



Article Analysis of Game Performance Indicators during 2015–2019 World Padel Tour Seasons and Their Influence on Match Outcome

Adrián Escudero-Tena ¹, Bernardino Javier Sánchez-Alcaraz ^{2,*}, Javier García-Rubio ¹ and Sergio J. Ibáñez ¹

- ¹ Training Optimization and Sport Performance Research Group (GOERD), Sport Science Faculty, University of Extremadura, 10005 Caceres, Spain; adescuder@alumnos.unex.es (A.E.-T.); jagaru@unex.es (J.G.-R.); sibanez@unex.es (S.J.I.)
- ² Department of Physical Activity and Sport, Faculty of Sport Sciences, University of Murcia, C/Argentina, s/n, 30700 Murcia, Spain
- * Correspondence: bjavier.sanchez@um.es; Tel.: +34-6-2694-3147

Abstract: A better understanding of the demands of in-game competition demands may improve coaching strategies, training designs, and injury prevention programs. However, there is limited information regarding performance analysis in professional padel. This study aimed to analyse performance indicators and their influence on match outcomes regarding sex, tournament round, and set number. The sample contained 1070 sets from 532 matches of the 2016 to 2019 World Padel Tour seasons. Variables including sex, round, game result, stroke effectiveness, and break points were registered through systematic observation. A non-parametric approach was applied to evaluate differences between sex, match outcome, and tournament round. The results showed significant differences between winners and losers regarding sex in break points (male d = 2.13, p = 0.00; female d = 2.22, p = 0.00), smash winners (male d = 0.85, p = 0.00; female d = 0.69, p = 0.00), groundstroke winners (male d = 1.01, p = 0.00; female d = 1.18, p = 0.00), volley winners (male d = 1.08, p = 0.00; female d = 0.91, p = 0.00), and errors (male d = 0.76, p = 0.00; female d = 0.65, p = 0.00). Furthermore, differences in shot effectiveness between winners and errors increased in the last set of the match and in the last round of the tournament (p < 0.05). Therefore, shot effectiveness seems to be a key factor in professional padel that distinguishes between winning and losing players. Such knowledge may have implications in the design of appropriate game strategies and specific training sessions to improve performance and to prevent sport injuries.

Keywords: racket sports; performance analysis; technical indicators; tactical indicators; paddle tennis

1. Introduction

Padel is a recent sport [1], which is practised in pairs (two vs. two) on a 20×10 m court surrounded by walls or glass and metal fences, which allow the bouncing of the ball, and is scored like tennis [2]. In recent years, there has been an enormous growth in the number of players and courts, as it is now practised in more than 40 countries around the world [3,4]. Furthermore, a professional padel circuit has been developed (World Padel Tour), with tournaments in several countries. Padel practice has significant advantages compared with other racket sports, which renders it a powerful tool for health promotion, namely: high technical skills are not required to start practicing, the long duration of rallies increases player enjoyment, it can be played outdoors, and its equipment is inexpensive [2,5,6]. Because padel is played largely in recreational environments [3], the sport seems to play an important role in promoting physical habits.

Investigations in padel have increased in the last few years [7], and have mainly focused on describing match activity and detecting effective performance indicators [8] in four fundamental aspects: temporal structure [9–11], players' movements and distance



Citation: Escudero-Tena, A.; Sánchez-Alcaraz, B.J.; García-Rubio, J.; Ibáñez, S.J. Analysis of Game Performance Indicators during 2015–2019 World Padel Tour Seasons and Their Influence on Match Outcome. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2021, *18*, 4904. https:// doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18094904

Academic Editor: Paul B. Tchounwou

Received: 29 March 2021 Accepted: 29 April 2021 Published: 4 May 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). covered on the court [12–14], design and validation of observation instruments [15,16], and game actions, such as technical or tactical parameters [8,17–19]. These researchers have sought to identify performance indicators that describe and explain effective players' behaviours during the competition [11] with the aim to provide objective information on real game situations [20]. For example, occupying offensive positions close to the net seems to be a determinant to winning the point in padel [14,21]. These investigations have shown that more than 80% of the padel winning points are completed from the attack position, using different strokes such as volleys (20–25%), the tray, and the smash (12–18%) [12,22,23].

