

Propargyltrimethylsilanes as Allene Equivalents in Transition Metal-Catalyzed [5 + 2] Cycloadditions

Paul A. Wender,* Fuyuhiko Inagaki, Magnus Pfaffenbach, and Matthew C. Stevens

Department of Chemistry, Department of Chemical and Systems Biology, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305-5080, United States

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Conventional allenes have not been effective π -reactive 2-carbon components in many intermolecular cycloadditions including metal-catalyzed [5 + 2] cycloadditions. We report herein that rhodium-catalyzed [5 + 2] cycloadditions of



propargyltrimethylsilanes and vinylcyclopropanes provide, after in situ protodesilylation, a highly efficient route to formal allene cycloadducts. Propargyltrimethylsilanes function as safe, easily handled synthetic equivalents of gaseous allenes and hard-to-access monosubstituted allenes. In this one-flask procedure, they provide cycloadducts of what is formally addition to the more sterically encumbered allene double bond.

S ince its introduction in 1995, the metal-catalyzed [5 + 2] cycloaddition of vinylcyclopropanes (VCPs) and π -systems, the homologous Diels-Alder reaction, has been shown to work intramolecularly with alkynes, alkenes, and allenes and successfully applied to step-economical syntheses of various seven-membered ring-containing natural products.^{1,2} In contrast, the intermolecular reaction has proven to be less general, working only with rhodium catalysts and being limited thus far to alkynes and some "activated" allenes incorporating a second coordinating group (e.g., alkyne or nitrile).³ Activated monosubstituted or 1,1-disubstituted allenes do not react while activated 1,3-disubstituted allenes react but with marginal regioselectivity (1:1-7:2). Some substituted allenes are also catalyst poisons. Importantly, the direct cycloaddition of VCPs with the larger family of unactivated allenes has not been reported. We realized, however, that for many allenes, including those highly useful for total syntheses⁴ and other synthetic applications,⁵ the use of an allene equivalent would be highly preferred, providing a potentially superior, more controllable, safer, and more chemoselective reaction (Scheme 1). For example, with respect to safety and handling,⁶ allene itself is a gas at room temperature. Its use thus requires a rather challenging and hazardous low temperature distillation of





difficult to measure amounts into a sealable pressure tube and a similarly complicated work-up procedure. In contrast, commercially available propargyltrimethylsilane, a candidate equivalent of allene itself, has a boiling point of 91 °C, allowing for its easy handling, and upon cycloaddition and acidic protodesilylation⁷ would in principle give the same product as that derived from a [5 + 2] cycloaddition to allene itself. This equivalency represents a significant contribution to the rather limited body of known functional allene equivalents⁸ and a highly effective solution to the thus far unsolved problem of accessing allene [5 + 2] cycloadducts.

In principle, the propargyl silane cycloaddition and protodesilylation could be effected in one operation. Other propargyl silanes would similarly serve as allene equivalents providing access to [5 + 2] cycloadducts that thus far are not accessible directly from allenes. Moreover because the addition to an alkyne has unambiguous chemoselectivity, this strategy could be used to access cycloadducts that would not be selectively accessed, if at all (addition to more substituted π -bond), from allenes themselves. Herein, we report the first experimental study of the stepwise or one-flask [5 + 2] cycloaddition/protodesilylation of propargyltrimethylsilanes and VCPs providing a new, facile, and practical method for accessing what are formally allene [5 + 2] cycloadducts.

A catalyst screen was first conducted. Recently, we reported that cationic Rh(I) complexes featuring a cyclooctadiene (COD) or a dinaphtho[a,e]cyclooctatetraene (dnCOT) ligand, such as [Rh(C₁₀H₈)(COD)]SbF₆⁹ and [Rh(dnCOT)-(MeCN)₂]SbF₆^{,10} are highly efficient catalysts for both interand intramolecular [5 + 2] cycloaddition reactions between VCPs and alkynes. To investigate the proposed cycloaddition between VCP 1 and propargyltrimethylsilane (2a), multiple catalysts were examined (see Supporting Information). When

 Received:
 April 17, 2014

 Published:
 May 12, 2014

Organic Letters

VCP 1 and 2a were treated with 1 mol % of $[Rh(C_{10}H_8)-(COD)]SbF_6$ in DCE or a mixture of DCE/TFE (0.15 M) at room temperature for 3 h, followed by a brief hydrolytic workup (1% HCl in EtOH), the desired cycloheptenone 3a was isolated in 93% yield. Changing the solvent from DCE to a 9:1 mixture of DCE/TFE shortened the reaction time to 1 h and improved the yield to 98%. This represents the highest yield and shortest time of all screened Rh(I) catalysts. [Rh(dnCOT)-(MeCN)₂]SbF₆ and its analogues containing substituted dnCOT ligands also gave 3a, albeit in lower yields and with longer reaction times (12 h).

