# iScience



## Article

## YOLOX-DG robotic detection systems for largescale underwater concrete structures



Chenjie Zhang, Hongkuan Ma, Zhaochang Chen, ..., Hui Huang, Ronghua Zhu, Pengcheng Jiao

pjiao@zju.edu.cn

#### Highlights

Manta ray-inspired chemical reactionpropeller dual-drive underwater

Real-site testing for underwater structures monitoring in the East China Sea

Fully open-source concrete damage datasets and YOLOX-DG model

Zhang et al., iScience 27, 109337 April 19, 2024 © 2024 The Author(s). https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.isci.2024.109337

## **iScience**



## Article YOLOX-DG robotic detection systems for large-scale underwater concrete structures

Chenjie Zhang,<sup>1,4</sup> Hongkuan Ma,<sup>1,4</sup> Zhaochang Chen,<sup>1</sup> Shengquan Li,<sup>1,3</sup> Zhongze Ma,<sup>1</sup> Hui Huang,<sup>1,3</sup> Ronghua Zhu,<sup>1</sup> and Pengcheng Jiao<sup>1,2,3,5,\*</sup>

#### **SUMMARY**

Large-scale complex underwater concrete structures have structural damage and the traditional damage detection method mostly uses manual identification, which is inaccurate and inefficient. Therefore, robotic detection systems have been proposed to replace manual identification for underwater concrete structures in ocean engineering. However, the highly corrosive and disruptive environment of the ocean poses great difficulties for the application. Here, we develop a manta ray-inspired underwater robot with well control-lability to establish the damage datasets of underwater concrete structures, proposing the YOLOX-DG algorithm to improve the damage detection accuracy, and integrating the model into the robotic detection systems for underwater concrete damages. Eventually, the system is used for ocean testing in real applications (i.e., underwater marine harbors around the East China Sea), and satisfactory detection performance is obtained. The reported manta ray-inspired robotic detection system can be used to accurately monitor and analyze the underwater regions.

#### INTRODUCTION

Assessing and maintaining safety and stability has become increasingly crucial for large-scale underwater concrete structures.<sup>1–5</sup> Given the limitation of manual inspection methods in highly relying on visual inspection, subjective judgment, and personal experience of inspectors, various robotic systems have been developed for automatic damage detection in recent years.<sup>6</sup> Underwater robotic detection systems mainly consist of two functional components, including underwater robots and detection technologies. Compared to traditional methods, robotic damage detection systems offer higher efficiency and accuracy with less fewer risk factors (see Section S1). More importantly, small robots can effectively approach the complex regions that are unreachable for manual inspection, especially for large-scale complex underwater concrete structures.<sup>7</sup>

Detection technologies in underwater robotic systems mainly include machine vision<sup>8–11</sup> and laser scanning.<sup>12–15</sup> The former assesses structural damages by analyzing monitoring images, and the latter uses lasers to measure the shape and size of structural surfaces. Machine vision, such as pattern recognition (PR), excels at detecting changes in color, shape, and texture. It has the capability to capture images from various angles and is flexible in detecting structures with complex shapes or obstacles. On the contrary, laser scanning needs to be tailored to detect complex structures as the laser may have difficulty reaching the target surface. With its advantages in accuracy and convenience, different machine learning (ML) algorithms have been developed for PR of the concrete detection images.<sup>16,17</sup> Currently, however, the existing ML algorithms still face the challenges of high computing cost and inadequacy in implementing edge computing.<sup>18–21</sup> The variety of concrete damages in the existing datasets is low, while the quality of the images used for model training needs to be improved.<sup>22</sup> In addition, the post-processing of the existing monitoring systems for underwater concrete structures is inadequate, which critically affects the repairing resolutions after identifying those damages.<sup>7</sup>

Here, we report the robotic detection systems inspired by manta ray for large-scale complex underwater concrete structures, which include the biomimetic robotic systems (Figure 1A) and concrete detection model (Figure 1B). The manta ray-inspired robots are developed to flexibly adjust postures<sup>23-26</sup> in the underwater environments such as the bottom of marine harbors to monitor structural damages. Since sediment and water plants around harbors may entangle the underwater devices, the manta ray-inspired robots are designed with the transient driving method (TDM)<sup>27-33</sup> component for reaching or getting rid of the monitoring regions by a sudden activation with high acceleration (i.e., within 0.01 s) (see Video S1). To minimize the influence of ocean waves on stability, the robots are designed with the self-adjusting balance function (see Videos S2 and S5). To develop the concrete detection model, we establish the concrete target detection datasets, dividing them into the four damage types of cracks, spalling, exposed reinforcement, and corrosion, collecting detection images accordingly, and marking the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Ocean College, Zhejiang University, Zhoushan, Zhejiang 316021, China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Engineering Research Center of Oceanic Sensing Technology and Equipment, Zhejiang University, Ministry of Education, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Hainan Institute of Zhejiang University, Sanya, Hainan 572025, China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>These authors contributed equally

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Lead contact

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence: pjiao@zju.edu.cn

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2024.109337





#### Figure 1. Design principle of the robot and mechanism of the prediction system

(A) Illustration of the robotics and intelligent recognition system, the manta ray-inspired fast response robot swims into the bottom of the harbor to capture concrete structural damages. (i), The robot mimics manta ray in appearance for well controllability and is equipped with propeller system. (ii), The ejection system of the robot enables the robot to rapidly avoid obstacles and start-up.

