



Posttranslational Modifications in Conserved Transcription Factors: A Survey of the TALE-Homeodomain Superclass in Human and Mouse

Marina Reichlmeir[†], Lena Elias[†] and Dorothea Schulte^{*}

Institute of Neurology (Edinger Institute), University Hospital Frankfurt, Goethe University, Frankfurt, Germany

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

May Khanna, University of Arizona, United States

Reviewed by:

René Rezsohazy, Catholic University of Louvain, Belgium Frédéric Marmigere, UMR 5242 Institut de Génomique Fonctionnelle de Lyon (IGFL), France

*Correspondence:

Dorothea Schulte dorothea.schulte@kgu.de

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Cellular Biochemistry, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology

> Received: 01 January 2021 Accepted: 09 February 2021 Published: 09 March 2021

Citation:

Reichlmeir M, Elias L and Schulte D (2021) Posttranslational Modifications in Conserved Transcription Factors: A Survey of the TALE-Homeodomain Superclass in Human and Mouse. Front. Cell Dev. Biol. 9:648765. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2021.648765 Transcription factors (TFs) guide effector proteins like chromatin-modifying or remodeling enzymes to distinct sites in the genome and thereby fulfill important early steps in translating the genome's sequence information into the production of proteins or functional RNAs. TFs of the same family are often highly conserved in evolution, raising the question of how proteins with seemingly similar structure and DNA-binding properties can exert physiologically distinct functions or respond to context-specific extracellular cues. A good example is the TALE superclass of homeodomain-containing proteins. All TALE-homeodomain proteins share a characteristic, 63-amino acid long homeodomain and bind to similar sequence motifs. Yet, they frequently fulfill nonredundant functions even in domains of co-expression and are subject to regulation by different signaling pathways. Here we provide an overview of posttranslational modifications that are associated with murine and human TALE-homeodomain proteins and discuss their possible importance for the biology of these TFs.

Keywords: homeodomain protein, PTM, protein phosphorylation, MEIS, PBX, PREP/PKNOX, TGIF, IRX

INTRODUCTION

TFs recognize specific DNA sequences, often depending on DNA shape or methylation status, to control the local assembly of larger protein complexes that induce the transcriptional activation or repression of nearby genes. Transcription factors (TFs) are thus vital to determining which gene product is produced when, where, in which quantities, and in response to what external signal(s). In human, these multifaceted tasks are performed by an estimated ~1,600 different TFs (Lambert et al., 2018). Although this seems like an impressive repertoire, TFs use a limited number of DNA binding domain (DBD) types, with most metazoan TFs belonging to the C_2H_2 zinc- finger-, homeodomain (HD)-, basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH)-, basic leucine zipper-, forkhead-, nuclear hormone receptor-, or high-mobility group (HMG)/SRY-related HMG-box (SOX)-superclasses. DBD-types are highly variable across classes but very similar in TFs belonging to the same class. Evolutionary related TFs often also share extensive sequence similarity outside of the DBD. This raises the conundrum how physiologically distinct functions may be carried out by proteins that possess the same overall structure and, at least *in vitro*, nearly identical DNA-binding properties.

TFs almost always function as ensembles, consistent with the concept that the composition of the multiprotein complex dictates the affinity and specificity of DNA binding (Slattery et al., 2011;

Bridoux et al., 2020). The ability of a TF to interact with DNA or with other proteins depends on the biochemical properties of the amino acids involved in binding, which in turn can be profoundly altered by the attachment of additional chemical moieties in a process known as posttranslational modification (PTM). Consequently, the type of binding partners a TF assembles with, the sequence motif recognized by the complex, and the strength of interaction with this motif are sensitive to PTMs (Filtz et al., 2014; Draime et al., 2018). These are important features for any TF, because the composition of transcriptional multiprotein complexes determines the cellular and physiological context in which the TF acts, while recognition of motif variations can lead to high- or low affinity DNA binding, which in turn may result in dynamic gene expression levels (Crocker et al., 2016). In this minireview, we manually surveyed high-throughput proteomics studies, published in peer-reviewed journals or deposited to open-source platforms, to compile PTMs that were recorded in TALE-HD TFs isolated from various murine and human sources. Comparing these PTMs between paralog and ortholog proteins revealed general principles by which PTMs may shape the activity of individual members of conserved TF protein families.

