalities are also relevant for predicting lithium responsiveness.<sup>39</sup>

Metabolic and immunological factors are relevant for understanding the etiology and progression of BD as well as the striking correlations between BD and obesity, <sup>40</sup> insulin resistance or diabetes mellitus, <sup>41</sup> and cardiovascular mortality. <sup>42</sup> Patients with BD and comorbid insulin resistance or diabetes mellitus show a higher prevalence of rapid cycling, chronic course, lithium nonresponse, functional impairment, smaller hippocampal volumes and neuronal loss. <sup>41,43,44</sup> Immunological and inflammatory factors may be a link between BD and metabolic disorders. <sup>45</sup>

The gut microbiome may distinguish patients with BD from those with unipolar depression and healthy controls.<sup>46</sup> Further, probands and unaffected relatives show similar gut microbiome composition that differs from nonpsychiatric controls.<sup>47</sup> Investigations into microbiome differences in BD reveal associations with clinical dimensions. For instance, the fractional representation of the bacterium *Faecalibacterium* in individuals with BD negatively correlates with the severity of illness.<sup>48</sup> Gut microbiomics in BD is a relatively new field, but preliminary results suggest probiotic treatment could improve cognitive function<sup>49</sup> and reduce rehospitalization rates.<sup>50</sup>

# Psychological and neurocognitive heterogeneity

Multiple meta-analyses have shown that BD is associated with deficits in executive functioning, verbal memory, attention, processing speed and verbal fluency,<sup>51</sup> with some deficits found in unaffected relatives.<sup>52</sup> Cognitive deficits may vary based on the stage of illness, clinical features (e.g., clinical course, history of psychosis, education level, intelligence, childhood adversity, metabolic disorders) and medication use.<sup>51</sup> Clustering studies do not evince specific domains of dysfunction, but rather groups of patients with widespread cognitive abnormalities that differ mainly in severity.<sup>53</sup> Understanding this diversity may facilitate the development of psychological and biological therapies for cognitive impairment in BD.<sup>54</sup>

# Environmental heterogeneity

The development and expression of BD may be influenced significantly by environmental factors, such as light exposure, which may mediate variation in age of onset, suicidality and symptom burden/severity.<sup>39,55</sup> Other geographically varying factors, such as cultural practices and beliefs related to mental illness, differential access to mental health services, or availability of substances, may also help explain the wide variation in global BD prevalence (ranging from 0.3% in Asia to 1.6% in South America<sup>56</sup>). Gestational infections, maternal smoking, birth complications, childhood trauma and various life events may also affect the course of illness in BD.<sup>57</sup> In particular, childhood trauma is associated with an earlier onset, rapid cycling, lifetime suicidality, substance misuse and a larger number of lifetime mood episodes, particularly in females.<sup>57</sup>

# Causes of heterogeneity in bipolar disorder

The causes of heterogeneity in BD include endogenous factors (internal to the individual) and exogenous factors (external to the individual). These are further subdivided according to the mechanistic processes by which they generate heterogeneity. Here, we subdivide heterogeneity-generating mechanisms according to a common ecological framework. Se-60 Specifically, we consider allopatric, parapatric and sympatric diversity generators.

Allopatric diversity generators separate a population into discrete subgroups that progressively diverge thereafter. Endogenous allopatric diversity generators in BD may include polygenic variation with discrete effects, sex differences and comorbidities, such as traumatic brain injuries or language disorders. Exogenous iatrogenic sources may include variations in diagnostic practices and treatment exposure. Exogenous noniatrogenic sources may include major adverse life events or occupational choices, such as shift work. Discrete differences in geographic distributions may also induce diversity by limiting access to health care resources.

