ORIGINAL RESEARCH



The effects of thermal treatment on the bacterial community and quality characteristics of meatballs during storage

Ran Li^{1,2} | Chong Wang^{1,2} | Guanghong Zhou^{1,2} | Chunbao Li^{1,2} | Keping Ye^{1,2}

¹Key Laboratory of Meat Processing and Ouality Control, Ministry of Education,

²Jiangsu Collaborative Innovation Center of Meat Production and Processing, Quality and Safety Control, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing, China

Correspondence

Nanjing, China

Jiangsu Collaborative Innovation Center of Meat Production and Processing, 210095, Nanjing, Jiangsu, China. Email: kpye@njau.edu.cn

Funding information Jiangsu Agricultural Science and Technology Innovation Fund, China (CX(18)2024), Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (KYYZ201803) and China Agriculture Research System (CARS-35).

Abstract

Thermal treatment is a widely applied food processing technology in the meat industry due to its convenience. However, the interpretation of the changes in the bacterial community and quality properties in the thermal processed meat products have not been well established. Therefore, the effects of thermal treatment on the quality characteristics and bacterial communities in meatballs during storage at 4°C were investigated, which will provide a more comprehensive understanding of the influence of thermal treatment on the meat quality. Thermal treatment (121°C, 15 min) decreased the initial total viable bacterial counts by 2.1 log CFU/g and the diversity of the initial bacterial communities in meatballs. Compared with the thermal treatment group, a significantly more rapidly increasing trend of total volatile basic nitrogen and a decreasing trend of pH were observed in the control group. At the end of storage, the bacterial community was dominated by Streptococcus, Acinetobacter and Pseudomonas in the thermal treatment meatballs, whereas Pseudomonas, Pantoea, and Serratia. dominated the bacterial community of the control group. Besides, the predicted metabolic pathways revealed high levels of carbohydrate, amino acid, and lipid metabolism in the control group. This finding could contribute to a deep understanding of the influence of thermal treatment on the meat quality. Moreover, these results could provide a theoretical foundation for the development of alternative and novel nonthermal processing technologies for use in the meat industry.

KEYWORDS

bacterial community, meatball, metabolic pathway, physicochemical quality, thermal treatment

1 | INTRODUCTION

Meat is a perishable food that is an ideal substrate for the growth of spoilage microorganisms (Huang et al., 2020; Nychas et al., 2008; Qi et al., 2015). Thus, it is essential to apply strict hygiene procedures and adequate processing technologies to delay the process of meat spoilage and maintain meat quality and safety (Xiong, 2017). Heat processing, commonly including pasteurization (60-85°C) and commercial sterilization (generally at above 121°C), is regarded as a pivotal method for the preservation of meat products as it could not only guarantee the edible safety, but also extend the shelf-life, and among which, commercial sterilization is

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2020 The Authors. Food Science & Nutrition published by Wiley Periodicals LLC

the most commonly used one (Lyng et al., 2019; Misra & Jo, 2017; Wu et al., 2020). Thermal processing is a unit operation in which foods are heated at a sufficiently high temperature for specific amount of time to destroy vegetative microbial cells, spores and enzymes (Fellows, 2017). However, the texture, taste, flavor, and nutritional value of meat products are significantly deteriorated because of the thermal processing treatment (Barbosa-Cánovas et al., 2014).

Studies have been performed to analyze the effects of thermal processing on the flavor profile, shelf-life, texture, and sensory characteristics of meat and meat products (Barbosa-Cánovas et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2019). For example, Wang et al. (2019) observed a significantly higher peroxide value in a water bath thermal sterilization group than that detected in the control group, which indicated that lipid oxidation in the vacuum-packaged braised beef was accelerated via water bath thermal sterilization. In addition, Song et al. (2019) showed that thermal processing at 121°C could prolong the shelflife but deteriorate the flavor properties of salted duck. In thermal processing of meat products, the change in food structure and loss of texture is common; additionally, lipid oxidation is a major cause of rancidity and off-flavors during subsequent storage (Misra & Jo, 2017). It is well established that the initial total bacterial counts significantly decreased via thermal processing treatment, whereas the changes of specific bacterial genus and bacterial metabolism in meat products have not been investigated. Currently, some studies have investigated the effect of processing treatments on the bacterial communities in meat and meat products (Han et al., 2020; Nieminen et al., 2012; Wang, Qin, et al., 2020). However, relatively little work has been done on the influence of thermal processing treatment on the bacterial communities in meat and meat products. And the influence of thermal processing on the bacterial metabolism in meat products during storage has not been well detailed.

In the contemporary world, emerging technologies like high hydrostatic pressure, pulsed electric field, ultrasound, ionizing radiation, and cold atmospheric plasma have shown the potential in achieving industrial application in foods (Hernández-Hernández et al., 2019). However, microbial inactivation of these nonthermal processing technology can be influenced by the initial number of microorganisms, environmental influences, food composition, exposure time, the characteristics of microorganisms present in that food (Horita et al., 2018; Song et al., 2009). Hence, conventional thermal processing processed significant advantages in microbial inactivation than those in nonthermal processing technologies, and thermal treatment is still dominant in food preservation field (Li et al., 2017). Investigations of the effect of thermal treatment on the bacterial communities may provide the theoretical foundation for developing alternative and novel nonthermal processing technologies for use in the meat industry. Therefore, the effects of thermal processing treatment (121°C 15 min) on the quality characteristics and bacterial communities in meatballs during storage at 4°C were investigated, which will provide a more comprehensive understanding of the influence of thermal processing treatment on the meat quality and safety.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Sampling and storage

Meatballs (ingredients: pork; horse hoof; shallot; and spices) with an average weight of 180 g/bag were collected from a local meat products processing company. Among the meatballs, seventy-six bags of meatballs were treated at 121°C for 15 min using a sterilization kettle (SH 800; Jinding Instruments) after they were packaged, which were assigned to the thermal processing group, while the other samples were assigned to the control group. All samples were transferred into chilled insulated boxes and transported to the laboratory within 3 hr. Then, all the samples were stored at 4°C for up to 21 days and analyzed at days 0, 7, 14, and 21.

2.2 | pH measurements

The pH of the meatball samples was measured according to the method described by Huang et al. (2020) with some appropriate modifications. Briefly, a 3.0 g sample of meatballs was mixed with 30 ml of an ice-cold solution (pH = 7.0) comprising 5 mM sodium iodoacetate and 150 mM potassium chloride. The mixtures were homogenized using a stomacher (Ultra Turrax T25, IKA) at 4000 g for 30 s. Then, the pH values of meatballs were measured with a pH meter (Hanna HI9025c; Hanna Instruments).

2.3 | Total volatile basic nitrogen (TVB-N) assays

The TVB-N of meatballs was determined according to the method described by Gharibzahedi and Mohammadnabi (2017) and Huang et al. (2020) with some appropriate modifications. Briefly, 10 g of minced meatball sample was homogenized in 100 ml of distilled water at room temperature for 30 min. After filtering, 10 ml of the resulting supernatant was mixed with 10 ml of MgO (10 g/L) and then distilled using a nitrogen apparatus (K1160, HaiNeng Instruments). The TVB-N of meatball was expressed as mg of N per 100 g of meat.

2.4 | Total viable counts (TVC)

The total viable counts (TVC) for each sample were obtained using the pour plate technique according to the China National Food Safety Standard methods (Food Microbiology Examination-Aerobic Plate Count; GB 4789.2-2016). Briefly, 25 g of meatball sample was aseptically transferred to a sterile stomacher bag and homogenized in 225 ml of sterile saline for 2 min in a stomacher (BagMixer 400 VW, Interscience Co.). After performing 1:10 serial dilutions, 1 ml of the suspension from each dilution was inoculated onto plate count agar (PCA, Beijing Luqiao) and incubated at 37°C for 48 hr to allow the total viable counts to be determined. The results were expressed as decimal logarithms of colony-forming units per gram (LogCFU/g).



2.5 | Characterization of bacterial communities

2.5.1 | DNA extraction and 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing

Total bacterial genomic DNA was extracted from meatball samples according to the method described by Zhang et al. (2017) with some modifications. Briefly, 10 ml of homogenates (obtained in section 2.4) were centrifuged at $13,000 \times g$ for 10 min. Subsequently, the pelleted sediment was resuspended in 1 ml of a sterile 0.9% NaCl solution and transferred to centrifuge tubes. DNA was then extracted from the pelleted sediment using a bacterial DNA extraction kit (TIANamp, Beijing Tiangen Co., Ltd.). To break open the cell walls of gram-positive bacteria, 20 µl of lysozyme (20 mg/ml) was added to each sample. The final DNA concentration and purity were determined using a NanoDrop 2000 UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific). DNA quality was checked by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis. The DNA preparations were used for PCR with the primers 341-F (CCTAYGGGRBGCASCAG) and 806-R (GGACTACNNGGGTATCTAAT), which target the V3-V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene. PCR was performed in a final volume of 25 µl containing 12.5 µl of Phusion® High-Fidelity PCR Master Mix (New England Biolabs), 1 μl of primer, 2 μl of template DNA, and PCR grade water. Thermal cycling consisted of an initial denaturation at 98°C for 1 min followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 98°C for 10 s, primer annealing at 50°C for 30 s, and extension at 72°C for 60 s, with a final extension step at 72°C for 5 min. The PCR products were mixed in identical ratios and purified using a GeneJET Gel Extraction kit (Thermo Scientific).

