CLINICAL STUDY



OPEN ACCESS Check for updates

Value of the high-sensitivity troponin T assay for diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction in patients with and without renal insufficiency

Cheng-Kai Hsu^a (), I-Wen Wu^{a,b}, Yih-Ting Chen^a, Chia-Huei Peng^c, Yi-Ju Tseng^{d,e,f} (), Yung-Chang Chen^{a,b}, Ming-Jui Hung^{b,g} and Yu-Cheng Kao^g

^aDepartment of Nephrology, Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Keelung, Taiwan; ^bCollege of Medicine, Chang Gung University, Taoyuan, Taiwan; ^cDepartment of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition, Mackay Children's Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan; ^dDepartment of Information Management, Chang Gung University, Taoyuan, Taiwan; ^eDepartment of Laboratory Medicine, Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Taoyuan, Taiwan; ^fHealthy Aging Research Center, Chang Gung University, Taoyuan, Taiwan; ^gDepartments of Cardiology and Community Medicine Research Center, Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Keelung

ABSTRACT

Background: Cardiac troponins are important markers for diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction (AMI) in general population; however, chronically-elevated troponins levels are often seen in patients with renal insufficiency, which reduce their diagnostic accuracy. The aim of our study was to access the diagnostic values of initial high-sensitive cardiac troponin T (hs-cTnT) and relative change of hs-cTnT for AMI in patients with and without renal insufficiency.

Methods: Cardiac care unit patients with elevated hs-cTnT levels in 2017–2018 were enrolled. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were used to evaluate initial hs-cTnT levels and relative changes after 3 h of enrollment for diagnosis of AMI in patients with estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) < 60 mL/min/1.73 m² (low), and eGFR \ge 60 mL/min/1.73 m² (normal). **Results:** Of 359 patients, 240 patients had low eGFR, and 119 patients had normal eGFR. The area under the ROC curve (AUC) for the initial hs-cTnT levels was 0.58 (95% CI, 0.5–0.65, p = 0.053) among patients with low eGFR and 0.54 (95% CI, 0.4–0.67, p = 0.612) among patients

p = 0.053 among patients with low eGFR and 0.54 (95% Cl, 0.4–0.67, p = 0.612) among patients with normal eGFR. AUCs for relative changes of hs-cTnT were 0.82 (95% Cl, 0.76–0.88, p < 0.001) in patients with low eGFR and 0.82 (95% Cl, 0.71–0.91, p < 0.001) in patients with normal eGFR. Optimal cutoff values for the relative changes in hs-cTnT were 16% and 12% in patients with low eGFR and normal eGFR, respectively.

Conclusions: Relative changes in hs-cTnT levels had better diagnostic accuracy than initial hs-cTnT levels.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 14 July 2020 Revised 28 October 2020 Accepted 28 October 2020

KEYWORDS

Acute myocardial infarction (AMI); chronic kidney disease (CKD); renal failure; troponin T

Introduction

Acute myocardial infarction (AMI) is a major cause of mortality and disability around the world [1]. Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is an independent risk factor for AMI and the risk increases with advanced renal insufficiency [2,3]. Additionally, AMI patients comorbid with CKD are not ideal patients for coronary angiography and percutaneous coronary intervention, therefore having higher mortality rates than AMI patients without CKD [2,4–8]. Furthermore, AMI patients comorbid with advanced CKD have a higher rate of long-term adverse cardiac events than those with normal kidney function [9]. Coronary revascularization in the case of an AMI is associated with lower in-hospital mortality and 12-month mortality versus conservative management in

patients with CKD [2,4,8,10]. However, the key concern in performing coronary angiography in CKD patients is the risk of contrast-induced toxicity, subsequent renal deterioration, and adverse long-term outcomes [11]. On the other hand, an indolent clinical course, atypical presentation, and unspecific or false-positive elevation of cardiac enzymes which often present in CKD patients all contribute to the delay in the diagnosis or the reperfusion of damaged myocardium. Therefore, timely and accurate diagnoses of AMI in patients with CKD are crucial to reduce unnecessary exposure to contrast media in coronary angiography. Cardiac troponin T and troponin I are important markers for the diagnosis of AMI in the general population [1]. The development of highsensitivity cardiac troponin testing allows for the

CONTACT Yu-cheng Kao 🐼 8902033@cgmh.org.tw 🗈 Department of Cardiology, Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, 222, Maijin Road, Keelung 20401, Taiwan

 $^{
m C}$ 2020 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

detection of small amounts of myocardial necrosis at the expense of a loss of specificity. For patients with chronic elevation of cardiac troponin without MI, troponin T or troponin I tend not to change acutely over time. The authors of a previous review have recommended that a relative change in cardiac troponin levels of more than 20% should be used to diagnose AMI in patients undergoing dialysis who have symptoms such as chest pain or dyspnea [12]. However, no consensus has been established for the optimal cutoff value of a relative change in high-sensitivity cardiac troponin T (hs-cTnT) for the diagnosis of AMI in patients with renal insufficiency. We investigated the diagnostic accuracy of the initial measurements of hs-cTnT at baseline and the relative changes in this enzyme 3-h after initial data for the diagnosis of AMI in patients with and without current renal insufficiency and in patients with and without preexisting CKD.

Materials and methods

In this prospective study, all patients 18 years or older admitted to the cardiac care unit (CCU) of Chang Gung Memorial Hospital at Keelung with elevated hs-cTnT T (> 99th percentile of the upper reference range) and clinical manifestations suspicious of angina (such as typical chest pain, dyspnea, upper abdominal pain, change in consciousness, and cardiac arrest) were enrolled from 1 September 2017 through 30 June 2018. Change in consciousness was defined as decreased score of Glasgow Coma Scale \geq 1 and without other identifiable symptoms [13]. Patients who had diagnoses of atrioventricular block, pulmonary embolism, and infective endocarditis were excluded. The Institutional Review Board at Chang Gung Memorial Hospital (201900289B0) approved this study.