Parapatric diversity generators apply positive selection to the extremes of some continuously varying traits and negative selection to intermediate phenotypes, yielding 2 discretely separated groups. Endogenous parapatric diversity generators in BD may include continuous liability due to small additive genetic effects. Variation in personality structure may predispose some to substance use disorders,<sup>61</sup> which could alter the clinical course of BD. Exogenous iatrogenic sources may involve variation in the importance assigned to specific features of the BD syndrome, resulting in diagnostic heterogeneity. An example of an exogenous non-iatrogenic source is that of solar insolation gradients.<sup>39,55</sup>

Sympatric diversity generators are more difficult to understand and detect, as they involve ostensibly spontaneous emergence of a distinct phenotype from an otherwise homogeneous baseline group. One possible endogenous sympatric diversity generator is the effect of de novo rare variants across generations. The formation and dissolution of social communities could be an important sympatric diversity generator in the modern era. The increased time spent online, coupled with stigma, social polarization dynamics and social contagion effects, may cause patients with BD to join realworld or online communities with distinct or isolated subcultures. Some groups offer positive connections and support, while others may produce, exacerbate, or reinforce maladaptive beliefs or behaviours.<sup>62</sup>

The allopatric, parapatric and sympatric diversity generation framework is imperfect.<sup>58</sup> However, it is a useful starting point to glean 2 fundamental principles: diversity is a phenomenon that evolves by the interaction of multiple different variables, both endogenous and exogenous to the individual.

# Consequences of heterogeneity in bipolar disorder

Illness progression and natural history

Individuals with diverse BD phenotypes may adapt to widely varying circumstances in their environments, which may feed back to alter their phenotype. These changing phenotypic profiles will need to adapt further to continuously changing environments, perpetuating this cycle of divergent illness progression across patients. For example, patients diagnosed with mixed features may be more likely to receive treatment with atypical antipsychotics. Individuals receiving such treatments may experience variable patterns of functional limitations and illness progression through insulin resistance, inflammation and structural brain abnormalities.

#### Diagnosis, management and research

With thousands of distinct presentations that overlap with those of other syndromes as well as temporal evolution characterized by the predominance of index depressive episodes,<sup>64</sup> the diagnostic reliability of BD is low.<sup>65</sup>

This phenotypic heterogeneity may also limit our ability to optimize early treatment of BD. While lithium response can be well predicted based on clinical features, samples of responders and nonresponders may themselves be phenotypically diverse groups, which limits the accuracy and reliability of diagnostic and treatment-related prediction models. 66,67

# A roadmap for understanding heterogeneity in bipolar disorder

In this section, we propose a multifaceted approach to understanding the nature, causes and consequences of heterogeneity in BD. This approach involves the 6 FIELDS elements (Figure 1).

# Family studies

Understanding the evolution of diversity requires establishing a more homogeneous starting point from which to begin measurements. Since genetic variation is a major contributor to allopatric and parapatric diversity generators, we must seek to design research that controls for this factor. Although large-scale molecular genetic approaches have made important contributions to our understanding of the genetic architecture of psychiatric disorders, they can typically account only for the additive effects of common variants. Conversely, since relatives share larger aspects of their genetic architecture, including nonadditive genetic effects, family studies allow us to begin with more homogeneous initial conditions from which phenotypic diversity may evolve. To this end, it

will be important to conduct family studies with relatives both concordant and discordant for various illness characteristics and to measure such variation over time.

#### Interventional studies

Interventional studies are essential to understand the causal mechanisms underlying any natural system. Specifically, concerning understanding the diversity of BD, interventional studies may help us simulate an analogous situation to the ecological phenomenon of "secondary contact," whereby the reunion of 2 groups with a common ancestor tests whether species divergence has occurred. Analogously, we may be interested in the degree to which phenotypic variation in BD is stable/permanent or whether the ongoing presence of modifiable factors maintains this diversity.

For example, one may combine longitudinal and interventional protocols to study the evolution of phenotypic diversity in a sample of patients with BD who were phenotypically or genetically similar at the outset of their disease, showed phenotypic divergence over time, and are subsequently exposed to a randomized treatment trial. Suppose phenotypic diversity pretreatment is the product of ongoing modifiable

# FIELDS: the ecological approach to heterogeneity

# **Family studies**

Study genetic diversity within a generation, and phenotypic diversity across generations. Establish a more homogeneous starting point from which phenotypic diversity evolves.