2.5.2 | Illumina MiSeq sequencing and data processing

Purified amplicons were pooled in equimolar volumes using a TruSeq[™] DNA Sample Preparation kit (Illumina Inc.). Pooled library

quantitation and paired-end Illumina MiSeq sequencing $(2 \times 300 \text{ bp})$ were performed on the Illumina MiSeg platform (Illumina), which was conducted by Lingen Biotechnology Co. Ltd. The raw sequence reads were demultiplexed and quality-filtered using the Quantitative Insights into Microbial Ecology software (QIIME version 1.9.1) (Caporaso et al., 2010). The sequences were clustered into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) based on UPARSE (version 7.1 http://drive5.com/uparse/) with a 97% identity threshold. These sequencing data were searched against the Gold database (http:// drive5.com/uchime/uchime_download.html) using the UCHIME (http://www.drive5.com/usearch/manual/uchime_algo. algorithm html). Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed to analyze the differences in bacterial community composition between samples. Based on the species annotation and abundances of effective OTUs, functional annotations were obtained using the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway with Tax4Fun v1.0 Asshauer (Asshauer et al., 2015).

2.6 | Statistical analysis

The data are presented as the means and standard deviations. Differences in mean values for TVB-N, pH, and TVC were analyzed using one-way ANOVA implemented in IBM SPSS Statistics 20.

3 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 | pH

The pH changes in the thermal processing and control group during refrigerated storage are shown in Figure 2a. The initial pH values of thermal processing and control group were 6.505 ± 0.02 and 6.510 ± 0.01 , respectively, which demonstrated that thermal processing treatment has little influence on the initial pH of the

meatballs in this study. Wang, Shi, et al. (2020) investigated the effect of different thermal temperatures on the pH of Dezhou-braised chicken and observed that heat treatment did not significantly alter the initial pH of samples, which was consistent with our results. Although the pH in both the thermal processing and control group decreased gradually throughout the entire storage period, the pH in the control group was significantly lower than that observed in the thermal processing group at the end of storage (p < .05). The reason for this result may be that more acid-forming bacteria were present in the control group, which may have led to a lower pH of the meatballs. The above findings indicated that the use of thermal treatment (121°C, 15 min) could delay the pH decrease in meatballs during storage. Song et al. (2019) studied the pH changes in untreated and thermal processed salted duck during 4°C storage, observing that thermal treatment (121°C, 30 min) could diminish the decreasing rate of pH in the salted ducks, which was in accordance with our findings.

3.2 | TVB-N

Total volatile basic nitrogen (TVB-N), which primarily comprises amines and ammonia, is widely used as an indicator of meat spoilage, resulting from the microbial degradation of protein and nonprotein nitrogenous compounds, such as amino acids and nucleotide catabolites (Liu et al., 2013). Figure 2b shows the effect of thermal treatment on the TVB-N in meatballs during 4°C storage. Compared with the control group, a significantly higher TVB-N value was observed in the thermal treatment group (p < .05), which indicated that the initial TVB-N in meatballs was significantly influenced by the thermal treatment. These results could be explained by the degradation reactions of proteins being accelerated by the thermal treatment process, which may have produced more amino acids and volatile bases in the thermal treatment group (Guo et al., 2016). The TVB-N values in both the thermal treatment and control groups displayed a continually increasing tendency during 4°C storage. Moreover, the control group exhibited a more dramatic increasing behavior, which may be due to more active bacterial metabolic activities in the control group. In cooked meat products, the increase in TVB-N is attributed to bacterial metabolic substances and degradation of protein and other nonprotein nitrogen-containing compounds, such as ammonia, monoethylamine, dimethylamine, and trimethylamine (Feng et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2017).

3.3 | TVC

The initial TVC values of thermal treatment and control groups were 1.15 ± 0.15 and $3.41 \pm 0.61 \log$ CFU/g (Figure 2c), respectively, which demonstrated that the thermal treatment could kill and reduce approximately 2 log CFU/g in samples. Furthermore, bacteria grew more rapidly in the control group due to a significantly higher initial bacterial concentration. The TVC in the control group

reached a maximum level of 7.14 \pm 0.11 log CFU/g after 21 days of storage, whereas the TVC of the thermal treatment group was only 3.60 \pm 0.13 log CFU/g. Wang et al. (2019) reported that the initial TVC value in vacuum-packaged braised beef was reduced by 1.6 log CFU/g using the thermal treatment (90°C, 30 min) method. In addition, Song et al., 2019) demonstrated that thermal treatment (121°C, 30 min) could decrease the initial TVC value by 1.6 log CFU/g in salted ducks. The results of this study also illustrated that the use of thermal treatment could effectively reduce the initial TVC of meat products during 4°C storage.

3.4 | Bacterial richness and diversity

Amplicon sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene could provide a comprehensive understanding of the diversity and abundance of bacterial communities in meatballs during refrigerated storage. A total of 1,020,024 high quality effective sequences were obtained by merging and filtering the raw sequences, which was equivalent to an average of 42,501 sequences for each sample. The sequence length in 24 samples was from 407.12-428.77 bp (Table 1). Coverage values for all samples were >99%, which demonstrated that the present sequencing results represented an accurate picture of the microorganisms in the samples. Effective sequences were clustered into 6,969 OTUs using a 97% similarity threshold. The alpha-diversity indexes are shown in Figure 3. At the beginning of storage, the thermal processing group showed a significantly lower richness value (Chao) than that observed in the control group (p < .05), which was primarily due to a section of microorganisms being damaged and apoptosis or a sub-lethally injured state was caused under the high temperature conditions (Wu et al., 2020). Hernandez et al. (2019) studied the effect of heat-shock treatment on the diversity of bacteria and observed that heat-shock treatment immediately reduced the alpha diversity of microbial communities, which was consistent with our findings.

Based on the relative abundances of OTUs sequenced from the two groups at different storage times, PCA was used to analyze the differences in bacterial community composition between the samples (Figure 4). A significant separation of bacterial communities was shown between the thermal treatment and control groups, with primary principal component (PC) scores of PC1 = 64.71%, PC2 = 16.24%, and PC3 = 8.90%. At the beginning of storage, the thermal treatment group was clearly separated from the control group, indicating that the bacterial communities changed greatly and the effect of thermal treatment on the bacterial composition was significant. For the thermal treatment group, all samples were tightly clustered together, suggesting that the difference in bacterial composition at different storage times was not significant. However, the bacterial communities of the control group at different storage days showed significant separation on the vertical axis, indicating that the effect of storage time on the bacterial community composition was significant in the control group. Riah-Anglet et al. (2015) confirmed that heat stress induces the death of **____**Food Science & Nutrition

sensitive species, which in turn could promote the proliferation of surviving species, reduce competition, and facilitate their access to resources. These findings could be explained by during 4°C storage, a more stable bacterial community developed in the thermal treatment group compared with that observed in the control group.

TABLE 1 The amount and length of 16S rRNA gene sequencing for samples during storage

Sample name	Raw sequences	Clean sequences	Sequences length (bp)
Thermal group-0d-1	38,588	33,914	413.42
Thermal group-0d-2	42,049	38,273	408.35
Thermal group-0d-3	36,181	34,540	409.93
Thermal group-7d-1	52,597	48,862	410.13
Thermal group-7d-2	59,081	54,501	411.18
Thermal group-7d-3	57,145	53,152	409.67
Thermal group-14d-1	33,646	29,592	409.94
Thermal group-14d-2	38,925	33,687	411.17
Thermal group-14d-3	32,224	28,425	409.26
Thermal group-21d-1	35,390	32,738	409.49
Thermal group-21d-2	50,092	45,986	410.82
Thermal group-21d-3	50,397	46,571	410.14
Control group-0d-1	40,732	39,678	408.47
Control group-0d-2	44,903	44,202	407.12
Control group-0d-3	47,815	47,020	409.23
Control group-7d-1	30,083	29,977	427.11
Control group-7d-2	32,080	31,948	427.87
Control group-7d-3	37,388	37,198	426.98
Control group-14d-1	41,975	41,731	428.47
Control group-14d-2	37,122	36,734	427.6
Control group-14d-3	56,657	56,360	428.77
Control group-21d-1	39,520	39,215	427.76
Control group-21d-2	48,710	48,292	427.85
Control group-21d-3	36,724	36,500	428.22



(c)



storage time (d)

(b)

3.5 | Composition of bacterial communities

Twenty-one phyla were identified in the sequencing analysis, including Proteobacteria, Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes, Actinobacteria, and Acidobacteria (Figure 5a). In the literature, Abendroth et al. (2018) reported a heat-resistant bacterial profile that consisted primarily of Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes, and Proteobacteria. Proteobacteria was the most predominant phyla in the thermal treatment and control groups, contributing 40.18%~52.96% and 86.78%~99.57% of the total OTUs, respectively. The relative abundance of Proteobacteria was higher in the control group than that observed in the thermal treatment group, whereas the relative abundances of Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes, and Actinobacteria were higher in the thermal treatment group, indicating that Proteobacteria could not resist high temperature compared with Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes, and Actinobacteria. This result may be attributed to the outgrowth of the genera Streptococcus, Lactococcus, Chryseobacterium, and Flavobacterium, which belong to the phyla Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes. For the control group, the abundance of Proteobacteria increased dramatically, reaching the highest levels on day 21 and contributing 99.57% of the total OTUs. Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes, Actinobacteria, and Acidobacteria displayed an opposite profile to that of Proteobacteria, being present at relatively high levels at the beginning of the storage period and contributing 5.39%, 3.23%, 2.51%, and 0.79% to the total OTUs, respectively, indicating that the phyla Proteobacteria had a greater capacity to compete for nutrients in meatballs compared with other phyla.