Information was collected for further analyses, including demographic variables and previous comorbid conditions (diabetes, hypertension, coronary artery disease, heart failure, cerebrovascular accident, peripheral arterial occlusive disease, liver cirrhosis, malignancy, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease). In addition, clinical biochemistry was assessed upon the first day of hospitalization. These parameters included serum urea nitrogen, creatinine, estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), sodium, potassium, chloride, calcium, phosphate, magnesium, hemoglobin, albumin, lipid profile, glycohemoglobin, and hs-cTnT.

We measured hs-cTnT on enrollment and repeated 3-h later. All assays were performed on the Cobas-E601 analyzer using an electrochemiluminescence (ECLIA) assay (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) with a limit of detection of 3 ng/L. The 99th percentile cutoff point was 14 ng/L, and the coefficient of variation of 10% was 13 ng/L. The eGFR was calculated by use of the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration formula. CKD was defined as an eGFR <60 mL/min/1.73 m² or any proteinuria on two separate occasions more than three months apart [14].

All the patients were suggested to receive coronary angiography within 3 days of admission for diagnostic and therapeutic purposes. Coronary angiography were only performed in those with informed consent from the patients or their families. For patients who underwent coronary angiography, AMI was defined as having at least one of the following findings in the coronary arteries: (1) > 70% stenosis, (2) thrombus, (3) unstable plaque with or without rupture, and (4) intimal damage. For patients who did not undergo coronary angiography, AMI was defined as echocardiographic regional wall motion abnormalities and new, significant ST–segment-T wave changes on electrocardiography.

Descriptive statistics were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD) or median (interquartile range, IQR). Discrete variables were presented as frequency and percentage. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov method was used to test for the normality of numerical variables. Differences in continuous variables between the two groups were analyzed using the Student t-test or Mann Whitney U test. Differences in categorical variables between the two groups were compared using the chisquare test. We constructed receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves and evaluated the area under the curve (AUC) to estimate the predictive accuracy of baseline hs-cTnT and the relative changes of hs-cTnT in 3-h. We compared the above results between patients with $eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73 m^2$ (low) and those with eGFR \geq 60 mL/min/1.73 m² (normal), and also compared the above results between patients with and without a history of CKD [CKD (+), CKD (-)]. The statistical differences between 2 ROC curves were calculated by DeLong test [15]. Cutoff values based on the ROC curves were used to calculate the sensitivity and specificity of the hs-cTnT test for the diagnosis of AMI in the two patient groups. The optimal cutoff values had the highest combined sensitivity and specificity in each group.

The statistical analyses were performed using SPSS, version 21.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY). All statistical tests were two-tailed, and a p value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

There were 400 patients with elevated hs-cTnT levels admitting to CCU. We excluded patients with



Figure 1. Enrollment flowchart and patient status. Low eGFR was defined as eGFR $< 60 \text{ mL/min/1.73m}^2$ and normal eGFR was defined as eGFR $\geq 60 \text{ mL/min/1.73m}^2$. Abbreviations: CCU, cardiac care unit; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; AMI, acute myocardial infarction.

atrioventricular block (n = 28), pulmonary embolism (n = 7), and infective endocarditis (n = 6). Finally, 359 patients were enrolled in this prospective study (Figure 1). The mean patient age was 69.5 ± 13.8 years; 223 (62.1%) were men, and 136 were women (37.9%). The median time between sampling of hs-cTnT and onset of symptoms was 3 h (IQR: 2-5 h). Table 1 summarizes the baseline characteristics of the patients. Patients with low eGFR were older $(72.7 \pm 12.8 \text{ versus})$ 63.1 \pm 13.6 years old, p < 0.001), were less likely to be men (57.1 versus 72.3%, p = 0.006) and fewer smoked tobacco (32.9 versus 47.9%, p = 0.01) than those patients with normal eGFR. Patients with low eGFR had higher prevalence of diabetes (52.1 versus 35.3%, p = 0.003), hypertension (74.2 versus 52.9%, p < 0.001), coronary artery disease (34.6 versus 21.8%, p = 0.014), heart failure (34.2 versus 12.6%, p < 0.001), cerebrovascular accident (18.8 versus 8.5%, p = 0.011), and peripheral arterial occlusive disease (4.2 versus 0%, p = 0.025) than patients with normal eGFR.

Initial laboratory studies revealed that patients with low eGFR had higher serum potassium (4.5 ± 1 versus 4±0.6 mEq/L, p < 0.001), higher serum magnesium [median (IQR) 1.8 (1.6-2) versus 1.7 (1.6–1.8) mEq/L, p = 0.001], lower hemoglobin (11.4±2.9 versus 13.7±2.3 g/dL, p < 0.001), lower serum albumin (3.55±0.53 versus 3.84±0.6 g/dL, p < 0.001), lower total cholesterol (151.7±47.1 versus 179.6±51.2 mg/dL, p < 0.001), lower low-density lipoprotein (93.78±41.62 versus 120.46±49.13 mg/dL, p < 0.001) and higher baseline hs-cTnT levels [median (IQR) 160.4 (74–415.3)

versus 97.7 (28–366.2) ng/L, p = 0.002] than patients with normal eGFR.

Table 2 compares the initial symptoms of AMI between the two groups. For patients with low eGFR, the most common symptom was dyspnea (57.5%), whereas chest pain (73.9%) was the most common symptom for those with normal eGFR. Of the 240 patients with low eGFR who had initial hs-cTnT levels above the normal range (> 14 ng/L), 102 (42.5%) had pathologies other than AMI. Table 3 shows an overview of the potential causes for the elevated hs-cTnT. Among the patients with final diagnosis of AMI, those with low eGFR had higher baseline hs-cTnT levels [median (IQR), 180.2 (76.5–645.3) versus 100.7 (28.2–526.3) ng/L, p = 0.002] than those with normal eGFR.

