



# Article Multi-Year Variation of Ozone and Particulate Matter in Northeast China Based on the Tracking Air Pollution in China (TAP) Data

Hujia Zhao <sup>1,\*</sup>, Ke Gui <sup>2</sup>, Yanjun Ma <sup>1</sup>, Yangfeng Wang <sup>1</sup>, Yaqiang Wang <sup>2</sup>, Hong Wang <sup>2</sup>, Yu Zheng <sup>2</sup>, Lei Li <sup>2</sup>, Lei Zhang <sup>2</sup>, Yuqi Zhang <sup>3</sup>, Huizheng Che <sup>2</sup> and Xiaoye Zhang <sup>2</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Institute of Atmospheric Environment, China Meteorological Administration, Shenyang 110166, China; mayanjun@iaesy.cn (Y.M.); wangyangfeng@iaesy.cn (Y.W.)
- <sup>2</sup> State Key Laboratory of Severe Weather (LASW), Key Laboratory for Atmospheric Chemistry (LAC), Institute of Atmospheric Composition and Environmental Meteorology, Chinese Academy of Meteorological Sciences (CAMS), CMA, Beijing 100081, China; guik@cma.gov.cn (K.G.); yqwang@cma.gov.cn (Y.W.); wangh@cma.gov.cn (H.W.); yuzheng@cma.gov.cn (Y.Z.); lilei@radi.ac.cn (L.L.); leiz09@cma.gov.cn (L.Z.); chehz@cma.gov.cn (H.C.); xiaoye@cma.gov.cn (X.Z.)
- <sup>3</sup> Liaoning Meteorological Service Center, Liaoning Meteorological Bureau, Shenyang 110166, China; caihongke1984@163.com
- \* Correspondence: zhaohj@iaesy.cn

Abstract: With the rapid development of economy and urbanization acceleration, ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) pollution has become the main factor of urban air pollution in China after particulate matter. In this study, 90th percentile of maximum daily average (MDA) 8 h O<sub>3</sub> (O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per) and PM<sub>2.5</sub> data from the Tracking Air Pollution in China (TAP) dataset were used to determine the mean annual, seasonal, monthly, and interannual distribution of O3-8h-90per and PM2.5 concentrations in Northeast China (NEC). The O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentration was highest in Liaoning (>100  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>), whereas the highest PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration was observed mainly in urban areas of central Liaoning and the Harbin-Changchun urban agglomeration (approximately  $60 \ \mu g/m^3$ ). The O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentrations were highest in spring and summer due to more intense solar radiation. On the contrary, the PM2.5 concentration increased considerably in winter influenced by anthropogenic activities. In May and June, the highest monthly mean O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentrations were observed in central and western Liaoning, about 170–180 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, while the PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations were the highest in January, February, and December, approximately 100  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. The annual mean O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentration in NEC showed an increasing trend, while the PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration exhibited an annual decline. By 2020, the annual mean O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentration in southern Liaoning had increased considerably, reaching 120–130  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. From the perspective of city levels, PM<sub>2.5</sub> and O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per also showed an opposite variation trend in the 35 cities of NEC. The reduced tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> column is consistent with the decreasing trend of the interannual  $PM_{2.5}$ , while the increased surface temperature could be the main meteorological factor affecting the  $O_3$ -8h-90per concentration in NEC. The results of this study enable a comprehensive understanding of the regional and climatological O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per and PM<sub>2.5</sub> distribution at distinct spatial and temporal scales in NEC.

Keywords: O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per; PM<sub>2.5</sub>; distribution; interannual; city level; Northeast China

# 1. Introduction

Surface ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) is a critical gaseous pollutant that affects air quality and contributes to climate change [1–4]. O<sub>3</sub> and its interactions with precursor gaseous emissions have been widely researched in recent decades [5,6]. Surface O<sub>3</sub> is formed by photochemical reactions of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and nitrogen oxides; these reactions are exacerbated by sunlight [7–9]. The major contributions to O<sub>3</sub> formation and accumulation emanate from natural emissions and anthropogenic sources such as long-range transport [10–13].



Citation: Zhao, H.; Gui, K.; Ma, Y.; Wang, Y.; Wang, Y.; Wang, H.; Zheng, Y.; Li, L.; Zhang, L.; Zhang, Y.; et al. Multi-Year Variation of Ozone and Particulate Matter in Northeast China Based on the Tracking Air Pollution in China (TAP) Data. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2022**, *19*, 3830. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijerph19073830

Academic Editors: Isidro A. Pérez and M. Ángeles García

Received: 16 February 2022 Accepted: 22 March 2022 Published: 23 March 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). Worldwide, numerous studies have analyzed the relationship between surface  $O_3$  and temperature, pressure, relative humidity, wind, precipitation, cloud cover, and solar radiation, as well as the meteorological factors related to synoptic circulation patterns [14–17].

Due to several decades of rapid economic growth and urbanization, China has experienced severe fine particulate matter (PM2.5) pollution originating from anthropogenic emissions [18–20]. However, in recent years,  $O_3$  has become a more severe air pollutant than  $PM_{2.5}$  is in some Chinese cities, resulting from a substantial increase of  $O_3$  precursor emissions such as VOCs [21–24]. Numerous studies have reported the coordinated pollution of  $O_3$  and particulate matter as the primary urban pollutant in densely populated areas, such as Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei (BTH), the Yangtze River Delta (YRD), the Pearl River Delta (PRD), and the Sichuan Basin [25–27]. Zeng et al. (2020) [28] implied that the concentrations of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> showed different seasonal trends in eastern China. Liu et al. (2021) [29] used the ground-based hyperspectral stereoscopic remote sensing network to provide a promising strategy to support management of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> and their precursors and conduct attribution of sources. In the formation of surface  $O_3$ , meteorological factors play a crucial role [30–34]. Yang et al. (2021) [35] indicated that  $PM_{2.5}$  was primarily affected by wind, temperature, and rainfall, while O<sub>3</sub> was mostly influenced by temperature, relative humidity, and sunshine duration in 284 major cities in China. Dai et al. (2020) [36] identified that the number of days with co-pollution of O<sub>3</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> was mainly dependent on relative humidity, surface air temperature, and wind speed in the Yangtze River Delta region.

Northeast China (NEC) is a key region in Asia, bordering Siberia in the north, the Bohai and Yellow Seas and the Central Plains in the south, Mongolia to the west, and the Korean Peninsula and the Sea of Japan to the east (Figure 1a). Air quality in NEC has been improving because efforts to control  $PM_{2.5}$  have been intensified. However,  $O_3$  has become a new environmental problem. Due to the unique geographical location and meteorological conditions of NEC,  $O_3$  pollution originates not only from local emissions but also from those of surrounding areas. Figure 1b shows the spatial distribution of population density in NEC. The NEC has an uneven distribution of population, while southwest and central NEC are densely populated with a maximum population density of nearly 50 ( $\times$ 1000 persons), followed by eastern NEC with a population density of less than 15 ( $\times$ 1000 persons). The northern mountain of NEC is sparsely populated, with a population density of less than  $0.5 (\times 1000 \text{ persons})$ . The population density in NEC is mainly affected by topography, climate, and economic development, and the natural factors and social factors simultaneously affect the change of population density. From the spatial distribution of population density in the three provinces of NEC, population density is greater in Liaoning than in Jilin province, and lower in Heilongjiang province. The provincial capital cities mainly present a spatial pattern of polycentric concentrated distribution of population. These results indicate that the level of social and economic development is the main factor influencing the distribution of population density in NEC. Therefore, studies in NEC have revealed the importance of considering both local emission sources as well as the long-range transport of pollutants from regions such as the North China Plain [37,38]. Zhu and Liao (2016) [39] reported that surface O<sub>3</sub> levels in NEC were comparable to those of BTH and the YRD and even higher than those in the PRD during spring 2000. NEC is a crucial industrial and agricultural base in China. More research on  $O_3$  pollution and its impact on ecosystem security and agriculture is needed. Understanding the spatiotemporal variation of  $O_3$  and  $PM_{2.5}$  can aid in providing technical support for the prevention and control of  $O_3$  and PM<sub>2.5</sub> pollution in NEC.