At the genus level, 317 different bacterial genera were detected in the samples. Figure 5b showed that the initial bacterial community in the thermal treatment group was dominated by *Streptococcus* spp. (29.80%), *Acinetobacter* spp. (27.37%), and *Pseudomonas* spp. (13.16%), whereas uncultured-Caulobacteraceae spp. (44.86%), *Sphingomonas* spp. (12.33%), *Bradyrhizobium* spp. (4.26%), and *Acinetobacter* spp. (4.42%) were predominant in the control group. These findings indicate that the initial bacterial communities in meatballs were significantly altered via the thermal treatment. The

Control Group

Thermal treatment Group

FIGURE 2 Changes in pH, TVB-N, and TVC values of thermal treatment (121°C, 15 min) and control groups during storage. (a) pH; (b) TVB-N; (c) TVC. (n = 5). *p < .05, **p < .01

FIGURE 3 Changes in alpha-diversity values of thermal treatment (121°C, 15 min) and control groups during storage at 4°C. (a) Chao index value changes; (b) Shannon index value changes; (c) Simpson index value changes; (d) Coverage index value changes. The data are presented as the means \pm standard deviation (n = 3). *p < .05, **p < .01

(a)

(c)

1.0

0.8



1.5-

Control Group

Thermal treatment Group



FIGURE 4 PCA of bacterial communities in the thermal treatment and control groups during storage (4°C). The numbers 0 to 21 indicate the refrigerated storage time (day). (C: Control group; T: Thermal treatment group-121°C for 15 min)

reason for this result may be that the cell structures of microorganisms were destroyed in the process of the thermal treatment, resulting in a sublethal state or apoptosis of bacterial cells in meatballs. Another reason for this result may be that the enzymes participating in the growth and proliferation of microorganisms in meatballs were inactivated during the thermal treatment (Figure 4).

The relative abundance of Pseudomonas spp. was higher in the thermal treatment group than that observed in the control group on day 0. This result was consistent with the TVB-N determination results, where the thermal treatment group showed a significantly higher initial TVB-N value. The results of previous studies have indicated that the growth of Pseudomonas spp. during storage was associated with changes in the TVB-N in meat products (Balamatsia et al., 2007). In addition, Pseudomonas spp. have been shown to possess strong proteinase and amino acid metabolic abilities in

meat products (Li et al., 2019; Wang, Zhang, et al., 2017). For the control group, despite a low relative abundance of Pseudomonas spp. observed in the initial samples, these levels rapidly increased during storage, reaching the highest value in the meatballs on day 7 (contributing to 90.92% of the total OTUs). Subsequently, the relative abundance of Pseudomonas spp. continually decreased, while that of Pantoea spp. and Serratia spp. continually increased after 7 days. This transition in the bacterial community favoring facultative anaerobes could be attributed to the oxygen-limiting conditions encountered in meat following the rapid proliferation and predominance of Pseudomonas spp. (Enfors & Molin, 1984). Pseudomonas spp. are strictly aerobic; however, oxygen in the plastic packaging bag is gradually reduced during storage. This condition was beneficial for the growth and proliferation of facultative anaerobic bacteria, such as Pantoea spp. and Serratia spp., which often contribute

Control Group

Thermal treatment Group



FIGURE 5 Relative abundance (%) dynamics of bacterial communities based on 16S rRNA gene sequencing results at the phylum (a) and genus (b) levels in the thermal treatment and control groups during 4°C storage. (C: Control group; T: Thermal treatment group-121°C for 15 min)

to meat spoilage (Gram et al., 2002; Tian et al., 2017). At the end of storage, the bacterial community was dominated by *Streptococcus* spp. (35.49%), *Acinetobacter* spp. (11.60%), and *Pseudomonas* spp. (14.27%) in the thermal treated meatballs, whereas *Pseudomonas* spp. (43.76%), *Pantoea* spp. (27.18%), and *Serratia* spp. (16.94%) dominated the control group, indicating that the thermal treatment significantly changed the bacterial communities and restrained the proliferation of potential spoilage bacteria in meatballs during storage. Accordingly, heat stress may lead to changes in microbial community structure that is particularly well adapted to stress and has significant functional stability (Girvan et al., 2005; Schimel et al., 2007).

3.6 | Functional properties of the bacterial communities

To further investigate the changes in bacterial metabolism resulting from the thermal treatment, the relative abundances of various bacterial metabolic pathways were predicted and compared. As shown in Figure 6, metabolic pathways were abundant in the samples, suggesting that bacterial metabolism in meatballs was vigorous. Among these active metabolic pathways, carbohydrate and amino acid metabolism were the primary metabolic pathways detected in all samples, which was consistent with the results of previous studies (Li et al., 2019; Riah-Anglet et al., 2015). More so, the biosynthesis