The AUC of the initial hs-cTnT levels for the diagnosis of AMI was 0.58 [95% confidence interval (Cl), 0.5–0.65, p = 0.053] in patients with low eGFR and 0.54 (95% Cl, 0.4–0.67, p = 0.612) in patients with normal eGFR (Figure 2(A,B)). The AUC of the relative changes of hs-cTnT for diagnosis of AMI was 0.82 (95% Cl, 0.76–0.88, p < 0.001) in patients with low eGFR and 0.82 (95% Cl, 0.71–0.91, p < 0.001) in patients with normal eGFR (Figure 2(A,B)). The AUC of initial hs-cTnT levels for diagnosis of AMI was 0.57 [95% confidence interval (Cl), 0.47–0.66, p = 0.167] in CKD (+) group and 0.53 (95% Cl, 0.44–0.62, p = 0.587) in CKD (–) group (Figure 2(C,D)). The AUC of the relative changes of hs-cTnT for the diagnosis of AMI was 0.80 (95% Cl, 0.72–0.87, p < 0.001) in CKD (+) and 0.86 (95% Cl, 0.79–0.93,

Table 1.Demographic	and	clinical	characteristics	of	patients	with	moderate	to	severe	renal	insufficiency	and	normal	kid-
ney function.														

		eGF <i>R</i> < 60 mL/min/1.73 m ²	$eGFR \ge 60 \text{ mL/min}/1.73 \text{ m}^2$	
Characteristic	All patients ($n = 359$) No. (%) ^b	(<i>n</i> = 240) No. (%) ^b	(<i>n</i> = 119) No. (%) ^b	p Value
Age, mean \pm SD (yr)	69.5 ± 13.8	72.7 ± 12.8	63.1 ± 13.6	< 0.001
Men	223 (62.1)	137 (57.1)	86 (72.3)	0.006
BMI, mean \pm SD (kg/m ²)	24 ± 4.4	23.7 ± 4.5	24.6 ± 4.1	0.097
Smoking	136 (37.8)	79 (32.9)	57 (47.9)	0.01
Primary disease				
Diabetes	167 (46.5)	125 (52.1)	42 (35.3)	0.003
Hypertension	241 (67.1)	178 (74.2)	63 (52.9)	< 0.001
CAD	109 (30.4)	83 (34.6)	26 (21.8)	0.014
HF	97 (27)	82 (34.2)	15 (12.6)	< 0.001
CVA	55 (15.4)	45 (18.8)	10 (8.5)	0.011
PAOD	10 (2.8)	10 (4.2)	0 (0)	0.025
Liver cirrhosis	9 (2.5)	7 (2.9)	2 (1.7)	0.723
Malignancy	31 (8.7)	21 (8.8)	10 (8.5)	0.931
COPD	32 (8.9)	25 (10.4)	7 (5.9)	0.156
Initial findings ^c				
BUN, median (IOR)	31.3 (19.5–52.1)	37.6 (26.8–57.1)	16.5 (13.5–21.6)	< 0.001 ^a
(mEa/L)				
Cr, median (IOR) (mEg/L)	1.4 (1.0–2.3)	1.9 (1.4–3.6)	0.89 (0.8–1.0)	< 0.001 ^a
eGFR, median (IOR) (mL/	53 (30.6-72.5)	35.2 (22.9-48.1)	76 (67.9–94)	< 0.001 ^a
$min/1.73 m^2$)				
Na (mEg/L)	136.9 ± 9.1	136.4 ± 10.2	138 ± 6.1	0.103
K (mEg/L)	4.3 ± 1	4.5 ± 1	4 ± 0.6	< 0.001
Cl (mEq/L)	99.3 ± 7.1	99.1 ± 7.4	100 ± 5.5	0.669
Ca (mg/dL)	8±1	8.9 ± 1.1	8.8 ± 0.7	0.889
P (mg/dL)	4.8 ± 2.3	4.9 ± 2.4	4 ± 2.1	0.125
Mg, median (IOR) (mEg/L)	1.7 (1.6–1.9)	1.8 (1.6–2)	1.7 (1.6–1.8)	0.001 ^a
Hemoalobin (a/dL)	12.2 ± 2.9	11.4 ± 2.9	13.7 ± 2.3	< 0.001
Albumin (g/dL)	3.6 ± 0.6	3.6 ± 0.5	3.8 ± 0.6	< 0.001
Total cholesterol (mg/dL)	164.3 ± 50.8	151.7 ± 47.1	179.6 ± 51.2	< 0.001
TG, median (IOR) (mg/dL)	113.5 (79–160)	98 (77.3–154.8)	125.5 (85.8–169)	0.054 ^a
D (mg/dl)	105.9 + 47	93.8 + 41.6	120.5 + 49.1	< 0.001
HDL (mg/dL)	43.3 ± 15.8	42.2 ± 15.8	44.6 ± 15.7	0.256
HbA1c (%)	6.9 + 1.66	6.8+1.6	6.9 ± 1.7	0.515
Troponin-T, median (IOR)	142.3 (53.4–385)	160.4 (74–415.3)	97.7 (28–366.2)	0.002ª
(ng/l)	1 1210 (0011 000)		<i>(20 00012)</i>	01002
Relative changes in	42.6 (2.7-361.2)	21.7 (1-142.5)	204.9 (38.5-2712)	< 0.001 ^a
troponin-T at 3-hours.	·,	, (,		
median (IOR) (%)				

^ap Value using Mann-Whitney U test.

^bAll data are No. (%) unless otherwise indicated.

^cData are mean ± SD unless otherwise indicated.

eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate; BMI: body mass index; CAD: coronary artery disease; HF: heart failure; CVA: cerebral vascular accident; PAOD: peripheral arterial occlusive disease; COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; BUN: blood urea nitrogen; Cr: creatinine; TG: triglycerides; LDL: low-density lipoprotein; HDA1c: glycohemoglobin.