#### Interventional studies

Understand the causal mechanisms of phenotypic diversity.

Simulate "secondary contact" phenomenon.

#### **Environmental characterization**

Phenotypes evolve over time within environmental constraints.

Understanding environmental variation may help understand phenotypic variation.

# Longitudinal studies

BD and its diversity evolve over time. Longitudinal follow-up is essential.

# **Detailed multimodal phenotyping**

Diversity of BD manifests across multiple levels of analysis.

Phenotype is multidimensional.

Small differences across many features may yield large differences across subgroups.

# Statistical and computational advancements

Methods and standards for pooling data from FIELD components above.

Mechanistic "process" models for understanding causal mechanisms of diversity in BD.

Methods for dealing with site and study-level confounding in pooled data.

Figure 1: Summary of the FIELDS (family studies, interventional studies, environmental characteriszation, longitudinal studies, detailed multi-modal phenotyping, and statistical and computational advancements) approach to understanding heterogeneity in bipolar disorder (BD).

disease activity without fundamental divergence in illness trajectories. In that case, phenotypic diversity should decline after successful treatment, and patients' disease courses should subsequently evolve more homogeneously. So long as the outcome defining the success of the homogenizing intervention is nontrivial (e.g., a sustained period of euthymia with concordant phenotypic profiles), such trials may also help us understand the diversity of BD by comparing the degree to which medication responsiveness diverges over time within and across individuals.

#### Environmental characterization

Since variations in exogenous factors can cause heterogeneity, studies must characterize these features over time alongside phenotypic traits. Notable examples include studies on the effects of sunlight exposure on phenotypic expression of BD.<sup>39,55</sup> Merging environmental characterization with family studies could involve the ongoing use of twin and adoption paradigms, specifically examining phenotypic variation between twins concordant for BD reared together compared with twins reared apart. Accomplishing such studies with sufficient power would require large-scale global collaborations.

# Longitudinal studies

Since the diversity of BD evolves, we need longitudinal studies to appreciate its nature, causes and consequences. Specifically, studies of BD must cover all relevant life and illness stages, including early development, premorbid and prodromal phases through to the first episode, illness progression and end of life. Longitudinal studies must also cover depressed, manic/hypomanic and euthymic phases of the illness.

# Detailed multimodal phenotyping

The section on the nature of heterogeneity in BD provided a broad, though incomplete, overview of features associated with BD and its diversity across multiple levels of analysis. It is likely that different combinations of these features underlie the expression of BD across different subgroups, or form a continuum across the BD population. To better understand this, we must embrace protocols involving collection of multimodal data that facilitate discovery of causal mechanisms spanning levels of analysis. An example of such a project is the Pharmacogenomics of Bipolar Disorder study.<sup>68</sup> Simultaneously, we must bring together clinical and basic scientists, as well as computational modelling groups to investigate the causal mechanisms underlying covariation between features at lower levels of analysis. For instance, neuroimaging researchers have begun evaluating drivers of brain structural diversity in psychiatric disorders, finding important links to metabolic abnormalities.<sup>69</sup> Several groups have also proposed computational models to explain mechanistic variation in mood and activity levels over time in BD.70 Such studies, if sufficiently constrained by high-quality data, will make important contributions toward understanding the causal mechanisms underlying the diversity of BD.

#### Statistical and computational advancements

To understand the nature, causes and consequences of heterogeneity using longitudinal family studies with interventional components and detailed multimodal phenotyping (including environmental characterization), we must develop computational and statistical approaches to represent, share and analyze the resulting complex data. This will pose important technical and scientific challenges involving harmonization as well as data collection, processing and storage. We must also develop technical expertise and reliable protocols for longitudinal active and passive collection of multidimensional data using wearables, smartphones and other devices.<sup>71</sup>

Understanding the diversity of BD poses important statistical questions, themselves foreshadowed by work in ecology, wherein the physical mechanisms of biodiversity generation remain unclear.72 Ecologists have recognized that descriptive and correlational studies are insufficient to understand the mechanisms of biodiversity development and have moved toward computational process models that simulate and compare different hypothesized mechanisms of ecosystem formation and collapse. 72 These approaches are analogous to theory-driven computational studies of psychopathology, which fit mechanistic computational models to behavioural or biological data in psychiatric populations.73 These models make specific and testable assumptions about how the relevant data were generated. They can thus offer mechanistic explanations of phenotypic diversity with face and construct validity.