(B) The illustration of the YOLOX-DG algorithm used in the detection system, including four steps Input, Data augmentation, Iteration process, and GIoU loss.

damage regions. The datasets have good annotation quality with good algorithm testing performance. Next, we expand the YOLOX<sup>34</sup> algorithm to YOLOX-DG by enhancing the data augmentation and modifying loss function for underwater concrete structures with well damage detection performance, e.g., 4.5% for mAP<sub>0.5:0.95</sub>. is improved on the original basis. Eventually, the robotic detection systems have been applied for large-scale complex underwater concrete structures in real applications. Ocean testing has been conducted on the underwater marine harbors around Gouqi Island in the East China Sea with satisfactory detection performance. The reported manta ray-inspired robotic detection systems can be used to accurately monitor and analyze the underwater regions that are unreachable for typical underwater robots in complex underwater scenarios.

#### RESULTS

#### **Robotic systems**

The robotic detection system proposed in this paper consists of both hardware and software components. First, we describe the fabrication process of the robot and its components. The fabrication of the manta ray-inspired robot can be divided into three steps, including the





#### Figure 2. Fabrication of the manta ray-inspired fast-response robot

(A) Manufacturing process of the robot, including soft membrane, chamber and manta ray-inspired robot shell.

(B) The working schematic of the robot detection systems.

(C) Overall prototype and the details of the 3D printed robot shell size.

ejection, propulsion, and biomimetic body shell, as shown in Figure 2. Figure 2A demonstrates the fabrication process of the ejection actuator, chamber, and 3D-printed body shell. The soft membrane in the ejection actuator was made of silicon rubber. We poured the silicone gel A and B into the beaker in equal amounts and fully mixed. The mixed silicone gel was poured into a mold and cured at the room temperature for 8 h. The steel chamber was manufactured by the selective laser melting (SLM) technology, and the 3D printed manta ray-inspired body shell was made by the resin materials with the density close to water. Figure 2B presents the working schematic of the robotic detection systems. Smart phone was used to manipulate the robot's movements through a Wi-Fi station. For example, when the robots needed to move fast for obstacle avoidance, the microcontroller unit (MCU) sent the control signal to the explosion module to actuate the ejection system (see Figures S1 and S2, and Tables S1–S3). The manta ray-inspired robots can adjust their attitude and position using the balance sensors and propellers. The camera on the head of the robots can capture the structural damage of the harbor and send video signals to the MCU for damage analysis. It is worth mentioning that we designed two powerful fill lights to address the issue of dim underwater light and murky water (see Video S6). Figure 2C shows the overall prototype and details of the 3D-printed manta ray-inspired robots. The length, width, and height are 54, 42, and 27 cm, and the cable is used to connect the robot and the Wi-Fi station.

#### **Setup of datasets**

Deep learning (DL)-based computer vision techniques have the potential to extract and analyze an enormous volume of visual data generated from underwater robotic systems. However, datasets play a key role in training the DL models for accurately detecting and analyzing a diverse array of damage types. Therefore, it is imperative to stimulate the creation of more comprehensive and diverse annotated datasets that reflect the wide array of damage types and conditions on underwater concrete structures. In this study, we widely collect the relevant monitoring images to build high-quality datasets, including the three sources of concrete damage images taken from the underwater concrete structures located in Gouqi Island in the East China Sea, the concrete damage images collected online, and certain unmarked concrete images selected in CODEBRIM.<sup>35</sup> The concrete images are divided into four damage types, i.e., crack, spalling, exposed reinforcement, and corrosion.

Figure 3A shows the sample images and labels of the four damage types in the dataset. Annotation of the images has been manually achieved using the LabelImage tool for target detection.<sup>36</sup> The quality of the datasets determines the upper limit of the algorithm model, so to facilitate the subsequent optimization of the dataset and annotation methods, we make a statistical analysis of 3917 sample images





#### Figure 3. The Dataset of the prediction model

(A) Sample damage pictures with labels, including crack, spalling, exposed reinforcement, and corrosion.

(B) Distribution of the labeled areas.

(C) Size of the labeled areas.

and 6773 annotations of the datasets. Figure 3B shows the distribution of the labeled areas, where most of the labeled areas are located in the center of the images, and the rest of the labeled areas are evenly distributed in the corners and boundaries. Figure 3C shows that most sample points are densely distributed near the origin of the coordinate axis in terms of the size of the labeled areas, which indicates that the labeled areas of these datasets are relatively small. It can be seen that the datasets have certain advantages in small target monitoring. However, there are also a few marked areas where the width or height has reached 1 after normalization, indicating that their marks are across the entire image. Combined with the marking situation, they are considered as the crack targets. For target detection models, the images may have a negative impact on training and it is difficult to identify them during recognition, which affects the accuracy rate. Considering that there may be such cracks across the whole picture in actual working environments, we still choose to retain the labeled samples of these cracks. Concrete damages are usually found in a variety of shapes and sizes, and therefore, defects of different shapes and sizes need to be classified at the pixel level in the semantic segmentation algorithms, which brings in huge computational costs. Therefore, target detection algorithms are more efficient and suitable for mobile deployment, which is in line with the reported robotic detection systems for underwater concrete structures.