 Table 2. Clinical presentation of patients having elevated high-sensativity troponin-T.

		eGFR (mL/m	eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m ²)				
Presentation	Overall (n = 359) No. (%)	<60 (<i>n</i> = 240) No. (%)	≥60 (<i>n</i> = 119) No. (%)				
Dyspnea	168 (46.8)	138 (57.5)	30 (25.2)				
Orthopnea	115 (32)	97 (40.4)	18 (15.1)				
Chest pain	192 (53.5)	104 (43.3)	88 (73.9)				
Epigastric pain	16 (4.5)	12 (5)	4 (3.4)				
Consciousness change	24 (6.7)	18 (7.5)	6 (5)				
Cardiac arrest	32 (8.9)	24 (10)	8 (6.7)				

p < 0.001) in CKD (-) (Figure 2(C,D)). The ROC curves of the initial hs-cTnT and the relative changes among 217 patients who underwent coronary angiography within 3 days of admission are shown in Figure 3. Making the diagnosis of AMI based on the relative changes of hscTnT was more accurate than using the just value of initial hs-cTnT (DeLong test, p < 0.001).

The diagnostic performances of various cutoff values for dynamic change in hs-cTnT are shown in Table 4. For the diagnosis of AMI based on coronary angiography or echocardiography and electrocardiography (n = 359), the optimal cutoff values of the relative changes in hs-cTnT were 16% for patients with low eGFR, 16% for CKD (+), 12% for patients with normal eGFR, and 11% for CKD (-) (Table 4). For the identification of AMI, a cutoff value of 16% for patients with low eGFR had a sensitivity of 77%, a specificity of 84%, a positive predictive value of 87%, and a negative predictive value of 73% for the identification of AMI (Table 4).

Table 3.	Final diagnoses of	patients admittee	d to CCU and	d the correspo	onding levels of	high-sensitivity	y troponin-T

		eGFR (mL	eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m ²)			
	Overall (%), <i>n</i> = 359	< 60 (%), n = 240	\geq 60 (%), <i>n</i> = 119	p Value*		
AMI	236 (65.7)	138 (57.5)	98 (82.4)			
Initial troponin-T, median (IQR) (ng/L)	144.4 (50.1–614.3)	180.2 (76.5–645.3)	100.7 (28.2–526.3)	0.002		
Relative changes in troponin-T at 3-hours, median (IQR) (%)	132.1 (30.1–866)	77.9 (21.8-404.5)	256.5 (64.1–5722.5)	< 0.001		
Non-AMI	123 (34.3)	102 (42.5)	21 (17.6)			
Heart failure	97 (27)	82 (34.2)	15 (12.6)			
Initial troponin-T, median (IQR) (ng/L)	138.6 (50.2–250.3)	143.2 (56.7-260)	30.1 (17.9–214.5)	0.193		
Relative change in troponin-T at 3-hours, median (IQR) (%)	2.7 (-3.5-10.9)	2.6 (-3.3-10.4)	12 (-12-410.4)	0.365		
Stroke	5 (1.4)	2 (0.8)	3 (2.5)			
Initial troponin-T, median (IQR) (ng/L)	94.6 (35.2–139.4)	71.7 (30.4 – 113)	94.6 (39.9–165.8)	0.564		
Relative change of troponin-T at 3-hours, median (IQR) (%)	-13.3 (-15.3-1)	5939 (1 – 11876)	-15.3 (-17.613.3)	0.121		
Sepsis	21 (5.9)	18 (7.5)	3 (2.5)			
Initial troponin-T, median (IQR) (ng/L)	260 (131.4450)	256.2 (130-450.4)	409.9 (212.6–462.6)	0.421		
Relative change in troponin-T at 3-hours, median (IQR) (%)	-0.4 (-9.7-24)	-0.5 (-8.2-3.8)	42.2 (-12-47.2)	0.314		

*p Value: eGFR < 60 versus > 60 mL/min/1.73 m² applying the Mann-Whitney U test.

CCU: cardiac care unit; eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate; AMI: acute myocardial infarction.

For the diagnosis of AMI based on coronary angiography (n = 217), the optimal cutoff values of the relative changes in hs-cTnT were 16% for patients with low eGFR, 16% for CKD (+), 9% for patients with normal eGFR, and 8% for CKD (-) (Table 5).

In subgroup analyses, we divided patients into 5 groups according to eGFR (stage 1, eGFR ≥90 mL/min/ 1.73 m²; stage 2, eGFR 60–89 mL/min/1.73 m²; stage 3, eGFR 30-59 mL/min/1.73 m²; stage 4, eGFR 15-29 mL/ min/1.73 m²; stage 5 eGFR <15 mL/min/1.73 m² or under renal replacement therapy.), and divided patients into young and old groups by age of 70, with and without underlying CAD, and with and without underlying heart failure (Table 6). Overall, the relative changes in hs-cTnT had good diagnostic performance for AMI except for old patients with normal eGFR (AUC: 0.7, p value =0.076) and patients with underlying CAD and normal eGFR (AUC: 0.76, p value =0.205) (Table 6). The AUC of absolute changes of hs-cTnT for diagnosis of AMI was 0.83 (95% CI, 0.78–0.89, p < 0.001) in patients with low eGFR and 0.82 (95% CI, 0.72–0.93, *p* < 0.001) in patients with normal eGFR. Comparing the diagnostic performance of AMI by DeLong test, using the absolute and relative changes of hs-cTnT reached similar effects. Of the 186 patients with AMI who underwent coronary angiography, single-vessel involvement was observed in 49 patients (26.3%), two-vessel disease was seen in 51 (27.4%), triple-vessel disease was observed in 86 patients (46.2%), and left main coronary artery disease was found in 23 patients (12.4%).