TALE-HD PROTEINS

Three amino acid loop extension-homeodomain (TALE-HD) TFs are evolutionary highly conserved and found in single-cell eukaryotes (e.g., Mata1/Mata2 in yeast), plants (e.g., KNOX and BELL), and animals (see below; Mukherjee and Bürglin, 2007). The TALE-HD differs from the canonical, 60 amino acid-long HD by the insertion of three extra residues between helix 1 and helix 2 of the HD. This motif, known as the TALE-motif, forms a hydrophobic pocket to mediate protein-protein interactions (Figure 1A; Bürglin, 1997; Piper et al., 1999; LaRonde-LeBlanc and Wolberger, 2003; Mukherjee and Bürglin, 2007). For this feature, TALE-HD proteins have been classified as "atypical" HD proteins. In animals, they have been grouped into five classes, PBC, MEINOX, TGIF, IRO and MKX, based on the sequence of the HD itself and conserved, class-specific motifs flanking the HD (Figure 1B). The developmental functions of individual TALE-HD genes and the defects associated with their mutation in animal models or in human diseases have been covered by a series of excellent recent reviews and will therefore not be discussed in detail (Kim et al., 2012; Blasi et al., 2017; Schulte and Geerts, 2019; Selleri et al., 2019). Instead, we here provide an overview of the different PTMs detected in mouse and human TALE-HD TFs and explore how such PTMs may help to convey functional specificity among these structurally similar proteins.

PBC-Class

Mammals have four *Pbx* (pre-B-cell leukemia homeobox) genes: *Pbx1*, which was first identified in acute pre-B-cell leukemias, and *Pbx2* to *Pbx4*, which were identified later by sequence homology to *Pbx1* (Figure 1A; Kamps et al., 1990; Wagner et al., 2001; Rhee et al., 2004; Selleri et al., 2004). PBC-class TFs dimerize with MEINOX-class proteins through a

conserved 180-amino acid-long domain termed PBC-homology domain (Figure 1B; Bürglin and Ruvkun, 1992; Bruckmann et al., 2020). Monomeric PBX proteins or PBX-MEINOX dimers form cell type-specific transcriptional regulatory units with other TFs, including HD transcription factor like HOXproteins, basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH), nuclear receptors, Smad2,3,4 intracellular signaling proteins of the TGF-β pathway, as well as chromatin modifying enzymes (Peltenburg and Murre, 1996; Wang et al., 2001; Subramaniam et al., 2003; Bailey et al., 2004; Choe et al., 2009, 2014; Merabet and Galliot, 2015). In fact, PBC-class proteins are essential cofactors of HOX-proteins, which themselves are subject to multiple forms of PTM (Draime et al., 2018). Pbx1, Pbx2, and Pbx3 are extensively co-expressed and can partly compensate for each other in domains of co-expression (Selleri et al., 2001, 2004; Rhee et al., 2004; Capellini et al., 2006). Genetic mutant models in rodents are diverse, but defective skeletal patterning and hematopoiesis, as well as hypoplasia and defective development of multiple organs, including pancreas, spleen, face, heart, vascular system, and brain are frequent phenotypes (Selleri et al., 2019).

MEINOX-Class

The vertebrate MEINOX-class is divided into two subclasses, MEIS (Myeloid ecotropic viral integration site) with three genes in mammals, Meis1-3, and PREP/PKNOX (Pbxregulating protein/PBX-Knotted Homeobox) with Prep1 and Prep2. Meis and Prep are widely co-expressed, compete for heterodimerization with PBC-class proteins and play opposing roles in tumorigenesis (Dardaei et al., 2014). MEIS1 is upregulated in many human cancers, including the majority of acute myeloid leukemias (AML), whereas PREP1 has tumor-suppressive properties (Lawrence et al., 1999; Longobardi et al., 2010; Blasi et al., 2017; Schulte and Geerts, 2019). In addition to the C-terminal HD, MEINOX proteins possess a N-terminal bipartite domain, termed MEIS homology region (MHR) -A and -B, which mediates the binding to their PBX partners (Figure 1B; Bürglin, 1997; Knoepfler et al., 1997; Shanmugam et al., 1999; Bruckmann et al., 2020). Mutant mouse models exist mostly for Meis1 and Prep1. Consistent with MEIS' or PREP's heterodimerization with PBX1, many defects associated with Meis1 or Prep1 loss-of-function overlap with those seen in Pbx1 mutants.