#### Model training and training results

Data augmentation is a commonly used method to boost the performance of a detector and reduce the risk of overfitting. Figures 4A–4D show the four types of data augmentation techniques applied in the training pipeline, namely photometric distortion, geometric distortion, mixup,<sup>37</sup> and mosaic. Photometric distortion is adopted to reduce the model's sensitivity to changes in illumination conditions in different scenes. Due to the changing positional relationship between the camera system and the damaged surface in practical applications, the perspective and scale of captured images can vary greatly. Geometric distortion is used to simulate this effect. Mixup and mosaic augmentation algorithms, which have been proved effective in YOLOv4<sup>38</sup> and YOLOv5,<sup>39</sup> are leveraged to improve the model's capability of detecting objects in complex backgrounds. Experiments have shown that these data augmentation strategies can significantly improve the model's performance.

To improve the convergence speed of the training loss and achieve more accurate prediction, we consider the generalized intersection over union (IoU) (GIoU) loss<sup>40</sup> to replace the original IoU loss in the model. The calculation of GIoU loss with two arbitrary shapes A, B is given as







Figure 4. Data augmentation techniques and training results

- (A) Photometric distortion.
- (B) Geometric distortion.
- (C) Mixup.
- (D) Mosaic.
- (E) Ensembled results.
- (F) Change in  $Map_{0.5}$  in three experiments including YOLOX, YOLOX-D, and YOLOX-DG.

(G) Change in  $mAP_{0.5:0.95}$  in three experiments.











Figure 5. Prediction results of the YOLOX-DG model and postprocessing

(A) Normalized Confusion Matrix.

(B) Precision-Recall (P-R) Curve.

(C) The upper row shows the original pictures randomly selected in the datasets and the lower row shows the identification results with annotation using the YOLOX-DG model.

(D) Flowchart of the software, including the target detection and damage evaluation.

(E) Calculation of R<sub>ij</sub> in the multi-attribute decision algorithm for auxiliary assessment of damage degree.

(F) Curve of the sigmoid function.

(G) Curves of t<sub>i</sub>-R<sub>if</sub>.

 $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{S} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{Equation 1}$ 

and the smallest enclosing convex object C given A and B is

 $C \subseteq \mathbb{S} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  (Equation 2)

IoU and GIoU can be calculated as

$$IoU = \frac{|A \cap B|}{|A \cup B|}$$
(Equation 3)

and

$$GloU = IoU - \frac{|C \setminus |A \cup B||}{|C|}, \qquad (Equation 4)$$

and therefore, IoU loss and GIoU loss are

$$L_{IoU} = 1 - IoU, L_{GIoU} = 1 - GIoU.$$
 (Equation 5)

In YOLOX, bounding box regression is used to predict the target objects' location using rectangular bounding boxes. Bounding box regression uses the overlap area between the predicted bounding box and the ground truth bounding box referred to as IoU loss. IoU loss, however, will not provide any moving gradient for non-overlapping cases. Instead, GIoU loss maximizes the overlap area of the ground truth and predicted bounding box, which increases the predicted box's size to overlap with the target box by moving slowly toward the target box for non-overlapping cases. With the introduced GIoU loss function, the test performance of the model witnessed a considerable enhancement.

Three sets of comparison experiments are conducted, including the plain YOLOX model, the YOLOX model using the data augmentation strategy (YOLOX-D), and the YOLOX model using the data augmentation strategy as well as the GIoU loss function (YOLOX-DG) (see Figure S4 and Section S4 and S5). Figures 4F and 4G show the changes of mAP in the three experiments. After 80 epochs of training, the mAP curves tend to smooth out and perform overfitting, and therefore, we only consider the results of 80 rounds in training, which can represent the upper limit of the model. The results show that the YOLOX-D model, though slower in convergence speed, has a better convergence result compared with the plain YOLOX model. The final mAP of the YOLOX-D model is 57.0 for mAP<sub>0.50.95</sub> and 76.0 for mAP<sub>0.5</sub>, which is significantly better than that of the plain YOLOX model (i.e., 56.0 for mAP<sub>0.50.95</sub> and 73.7 for mAP<sub>0.5</sub>). In addition, the YOLOX-DG model outperforms the YOLOX-D model, which obtains 58.5 for mAP<sub>0.50.95</sub> and 78.0 for mAP<sub>0.50.95</sub>. The results show that we have improved the performance of the detector on the concrete damage datasets by 2.6% for mAP<sub>0.5</sub> and 4.5% for mAP<sub>0.50.95</sub>.

#### Prediction results and postprocessing

Figure 5A displays the confusion matrix of the YOLOX-DG model, where no confusion among the four damage types is witnessed. The YOLOX-DG model performs the best on crack in the four kinds of damage identifications. On the contrary, spalling, exposed reinforcement and corrosion are all confused with the unlabeled backgrounds, including situations that damage areas being identified as background and the background being identified as damage areas. Figure 5B shows the P-R curve of the YOLOX-DG model, indicating that the model reaches a high level of precision. When IoU grows from 0.5 to 0.9 at a rate of 0.05 per step, it is found that the intersection of the P-R curve with the recall axis shifts to left at a gentle rate, and then steeply decline when IoU grows from 0.85 to 0.9. When the confidence threshold is very low, a large number of prediction boxes are generated by the model, leading the recall metrics to their maximum. When the IoU requirement grows to 0.9, large amounts of prediction boxes are no longer able to meet the IoU requirement. Two factors may cause this phenomenon, poor quality of annotation (i.e., inaccurate ground truth boxes boundaries), and limitation of the target detection model. After checking and re-testing the dataset annotations, it is found that the first possibility and the upper limit of YOLOX model accuracy is between IoU = 0.85–0.9.

