Check for updates

Citation: Schmidt TL, Chung J, Honnen A-C, Weeks AR, Hoffmann AA (2020) Population genomics of two invasive mosquitoes (*Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus*) from the Indo-Pacific. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 14(7): e0008463. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0008463

Editor: Philip M. Armstrong, The Connecticut Agricultural Experiment Station, UNITED STATES

Received: November 24, 2019

Accepted: June 8, 2020

Published: July 17, 2020

Copyright: © 2020 Schmidt et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: Aligned .bam files for 224 Ae. aegypti and 256 Ae. albopictus are available at the NCBI Sequence Read Archive under project accession number PRJNA641723.

Funding: AAH was supported by Program and Fellowship grants from the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC), no. 1037003 (https://www.nhmrc.gov.au). TLS and AAH were also supported by the Wellcome Trust UK, no. 108508 (https://wellcome.ac.uk). A-CH was funded the German Science Foundation (HO 5981/1-1) **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

Population genomics of two invasive mosquitoes (*Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus*) from the Indo-Pacific

Thomas L. Schmidt^{1*}, Jessica Chung^{1,2}, Ann-Christin Honnen^{3,4}, Andrew R. Weeks^{1,5}, Ary A. Hoffmann¹

1 School of BioSciences, Bio21 Institute, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, Australia, 2 Melbourne Bioinformatics, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, Australia, 3 Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute, Basel, Switzerland, 4 University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland, 5 cesar Pty Ltd, Parkville, Victoria, Australia

* toms@unimelb.edu.au

Abstract

The arbovirus vectors Aedes aegypti (yellow fever mosquito) and Ae. albopictus (Asian tiger mosquito) are both common throughout the Indo-Pacific region, where 70% of global dengue transmission occurs. For Ae. aegypti all Indo-Pacific populations are invasive, having spread from an initial native range of Africa, while for Ae. albopictus the Indo-Pacific includes invasive populations and those from the native range: putatively, India to Japan to Southeast Asia. This study analyses the population genomics of 480 of these mosquitoes sampled from 27 locations in the Indo-Pacific. We investigated patterns of genome-wide genetic differentiation to compare pathways of invasion and ongoing gene flow in both species, and to compare invasive and native-range populations of Ae. albopictus. We also tested landscape genomic hypotheses that genetic differentiation would increase with geographical distance and be lower between locations with high connectivity to human transportation routes, the primary means of dispersal at these scales. We found that genetic distances were generally higher in Ae. aegypti, with Pacific populations the most highly differentiated. The most differentiated Ae. albopictus populations were in Vanuatu, Indonesia and Sri Lanka, the latter two representing potential native-range populations and potential cryptic subspeciation respectively. Genetic distances in Ae. aegypti increased with geographical distance, while in Ae. albopictus they decreased with higher connectivity to human transportation routes. Contrary to the situation in Ae. aegypti, we found evidence of long-distance Ae. albopictus colonisation events, including colonisation of Mauritius from East Asia and of Fiji from Southeast Asia. These direct genomic comparisons indicate likely differences in dispersal ecology in these species, despite their broadly sympatric distributions and similar use of human transport to disperse. Our findings will assist biosecurity operations to trace the source of invasive material and for biocontrol operations that benefit from matching genetic backgrounds of released and local populations.

and the Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Author summary

The mosquitoes Ae. aegypti and Ae. albopictus are highly invasive and transmit dengue and other arboviruses. This study investigates the genetics of these mosquitoes in the Indo-Pacific region, where 70% of global dengue transmission occurs and where both species have established widespread invasions by hitch-hiking on human transport vessels. We compared patterns of genetic differentiation to determine the pathways these species have taken while spreading through the Indo-Pacific, and to better understand how they disperse. We sequenced DNA from 480 mosquitoes sampled from 27 locations in the Indo-Pacific, and found many genetic differences between the two species. Populations of Ae. aegypti, which is not native to the region, tended to be genetically different from each other, and populations in the Pacific Ocean were particularly divergent. Aedes albopictus populations were generally more similar to each other, though genetically different populations in Sri Lanka and Indonesia point to these having a different history to other populations. Genetic differences between Ae. aegypti populations were larger when populations were geographically distant, while differences between Ae. albopictus populations were larger when populations likely had limited access to human transportation. These results will help improve strategies for controlling these species and stopping their spread around the world.

Introduction

The Indo-Pacific region, here defined as encompassing the Indian Ocean, the western and central Pacific Ocean, and the coastal territories therein, is the site of 70% of global dengue transmission [1]. Infections are vectored by two mosquito species, *Aedes aegypti* (yellow fever mosquito) and *Ae. albopictus* (Asian tiger mosquito) [2,3], both of which have established widespread invasions in the region. *Aedes aegypti*, the primary regional vector, has a putative native range of West Africa [4] and is thought to have invaded the Indian Ocean via the Mediterranean [5], while its invasion history in the western Pacific remains unclear but likely happened in the ~18-19th c. [6]. *Aedes albopictus* has a hypothesised native range that includes Japan, China, northern India, and parts of Southeast Asia [7]. The southern extent of this range remains unclear and may extend as far as Indonesia and New Guinea [7–9]. Regional expansion of *Ae. albopictus* is thought to have occurred more recently than *Ae. aegypti*, with colonisation likely involving successive waves [8,10].

Like many invasive taxa, *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus* (hereafter *Aedes* spp.) exhibit stratified dispersal. This consists of two distinct processes: short-range, active dispersal and longrange, passive dispersal [11]. Active dispersal of *Aedes aegypti* is generally highly localised, as measured by both traditional mark-release-recapture methods (8–199 m/gen [12]) or current methods using genomic estimates of relatedness (33–131 m/gen [13]). Similar observations have been made of *Ae. albopictus* using mark-release-recapture [14]. At the metropolitan scale (< 50 km), passive dispersal in *Aedes* spp. has been observed directly [15] or inferred from the spatial distribution of close kin [16,17]. Dispersal at broader scales such as between cities is almost exclusively by passive transportation on ships, aircraft and land vehicles [18–21].

The unrestricted spread of *Aedes* spp. has many adverse consequences. Both species are presently continuing to colonise new tropical, subtropical and, for *Ae. albopictus*, temperate regions [22], and future expansions are expected in the face of increased urbanisation and climate change [23]. In addition to range expansions, dispersal between *Aedes* spp. populations can spread advantageous alleles that reduce the efficacy of local control programs [24].

Incursions of these species are frequently linked to vessels such as ships and planes [19,20,25], and when source locations can be identified they are often far from the point of collection [20,25].

Understanding the forces that influence dispersal, gene flow, and population structure in *Aedes* spp. can help efforts to prevent, control, and prepare for future threats from these species. As well as being a critical focus for dengue control efforts, the Indo-Pacific presents a useful location to investigate these processes due to the broad sympatry of *Aedes* spp. within the region. Investigating the genetics of sympatric taxa can help link patterns of genetic differentiation to the forces that shape population structure [26,27]. For instance, when taxa share a common area but have contrasting patterns of differentiation, this may indicate intrinsic differences in how they disperse [28–30], while similar dispersal behaviour can produce similar patterns of differentiation [31].

Here we investigate these processes in *Aedes* spp. from the Indo-Pacific region at spatial scales from ~20 km (inter-city) to ~16,000 km (trans-oceanic). Dispersal at these scales largely proceeds along the network of shipping routes that link each inhabited coastal location with every other location (Fig 1). As *Aedes* spp. are thought to make use of the same vessel types for transportation, their dispersal may take place along similar pathways, which may produce similar patterns of genetic structure [31,32]. However, there are several reasons why different patterns might be observed. *Aedes albopictus* may be able to survive transportation over longer distances than *Ae. aegypti* by undergoing quiescence and diapause [33–35] which confers resistance to cold and desiccation [36]. *Aedes aegypti* does not undergo diapause but can make use of egg quiescence to survive adverse conditions [35]. Heterogeneous histories of colonisation



Fig 1. Sampling locations of *Aedes aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus.* White lines indicate density of shipping routes. The map uses a Mollweide projection with a central meridian of 120°E. The map was produced in ARCMAP 10.5.1 using shipping route data made available from Halpern et al. [39].

may also produce differences in structure. At broad scales, this relates to differences between the two species in the timing and direction of invasions. At finer scales, stochastic processes during colonisation can produce rapid change in allele frequencies [37]. For any of the above processes, genetic structure can be resistant to 'erosion' via gene flow if local population densities are high [38] as they generally are for *Aedes* spp.