This study analyzed the mean annual, seasonal, monthly, and interannual variation and distribution of  $O_3$ -8h-90per and  $PM_{2.5}$  in NEC. The innovation in this study was its focus on the multi-year variation and distribution of  $O_3$ -8h-90per and  $PM_{2.5}$  in NEC. The objective was to investigate the historical variation in surface  $O_3$ -8h-90per (from 2013 to 2020) and  $PM_{2.5}$  (from 2001 to 2020) in NEC on the basis of the Tracking Air Pollution in China (TAP) dataset. The remainder of this paper is structured as follows: Section 2 describes the study area and data sources. Section 3 presents the multi-year variation in  $O_3$ -8h-90per and  $PM_{2.5}$  at temporal (annual, seasonal, monthly, and interannual) and spatial (regional and city level) scales. Sections 4 and 5 discusses and presents the conclusions of this study.





Figure 1. (a) Geography and city distribution and (b) population density in NEC.

## 2. Materials and Methods

NEC ( $120^{\circ}-135^{\circ}$  E,  $40^{\circ}-53^{\circ}$  N) mainly encompasses Heilongjiang, Jilin, and Liaoning provinces. Liaoning is the most populous province and lies in the south of NEC. To the north lie Jilin and the northernmost province of Heilongjiang, which are the major agricultural provinces in the region. Precipitation is highest in summer; winter is characterized by snowfall, and surface snow usually remains for prolonged periods. NEC is the snowiest region in China. In recent years, the intensification of anthropogenic pollutants and adverse meteorological conditions have resulted in numerous processes causing air pollution. In cities with severe pollution and low air quality, particulate matter and O<sub>3</sub> have become the primary pollutants [40–42].

The TAP dataset was developed at Tsinghua University as a cooperative effort among several institutions and teams [43]. The aim was to build a multiscale, near-real-time aerosol and gaseous pollutant concentration database in China and provide essential support for pollution characteristics analysis. The TAP database was generated using state-of-the-art technology involving machine learning algorithms [44,45]. The TAP data are determined based on the combination of multisource data including ground measurements, satellite aerosol optical parameter retrievals, model simulations, and meteorology field, land use information as well as population, and elevation data by multilayer machine learning models. It integrates real-time ground observations, near-real-time satellite remote sensing information, and air quality model simulation with multisource big data and provides near-real-time data while ensuring complete spatiotemporal coverage. Surface  $O_3$ -8h-90per concentration data (2013–2020) and  $PM_{2.5}$  data (2001–2020) for China with a 10 km resolution can be downloaded from http://tapdata.org (last access: 1 July 2021). To our knowledge, this is the first study to use the pollutant concentration data from the newly released TAP dataset for investigating pollution in NEC. In this paper, we define O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per as the average daily maximum O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90 per at the 90th percentile level. The annual, monthly, and seasonal average of  $O_3$ -8h-90per data was used to analyze the temporal scale and spatial distribution of  $O_3$  ( $\mu g/m^3$ ), with the minimum value of 1.00  $\mu g/m^3$ .

Population data were obtained from the combined datasets of the GPWv3 (Gridded Population of the World available at 5-year intervals from 1990 to 2000) and GPWv4 (available at 5-year intervals from 2000 to 2020), from the NASA Socioeconomic Data and Applications Center (http://sedac.ciesin.columbia.edu/, last accessed on 1 July 2021), and the resolution was 2.5 arcminute.

The monthly mean tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> column data from 2005 to 2020 were retrieved from the Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI) observations with a spatial resolution of 0.125° and are available from the Tropospheric Emission Monitoring Internet Service (TEMIS; https://www.temis.nl/index.php/, last accessed 1 July 2021). Four key meteorological factors, precipitation (PPT), wind speed (WS), boundary layer height (BLH), and temperature at 2 m (T2) from 2001 to 2020, were obtained from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) Reanalysis v5 (ERA5; https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/cdsapp#!/search?type=dataset/, last access: 1 July 2021) with a spatial resolution of  $0.25^{\circ} \times 0.25^{\circ}$ .

# 3. Results

# 3.1. Annual Distribution of O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per and PM<sub>2.5</sub> in NEC

The spatial distribution of the mean annual O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentrations in NEC from 2013 to 2020 is presented in Figure 2a. The O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentration was highest in Liaoning (>100  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>); that in northern, western, and southern Liaoning and the Bohai Rim area reached 120  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. By contrast, the O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentration in eastern Liaoning was lower, at approximately 90  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. The O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentration in Jilin was lower than that in Liaoning and distributed in central and western Jilin. The highest O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentration was approximately 90–100  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, and that in other areas of Jilin was approximately 80  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. Compared with the spatial distribution of O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per



concentrations in Liaoning and Jilin, the O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentration in Heilongjiang was relatively low at approximately  $80 \ \mu g/m^3$ .

**Figure 2.** Spatial distribution of mean annual concentrations of (**a**) O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per averaged from 2013–2020 and (**b**) PM<sub>2.5</sub> averaged from 2001–2020 in NEC.

Figure 2b depicts the distribution of the multiyear mean concentrations of  $PM_{2.5}$  in NEC. Compared with the high  $O_3$ -8h-90per concentration in western Liaoning, the high  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration in NEC was distributed mainly in the urban areas of central Liaoning, the Harbin–Changchun urban agglomeration, and other areas where industrial production is expanding and populations growing. Zhao et al. (2021) [46] reported that  $PM_{2.5}$  was concentrated mostly in central Liaoning, western Jilin, and Heilongjiang. The highest  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration was approximately 60 µg/m<sup>3</sup> and occurred in Shenyang, Changchun, Harbin, and other provincial capitals of NEC. With approximately 50 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, the  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration in western Liaoning was higher than that in other areas. The distribution range of high  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations in western Liaoning was not as large as that of  $O_3$ -8h-90per concentrations. Except for the central cities, the  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration in Jilin was approximately 30–40 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, and the lowest  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration was approximately 20 µg/m<sup>3</sup> in the eastern and western marginal areas of Jilin. At approximately 10–20 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, the  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration in northern Heilongjiang was relatively low.

The spatial distribution of  $O_3$ -8h-90per (from 2013 to 2020) and  $PM_{2.5}$  (from 2001 to 2020) concentrations in NEC was closely related to the environmental background, population density, and meteorological condition. The O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per pollution in NEC was concentrated mainly in central and western Liaoning, followed by central Jilin; the overall  $O_3$ -8h-90per concentration in Heilongjiang was low. However, high levels of PM<sub>2.5</sub> were observed throughout the densely populated areas of the three provinces. Due to rapid and geographically distinct urbanization patterns, numerous anthropogenic pollution sources are likely to have led to a regional imbalance in the distribution of PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations. However, the spatial distribution of high  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations was inconsistent with that of high O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentrations. This indicates that although pollutants can increase  $O_3$  precursors to a certain extent, they are not the main factors affecting the distribution of O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentrations in NEC. In particular, important air pollutants such as volatile organic compounds (VOCs) are also important precursors of  $PM_{2.5}$ , and various factors affect the correlation between  $O_3$ -8h-90per and  $PM_{2.5}$ . The existence of a high concentration of  $O_3$  in the atmosphere enhances oxidation of the atmosphere, which is conducive to the formation of secondary particulate matter and thus an increase in PM<sub>2.5</sub> pollution. The presence of a large amount of PM<sub>2.5</sub> weakens the solar radiation reaching the near ground, reducing the photodecomposition reaction rate of  $O_3$ .

## 3.2. Seasonal Distribution of O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per and PM<sub>2.5</sub> in NEC

In this study, the four seasons were spring (March, April, and May), summer (June, July, and August), autumn (September, October, and November) and winter (December, January, and February). The O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentrations were higher in spring and summer, followed by autumn and winter (Figure 3a). In spring, the highest O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentrations, approximately  $120-130 \ \mu g/m^3$ , were observed in central and western Liaoning. At approximately 120  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, the O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentration in central Jilin was relatively high. At approximately 90–100  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, the O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentration throughout Heilongjiang was relatively low. In summer, the O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentrations increased considerably throughout Liaoning, and the highest O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentration was observed in central Liaoning and the Bohai Rim region (150  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>). The next-highest values were observed in central and western Jilin (approximately 120  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>) and Heilongjiang (approximately 90–100  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>). In autumn, the O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentration in NEC decreased markedly. The O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentration in central Liaoning was approximately 100–110  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, and that in Jilin and Heilongjiang decreased to 70–80  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. In winter, the O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentration in NEC reached one of the lowest values of the whole year, approximately  $60 \,\mu\text{g/m}^3$ . The O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentrations in some areas of Jilin were relatively high, approximately 70–80  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. Favorable meteorological conditions such as high temperatures and strong solar radiation can contribute to high O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentrations. In addition, the abundant carbon aerosols produced by biomass burning in spring affect the generation of O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per [47].