WILEY 571



b: Control b: Valine_leucineatiosateucinebiosynthesis c: Batterialhemotaxis c0: Valine_leucineatiosateucinebiosynthesis c: Batterialhemotaxis c1: AminoAcidMetabolism c: Cytoskeletonproteins c2: Streptomycinbiosynthesis c: Batterialbettryproteins c2: Streptomycinbiosynthesis c: Cytoskeletonproteins c2: Streptomycinbiosynthesis c: Eatimateretabolism c2: Streptomycinbiosynthesis b: A&Ctransporters c3: Biosynthesis b: Transporters c3: Glycopis_Gluconeogenesis b: Transporters c3: Glycopis_Gluconeogenesis c: SignalTransduction d1: Pyruvatemetabolism c: SignalTransduction d2: Starchandsucreatentabolism c: Croomsome d3: Cartofrixationpathwayispinokaryotes c: Chomesome d5: Cartofrixationpathwayispinokaryotes c: Chomosome d6: Methanemetabolism c: Chomosome d7: Nitogenmetabolism v: DMareplacation d6: Distreptomesis v: DMareplacation d6: Distreptomesis v: DMareplacation d7: Nitogenmetabolism v: DMareplacation d6: Distreptomesis v: DAreplacation d6: Distreptomesis v: DAreplacation <th>a:</th> <th>Cellovcie, Caulobacter</th> <th></th> <th>b8: Tryptophanmetabolism</th>	a:	Cellovcie, Caulobacter		b8: Tryptophanmetabolism
C: Sacterialchemotaxis C: Valine_Teucineadisoleucinedegradation C: Bacterialchemotaxis C: Valine_Teucineadisoleucinedegradation G: Bacterialchemotaxis C: Valine_Teucineadisoleucinedegradation G: Bacterialchemotaxis C: Valine_Teucineadisoleucinedegradation G: CellMotility C: Streptomycinbiosynthesis G: CellMotility C: Streptomycinbiosynthesis G: CellMotility C: Streptomycinbiosynthesis F: Bacterialscretionsystem C: Fructoseandmanosemetabolism J: Phosphtransferasesystem_PTS_ C: Glycoxylateanddicatoxylatemetabolism I: Transporters C: Pentosephosphatepathway m: MembraneTransport d: Propaneatemetabolism n: Two_componentsystem d: Carbonfixationinphotesyntheticorganisms g: Proteinexport d: Carbonfixationinphotesyntheticorganisms g: Proteinexport d: Carbonfixationinphotesyntheticorganisms g: Roteinexport d: Carbonfixationphotesyntheticorganisms g: Roteinexport d: Dixidditrephosphrolation g: Photosynthesis d: Carbonfixationphotesyntheticorganisms g: Roteinexport d: Carbonfixationphotesynthesis g: Roteinexport d: Dixidditrephosphrolation g: Proteinexport d: Dixid	in the	CellGrowthandDeath		b9: Valine leucineandisoleucinebiosynthesis
 c. Decterialmotificity of the secondary Metabolism c. 2. Streptomy Cibbiosynthesis c. 3. Comparison of the secondary Metabolism c. 4. Aminosugarand nucleotidesugarmetabolism c. 5. Studana at matabolism c. 6. Fructoseand manadosemetabolism c. 7. Glycolysis_Gluconeogenesis c. 6. Fructoseand manadosemetabolism c. 7. Glycolysis_Gluconeogenesis c. 6. Fructoseand manadosemetabolism c. 7. Glycolysis_Gluconeogenesis c. 6. Fructoseand manadosemetabolism d. 7. Transporters c. 6. Fructoseand manadosemetabolism d. 7. Transporters c. 6. Fructoseand manadosemetabolism d. 7. Transporters d. 7. Fructoseand manadosemetabolism d. 7. Transporters d. 7. Fructoseand manadosemetabolism d. 7. Fructoseand		Bacterialchemotaxis		c0: Valine leucineandisoleucinedegradation
 c. Octoskeletonproteins c. Cytoskeletonproteins c. Cytoskeletonproteins c. EliMotility c. EliMotility<td>- d:</td><td>Bacterialmotilityproteins</td><td></td><td>c1: AminoAcidMetabolism</td>	- d:	Bacterialmotilityproteins		c1: AminoAcidMetabolism
 c. Cyclinotic of the secondary Metabolites c. Fragellarassembly c. CellMotility c. CellMotility c. C. Aminosugarandoucledidesugarmetabolism c. Bacterialsecretionsystem d. Bacterialsecretions d. Bacte		Cytoskeletoporoteios		c2: Streptomycinbiosynthesis
c::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	- e.	Elanellaraccombly		c3: BiosynthesisofOtherSecondaryMetabolites
9. Celemonity C5: Butanoatemetabolism 1: Bacterialsecretionsystem C5: Furctoseandmannosemetabolism 1: Phosphotransferasesystem_PTS_ C6: Fructoseandmannosemetabolism 1: Transporters C7: Glycolysis_Gluconeogenesis 1: Transporters C9: Pentosephosphatepathway 0: SignalTransduction C9: Pentosephosphatepathway 0: Appendesandfoldingcat®ystem C3: Glycolysis_Gluconeogenesis 0: SignalTransduction C3: Starchandsucrosemetabolism 0: SignalTransduction C3: Carbonfystatoninphotesyntheticorganisms 0: Proteinesport C4: Carbonfystatoninphotesyntheticorganisms 0: DNArepairandrecombinationproteins C3: Carbonfystatoninphotesyntheticorganisms 0: DNArepairandrecombinationproteins C3: Carbonfystatoninphotesynthesis 0: DNArepairandrecombination C3: EnergyMetabolism 0: DNArepairandrecombination C3: EnergyMetabolism 0: Transcriptionmachinery C3: EnergyMetabolism 0: TranscriptionandRepair C3: Glycosynthesisproteins 0: TranscriptionandRepair C3: Glycosynthesisproteins 0: Transcriptionanchinery C5: Glycosynthesisproteins 0: Transcription C3: Glycosynthesisproteins 0: Transcriptionacthinery		CallMatility		c4: Aminosugarandnucleotidesugarmetabolism
if: Bacterialsecretionsystem c6: Fructoseandmannosemetabolism i: Phosphotransferasesystem_PTS_ c7: Glycolysis-Gluconeogenesis i: Transporters c9: Pentosephosphatepathway m:: MembraneTransport d0: Propaneatimetabolism o: SignalTransduction d2: Starchandsucrosemetabolism o: SignalTransduction d2: Starchandsucrosemetabolism o: SignalTransduction d2: Starchandsucrosemetabolism o: SignalTransduction d2: Carbonfixationinghotesyntheticorganisms o: Chaperonesandfoldingcat ^a lysts d3: Carbonfixationinghotesyntheticorganisms o: SignalTransduction d6: Methanemetabolism o: Proteinexport d6: Methanemetabolism o: Chromösome d7: Nitrogenmetabolism o: DNAreplication d6: Photosynthesis v: DNAreplication d7: Nitrogenmetabolism v: DNAreplication d7: Nitrogenmetabolism v: Mismatchrepair d2: CytochromeP450 o: Transcriptionfactors d2: Proteinkynotesis o: Transcription d7: Glycolystacterases o: Ribosome d8: Proteinkinases o: Transcription d7: Ribosome o: Transcription d7: Ripolosynthesis o: Tr	y:	APCtrapropriate		c5: Butanoatemetabolism
i. balledeterminister C7: Glycolysis_Gluconeogenesis i. balledeterminister C7: Glycolysis_Gluconeogenesis ik Secretionsystem C8: Glycoxylateanddicarboxylatemetabolism ii. Transporters C9: Pentosephosphatepathway m: KembraneTransport C9: Capbonitranstermetabolism m: KembraneTransport C9: Capbonitranstermetabolism m: KembraneTransport C9: Carbonitxationphotosyntheticorganisms d: Carbonitxationphotosyntheticorganisms C9: Carbonitxationphotosyntheticorganisms d: Carbonitxationphotosynthesis C9: Carbonitxationpathwaysinprokaryotes d: DNAreplication C9: Photosynthesis, antennaproteins v: DNAreplication C9: Photosynthesis, antennaproteins v: DNAreplication C9: Photosynthesisproteins v: DNAreplication C9: Photosynthesisproteins v: Mismatchrepair C9: CytohromeP450		Abcuarisporters	_	c6: Enuctoseandmannosemetabolism
j. Prospinutariseratesystem C8: Glyoxylatemetabolism i: Transporters C9: Pertospechosphatepathway m:: MembraneTransport C9: Pertospechosphatepathway m:: MembraneTransport C9: Pertospechosphatepathway m:: MembraneTransport C9: Pertospechosphatepathway m:: MembraneTransport C9: Pertospechosphatepathway m:: Meo_componentsystem C1: Pyruvatemetabolism c: SignalTransduction C2: Starchandsucrosemetabolism c: SignalTransduction C2: Starchandsucrosemetabolism d: Carbonfixationpathwaysinprokaryotes C4: Carbonfixationpathwaysinprokaryotes d: Chromosome C7: Nitrogenmetabolism d: DNAreplication C7: Nitrogenmetabolism u: DNAreplarandrecombinationproteins C9: Photosynthesis w: DNAreplication C9: Photosynthesis w: DNAreplication C9: Photosynthesis w: DNAreplication C9: Photosynthesis w: DNAreplication C9: Photosynthesis d: Transcriptionfactors C9: Photosynthesis d: Ribosome C9: Proteinkinases a2: Transcriptionfactors C9: Proteinkinases a3: Anninoacyl_tRNAbiosynthesis C9: Proteinkinases a		Bacterialsecretionsystem		c7: Glycolysis Gluconeogenesis
k.: Section/system CS: Pentosephosphatepathway k.: Section/system CS: Pentosephosphatepathway m:: MembraneTransport CS: Pentosephosphatepathway m:: Two_componentsystem CS: Pentosephosphatepathway m:: Two_componentsystem CS: SignalTransduction c: SignalTransduction CS: Starchandsucrosemetabolism c: SignalTransduction CS: Carbonfixationinphotosyntheticorganisms c: RNAdegradation CS: Carbonfixationinphotosyntheticorganisms c: Choresone Carbonfixationinphotosyntheticorganisms c: Chordsone CS: Carbonfixationinphotosynthesis c: Chordsone CS: Photosynthesis		Forsetionswitem		c8: Glyoxylateanddicarboxylatemetabolism
m: Harbsprices di: Propanatientepaperations m: NembraneTransport di: Provanetemetabolism e: SignalTransduction di: Provaneteabolism e: SignalTransduction di: Provaneteabolism p: Chaperonesandfoldingcat ^a lysts di: CarbohydrateMetabolism q: Proteinexport di: CarbohydrateMetabolism g: Proteinexport di: CarbohydrateMetabolism g: Folding_SortingandDegradation di: CarbohydrateMetabolism g: Poteinexport di: Potosynthesis_antenaperateins g: Potosynthesis di: Photosynthesis_antenaperateins g: Mismatchrepair e2: EnergyMetabolism g: Mismatchrepair e3: CytochromeP450 g: Transcription e6: EnzymeFamilies g: Transcription e6: Enzyme		Texas extern		c9: Pentosephosphatenathway
m: Nemocate Procession m: Two_componentsystem d: Provatemetabolism c: SignalTransduction d: Carbohydrate Australian p: Chaperonesandfoldingcat Bysts d: Carbohydrate Metabolism p: Chaperonesandfoldingcat Bysts d: Carbohydrate Metabolism p: Proteinexport d: Carbohydrate Metabolism r. RNAdegradation d: Carbohydrate Metabolism d: Carbohydrate Metabolism d: Carbohydrate Metabolism d: Carbohydrate Metabolism d: DArepairandrecombination proteins u: DNAreplication w: DNAreplication d: Photosynthesis d: Transcriptionactors d: Photosynthesis d: Transcriptionmachinery d: Transcriptionactors d: Ribosome d: Transcriptionactors d: Ribosome d: Transcriptionactors d: Reputabolism d: Transcriptionactors d: Transcriptionactors d: Ribosome d: Transcriptionactors d: Transcriptionactors d: Ribosome d: Transcriptionactors <td></td> <td>Membrane</td> <td></td> <td>d0: Propanoatemetabolism</td>		Membrane		d0: Propanoatemetabolism
n: Nwcomponentsystem 0.11 (F) (Control Control Contrent Control Control Conternet Control Control Control Contenter Co	m.	- Memorane transport		d1: Pyruvatometabolism
a): Signal Transduction b): Chaperonesant/foldingcat #ysts c): Signal Transduction c): Chaperonesant/foldingcat #ysts c): Carbohydrate/Metabolism c): Richaperonesant/foldingcat #ysts c): Carbohydrate/Metabolism c): Richaperonesant/foldingcat c): Sinth and the sinth and	n:	Two_componentsystem	_	d2: Starchandsucrosemetabolism