Discussion

In this prospective observational study, we demonstrated that the diagnostic accuracy of initial hs-cTnT levels for the diagnosis of AMI is low regardless of renal function [AUC, 0.58 for low eGFR; AUC, 0.54 for normal eGFR; AUC, 0.57 for CKD (+) and AUC, 0.53 for CKD (-)] (Figure 2). Subsequent measurements of hs-cTnT 3 h later improved the diagnostic accuracy, and the optimal cutoff values differed according to different levels of kidney function. The study revealed that for the diagnosis of AMI in patients with low eGFR, relative changes in hs-cTnT levels of 16% yielded a sensitivity of 77%, a specificity of 84%, a positive predictive value of 87%, and a negative predictive value of 73% (Table 3). For patients with normal eGFR, the optimal cutoff value was 12% with a sensitivity of 93%, a specificity of 62%, a positive predictive value of 92%, and a negative predictive value of 59% (Table 3). When stratifying patients by with and without preexisting CKD, the results for optimal cutoff values of relative changes in hs-cTnT levels were similar [16% for CKD (+); 11% for CKD (-)]. In the subgroup analysis of patients who underwent coronary angiography (n = 217), the optimal cutoff values for patients with low eGFR and CKD (+) were both 16%. The findings of the study highlight the importance of relative changes in hs-cTnT in patients with impaired renal function for the diagnosis of AMI.

Elevation of hs-cTnT is often detected in patients with renal failure, heart failure, myocarditis, ventricular hypertrophy, arrhythmias, pulmonary embolism, and after coronary procedures and seizures [1,16–18]. Troponin-T is also released from cells other than myo-cardial cells. One clinical study shows that troponin-T is expressed in the skeletal muscle of dialysis patients [19], and this expression could explain the mechanism of elevated troponin-T in patients with seizure or rhabdomyolysis [17,20]. Although elevation of cardiac troponins in the blood usually reflects injury leading to necrosis of the myocardium, such levels do not indicate the mechanism of ischemia-induced myocardial necrosis [1]. According to the Fourth Universal Definition of Myocardial Infarction Expert Consensus Document of



Figure 2. Receiver operating characteristic curves of high-sensitivity cardiac troponin-T (TnT) levels on admission, and dynamic changes in these levels for the diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction (diagnosis based on coronary angiography or cardiac echocardiography) (A) for patients with eGFR $< 60 \text{ mL/min}/1.73 \text{ m}^2$, (B) patients with eGFR $> 60 \text{ mL/min}/1.73 \text{ m}^2$, (C) patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD) history, and (D) patients without CKD history.

myocardial infarction, measurements of cardiac troponins should be repeated 3–6 h thereafter because chronic elevation of cardiac troponin is noted in patients with renal failure [1].

The study revealed that fewer of the patients with lower eGFR presented initially with typical chest pain than patients with normal eGFR (43.3 versus 73.9%). A previous report that included 29,319 patients with advanced CKD with AMI also showed different presentations of chest pain depending on the presence of CKD (40.4% in patients with CKD versus 61.6% for patients without CKD) [7]. As a consequence, the diagnosis of AMI in patients with CKD strongly depends on cardiac troponin levels because these patients usually present with atypical AMI symptoms. However, elevated cardiac troponin can be detected in 33–43% of patients with CKD [21]. The detection of elevated troponin T reflects a variety of cardiovascular pathophysiologies other than just impaired renal clearance, including uremic cardiomyopathy, ventricular dysfunction, left ventricular hypertrophy, anemia, inflammation, and endothelial dysfunction [21]. As a result, chronically elevated troponin can act as a prognostic factor in patients with CKD [21,22]. One study showed that the normal range of serum hs-cTnT in patients with CKD is higher than that in patients without CKD (139 ng/L in patients with CKD versus 14 ng/L in patients without CKD) [23]. Another study revealed that the baseline hs-cTnT levels in asymptomatic hemodialysis patients were higher than non-CKD patients (54.3 versus 18 ng/L) [24].



Figure 3. Receiver operating characteristic curves of high-sensitivity cardiac troponin-T (TnT) levels on admission and dynamic changes in these levels for the diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction (diagnosis based on coronary angiography only) (A) for patients with eGFR $< 60 \text{ mL/min/1.73 m}^2$, (B) patients with eGFR $> 60 \text{ mL/min/1.73 m}^2$, (C) patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD) history, and (D) patients without CKD history.

Nevertheless, the Fourth Universal Definition of myocardial infarction used the same value of hs-cTnT for the diagnosis of AMI in patients either with reduced or normal eGFR.

Previous studies demonstrates that hs-cTnT increases gradually with time after onset of symptoms and the diagnostic performance was excellent in patients within 2 h after the onset of symptoms [25–27]. The median time between sampling of hs-cTnT and onset of symptoms was 3 h in our study. However, the diagnostic accuracy of the initial hs-cTnT levels for the diagnostic of AMI in patients with renal insufficiency is poor, with an AUC of 0.58, which was consistent with the result of a study in 2013 that showed an AUC of 0.61 [28]. Some studies have indicated that the use of the dynamic

Table 4. Diagnostic performance of dynamic change for highsensitivity cardiac troponin-T levels for the diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction.

		••						
Performa	ance	eGF <i>R</i> < AUC	eGF <i>R</i> < 60 mL/min/1.73 m ² , <i>n</i> = 240 AUC (95% CI), 0.82 (0.76–0.88)					
Relative	change in hs-c	InT Sensitivity	Specificity	PPV	NPV			
5%		0.85	0.66	0.79	0.77			
9%		0.82	0.74	0.81	0.75			
16%		0.77	0.84	0.87	0.73			
25%		0.73	0.85	0.87	0.7			
		$eGFR \ge 60 mL/r$ AUC (95% C	min/1.73 m ² , <i>n</i> = I), 0.82 (0.71–0.9	= 119 94)				
3%	0.96	0.5	0.9		0.73			
7%	0.94	0.56	0.91		0.67			
12%	0.93	0.62	0.92		0.59			
48%	0.8	0.75	0.94	0.44				

eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate; AUC: area under the receiver operating characteristic curve; hs-cTnT: high-sensitivity cardiac troponin-T; PPV: positive predictive value; NPV: negative predictive value.