We performed a 5-fold cross validation and the results (see Figure S6) show that the randomness of dividing the dataset does not have a significant impact on the results and the model has a good generalization performance. In addition, we compared YOLOX-DG with YOLO v5, Faster-RCNN and other well-known target monitoring algorithms, and YOLOX-DG has the best performance (see Figure S5). Prediction results of the model are shown in Figure 5C, where the upper row shows the original images randomly selected in the datasets and the lower row displays the identification results with annotation using the YOLOX-DG model.



Table 1. Weight coefficients of the four types of damages on underwater concrete structures					
Damage type	Crack	Spalling	Exp. reinf.	Corrosion	
t <sub>i0</sub>	0.1	0.3	0.5	0.7	

Apart from providing the damage prediction boxes, here we provide an additional comprehensive damage assessment algorithm to assist in judging the extent of concrete damage. Figure 5D displays the software system for underwater concrete detection (see Table S4), including the target detection algorithm (i.e., YOLOX-DG) and damage evaluation algorithm (i.e., multi-attribute decision). When the damage prediction boxes are obtained from the YOLOX-DG model, concrete damages in the images may still not be obvious, especially when the damages are serious. In addition, the prediction boxes in some regions may overlap each other, which results in the difficulty in distinguishing the damages. As a consequence, we developed the multi-attribute decision model to automatically calculate the image damage scores to provide assistance for further evaluation. Figure 5E shows that length and area can be used to calculate the proportion of the frame occupied by different types of damaged prediction boxes, i.e., *R<sub>ij</sub>*. Different damage types have different impacts on structural safety, and different areas of damage in the robot's line of sight area have different impacts on structural safety, we introduce a factor *t<sub>i</sub>* to measure that impact. So the damage score of a particular moment can be calculated as

Damage score = 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{4} \sum_{j=1}^{4} t_i R_{ij}$$
. (Equation 6)

In Equation 6, *i* from 1 to 4 denotes the four damage types of crack, spalling, exposed reinforcement, and corrosion, and *ij* denotes the *j*<sup>th</sup> damage prediction box for the *i*<sup>th</sup> damage in the images. Since the four kinds of damages often appear in different periods during the service of the underwater concrete structures, it is necessary to limit  $t_i$  within a range, so that the initial value  $t_{i0}$  is distinguished, and the final value converges to the upper threshold.

Figure 5F presents the sigmoid function. The sigmoid function compresses any input value between 0 and 1 and is commonly used for data normalization. The output can be mapped to a range of probability values by

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}}.$$
 (Equation 7)

Equation 7 is used to normalize  $t_i$  through the coordinate transformation, as shown in Figure 5G. Since the sequence of the four kinds of damage indirectly reflects the service time and damage degree of the underwater concrete structures, we assign different initial values to  $t_i$  that eventually converge to 1 by increasing  $R_{ij}$ . The expression for  $t_i$  is given as

$$t_i = \frac{2(1 - t_{i0})}{1 + exp(-3R_{ij})} - (1 - 2t_{i0}).$$
 (Equation 8)

The values of  $t_{i0}$  is shown in Table 1 based on the expert rating. According to the time sequence of concrete damage development, we determined the severity of four kinds of damage. Corrosion has the highest weight, because it is a relatively serious and dangerous concrete damage, and crack, as a common mild concrete damage, has the lowest weight. The damage score is intended to be a part of the robotic systems to provide the auxiliary judgment basis.

#### **Ocean testing in the East China Sea**

Ocean testing was carried out on the reported manta ray-inspired robotic detection systems to monitor the damages of the underwater concrete structures (i.e., marine harbors) next to the Gouqi Island in the East China Sea. Figure 6A presents the location of the marine harbors in the ocean testing close to the Gouqi Island, which is located approximately 30 miles away from the Shanghai Shenjiawan Harbor. The concrete harbor next to the Gouqi Island has visible structural damages due to the ocean waves and tides, as shown in Figure 6A. To provide effective wave protection, the Gouqi Island Harbor is designed in the pile array structures; however, there are still severe wave erosions on the underwater regions of the harbor. The conventional structural monitoring equipment is unable to approach the harbor pile arrays. Therefore, it is essential for the developed manta ray-inspired underwater robots to reach these regions and monitor the structural damages on the pilearrays (see Video S3).

Figure 6B presents the entire robotic systems, including the ejection and robotic monitoring components. The robots are ejected from the fixture panel within 0.1 s to rapidly start for underwater motions. Next, the robots are controlled by the propellers to swim into the bottom of the wave-eroded pile arrays of the harbor. The robots swim straightly into the wave-eroded regions to monitor the structural health along the edge of the harbor, allowing for more comprehensive monitoring of structural damage. Figure 6C shows the results of the structural damages of the harbor monitored by the reported manta ray-inspired robots. We transmitted the monitoring images of damages to the damage detection system to recognize the four kinds of concrete damages and obtain damage scores accordingly. When the damage score exceeds the warning threshold, the robots return the coordinates for further repairing and treatment. Based on the damage images, it can be seen that the underwater concrete damages of the harbor are mainly caused by the wave and biological erosion (also see in Video S4). Note that mussels and water plants are also clearly observed in the monitoring images.