p: Lhagenoissandroining.at systs as: Enforthisationinphotosyntheticorganisms q: Proteinexport d4: Carbonfixationinphotosyntheticorganisms r: RNAdegradation d5: Carbonfixationinphotosyntheticorganisms s: Folding_SortingandDegradation d6: Methanemetabolism u: DNArepairandrecombinationproteins d6: Oxfordixationproteins u: DNArepairandrecombination d7: Nitrogenmetabolism u: DNArepairandrecombination d7: Nitrogenmetabolism u: DNArepairandrecombination d7: Nitrogenmetabolism u: DNArepairandrecombination d7: Photosynthesis u: DNArepairandrecombination e1: Photosynthesis y: Mismatchrepair e2: EnergyMetabolism z: ReplicationandRepair e3: CytochromeP450 a0: Transcriptionfactors e4: Peptidases a1: Transcriptionfactors e6: EnzymeFamilies a2: Transcription e6: EnzymeFamilies a3: Aminoacy_LRNAbiosynthesis e7: Glycosyltransferases a4: Ribosome e8: Lippolysaccharidebiosynthesis a5: RibosomeBiogenesis f2: Lipidbiosynthesis a6: Translationfactors f2: Lipidbiosynthesisproteins a8: Tuberculosis f3: LipidMetabolism a8: Tuberculosis	0:	Signal Fransduction	_	d3: CarbobydrateMetabolism
q) Proteinexport G) Carbon/Excloring/and/budy/index/siprokaryotes r: RNAdegradation G) Carbon/Excloring/and/budy/index/siprokaryotes s: Folding_SortingandDegradation G) Carbon/Excloring/and/budy/index/siprokaryotes t: Chromosome G? Nitrogenmetabolism t: DNAreplication G? Nitrogenmetabolism v: DNAreplication G? Nitrogenmetabolism v: DNAreplication G? Notosynthesis w: DNAreplicationsproteins G? Photosynthesis y: Mismatchrepair G? Exceptibilities z: ReplicationandRepair G? CytochromeP450 a0: Transcriptionmachinery G? Glycosyltransferases a1: Transcription G? CytochromeP450 a2: Transcription G? Glycosyltransferases a4: Ribosome G? Lipdolosynthesis a5: RibosomeBiogenesis G? Glycosyltransferases a6: Tuberculosis G? Exprefamilies a7: Translation 11: Fattyacidmetabolism a8: Tuberculosis G? Lipdolosynthesis b0: Alanine_aspartateandgiutamatemetabolism G? Pophyrinandcholorophylimetabolism b1: Aminoaccidrelatedorsymes G? Dipdolosynthesis b2: Arginineandprolimemetabolism G? Drophyrinandcholorophylimetabolism	p:	Chaperonesandfoldingcat "lysts		d4: Carbonfyationinnhotosyntheticorganisms
i: FiNAdegradation D2: Environmentation introduction (solution) (solution) (solution) i: Finding_SortingandDegradation d6: Methanemetabolism i: Chromosome d7: Nitrogenmetabolism u: DNAreplication d6: Oxidativephosphorylation v: DNAreplication d9: Photosynthesis w: DNAreplicationproteins e0: Photosynthesis v: DNAreplicationproteins e1: Photosynthesis antennaproteins y: Mismatchrepair e2: EnergyMetabolism y: Mismatchrepair e3: CytochromeP450 a0: TranscriptionandRepair e3: CytochromeP450 a2: Transcription e6: EnzymeFamilies a2: Transcription e6: EnzymeFamilies a3: Aminoacyl_tRNAbiosynthesis e7: Glycosyltransferases a4: Ribosome e8: Lipopolysaccharidebiosynthesis a6: Translationfactors 10: GrycamBiosynthesis a7: Translation 11: Fattyacimetabolism a8: Tuberculosis e3: LipidMetabolism a9: InfectiousDiseases f3: LipidMetabolism b1: Aminoacidrelatedenzymes f3: LipidMetabolism b2: Arginineandproinemetabolism f6: Thiaminemetabolism b1: Aminoacidrelatedenzymes f6: Thiaminemetabolism <td< td=""><td> q:</td><td>Proteinexport</td><td>=</td><td>d5: Carbonfixationnathwaysinnrokarvotes</td></td<>	q:	Proteinexport	=	d5: Carbonfixationnathwaysinnrokarvotes
5: Folding_sortingandbegradation 00: Photosynthesis 1: Chromosome 07: Nitrogenmetabbilism u: DNAreplication 09: Photosynthesis v: DNAreplication 09: Photosynthesis w: DNAreplication 09: Photosynthesis w: DNAreplication 01: Photosynthesis x: Homologousrecombination 01: Photosynthesis y: Mismatchrepair 02: EnergyMetabolism z: ReplicationandRepair 03: CytochromeP450 a0: Transcriptionmachinery 06: EnzymeFamilies a1: Transcriptionmachinery 06: EnzymeFamilies a2: Aninoacyl_RNAbiosynthesis 07: Glycosyltransferases a4: Ribosome 08: Poptolysaccharidebiosynthesisproteins a5: RibosomeBio 07: Glycosyltransferases a6: Translation 11: Fattyacidmetabolism a7: Translation 11: Fattyacidmetabolism a8: Tuberculosis 12: Lipidbiosynthesisproteins a9: InfectiousDiseases 13: LipidMetabolism b1: Aminoacidrelatedoszymes 13: LipidMetabolism b2: Arginineandprolimenetabolism 15: Pophyrinandchiorophylimetabolism b2: Arginineandprolimenetabolism 16: Thaiminemetabolism b1: Aminoacidrelatedoszymes	r:	RNAdegradation	_	d6: Methanemetabolism
t: Chromosome Chromosome u: DNAreplicationproteins Chromosome v: Mismatchrepair Chromosome v: ReplicationandRepair Chromosome z: ReplicationandRepair Chromosome a0: Transcriptionfactors Chromosome a1: Transcriptionmachinery Chromosome a2: Transcription Chromosome a3: Aminoacyl (RNAbiosynthesis Chromosome a4: Ribosome Chromosome a5: RibosomeBiogenesis Chromosonthesis a6: Tuberculosis Chromosonthesis a7: Translation Chromosonthesis a8: Tuberculosis Chromosome b1: Aminoacidrelatedonzymes Chromosonthesis b2: Arginineandoprolementabolism Chromosonthesis b1: Aminoacidrelatedonzymes Chromosonthesis b2: Arginineandoprolementabolism Chrophyrinandchioro	5:	Folding_SorungandDegradation	=	d7- Nitroneometabolism
u: DNAreplication Dis Photosynthesis v: DNAreplication Dis Photosynthesis, antennaproteins v: DNAreplication Dis Photosynthesis, antennaproteins v: Nareplicationproteins Dis Photosynthesis, antennaproteins v: Mismatchrepair Dis Photosynthesis, antennaproteins v: Mismatchrepair Dis Photosynthesis, antennaproteins v: Mismatchrepair Dis Photosynthesis z: ReplicationandRepair Dis Photosynthesis a0: Transcriptionactors Dis Photosynthesis a1: Transcription Dis Proteinkinases a2: Transcription Dis Proteinkinases a3: Aminoacyl_tRNAbiosynthesis Dis Proteinkinases a4: Ribosome Dis Photosynthesis a5: Transcription Dis Proteinkinases a6: Translotion Dis Proteinkinases a6: Translationfactors Dis Optiscathesis andMetabolism a7: Translation Dis Proteinkinases a8: InfectiousDiseases Dis Upidolosynthesis b1: Aminoacidrelatedenzymes Dis Protyninandchiorophylimetabolism b2: Arginineandproinemetabolism Fis Porphyrinandchiorophylimetabolism b2: Arginineandproinemetabolism Fis MitothenateandCoAbiosynthesis <	- t: (Chromosome	_	d8: Oxidativenhocoborylation
V: DNAreplication 0.1 modeling interests W: DNAreplication proteins 0.1 modeling interests X: Homologousrecombination 0.1 modeling interests X: Homologousrecombination 0.1 modeling interests Y: Mismatchrepair 0.1 modeling interests 2: ReplicationandRepair 0.1 modeling interests 2: ReplicationandRepair 0.1 modeling interests 2: ReplicationandRepair 0.1 modeling interests 2: Transcriptionmachinery 0.5 Proteinkinases 2: Transcription 0.5 modeling interests 3: Aminoaction 1.5 modeling interests 3: InfectiousDiseases 0.5 modeling interests 3: InfectiousDiseases 0.5 modeling interests 3: InfectiousDiseases 1.5 modeling interests 3: Aminoactionelatedenzymes 1.5 modeling interests 5: Porphyrinandchiorophyllmetabolism 1.5 modeling	- U:	DNArepairandrecombinationproteins	_	dg: Photosynthesis
w: DNAreplicationgroteins 6:2: Photosynthesis.proteins x: Homologousrecombination 6:1: Photosynthesis.proteins y: Mismatchrepair 6:2: EnergMetabolism z: ReplicationandRepair 6:3: CytochromeP450 a0: Transcriptionfactors 6:4: Peptidases a1: Transcriptionmachinery 6:5: Proteinkinases a2: Transcription 6:6: EnzymeFamilies: a3: Aminoacyl_(RNAbiosynthesis 6:7: Glycosyltransferases a4: Ribosome 6:8: Lipopolysaccharidebiosynthesisproteins a5: RibosomeBiogenesis 6:9: Peptidoglycanbiosynthesisproteins a5: Translation 11: Fattyacidmetabolism a8: Tuberculosis 7: Lipidbiosynthesisproteins a9: InfectiousDiseses 7: Lipidbiosynthesisproteins b1: Aminoacidrelatedenzymes 6: Prophyrinandchiorophyllmetabolism b2: Arglinineandprolinemetabolism 6: Translution- b3: Cysteineandmethioninemetabolism 7: Ubiguinoneandotherterpenoid_guinoneandotherterpenoid_guinoneandotherterpenoid_guinoneandotherterpenoid_guinoneandotherterpenoid_guinoneandotherterpenoid_guinoneandotherterpenoid_guinoneandotherterpenoid_guinoneandotherterpenoid_guinoneandotherterpenoid_guinoneandotherterpenoid_guinoneandotherterpenoid_guinoneandotherterpenoid_guinoneandotherterpenoid_guinoneandotherterpenoid_guinoneandotherterpenoid_guinoneandotherterpenoid_guinoneandotherterpenoid_guinoneandotism	V:	DNAreplication	=	e0: Photosynthesis anteonaproteins
x: Homologous/Hosspation 61. Hockspations y: Mismatchrepair 62. EnergyMetabolism z: ReplicationandRepair 63. CytochromeP450 a0: Transcriptionfactors 64. Peptidases a1: Transcriptionfactors 64. Peptidases a2: Transcription 65. Proteinkinases a2: Transcription 65. EnzymeFamilies a3: Aminoacyl_tRNAbiosynthesis 67. Gycosyltransferases a4: Ribosome 68. Lipopolysaccharidebiosynthesisproteins a5: RibosomeBiogenesis 69. Peptidoglycanbiosynthesis a6: Translationfactors 10: GycosyltranBiosynthesissandMetabolism a7: Translation 11: Fattyacidmetabolism a8: InfectiousDiseases 73: Lipidbiosynthesisproteins a9: InfectiousDiseases 73: Lipidbiosynthesisproteins b1: Aminoacidrelatedenzymes 73: Lipidbiosynthesisproteins b2: Arginineandproinemetabolism 76: Unaninemetabolism b3: Cysteineandmethoninemetabolism 79: Ubiguineneandothreorphyllmetabolism b3: Cysteineandmethoninemetabolism 79: Gytathionemetabolism b4: Gycine_serineandthreoninemetabolism 79: Gytathionemetabolism b5: Lysinebiosynthesis 90: Gytathionemetabolism b4: Gytathione_serinea	W:	DNAreplicationproteins	_	al: Photosynthesisproteins
y: Mismatchrepair either brighterstormin z: ReplicationandRepair eitherstormeP450 a0: Transcriptionfactors eitherstormeP450 a1: Transcriptionmachinery eitherstormeP450 a2: Transcription eitherstormeP450 a2: Transcription eitherstormeP450 a2: Transcription eitherstormeP450 a3: Aminoacyl_tRNAbiosynthesis eitherstormeP450 a4: Ribosome eitherstormeP450 a4: Ribosome eitherstormeP450 a5: RibosomeBiogenesis eitherstormeP450 a6: Translationfactors folderstormeDatases a6: Translation file attravalimetabolism a7: Translation file attravalimetabolism a8: Tuberculosis file fattyacidmetabolism a9: InfectiousDiseases file fattyacidmetabolism b0: Alanine_aspartateandglutamatemetabolism file Thaiminemetabolism b1: Aminoacidrelatedenzymes file Thiaminemetabolism b2: Arginineandproinemetabolism file MetabolismoforfactorsandVitamins b3: Cysteineandmethioninemetabolism file MetabolismoforfactorsandVitamins b4: Glycine_serineandthreoninemetabolism file MetabolismofOfactorsandVitamins b5: Lysi	х:	Homologousrecombination	_	a2: EnergyMatshalism
21 ReplicationandRepair E2. Cytechnolic Post a0: Transcriptionfactors e4: Peptidases a1: Transcriptionmachinery e5: Proteinkinases a2: Transcription e6: EnzymeFamilies a3: Aminoacy_(RNAbiosynthesis e7: Glycosyltransferases a4: Ribosome e8: Lipopolysaccharidebiosynthesisproteins a5: RibosomeBiogenesis e9: PeptidoglycanbiosynthesisandMetabolism a6: Translation 11: Fattyacidmetabolism a7: Translation 12: Lipidbiosynthesisproteins a8: Tuberculosis e7: Dipolysaccharidebiosynthesis b0: Alanine_aspartateandglutamatemetabolism f4: PantothenateandCoAbiosynthesis b1: Aminoacidrelatedenzymes f5: Porphyrinandchiorophyllmetabolism b2: Arglinineandprolinemetabolism f6: Thiaminemetabolism b3: Cysteineandmethioninemetabolism f6: MiabolismofOtherterpenoid, guinonebiosynthesis b4: Glycine_serineandthreonimetabolism f8: MetabolismofOtherterpenoid, guinonebiosynthesis b5: Lysinebiosynthesis g9: Gutathoinemetabolism b6: Lysinebiosynthesis g9: Gutathoinemetabolism b6: Lysinebiosynthesis g9: Gutathoinemetabolism b6: Lysinebiosynthesis g9: Gutathoinemetabolism b6: Lysinebiosynthesis	y:	Mismatchrepair	=	e3: OutochromeP450