	Diagnosis of AMI based on coronary angiography or echocardiography								
Performance	$eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73 m^2 (n = 240)$	$eGFR \ge 60 mL/min/1.73 m^2 (n = 119)$	CKD history (<i>n</i> = 157)	No CKD history ($n = 202$)					
Cutoff value AUC (95% CI) Sensitivity Specificity	16% 0.82 (0.76–0.88), <i>p</i> < 0.001 0.77 0.84	12% 0.82 (0.71–0.94), <i>p</i> < 0.001 0.93 0.62	16% 0.8 (0.72–0.87), <i>p</i> < 0.001 0.83 0.8	11% 0.86 (0.79–0.93), <i>p</i> < 0.001 0.9 0.71					
	Diagnosis of AMI based on coronary angiography only								
	$eGFR < 60 \text{ mL/min/1.73 m}^2$ (n = 119)	$eGFR \ge 60 \text{ mL/min/1.73 m}^2 (n = 98)$	CKD history (n = 73)	No CKD history ($n = 144$)					
Cutoff value AUC (95% CI) Sensitivity Specificity	16% 0.78 (0.66–0.89), $p < 0.001$ 0.77 0.82	9% 0.78 (0.56–1), <i>p</i> = 0.022 0.94 0.67	16% 0.76 (0.62–0.89), <i>p</i> = 0.002 0.74 0.88	8% 0.76 (0.56–0.96), <i>p</i> = 0.022 0.92 0.57					

Table 5. Optimal cutoff values for dynamic changes in high-sensitivity cardiac troponin-T levels for the diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction.

eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate; CKD: chronic kidney disease; AUC: area under the receiver operating characteristic curve.

change in hs-cTnT increases the diagnostic accuracy for AMI in patients with CKD [29-32]. A study with 670 patients (undergoing regular hemodialysis presented with chest pain or dyspnea) proposed the optimal cutoff value of the relative changes in hs-cTnT after 3 h was 24% for the diagnosis of AMI [29]. Recently, a large prospective study showed that a relative change in hscTnT of 250% after 3 h could be used to rule in AMI, with a positive predictive value of 0.8 in patients with CKD who presented with chest pain [31]. Most studies enrolled patients with CKD or end-stage renal disease (ESRD) with typical chest pain. However, more than one-half of AMI patients with CKD present with atypical symptoms other than chest pain [7]. Thus, we enrolled patients with typical chest pain and other atypical symptoms such as dyspnea, upper abdominal pain, and cardiac arrest.

Ascertainment of the final diagnosis of AMI is a critical issue. Previous studies have adjudicated the final diagnosis based on clinical, laboratory, and imaging findings by cardiologists [2,29,31]. However, we defined AMI clearly and objectively according to image findings (coronary angiography or echocardiography combined with electrocardiography). Reiter M et al. found that hscTnT has high diagnostic accuracy also in the elderly and the optimal cutoff levels are higher in older as compared with younger patients [33]. However, in patients with low eGFR, our study showed that the optimal cutoff level of relative changes of hs-cTnT is lower in the old group compared with the young group (11 versus 17.8). Small number of cases (N = 40) might result in poor diagnostic performance of relative changes of hs-cTnT in the old group with normal eGFR (AUC: 0.7, p value =0.076) (Table 6). Reiter M et al. also showed that the optimal cutoff levels tend to be higher in patients with preexisting CAD using hs-cTnT [34]. In consistence with previous study, we found that the

optimal cutoff level of relative changes of hs-cTnT were higher in patients with low eGFR and preexisting CAD than those with low eGFR and without preexisting CAD (17.8 versus 16%) (Table 6). Small number of cases (N = 26) might result in statistical insignificance of diagnostic performance of relative changes of hs-cTnT among patients with normal eGFR and preexisting CAD (AUC: 0.76, *p* value =0.205) (Table 6).

There are several limitations to our study. First, initial serum creatinine was used to calculate eGFR. However, the calculated eGFR does not represent chronic status. It could be a result of coexisting acute kidney injury (AKI), which is common in AMI patients induced by heart failure. In our stratified analysis, two groups (with and without CKD) yielded similar results. Hence, using initial creatinine for eGFR calculation didn't seem to interfere our study results, and it is easy and suitable for clinical application. Second, we also enrolled 93 patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI), in which 81 of them underwent coronary angiography. The relative changes in hs-cTnT may be confounded by percutaneous coronary intervention-induced myocardial injury. Third, the enrollment of our patients was confined in the CCU; the patients with similar clinical presentation but spared being sent into CCU were not recruited. In the future, if simultaneous coronary angiography (or/and echocardiography) and serial hs-cTnT measurements can be more universally performed in suspected AMI patients, further progress may be made in the research of the clinical application of hs-cTnT.

In conclusion, using initial hs-cTnT for diagnosis of AMI in patients with renal insufficiency had poor diagnostic accuracy. Using relative changes in hs-cTnT 3 h after the initial level yielded better results for the detection of AMI. The optimal cutoff values for patients with low eGFR was 16%, with a sensitivity of 77% and