However, these results may be especially relevant when analysed according to the result of the match, since they would show the strokes that are most used to win a padel match. The results of some studies indicated that the winning pairs perform a significantly higher percentage of smashes and volleys and a lower number of ground strokes, walls strokes, and lobs than the losing pairs [24]. Moreover, padel players' performance was characterised by the ratio between winning shots and errors [25]. Considering the effective-ness of the strokes, the winning pairs achieved a higher percentage of winners (5.6%) and a lower percentage of errors (7.5%) than the losing pairs [18] in areas close to the net [23,26]. However, studies about winners and error distribution in failed to distinguish between the different padel strokes [26].

Previous researchers have found sex-related differences during competition [22,27,28]. Higher values in play time, total time, as well as in the number and type of strokes have been observed in women than in men [27]. Hence, different performance profiles of padel could exist respective of sex [28]. However, information about performance indicators regarding sex or tournament round is still limited. This information is vital for planning specific and effective training sessions [6], designing players' tactics for better performance, and developing sport injury prevention programs [18]. Therefore, the aim of this study was to analyse different performance stroke indicators in a large sample of professional padel matches (four World Padel Tour seasons) and their influence on match outcome with regard to sex, tournament round, and set number.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Sample and Variables

The sample contained 1070 sets from 532 matches corresponding to the tournaments in which World Padel Tour provided statistics during the 2016 to 2019 seasons. Sets were classified according to tournament round and sex: quarter finals (men: n = 375; women: n = 171), semi-finals (men: n = 174; women: n = 172), and finals (men: n = 83; women: n = 95). Sets decided with a tie-break (7-6) were excluded. The matches were played following the official game regulations [2]. The ethics board of the local university reviewed and approved the study. The following variables were analysed: sex (male and female matches), round (quarter-finals, semi-finals, and finals), game result (winning and losing pair), stroke effectiveness (smash winners, volley winners, total number of winners, and total number of errors), number of break points and break points won.

- Sex: male and female matches.
- Tournament round: quarter-finals, semi-finals, and finals.
- Set number: first set, second set, and third set.
- Match outcome: Winning and losing pair.
- Performance variables (*N*):
 - Stroke effectiveness: total smashes, smash winners, volley winners, total winners, and total errors.
 - Break points: break points and break points won.

2.2. Procedure

The matches were downloaded from the official channel of the World Padel Tour (https://www.youtube.com/user/WorldPadelTourAJPP (accessed on 1 July 2020). Sup-

plementary Material Table S1 shows the links to each video. Lince video analysis software (Observesport, 1.0, Barcelona, Spain) was used to collect and register the data [29]. One observer, specialising in padel (over 5 years' experience), was specifically trained to perform the analysis of the recordings. The observer was specifically trained in the use of the observational instrument over two weeks. The training focused on the clear identification of the performance variables (smash winners, volley winners, total number of winners, and total number of errors). Once the observer finished the training process, they analysed a total of 107 sets to calculate inter-rater reliability. These results were compared with the official match statistics. Consistency of records was analysed using the free-marginal multirater kappa [30] and the weighted kappa [31]. The score obtained was k = 0.93, indicating a very good strength of agreement with scores over 0.92 [32].

2.3. Data Analysis

Performance statistics of the matches were entered onto a spreadsheet (Microsoft Excel, Redmon, USA) for processing purposes. From the spreadsheet, the data were exported to the IBM SPSS 25.0 statistical package for Macintosh (IBM Corp: Armonk, NY, USA) for analysis. Performance variables were categorised game-by-game [33]. Then, a descriptive exploration of the data obtained was carried out and mean (*M*) and standard deviation (*SD*) were calculated. Subsequently, the Kolmogorov–Smirnov tests were performed for the study of normality and the Levene test for the homogeneity of variances. We compared the statistics on the performance variables according to match outcome, sex, and tournament round using the Mann–Whitney U-test. The effect size was calculated from Cohen's *d* [34]. The Cohen's *d* effect size was interpreted as small (0.20–0.50), medium (0.50–0.80), or large (>0.80) [35]. A significance level of *p* < 0.05 was established.