Having determined the optimum catalyst and conditions, we next studied the Rh(I)-catalyzed [5 + 2] cycloaddition of various propargyltrimethylsilane derivatives (Table 1). VCP 1

Table 1. Rhodium-Catalyzed [5 + 2] Cycloaddition of VCP 1 and Propargyltrimethylsilanes 2a-h

	+		cat. [Rh(C ₁₀ H ₈)(COD)]SbF ₆ DCE (0.15 M), rt; H ⁺				
1	2<i>a-h</i> (1.1 equiv)				3a-h		
entry	SM	R		[Rh] (mol%)	time (hr)	yield (%)	
1ª	2a	н		1	1	98	
2	2b	Me		1	3	97	
3	2c	<i>n</i> -hex		3	3	81	
4^b	2d	<i>i</i> -Pr		5	2	74	
5 ^c	2e	Ph		5	3	85	
6 ^{<i>b</i>}	2f	Profession (OMe	5	3	99	
7°	2g	or the second se	CO ₂ Me	5	2	84	
8 ^{b,d}	2h	N N	P C	5	1	82	



was reacted with 1.1 equiv of each substrate (2a-h) in the presence of catalytic $[Rh(C_{10}H_8)(COD)]SbF_6$ in DCE (0.15 M) at room temperature to provide, after brief hydrolytic workup with 1% HCl in EtOH, a range of 5-substituted-4-silylmethylcyclopt-4-enones (3a-h) in good to excellent yields. The results indicate that the cycloaddition reaction is widely tolerant of a variety of propargyltrimethylsilane substitutions including hydrogen, *n*- and *i*-alkyl, aryl, and heteroatom groups.

We next examined the protodesilylation of 4-silylmethylcyclohept-4-enones 3a-h with Brønsted acids to investigate whether the [5 + 2] cycloaddition and protodesilylation could be conducted in a stepwise manner. Treatment of 3a with 5 equiv of *p*-TsOH·H₂O in Et₂O at room temperature gave the desired *exo*-methylene product $4a^{11}$ in excellent yield. While cycloheptenones featuring methyl and hexyl groups (3b,c) were converted to the desired product in high yields, long reaction times (>24 h) and heating (in the case of 3c) were needed. The phenyl derivative (**3e**) did not undergo protodesilylation at all over the course of 2 days, likely a result of its conjugated (styrenyl) system.¹² To address this problem, we screened several acids and found that treating our 4-silylmethylcyclohept-4-enones with 5 M HCl/EtOAc¹³ in DCM was highly effective for the protodesilylation of all substrates (Table 2). These

Table 2. Protodesilylation of 4-Silylmethylcyclohept-4enones (3a-h) Using Brønsted Acid

0=	R	TMS 5 M HCI/Et	OAc (5-10 e M, rt	quiv) → O=	R
3	a-h				4 <i>a-h</i>
entry	SM	R	time	product	yield (%)
1	3a	Н	<5 min	4a	98
2	3b	Me	15 min	4b	90
3	3c	<i>n</i> -hex	15 min	4c	90
4	3d	<i>i</i> -Pr	30 min	4d	89
5	3e	Ph	2 hr	4e	94
6	3f	oMe	1.5 hr	4f	89
7	3g	cO ₂ Me	2 hr	4g	96
8	3h	N C C	3 hr	4h	96

conditions enabled us not only to shorten the reaction time (15 min or less for entries 1-3) but also to furnish 5-aryl-4-methylenecycloheptanones 4e-g from the conjugated cycloheptenones 3e-g in high yields at room temperature.

With the success of this two-step process, we next set out to determine whether a serial, one-flask [5 + 2] cycloaddition/ protodesilylation could be developed that would produce 4-methylenecycloheptanone derivatives in a single operation from VCP 1 and select propargyltrimethylsilanes (Table 3). After the cycloaddition was complete, the reaction was quenched with 1 M HCl/EtOAc to produce the (5-substituted-)4-methylenecycloheptanone derivatives **4a,c,e-h** in yields comparable or even superior to the overall yield of the two-flask procedure. The 1 M HCl/EtOAc solution chosen to execute the single-flask protodesilylation was superior to 5 M HCl/EtOAc, *p*-TsOH·H₂O, and concentrated aq. HCl in terms of yield and minimization of side product formation.

In conclusion, we have developed efficient stepwise as well as serial intermolecular [5 + 2] cycloaddition/protodesilylation reactions of propargyltrimethylsilanes and VCPs for the formation of 4-methylenecycloheptanones and a wide range of 5-substituted-4-methylenecycloheptanone derivatives, allene cycloadducts, in high yields. Significantly, the propargyl-trimethylsilanes not only work as synthetic equivalents of gaseous or otherwise difficult to handle allenes but also enable access to a product that is derived from chemoselective cycloaddition to the more hindered allene double bond. This strategy provides simple, safe, and efficient access to previously

Table 3. One-Flask [5 + 2] Cycloaddition/Protodesilylation Processes



^a1 mol % Rh, DCE/TFE (9:1, 0.15 M), rt. ^b3 mol % Rh, DCE (0.15 M), rt. ^c5 mol % Rh, DCE/TFE (3:1, 0.15 M), rt.

inaccessible allene [5 + 2] cycloadducts and has utility for other cycloadditions.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Experimental details and characterization data. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: wenderp@stanford.edu.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by the National Science Foundation (NSF, CHE1265956) and the National Institutes of Health (CA031841). Additional funding was provided by the NSF Graduate Research Fellowship (M.C.S.), Kanazawa University (F.I.), and the German Academic Exchange Service (M.P.).