#### Conclusion

By drawing inspiration from ecological biodiversity studies, we can devise approaches for studying the nature, causes and consequences of heterogeneity in BD. First, we must progressively refine the precision and depth with which we characterize the BD phenotype. The resulting phenotypic profiles will be multidimensional and dynamic, and span multiple levels of analysis. Second, we must further study the general mechanisms by which phenotypic diversity itself can be generated over time, both within and across individuals. The allopatric, parapatric and sympatric mechanism classes are one such ecological approach, but they are imperfect and should be refined to suit the study of BD. Third, we must better characterize the consequences arising from the diversity of BD. This may include challenges related to diagnosis and management and positive feedback effects, whereby phenotypic diversity generates further phenotypic diversity in a compounded fashion. Finally, we must ensure that our experimental designs and supporting technologies are suitable for capturing the mechanisms of diversity evolution in BD. We proposed the 6 simultaneously implemented FIELDS domains. Ultimately, however, research specifically focused on the nature, causes and consequences of diversity in BD is in its early stages. Thus, we must refine these suggestions through further testing and experience.

**Affiliations:** From the Department of Psychiatry, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS (Nunes, Scott, Alda); and the Faculty of Computer Science, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS (Nunes).

Competing interests: None declared.

**Funding:** A. Nunes is funded by grants from the Dalhousie Medical Research Foundation and Research Nova Scotia. M. Alda is funded by grants from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research, ERANet Program, Genome Canada and Brain Canada.

Content licence: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) licence, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided that the original publication is properly cited, the use is noncommercial (i.e., research or educational use), and no modifications or adaptations are made. See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

#### References

- 1. Manchia M, Hajek T, O'Donovan C, et al. Genetic risk of suicidal behavior in bipolar spectrum disorder: analysis of 737 pedigrees. *Bipolar Disord* 2013;15:496-506.
- Nunes A, Trappenberg T, Alda M. The definition and measurement of heterogeneity. Transl Psychiatry 2020;10:299.
- Pagel T, Baldessarini RJ, Franklin J, et al. Heterogeneity of schizoaffective disorder compared with schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. Acta Psychiatr Scand 2013;128:238-50.
- Nunes A, Alda M, Bardouille T, et al. Representational Rényi heterogeneity. Entropy 2020;22:417.
- Nunes A, Trappenberg T, Alda M. Measuring heterogeneity in normative models as the effective number of deviation patterns. PLoS One 2020;15:e0242320.
- Gimenez O, Buckland ST, Morgan BJT, et al. Statistical ecology comes of age. Biol Lett 2014;10:20140698.
- Robins E, Guze SB. Establishment of diagnostic validity in psychiatric illness: its application to schizophrenia. Am J Psychiatry 1970; 126:983-7.
- Nunes A, Trappenberg T, Alda M. We need an operational framework for heterogeneity in psychiatric research. *J Psychiatry Neurosci* 2020;45:3-6.
- 9. American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 5th Edition (DSM-5)*. Arlington (VA): American Psychiatric Publishing; 2013.
- Mothi SS, Tandon N, Padmanabhan J, et al. Increased cardiometabolic dysfunction in first-degree relatives of patients with psychotic disorders. Schizophr Res 2015;165:103-7.
- Saunders EH, Scott LJ, McInnis MG, et al. Familiality and diagnostic patterns of subphenotypes in the National Institutes of Mental Health bipolar sample. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet 2008; 147B-18-26
- Goodwin FK, Jamison KR, Ghaemi SN. Manic-depressive illness: bipolar disorders and recurrent depression. 2nd ed. Oxford (UK): Oxford University Press; 2007.
- Mullins N, Forstner AJ, O'Connell KS, et al. Genome-wide association study of more than 40,000 bipolar disorder cases provides new insights into the underlying biology. Nat Genet 2021;53:817-29.
- Hou L, Heilbronner U, Degenhardt F, et al. Genetic variants associated with response to lithium treatment in bipolar disorder: a genome-wide association study. *Lancet* 2016;387:1085-93.
- Wang H, Alda M, Trappenberg T, et al. A scoping review and comparison of approaches for measuring genetic heterogeneity in psychiatric disorders. Psychiatr Genet 2022;32:1-8.
- Frei O, Holland D, Smeland OB, et al. Bivariate causal mixture model quantifies polygenic overlap between complex traits beyond genetic correlation. Nat Commun 2019;10:2417.
- Manchia M, Cullis J, Turecki G, et al. The impact of phenotypic and genetic heterogeneity on results of genome wide association studies of complex diseases. PLoS One 2013;8:e76295.