**Figure 3.** Spatial distribution of mean seasonal concentrations of (**a**) O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per averaged from 2013–2020 and (**b**) PM<sub>2.5</sub> averaged from 2001–2020 in NEC.

Unlike the distribution of O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentrations, that of PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations increased considerably in winter, followed by that in spring and autumn. The lowest PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations were observed in summer (Figure 3b). In spring, the highest PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations were observed in central Liaoning and central Jilin (approximately 60  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>). The  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration in a typical city reaches 80  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. By contrast, the  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration in Heilongjiang was relatively low, approximately 20–30  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. In summer, the PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration in NEC decreased. Except for the PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations in some central cities of Liaoning, which exhibited values of approximately 50–60  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, the PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration in NEC was lower than 30  $\mu g/m^3.$  In autumn, the  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration in central Liaoning increased considerably. The highest concentration was approximately  $80 \ \mu g/m^3$ , and the PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration in western Liaoning exhibited an increasing trend, reaching approximately 50  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. Similarly, the PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration was approximately 50  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> in central Jilin. In autumn, the PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations in most parts of Heilongjiang were low, approximately  $20-30 \ \mu g/m^3$ . In winter, a peak in PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration was observed in NEC. The highest PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration was approximately 90  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> in central Liaoning, central Jilin, and central as well as western Heilongjiang. The  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration in NEC was approximately 50–60  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. By contrast, the PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration in northern Heilongjiang was low, approximately 20  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>.

The seasonal distribution of  $O_3$ -8h-90per and  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations in NEC indicates that the  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration was the highest in winter (affected mainly by emissions from coal burning), whereas the winter  $O_3$ -8h-90per concentration reached one of the lowest values of the whole year. This indicates that favorable meteorological conditions such as high temperatures and strong solar radiation were crucial factors affecting the formation of  $O_3$ . Zhao et al. (2019) [48] reported that low concentrations of  $O_3$  were observed during winter (when heating is used), whereas relatively higher concentrations were observed in spring, when longer and stronger solar radiation drives the photochemical processes that lead to the formation of  $O_3$  and heating is not used. Xia et al. (2021) [49] also found that high values of daytime maximum, nighttime minimum, and diurnal difference of summer ozone concentration occurred in several city agglomerations of northern and southern China.

#### 3.3. Monthly Distribution of O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per and PM<sub>2.5</sub> in NEC

Figure 4a depicts the monthly mean distribution of O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentrations in NEC from 2013 to 2020. November, December, and January exhibited low O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentrations with a monthly mean of approximately 70  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>.

The monthly mean began to increase in February, and the highest means were observed in central Liaoning, central Jilin, and eastern Heilongjiang, at approximately  $90 \ \mu g/m^3$ . Beginning in March, the monthly mean O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentration increased considerably. It increased to 90  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> in NEC and to 100–110  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> in central Liaoning and Jilin. In April, the monthly mean increased further, and the highest concentration (approximately 130–140  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>) was observed in central Liaoning. In May and June, the spatial distributions of the monthly mean O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentrations were similar. The monthly mean  $O_3$ -8h-90per concentrations in Liaoning increased considerably, and the highest value (170–180  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>) was observed in central and western Liaoning, followed by central and western Jilin (140–150  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>) and other regions (100–110  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>). Beginning in July, the monthly mean O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentrations and their distribution range gradually decreased in NEC. High monthly mean  $O_3$ -8h-90per concentrations (approximately 160  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>) were still observed in central and western Liaoning as well as central and western Jilin (130–140  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>). In August, the highest monthly mean O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentration in NEC was approximately 130–140  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> in central and western Liaoning and approximately 90–100  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> in Jilin and Heilongjiang. After September, the monthly mean  $O_3$ -8h-90per concentrations in NEC began to decrease, and the monthly mean in northern Heilongjiang decreased more rapidly than in other regions. From September to October, the O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentration in NEC continued to decrease, and the spatial distribution of low monthly concentrations gradually increased. The highest monthly

mean  $O_3$ -8h-90per concentrations were observed in central and western Liaoning, approximately 130  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. The  $O_3$ -8h-90per concentrations in most parts of Jilin and Heilongjiang were approximately 90  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, and the lowest  $O_3$ -8h-90per concentration (approximately 70  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>) was observed in northern Heilongjiang.



**Figure 4.** Spatial distribution of mean monthly concentrations of (**a**) O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per averaged from 2013–2020 and (**b**) PM<sub>2.5</sub> averaged from 2001–2020 in NEC.

Figure 4b depicts the monthly mean distribution of  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations in NEC. The  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations in NEC were the highest in January, February, and December, and the highest monthly mean O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentration was approximately 100  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>.

The highest PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration (approximately 100  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>) in NEC was observed in January in central Liaoning and the center of the Harbin–Changchun urban agglomeration. In February, the  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration was lower than that in January but remained high at approximately 80–90  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. Beginning in March, the mean PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration in NEC exhibited a declining trend, with a mean PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration of approximately 60–70  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> and a higher mean PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration of approximately 80  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> in cities. In April, the monthly mean PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations in NEC began to decline gradually, with approximately 50–60  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> observed in Liaoning and 40  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> observed in other regions. From May to September, the monthly mean PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration in NEC decreased to approximately 20  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. In October, the monthly mean PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations in central Liaoning and central Jilin began to increase to approximately  $60 \,\mu g/m^3$ . The monthly mean PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration in NEC increased considerably in November, with the highest PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration (approximately 90  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>) observed in central and western Liaoning, Jilin, and Heilongjiang. In December, the monthly mean  $PM_{25}$  concentration and its distribution range continued to increase in NEC. The highest PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations were observed in central Liaoning and the Harbin–Changchun urban agglomeration, with the monthly mean  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration reaching 100 µg/m<sup>3</sup>.

The spatial distribution of these monthly variations in  $O_3$ -8h-90per and  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations revealed that  $O_3$ -8h-90per and  $PM_{2.5}$  pollution in NEC exhibit unique and time-dependent distribution characteristics. December–February is the main period of  $PM_{2.5}$  pollution in NEC, whereas May–July is the main period of  $O_3$  pollution. Ma et al. (2021a) [50] investigated that the temperature could alter  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $O_3$  through both physical and chemical processes over the North China Plain.

#### 3.4. Interannual Distribution and Trends of $O_3$ -8h-90per and $PM_{2.5}$ in NEC

We analyzed the spatial distribution of the interannual means of O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentration in NEC from 2013 to 2020 (Figure 5a). From 2013 to 2015, the annual mean  $O_3$ -8h-90per concentrations in NEC were low (approximately 80–90  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>), and the annual mean O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentrations in typical areas in central Liaoning were relatively high (approximately 110–120  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>). Beginning in 2016, the annual mean distribution of  $O_3$ -8h-90per in Liaoning exhibited a considerable increasing trend, in terms of both concentration and regional distribution. In 2016, high  $O_3$ -8h-90per concentrations in central Liaoning and the Bohai Rim area were observed mainly in the central regions, and the annual maximal O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentration reached approximately 130  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. In the same period, the annual mean  $O_3$ -8h-90per concentrations in central Jilin were also high. From 2017 to 2020, the spatial distribution of the high annual  $O_3$ -8h-90per concentrations in Liaoning exhibited an expanding trend, and the annual mean O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentration increased to  $130-140 \ \mu g/m^3$  in western and northern Liaoning. At the same time, the annual mean O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentrations in central and western Jilin increased to  $110-120 \ \mu g/m^3$ . Compared with Liaoning and Jilin, the spatial distribution of the annual mean O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentrations in Heilongjiang exhibited only little change. The annual mean spatial distribution of O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentration in Heilongjiang increased from 70–80  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> in 2013 to approximately 90–100  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> in 2020.