More Distantly Related TALE-HD Proteins: TGIF-, IRO- and MKX-Classes

Tgif1 and *Tgif2* (Transforming growth factor beta (TGF- β)induced factor/TG-interacting factor) are phylogenetically most closely related to the MEINOX class (Mukherjee and Bürglin, 2007). They carry a distinct variation of the TALE-motif, AYP, instead of the PYP found in all other TALE-HD proteins (**Figure 1A**) as well as two short sequence motifs C-terminal to the HD (**Figure 1B**). TGIF proteins are transcriptional repressors that have been implicated in the regulation of various signaling pathways, most prominently TGF- β - and retinoic acid



FIGURE 1 | Structure of TALE-HD proteins and examples of abundant, class-specific PTMs. (A) Comparison of the amino acid sequence of mouse TALE-HD domains. Helices 1–3 are highlighted in blue, the name-giving TALE-motif in red. (B) Domain structure of the five TALE-HD protein classes. The HD is shown as gray cylinder, conserved protein domains outside the HD in blue; nuclear export signal (NES): green; nuclear localization signal (NLS): orange. See text for details. Domain sizes are not drawn to scale. (C–E) PTM comparison among paralogs and between human and mouse orthologs. (C) Lysine-ubiquitination and serine-, threonine-and tyrosine-phosphorylation C-terminal to the HD in PBC class proteins. (D) Serine-phosphorylation in PBC class proteins N-terminal to the HD. (E) Arginine-methylation, lysine-ubiquitination and serine/threonine-phosphorylation in MEINOX-proteins. (F) Ubiquitination and phosphorylation, blue: methylation, pink: ubiquitination; dark shades indicate PTMs, bright shades indicate residue conservation. A list of PTMs assessed in PBC, MEIS/PREP and TGIF as well as PTMs detected in IRO and MKX can be found in Table 1.

signaling (Bertolino et al., 1995; Wotton et al., 1999; Shen and Walsh, 2005; Guca et al., 2018). Loss-of-function phenotypes for *Tgif1* in mice are strain-dependent and range from no overt defect to holoprosencephaly, a brain malformation that has also been linked to *TGIF1* mutations in humans (Kuang et al., 2006; Taniguchi et al., 2012). Constituting another TALE-HD class, the six mammalian *Irx* genes, taking their names from the *Iroquois* complex in *D. melanogaster*, are located in

two paralogous clusters in the genome and characterized by a bipartite IRO-box C-terminal of the HD (**Figure 1B**; Peters et al., 2000; Mukherjee and Bürglin, 2007). Loss-of-function models in mice were generated for all six *Irx* genes and established that *Irx3*, -4 and -5 are important transcriptional regulators in the developing and adult heart, that *Irx1* controls lungand tooth development, and that *Irx5*- and -6 participate in retina development (Bruneau et al., 2001; Costantini et al., 2005;

Zhang et al., 2011; Gaborit et al., 2012; Star et al., 2012; Yu et al., 2017). Finally, the single gene Mohawk (*Mkx*, also known as iroquois homeobox protein-like 1) most closely related to IRX but recognized as separate class, plays a prominent role in tendon development (Mukherjee and Bürglin, 2007; Ito et al., 2010).

In short, members of the same class of TALE-HD proteins share a high degree of sequence similarity, are frequently co-expressed, and functionally cooperate in some physiological contexts but fulfill unique developmental functions in others.

PTMS IN TALE-HD PROTEINS

We manually surveyed 26 high-resolution and/or quantitative mass-spectrometry analyses, as well as data deposited in the open-source platform PhosphoSitePlus® to compile PTMs that had been detected in mouse or human TALE-HD proteins (Table 1). Although this information is freely available in the supporting information of the respective publications, it had not been systematically assessed nor had the data been compared among studies or between protein groups. We limited our search to the three PTMs that were most frequently detected in these studies: phosphorylation, lysine-ubiquitination and arginine-methylation. This search identified a total of 187 distinct phosphorylation sites, 11 ubiquitinated and 3 methylated residues. Many of these PTMs were detected in various physiological contexts and across species, suggesting that common regulatory mechanisms apply. Particularly arginine-methylation and lysine-ubiquitination occurred almost exclusively at amino acids that were highly conserved among paralogs, indicating that significant evolutionary pressure may act on these residues (Figures 1C,E,F). The amino acid arginine forms more hydrogen bonds with protein or DNA than any other amino acid, with particularly strong bonds formed with guanine bases and the DNA phosphate backbone (Luscombe et al., 2001). Arginine residues are therefore important to stabilize the intra- and intermolecular interaction of amino acids in proteins and multiprotein complexes as well as the contact of proteins to DNA (Luscombe et al., 2001; Bedford and Clarke, 2009; Lorton and Shechter, 2019). Consequently, methylation of arginine residues in TFs can profoundly alter their function. In fact, although the significance of argininemethylation in hPBX2 and hMEIS1 is still unknown, methylation of R174 in mMEIS2 controls nucleo-cytoplasmic translocation (Kolb et al., 2018).