3. Results

Table 1 shows performance differences between winning and losing players according to players' sex. Winning pairs showed significantly higher values in break points won, break points, smash winners, smashes, volley winners, and total winners, and significantly lower values in errors in both men and women. Furthermore, the effect size was large in break points won, break points, winners, and volley winners for both male and female players.

			Men		Women						
Performance Variables (N)	Winning Pair	Loser Pair				Winning Pair	Losing Pair		Total		
(1V)	M	M	U	р	d	M	M	U	р	d	
Break points won	0.22	0.04	31,409.50	0.00 *	2.13	0.26	0.06	13,543.50	0.00 *	2.22	
Break points	0.46	0.20	84,491.50	0.00 *	1.15	0.55	0.28	43,021.00	0.00 *	1.08	
Errors	0.77	1.04	117,438.00	0.00 *	0.76	0.94	1.21	61,342.00	0.00 *	0.65	
Smash winners	1.01	0.73	108,996.00	0.00 *	0.85	0.67	0.44	59,784.50	0.00 *	0.69	
Smashes	1.56	1.40	35,024.00	0.00 *	0.29	1.07	0.91	23,729.00	0.00 *	0.27	
Winners	1.51	1.09	20,040.50	0.00 *	1.01	1.75	1.21	11,512.00	0.00 *	1.18	
Volley winners	0.91	0.60	26,356.50	0.00 *	1.08	0.96	0.65	10,472.00	0.00 *	0.91	

Table 1. Performance differences between winning and losing players according to players' sex.

Note: M = Mean; * = p < 0.05: d = effect size.

Table 2 shows performance differences between winning and losing players according to players' sex and tournament round. All performance variables, except smashes in the semi-final round, showed statistical differences between winning and losing pairs in the three rounds analysed for both male and female players. Furthermore, these differences decreased in the most of the performance variables from quarter-finals to finals, especially in the female category. However, the effect size in male smash winners and female volley winners increased from the quarter-finals to the finals.

Performance Variables (N)	Men												
	Quarter-Finals					Semi-Fir	nals		Finals				
	Winning Pair	Losing Pair			Winning Pair	Losing Pair			Winning Pair	Losing Pair			
	M	M	p	d	М	M	p	d	М	M	p	d	
Break points won	0.22	0.05	0.00 *	2.10	0.22	0.05	0.00 *	2.29	0.21	0.04	0.00 *	1.97	
Break points	0.47	0.29	0.00 *	1.24	0.45	0.22	0.00 *	1.00	0.47	0.20	0.00 *	1.07	
Errors	0.77	1.04	0.00 *	0.80	0.79	1.03	0.00 *	0.67	0.76	1.05	0.00 *	0.77	
Smash winners	1.00	0.73	• 0.00	0.80	1.01	0.72	0.00 *	0.91	1.07	0.75	0.00 *	0.95	
Smashes	1.57	1.40	0.00 *	0.30	1.46	1.39	0.24	0.18	1.72	1.45	0.04 *	0.45	
Winners	1.50	1.08	0.00 *	1.11	1.52	1.11	0.00 *	1.02	1.50	1.14	0.00 *	0.67	
Volley winners	0.92	0.60	0.00 *	1.08	0.91	0.58	0.00 *	1.16	0.83	0.62	0.00 *	0.83	

Table 2. Performance differences between winning and losing players according to players' sex and tournament round.