We analyzed the interannual variation in  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations in NEC from 2001 to 2020 (Figure 5b).

From 2001 to 2014, the spatial distribution and regional variation of  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations in NEC were consistent. The high  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations were distributed in a zonal pattern, with the highest value reaching 80 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, observed in the central Liaoning city cluster, central Jilin, and areas adjacent to southern Heilongjiang. In 2015, the areas in NEC with high  $PM_{2.5}$  exhibited a decreasing trend. These areas were concentrated in Liaoning, Jilin, Heilongjiang, and several typical cities, and the higher  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration

exhibited a point-like distribution with values reaching 80  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. In 2016, the annual mean PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration in NEC decreased considerably, with the highest PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration, 50–60  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, distributed among Liaoning, Jilin, and central Heilongjiang. In 2017, the area exhibiting high PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations decreased, and the highest PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations (approximately 50  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>) were observed in northern Liaoning as well as in central Jilin and Heilongjiang. In particular, from 2018 to 2020, the PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration in NEC decreased considerably and reached approximately 40  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> in typical cities such as Shenyang, Changchun, and Harbin. According to the analyzed data, the interannual characteristics of the spatial variation of O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per and PM<sub>2.5</sub> reveal that the O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentration in NEC changed mainly regionally, exhibiting an increasing annual trend. By contrast, the PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration exhibited a zonal distribution characteristic from the southwest to the northeast, and the variation of PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations decreased annually.



**Figure 5.** Spatial distribution of mean annual concentrations of (**a**) O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per from 2013–2020 and (**b**) PM<sub>2.5</sub> from 2001–2020 in NEC.

To determine the interannual variations of  $O_3$ -8h-90per and  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations in NEC, we investigated the monthly and annual variations of  $O_3$ -8h-90per and  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations in the three studied provinces.

As depicted in Figure 6A, the interannual variation of the monthly mean O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentration in NEC exhibited obvious periodic variation during 2013–2020. The highest and lowest O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentrations were observed from May to July and December to January, respectively. The monthly mean O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentrations exhibited an increasing trend in Liaoning, Jilin, and Heilongjiang, respectively. By contrast, the interannual variation of the monthly mean PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration during 2001–2020 was not as substantial as the periodic variation of O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per (Figure 6B). The highest PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration was observed in January and February, and the lowest was observed in August and September. The PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations in Liaoning, Jilin, and Heilongjiang exhibited a small monthly decreasing trend, respectively.

We also investigated the trend in the annual mean variation of  $O_3$ -8h-90per from 2013 to 2020 and  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations from 2001 to 2020 in NEC. As depicted in Figure 7A, the annual mean increase of  $O_3$ -8h-90per concentration exhibited slopes of 4.25, 3.13, and 2.35 ( $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>)/yr in Liaoning, Jilin, and Heilongjiang, respectively. In 2013, the annual mean  $O_3$ -8h-90per concentrations in Liaoning, Jilin, and Heilongjiang were low, approximately 91, 81, and 75  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, respectively; in 2014, they increased to approximately 100, 90, and 82  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>; in 2015, they decreased to approximately 95, 87, and 78  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. In 2016, the annual mean  $O_3$ -8h-90per concentrations in Liaoning, Jilin, and Heilongjiang increased rapidly to 120, 104, and 90  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, respectively, and continued increasing until 2019. In 2020, the annual mean  $O_3$ -8h-90per concentrations decreased to approximately 117  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> in Liaoning and 102  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> in Jilin and increased to 92  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> in Heilongjiang.

We also investigated the interannual trend of  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations in NEC from 2001 to 2020. As depicted in Figure 7B, the  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations in Liaoning, Jilin, and Heilongjiang exhibited an annual declining trend with slopes of -0.90, -0.45, and  $-0.11 (\mu g/m^3)/yr$ , respectively.

From 2001 to 2005, the annual mean PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations in Liaoning, Jilin, and Heilongjiang were relatively low, namely, 65, 50, and 38  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, respectively; the highest  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations were approximately 75, 57, and 46  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, respectively, and were observed in 2003. In 2007, the  $PM_{2,5}$  concentrations increased to approximately 85, 70, and 50  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> in Liaoning, Jilin, and Heilongjiang, respectively. Subsequently, the PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations in NEC exhibited a substantial increasing trend, with the annual mean concentrations increasing to 75, 65, and 58  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> in Liaoning, Jilin, and Heilongjiang, respectively, until 2013. After 2013, the PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration decreased considerably in NEC, and the annual minimal PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration was approximately 35  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> in Liaoning, Jilin, and Heilongjiang from 2018 to 2019. After 2020, the annual mean  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations in the three provinces increased, with an annual mean of approximately  $40-45 \,\mu g/m^3$ . The time series of  $O_3$ -8h-90per and  $PM_{2.5}$  in NEC indicates that the  $O_3$ -8h-90per concentrations in the three provinces increased annually, whereas the  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration fluctuated and decreased. The inflection point of PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration change was observed in 2013. This might be related to air control policies that were implemented in NEC that year. As PM<sub>2.5</sub> emissions decreased annually, the O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentrations exhibited an increasing trend. This highlights the relevance of studying the mechanisms and interaction of  $PM_{2.5}$  that affect the formation of surface  $O_3$ . Shao et al. (2021) [51] found that the significance of ozone enhancement due to PM<sub>2.5</sub> dropping depends on both the PM<sub>2.5</sub> levels and optical properties of particles in many mega-cities in China.



**Figure 6.** Interannual trend of mean monthly concentrations of (**A**) O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per from 2013–2020 and (**B**) PM<sub>2.5</sub> from 2001–2020 in (**a**) Liaoning, (**b**) Jilin and (**c**) Heilongjiang province over NEC.



**Figure 7.** Interannual trend of mean annual concentrations of (**A**)  $O_3$ -8h-90per from 2013–2020 and (**B**)  $PM_{2.5}$  from 2001–2020 in (**a**) Liaoning, (**b**) Jilin and (**c**) Heilongjiang province over NEC. Red solid lines indicate slopes with a linear trend and statistical significance at the 95% confidence level (p < 0.05).

# 3.5. Interannual Variation and Trends of O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per at the City Level in NEC

In this section, we present a comparison of city-level interannual variation in O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per and PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations in 35 cities. In Liaoning, the following 14 cities were included: Dandong, Fushun, and Benxi in the east, Jinzhou, Huludao, Fuxin, and Chaoyang in the west, Yingkou, Dalian, and Panjin in the south, Tieling in the north, and Shenyang, Liaoyang, and Anshan in central Liaoning. In Jilin, the following nine cities were included: Yanbian and Baishan in the east, Baicheng, Songyuan, and Siping in the west, Tonghua in the south, and Changchun, Jilin, and Liaoyuan in central Jilin. In Heilongjiang, the following 12 cities were included: Yichun, Qitaihe, Mudanjiang, Jiamusi, Shuangyashan, Hegang, Jixi, Qiqihar, and Daqing in the west, Harbin in the south, Heihe in the north, and Suihua in central Heilongjiang.

As depicted in Figure 8a, the interannual variations in O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentrations in different cities exhibited distinct trends in increases and increase ranges.



**Figure 8.** Interannual trends of (**a**) O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per from 2013–2020 and (**b**) PM<sub>2.5</sub> from 2001–2020 in 35 cities of NEC.