- Fries GR, Zamzow MJ, Andrews T, et al. Accelerated aging in bipolar disorder: a comprehensive review of molecular findings and their clinical implications. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2020;112:107-16.
- Legrand A, Iftimovici A, Khayachi A, et al. Epigenetics in bipolar disorder: a critical review of the literature. *Psychiatr Genet* 2021; 31:1-12.
- 20. Carman JS, Wyatt RJ. Calcium: bivalent cation in the bivalent psychoses. *Biol Psychiatry* 1979;14:295-336.
- 21. Cipriani A, Saunders K, Attenburrow MJ, et al. A systematic review of calcium channel antagonists in bipolar disorder and some considerations for their future development. *Mol Psychiatry* 2016;21:1324-32.
- 22. Dietsche B, Backes H, Laneri D, et al. The impact of a CACNA1C gene polymorphism on learning and hippocampal formation in healthy individuals: a diffusion tensor imaging study. *Neuroimage* 2014;89:256-61.
- 23. Manji HK, Bersudsky Y, Chen G, et al. Modulation of protein kinase C isozymes and substrates by lithium: the role of myoinositol. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 1996;15:370-81.
- 24. Niciu MJ, Ionescu DF, Mathews DC, et al. Second messenger/ signal transduction pathways in major mood disorders: moving from membrane to mechanism of action, part II: bipolar disorder. *CNS Spectr* 2013;18:242-51.
- Fries GR, Carvalho AF, Quevedo J. The miRNome of bipolar disorder. *J Affect Disord* 2018;233:110-6.
- Du J, Machado-Vieira R, Khairova R. Synaptic plasticity in the pathophysiology and treatment of bipolar disorder. In: Manji HK, Zarate CA, eds. Behavioral Neurobiology of Bipolar Disorder and Its Treatment. Vol 5. Current Topics in Behavioral Neurosciences. Berlin (DE): Springer Berlin Heidelberg; 2010:167-185.
- 27. Hercher C, Canetti L, Turecki G, et al. Anterior cingulate pyramidal neurons display altered dendritic branching in depressed suicides. *J Psychiatr Res* 2010;44:286-93.
- Konopaske GT, Lange N, Coyle JT, et al. Prefrontal cortical dendritic spine pathology in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2014;71:1323.
- Du J, Creson TK, Wu LJ, et al. The role of hippocampal GluR1 and GluR2 receptors in manic-like behavior. J Neurosci 2008;28:68-79.
- 30. Mertens J, Wang Q, Kim Y, et al. Differential responses to lithium in hyperexcitable neurons from patients with bipolar disorder. *Nature* 2015;527:95-9.
- Hibar DP, Westlye LT, Doan NT, et al. Cortical abnormalities in bipolar disorder: an MRI analysis of 6503 individuals from the ENIGMA Bipolar Disorder Working Group. Mol Psychiatry 2018; 23:932-42.
- 32. Hibar DP, Westlye LT, van Erp TGM, et al. Subcortical volumetric abnormalities in bipolar disorder. *Mol Psychiatry* 2016;21:1710-6.
- Favre P, Pauling M, Stout J, et al. Widespread white matter microstructural abnormalities in bipolar disorder: evidence from megaand meta-analyses across 3033 individuals. Neuropsychopharmacology 2019;44:2285-93.
- 34. Ching CRK, Hibar DP, Gurholt TP, et al. What we learn about bipolar disorder from large-scale neuroimaging: findings and future directions from the ENIGMA Bipolar Disorder Working Group. *Hum Brain Mapp* 2022;43:56-82.
- 35. Strakowski SM, Adler CM, Almeida J, et al. The functional neuroanatomy of bipolar disorder: a consensus model. *Bipolar Disord* 2012;14:313-25.
- 36. Cotovio G, Oliveira-Maia AJ. Functional neuroanatomy of mania. *Transl Psychiatry* 2022;12:29.
- Brown ES, Suppes T. Mood symptoms during corticosteroid therapy: a review. Harv Rev Psychiatry 1998;5:239-46.
- 38. Daban C, Vieta E, Mackin P, et al. Hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis and bipolar disorder. *Psychiatr Clin North Am* 2005;28:469-80.
- 39. McCarthy MJ, Gottlieb JF, Gonzalez R, et al. Neurobiological and behavioral mechanisms of circadian rhythm disruption in bipolar disorder: a critical multi-disciplinary literature review and agenda for future research from the ISBD task force on chronobiology. *Bipolar Disord* 2022;24:232-63.