Performance Variables (N)	Quarter-Finals					Semi-Fir	nals		Finals				
	Winning Pair	Losing Pair			Winning Pair	Losing Pair			Winning Pair	Losing Pair			
	M	М	р	d	М	М	р	d	М	М	р	d	
Break points won	0.28	0.07	0.00 *	2.32	0.25	0.06	0.00 *	2.18	0.26	0.07	0.00 *	2.10	
Break points	0.56	0.25	0.00 *	1.35	0.54	0.29	0.00 *	0.97	0.53	0.31	0.00 *	0.84	
Errors	0.92	1.23	0.00 *	0.78	0.95	1.19	0.00 *	0.56	0.96	1.22	0.00 *	0.60	
Smash winners	0.63	0.39	0.00 *	0.78	0.70	0.47	0.00 *	0.64	0.68	0.46	0.00 *	0.63	
Smashes	1.03	0.85	0.04 *	0.31	1.06	0.98	0.43	0.11	1.18	0.90	0.01 *	0.50	
Winners	1.78	1.16	0.00 *	1.33	1.69	1.19	0.00 *	1.15	1.79	1.32	0.00 *	0.93	
Volley winners	0.96	0.67	0.00 *	0.90	0.96	0.65	0.00 *	0.86	0.95	0.62	0.00 *	0.94	

Note: *M* = Mean; * = *p* < 0.05: *d* = effect size.

Table 3 shows performance differences between winning and losing players according to player sex and set number. All performance variables, except smashes in the second and third sets and female volley winners in the third set, showed statistical differences between winning and losing pairs in the three sets analysed for both male and female players. Furthermore, differences in break points won, break points, and winners decreased during the match because the effect size is lower from the first to third sets, for both the male and female categories. Effect size also decreased from the first to third sets in the first to third sets in the first to third sets in errors for male and female players, and also in male volley and smash winners.

Women

Table 3. Performance differences between winning and losing players according to players' sex and set number.

Performance Variables (N)	Men												
	First Set					Second	Set		Third Set				
	Winning Pair	Losing Pair			Winning Pair	Losing Pair			Winning Pair	Losing Pair			
	М	M	р	d	M	M	p	d	M	M	р	d	
Break points won	0.23	0.04	0.00 *	2.18	0.22	0.05	0.00 *	2.11	0.20	0.05	0.00 *	2.02	
Break points	0.47	0.19	0.00 *	1.23	0.46	0.21	0.00 *	1.11	0.43	0.21	0.00 *	0.98	
Errors	0.78	1.04	0.00 *	0.76	0.77	1.04	0.00 *	0.73	0.74	1.03	0.00 *	0.84	
Smash winners	0.99	0.69	0.00 *	0.90	1.03	0.77	0.00 *	0.78	1.02	0.73	0.00 *	0.91	
Smashes	1.53	1.32	0.00 *	0.40	1.62	1.51	0.17	0.17	1.46	1.31	0.13	0.35	
Winners	1.52	1.06	0.00 *	1.14	1.50	1.10	0.00 *	0.95	1.48	1.18	0.00 *	0.81	
Volley winners	0.90	0.58	0.00 *	1.10	0.92	0.62	0.00 *	1.02	0.89	0.55	0.00 *	1.14	

Performance Variables (N)	Women												
	First Set					Second	Set		Third Set				
	Winning Pair	Losing Pair			Winning Pair	Losing Pair			Winning Pair	Losing Pair			
	M	М	p d	M	M	p	d	М	M	p	d		
Break points won	0.26	0.06	0.00 *	2.26	0.27	0.07	0.00 *	2.18	0.26	0.06	0.00 *	2.10	
Break points	0.54	0.27	0.00 *	1.08	0.54	0.27	0.00 *	0.97	0.76	0.32	0.00 *	0.84	
Errors	0.97	1.24	0.00 *	0.66	0.92	1.18	0.00 *	0.56	0.88	1.23	0.00 *	0.60	
Smash winners	0.66	0.41	0.00 *	0.75	0.67	0.46	0.00 *	0.64	0.68	0.48	0.00 *	0.63	
Smashes	1.06	0.84	0.00 *	0.41	1.06	0.97	0.40	0.11	1.15	0.93	0.27	0.50	
Winners	1.69	1.17	0.00 *	1.14	1.81	1.21	0.00 *	1.15	1.74	1.32	0.00 *	0.93	
Volley winners	1.02	0.62	0.00 *	1.26	0.91	0.68	0.00 *	0.86	0.88	0.67	0.09	0.94	

Table 3. Cont.