		Initial hs-c	ſnT		Rela	tive change in hs-cTnT (%))	
	n	AUC (95% CI)	p Value	AUC (95% CI)	p Value	Optimal cutoff values	Sensitivity	Specificity
eGFR stages								
Stage 1	35	0.36 (0.11-0.6)	0.246	0.96 (0.88-1)	< 0.001	9.5%	1	0.75
Stage 2	84	0.51 (0.35-0.67)	0.952	0.78 (0.63-0.93)	< 0.001	12%	0.9	0.59
Stage 3	103	0.59 (0.47-0.7)	0.139	0.86 (0.78-0.94)	< 0.001	19.6%	0.81	0.81
Stage 4	44	0.65 (0.47-0.82)	0.102	0.83 (0.69-0.97)	< 0.001	15.6%	0.85	0.8
Stage 5	93	0.57 (0.45-0.69)	0.276	0.78 (0.68-0.88)	< 0.001	17.8%	0.69	0.88
Age \geq 70 y/o								
eGF <i>R</i> < 60 mL/min/1.73 m ²	150	0.65 (0.56-0.74)	0.048	0.81 (0.74-0.89)	< 0.001	11%	0.83	0.78
$eGFR \ge 60 ml/min/1.73 m^2$	40	0.65 (0.46-0.84)	0.186	0.7 (0.47-0.92)	0.076	N/A	N/A	N/A
Age < 70 y/o								
eGF <i>R</i> < 60 mL/min/1.73 m ²	90	0.46 (0.33-0.58)	0.507	0.83 (0.74-0.92)	< 0.001	17.8%	0.77	0.88
$eGFR \ge 60 ml/min/1.73 m^2$	79	0.36 (0.19-0.54)	0.271	0.91 (0.82-1)	0.001	9.4%	0.97	0.67
CAD history								
$eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73 m^{2}$	83	0.45 (0.32-0.59)	0.507	0.8 (0.69-0.91)	< 0.001	17.8%	0.81	0.84
$eGFR \ge 60 ml/min/1.73 m^2$	26	0.78 (0.54-1)	0.089	0.76 (0.36-1)	0.205	N/A	N/A	N/A
No CAD history								
$eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73 m^{2}$	157	0.64 (0.55-0.73)	0.005	0.83 (0.76-0.9)	< 0.001	16%	0.75	0.83
$eGFR \ge 60 ml/min/1.73 m^2$	93	0.37 (0.23-0.51)	0.072	0.85 (0.74-0.96)	< 0.001	9%	0.97	0.58
Heart failure history								
$eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73 m^{2}$	82	0.61 (0.48-0.74)	0.113	0.87 (0.79-0.96)	< 0.001	11.2%	0.92	0.82
$eGFR \ge 60 ml/min/1.73 m^2$	15	N/A*	N/A*	N/A*	N/A*	N/A*	N/A*	N/A*
No heart failure history								
$eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73 m^2$	158	0.56 (0.47-0.66)	0.218	0.8 (0.73-0.88)	< 0.001	16%	0.72	0.83
$eGFR \ge 60 \text{ ml/min/1.73 m}^2$	104	0.46 (0.32-0.6)	0.597	0.83 (0.72-0.95)	< 0.001	12%	0.94	0.63

Table 6. Subgroup analyses of initial and relative change of high-sensitivity cardiac troponin-T for diagnosis performance of acute myocardial infarction.

Definition of eGFR stages: stage 1, eGFR \geq 90 mL/min; stage 2, eGFR 60–89 mL/min; stage 3, eGFR 30–59 mL/min; stage 4, eGFR 15–29 mL/min; stage 5 eGFR <15 mL/min or under renal replacement therapy. Optimal cutoff value was defined as highest value of combination of sensitivity and specificity.*All patients diagnosed with acute myocardial infarction.

AUC: area under the receiver operating characteristic curve; CAD: coronary artery disease; eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate; hs-cTnT: high-sensitivity cardiac troponin-T; PPV: positive predictive value; NPV: negative predictive value; N/A: Not applicable; y/o: years old.

specificity of 84%; while the optimal cutoff values was 12% for patients with normal eGFR, with a sensitivity of 93% and a specificity of 62%. The results of the study could offer new horizons to assess cardiac enzymes in renal-insufficient patients and could offer a new and simple method to evaluate renal-insufficient patients with possible AMI, prompting timely diagnosis and treatment for AMI, meanwhile, reducing the number of falsely diagnosed AMI if merely using initial hs-cTnT.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank all the members of the CCU of Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Keelung, for providing clinical assistance with patient management and data collection.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

ORCID

Cheng-Kai Hsu b http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6812-526X Yi-Ju Tseng b http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1814-5553

References

- Thygesen K, Alpert JS, Jaffe AS, et al.; Executive Group on behalf of the Joint European Society of Cardiology (ESC)/American College of Cardiology (ACC)/American Heart Association (AHA)/World Heart Federation (WHF) Task Force for the Universal Definition of Myocardial Infarction. Fourth Universal definition of myocardial infarction (2018). Circulation. 2018;138(20): e618–e651.
- [2] Murray J, Balmuri A, Saurav A, et al. Impact of chronic kidney disease on utilization of coronary angiography and percutaneous coronary intervention, and their outcomes in patients with non-ST elevation myocardial infarction. Am J Cardiol. 2018;122(11):1830–1836.
- [3] Vashistha V, Lee M, Wu YL, et al. Low glomerular filtration rate and risk of myocardial infarction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Cardiol. 2016; 223:401–409.
- [4] Smilowitz NR, Gupta N, Guo Y, et al. Management and outcomes of acute myocardial infarction in patients with chronic kidney disease. Int J Cardiol. 2017;227: 1–7.
- [5] Aoki T, Ishii H, Tanaka A, et al. Influence of chronic kidney disease and worsening renal function on clinical outcomes in patients undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention. Clin Exp Nephrol. 2019;23(2):182–188.
- [6] Bhatia S, Arora S, Bhatia SM, et al. Non-ST-segmentelevation myocardial infarction among patients with chronic kidney disease: a propensity score-matched

comparison of percutaneous coronary intervention versus conservative management. J Am Heart Assoc. 2018;7(6):e007920.