This study uses high-resolution markers to compare patterns of broad-scale genetic structure in *Aedes* spp.. Few such comparative studies have been conducted [40,41], and none have used high-resolution molecular markers, which have greater demonstrated power to distinguish between *Aedes* populations [42]. We also test landscape genomic hypotheses of isolation by distance [43] and gene flow via connectivity to human transportation routes. These analyses provide a means of disentangling the putative influences of human transportation routes which may direct gene flow, high local population density following invasion which may limit the effects of gene flow, and diapause in *Ae. albopictus* which may permit gene flow between more distant populations than for *Ae. aegypti*. Recently, single-species studies using high-resolution molecular markers have reported strong divergence among *Ae. aegypti* populations [5,42] and weaker divergence among *Ae. albopictus* populations [44,45], though highly-differentiated *Ae. albopictus* populations have been recorded [46]. As broad-scale studies have typically included a greater number of samples from European, African and American *Aedes* spp. populations than from Indo-Pacific populations [5,44,45,47], this study also fills an important geographical gap in the population genomics literature on *Aedes* spp..

We found clear differences between the spatial genetic structure of *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus*, and our landscape genomic analyses indicated that *Ae. aegypti* populations were generally structured by geographical distance, and *Ae. albopictus* populations by connectivity to human transport routes. These results may reflect important differences in the capacity of *Aedes* spp. to disperse and to invade new regions, and may also reflect the limited power of gene flow to erode existing structure in species with high census sizes. The findings of this study will assist biosecurity operations that aim to trace the source of invasive material [20,48] and for biocontrol operations that benefit from matching genetic backgrounds of released and local populations [49].

Materials and methods

Sample collection, genotyping, processing and subsampling

Aedes aegypti were sampled from 16 locations in the Indo-Pacific region, across a ~16,000 km range from Jeddah, Saudi Arabia to Kiribati (Fig 1, Table 1). *Aedes albopictus* were sampled from 19 locations ranging from Mauritius to Fiji to Matsuyama, Japan (Fig 1, Table 2). We considered mosquitoes collected within the same city to be from the same population, as structuring within these scales is typically weak (e.g. [17]). The smallest distance between two distinct populations was ~20 km, between Singapore and Johor, Malaysia. Among populations within the same country, the smallest distance was ~130 km, between Guangzhou and Hong Kong in China.

Mosquitoes were genotyped using a pipeline that has been described elsewhere [20] and is described here in <u>S1</u> Text. Briefly, we used the double-digest restriction site-associated (ddRAD) sequencing protocol for *Ae. aegypti* [42] to obtain sequence reads, which were processed in Stacks v2.0 [50]. We used BOWTIE v2.0 [51] to align reads to the *Ae. aegypti* [52] and *Ae. albopictus* [53] mitochondrial genome (mtDNA) assemblies. Reads that did not align to the mtDNA assemblies were aligned to the nuclear assemblies AaegL5 [54] and AaloF1 [55] to obtain nuclear genotypes for *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus* respectively. This ensured that the nuclear genotypes would not include transpositions of mitochondrial DNA into the nuclear genome (NUMTs), a common occurrence in *Aedes* spp. [56]. As some population samples contained more close kin than others, we removed individuals in order of missing data so that

map id (see <u>Fig</u> <u>1</u>)	sample	location(s)	country	year(s) collected	no. genotypes after filtering	connectivity (marine)	connectivity (aerial)
a	Jeddah	Various locations in Jeddah	Saudi Arabia	2018	18	7.92	194
с	Colombo	Kiribathgoda	Sri Lanka	2017	12	9.62	101
e	Bangkok	Seven locations in Bangkok	Thailand	2016	18	0.17	326
f	Kuala Lumpur	Bandar Sunway/UKM Specialist Centre	Malaysia	2015/2017	18	3	257
i	Singapore	Various locations in Singapore	Singapore	2015	15	2.64	408
j	Ho Chi Minh City	Various locations in Ho Chi Minh City	Vietnam	2014	18	0.43	109
k	Nha Trang	Hon Mieu Island	Vietnam	2016	11	5.26	7
0	Yogyakarta	Various locations in Yogyakarta, Java	Indonesia	2014	13	0.44	29
р	Bali	Near Denpasar Airport, Bali	Indonesia	2016/2017	18	1.24	100
S	Taiwan	Various locations in Southwest Taiwan	Republic of China	2016	8	7.25	65
v	Cairns	Six locations in urban Cairns	Australia	2015	18	1.86	56
w	Townsville	Near Townsville Airport	Australia	2014	10	2.25	16
x	New Caledonia	Various locations in Nouméa	New Caledonia	2018	13	1.24	13
у	Vanuatu	Various locations in Efate	Vanuatu	2018	14	0.71	28
Z	Fiji	Various locations in Nadi	Fiji	2018	11	0.85	43
А	Kiribati	Various locations in Kiribati	Kiribati	2018	9	0.8	3

Table 1. Details of *Aedes aegypti* sampled from 16 populations. See Fig 1 for map id locations. See <u>Materials and Methods</u> for details regarding filtering and calculation of connectivity indices.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0008463.t001

 Table 2. Details of Aedes albopictus sampled from 20 populations. See Fig 1 for map id locations. See Materials and Methods for details regarding filtering and calculation of connectivity indices. Colombo-1 was treated as a distinct population due to divergences (see text), and omitted (*) from landscape genomic analyses.

map id (see <u>Fig</u> <u>1</u>)	sample name	location(s)	country	year(s) collected	no. genotypes after filtering	connectivity (marine)	connectivity (aerial)
Ь	Mauritius	Near Chamarel village	Mauritius	2017	7	2.68	41
с	Colombo-1	Delgoda	Sri Lanka	2017	7	*	*
с	Colombo-2	Delgoda and Kiribathgoda	Sri Lanka	2017	8	9.62	101
d	Chiang Mai	Near Wachirathan Waterfall	Thailand	2017	5	0	48
f	Selangor	Kuala Lumpur and Gombak, Selangor	Malaysia	2016	11	3	257
g	Pahang	Kuantan	Malaysia	2015	18	3.2	5
h	Johor	Johor Baru	Malaysia	2016	18	3.28	18
i	Singapore	Near Bukit Timah Nature Reserve	Singapore	2017	14	2.07	408
j	Ho Chi Minh City	Various locations in Ho Chi Minh City	Vietnam	2017	18	0.44	109
1	Christmas Island	Near Christmas Island International Airport	Australia	2017	7	1.91	2
m	Jakarta	Various locations in Jakarta, Java	Indonesia	2016	17	1.09	185
n	Bandung	Various locations in Bandung, Java	Indonesia	2017	14	0.53	21
р	Bali	Various locations in Bali	Indonesia	2016/2017	17	1.24	100
q	Guangzhou	13 locations in Guangzhou	China	2015	16	0.24	338
r	Hong Kong	Various locations in Hong Kong	China	2016	16	3.87	355
s	Taiwan	Various locations in Southwest Taiwan	Republic of China	2016	15	7.24	65
t	Manila	Manila, Quezon City and Makati City	Philippines	2017	6	1.56	193
u	Matsuyama	Near Ehime University	Japan	2017	11	1.97	12
у	Vanuatu	Various locations in Efate	Vanuatu	2018	15	0.71	28
z	Fiji	Various locations in and near to Nadi	Fiji	2018	16	0.84	43

no first order kin pairs remained and no population had greater than 18 genotypes, following the procedure described in <u>S1 Text</u>.

We retained 224 *Ae. aegypti* and 256 *Ae. albopictus* genotypes. We used the Stacks program REF_MAP to build catalogs containing all mosquito genotypes from each species, from which we called single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) genotypes at RAD stacks using default Stacks settings. Only SNPs scored in at least 75% of genotypes from each population were used in analyses.

Individual-level genetic structure

We investigated genetic structure among individual mosquito genotypes using three methods: discriminant analysis of principal components (DAPC [57]); sparse non-negative matrix factorisation (sNMF [58]); and PCA-UMAP [59], which combines principal components analysis (PCA) with uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP [60]). For these analyses, we filtered the 224 *Ae. aegypti* and 256 *Ae. albopictus* genotypes to retain biallelic SNPs with < 20% missing data, with minimum depth of coverage of 3, and maximum depth of coverage of 45. Genotypes were phased and missing data imputed in BEAGLE v4.1 [61] using default settings and 10 iterations.