Figure 6. Ocean testing of the reported manta ray-inspired robotic detection systems

(A) Location of the ocean testing on the Gouqi Island Harbor in the East China Sea.

(B) Ejection and motion performance of the robots.

(C) Monitoring results on the underwater concrete structures and the damages analyzed by the detection systems. The detection system is able to recognize different types of structural damages, and mussel and kelp on the underwater concrete structures.

#### DISCUSSION

This work proposes a novel manta ray-inspired robotic detection system for large-scale underwater concrete structures which is unreachable for normal monitoring robot. In order to improve the flexibility and stability of the robot, we design a manta ray-like robot and the robot is capable of adjusting posture autonomously due to balance sensors in the robot. Taking advantage of the TDM, we constructed an ejection system which enables manta ray-inspired robot to rapidly get rid of obstacles and startup. In the software part of the manta ray-inspired robot detection system, we firstly establish a concrete damage target detection dataset, dividing into the four damage types of cracks, spalling, exposed reinforcement, and corrosion, collecting pictures and marking the damage areas. The dataset has good annotation quality with well algorithm testing performance. Next, we improved the performance of YOLOX algorithm in concrete damage target detection task in terms of the data augmentation and changing loss function. We used photometric distortion, geometric distortion, mixup and mosaic in data





augmentation and changed IoU loss to GIoU loss, forming YOLOX-DG model. The new model improved 2.6% for mAP<sub>0.5</sub> and 4.5% for mAP<sub>0.5:0.95</sub> on the original basis. Eventually, we have proposed a manta ray-inspired robotic detection system which are used for ocean testing in real applications (i.e., underwater marine harbors around the Gouqi Island in the East China Sea) to monitor concrete damages. The target detection model (i.e., YOLOX-DG) and damage evaluation model (i.e., multi-attribute decision) provides assistance for human evaluation. The reported manta ray-inspired robotic detection systems can be used to efficiently monitor and analyze underwater regions which are unreachable for normal monitoring robot.

#### Limitations of the study

Further optimization is still required for the proposed robotic system. The limitations of this study can be summarized as follows.

- (1) Although the addition of the self-balancing module improves the stability of the robot, the movement of the robot in the marine environment is still affected by waves, ocean currents, or even seaweed.
- (2) The robotic system is designed with a cable to provide reliable solutions to the technological issues of (1) energy limitation, (2) navigational instability, and (3) underwater communication challenge. However, the cable may affect the control and movement of the robot in reality.
- (3) Due to the lack of underwater concrete damage pictures, the number of high-quality pictures and damage types in the dataset can be further improved.

#### **STAR\*METHODS**

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

- KEY RESOURCES TABLE
- RESOURCE AVAILABILITY
  - O Lead contact
  - Materials availability
  - Data and code availability
- METHOD DETAILS
  - Sample selection and data processing
  - O Benchmark model
  - Performance metrics
  - O Robot design
  - O Motion controllability experiment
  - O Depth test
  - Ocean testing

#### SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental information can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2024.109337.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study is supported in part by the National Key R&D Program of China (2023YFC3008100) and the Science and Technology Project in the "Seed Breeding, Deep-sea and Aerospace Industries (DSTIC-SSPT-2022006)". P.J. acknowledges the Startup Fund of the Hundred Talents Program at the Zhejiang University, China.

#### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

C.Z.: methodology, software, validation, visualization, writing – original draft. H.M.: hardware designing, experiment, writing – original draft. Z.C.: hardware designing, experiment. S.L.: hardware designing, experiment. Z.M.: data curation, writing – original draft. H.H.: supervision, writing – review and editing. R.Z.: supervision, writing – review and editing. P.J.: conceptualization, resources, supervision, project administration, writing – review and editing.

#### **DECLARATION OF INTERESTS**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Received: November 26, 2023 Revised: January 17, 2024 Accepted: February 21, 2024 Published: February 28, 2024