Note: M = Mean; * = p < 0.05: d = effect size.

4. Discussion

The aim of this study was to analyse different performance stroke indicators in a large sample of matches (four World Padel Tour seasons) and their influence on match outcomes regarding sex, tournament round, and set number. This study highlighted the differences between winners and losers in some performance indicators for players. Several investigations have analysed these parameters, but mainly in the male category and not in a large sample of matches and tournaments [23,36]. This is also the first study to classify these performance variables according to tournament round and set number. The main results showed that the winning pairs demonstrated a significantly higher number of break points won, break points, smash winners, smashes, winners, and volley winners, and a significantly lower number of errors in both men and women; these results are similar to those of other studies that analysed the strokes that are most used to win a padel match [23]. Considering that smash and volley shots are performed in offensive positions, these results confirm the data already provided by similar studies, which suggest that winning players perform a significantly higher percentage of shots in positions close to the net [8,18]. More specifically, winning players performed more attack strokes in 85% of the points in a padel match [37], and the winning shots were performed with flat and topspin smashes [25].

One of the main contributions of this study is that winning pairs showed a significantly higher number of break points played and break points won, confirming that padel players must be effective when they are returning and trying to move to the offensive position during the first shots of the rally [18]. An investigation of padel has illustrated the advantage of serving by comparing points won by servers and receiving players after different numbers of shots within rallies, which lasted until shot 7 in women and shot 12 in men [19]. Therefore, coaches should consider designing exercises during training seasons including the return of serves to the server and defensive shots, such as deep lobs to the corners of the court [7,38,39], as well as strategies to win the point when players are not serving [40]. Interestingly, our findings showed a significantly lower number of errors for winning pairs, in both the male and female categories. Similar results were reported by previous studies that quantified the number of errors in professional male players, indicating that 40% of the errors are made during the first 4 s of the rally [6]. Decision-making might account for these differences by a winning players' shot selection when hitting the ball, varying directions, and height and enhancing scoring options [8,25]. Considering these results, it seems that an effective game style when players are in the return-of-serve situation will increase the chance of executing more break points and less errors, so the possibilities to win the padel match in male and female categories would rise.

In regard to the tournament round and set number, all performance variables, except smashes, showed statistical differences between winning and loser pairs in the three rounds and sets analysed, for both male and female players. However, the differences in stroke effectiveness and break point variables between winning and losing pairs decreased during the tournament (from the quarter-finals to the finals), especially in the female category, and during the match (from the first to third set). These data confirm that there is a significantly increased equality of the scores in the last rounds of the tournament, and when the players play a third set in a match. This is remarkable considering that 30% of the matches in professional padel are decided in a third set [41]. Thus, the results of this investigation imply special attention should be paid to conditioning sessions to incorporate decider sets and matches to better replicate game-like conditions, as it is well-understood that high cardiorespiratory capacity and muscular endurance in players delays fatigue and aids in recovery [42].

The current study adds novel insights into notational analysis in padel, considering, for the first time, a large sample of tournaments and seasons. However, some limitations to the study should be noted. First, the number of performance indicators analysed is low. Future research should consider studying other variables such as court zones, shot directions, or players' hand-dominance and game side, due to their relation to padel match outcomes [8,24,25]. Second, we analysed only three tournament rounds; future studies should consider including qualifying rounds. Finally, although these data constitute a useful guide for training designs and injury prevention programs, notational analysis studies should explore game dynamics in padel through shot-by-shot analysis.