DAPC was run in the R package *adegenet* [62]. In DAPC, each genotype is assigned to one of *K* genetic clusters. We ran the 'find.clusters' algorithm to determine the optimal *K* for $1 \le K \le N$, with N equal to the number of populations (16 for *Ae. aegypti*, 20 for *Ae. albopictus*). We ran 1×10^9 iterations and selected the value of *K* with the lowest Bayesian information criterion (BIC). We then repeated the above analysis, selecting the value of *K* with the lowest Akaike information criterion (AIC), a less conservative measure than BIC.

We ran sNMF in the R package LEA [63]. This analysis estimates individual ancestry coefficients, assuming that individual genotypes are produced from the admixture of *K* ancestral lineages, where *K* is unknown *a priori*. To determine which value of *K* was optimal for summarising the variation in each species, we set $1 \le K \le N$ and ran 100 iterations of the sNMF algorithm for each *K*, selecting the *K* with the lowest cross-entropy across all runs. For the chosen *K* we selected the iteration with the lowest cross-entropy for visual presentation.

To generate PCA-UMAPs, we adapted code provided in Diaz-Papkovich et al. [59]. UMAP provides a means of projecting high-dimensional data onto two-dimensional space, and has advantages over other dimensionality reduction techniques in that it better preserves the global data structure between clusters in reduced dimensions. Combining UMAP and PCA has been shown to produce optimum definition of population clusters [59]. PCA-UMAPs used the first 5 principal components of the PCA, which were projected in two dimensions via UMAP using 50 neighbours and a minimum distance of 0.5.

Population-level genetic structure

For investigations of population-level genetic structure, we were cautious to process and filter the data so that uneven population sample size (*n*) would not bias analyses, while still including as many genotypes as possible. To balance these aims, we subsampled each dataset ten times, sampling (with replacement) from each population a number of genotypes (five) equal to the minimum *n* among all populations. For each subsample we used the Stacks program POPULA-TIONS to calculate F_{ST} ' [64] between each pair of populations, and to calculate mean observed heterozygosity (H_O), nucleotide diversity (π), number of private alleles and proportion of missing data for each population. We used the results from the ten subsamples to calculate the mean and 95% confidence intervals of each estimated parameter.

For genome-wide SNP datasets, genetic differentiation can be estimated with five genotypes [65]. To determine whether using only five genotypes in each subsample affected our F_{ST} ?

estimates, we took ten subsamples of 16 individuals from the *Ae. aegypti* populations in Bali, Bangkok, Jeddah and Kuala Lumpur, and calculated F_{ST} means and 95% confidence intervals as above. We compared these results with those calculated with five genotypes.

Analysis of the data indicated a deep genetic division within the Sri Lankan *Ae. albopictus* sample (see Fig 2ii and Results: Individual-level genetic structure). Seven of the 15 genotypes



Fig 2. DAPCs of *Ae. aegypti* (i) and *Ae. albopictus* (ii) using lowest BIC (*K* = 3). Colours indicate cluster membership. Circles are sized relative to population sample size. The map uses a Mollweide projection with a central meridian of 120°E. The map was produced in ARCMAP 10.5.1, using shapefiles made available by www. naturalearthdata.com.

formed a group highly divergent from all others, including the other Sri Lankan genotypes sampled from the same region of Colombo. This did not appear to be an artefact of sampling close kin; only a single half-sib dyad was present in the 7 genotypes, a similar incidence as in other populations. We therefore treated the Sri Lankan *Ae. albopictus* sample as two separate populations, denoted as Colombo-1 (highly divergent) and Colombo-2. We investigated this divergence further by calculating the folded allele frequency spectrum for each *Ae. albopictus* population in VCFTOOLS [66], using the ten subsamples of five genotypes to calculate means and 95% confidence intervals.

Landscape genomics

We investigated the geographical structuring of genetic variation in *Aedes* spp. using distancebased redundancy analysis (dbRDA), performed in the R package *vegan* [67]. These analyses tested two hypotheses: that genetic differentiation would increase with geographical distance (i.e. isolation by distance), and that genetic differentiation would decrease with greater connectivity to shipping or aerial transportation routes. We omitted the outlier *Ae. albopictus* subpopulation Colombo-1 from analyses (see <u>Results</u>: Individual-level genetic structure). Mean F_{ST} ' estimates among the 16 *Ae. aegypti* and 19 *Ae. albopictus* populations were used as a measure of genetic differentiation.

Our measure for connectivity to shipping routes was derived from previously published raster data of 799,853 commercial shipping tracks from October 2004 to October 2005 (Halpern et al., 2008; see Supporting Online Material therein for full description). We used ARCMAP 10.5.1 [68] to calculate the average density of shipping tracks within a 200 km radius from each population sampling point, so that the inland site at Chiang Mai scored 0 while Jeddah, Colombo-2, and Taiwan received the highest scores (see Fig 1). For connectivity to aerial transportation routes, we used data from the OpenFlights Routes Database (https://openflights.org/data.html#route), which lists all airline routes active at June 2014. For each sampled population, we used the total number of active flight routes at the largest international airport of that city or town. This gave values of between 2 (Christmas Island) to 408 (Singapore). Shipping and aerial connectivity scores for each population are listed in Tables 1 and 2. No correlation between shipping and aerial connectivity scores were observed for either *Ae. aegypti* ($R^2 = 0.003$, P = 0.838) or *Ae. albopictus* ($R^2 < 0.001$, P = 0.938) populations.

The connectivity of each population pair to the shipping and aerial networks was calculated as the average of their connectivity scores for that network type. These average scores were used to construct pairwise connectivity matrices of each transportation type for each species. As independent variables in a dbRDA must be rectangular, these matrices were transformed into principle coordinates using the function *pcnm*. We likewise calculated geographical distance matrices for each species, and transformed these into principle coordinates.

To test for isolation by distance in each species, we built dbRDAs using the scaled vectors of geographical distance as the independent variable and F_{ST} ' as the dependent variable. To test for effects of connectivity to transportation networks, we first determined scaled geographical distance vectors with which to condition the model, by building dbRDAs where each vector was treated as a separate independent variable, and selecting vectors with P < 0.01. We assessed shipping and aerial connectivity separately. We built dbRDAs using the scaled vectors of connectivity as the independent variable and F_{ST} ' as the dependent variable, with the model conditioned using the significant vectors for geographical distance. All dbRDAs were built using the function *capscale*. Marginal significance of variables was assessed using *anova.cca* with 9,999 permutations.

Once transformed into principal coordinates, geographical and connectivity variables ceased to indicate directionality, and thus dbRDAs could only ascertain significance of

associations and not the direction of these associations. To determine directionality, we ran linear regressions on the normalised pairwise scores of these variables against normalised F_{ST} ' estimates, and used the regression coefficients as indicators of directionality. These analyses were not used to determine significance of variables due to non-independence among pairwise terms.

Results

Individual-level genetic structure

We retained 54,296 SNPs for analysis of the 224 *Ae. aegypti* (Table 1) and 40,016 SNPs for analysis of the 256 *Ae. albopictus* (Table 2), with mean read depths of coverage of 17.67 (s.d. 5.31) and 25.23 (s.d. 7.49) respectively. The three analyses of genetic structure among individual genotypes, DAPC, sNMF, and PCA-UMAP, were consistent in their findings, and indicated several broad differences in genetic structure among *Aedes* spp. in the Indo-Pacific.

DAPC determined that K = 3 was the optimal number of clusters for both *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus* when lowest BIC (Fig 2) was used for cluster detection. For *Ae. aegypti*, DAPC partitioned genotypes into three spatial groups representing the Pacific Islands (orange), Australia (cream), and all central and Western populations (purple) (Fig 2i). DAPC of *Ae. albopictus* (Fig 2ii) showed a very different pattern, with a single cluster (purple) present across the entire sampling range. One other cluster (cream) was found in Indonesia, indicating deep differences between these populations and nearby populations like Christmas Island, despite separation distances of only ~450 km. The final *Ae. albopictus* cluster was found within the Colombo population, consisting of a subset of seven genotypes that were deeply divergent from the other eight Colombo genotypes. In all further analyses the Colombo genotypes were treated as two populations, denoted as Colombo-1 (highly divergent) and Colombo-2. As BIC is a conservative measure, these results represent broad genetic groupings, with more detailed variation described in sNMFs (Fig 3), PCA-UMAPs (Figs 4 and 5), and DAPC using lowest AIC (S1 Fig).