#### REFERENCES

- Lynch, J.P. (2007). An overview of wireless structural health monitoring for civil structures. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. A 365, 345–372. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta. 2006.1932.
- He, Z., Li, W., Salehi, H., Zhang, H., Zhou, H., and Jiao, P. (2022). Integrated structural health monitoring in bridge engineering. Autom. Constr. 136, 104168. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.autcon.2022.104168.
- Xia, K., Liu, J., Li, W., Jiao, P., He, Z., Wei, Y., Qu, F., Xu, Z., Wang, L., Ren, X., et al. (2023). A self-powered bridge health monitoring system driven by elastic origami triboelectric nanogenerator. Nano Energy 105, 107974. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoen.2022. 107974.
- Jiao, P., Zhang, H., and Li, W. (2023). Origami tribo-metamaterials with mechanoelectrical multistability. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 15, 2873–2880. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami. 2c16681.
- Li, H.N., Ren, L., Jia, Z.G., Yi, T.H., and Li, D.S. (2016). State-of-the-art in structural health monitoring of large and complex civil infrastructures. J. Civil Struct. Health Monit. 6, 3–16. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13349-015-0108-9.
- Lattanzi, D., and Miller, G. (2017). Review of robotic infrastructure inspection systems.
   J. Infrastruct. Syst. 23, 04017004. https://doi. org/10.1061/(ASCE)IS.1943-555X.0000353.
- Jiao, P., Ye, X., Zhang, C., Li, W., and Wang, H. (2024). Vision-based real-time marine and offshore structural health monitoring system using underwater robots. Comput. Aided Civ. Infrastruct. Eng. 39, 281–299. https://doi. org/10.1111/mice.12993.
- Lattanzi, D., and Miller, G.R. (2014). Robust automated concrete damage detection algorithms for field applications. J. Comput. Civ. Eng. 28, 253–262. https://doi.org/10. 1061/(ASCE)CP.1943-5487.0000257.
- Ghosh Mondal, T., Jahanshahi, M.R., Wu, R.T., and Wu, Z.Y. (2020). Deep learningbased multi-class damage detection for autonomous post-disaster reconnaissance. Struct. Control Health Monit. 27, e2507. https://doi.org/10.1002/stc.2507.
- Azimi, M., Eslamlou, A.D., and Pekcan, G. (2020). Data-driven structural health monitoring and damage detection through deep learning: State-of-the-art review. Sensors 20, 2778. https://doi.org/10.3390/ s20102778.
- Guimaraes, M., and Lindberg, J. (2014, October). Remote controlled vehicle for inspection of vertical concrete structures. In Proceedings of the 2014 3rd International Conference on Applied Robotics for the Power Industry (IEEE), pp. 1–6. https://doi. org/10.1109/CARPI.2014.7030048.
- Trybała, P., Blachowski, J., Błażej, R., and Zimroz, R. (2020). Damage detection based on 3d point cloud data processing from laser scanning of conveyor belt surface. Remote Sens. 13, 55. https://doi.org/10.3390/ rs13010055.
- Chen, J., and Cho, Y.K. (2019, June). Detection of damaged infrastructure on disaster sites using mobile robots. In 2019 16th International Conference on Ubiquitous Robots (UR) (IEEE), pp. 648–653. https://doi. org/10.1109/URAI.2019.8768770.

- Guldur Erkal, B., and Hajjar, J.F. (2017). Laserbased surface damage detection and quantification using predicted surface properties. Autom. Constr. 83, 285–302. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2017. 08.004.
- Yamada, T., Ito, T., and Ohya, A. (2013, December). Detection of road surface damage using mobile robot equipped with 2D laser scanner. In Proceedings of the 2013 IEEE/SICE International Symposium on System Integration (IEEE), pp. 250–256. https://doi.org/10.1109/SII.2013.6776679.
- Zhang, C., Chang, C.C., and Jamshidi, M. (2020). Concrete bridge surface damage detection using a single-stage detector. Comput. Aided Civ. Infrastruct. Eng. 35, 389–409. https://doi.org/10.1111/mice. 12500.
- Li, X., Liu, H., Zhou, F., Chen, Z., Giannakis, I., and Slob, E. (2022). Deep learning-based nondestructive evaluation of reinforcement bars using ground-penetrating radar and electromagnetic induction data. Comput. Aided Civ. Infrastruct. Eng. 37, 1834–1853. https://doi.org/10.1111/mice.12798.
- Kheradmandi, N., and Mehranfar, V. (2022). A critical review and comparative study on image segmentation-based techniques for pavement crack detection. Constr. Build. Mater. 321, 126162. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. conbuildmat.2021.126162.
- Xu, J.J., Zhang, H., Tang, C.S., Cheng, Q., Liu, B., and Shi, B. (2022). Automatic soil desiccation crack recognition using deep learning. Geotech 72, 337–349. https://doi. org/10.1680/jgeot.20.P.091.
- Li, Y., Ma, J., Zhao, Z., and Shi, G. (2022). A Novel Approach for UAV Image Crack Detection. Sensors 22, 3305. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/s22093305.
- Kim, B., and Cho, S. (2020). Automated multiple concrete damage detection using instance segmentation deep learning model. Appl. Sci. 10, 8008. https://doi.org/10.3390/ app10228008.
- Haciefendioğlu, K., and Başağa, H.B. (2022). Concrete road crack detection using deep learning based faster R-CNN method. Iran. J. Sci. Technol. Trans. Civ. Eng. 46, 1621–1633. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40996-021-00671-2.
- Huang, H., Sheng, C., Wu, J., Wu, G., Zhou, C., and Wang, H. (2021). Hydrodynamic analysis and motion simulation of fin and propeller driven manta ray robot. Appl. Ocean Res. 108, 102528. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.apor.2021.102528.
- 1016/j.apor.2021.102528.
  24. Sun, Q., Wu, J., Sheng, C., Hu, S., Wang, Z., and Huang, H. (2023). Design and implementation of multi-level linkage mechanism bionic pectoral fin for manta ray robot. Ocean Eng 284, 115152. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.oceaneng.2023.115152.
- Sun, Y., Feng, H., Liang, X., Goh, A.J.Y., Qi, P., Li, M., Ang, M.H., Jr., and Yeow, R.C.H. (2022). Powerful 2D soft morphing actuator propels giant manta ray robot. Adv. Intell. Syst. 4, 2200186. https://doi.org/10.1002/aisy. 202200186.
- Zhou, C., and Low, K.H. (2011). Design and locomotion control of a biomimetic underwater vehicle with fin propulsion. IEEE ASME Trans. Mechatron. 17, 25–35. https:// doi.org/10.1109/TMECH.2011.2175004.