In ubiquitination, the 76-amino acid protein ubiquitin is covalently attached to lysine residues of protein substrates. Ubiquitination generates conjugates that widely differ in structure, size, composition, and function (Pickart, 2001). The many ways by which lysine-ubiquitination impacts on gene expression include modification of histone tails and the subsequent change in chromatin structure and the ubiquitinguided partial processing or full degradation of TFs (Rape, 2018). The presence of several, highly conserved ubiquitination sites in TALE-HD proteins argues for important regulatory roles, although it is presently unexplored what type(s) of ubiquitin modification TALE-HD proteins carry (e.g., monomeric, polymeric, linear, branched, carrying additional PTMs or not), whether ubiquitin-conjugation targets TALE-HD proteins for degradation, and what the cellular consequences of TALE-HD protein ubiquitination are.

Compared to arginine-methylation and lysine-ubiquitination, protein phosphorylation emerges as more wide-spread and diverse type of PTM in TALE-HD proteins. Protein phosphorylation, the covalent attachment of phosphate groups on serine, threonine, or tyrosine residues, acts within milliseconds to seconds to control protein function by primarily two mechanisms: it locally changes the electrochemical properties of a protein and by this its conformation, and it creates docking sites for intermolecular protein interactions, which in turn can propagate cellular signals or create recognition sites for other post-translationally modifying enzymes that catalyze the deposition of further PTMs nearby (Filtz et al., 2014). Phosphorylation of TFs can thereby increase or decrease protein stability, control nuclear import or export, alter the secondary structure of the TF to expose or hide its DBD, and modify the DBD's affinity to distinct sequences in the DNA resulting in high-affinity or low-affinity binding (Filtz et al., 2014). In TALE-HD proteins, phosphosites often cluster together, frequently in regions anterior or posterior of the HD (Figures 1C-F). For instance, several studies identified phosphorylated serine, threonine, and tyrosine residues in PBX family proteins just C-terminal to the TALE HD (Figure 1C). In particular phosphorylation at T325 and S330 (numeration according to hPBX2, NCBI# NP_002577) had been detected in different mouse tissues (Huttlin et al., 2010), murine pancreatic cells following glucose exposure (Sacco et al., 2016), EGFstimulated HeLa cells (Pan et al., 2009; Sharma et al., 2014), FGF-stimulated adipocytes (Minard et al., 2016), mouse AML models and human AML cell lines (Trost et al., 2012; Weber et al., 2012), breast cancer samples (Mertins et al., 2016), human embryonic stem cells during differentiation (Rigbolt et al., 2011), and etoposide-treated human osteosarcoma (U2OS) cells during DNA damage response (Beli et al., 2012). Interestingly, only some of these residues are conserved among paralogs. For instance, while phosphorylation is frequent at \$330 in PBX2 and at the corresponding S321 in PBX1, PBX3 carries an asparagine residue and PBX4 bears a microdeletion at this position, suggesting that PBX3 and PBX4 may be insensitive to the kinase networks that impact on S321/S330 in PBX1 and PBX2, respectively (Figure 1C). In addition, these differentially phosphorylated sites are close to a NLS (KRIRYKKNI; Saleh et al., 2000). Given that controlled nuclear import is an important mechanism by which the transcriptional activity of TALE-HD proteins is regulated, these observations raise the intriguing possibility that differential phosphorylation at these residues may influence nuclear localization (Mann and Abu-Shaar, 1996; Abu-Shaar et al., 1999; Berthelsen et al., 1999; Huang et al., 2003; Kolb et al., 2018). Supporting this view, protein kinase A (PKA)-mediated phosphorylation of mammalian PBX1 at S187, S193, S202, S209, and S218, all located near a second NLS (RRKRR, N-terminal to helix 1 of the HD),

TABLE 1 | Summary of post-translational modifications of TALE-HD proteins.