- [7] Shroff GR, Frederick PD, Herzog CA. Renal failure and acute myocardial infarction: clinical characteristics in patients with advanced chronic kidney disease, on dialysis, and without chronic kidney disease. A collaborative project of the United States Renal Data System/National Institutes of Health and the National Registry of Myocardial Infarction. Am Heart J. 2012; 163(3):399–406.
- [8] Hawranek M, Gierlotka M, Gąsior M, et al. Renal function on admission affects both treatment strategy and long-term outcomes of patients with myocardial infarction (from the Polish Registry of Acute Coronary Syndromes). Kardiol Pol. 2017;75(4):332–343.
- [9] Wang HT, Chen YL, Wu CJ. Impact of chronic kidney disease on clinical outcomes in patients with non-ST elevation myocardial infarction receiving percutaneous coronary intervention – A five-year observational study. Int J Cardiol. 2016;220:166–172.
- [10] Patel B, Shah M, Dusaj R, et al. Percutaneous coronary intervention and inpatient mortality in patients with advanced chronic kidney disease presenting with acute coronary syndrome. Proc (Bayl Univ Med Cent). 2017;30(4):400–403.
- [11] Nakahashi H, Kosuge M, Sakamaki K, et al. Combined impact of chronic kidney disease and contrastinduced nephropathy on long-term outcomes in patients with ST-segment elevation acute myocardial infarction who undergo primary percutaneous coronary intervention. Heart Vessels. 2017;32(1):22–29.
- [12] Wang AY, Wai-Kei Lam C. The diagnostic utility of cardiac biomarkers in dialysis patients. Semin Dial. 2012; 25(4):388–396.
- [13] Middleton PM. Practical use of the Glasgow Coma Scale; a comprehensive narrative review of GCS methodology. Australas Emerg Nurs J. 2012;15(3):170–183.
- [14] Inker LA, Astor BC, Fox CH, et al. KDOQI US commentary on the 2012 KDIGO clinical practice guideline for the evaluation and management of CKD. Am J Kidney Dis. 2014;63(5):713–735.
- [15] DeLong ER, DeLong DM, Clarke-Pearson DL. Comparing the areas under two or more correlated receiver operating characteristic curves: a nonparametric approach. Biometrics. 1988;44(3):837–845.
- [16] Thygesen K, Alpert JS, Jaffe AS, et al.; Joint ESC/ACCF/ AHA/WHF Task Force for the Universal Definition of Myocardial Infarction. Third universal definition of myocardial infarction. Circulation. 2012;126(16): 2020–2035.
- [17] Sieweke N, Allendorfer J, Franzen W, et al. Cardiac Troponin I elevation after epileptic seizure. BMC Neurol. 2012;12:58.
- [18] Morrow DA, Bonaca MP. Real-world application of "delta" troponin: diagnostic and prognostic implications. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2013;62(14):1239–1241.
- [19] McLaurin MD, Apple FS, Voss EM, et al. Cardiac troponin I, cardiac troponin T, and creatine kinase MB in dialysis patients without ischemic heart disease:

evidence of cardiac troponin T expression in skeletal muscle. Clin Chem. 1997;43(6 Pt 1):976–982.

- [20] Egholm G, Pareek M. Drug-induced rhabdomyolysis with elevated cardiac troponin T. Case Rep Med. 2015; 2015:270204.
- [21] deFilippi CR, Herzog CA. Interpreting cardiac biomarkers in the setting of chronic kidney disease. Clin Chem. 2017;63(1):59–65.
- [22] Apple FS, Murakami MM, Pearce LA, et al. Predictive value of cardiac troponin I and T for subsequent death in end-stage renal disease. Circulation. 2002; 106(23):2941–2945.
- [23] Chotivanawan T, Krittayaphong R. Normal range of serum highly-sensitive troponin-T in patients with chronic kidney disease stage 3-5. J Med Assoc Thai. 2012;95 (Suppl 2):S127–S132.
- [24] Tarapan T, Musikatavorn K, Phairatwet P, et al. High sensitivity Troponin-I levels in asymptomatic hemodialysis patients. Ren Fail. 2019;41(1):393–400.
- [25] Reichlin T, Hochholzer W, Bassetti S, et al. Early diagnosis of myocardial infarction with sensitive cardiac troponin assays. N Engl J Med. 2009;361(9):858–867.
- [26] Weber M, Bazzino O, Navarro Estrada JL, et al. Improved diagnostic and prognostic performance of a new high-sensitive troponin T assay in patients with acute coronary syndrome. Am Heart J. 2011;162(1): 81–88.
- [27] Huang H, Zhu S, Wang W, et al. Diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction in patients with renal insufficiency using high-sensitivity troponin T. Clin Chem Lab Med. 2015;53(5):723–730.
- [28] Pfortmueller CA, Funk GC, Marti G, et al. Diagnostic performance of high-sensitive troponin T in patients with renal insufficiency. Am J Cardiol. 2013;112(12): 1968–1972.
- [29] Huang HL, Zhu S, Wang WQ, et al. Diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction in hemodialysis patients with high-sensitivity cardiac troponin T assay. Arch Pathol Lab Med. 2016;140(1):75–80.
- [30] Twerenbold R, Wildi K, Jaeger C, et al. Optimal cutoff levels of more sensitive cardiac troponin assays for the early diagnosis of myocardial infarction in patients with renal dysfunction. Circulation. 2015;131(23): 2041–2050.
- [31] Kraus D, von Jeinsen B, Tzikas S, et al. Cardiac troponins for the diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction in chronic kidney disease. J Am Heart Assoc. 2018; 7(19):e008032.
- [32] Reichlin T, Irfan A, Twerenbold R, et al. Utility of absolute and relative changes in cardiac troponin concentrations in the early diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction. Circulation. 2011;124(2):136–145.
- [33] Reiter M, Twerenbold R, Reichlin T, et al. Early diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction in the elderly using more sensitive cardiac troponin assays. Eur Heart J. 2011;32(11):1379–1389.
- [34] Reiter M, Twerenbold R, Reichlin T, et al. Early diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction in patients with preexisting coronary artery disease using more sensitive cardiac troponin assays. Eur Heart J. 2012;33(8): 988–997.