- Yang, Y., Hou, B., Chen, J., Wang, H., Jiao, P., and He, Z. (2020). High-speed soft actuators based on combustion-enabled transient driving method (TDM). Extreme Mech. Lett. 37, 100731. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eml. 2020.100731.
- Yang, Y., He, Z., Jiao, P., and Ren, H. (2024). Bioinspired Soft Robotics: How Do We Learn From Creatures? IEEE Rev. Biomed. Eng. 17, 153–165. https://doi.org/10.1109/RBME. 2022.3210015.
- Yang, Y., He, Z., Lin, G., Wang, H., and Jiao, P. (2022). Large deformation mechanics of the thrust performances generated by combustion-enabled soft actuators. Int. J. Mech. Sci. 229, 107513. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.ijmecsci.2022.107513.
- Yang, Y., Lou, Y., Lin, G., He, Z., and Jiao, P. (2022). Hydrodynamics of high-speed robots driven by the combustion-enabled transient driving method. J. Zhejiang Univ. - Sci. 23, 820–831. https://doi.org/10.1631/jzus. A2200331.
- He, Z., Yang, Y., Jiao, P., Wang, H., Lin, G., and Pähtz, T. (2023). Copebot: Underwater soft robot with copepod-like locomotion. Soft Robot. 10, 314–325. https://doi.org/10. 1089/soro.2021.0158.
- Yang, Y., and Jiao, P. (2023). Nanomaterials and nanotechnology for biomedical soft robots. Mater. Today Adv. 17, 100338. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mtadv.2022. 100338.
- Lin, G., Yang, Y., He, Z., and Jiao, P. (2022). Hydrodynamic optimization in highacceleration underwater motions using added-mass coefficient. Ocean Eng 263, 112274. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oceaneng. 2022.112274.
- Ge, Z., Liu, S., Wang, F., Li, Z., and Sun, J. (2021). Yolox: Exceeding yolo series in 2021. Preprint at arXiv. https://doi.org/10.48550/ arXiv.2107.08430.
- 35. Mundt, M., Majumder, S., Murali, S., Panetsos, P., and Ramesh, V. (2019). Metalearning convolutional neural architectures for multi-target concrete defect classification with the concrete defect bridge image dataset. In Proc. IEEE/CVF Conf. Comput. Vis. Pattern Recoanit., pp. 11196–11205.
- Pattern Recognit., pp. 11196–11205.
  36. Chen, X., Wu, G., Hou, S., Fan, J., Dang, J., and Chen, Z. (2019). Development of tactile imaging for underwater structural damage detection. Sensors 19, 3925. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/s19183925.
- Zhang, H., Cisse, M., Dauphin, Y.N., and Lopez-Paz, D. (2017). mixup: Beyond empirical risk minimization. Preprint at arXiv. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1710.09412.
- Bochkovskiy, A., Wang, C.Y., and Liao, H.Y.M. (2020). Yolov4: Optimal speed and accuracy of object detection. Preprint at arXiv. https:// doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2004.10934.
- Redmon, J., Divvala, S., Girshick, R., and Farhadi, A. (2016). You only look once: Unified, real-time object detection. In Proc. IEEE/CVF Conf. Comput. Vis. Pattern Recognit., pp. 779–788.
- N. Rezetofighi, H., Tsoi, N., Gwak, J., Sadeghian, A., Reid, I., and Savarese, S. (2019). Generalized intersection over union: A metric and a loss for bounding box regression. In Proc. IEEE/CVF Conf. Comput. Vis. Pattern Recognit., pp. 658–666.







#### **STAR\*METHODS**

#### **KEY RESOURCES TABLE**

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER		
Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins				
Silicone rubber	SMOOTH-ON	Dragon skin		
Photosensitive resin	eSUN	S200 Standard Resin		
Software and algorithms				
Python 3.8	Python Software Foundation	https://www.python.org/		
mmdetection 3.2.0	OpenMMLab	https://github.com/open-mmlab/mmdetection/tree/v3.2.0		
RTX3090ti (training)	Nvidia	24G		
Jetson nano (deploying)	Nvidia	4G		
Concrete damage target detection dataset	/	https://github.com/ChenjieZhang00/Concrete-damage-detection		

#### **RESOURCE AVAILABILITY**

#### Lead contact

Pengcheng Jiao (Email: pjiao@zju.edu.cn) takes responsibility for the Lead Contact role.

#### **Materials** availability

This study did not generate new unique reagents.

#### Data and code availability

- All data reported in this paper will be shared by the lead contact upon request.
- All original code has been deposited at https://github.com/ChenjieZhang00/Concrete-damage-detection and is publicly available.
- Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.