The sNMF of *Ae. aegypti* (Fig 3i) found K = 10 was an optimal number of ancestral lineages for summarising genetic variation among genotypes. This high number relative to the number of populations (16) largely reflected the high level of structuring between populations, with five of the ten ancestral lineages almost wholly confined to single locations: Jeddah, Colombo, Taiwan, New Caledonia and Vanuatu. Proximate population pairs such as Cairns and Townsville, Kuala Lumpur and Singapore, and Ho Chi Minh City and Nha Trang each had common ancestral lineages, as did Fiji and Kiribati, despite their distance of separation. The most putatively admixed population was Bangkok, which showed genetic similarities with the other Southeast Asian populations, but not with either Taiwan or Colombo.

These results contrasted sharply with those of *Ae. albopictus* (Fig 3ii), for which K = 5 was optimal. Fewer ancestral lineages indicated greater genetic similarity among *Ae. albopictus* populations. The interpretation of the spatial distribution of ancestral lineages among *Ae. albopictus* requires reference to the native and invasive ranges of this species. The northernmost native range lineage, in orange, indicated a common heritage among the East Asian populations. This lineage was also dominant in Mauritius. A second native range lineage, in purple, indicated common heritage among Southeast Asian populations from Chiang Mai to Singapore, and was also found in Christmas Island and Fiji, but not Vanuatu. The population at Ho Chi Minh City was made up of roughly even contributions from the two lineages. The Indonesian *Ae. albopictus* genotypes (Fig 3ii, red) of Jakarta, Bandung and Bali were genetically distinct from the East and Southeast Asian native range genotypes.

Treating Colombo *Ae. albopictus* as two separate populations revealed that genotypes from the highly-divergent Colombo-1 population were almost entirely of one ancestral lineage (Fig



Fig 3. sNMFs of *Ae. aegypti* (i) and *Ae. albopictus* (ii). Number of ancestral lineages (*K*) was 10 for *Ae. aegypti* and 5 for *Ae. albopictus*. Each population is a rectangle, with each genotype a vertical line made of between 1 and *K* colours. Colours indicate ancestral lineages. The map uses a Mollweide projection with a central meridian of 120°E. The map was produced in ARCMAP 10.5.1, using shapefiles made available by www.naturalearthdata.com.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0008463.g003

<u>3ii</u>, black) found only in Colombo. Colombo-2 was a composite of lineages, including the unique lineage from Colombo and the purple native range lineage.

PCA-UMAP of *Ae. aegypti* (Fig 4) showed genotypes grouping clearly by spatial location, echoing the sNMF results but providing more detailed information on differentiation between similar populations. Genotypes clustered first by population, then by region, with Pacific and





Fig 4. PCA-UMAP of 224 Ae. aegypti genotypes. Genotypes are coloured by population, with similar colours given to geographically proximate populations.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0008463.g004

Australian genotypes the most distinct. PCA-UMAP indicated similarities between genotypes from Fiji and Kiribati, Vanuatu and New Caledonia, and Singapore and Kuala Lumpur. The westernmost samples from Jeddah and Colombo also showed evidence of similarity. Despite Taiwan's proximity to Southeast Asia, Taiwanese *Ae. aegypti* were clearly distinct from all others.

PCA-UMAP of *Ae. albopictus* (Fig 5) likewise gave results similar to the sNMF but with more detail. The Matsuyama population was revealed as distinct from others in East Asia, and there was evidence that the Fijian genotypes were most closely related to Johor, Malaysia, and those of Christmas Island were most closely related to Singapore. The Ho Chi Minh City genotypes were split into two clusters, four grouping with the East Asian genotypes and 14 with the Southeast Asian genotypes. This likely reflects Ho Chi Minh City as having ancestry from both of these groups (Fig 3ii). A corresponding split was observed in the DAPC results using lowest AIC (S1 Fig).

Population-level genetic structure

Numbers of nuclear SNPs retained for population-level analyses had ranges of 46,151–54,647 (*Ae. aegypti*) and 35,984–57,639 (*Ae. albopictus*). Pairwise F_{ST} ' estimates for *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus* are listed in S1A Table and S1B Table respectively. Mean estimates are given with 95% confidence ranges, which show that pairwise F_{ST} ' estimates were generally consistent across the ten subsamples. F_{ST} ' confidence intervals in *Ae. aegypti* were on average only 5.3% the size of the mean; for *Ae. albopictus*, they were only 6.4% the size of the mean. Mean H_O and π estimates were also mostly consistent among subsamples within populations, and are listed in <u>S1C Table</u> and <u>S1D Table</u> along with the number of private alleles and proportion of missing data among genotypes in each population.

Fig 6 shows all population pairs with mean pairwise F_{ST} < 0.03 (a value selected to help visualise patterns), indicating high genetic similarity. Australian *Ae. aegypti* populations were genetically very similar, and there was a network of similarity among Southeast Asian populations (Fig 6i). Indonesian *Ae. albopictus* populations were genetically similar, as were a network of populations covering parts of the native range in East and Southeast Asia as well as Mauritius (Fig 6ii). Taiwan *Ae. aegypti* had the highest mean F_{ST} ' from all other populations ($\bar{x} = 0.093$; s.d. = 0.016). Colombo-1 *Ae. albopictus* had a particularly high mean F_{ST} ' when compared to all other populations ($\bar{x} = 0.153$; s.d. = 0.018), including substantial differentiation from Colombo-2 ($\bar{x} = 0.113$; s.d. = 0.008). Colombo-2 had a much lower mean F_{ST} ' when compared to all other populations ($\bar{x} = 0.070$; s.d. = 0.020).

When 16 genotypes were used to calculate F_{ST} ', results were similar to when 5 genotypes were used (S1E Table). Using five genotypes tended to slightly overestimate F_{ST} ', particularly for highly differentiated populations, though F_{ST} ' scores were consistent relative to one another. Likewise, while using only five genotypes will lead to lower estimates of H_O and π than when estimated with more genotypes, H_O and π can be compared across populations and species. Both H_O and π were much higher in *Ae. aegypti* (S1C Table) than in *Ae. albopictus* (S1D Table).

Analysis of the folded allele frequency spectrum in *Ae. albopictus* showed that Colombo-1 had lower frequencies of rare alleles and higher frequencies of common alleles compared with other populations (Fig 7). The proportion of loci in Colombo-1 with a minor allele frequency of 0.1 was only 0.23 times the size of the mean for all populations. The proportions of loci in





Fig 5. PCA-UMAP of 256 Ae. albopictus genotypes. Genotypes are coloured by population, with similar colours given to geographically proximate populations.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0008463.g005

Colombo-1 with minor allele frequencies of 0.4 and 0.5 were 2.32 and 2.75 times higher respectively than the mean for all populations. Neither Colombo-2 nor any of the other



Fig 6. Population pairs of *Ae. aegypti* (i) and *Ae. albopictus* (ii) with mean pairwise $F_{ST} < 0.03$. Circles connected by lines indicate populations with mean pairwise $F_{ST} < 0.03$. The map uses a Mollweide projection with a central meridian of 120°E. The map was produced in ARCMAP 10.5.1, using shapefiles made available by www. naturalearthdata.com.



Fig 7. Minor allele frequencies of *Ae. albopictus* populations. Circles represent the mean frequency from the 10 subsamples, with 95% confidence intervals. The Colombo-1 population is indicated in blue.

0 /	0	0 , 1			
	Df	Sum of Squares	F	Р	
Geographical distance	9	0.026	2.136	0.002	
Residual	6	0.008			
Marine connectivity	12	0.022	0.811	0.727	
Geographical distance PC1	1	0.006	2.659	0.041	
Residual	2	0.004			
Aerial connectivity	11	0.021	1.075	0.467	
Geographical distance PC1	1	0.003	1.692	0.131	
Residual	3	0.005			

Table 3. Results of dbRDAs assessing landscape genomic hypotheses in *Ae. aegypti.* All geographical distances and connectivity indices were transformed into principal coordinates (PCs) for analysis. The single PC with P < 0.01 when testing for isolation by distance was used to condition the models assessing connectivity to transportation networks.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0008463.t003

populations consistently differed from other populations in minor allele frequency. Colombo-1 had the largest proportion of monomorphic loci out of all populations.