From 2013 to 2015, the annual fluctuation variation in O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentrations in different cities in Liaoning was small, and the concentration was approximately  $90-110 \ \mu g/m^3$ . In 2016, the annual mean O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentration in Panjin, Yingkou, and Dalian (southern Liaoning) began to increase considerably, whereas the  $O_3$ -8h-90per concentration remained at 120–130  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. Jinzhou, Huludao, Fuxin, and Chaoyang (western Liaoning) and Tieling (northern Liaoning) exhibited the next-highest increase and an  $O_3$ -8h-90per concentration of approximately 120  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. The O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentration in Shenyang, Liaoyang, and Anshan (central Liaoning) was approximately 100  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. The lowest O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentration was observed in Dandong, Fushun, and Benxi (eastern Liaoning) with a concentration of approximately 90–100  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> in 2019–2020. The O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentrations in cities in Jilin were lower than those in Liaoning. From 2013 to 2015, the annual flat variation in  $O_3$ -8h-90per concentrations in Jilin was small, and the concentration was approximately 80  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. In 2016, the O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentration in Changchun, Jilin, and Liaoyuan (central Jilin) began to increase to approximately 110  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. Similarly, higher O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentrations (100  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>) were recorded in Baicheng, Songyuan, and Siping (western Jilin). The O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentration in Tonghua (southern Jilin) was approximately 90  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> from 2019 to 2020. The O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentration (approximately  $80 \,\mu\text{g/m}^3$ ) was rather low in Yanbian and Baishan (eastern Jilin). The city-level O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentration in Heilongjiang was lower than that in Liaoning and Jilin. From 2013 to 2015, the annual flat variation in O3-8h-90per concentrations in Heilongjiang was approximately 70–80  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. In 2016, the O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentration exhibited an overall small increase. The highest O3-8h-90per concentration was approximately 100  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> in Daqing (western Heilongjiang). The O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentration was approximately 90  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> in Harbin and Suihua (western and central Heilongjiang, respectively). The annual mean variation in O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentrations in other areas of Heilongjiang from 2019 to 2020 was approximately 80  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>.

These results reveal that the distribution of high city-level  $O_3$ -8h-90per concentrations in NEC was consistent with the spatial distribution of ground temperature. Under the combined influence of high temperatures and solar radiation, the  $O_3$  formation is enhanced. In NEC, the mean temperature in various cities was closely related to distinct meteorological factors, such as the location of land and sea, latitude, topography, and altitude. Studies have reported that rising temperatures could be related to high  $O_3$  pollution in NEC [52,53]. The temperature in Liaoning increased from east to west and from north to south. Therefore, the  $O_3$ -8h-90per concentrations in Huludao, Panjin, and Dalian (southwestern Liaoning) were high, whereas those in Fushun and Benxi (eastern Liaoning) were low. A similar temperature difference between east and west was observed in Jilin. The temperature was relatively high in western Jilin, especially in Baicheng and Siping (southwest Jilin); this high temperature was conducive to the formation of  $O_3$ -8h-90per. The temperature in Baishan and Yanbian (eastern Jilin) was relatively low; therefore, the O3-8h-90per concentration was low. The temperature was relatively high in southern Heilongjiang; therefore, the cities with high O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentration were distributed mostly in southern Heilongjiang. The northern cities exhibited low O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentrations.

The city-level trends of  $PM_{2.5}$  in NEC were opposite to those of  $O_3$ -8h-90per, and several cities exhibited a considerable decrease in  $PM_{2.5}$  (Figure 8b).

From 2001 to 2015, the annual  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations in different regions of Liaoning exhibited high variation, and the highest  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration was approximately 70 µg/m<sup>3</sup> in Shenyang, Liaoyang, and Anshan (central Liaoning). This was followed by that in Tieling (northern Liaoning), with a maximum  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration of approximately 60–70 µg/m<sup>3</sup>. In Jinzhou, Huludao, Fuxin, and Chaoyang (western Liaoning), the highest  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration decreased to 60 µg/m<sup>3</sup>. In Dandong, Fushun, and Benxi (eastern Liaoning) as well as Yingkou, Dalian, and Panjin (southern Liaoning), the  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration was approximately 35–55 µg/m<sup>3</sup>. In 2016, the city-level  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration in Liaoning began to decrease to approximately 25–35 µg/m<sup>3</sup> until 2020. From 2001 to 2015, the city-level  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations in some areas of Jilin were relatively high. The highest  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration

in Jilin was observed in Changchun (central Jilin) at approximately 60  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. Siping and Liaoyuan (western and central Jilin, respectively) exhibited a PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration of approximately 55  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. Low PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations (approximately 20  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>) were observed in Yanbian and Baishan (eastern Jilin) and Tonghua (southern Jilin). The overall city-level PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration in Heilongjiang was lower than that in Liaoning and Jilin. From 2013 to 2015, the annual mean PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations (approximately 50  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>) were relatively large in Suihua and Harbin (central Heilongjiang). Daqing (western Heilongjiang) exhibited the next-highest value of 40  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. Qitaihe (eastern Heilongjiang) and Qiqihar (western Heilongjiang) exhibited a PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration of approximately 35  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. In 2016, the PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration decreased overall, and in 2019–2020, it was approximately 20–30  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>.

Compared with the changes in  $O_3$ -8h-90per concentration, the interannual variation of  $PM_{2.5}$  in NEC revealed  $PM_{2.5}$  pollution at the city level. The cities with high  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations were characterized by industrial activity and large populations. Therefore, the reduction of environmental pollution caused a reduction in the contribution of  $PM_{2.5}$  to air pollution at the city level in NEC.

In order to discuss the potential impact of important meteorological factors and emission sources on  $O_3$ -8h-90per and  $PM_{2.5}$ , we further analyzed the annual variation of tropospheric  $NO_2$  column from 2005 to 2020, precipitation (PPT), wind speed (WS), boundary layer height (BLH), and temperature at 2 m (T2) from 2001 to 2020 in NEC. Figure 9 shows that the tropospheric  $NO_2$  column in NEC has been decreasing since 2012, which is consistent with the decreasing trend of the interannual variation of  $PM_{2.5}$ , indicating the impact of the decreasing intensity of anthropogenic emission sources on  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration. From the interannual variation of meteorological elements in NEC, the higher wind speed and the increase of BLH are conducive to the diffusion of  $PM_{2.5}$  to a certain extent. It is worth noting that temperature at 2 m has shown a significant increasing trend since 2012. In addition to the increase of precursors, the rise of surface temperature may be the main meteorological driving factor affecting the  $O_3$ -8h-90per concentration. In addition to emission and meteorological factors, the chemical mechanism affecting  $O_3$  and  $PM_{2.5}$  is also important and needs further study.



**Figure 9.** Interannual variation of region-averaged tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> columns, precipitation (PPT), wind speed (WS), boundary layer height (BLH), and temperature at 2 m (T2) in (**a**) Liaoning, (**b**) Jilin, and (**c**) Heilongjiang in NEC. Note that tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> columns data are available for the years 2005–2020.

#### 4. Discussion

With increasing urbanization, environmental air quality has become an increasingly critical public concern. The pollution caused by  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $O_3$ -8h-90per has considerably affected people and residential environments. In almost ten years, surface  $O_3$ -8h-90per and PM<sub>2.5</sub> pollution has become an environmental concern. Therefore, studying the distribution and variation of surface O<sub>3</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations has high theoretical and practical value and aids in further investigating the meteorological and chemical factors that determine regional pollution. From the perspective of formation mechanism and meteorology, many previous studies have conducted important research on the variation characteristics of  $O_3$  and  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration in different regions of China. Zhao et al. (2018) [54] pointed out that the PM<sub>2.5</sub> could directly transport from one city to another city, while Tibetan Plateau may be an important source region of high ozone in Sichuan Basin of southwest China. Ma et al. (2021b) [55] illustrated that the increase in volatile organic compounds (VOCs) along with depletions in NO2 and CO significantly boosted the ozone photochemical production in North China plain. Wang et al. (2021) [56] showed that the annual summertime ozone over Central China was significantly correlated with the springtime thermal forcing, indicated by total atmospheric energy over Tibetan Plateau in an interdecadal timescale. During the COVID-19 lockdown period, the results of Yin et al. (2021) [57] suggest that conventional emission reduction of NO<sub>x</sub> could not be sufficient to reduce surface  $O_3$  concentration, and ozone pollution needs to be controlled by a variety of pollutants in central China. The significance of this study is to improve the scientific

understanding of multi-year changes of  $O_3$ -8h-90per and  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations due to climatological characteristics in NEC. This paper focuses on the  $O_3$ -8h-90per and  $PM_{2.5}$  pollution at different temporal and spatial scales, especially at city level in NEC. These results could provide reference on the formation mechanism of ozone and  $PM_{2.5}$  in NEC for further study. Moreover, the correlation between  $O_3$  and  $PM_{2.5}$  is related to the reactions with VOCs. Ozone could oxidize VOCs to less volatile products that likely partition to the particle phase. Therefore, collaborative control of  $O_3$  and  $PM_{2.5}$  must be accelerated to reduce regional haze pollution and photochemical smog events.