- Simon GE, Von Korff M, Saunders K, et al. Association between obesity and psychiatric disorders in the US adult population. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2006;63:824-30.
- Ruzickova M, Slaney C, Garnham J, et al. clinical features of bipolar disorder with and without comorbid diabetes mellitus. Can J Psychiatry 2003;48:458-61.
- Hayes JF, Miles J, Walters K, et al. A systematic review and metaanalysis of premature mortality in bipolar affective disorder. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 2015;131:417-25.
- Hajek T, Calkin C, Blagdon R, et al. Insulin resistance, diabetes mellitus, and brain structure in bipolar disorders. Neuropsychopharmacology 2014;39:2910-8.
- Hajek T, Calkin C, Blagdon R, et al. Type 2 diabetes mellitus: a potentially modifiable risk factor for neurochemical brain changes in bipolar disorders. *Biol Psychiatry* 2015;77:295-303.
- Rosenblat JD, McIntyre RS. Are medical comorbid conditions of bipolar disorder due to immune dysfunction? *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 2015;132:180-91.
- Zheng P, Yang J, Li Y, et al. Gut microbial signatures can discriminate unipolar from bipolar depression. Adv Sci (Weinh) 2020;7:1902862.
- Coello K, Hansen TH, Sørensen N, et al. Gut microbiota composition in patients with newly diagnosed bipolar disorder and their unaffected first-degree relatives. Brain Behav Immun 2019;75:112-8.
- Evans SJ, Bassis CM, Hein R, et al. The gut microbiome composition associates with bipolar disorder and illness severity. J Psychiatr Res 2017;87:23-9.
- Reininghaus EZ, Wetzlmair LC, Fellendorf FT, et al. The impact of probiotic supplements on cognitive parameters in euthymic individuals with bipolar disorder: a pilot study. Neuropsychobiology 2018;79:1-8.
- Dickerson F, Adamos M, Katsafanas E, et al. Adjunctive probiotic microorganisms to prevent rehospitalization in patients with acute mania: a randomized controlled trial. *Bipolar Disord* 2018;20:614-21.
- Keramatian K, Torres IJ, Yatham LN. Neurocognitive functioning in bipolar disorder: what we know and what we don't. *Dialogues Clin Neurosci* 2022;23:29-38.
- Cardenas SA, Kassem L, Brotman MA, et al. Neurocognitive functioning in euthymic patients with bipolar disorder and unaffected relatives: a review of the literature. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 2016;69:193-215.
- Green MJ, Girshkin L, Kremerskothen K, et al. A systematic review of studies reporting data-driven cognitive subtypes across the psychosis spectrum. *Neuropsychol Rev* 2020;30:446-60.
- Tamura JK, Carvalho IP, Leanna LMW, et al. Management of cognitive impairment in bipolar disorder: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. CNS Spectr 2021;1-22.
- 55. Rosenthal SJ, Josephs T, Kovtun O, et al. Seasonal effects on bipolar disorder: a closer look. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2020;115:199-219.
- Moreira ALR, Van Meter A, Genzlinger J, et al. Review and metaanalysis of epidemiologic studies of adult bipolar disorder. J Clin Psychiatry 2017;78:e1259-69.