5. Conclusions

This study presented new contributions on performance indicators in professional padel. Shot effectiveness seemed to be a key factor in professional padel that distinguished between winning and losing players. The data showed that winning pairs demonstrated a significantly higher number of break points won, total break points, smash winners, total smashes, total winners, volley winners, and a significantly lower number of errors, in both men and women. Moreover, the match equality increased during the tournament (from the quarter-finals to the finals), and during the match (from the first to third set). This knowledge may have implications in the design of appropriate game strategies and specific training sessions to improve performance and to prevent sport injuries. The findings of this study suggest that coaches should consider training volleys and smash winners, returns of serve, and defensive shots such as lobs to enhance winning options. As a practical application, sex differences should be considered when coaches prescribe sessions based on stroke volume, due to women performing more volleys and men more smashes.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/10 .3390/ijerph18094904/s1. Table S1: links to each video.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.E.-T., B.J.S.-A., and S.J.I.; methodology, A.E.-T., B.J.S.-A. and S.J.I.; formal analysis, A.E.-T., J.G.-R., and S.J.I.; investigation, A.E.-T., J.G.-R. and S.J.I.; data collection, A.E.-T.; writing—original draft preparation, A.E.-T.; writing—review and editing, B.J.S.-A., J.G.-R., and S.J.I.; funding acquisition, S.J.I. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was partially subsidized by the Aid to Research Groups (GR18170) from the Regional Government of Extremadura (Department of Economy and Infrastructure), with the contribution of the European Union through FEDER.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Ethics Committee of University of Extremadura (67/2017).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Sánchez-Alcaraz, B.J. History of padel [Historia del pádel]. Mater. Hist. Deport. 2013, 11, 57–60.
- 2. International Padel Federation. Rules of Padel; FIP: Lausanne, Switzerland, 2020.
- Courel-Ibáñez, J.; Sánchez-Alcaraz, B.J.; García, S.; Echegaray, M. Evolution of padel in spain according to practitioners' gender and age [Evolución del pádel en España en función del género y edad de los practicantes]. *Cult. Cienc. Deport.* 2017, 12, 39–46. [CrossRef]