Landscape genomics

The dbRDAs assessing isolation by distance indicated that geographical distance was significantly associated with F_{ST} ' in *Ae. aegypti* (Table 3: $F_9 = 2.136$, P = 0.002) but not in *Ae. albopictus* (Table 4: $F_9 = 0.965$, P = 0.534). Linear regression indicated that this relationship was positive (regression coefficient = 0.52). When each scaled vector for geographical distance was treated as an independent variable, one was significant at P < 0.01 in *Ae. aegypti* and none were significant in *Ae. albopictus*.

The dbRDAs assessing connectivity to transport networks indicated that F_{ST} ' in *Ae. albopictus* was significantly associated with both shipping ($F_{15} = 2.411$, P = 0.034) and aerial ($F_{13} = 2.650$, P = 0.006) transportation routes (Table 4) with negative associations being detected (regression coefficients: shipping = -0.20; aerial = -0.24). Neither transport network was significantly associated with F_{ST} ' in *Ae. aegypti* (Table 3), irrespective of whether the significant geographical distance vector was used to condition the models.

Discussion

Here we present the first direct comparison of genome-wide genetic structure in the globallyinvasive, dengue-vectoring mosquitoes *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus*. Our study region, the Indo-Pacific, is the site of 70% of global dengue transmission [1], and this study contributes important knowledge to the understanding of invasion histories and ongoing gene flow within this region. While both species are already widespread in the Indo-Pacific [22], increased urbanisation and climate change may allow them to invade new regions in the coming decades

 Table 4. Results of dbRDAs assessing landscape genomic hypotheses in *Ae. albopictus*. All geographical distances and connectivity indices were transformed into principal coordinates (PCs) for analysis.

	Df	Sum of Squares	F	Р		
Geographical distance	9	0.018	0.965	0.534		
Residual	9	0.019				
Marine connectivity	15	0.034	2.411	0.034		
Residual	3	0.003				
Aerial connectivity	13	0.032	2.65	0.006		
Residual	5	0.005				

[23]. Furthermore, the same dispersal processes facilitating invasions into new regions can also lead to genetic invasions of advantageous alleles into established populations, as observed recently in this region [24]. Our comparative approach indicated different genetic structure patterns in these species at each level of analysis: individual, population, and landscape genomic. For the most part, Ae. aegypti populations were genetically differentiated from each other, and differentiation increased with geographical distance. Aedes albopictus populations in the native range were split between three main regions of genetic similarity, one in East Asia, one in Southeast Asia (excluding Indonesia), and one in Indonesia. Certain populations outside the native range showed clear signs of recent invasion from these regions; specifically, Mauritius from East Asia, and Fiji and Christmas Island from Southeast Asia. Aedes albopictus populations in Indonesia were highly differentiated from all others, despite their proximity to other Southeast Asian populations. In Colombo, we observed two distinct Ae. albopictus subpopulations, one of which may represent an ancestral native-range population or cryptic subspecies. Overall these results indicate that Aedes spp. have established invasions in the Indo-Pacific along different pathways, and that recent gene flow patterns are different between the two species. Our findings also point to various regions of interest in the Ae. albopictus native range that require further investigation.

The patterns of divergence observed in *Ae. aegypti* fit with the hypothesis that *Ae. aegypti* began its invasion of the Indian Ocean region from regions to the west [5,6]. Putatively, the Indian Ocean regions and Australia were invaded from the Mediterranean, though there is some uncertainty over the timing and direction of this invasion [69,70], and the strong genetic differentiation we observed in Australian populations confounds this somewhat. Interestingly, the most highly differentiated *Ae. aegypti* populations were in the western Pacific populations of Australia, the Pacific Islands and Taiwan, suggesting that these regions do not share an invasion history with Indian Ocean regions. Separate invasions of Australia and the Pacific Islands also seem likely, based on the strong differentiation between Australian *Ae. aegypti* and those of nearby Pacific Islands such as Vanuatu and New Caledonia.

The high differentiation of western Pacific Ae. aegypti populations may reflect an earlier invasion of these regions, possibly from the Americas. However, these divergences could instead be due to a lack of gene flow into these populations following invasion, with strong genetic differentiation produced by the effects of drift following founder events [37]. Different structural patterns in these populations may be reinforced by high local population densities, reflecting a "founder takes all" [38] system following colonisation. Considering the strong isolation by distance observed in this species (Table 3), the relative remoteness of the Pacific Islands suggests that populations from these islands experience limited gene flow, leading to pronounced differences among them. By contrast, transport connectivity was an important influence on Ae. albopictus genetic structure (Table 4), and there were signs of recent dispersal into Fiji from Southeast Asia (likely Malaysia); a non-Indonesian Southeast Asian population is thus a likely source of the Fijian invasion of Ae. albopictus in 1989 [71]. These results require cautious interpretation, however, as most Ae. albopictus invasions of the Pacific are more recent than those of Ae. aegypti, and thus low differentiation among populations may reflect recent invasion rather than ongoing gene flow. Nevertheless, genetic similarities among geographically distant populations were more apparent in Ae. albopictus than in Ae. aegypti, and the isolation by distance patterns observed in Ae. aegypti indicate that gene flow in this species is more common between geographically proximate populations.

Our landscape genomics analyses point to different processes structuring *Aedes* spp. populations in the Indo-Pacific region. For *Ae. aegypti*, population structuring by geographical distance but not transportation routes accords with a pre-modern invasion timeline. *Aedes aegypti* is thought to have begun its invasion of the region in ~18-19th c. [6], a time when

shipping routes likely differed from the 21st century routes used in our analyses. In contrast, the more recent expansion of *Ae. albopictus* occurred at a time when international shipping routes more closely resembled the 2004 routes analysed here. Although dispersal by aircraft may be less common than by shipping in this species [25], it is worth noting that much of the material used in this study was collected near to international airports, which may exaggerate the influence of the aerial dispersal network. Also, as the metric for aerial connectivity was derived from the activity of nearby airports, aerial connectivity scores may correlate closely with other untested variables that could influence regional genetic structure, such as economic activity.

Although the observation of isolation by distance in Ae. aegypti but not in Ae. albopictus may reflect the greater impact of long-distance transportation in modern times, the capacity of Ae. albopictus to undergo diapause as well as quiescence [35] could be important, as mosquitoes must survive long-distance transportation for gene flow to occur. A comparison of incursion pathways of *Aedes* spp. species into Australia indicated that not only was each species dispersing from a different set of locations, but also that each species was likely transported through different vessel types, with Ae. albopictus found more frequently at seaports and Ae. *aegypti* at airports [25]. The most common source of *Ae. albopictus* incursions was southern China, where diapause has been observed in field populations [72]. Many other factors may also influence long-distance dispersal outcomes, such as differences in behaviour when boarding and travelling on vessels and the relative abundance of each species around airports and seaports. Better survivorship on long ship journeys may allow Ae. albopictus to colonise distant locations without first colonising geographically intermediate 'stepping stones', which accords with much of the broad-scale literature for this species [44,73]. For taxa capable of long-distance dispersal, long-range colonisation becomes more probable than stepping-stone colonisation as the required number of successful colonisations is minimised [74].

The investigation of *Ae. albopictus* in its native range revealed a pair of native-range clades covering East and Southeast Asia, with both clades found in Ho Chi Minh City (Fig 3ii). Differentiation was low between these clades (Fig 6ii). A third potential native-range clade was found in Indonesia, where populations were strongly differentiated from all other clades, confirming previous results from allozyme data [75]. Indonesia could represent the southern border of the native range, and whereas the East and Southeast Asian populations have become largely homogenised through gene flow, the Indonesian populations have remained distinct from these. Alternatively, Indonesia may have been invaded earlier than other regions. A possible ancient invasion source is India, which had considerable connectivity with Java and Bali in antiquity [76].