a0: Transcriptionfactors en: reprovement a1: Transcriptionmachinery e5: Proteinkinases a2: Transcription e6: EnzymeFamilies a3: Aminoacyl_RNAbiosynthesis e7: Glycosyltransferases a4: Ribosome e8: Lipopolysaccharidebiosynthesisproteins a5: RibosomeBiogenesis e9: Peptidoglycanbiosynthesis a6: Translationfactors f0: GlycanbiosynthesissandMetabolism a7: Translation f1: Fattyacidmetabolism a8: InfectiousDiseases f2: Lipidbiosynthesisproteins a9: InfectiousDiseases f3: Lipidbiosynthesisproteins b1: Aminoacidrelatedenzymes f6: Protynkinandhiorophyllmetabolism b2: Arginineandproinemetabolism f6: Thiaminemetabolism b3: Cysteineandmethoninemetabolism f8: MetabolismoCofactorsandVitamins b4: Glycine_serineandthreoninemetabolism f8: MetabolismoCofactorsandVitamins b5: Lysinebiosynthesis f9: Glutathionemetabolism b6: Lysinedegradation g0: MetabolismoCofearcorsandVitaminoAcids b6: Lysinedegradation g0: MetabolismoCohernkminoAcids	Z:	ReplicationandRepair	=	ed: Regulationer 450
a1: Transcriptionmachinery 63: Froutinanases a2: Transcription 66: EnzymeFamilies a3: Aminoacyl_tRNAbiosynthesis 67: Glycosyltransferases a4: Ribosome 68: Lippolysaccharidebiosynthesisproteins a5: RibosomeBiogenesis 69: Peptidoglycanbiosynthesis a6: Translationfactors 10: GlycanBiosynthesisproteins a7: Translation 11: Fattyacldmetabolism a8: Tuberculosis 72: Lipidbiosynthesisproteins a9: InfectiousDiseases 73: LipidMetabolism b0: Alanine_aspartateandglutamatemetabolism 14: PantothenateandCoAbiosynthesis b1: Aminoacidrelatedenzymes 16: Thiaminemetabolism b2: Arginineandprolinemetabolism 17: Ubiquinoneandothereprenoid, quinonebiosynthesis b3: Cysteineandmethioninemetabolism 17: Ubiguinoneandothereprenoid, quinonebiosynthesis b4: Glycine_serineandthreoninemetabolism 19: Gutathionemetabolism b5: Lysinebiosynthesis 19: Gutathionemetabolism b6: Lysinebiosynthesis 19: Gutathionemetabolism b6: Lysinedegradation 20: Grapaioleanotation	aO): Transcriptionfactors	_	a5: Bratainkinasar
a2: Transcription E0: E1:2000 randomizer a3: Aminoacy L(RNAbiosynthesis e7: Glycosyltransferases a4: Ribosome e8: Lipopolysaccharidebiosynthesisproteins a5: Ribosome e9: Peptidoglycanbiosynthesis a6: Translationfactors 00: GlycanBiosynthesisproteins a7: Translation 11: Fattyacidmetabolism a8: Tuberculosis f3: LipidMetabolism b0: Alanine_aspartateandglutamatemetabolism f4: PantothenateandCoAbiosynthesis b1: Arginineandprolinemetabolism f5: Porphyrinandchiorophyllmetabolism b2: Arginineandprolinemetabolism f6: Thiaminemetabolism b3: Cysteineandmethioninemetabolism f6: Thiaminemetabolism b4: Glycine_serineandthreoninemetabolism f8: MetabolismofOrfactorsandVitamins b5: Lysinebiosynthesis f9: Gutathoinemetabolism b6: Lysinebiosynthesis g0: MetabolismofOrfactorsandVitamins b6: Lysinebiosynthesis g0: MetabolismofOtherAminoAcids <	a1	: Transcriptionmachinery	_	es. Frouenikinases
a3: Aminoacyl_(RNAbiosynthesis e7: Grycosyntaniareses a4: Ribosome e8: Lipopolysacharidebiosynthesisproteins a5: RibosomeBiogenesis e9: Peptidoglycanbiosynthesis a6: Translationfactors 10: GrycanBiosynthesissandMetabolism a7: Translation 11: Fattyacidmetabolism a8: Tuberculosis 12: Lipidbiosynthesisproteins a9: InfectiousDiseases 13: LipidMetabolism b0: Alanine_aspartateandglutamatemetabolism 14: PantothenateandCoAbiosynthesis b1: Aminoacidrelatedenzymes 15: Porphyrinandchlorophyllmetabolism b2: Arginineandprolinemetabolism 17: Ubiquineneandotherepenoid_quinonebiosynthesis b3: Cysteineandmethioninemetabolism 17: Ubiquineneandotherepenoid_quinonebiosynthesis b4: Glycine_serineandthreoninemetabolism 19: Glutathionemetabolism b5: Lysinebiosynthesis 19: Glutathionemetabolism b6: Lysinedegradation 20: Grapioldboordatior b7: Usinebiosynthesis 19: Glutathionemetabolism	a2	2: Transcription	_	et. Enzymerannies
a4: Ribosome Des Eufopisatchanischlosynthesis a5: RibosomeBiogenesis Des Eufopisatchanischlosynthesis a6: Translationfactors 10: GlycanBiosynthesis a7: Translation 11: Fattyacidmetabolism a8: Tuberculosis 22: Lipidbiosynthesisproteins a9: InfectiousDiseases 13: Lipidbiosynthesisproteins b0: Alanine, aspartateandglutamatemetabolism 14: PantothenateandCoAbiosynthesis b1: Aminoacidrelatedenzymes 16: Thiaminemetabolism b2: Arginineandprolinemetabolism 17: Ubiquinoneandothiorophyllmetabolism b3: Cysteineandmethioninemetabolism 17: Ubiquinoneandotherterpenoid, quinonebiosynthesis b4: Glycine_serineandthreoninemetabolism 19: Glutathionemetabolism b5: Lysinebiosynthesis 19: Glutathionemetabolism b6: Lysinedegradation 20: Grapialdeanetabolism	E6 🗖	3: Aminoacyl_tRNAbiosynthesis	_	e7: Grycosyltransierases
a5: RibosomeBiogenesis 69: republic someBiogenesis a6: Translationfactors 10: GlycanBiosynthesisandMetabolism a7: Translation 11: Fattyacidmetabolism a8: Tuberculosis 12: LipidbiosynthesisandMetabolism a9: InfectiousDiseases 13: LipidMetabolism b1: Amine_aspartateandglutamatemetabolism 14: Pattyacidmetabolism b2: Arginineandprolinemetabolism 15: Porphyrinandchiorophyllmetabolism b3: Cysteineandmethioninemetabolism 16: Thiaminemetabolism b4: Glycine_serineandthreoninemetabolism 18: MetabolismofOtherterpenoid, guinonebiosynthesis b4: Glycine_serineandthreoninemetabolism 19: Glutathionemetabolism b5: Lysinebiosynthesis 19: Glutatholinemetabolism b6: Lysinedegradation 20: Metabolism	- 34	i: Ribosome	=	eo: Enpopolysacchandeolosynchesisprocents
a6: Translationfactors 10: dividentabolism a7: Translationfactors 11: Fattyacidmetabolism a8: Tuberculosis 12: Eattyacidmetabolism a9: InfectiousDiseases 13: LipidMetabolism b0: Alanine_aspartateandglutamatemetabolism 14: PantothenateandCoAbiosynthesis b1: Aminoacidrelatedenzymes 15: Porphyrinandchlorophyllmetabolism b2: Arginineandprolinemetabolism 16: Thiaminemetabolism b3: Cysteineandmethioninemetabolism 17: Ubiquineneandotherterpenoid_quinonebiosynthesis b4: Glycine_serineandthreoninemetabolism 19: Glutathionemetabolism b5: Lysinebiosynthesis 19: Glutathionemetabolism b6: Lysinebedgradation 20: MetabolismofOthertwninoAcids	a5	i: RibosomeBiogenesis	_	es. Peptioogrycanolosynthesis (9. Chisan Riesunthesis and Matabalism
a7: Translation 11: Party-clumetabolism a8: Tuberculosis 12: Lipidbiosynthesisproteins a9: Infectious/Diseases 13: Lipid/detabolism b0: Atanine_aspartateandglutamatemetabolism 14: PantothenateandCoAbiosynthesis b1: Aminoacidrelatedenzymes 15: Porphyrinandchiorophyllmetabolism b2: Arginineandproinemetabolism 16: Thiaminemetabolism b3: Cysteineandmethioninemetabolism 17: Ubiguineneandchiorophyllmetabolism b4: Giyrine_serineandthreoninemetabolism 19: Gutathionemetabolism b5: Lysinebiosynthesis 19: Gutathionemetabolism b6: Lysinedegradation 02: Grapioldeardation b7: Usinediosynthesis 19: Gutathoinemetabolism	a6	i: Translationfactors	_	fl. Eathracidmetabolism
a8: Tuberculosis 12: UpindNetabolism a9: InfectiousDiseases 13: UpidNetabolism b0: Alanine_aspartateandglutamatemetabolism 14: PantothenateandCoAbiosynthesis b1: Aminoacidrelatedenzymes 15: Porphyrinandchiorophyllmetabolism b2: Argininaandprolinemetabolism 16: Thiaminemetabolism b3: Cysteineandmethioninemetabolism 17: Ubiguinoneandotherterpenoid_guinonebiosynthesis b4: Glycine_serineandthreoninemetabolism 18: MetabolismoCofactorsandVitamins b5: Lysinebiosynthesis 90: MetabolismoCofactorsandVitamins b6: Lysinedegradation 20: Grapioldenzandatior	a7	7: Translation	=	D. Linidhies with an is proteins
a9: InfectiousDiseases 15: epiroteauousmi b0: Alanine_aspartateandglutamatemetabolism 14: PantothenateandCaAbiosynthesis b1: Aminaacidrelatedenzymes 15: Porphyrinandchlorophyllmetabolism b2: Arginineandprolinemetabolism 16: Thiominemetabolism b3: Cysteineandmethioninemetabolism 17: Ubiquinoneandotherterpenoid_quinonebiosynthesis b4: Glycine_serineandthreoninemetabolism 19: Glutathionemetabolism b5: Lysinebiosynthesis 19: Glutathionemetabolism b6: Lysinebiosynthesis 20: MetabolismofOtherAminoAcids b6: Lysinebiosynthesis 20: MetabolismofOtherAminoAcids	86	3: Tuberculosis	=	2: LinidMetabolism
b0: Alanine_aspartateandglutamatemetabolism 14: ranknockabidsynthesis b1: Aminoacidrelatedenzymes 15: Porphyrinandchlorophyllimetabolism b2: Arginineandproinemetabolism 16: Thiaminemetabolism b3: Cysteineandmethioninemetabolism 17: Ubiquineneandotherterpenoid_quinonebiosynthesis b4: Glycine_serineandthreoninemetabolism 18: MetabolismofCofactorsandVitamins b5: Lysinebiosynthesis 19: Glutathionemetabolism b6: Lysinebiosynthesis 90: MetabolismofCofactorsandVitamins b6: Lysinebiosynthesis 19: Glutathionemetabolism b6: Lysinebiosynthesis 19: Glutathionemetabolism	a9	: InfectiousDiseases	_	14. DestationstandCathion athasis
b1: Aminoacidrelatedenzymes D5: PO prymanuccinophylintecabolism b2: Argininaandrophylintecabolism D6: Thiaminemetabolism b3: Cysteineandmethioninemetabolism T7: Ubiguineneandotherterpenoid_guinenebiosynthesis b4: Glycine_serineandthreoninemetabolism F8: MetabolismofOffactorsandVilamins b5: Lysinebiosynthesis 90: MetabolismofOffactorsandVilamins b6: Lysinebiosynthesis 90: MetabolismofOffactorsandVilamins b6: Lysinebiosynthesis 90: MetabolismofOffactorsandVilaminoAcids	Б0	2: Alanine_aspartateandglutamatemetabolism	_	14: PantotnenateandCoAbiosynthesis
b2: Arginineandprolinemetabolism F6: Innaminemetabolism b3: Cysteineandmethioninemetabolism 77: Ubiquinoneandotherterpenoid_quinonebiosynthesis b4: Glycine_serineandthreoninemetabolism F8: MetabolismofCofactorsandVitamins b5: Lysinebiosynthesis 19: Glutathionemetabolism b6: Lysinebiosynthesis 90: MetabolismofOtherAminoAcids b6: Lysinebiosynthesis 90: MetabolismofOtherAminoAcids	b1	l: Aminoacidrelatedenzymes	_	15: Porpriymandchiorophynmetabolism
b3: Cysteineandmethioninemetabolism 17: Uolquinoneandotheretrependia_quinoneandotyntresis b4: Glycine_serineandthreoninemetabolism 68: MetabolismadCofactorsandVitamins b5: Lysinebiosynthesis 19: Glutathionemetabolism b6: Lysinebiosynthesis 90: MetabolismofOtherAminoAcids b6: Lysinebiosynthesis 01: GazalidAesonAtian	b2	2: Arginineandprolinemetabolism	_	7. Uhim inserted bolism
b4: Glycine_serineandthreoninemetabolism T8: MetabolismofLoractorsandViramins b5: Lysinebiosynthesis 19: Glutathionemetabolism b6: Lysinedegradation g0: MetabolismofOtherAminoAcids	b3	3: Cysteineandmethioninemetabolism		Obiquinoneandotherterpenoid_quinonebiosynthesis Ob Matabalisma (Cafastassand) (Itamias
b5: Lysinebiosynthesis IP: Gutathionemetabolism b6: Lysinedegradation g0: MetabolismofOtherAminoAcids	b4	4: Glycine_serineandthreoninemetabolism		 metabolisinforCoractorsanovitamins Chitathionometabolizes
b6: Lysinedegradation gu: MetabolismototherAminoAcids	b5	5: Lysinebiosynthesis		r9: Giutathionemetabolism
a li trompio de la composición de la comp	b6	5: Lysinedegradation	_	gu: metabolismotUtherAminoAcids
b7: Phenylalanine_tyrosineandtryptophanbiosynthesis	b 7	7: Phenylalanine_tyrosineandtryptophanbiosynthesis		g1: Geraniologradation