#### 5. Conclusions

In this study, TAP  $O_3$ -8h-90per and  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration data were used to analyze the multi-year variation of  $O_3$ -8h-90per and  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations at distinct temporal and spatial scales in NEC.

The concentrations of  $O_3$ -8h-90per were highest in northern, western, and southern Liaoning and the Bohai Rim (up to 120 µg/m<sup>3</sup>). The highest  $O_3$ -8h-90per concentrations (approximately 90–100 µg/m<sup>3</sup>) in Jilin were distributed in the central and western regions. The  $O_3$ -8h-90per concentration in Heilongjiang was relatively small (approximately 80 µg/m<sup>3</sup>). The highest concentrations of PM<sub>2.5</sub> were observed in Shenyang, Changchun, Harbin, and other major provincial cities in NEC (approximately 60 µg/m<sup>3</sup>). The spatial distribution of high PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations was inconsistent with that of high  $O_3$ -8h-90per concentrations. The spatial distribution of  $O_3$ -8h-90per and PM<sub>2.5</sub> was closely related to the meteorological factors, population density, and environmental impact. In addition,  $O_3$  could also oxidize pollutants in the air and increase PM<sub>2.5</sub>, while PM<sub>2.5</sub> can reduce the solar radiation reaching the ground and decrease the rate of  $O_3$  photochemical formation.

The O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentrations were highest in spring and summer, followed by autumn and winter. In spring, the highest O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentrations (approximately 120–130  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>) were observed in central and western Liaoning. Then, in summer, the O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentration increased considerably in the whole province (to approximately 150  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>). In autumn, the O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentration in NEC decreased markedly until, in winter, the O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentration reached one of its lowest values (approximately 60  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>). By contrast, the distribution of PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration increased considerably in winter, followed by that in spring and autumn; the lowest PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration was observed in summer. In spring, the highest PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations (approximately 60  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>) were observed in central Liaoning and central Jilin. In summer, the PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration in NEC decreased. Then, in autumn and winter, the PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration in central Liaoning increased considerably, reaching approximately 80–90  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. The highest PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration is such as high temperatures and strong solar radiation were conducive to the formation of O<sub>3</sub>.

The monthly mean  $O_3$ -8h-90per concentration was approximately 70 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, with low concentrations observed in November, December, and January. In May and June, the spatial distribution of the monthly mean  $O_3$ -8h-90per concentration increased substantially, and the highest  $O_3$ -8h-90per concentrations were observed in central and western Liaoning (170–180 µg/m<sup>3</sup>), followed by central and western Jilin (140–150 µg/m<sup>3</sup>) and other regions (100–110 µg/m<sup>3</sup>). By contrast, the PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations (approximately 100 µg/m<sup>3</sup>) were higher in December, January, and February. The highest PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration was observed in January in central Liaoning and the central part of the Harbin–Changchun urban agglomeration; the highest PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration was approximately 100 µg/m<sup>3</sup>. In February, the PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration was lower than that of January, but remained high (approximately 80–90 µg/m<sup>3</sup>).

From 2013 to 2015, the annual mean  $O_3$ -8h-90per concentration was low (approximately 80–90 µg/m<sup>3</sup>). In 2016, the annual mean distribution of  $O_3$ -8h-90per in Liaoning began to considerably increase, both in concentration level and in regional distribution. From 2001 to 2014, the highest PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations were distributed in a zonal pattern, with the highest concentrations (80 µg/m<sup>3</sup>) observed in central Liaoning, central Jilin,

and the areas adjacent to southern Heilongjiang. In 2015, the PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations in Liaoning, Jilin, Heilongjiang, and other typical cities exhibited a decreasing trend, reaching approximately 80  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. The interannual variation of the monthly mean O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentrations exhibited a marked periodic variation during 2013–2020. The monthly mean O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentrations in Liaoning, Jilin, and Heilongjiang exhibited an increasing trend, while the PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations showed a small monthly decreasing trend, respectively. The annual mean increases of O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentration in the three provinces exhibited slopes of 4.25, 3.13, and 2.35 ( $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>)/yr in Liaoning, Jilin, and Heilongjiang exhibited annual decreasing trends with slopes of -0.90, -0.45 and -0.11 ( $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>)/yr, respectively.

In 2016, the annual mean  $O_3$ -8h-90per concentrations in Panjin, Yingkou, and Dalian (southern Liaoning) began to increase markedly (120–130 µg/m<sup>3</sup>). In 2016, the  $O_3$ -8h-90per concentrations in Changchun, Jilin, and Liaoyuan (central Jilin) were relatively high (approximately 110 µg/m<sup>3</sup>). From 2013 to 2015, the annual flat variation of  $O_3$ -8h-90per concentration in Heilongjiang was approximately 70–80 µg/m<sup>3</sup>. The annual mean variation in  $O_3$ -8h-90per concentrations in other areas of Heilongjiang from 2019 to 2020 was approximately 80 µg/m<sup>3</sup>. The temperature distribution in Liaoning increased from east to west and from north to south. The city-level distribution of high  $O_3$ -8h-90per concentrations was consistent with the spatial distribution of ground temperatures.

From 2001 to 2015, the annual  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration in various regions of Liaoning exhibited high variation. In 2016, the city-level  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration in Liaoning began to decrease, reaching approximately 25–35 µg/m<sup>3</sup> in 2020. From 2001 to 2015, the city-level  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations in some areas of Jilin were high. From 2013 to 2015, the annual mean  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations were relatively high in Suihua and Harbin (central Heilongjiang), approximately 50 µg/m<sup>3</sup>; Subsequently, the  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration decreased overall, reaching approximately 20–30 µg/m<sup>3</sup> in 2019–2020. The cities with high  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration were characterized by industrial activity and high population densities. Since 2012, the interannual variation of tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> has been decreasing, which is consistent with  $PM_{2.5}$ , indicating the influence of anthropogenic emission sources on the level of  $PM_{2.5}$ ; on the contrary, the temperature at 2 m showed a significant increasing trend, which had an important impact on the increase of O<sub>3</sub>-8h-90per concentration The objective of this study was to elucidate the multi-year variation and current levels of O<sub>3</sub> and  $PM_{2.5}$  pollution in NEC and provide crucial scientific support for regional air pollution prevention and control measures in China.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, writing—original draft preparation, H.Z. and K.G.; data curation, Y.M. and Y.W. (Yangfeng Wang); investigation, Y.W. (Yaqiang Wang) and H.W.; writing—review and editing, Y.Z. (Yu Zheng), L.L., L.Z. and Y.Z. (Yuqi Zhang); conceptualization, writing—review and editing, H.C. and X.Z. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This work is financially supported by grant from National Natural Science Foundation of China (41875157, 41825011 & 42030608), Basic Scientific Research Fund of Shenyang Institute of Atmospheric Environment (2021SYIAEZD3, 2020SYIAEZD1), Science and Technology Development Fund of Chinese Academy of Meteorological Sciences (2019KJ001), Key R&D Program of Science and Technology Department of Liaoning Province (2019JH8/10300094, 2019JH8/10300095). Tsinghua University's Tracking Air Pollution team is gratefully acknowledged for making the fine particulate matter concentration data and 10 km resolution near-surface ozone concentration data publicly accessible. The authors would like to thank the anonymous reviewers and the editor for their constructive suggestions and comments.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

## Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

- Lefohn, A.S.; Malley, C.S.; Smith, L.; Wells, B.; Hazucha, M.; Simon, H.; Naik, V.; Mills, G.; Schultz, M.G.; Paoletti, E.; et al. Tropospheric ozone assessment report: Global ozone metrics for climate change, human health, and crop/ecosystem research. *Elem. Sci. Anthr.* 2018, *6*, 28. [CrossRef]
- 2. Lelieveld, J.; Evans, J.S.; Fnais, M.; Giannadaki, D.; Pozzer, A. The contribution of outdoor air pollution sources to premature mortality on a global scale. *Nature* **2015**, *525*, 367–371. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Monks, P.S.; Archibald, A.T.; Colette, A.; Cooper, O.; Coyle, M.; Derwent, R.; Fowler, D.; Granier, C.; Law, K.S.; Mills, G.E.; et al. Tropospheric ozone and its precursors from the urban to the global scale from air quality to short-lived climate forcer. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 2015, *15*, 8889–8973. [CrossRef]
- 4. Stocker, T.F.; Qin, D.; Plattner, G.K.; Tignor, M.; Allen, S.K.; Boschung, J.; Nauels, A.; Xia, Y.; Bex, V.; Midgley, P.M. *Climate Change* 2013: *The Physical Science Basis*; Cambridge University Press: New York, NY, USA, 2013. [CrossRef]
- 5. Sillman, S. The relation between ozone, NOx and hydrocarbons in urban and polluted rural environments. *Atmos. Environ.* **1999**, 33, 1821–1845. [CrossRef]
- 6. NRC. Rethinking the Ozone Problem in Urban and Regional Air Pollution; National Academy Press: Washington, DC, USA, 1991.
- 7. Trainer, M.; Parrish, D.D.; Goldan, P.D.; Roberts, J.; Fehsenfeld, F.C. Review of observation-based analysis of the regional factors influencing ozone concentrations. *Atmos. Environ.* **2000**, *34*, 2045–2061. [CrossRef]
- 8. Vingarzan, R. A review of surface ozone background levels and trends. Atmos. Environ. 2004, 38, 3431–3442. [CrossRef]
- 9. Fiore, A.M.; Jacob, D.J.; Field, B.D.; Streets, D.G.; Fernandes, S.D.; Jang, C. Linking ozone pollution and climate change: The case for controlling methane. *Geophys Res. Lett.* **2002**, *29*, 21–25. [CrossRef]
- 10. Jacob, D.J.; Winner, D.A. Effect of climate change on air quality. Atmos. Environ. 2009, 43, 51-63. [CrossRef]
- 11. Fiore, A.M.; Naik, V.; Spracklen, D.V.; Steiner, A.; Unger, N.; Prather, M.; Bergmann, D.; Cameron-Smith, P.J.; Cionni, I.; Collins, W.J.; et al. Global air quality and climate. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2012**, *41*, 6663–6683. [CrossRef]
- 12. Atkinson, R. Atmospheric chemistry of VOCs and Nox. Atmos. Environ. 2000, 34, 2063–2101. [CrossRef]
- 13. Roelofs, G.J.; Lelieveld, J.; van Dorland, R. A threedimensional chemistry/general circulation model simulation of anthropogenically derived ozone in the troposphere and its radiative climate forcing. *J. Geophys. Res.* **1997**, *102*, 23389–23401. [CrossRef]
- 14. Bloomer, B.J.; Stehr, J.W.; Piety, C.A.; Salawitch, R.J.; Dickerson, R.R. Observed relationships of ozone air pollution with temperature and emissions. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 2009, *36*, 269–277. [CrossRef]
- Doherty, R.M.; Wild, O.; Shindell, D.T.; Zeng, G.; MacKenzie, I.A.; Collins, W.J.; Fiore, A.M.; Stevenson, D.S.; Dentener, F.J.; Schultz, M.G.; et al. Impacts of climate change on surface ozone and intercontinental ozone pollution: A multi-model study. *J. Geophys. Res.* 2013, *118*, 3744–3763. [CrossRef]
- 16. Dayan, U.; Levy, I. Relationship between synoptic-scale atmospheric circulation and ozone concentrations over Israel. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* **2002**, *107*, 4813. [CrossRef]
- 17. Camalier, L.; Cox, W.; Dolwick, P. The effects of meteorology on ozone in urban areas and their use in assessing ozone trends. *Atmos. Environ.* **2007**, *41*, 7127–7137. [CrossRef]
- Che, H.; Gui, K.; Xia, X.; Wang, Y.; Holben, B.N.; Goloub, P.; Cuevas-Agulló, E.; Wang, H.; Zheng, Y.; Zhao, H.; et al. Large contribution of meteorological factors to inter-decadal changes in regional aerosol optical depth. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 2019, 19, 10497–10523. [CrossRef]
- Gui, K.; Che, H.; Zeng, Z.; Wang, Y.; Zhai, S.; Wang, Z.; Luo, M.; Zhang, L.; Liao, T.; Zhao, H.; et al. Construction of a virtual PM<sub>2.5</sub> observation network in China based on high-density surface meteorological observations using the Extreme Gradient Boosting model. *Environ. Int.* 2020, 141, 105801. [CrossRef]
- 20. Huang, R.J.; Zhang, Y.L.; Bozzetti, C.; Ho, K.F.; Cao, J.J.; Han, Y.M.; Daellenbach, K.R.; Slowik, J.G.; Platt, S.M.; Canonaco, F.; et al. High secondary aerosol contribution to particulate pollution during haze events in China. *Naturie* 2014, 514, 218–222. [CrossRef]
- 21. Lu, X.; Hong, J.Y.; Zhang, L.; Cooper, O.R.; Schultz, M.G.; Xu, X.B.; Wang, T.; Gao, M.; Zhao, Y.H.; Zhang, Y.H. Severe surface ozone pollution in China: A global perspective. *Environ. Sci. Tech. Lett.* **2018**, *5*, 487–494. [CrossRef]
- 22. Ling, Z.H.; Guo, H.; Cheng, H.R.; Yu, Y.F. Sources of ambient volatile organic compounds and their contributions to photochemical ozone formation at a site in the Pearl River Delta, southern China. *Environ. Pollut.* **2011**, *159*, 2310–2319. [CrossRef]
- 23. Wang, T.; Xue, L.K.; Brimblecombe, P.; Lam, Y.F.; Li, L.; Zhang, L. Ozone pollution in China: A review of concentrations, meteorological influences, chemical precursors, and effects. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2017**, *575*, 1582–1596. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Wang, T.; Dai, J.; Lam, K.S.; Nan Poon, C.; Brasseur, G.P. Twenty-five years of lower tropospheric ozone observations in tropical East Asia: The influence of emissions and weather patterns. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **2019**, *46*, 11463–11470. [CrossRef]
- Zheng, J.Y.; Zhong, L.J.; Wang, T.; Louie, P.K.K.; Li, Z.C. Ground-level ozone in the Pearl River Delta region: Analysis of data from a recently established regional air quality monitoring network. *Atmos. Environ.* 2010, 44, 814–823. [CrossRef]
- Zhao, S.; Yu, Y.; Qin, D.; Yin, D.; Dong, L.; He, J. Analyses of regional pollution and transportation of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and ozone in the city clusters of Sichuan Basin, China. *Atmos. Pollut. Res.* 2018, 10, 374–385. [CrossRef]
- Sun, L.; Xue, L.; Wang, Y.; Li, L.; Lin, J.; Ni, R.; Yan, Y.; Chen, L.; Li, J.; Zhang, Q.; et al. Impacts of meteorology and emissions on summertime surface ozone increases over central eastern China between 2003 and 2015. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 2019, 19, 1455–1469. [CrossRef]