The *Ae. albopictus* of Colombo, Sri Lanka, appeared to represent two sympatric subpopulations: Colombo-1, a highly-divergent, ancestral subpopulation of *Ae. albopictus*, possibly a cryptic subspecies; and Colombo-2, a subpopulation seemingly produced by admixture between the ancestral Colombo-1 lineage and the Southeast Asian native-range lineage (Fig 3ii). The folded allele frequency spectrum of Colombo-1 was unlike other populations, having greater proportions of high-frequency minor alleles and fewer rare alleles (Fig 7). Several potential cryptic species closely related to *Ae. albopictus* have been identified in its Chinese native range [77], and the western extent of its range in India is not well-studied genetically. It is possible that Colombo is also within the native range of *Ae. albopictus*, and that Colombo-1 is an ancestral, native-range subpopulation. Alternatively, Colombo may have been invaded by an ancestral, native-range population from India or elsewhere. The putatively admixed Colombo-2 subpopulation is evidence of recent incursions into Colombo from the native range.

The different patterns of population genetic structure in the Aedes spp. described here has implications for biosecurity and biocontrol. Recently, genomics has been used to identify source locations of Aedes incursions into Australia and New Zealand [20,25]; these incursions threaten not only the arrival of species themselves but also the introduction of insecticide resistance alleles [24]. The genomic patterns identified here can help in identifying biosecurity threats in both species, both by revealing likely pathways of gene flow and demonstrating that long-distance marine incursions may be more likely in Ae. albopictus than in Ae. aegypti. The identification of the highly-differentiated Ae. albopictus clusters in Indonesia and Sri Lanka may also indicate regions where vector competence is higher or lower. For instance, Ae. albopictus from three populations putatively invaded from East Asia were highly susceptible to DENV-2 [78], while Ae. albopictus from the Torres Strait Islands, putatively invaded from Indonesia [79], had low susceptibility [80]. Genetically differentiated populations may also have differential Wolbachia infection status, as found in cryptic Ae. albopictus subspecies in China [77]. Variation in *Wolbachia* infection status may be exploitable by future dengue control efforts that involve the release of this bacterium into mosquito populations [81]. Likewise, patterns of population genetic structure can indicate areas where mosquito genetic backgrounds are likely to be similar or different to those of target populations-an important consideration for widespread species such as Aedes spp. that can be locally adapted to different conditions [49].

Supporting information

S1 Text. This file contains additional information on sample processing and filtering, from the DNA extraction stage onwards. (DOCX)

S1 Table. These tables show means and upper and lower confidence ranges of: (S1A Table) pairwise F_{ST} ' for *Ae. algopti* in the Indo-Pacific region; (S1B Table) pairwise F_{ST} ' for *Ae. algopti* in the Indo-Pacific region; (S1C Table) heterozygosity and nucleotide diversity for *Ae. algopti* in the Indo-Pacific region; (S1D Table) heterozygosity and nucleotide diversity for *Ae. algopti* in the Indo-Pacific region; (S1D Table) heterozygosity and nucleotide diversity for *Ae. algopictus* in the Indo-Pacific region; and (S1E Table) F_{ST} when calculated with five genotypes compared with when calculated with 16 genotypes. (XLSX)

S1 Fig. DAPCs of *Aedes aegypti* (i) and *Aedes albopictus* (ii) using lowest AIC. Colours indicate cluster membership. Circles are sized relative to population sample size. For *Ae. aegypti*, K = 15 was used, while K = 11 was used for *Ae. albopictus*. The map uses a Mollweide projection with a central meridian of 120°E. The map was produced in ARCMAP 10.5.1, using shape-files made available by <u>www.naturalearthdata.com</u>. (TIF)

Acknowledgments

We thank Tim Hurst, Samia Elfekih, Dayanath Meegoda, Hadian Sasmita, Nazni Wa, Pattamaporn Kittayapong, Lia Faridah, Kozo Watanabe, Thaddeus Carvajal, Chi Yung Jim, Gwendolin Wong, Ashley Callahan, Jason Axford, Stephen Doggett, Elizabeth Valerie, Craig Williams, Joe Davis and other researchers for samples. We also thank Moshe Jasper, Anthony van Rooyen, Nancy Endersby-Harshman and Nick Bell for sample processing. This research was facilitated by use of the Nectar Research Cloud, a collaborative Australian research platform supported by the Australian Research Data Commons (ARDC) and National Collaborative Research Infrastructure Strategy (NCRIS).

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Thomas L. Schmidt, Ann-Christin Honnen, Ary A. Hoffmann.

Data curation: Thomas L. Schmidt, Jessica Chung.

Formal analysis: Thomas L. Schmidt, Jessica Chung.

Funding acquisition: Andrew R. Weeks, Ary A. Hoffmann.

Investigation: Thomas L. Schmidt, Jessica Chung, Ann-Christin Honnen.

Methodology: Thomas L. Schmidt, Jessica Chung.

Project administration: Thomas L. Schmidt, Andrew R. Weeks, Ary A. Hoffmann.

Resources: Thomas L. Schmidt, Ann-Christin Honnen, Andrew R. Weeks, Ary A. Hoffmann.

Software: Thomas L. Schmidt, Jessica Chung.

Supervision: Ary A. Hoffmann.

Validation: Thomas L. Schmidt, Jessica Chung.

Visualization: Thomas L. Schmidt, Jessica Chung.

Writing - original draft: Thomas L. Schmidt.

Writing – review & editing: Thomas L. Schmidt, Jessica Chung, Ann-Christin Honnen, Andrew R. Weeks, Ary A. Hoffmann.

References

- Bhatt S, Gething PW, Brady OJ, Messina JP, Farlow AW, Moyes CL, et al. The global distribution and burden of dengue. Nature. 2013; 496(7446):504–7. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12060 PMID: 23563266
- Gubler DJ. Dengue and dengue hemorrhagic fever. Clin Microbiol Rev. 1998; 11(3):480–96. PMID: 9665979
- Wu J-Y, Lun Z-R, James AA, Chen X-G. Dengue Fever in Mainland China. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2010; 83(3):664–71. https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.2010.09-0755 PMID: 20810836
- 4. Crawford JE, Alves JM, Palmer WJ, Day JP, Sylla M, Ramasamy R, et al. Population genomics reveals that an anthropophilic population of *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes in West Africa recently gave rise to American and Asian populations of this major disease vector. BMC Biol. 2017; 15(1):16. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-017-0351-0 PMID: 28241828
- Kotsakiozi P, Gloria-Soria A, Schaffner F, Robert V, Powell JR. Aedes aegypti in the Black Sea: recent introduction or ancient remnant? Parasit Vectors. 2018; 11(1):396. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-018-2933-2 PMID: 29980229
- Powell JR, Gloria-Soria A, Kotsakiozi P. Recent History of *Aedes aegypti*: Vector Genomics and Epidemiology Records. Bioscience. 2018; 68(11):854–60. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/biy119</u> PMID: 30464351
- Hawley WA. The biology of Aedes albopictus. J Am Mosq Control Assoc Suppl. 1988; 1:1–39. PMID: 3068349
- 8. Goubert C, Minard G, Vieira C, Boulesteix M. Population genetics of the Asian tiger mosquito *Aedes albopictus*, an invasive vector of human diseases. Heredity (Edinb). 2016; 117(3):125–34.
- Porretta D, Mastrantonio V, Bellini R, Somboon P, Urbanelli S. Glacial History of a Modern Invader: Phylogeography and Species Distribution Modelling of the Asian Tiger Mosquito *Aedes albopictus*. Moreira LA, editor. PLoS One. 2012; 7(9):e44515. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0044515 PMID: 22970238
- Bonizzoni M, Gasperi G, Chen X, James AA. The invasive mosquito species *Aedes albopictus*: Current knowledge and future perspectives. Trends Parasitol. 2013; 29(9):460–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt. 2013.07.003 PMID: 23916878
- 11. Hengeveld R. Dynamics of biological invasions. London: Chapman & Hall; 1989.