FIGURE 6 Differential phylogenetic distribution of the bacterial metabolic activities in the thermal treatment and control groups during 4°C storage. (C: Control group; T: Thermal treatment group-121°C for 15 min)

of other secondary metabolites, carbohydrate, amino acid, lipid, and energy metabolism that were associated with bacterial activity and meat spoilage showed significant active in the control group on day 0 or day 7. This result may be due to the microorganisms participating in carbohydrate and amino acid metabolism being killed or inhibited by the thermal treatment, or possibly because the enzymes involved in the metabolic pathways mentioned above were inactivated during the thermal treatment (Figure 6).

The abundances of metabolic pathways associated with biogenic amines and sulfide formation were significantly higher in the thermal **____**Food Science & Nutrition

treatment group on day 0, such as those involved in phenylalanine, tyrosine and tryptophan biosynthesis metabolism, which were associated with *Enterobacteriaceae* and *Pseudomonas* spp. (Curiel et al., 2011). This result was consistent with the results of TVB-N and the observed bacterial communities in meatballs. Furthermore, pathways involved in glyoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism, nitrogen metabolism involving arginine, and proline metabolism related to the formation of biogenic and volatile amines were significantly abundant in the control group on day 7, a finding that was in accordance with the TVB-N results.

4 | CONCLUSION

The findings of this study demonstrated that thermal treatment (121°C, 15 min) could significantly decrease bacterial community diversity and the growth of potential spoilage bacteria in meatballs. In particular, thermal treatment could largely decrease the relative abundance of bacterial metabolic pathways in meatballs, such as carbohydrate, amino acid, and lipid metabolism to maintain the freshness of meatballs during storage at 4°C. This finding could contribute to a deep understanding of the influence of thermal treatment on the meat quality. Moreover, these results could provide a theoretical foundation for the development of alternative and novel nonthermal processing technologies for use in the meat industry.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Jiangsu Agricultural Science and Technology Innovation Fund, China (CX(18)2024), Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (KYYZ201803) and China Agriculture Research System (CARS-35).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they do not have any conflict of interest.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

This study does not involve any human or animal testing.

ORCID

Guanghong Zhou D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8960-2141

REFERENCES

- Abendroth, C., Hahnke, S., Simeonov, C., Klocke, M., Casani-Miravalls, S., Ramm, P., Bürger, C., Luschnig, O., & Porcar, M. (2018). Microbial communities involved in biogas production exhibit high resilience to heat shocks. *Bioresource Technology*, 249, 1074–1079. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.10.093
- Asshauer, K. P., Wemheuer, B., Daniel, R., & Meinicke, P. (2015). Tax4Fun: Predicting profiles from metagenomic 16S rRNA data. *Bioinformatics*, 31, 2882–2884. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv287
- Balamatsia, C. C., Patsias, A., Kontominas, M. G., & Savvaidis, I. N. (2007). Possible role of volatile amines as quality-indicating metabolites in modified atmosphere-packaged chicken fillets: Correlation with

microbiological and sensory attributes. *Food Chemistry*, 104, 1622-1628. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2007.03.013