Species	Protein	Motifs*	PTMs**	Detection***	References****
PBC class					
Human	PBX1	PBC-A: 43–122 PBC-B: 140–232 HD: 236–298	Phosphorylation: <i>S126</i> , S136, S141, S144, <i>Y305, S321</i> , S325, T328	qMS; HeLa cells MS; HeLa cells	Kettenbach et al., 2011 Sharma et al., 2014 <i>PhosohoSitePlus</i>
		110.200 200	Ubiquitination: K87, K195	MS; HEP2, Jurkat cells	Akimov et al., 2018
	PBX2	PBC-A: 53–132 PBC-B: 151–243 HD: 247–309	Phosphorylation: S41, S101, S104, S105, S136, S151 , S155, S150, Y316, T225, S230 , T222	qMS; HeLa, K562 cells qMS; hESCs	Pan et al., 2009 Rigbolt et al., 2011
			S395 , S423, S426, S429	qMS; U2OS cells	Beli et al., 2012
				MS; HeLa, K562 cells	Zhou et al., 2013
				qMS; CCR tumors, normal tissue; HCT116, SW480, SW620 cells	Shiromizu et al., 2013
				MS; human liver	Bian et al., 2014
				MS; HeLa cells	Sharma et al., 2014
				qMS; www.239A cells	Mortins et al. 2016
				aMS: HEK203 cells	Regina et al. 2016 PhosphoSitePlus
			Ubiquitination: K97 K164 K308	aMS: HEK293 cells	Boeing et al. 2016
			-	MS; HEP2, Jurkat cells	Akimov et al., 2018
			Methylation: R15	MS; HEK293, HeLa, U2OS cells	Larsen et al., 2016 PhosphoSitePlus
	PBX3	PBC-A: 46–125 PBC-B: 134–234 HD: 238–300	Phosphorylation: S121, Y307	MS; HeLa cells MS; HeLa cells	lmami et al., 2008 Sharma et al., 2014 <i>PhosphoSitePlus</i>
	PBX4	PBC-A: 19–98 PBC-B: 117–209 HD: 213–275	Phosphorylation: S10, S33, <i>T153</i> , S255, S258	qMS; CCR tumors, normal tissue; HCT116, SW480, SW620 cells oMS: breast tumors	Shiromizu et al., 2013 Mertins et al. 2016 <i>PhosphoSitePlus</i>
Mouse	PRV1	PBC-A: 43-122	Phosphorylation: S187 S193	2D-SDS PAGE in vitro phosphonylation site	Kilstrup-Nielsen et al. 2003
Mouse	I DAT	PBC-B: 140–232 HD: 236–298	S202, S209, S218	directed mutation; NIH3T3 cells	Kilstrup-Midsen et al., 2005
	PBX2	PBC-A: 53-132	Phosphorylation: S136, S151,	MS; 3 weeks old male mice	Huttlin et al., 2010
		PBC-B: 151-243	S159, S330 , S395 , T428, S429	MS; murine leukemia cell lines	Trost et al., 2012
		TID: 247-009		MS; 3T3-L1 adipocytes	Minard et al., 2016
				MS; pancreatic Islet cells	Sacco et al., 2016 PhosphoSitePlus
MEIS class Human	MEIS1	MHD-A: 72–111 MHD-B: 136–180	Phosphorylation: S194, S196, S198, T202	qMS; HeLa cells qMS; KG1 AML cells	Kettenbach et al., 2011 Weber et al., 2012
		10.273-337		qMS; U2OS cells qMS; CCR tumors, normal tissue; HCT116, SW480, SW620 cells	Bell et al., 2012 Shiromizu et al., 2013
				MS: HeLa, K562 cells	Zhou et al., 2013
				MS; HeLa cells	Sharma et al., 2014
				qMS; WM239A cells	Stuart et al., 2015
				qMS; breast tumors	Mertins et al., 2016 PhosphoSitePlus
			Ubiquitination: K178	MS; HEP2, Jurkat cells	Akimov et al., 2018
			Methylation : R383 (isoform EAW99896.1 only)	MS; HEK293, HeLa, U2OS cells	Larsen et al., 2016
	MEIS2	MHD-A: 74–113	Phosphorylation: S195, S196,	qMS; HeLa, K562 cells	Pan et al., 2009
		HD: 279–341	3190, 3204, 3200, 3207, 1208	MS; HeLa, K562 cells	Zhou et al., 2013
				qMS; WM239A cells	Stuart et al., 2016 December Site Dive
			Ilbiguitination: K180	MS: HEP2 Jurkat cells	Akimov et al. 2018
	MEIRO		Bhoonhondation: \$119 \$124		Bhaapha Sita Blue
	IVIEI00	MHD-A. 54–59 MHD-B: 124–168 HD: 265–327	PhosphoryJacion: 3776, 3724		FIIOSPIIOSILEFIUS
Mouse	MEIS1	MHD-A: 72-111	Phosphorylation: S21, T22, Y24,	MS; 3 weeks old male mice	Huttlin et al., 2010
		MHD-B: 136-180	S194, S196 , S198	MS; murine leukemia cell lines	Trost et al., 2012
		nd: 210-331		qMS; E16.5, P0, P21 mouse brain	Doubleday and Ballif, 2014
				MS; 3T3-L1 adipocytes	Minard et al., 2016
				MS; pancreatic islet cells	Sacco et al., 2016 PhosphoSitePlus
	MEIS2	MHD-A: 74–113 MHD-B: 138–182 HD: 279–341	Phosphorylation: S196, S198 , S261, <i>T</i> 264 Methylation: R174	MS; 3T3-L1 adipocytes MS; adult SVZ stem-/progenitor cells	Minard et al., 2016 <i>PhosphoSitePlus</i> Kolb et al., 2018
					(Continued)