REFERENCES

 (1) (a) Wender, P. A.; Takahashi, H.; Witulski, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 4720-4721. (b) Wender, P. A.; Sperandio, D. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 4164-4165. (c) Wender, P. A.; Love, J. A.; Williams, T. J. Synlett 2003, 9, 1295-1298. (d) Wender, P. A.; Gamber, G. G.; Williams, T. J. In Modern Rhodium-Catalyzed Organic Reactions; Evans, P. A., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2005; pp 263-299. (e) Wender, P. A.; Haustedt, L. O.; Lim, J.; Love, J. A.; Williams, T. J.; Yoon, J.-Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 6302-6303. (f) Wender, P. A.; Glorius, F.; Husfeld, C. O.; Langkopf, E.; Love, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 5348-5349. (g) Wender, P. A.; Fuji, M.; Husfeld, C. O.; Love, J. A. Org. Lett. 1999, 1, 137-139. (h) Wender, P. A.; Zhang, L. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 2323-2326. (2) For recent reviews on seven-membered ring syntheses, see: (a) Pellissier, H. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2011**, 353, 189–218. (b) Ylijoki, K. E. O.; Stryker, J. M. *Chem. Rev.* **2013**, *113*, 2244–2266.

(3) (a) Wegner, H. A.; de Meijere, A.; Wender, P. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 6530–6531. (b) For a recent example of a cumulene equivalent, see: Wender, P. A.; Fournogerakis, D. N.; Jeffreys, M. S.; Quiroz, M. V.; Inagaki, F.; Pfaffenbach, M. Nat. Chem. 2014, 6, 448– 452.

(4) (a) Beyersbergen van Henegouwen, W. G.; Fieseler, R. M.; Rutjes, F. P. J. T.; Hiemstra, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **1999**, 38, 2214– 2217. (b) Schotes, C.; Ostrovskyi, D.; Senger, J.; Schmidtkunz, K.; Jung, M.; Breit, B. Chem.—Eur. J. **2014**, 20, 2164–2168. (c) Yoshino, T.; Ng, F.; Danishefsky, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2006**, 128, 14185– 14191. (d) Mizutani, M.; Inagaki, F.; Nakanishi, T.; Yanagihara, C.; Tamai, I.; Mukai, C. Org. Lett. **2011**, 13, 1796–1799.

(5) (a) Ma, S. Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 2829–2871. (b) Staben, S. T.; Kennedy-Smith, J. J.; Huang, D.; Corkey, B. K.; LaLonde, R. L.; Toste, F. D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 5991–5994. (c) Yu, S.; Ma, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 3074–3112. (d) Brusoe, A. T.; Edwankar, R. V.; Alexanian, E. J. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 6096–6099. (e) Brummond, K. M.; Daitao, C.; Davis, M. M. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 5064–5068.

(6) Wender, P. A. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2014, 31, 433-440.

(7) (a) Hosomi, A.; Saito, M.; Sakurai, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1980, 21, 355–358.
(b) Fleming, I.; Langley, J. A. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1981, 1421–1423.
(c) Fleming, I.; Higgins, D. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1992, 3327–3329.
(d) Fleming, I.; Barbero, A.; Walter, D. Chem. Rev. 1997, 97, 2063–2192.
(e) Dowling, M. S.; Vanderwal, C. D. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 6908–6922.

(8) (a) Padwa, A.; Kline, D. N.; Norman, B. H. Tetrahedron Lett.
1988, 29, 265–268. (b) Adam, W.; Hasemann, L. Tetrahedron Lett.
1991, 32, 7033–7036. (c) Williams, R. V.; Chauhan, K. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1991, 1672–1673.

(9) (a) Wender, P. A.; Williams, T. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 4550–4553. (b) Wender, P. A.; Sirois, L. E.; Stemmler, R. T.; Williams, T. J. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 1604–1607.

(10) Wender, P. A.; Lesser, A. B.; Sirois, L. E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 2736–2740.

(11) (a) Sonoda, A.; Moritani, I.; Miki, J.; Tsuji, T.; Nishida, S. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. **1972**, 45, 1777–1781. (b) Barbero, A.; Blanco, Y.; Pulido, F. J. J. Org. Chem. **2005**, 70, 6876–6883.

(12) Using TFE as solvent, <57% yield of 4e was obtained after 17 h.
(13) 5 M HCl in EtOAc was made by reacting 5 mol AcCl with 5 mol EtOH in a total volume of 1 L EtOAc.