- Barbosa-Cánovas, G. V., Medina-Meza, I., Candoğan, K., & Bermúdez-Aguirre, D. (2014). Advanced retorting, microwave assisted thermal sterilization (MATS), and pressure assisted thermal sterilization (PATS) to process meat products. *Meat Science*, 98, 420–434. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2014.06.027
- Caporaso, J. G., Kuczynski, J., Stombaugh, J., Bittinger, K., Bushman, F. D., Costello, E. K., Fierer, N., Peña, A. G., Goodrich, J. K., Gordon, J. I., Huttley, G. A., Kelley, S. T., Knights, D., Koenig, J. E., Ley, R. E., Lozupone, C. A., McDonald, D., Muegge, B. D., Pirrung, M., ... Knight, R. (2010). QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. *Nature Methods*, *7*, 335–336. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.f.303
- Curiel, J. A., Ruiz-Capillas, C., de las Rivas, B., Carrascosa, A. V., Jiménez-Colmenero, F., & Muñoz, R. (2011). Production of biogenic amines by *lactic acid bacteria* and *enterobacteria* isolated from fresh pork sausages packaged in different atmospheres and kept under refrigeration. *Meat Science*, 88, 368–373. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2011
- Enfors, S. O., & Molin, G. (1984). Carbon dioxide evolution of refrigerated meat. *Meat Science*, 10, 197–206. https://doi.org/10.1016/0309-1740(84)90022-6
- Fellows, P. J. (2017). *Heat sterilisation, Food processing technology* (pp. 581-622). Elsevier Inc. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-10190 7-8.0001-2-2
- Feng, X., Bansal, N., & Yang, H. (2016). Fish gelatin combined with chitosan coating inhibits myofibril degradation of golden pomfret (*Trachinotus blochii*) fillet during cold storage. *Food Chemistry*, 200, 283–292. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.01.030
- Gharibzahedi, S. M. T., & Mohammadnabi, S. (2017). Effect of novel bioactive edible coatings based on jujube gum and nettle oil-loaded nanoemulsions on the shelf-life of Beluga sturgeon fillets. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 95(95), 769–777. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac
- Girvan, M. S., Campbell, C. D., Killham, K., Prosser, J. I., & Glover, L. A. (2005). Bacterial diversity promotes community stability and functional resilience after perturbation. *Environmental Microbiology*, 7, 301–313. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2005.00695.x
- Gram, L., Ravn, L., Rasch, M., Bruhn, J. B., Christensen, A. B., & Givskov, M. (2002). Food spoilage interactions between food spoilage bacteria. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 78, 79–97. https://doi. org/10.1016/S0168-1605(02)00233-7
- Guo, X. F., Wang, Z. R., Guo, S. L., Wei, J., & Li, D. H. (2016). Effect of high temperature sterilization time on the quality of roast whole lamb during storage. *Meat Research*, 30(07), 6–10. https://doi. org/10.15922/j.cnki.rlyj.2016.07.002
- Han, J., Luo, X., Zhang, Y. N., Zhu, L. X., Mao, Y. W., Dong, P. C., Yang, X., Liang, R., Hopkins, D. L., & Zhang, Y. (2020). Effects of spraying lactic acid and peroxyacetic acid on the bacterial decontamination and bacterial composition of beef carcasses. *Meat Science*, 164, 108104. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2020.108104
- Hernandez, C., Alamilla-Ortiz, Z. L., Escalante, A. E., Navarro-Díaz, M., Carrillo-Reyes, J., Moreno-Andrade, I., & Valdez-Vazquez, I. (2019).
 Heat-shock treatment applied to inocula for H₂ production decreases microbial diversities, interspecific interactions and performance using cellulose as substrate. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 44, 3126–3134. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2019.03.124
- Hernández-Hernández, H. M., Moreno-Vilet, L., & Villanueva-Rodríguez, S. J. (2019). Current status of emerging food processing technologies in Latin America: Novel non-thermal processing. *Innovative Food Science and Emerging Technologies*, 58, 102233. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ifset.2019.102233
- Horita, C. N., Baptista, R. C., Caturla, M. Y. R., Lorenzo, J. M., Barba, F. J., & Sant'Ana, A. S. (2018). Combining reformulation, active packaging

and non-thermal post-packaging decontamination technologies to increase the microbiological quality and safety of cooked ready-toeat meat products. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 72, 45–61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2017.12.003

- Huang, M. Y., Wang, H. H., Xu, X. L., Lu, X. Q., Song, X. Y., & Zhou, G. H. (2020). Effects of nanoemulsion-based edible coatings with composite mixture of rosemary extract and ε-poly-L-lysine on the shelf life of ready-to-eat carbonado. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 102, 105576. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2019.105576
- Li, F. H., Chen, G., Zhang, B., & Fu, X. (2017). Current applications and new opportunities for the thermal and non-thermal processing technologies to generate berry product or extracts with high nutraceutical contents. *Food Research International*, 100, 19–30. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.foodres.2017.08.035
- Li, N., Zhang, Y. X., Wu, Q. P., Gu, Q. H., Chen, M. T., Zhang, Y. Z., Sun, X., & Zhang, J. M. (2019). High-throughput sequencing analysis of bacterial community composition and quality characteristics in refrigerated pork during storage. *Food Microbiology*, 83, 86–94. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2019.04.013
- Liu, D., Liang, L., Xia, W., Regenstein, J. M., & Zhou, P. (2013). Biochemical and physical of grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*) fillets stored at -3°C and 0°C. *Food Chemistry*, 140(1), 105–114. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2013.02.034
- Lyng, J. G., Clemente, I., & McKenna, B. M. (2019). Ohmic pasteurization of meat and meat products. In M. Kutz (Ed.), *Handbook of farm, dairy* and food machinery engineering (pp. 593–622). Elsevier Inc. https:// doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-814803-7.00023-3
- Misra, N. N., & Jo, C. (2017). Applications of cold plasma technology for microbiological safety in meat industry. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 64, 74–86. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2017.04.005
- Nieminen, T. T., Koskinen, K., Laine, P., Hultman, J., Säde, E., Paulin, L., Paloranta, A., Johansson, P., Björkroth, J., & Auvinen, P. (2012). Comparison of microbial communities in marinated and unmarinated broiler meat by metagenomics. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 157, 142–149. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoo dmicro.2012.04.016
- Nychas, G.-J.-E., Skandamis, P. N., Tassou, C. C., & Koutsoumanis, K. P. (2008). Meat spoilage during distribution. *Meat Science*, 78(1), 77–89. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2007.06.020
- Qi, S., Huang, H., Huang, J., Wang, Q., & Wei, Q. (2015). Lychee (Litchi chinensis Sonn.) seed water extract as potential antioxidant and anti-obese natural additive in meat products. *Food Control*, *50*, 195– 201. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2014.08.047
- Riah-Anglet, W., Trinsoutrot-Gattin, I., Martin-Laurent, F., Laroche-Ajzenberg, E., Norini, M., Latour, X., & Laval, K. (2015). Soil microbial community structure and function relationships: A heat stress experiment. Applied Soil Ecology, 86, 121–130. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. apsoil.2014.10.001
- Schimel, J., Balser, T. C., & Wallenstein, M. (2007). Microbial stress-response physiology and its implications for ecosystem function. *Ecology*, 88, 1386–1394. https://doi.org/10.1890/06-0219
- Song, H. P., Kim, B., Choe, J. H., Jung, S., Moon, S. Y., Choe, W., & Jo, C. (2009). Evaluation of atmospheric pressure plasma to improve the safety of sliced cheese and ham inoculated by 3-strain cocktail

Listeria monocytogenes. *Food Microbiology*, *26*(4), 432–436. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2009.02.010

- Song, P., Liu, Z. M., Li, C., Li, X. F., & Xu, B. C. (2019). Effect of secondary sterilization on quality and microbial status of salted duck. *Food Science and Technology*, 44(7), 140–145. https://doi.org/10.13684/ j.cnki.spkj.2019.07.022 (in Chinese).
- Tian, X. J., Wu, Wu, Yu, Q. Q., Hou, M., Gao, F., Li, X. M., & Dai, R. T. (2017). Bacterial diversity analysis of pork longissimus lumborum following long term ohmic cooking and water bath cooking by amplicon sequencing of 16S rRNA gene. *Meat Science*, 123, 97–104. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2016.09.007
- Wang, H., Qin, X. J., Li, X., Wang, X. L., Gao, H. W., & Zhang, C. H. (2020). Changes in the microbial communities of air- and water-chilled yellow-feathered broilers during storage at 2°C. Food Microbiology, 87, 103390. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2019.103390
- Wang, H. H., Zhang, X. X., Wang, G. Y., Jia, K., Xu, X. L., & Zhou, G. H. (2017). Bacterial community and spoilage profiles shift in response to packaging in yellow-feather broiler, a highly popular meat in Asia. Frontiers in Microbiology, 8, 1–8. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fmicb.2017.02588
- Wang, Q., Zhang, M., Adhikari, B., Cao, P., & Yang, C. H. (2019). Effects of various thermal processing methods on the shelf-life and product quality of and vacuum-packaged braised beef. *Journal of Food Process Engineering*, 42, 13035. https://doi.org/10.1111/jfpe.13035
- Wang, Z., Hu, S., Gao, Y., Ye, C., & Wang, H. (2017). Effect of collagen-lysozyme coating on fresh-salmon fillets preservation. LWT-Food Science and Technology, 75, 59–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. lwt.2016.08.032
- Wang, Z., Shi, Y., Zhou, K., Zhou, H., Li, X., Li, C., Wang, Z., & Xu, B. (2020). The effects of different thermal temperatures on the shelf life and microbial diversity of Dezhou-braised chicken. *Food Research International*, 136, 109471. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodr es.2020.109471
- Wu, D., Forghani, F., Daliri, E.-M., Li, J., Liao, X., Liu, D., Ye, X., Chen, S., & Ding, T. (2020). Microbial response to some nonthermal physical technologies. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 95, 107–117. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2019.11.012
- Xiong, Y. L. (2017). The Storage and Preservation of Meat: I-Thermal Technologies, Lawrie's Meat Science technology (pp. 205–230). Elsevier Inc. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-100694-8.00007-8
- Zhang, Y., Li, D., Lv, J., Li, Q., Kong, C., & Luo, Y. (2017). Effect of cinnamon essential oil on bacterial diversity and shelf-life in vacuum-packaged common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) during refrigerated storage. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 249, 1–8. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2016.10.008

How to cite this article: Li R, Wang C, Zhou G, Li C, Ye K. The effects of thermal treatment on the bacterial community and quality characteristics of meatballs during storage. *Food Sci Nutr.* 2021;9:564–573. https://doi.org/10.1002/fsn3.2026