- 4. International Padel Federation. List of IPF Associated Countries; FIP: Lausanne, Switzerland, 2020.
- 5. Courel-Ibáñez, J.; Sánchez-Alcaraz, B.J.; Muñoz, D.; Grijota, F.J.; Chaparro, R.; Díaz, J. Gender reasons for practicing paddle tennis [Motivos de género para la práctica de pádel]. *Apunt. Educ. Fis. Deport.* **2018**, *133*, 116–125. [CrossRef]
- Courel-Ibáñez, J.; Sánchez-Alcaraz, B.J.; Cañas, J. Game performance and length of rally in professional padel player. J. Hum. Kinet. 2017, 55, 161–169. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sánchez-Alcaraz, B.J.; Courel-Ibáñez, J.; Cañas, J. Temporal structure, court movements and game actions in padel: A systematic review [Estructura temporal, movimientos en pista y acciones de juego en pádel: Revisión sistemática]. *Retos Nuevas Tend. Deport. Educ. Física Recreación* 2018, 33, 221–225.
- 8. Courel-Ibáñez, J.; Sánchez-Alcaraz, B.J.; Muñoz, D. Exploring game dynamics in padel: Implications for assessment and training. *J. Strength Cond. Res.* **2019**, *33*, 1971–1977. [CrossRef]
- 9. García-Benítez, S.; Courel-Ibáñez, J.; Pérez-Bilbao, T.; Felipe, J.L. Game responses during young padel match play: Age and sex comparisons. *J. Strength Cond. Res.* 2018, *32*, 1144–1149. [CrossRef]
- Sañudo, B.; De Hoyo, M.; Carrasco, L. Structural characteristics and physiological demands of the paddle competition [Demandas fisiológicas y características estructurales de la competición en pádel masculino]. *Apunt. Educ. Física Deportes* 2008, 94, 23–28.
- 11. Courel-Ibáñez, J.; Sánchez-Alcaraz, B.J. Effect of situational variables on points in elite padel players [Efecto de las variables situacionales sobre los puntos en jugadores de pádel de élite]. *Apunt. Educ. Física Deportes* **2017**, *127*, 68–74. [CrossRef]
- Priego Quesada, J.I.; Olaso Melis, J.; Llana Belloch, S.; Pérez Soriano, P.; González García, J.C.; Sanchís Almenara, M. Padel: A quantitative study of the shots and movements in the high-performance. J. Hum. Sport Exerc. 2013, 8, 925–931. [CrossRef]
- 13. Ramón-Llín, J.; Guzmán, J.; Llana, S.; Vuckovic, G.; Muñoz, D.; Sánchez-Alcaraz Martínez, B.J. Analysis of distance covered in padel based on level of play and number of points per match. *Retos* 2021. [CrossRef]
- 14. Ramón-Llin, J.; Guzmán, J.F.; Belloch, S.L.; Vučković, G.; James, N. Comparison of distance covered in paddle in the serve team according to performance level. *J. Hum. Sport Exerc.* 2013, *8*, 738–742. [CrossRef]
- 15. Díaz, J.; Muñoz, D.; Muñoz, J.; Ibañez, S.J. Design and validation of an observational instrument for final actions in padel. *Rev. Int. Med. Cienc. Act. Física Deporte* **2021**, *21*, 197–210.
- 16. Fernández de Ossó, A.; Leon, J.A. Technical and tactical assessment tool for padel. *Rev. Int. Med. Cienc. Act. Física Deporte* **2017**, 17, 693–714.
- 17. Muñoz, D.; Sánchez-Alcaraz, B.J.; Courel-Ibáñez, J.; Diaz, J.; Julian, A.; Munoz, J. Differences in winning the net zone in padel between professional and advance players. J. Sport Health Res. 2017, 9, 223–231.
- Courel-Ibáñez, J.; Sánchez-Alcaraz, J.B.; Cañas, J. Effectiveness at the net as a predictor of final match outcome in professional padel players. *Int. J. Perform. Anal. Sport* 2015, 15, 632–640. [CrossRef]
- Sánchez-Alcaraz, B.J.; Muñoz, D.; Pradas, F.; Ramón-Llin, J.; Cañas, J.; Sánchez-Pay, A. Analysis of Serve and Serve-Return Strategies in Elite Male and Female Padel. *Appl. Sci.* 2020, 10, 6693. [CrossRef]
- 20. McGarry, T.; O'Donoghue, P.; Sampaio, J. Routledge Handbook of Sports Performance Analysis; Routledge: London, UK, 2013.
- 21. Carrasco, L.; Romero, S.; Sañudo, B.; de Hoyo, M. Game analysis and energy requirements of paddle tennis competition. *Sci. Sports* **2011**, *26*, 338–344. [CrossRef]
- 22. García-Benítez, S.; Pérez-Bilbao, T.; Echegaray, M.; Felipe, J.L. The influence of gender on temporal structure and match activity patterns of professional padel tournaments. *Cult. Cienc. Deport.* **2016**, *33*, 241–247. [CrossRef]
- 23. Ramón-llin, J.; Guzmán, J.; Martínez-Gallego, R.; Muñoz, D.; Sánchez-Pay, A.; Sánchez-Alcaraz, B.J. Stroke Analysis in Padel According to Match Outcome and Game Side on Court. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2020**, *17*, 7838. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Courel-Ibáñez, J.; Sánchez-Alcaraz, B.J. The role of hand dominance in padel: Performance profiles of professional players. *Motricidade* **2018**, *14*, 33–41. [CrossRef]
- 25. Sánchez-Alcaraz, B.J.; Perez-Puche, D.T.; Pradas, F.; Ramón-Llin, J.; Sánchez-Pay, A.; Muñoz, D. Analysis of Performance Parameters of the Smash in Male and Female Professional Padel. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2020**, *17*, 7027. [CrossRef]
- Sánchez-Alcaraz, B.J. Game actions and temporal structure diferences between male and female professional paddle players [Diferencias en las acciones de juego y la estructura temporal entre el pádel masculino y femenino profesional]. Acción Mot. 2014, 12, 17–22.
- 27. Torres-Luque, G.; Ramirez, A.; Cabello-Manrique, D.; Nikolaidis, P.T.; Alvero-Cruz, J.R. Match analysis of elite players during paddle tennis competition. *Int. J. Perform. Anal. Sport* 2015, *15*, 1135–1144. [CrossRef]
- Lupo, C.; Condello, G.; Courel-Ibáñez, J.; Gallo, C.; Conte, D.; Tessitore, A. Effect of gender and match outcome on professional padel competition. *Ricyde Rev. Int. Ciencias Deport.* 2018, 14, 29–41. [CrossRef]
- 29. Gabin, B.; Camerino, O.; Anguera, M.T.; Castañer, M. Lince: Multiplatform Sport Analysis Software. *Procedia Soc. Behav. Sci.* 2012, 46, 4692–4694. [CrossRef]
- 30. Randolph, J.J. Free-marginal multirater kappa: An alternative to Fleiss' fixed-marginal multirater kappa. In Proceedings of the Joensuu University Learning and Instruction Symposium, Joensuu, Finland, 14–15 October 2005.
- 31. Robinson, G.; O'Donoghue, P.G. A weighted kappa statistic for reliability testing in performance analysis of sport. *Int. J. Perform. Anal. Sport* **2007**, *7*, 12–19. [CrossRef]
- 32. Altman, D.G. Practical Statistics for Medical Research; Chapman and Hall: London, UK, 1991.
- 33. Hughes, M.D.; Barnett, T. What is performance analysis? In *Basics of Performance Analysis*; Hughes, M.D., Ed.; Centre for Performance Analysis, UWIC: Cardiff, UK, 2007.