- Zeng, J.; Zhang, L.; Yao, C.; Xie, T.; Rao, L.; Lu, H.; Liu, X.; Wang, Q.; Lu, S. Relationships between chemical elements of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> in Shanghai atmosphere based on the 1-year monitoring observation. *J. Environ. Sci.* 2020, *95*, 49–57. [CrossRef]
- Liu, C.; Xing, C.; Hu, Q.; Li, Q.; Liu, H.; Hong, Q.; Tan, W.; Ji, X.; Lin, H.; Lu, C.; et al. Ground-based Hyperspectral Stereoscopic Remote Sensing Network: A Promising Strategy to Learn Coordinated Control of O<sub>3</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> over China. *Engineering* 2021, *in* press. [CrossRef]
- Lee, Y.C.; Shindell, D.T.; Faluvegi, G.; Wenig, M.; Lam, Y.F.; Ning, Z.; Hao, S.; Lai, C.S. Increase of ozone concentrations, its temperature sensitivity and the precursor factor in South China. *Tellus B* 2014, *66*, 23455. [CrossRef]
- 31. He, J.; Gong, S.; Yu, Y.; Yu, L.; Wu, L.; Mao, H.; Song, C.; Zhao, S.; Liu, H.; Li, X.; et al. Air pollution characteristics and their relation to meteorological conditions during 2014–2015 in major Chinese cities. *Environ. Pollut.* 2017, 223, 484–496. [CrossRef]
- 32. Wang, T.; Cheung, V.T.F.; Li, Y.S.; Anson, M. Ozone and relatedgaseous pollutants in the boundary layer of eastern china: Overview of the recent measurements at a rural site. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **2001**, *28*, 2373–2376. [CrossRef]
- Gong, C.; Liao, H. A typical weather pattern for ozone pollution events in North China. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 2019, 19, 13725–13740. [CrossRef]
- Yin, Z.; Cao, B.; Wang, H. Dominant patterns of summer ozone pollution in eastern China and associated atmospheric circulations. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 2019, 19, 13933–13943. [CrossRef]
- 35. Yang, Z.; Yang, J.; Li, M.; Chen, J.; Ou, C. Nonlinear and lagged meteorological effects on daily levels of ambient PM<sub>2.5</sub> and O<sub>3</sub>: Evidence from 284 Chinese cities. *J. Clean. Prod.* **2021**, *278*, 123931. [CrossRef]
- Dai, H.; Zhu, J.; Liao, H.; Li, J.; Liang, M.; Yang, Y.; Yue, X. Co-occurrence of ozone and PM<sub>2.5</sub> pollution in the Yangtze River Delta over 2013–2019: Spatiotemporal distribution and meteorological conditions. *Atmos. Res.* 2020, 249, 105363. [CrossRef]
- Wu, R.; Xie, S.D. Spatial distribution of ozone formation in China derived from emissions of speciated volatile organic compounds. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2017, 51, 2574–2583. [CrossRef]
- Ding, A.J.; Wang, T.; Xue, L.K.; Gao, J.; Stohl, A.; Lei, H.C.; Jin, D.Z.; Ren, Y.; Wang, X.Z.; Wei, X.L.; et al. Transport of north China air pollution by midlatitude cyclones: Case study of aircraft measurements in summer 2007. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 2009, 114, D08304. [CrossRef]
- Zhu, J.; Liao, H. Future ozone air quality and radiative forcing over China owing to future changes in emissions under the Representative Concentration PathFuture ozone air quality and radiative forcing ovways (RCPs). J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 2016, 121, 1978–2001. [CrossRef]
- 40. Zhao, H.; Che, H.; Zhang, L.; Gui, K.; Ma, Y.; Wang, Y.; Wang, H.; Zheng, Y.; Zhang, X. How aerosol transport from the North China plain contributes to air quality in northeast China. *Sci. Total. Environ.* **2020**, *738*, 139555. [CrossRef]
- Li, Y.; Zhao, H.; Wu, Y. Characteristics of particulate matter during haze and fog (pollution) episodes over Northeast China, Autumn 2013. Aerosol Air. Qual. Res. 2015, 15, 853–864. [CrossRef]
- Liu, N.; Ma, Y.; Ma, J.; Wang, Y.; Yang, S.; Li, L. Atmospheric extinction properties in Shenyang, China. *Particuology* 2015, 18, 120–126. [CrossRef]
- Geng, G.; Xiao, Q.; Liu, S.; Liu, X.; Cheng, J.; Zheng, Y.; Xue, T.; Tong, D.; Zheng, B.; Peng, Y.; et al. Tracking Air Pollution in China: Near Real-Time PM<sub>2.5</sub> Retrievals from Multisource Data Fusion. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2021, 55, 12106–12115. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Xiao, Q.; Geng, G.; Cheng, J.; Liang, F.; Li, R.; Meng, X.; Xue, T.; Huang, X.; Kan, H.; Zhang, Q.; et al. Evaluation of gap-filling approaches in satellite-based daily PM<sub>2.5</sub> prediction models. *Atmos. Environ.* **2021**, 244, 117921. [CrossRef]
- Xiao, Q.; Zheng, Y.; Geng, G.; Chen, C.; Huang, X.; Che, H.; Zhang, X.; He, K.; Zhang, Q. Separating emission and meteorological contribution to PM<sub>2.5</sub> trends over East China during 2000–2018. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 2021, 2021, 9475–9496. [CrossRef]
- Zhao, H.; Gui, K.; Ma, Y.; Wang, Y.; Wang, Y.; Wang, H.; Zheng, Y.; Li, L.; Zhang, L.; Che, H.; et al. Climatology and trends of aerosol optical depth with different particle size and shape in northeast China from 2001 to 2018. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2021, 763, 142979. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wu, Y.; Zhu, J.; Che, H.; Xia, X.; Zhang, R. Column-integrated aerosol optical properties and direct radiative forcing based on sun photometer measurements at a semi-arid rural site in Northeast China. *Atmos. Res.* 2015, 157, 56–65. [CrossRef]
- Zhao, H.; Ma, Y.; Wang, Y.; Wang, H.; Sheng, Z.; Gui, K.; Zheng, Y.; Zhang, X.; Che, H. Aerosol and gaseous pollutant characteristics during the heating season (winter-spring transition) in the Harbin-Changchun megalopolis, northeastern China. *J. Atmos. Solar-Terr. Phys.* 2019, 188, 26–43. [CrossRef]
- 49. Xia, N.; Du, E.; Guo, Z.; Vries, W. The diurnal cycle of summer tropospheric ozone concentrations across Chinese cities: Spatial patterns and main drivers. *Environ. Pollut.* **2021**, *286*, 117547. [CrossRef]
- Ma, S.; Shao, M.; Zhang, Y.; Dai, Q.; Xie, M. Sensitivity of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> pollution episodes to meteorological factors over the North China Plain. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2021, 792, 148474. [CrossRef]
- Shao, M.; Wang, W.; Yuan, B.; Parrish, D.; Li, X.; Lu, K.; Wu, L.; Wang, X.; Mo, Z.; Yang, S.; et al. Quantifying the role of PM<sub>2.5</sub> dropping in variations of ground-level ozone: Inter-comparison between Beijing and Los Angeles. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2021, 788, 147712. [CrossRef]
- 52. Sun, F.H.; Yuan, J.; Lu, S. The change and test of climate in Northeast China over the last 100 years. *Clim. Environ. Res.* 2006, 11, 101–108. [CrossRef]

- 53. Wang, L.; Wang, W.J.; Wu, Z.; Du, H.; Shen, X.; Ma, S. Spatial and temporal variations of summer hot days and heat waves and their relationships with large-scale atmospheric circulations across Northeast China. *Int. J. Climatol.* **2018**, *38*, 5633–5645. [CrossRef]
- 54. Zhao, S.P.; Yu, Y.; Yin, D.Y.; Qin, D.H.; He, J.J.; Dong, L.X. Spatial patterns and temporal variations of six criteria air pollutants during 2015 to 2017 in the city clusters of Sichuan Basin, China. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2018**, *624*, 540–557. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 55. Ma, M.; Yao, G.; Guo, J.; Bai, K. Distinct spatiotemporal variation patterns of surface ozone in China due to diverse influential factors. *J. Environ. Manag.* 2021, 288, 112368. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 56. Wang, Y.; Yan, Y.; Duan, K.; Kong, S.; Lin, J.; Zheng, H.; Song, A.; Zhang, Z. Effect of springtime thermal forcing over Tibetan Plateau on summertime ozone in Central China during the period 1950–2019. *Atmos. Res.* **2021**, *261*, 105723. [CrossRef]
- Yin, H.; Liu, C.; Hu, Q.; Liu, T.; Wang, S.; Gao, M.; Xu, S.; Zhang, C.; Su, W. Opposite impact of emission reduction during the COVID-19 lockdown period on the surface concentrations of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> in Wuhan, China. *Environ. Pollut.* 2021, 289, 117899. [CrossRef]