- 57. Aldinger F, Schulze TG. Environmental factors, life events, and trauma in the course of bipolar disorder. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* 2017;71:6-17.
- Butlin RK, Galindo J, Grahame JW. Sympatric, parapatric or allopatric: The most important way to classify speciation? *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 2008;363:2997-3007.
- Doebeli M, Dieckmann U. Speciation along environmental gradients. *Nature* 2003;421:259-64.
- 60. Turelli M, Barton NH, Coyne JA. Theory and speciation. *Trends Ecol Evol* 2001;16:330-43.
- Kotov R, Gamez W, Schmidt F, et al. Linking "big" personality traits to anxiety, depressive, and substance use disorders: a metaanalysis. Psychol Bull 2010;136:768-821.
- 62. Marchant A, Hawton K, Stewart A, et al. A systematic review of the relationship between internet use, self-harm and suicidal behaviour in young people: the good, the bad and the unknown. *PLoS One* 2017;12:e0181722.
- 63. Yatham LN, Chakrabarty T, Bond DJ, et al. Canadian Network for Mood and Anxiety Treatments (CANMAT) and International Society for Bipolar Disorders (ISBD) recommendations for the management of patients with bipolar disorder with mixed presentations. *Bipolar Disord* 2021;23:767-88.
- 64. O'Donovan C, Alda M. Depression preceding diagnosis of bipolar disorder. *Front Psychiatry* 2020;11:500.
- Regier DA, Narrow W, Clarke D, et al. DSM-5 field trials in the United States and Canada, part II: test-retest reliability of selected categorical diagnoses. Am J Psychiatry 2013;170:59-70.
- Nunes A, Stone W, Ardau R, et al. Exemplar scoring identifies genetically separable phenotypes of lithium responsive bipolar disorder. *Transl Psychiatry* 2021;11:36.
- Nunes A, Ardau R, Berghöfer A, et al. Prediction of lithium response using clinical data. Acta Psychiatr Scand 2020;141:131-41.
- 68 Oedegaard KJ, Alda M, Anand A, et al. The Pharmacogenomics of Bipolar Disorder study (PGBD): identification of genes for lithium response in a prospective sample. *BMC Psychiatry* 2016;16:129.
- McWhinney SR, Abé C, Alda M, et al. Association between body mass index and subcortical brain volumes in bipolar disorders– ENIGMA study in 2735 individuals. Mol Psychiatry 2021;26:6806-19.
- 70. Nunes A, Singh S, Allman J, et al. A critial evaluation of dynamical systems models of bipolar disorder. *PsyArXiv* 2022;12:1-14.
- 71. Dunster GP, Swendsen J, Merikangas KR. Real-time mobile monitoring of bipolar disorder: a review of evidence and future directions. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2021;46:197-208.
- Pilowsky JA, Colwell RK, Rahbek C, et al. Process-explicit models reveal the structure and dynamics of biodiversity patterns. Sci Adv 2022;8:eabj2271.
- 73. Montague PR, Dolan RJ, Friston KJ, et al. Computational psychiatry. *Trends Cogn Sci* 2012;16:72-80.