- Harrington LC, Scott TW, Lerdthusnee K, Coleman RC, Costero A, Clark GG, et al. Dispersal of the dengue vector *Aedes aegypti* within and between rural communities. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2005; 72 (2):209–20. PMID: 15741559
- Jasper M, Schmidt TL, Ahmad NW, Sinkins SP, Hoffmann AA. A genomic approach to inferring kinship reveals limited intergenerational dispersal in the yellow fever mosquito. Mol Ecol Resour. 2019; 19 (5):1–11.
- Marini F, Caputo B, Pombi M, Tarsitani G, Della Torre A. Study of *Aedes albopictus* dispersal in Rome, Italy, using sticky traps in mark-release-recapture experiments. Med Vet Entomol. 2010; 24(4):361–8. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2915.2010.00898.x PMID: 20666995
- Eritja R, Palmer JRB, Roiz D, Sanpera-Calbet I, Bartumeus F. Direct Evidence of Adult Aedes albopictus Dispersal by Car. Sci Rep. 2017; 7(1):14399–413. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-12652-5 PMID: 29070818
- Schmidt TL, Filipović I, Hoffmann AA, Rašić G. Fine-scale landscape genomics helps explain the slow spatial spread of *Wolbachia* through the *Aedes aegypti* population in Cairns, Australia. Heredity (Edinb). 2018; 120(5):386–95.
- Schmidt TLTL, Rašić G, Zhang D, Zheng X, Xi Z, Hoffmann AAA. Genome-wide SNPs reveal the drivers of gene flow in an urban population of the Asian Tiger Mosquito, *Aedes albopictus*. Lenhart A, editor. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2017; 11(10):e0006009. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0006009</u> PMID: 29045401
- Tatem AJ, Hay SI, Rogers DJ. Global traffic and disease vector dispersal. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2006; 103(16):6242–7. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0508391103 PMID: 16606847
- Ammar SE, McIntyre M, Swan T, Kasper J, Derraik JGB, Baker MG, et al. Intercepted Mosquitoes at New Zealand's Ports of Entry, 2001 to 2018: Current Status and Future Concerns. Trop Med Infect Dis. 2019; 4(3):101–18.
- Schmidt TL, van Rooyen AR, Chung J, Endersby-Harshman NM, Griffin PC, Sly A, et al. Tracking genetic invasions: Genome-wide single nucleotide polymorphisms reveal the source of pyrethroid-resistant *Aedes aegypti* (yellow fever mosquito) incursions at international ports. Evol Appl. 2019; 12 (6):1136–46. https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.12787 PMID: 31297145
- Gloria-Soria A, Ayala D, Bheecarry A, Calderon-Arguedas O, Chadee DD, Chiappero M, et al. Global genetic diversity of *Aedes aegypti*. Mol Ecol. 2016; 25(21):5377–95. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13866</u> PMID: 27671732
- Kraemer MUG, Sinka ME, Duda KA, Mylne AQN, Shearer FM, Barker CM, et al. The global distribution of the arbovirus vectors *Aedes aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus*. Elife. 2015; 4:e08347. <u>https://doi.org/10.</u> 7554/eLife.08347 PMID: 26126267
- Kraemer MUG, Reiner RC, Brady OJ, Messina JP, Gilbert M, Pigott DM, et al. Past and future spread of the arbovirus vectors *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus*. Nat Microbiol. 2019; 4(5):854–63. <u>https://</u> doi.org/10.1038/s41564-019-0376-y PMID: 30833735
- Endersby-Harshman NM, Schmidt TL, Chung J, van Rooyen A, Weeks AR, Hoffmann AA. Heterogeneous genetic invasions of three insecticide resistance mutations in Indo-Pacific populations of *Aedes* aegypti (L.). Mol Ecol. 2020; 29(9):1628–41. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15430 PMID: 32246542
- Schmidt TL, Chung J, van Rooyen A, Sly A, Weeks AR, Hoffmann AA. Incursion pathways of the Asian tiger mosquito (*Aedes albopictus*) into Australia contrast sharply with those of the yellow fever mosquito (*Aedes aegypti*). Pest Manag Sci. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.5977.
- 26. McMillen-Jackson AL, Bert TM. Disparate patterns of population genetic structure and population history in two sympatric penaeid shrimp species (*Farfantepenaeus aztecus* and *Litopenaeus setiferus*) in the eastern United States. Mol Ecol. 2003; 12(11):2895–905. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294x.2003. 01955.x PMID: 14629371
- Avise JC. The history and purview of phylogeography: A personal reflection. Mol Ecol. 1998; 7(4):371– 9.
- Joseph L, Moritz C, Hugall A. Molecular support for vicariance as a source of diversity in rainforest. Proc R Soc London Ser B Biol Sci. 1995; 260(1358):177–82.
- 29. Trewick SA, Wallis GP. Bridging the "Beech-gap": New Zealand invertebrate phylogeography implicates Pleistocene glaciation and Pliocene isolation. Evolution (N Y). 2001; 55(11):2170–80.
- Wagner CE, McCune AR. Contrasting patterns of spatial genetic structure in sympatric rock-dwelling cichlid fishes. Evolution (N Y). 2009; 63(5):1312–26.
- Dawson MN. Parallel phylogeographic structure in ecologically similar sympatric sister taxa. Mol Ecol. 2012; 21(4):987–1004. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05417.x PMID: 22229665
- Slatkin M. Gene Flow and the Geographic Structure of Natural Populations. Source Sci New Ser. 1987; 236(4803):787–92.

- Armbruster PA. Photoperiodic Diapause and the Establishment of Aedes albopictus (Diptera: Culicidae) in North America. J Med Entomol. 2016; 53(5):1013–23. https://doi.org/10.1093/jme/tjw037 PMID: 27354438
- Medley KA, Westby KM, Jenkins DG. Rapid local adaptation to northern winters in the invasive Asian tiger mosquito Aedes albopictus: A moving target. Bauer S, editor. J Appl Ecol. 2019; 56(11):2518–27.
- 35. Diniz DFA, De Albuquerque CMR, Oliva LO, De Melo-Santos MAV, Ayres CFJ. Diapause and quiescence: Dormancy mechanisms that contribute to the geographical expansion of mosquitoes and their evolutionary success. Parasit Vectors. 2017; 10(1):310–22. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-017-2235-0 PMID: 28651558
- 36. Urbanski JM, Benoit JB, Michaud MR, Denlinger DL, Armbruster P. The molecular physiology of increased egg desiccation resistance during diapause in the invasive mosquito, *Aedes albopictus*. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci. 2010; 277(1694):2683–92.
- Excoffier L, Ray N. Surfing during population expansions promotes genetic revolutions and structuration. Trends Ecol Evol. 2008; 23(7):347–51. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2008.04.004</u> PMID: 18502536
- Waters JM, Fraser CI, Hewitt GM. Founder takes all: density-dependent processes structure biodiversity. Trends Ecol Evol. 2013; 28:78–85. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2012.08.024 PMID: 23000431
- Halpern BS, Walbridge S, Selkoe KA, Kappel C V, Micheli F, D'Agrosa C, et al. A global map of human impact on marine ecosystems. Science. 2008; 319(5865):948–52. https://doi.org/10.1126/science. 1149345 PMID: 18276889
- Mousson L, Dauga C, Garrigues T, Schaffner F, Vazeille M, Failloux AB. Phylogeography of Aedes (Stegomyia) aegypti (L.) and Aedes (Stegomyia) albopictus (Skuse) (Diptera: Culicidae) based on mitochondrial DNA variations. Genet Res. 2005; 86(1):1–11. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0016672305007627 PMID: 16181519
- Eskildsen GA, Rovira JR, Smith O, Miller MJ, Bennett KL, McMillan WO, et al. Maternal invasion history of *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* into the Isthmus of Panama: implications for the control of emergent viral disease agents. PLoS One. 2018; 13(3):e0194874. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone</u>. 0194874 PMID: 29579112
- Rašić G, Filipović I, Weeks AR, Hoffmann AA. Genome-wide SNPs lead to strong signals of geographic structure and relatedness patterns in the major arbovirus vector, *Aedes aegypti*. BMC Genomics. 2014; 15(1):275.
- 43. Wright S. Isolation by Distance. Genetics. 1943; 28(2):114–38. PMID: 17247074
- Kotsakiozi P, Richardson JB, Pichler V, Favia G, Martins AJ, Urbanelli S, et al. Population genomics of the Asian tiger mosquito, *Aedes albopictus*: insights into the recent worldwide invasion. Ecol Evol. 2017; 7(23):10143–57. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.3514 PMID: 29238544
- 45. Pichler V, Kotsakiozi P, Caputo B, Serini P, Caccone A, Torre A Della. Complex interplay of evolutionary forces shaping population genomic structure of invasive Aedes albopictus in southern Europe. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2019; 13(8).
- 46. Sherpa S, Rioux D, Pougnet-Lagarde C, Després L. Genetic diversity and distribution differ between long-established and recently introduced populations in the invasive mosquito Aedes albopictus. Infect Genet Evol. 2018; 58:145–56. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2017.12.018 PMID: 29275191
- Sherpa S, Blum MGB, Capblancq T, Cumer T, Rioux D, Després L. Unravelling the invasion history of the Asian tiger mosquito in Europe. Mol Ecol. 2019; 28(9):2360–77. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15071 PMID: 30849200
- **48.** Hamelin RC, Roe AD. Genomic biosurveillance of forest invasive alien enemies: a story written in code. Evol Appl. 2019;eva. 12853.
- 49. Garcia G de A, Sylvestre G, Aguiar R, da Costa GB, Martins AJ, Lima JBP, et al. Matching the genetics of released and local *Aedes aegypti* populations is critical to assure *Wolbachia* invasion. Kittayapong P, editor. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2019; 13(1):e0007023. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0007023</u> PMID: 30620733
- Catchen J, Hohenlohe PA, Bassham S, Amores A, Cresko WA. Stacks: an analysis tool set for population genomics. Mol Ecol. 2013; 22(11):3124–40. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.12354 PMID: 23701397
- Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods. 2012; 9(4):357–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1923 PMID: 22388286
- 52. Behura SK, Lobo NF, Haas B, DeBruyn B, Lovin DD, Shumway MF, et al. Complete sequences of mitochondria genomes of *Aedes aegypti* and *Culex quinquefasciatus* and comparative analysis of mitochondrial DNA fragments inserted in the nuclear genomes. Insect Biochem Mol Biol. 2011; 41(10):770–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2011.05.006 PMID: 21640823