- 34. Cohen, J. Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioural Science. In *Statistical Power Anaylsis for the Behavioral Sciences*, 2nd ed.; Lawrence Erlbaum: Hillsdale, MI, USA, 1988; ISBN 0805802835.
- 35. Cohen, J. Quantitative methods in psychology: A power primer. Psychol. Bull. 1992, 112, 155–159. [CrossRef]
- 36. Sánchez-Alcaraz, B.J.; Courel-Ibáñez, J.; Muñoz, D.; Infantes-Córdoba, P.; de Zumarán, F.S.; Sánchez-Pay, A. Análisis de las acciones de ataque en el pádel masculino profesional. *Apunts* **2020**, 29–34. [CrossRef]
- 37. Sánchez-Alcaraz, B.J.; Courel-Ibáñez, J.; Muñoz, D.; Infantes, P.; Sáez de Zuramán, F.; Sánchez-Pay, A. Analysis of the attack actions in professional padel. *Apunt. Educ. Física Deportes* **2020**, in press.
- 38. Escudero-Tena, A.; Fernández-Cortes, J.; García-Rubio, J.; Ibáñez, S.J. Use and efficacy of the lob to achieve the offensive position in women's professional padel. Analysis of the 2018 wpt finals. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2020**, *17*, 4061. [CrossRef]
- Muñoz, D.; Courel-Ibáñez, J.; Sánchez-Alcaraz, B.J.; Díaz, J.; Grijota, F.J.; Munoz, J. Analysis of the use and effectiveness of lobs to recover the net in the context of padel [Análisis del uso y eficacia del globo para recuperar la red en función del contexto de juego en pádel]. *Retos Nuevas Tend. Deport. Educ. Física Recreación* 2017, 31, 19–22.
- Ramón-Llin, J.; Guzmán, J.F.; Llana, S.; Martínez-Gallego, R.; James, N.; Vučković, G. The effect of the return of serve on the server pair's movement parameters and rally outcome in padel using cluster analysis. *Front. Psychol.* 2019, 10, 1–8. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 41. Sánchez-Alcaraz Martínez, B.J.; Siquier-Coll, J.; Toro-Román, V.; Sánchez-Pay, A.; Muñoz, D. Analysis of the parameters related to score in world padel tour 2019: Differences by gender, round and tournament type. *Retos* 2021. [CrossRef]
- 42. Courel-Ibáñez, J.; Herrera-Gálvez, J.J. Fitness testing in padel: Performance differences according to players' competitive level. *Sci. Sports* **2020**, *35*, e11–e19. [CrossRef]