#### **METHOD DETAILS**

#### Sample selection and data processing

When collecting images of concrete damage in the field, we tried to find areas to photograph where the damage features were distinct, the edges were well-defined, and included in the damage-defining categories. In data processing, we do not perform any preprocessing on the original image and use the labelImage tool to label the area and type of damage.

#### **Benchmark model**

Unmanned concrete damage detection is accomplished by using small robots to patrol the target area, which requires both accuracy and computational efficiency of the algorithm. In this study, we choose the relatively lightweight YOLOX algorithm to ensure the recognition speed. The model structure of the YOLOX model is roughly divided into the three parts of backbone, neck and head. Backbone is used to extract the features of the input images (640×640 pixels of the RGB3 channel), and Darknet53 is used as the backbone network in the YOLOX model. The function of the neck part is to fuse the features extracted in the first part to extract deeper and more easily processed information. The neck of YOLOX uses feature pyramid networks (FPN) and path aggregation network (PAN), and the head is used to make the final prediction. The meaning of the first detection head tensor 85×20×20 is that the COCO dataset used by YOLOX contains 80 categories, plus 4 numbers representing the position information of the prediction box, plus a confidence level, forming 85 lines of output. The first detection head divides the 640×640 original image into 20×20 equal square grids for large target detection, while the corresponding 40 and 80 equal detection heads are used for medium and small target detection. Compared with the original YOLO series, the improvement of YOLOX mainly lies in the decoupled detection head, Anchor-Free and SimOTA. In contrast to coupled detection head, decoupled detection head has faster rate of convergence and higher accuracy. On the basis of ensuring the performance comparable to that of Anchor-Based, Anchor-Free greatly reduces the number of design parameters requiring heuristic optimization and many tricks related to good performance, and thus, greatly simplifying the training and decoding stages of detectors. SimOTA can reduce the training time of Sinkhorn-Knopp algorithm while avoiding extra solver hyperparameters. As one of the latest and most powerful target detection networks, 3917 is selected as the benchmark algorithm to train and develop the concrete damage identification model.





#### **Performance metrics**

In deep learning, performance metrix for different tasks is very different. However, for object detection tasks, in most cases, we use mAP to represent the performance of the algorithm on the task. In this paper, two indexes mAP<sub>0.5</sub> and mAP<sub>0.5:0.95</sub> are used as the performance metrix of the algorithm, which respectively represent the proportion of coincidence rate between the predicted box and the real box that is greater than or equal to 0.5 and the proportion of coincidence rate between 0.5 and 0.95.

#### **Robot design**

It is difficult for underwater robots to reach work area accurately and rapidly due to irregular waves. Therefore, the robot was designed to mimic manta ray (see Figure 1) for well locomotion performance in hovering and swimming (i.e., hydrodynamic characteristics) comparing with other underwater robots. And the driving principle and the fabrication of the robot has been shown in Section S2 and S3. The robot was equipped with two driving systems. The propeller driving system enables the manta ray-inspired fast response robot to move forward, backward, side-to-side, up and down. In addition, the manta ray-inspired robot driven by Transient Driving Method (TDM) is capable of instantaneous driving within 0.1 s for rapid obstacle avoidance and fast response start-up.

#### **Motion controllability experiment**

Underwater motion control of the manta ray-inspired robots is investigated in the lake testing, as shown in Figure S3. Figure S3A illustrates the forward and backward motions of the robots. The symmetrical propellers on both sides are used to control the forward and backward movements of the robots through the forward and reverse rotations. Figure S3B shows the left and right motions and Figure S3C demonstrates the ascend and descend motions. When the robots need to turn left, the right propeller starts working while the left stopping, and vice versa. When the robots need to descend or ascend, the middle propeller starts working to provide the up or down force. It can be seen from the motion control images (see Video S2), the manta ray-inspired robots can complete complex motions with good controllability in dynamic water conditions, e.g., moving straightly, turning left and right, and ascending or descending. The robot's good locomotion allows it to access the inner structure of complex marine structures and to adjust the position to minimize the impact of marine life on the identification function. Besides, we have installed two sets of searchlights on the robot's head to minimize the effect of shadows on recognition.

#### Depth test

Underwater visibility conditions are affected by depth and turbidity, so to improve the accuracy of the shots, we mounted two sets of searchlights on the robot's head and improved the robot's maneuverability to get as close as possible to the concrete cracks. Furthermore, to verify that the robot is able to work at different depths in a turbid water, we conducted depth tests at Qizhen Lake in Zhoushan. We placed a steel ruler in the water to measure depth, and as the robot went down in depth, we were still able to recognize the scale of the ruler. The experiment process has been shown in C.

#### **Ocean testing**

The harbor for ocean testing is close to the Gouqi Island, which is located approximately 30 miles away from the Shanghai Shenjiawan Harbor. The Gouqi Island Harbor is designed in the pile array structures to reduce the impact of waves. However, there are still severe wave erosions on the underwater regions of the harbor. Therefore, it is essential to develop the manta ray-inspired fast response robot to monitor the structural damages on the pile-arrays. The robot is ejected from the fixture panel within 0.1 s to rapidly start for underwater locomotion (see Video S3). And then, the robot swims straightly and smoothly into wave-eroded regions to monitor the structural health. To obtain more comprehensive structural damages figures, robot moved along the edge of the harbor and dived down to monitor the structural health at the bottom of the harbor (see Video S4).