- Zhang H, Xing D, Wang G, Li C, Zhao T. Sequencing and analysis of the complete mitochondrial genome of *Aedes albopictus* (Diptera: Culicidae) in China. Mitochondrial DNA. 2016; 27(4):2787–8. https://doi.org/10.3109/19401736.2015.1053067 PMID: 26114325
- Matthews BJ, Dudchenko O, Kingan SB, Koren S, Antoshechkin I, Crawford JE, et al. Improved reference genome of *Aedes aegypti* informs arbovirus vector control. Nature. 2018;1.
- 55. Chen X-G, Jiang X, Gu J, Xu M, Wu Y, Deng Y, et al. Genome sequence of the Asian Tiger mosquito, Aedes albopictus, reveals insights into its biology, genetics, and evolution. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2015; 112(44):E5907–15. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1516410112 PMID: 26483478
- 56. Hlaing T, Tun-Lin W, Somboon P, Socheat D, Setha T, Min S, et al. Mitochondrial pseudogenes in the nuclear genome of *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes: Implications for past and future population genetic studies. BMC Genet. 2009; 10(1):11.
- 57. Jombart T, Devillard S, Balloux F. Discriminant analysis of principal components: a new method for the analysis of genetically structured populations. BMC Genet. 2010; 11(1):94–108.
- Frichot E, Mathieu F, Trouillon T, Bouchard G, François O. Fast and efficient estimation of individual ancestry coefficients. Genetics. 2014; 196(4):973–83. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.113.160572 PMID: 24496008
- 59. Diaz-Papkovich A, Anderson-Trocmé L, Ben-Eghan C, Gravel S. UMAP reveals cryptic population structure and phenotype heterogeneity in large genomic cohorts. PLOS Genetics. 2019; 15(11): e1008432. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1008432 PMID: 31675358
- McInnes L, Healy J, Melville J. UMAP: Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection for Dimension Reduction. arXiv. 2018;1802.03426.
- Browning BL, Browning SR. Genotype Imputation with Millions of Reference Samples. Am J Hum Genet. 2016; 98(1):116–26. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2015.11.020 PMID: 26748515
- **62.** Jombart T. adegenet: a R package for the multivariate analysis of genetic markers. Bioinformatics. 2008; 24(11):1403–5. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btn129 PMID: 18397895
- Frichot E, François O. LEA: An R package for landscape and ecological association studies. O'Meara B, editor. Methods Ecol Evol. 2015; 6(8):925–9.
- Meirmans PG. Using the AMOVA framework to estimate a standardized genetic differentiation measure. Evolution (N Y). 2006; 60(11):2399–402.
- Willing E-M, Dreyer C, van Oosterhout C. Estimates of Genetic Differentiation Measured by FST Do Not Necessarily Require Large Sample Sizes When Using Many SNP Markers. Chave J, editor. PLoS One. 2012; 7(8):e42649. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0042649 PMID: 22905157
- Danecek P, Auton A, Abecasis G, Albers CA, Banks E, DePristo MA, et al. The variant call format and VCFtools. Bioinformatics. 2011; 27(15):2156–8. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr330 PMID: 21653522
- Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Friendly M, Kindt R, Legendre P, McGlinn D, et al. vegan: Community Ecology Package [Internet]. 2019. p. 2395–6.
- 68. ESRI. ArcGIS. Redlands, CA: Environmental Systems Research Institute; 2017.
- 69. Kuno G. Letter to the Editor. Bioscience. 2019; 69(3):161–161.
- 70. Powell JR, Gloria-Soria A, Kotsakiozi P. Response to Kuno. Bioscience. 2019; 69(3):161-2.
- 71. Guillaumot L, Ofanoa R, Swillen L, Singh N, Bossin HC, Schaffner F. Distribution of *Aedes albopictus* (Diptera, Culicidae) in southwestern Pacific countries, with a first report from the Kingdom of Tonga. Parasites and Vectors. 2012; 5(1):247.
- 72. Xia D, Guo X, Hu T, Li L, Teng PY, Yin QQ, et al. Photoperiodic diapause in a subtropical population of Aedes albopictus in Guangzhou, China: Optimized field-laboratory-based study and statistical models for comprehensive characterization. Infect Dis Poverty. 2018; 7(1):89. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s40249-018-0466-8 PMID: 30107859</u>
- 73. Manni M, Guglielmino CR, Scolari F, Vega-Rúa A, Failloux AB, Somboon P, et al. Genetic evidence for a worldwide chaotic dispersion pattern of the arbovirus vector, *Aedes albopictus*. Apperson C, editor. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2017; 11(1):e0005332. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0005332</u> PMID: 28135274
- 74. Gillespie RG, Baldwin BG, Waters JM, Fraser CI, Nikula R, Roderick GK. Long-distance dispersal: a framework for hypothesis testing. Trends Ecol Evol. 2012; 27(1):47–56. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree. 2011.08.009 PMID: 22014977
- Urbanelli S, Bellini R, Carrieri M, Sallicandro P, Celli G. Population structure of Aedes albopictus (Skuse): The mosquito which is colonizing Mediterranean countries. Heredity (Edinb). 2000; 84(3):331– 7.

- Ardika IW, Bellwood P. Sembiran: the beginnings of Indian contact with Bali. Antiquity. 1991; 65 (247):221–32.
- 77. Guo Y, Song Z, Luo L, Wang Q, Zhou G, Yang D, et al. Molecular evidence for new sympatric cryptic species of *Aedes albopictus* (Diptera: Culicidae) in China: A new threat from *Aedes albopictus* sub-group? Parasit Vectors. 2018; 11(1):228–41. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-018-2814-8</u> PMID: 29618379
- 78. Lourenço de Oliveira R, Vazeille M, de Filippis AMB, Failloux AB. Large genetic differentiation and low variation in vector competence for dengue and yellow fever viruses of *Aedes albopictus* from Brazil, the United States, and the Cayman Islands. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2003; 69(1):105–14. PMID: 12932107
- 79. Maynard AJ, Ambrose L, Cooper RD, Chow WK, Davis JB, Muzari MO, et al. Tiger on the prowl: Invasion history and spatio-temporal genetic structure of the Asian tiger mosquito Aedes albopictus (Skuse 1894) in the Indo-Pacific. Vasilakis N, editor. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2017; 11(4):e0005546. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0005546</u> PMID: 28410388
- Moore PR, Johnson PH, Smith GA, Ritchie SA, Van Den Hurk AF. Infection and dissemination of dengue virus type 2 in *Aedes aegypti, Aedes albopictus,* and *Aedes scutellaris* from the Torres Strait, Australia. J Am Mosq Control Assoc. 2007; 23(4):383–8. https://doi.org/10.2987/5598.1 PMID: 18240514
- Zheng X, Zhang D, Li Y, Yang C, Wu Y, Liang X, et al. Incompatible and sterile insect techniques combined eliminate mosquitoes. Nature. 2019; 572(7767):56–61. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1407-9 PMID: 31316207</u>