TABLE 1 | Continued

Species	Protein	Motifs*	PTMs**	Detection***	References****
PREP/PK	VOX class				
Human	PREP1	MHD-A: 52–83	Phosphorylation: S33, S41,	MS; HeLa cells	Kettenbach et al., 2011
		MHD-B: 109–153	S156, S166, S239, S324,	MS; human liver	Bian et al., 2014
		HD: 262–320	S325, S327, T329, T332	MS; HeLa cells	Sharma et al., 2014
				qMS; breast tumors	Mertins et al., 2016
				qMS; HEK293 cells	Boeing et al., 2016 PhosphoSitePlus
			Ubiquitination: K140	qMS; HEK293 cells	Boeing et al., 2016
Mouse	PREP1	MHD-A: 52–83 MHD-B: 109–153	Phosphorylation: S33, S41, S47	MS; 3 weeks old male mice	Huttlin et al., 2010 PhosphoSitePlus
		HD: 262–324			
	PREP2	MHD-A: 68–99 MHD-B: 125–169 HD: 291–353	Phosphorylation: S125	MS; pancreatic islet cells	Sacco et al., 2016
TGIF class	5				
Human	TGIF1	HD: 164–226 (isoform 401 aa)	Phosphorylation: S95, S115, S117, S140, S142, T144, S251, T280, S286, S290, S291 , S294,	In vitro phosphorylation, site directed mutagenesis; L-17 mink lung epithelial cells, COS-1 cells	Lo et al., 2001
			T364, T368	MS; H1 hESCs	Brill et al., 2009
				qMS; hESCs	Rigbolt et al., 2011
				qMS; U2OS cells	Beli et al., 2012
				MS; HeLa cells	Sharma et al., 2014
				qMS; CCR tumors, normal tissue; HCT116, SW480, SW620 cells	Shiromizu et al., 2013
				qMS; WM239A cells	Stuart et al., 2015
				qMS; breast tumors	Mertins et al., 2016 PhosphoSitePlus
			Ubiquitination: K259, K232	In vitro ubiquitination; MDCK, 293 cells	Ettahar et al., 2013
			•	MS: HEP2, Jurkat cells	Akimov et al., 2018 PhosphoSitePlus
	TOIFO	LID: 40.70	Phase handsting 00 04 0400	-MO-11-1 - 1/500	Dere et al. 2000
	TGIF2	HD: 16-78	Phosphorylation: 52, 54, 5109,	qIVIS; HeLa, K562 cells	Pan et al., 2009
			T182 T186 <i>T227</i>	MS; Hela cells	Relienbach et al., 2011
			1102, 1100, 7227	qMS; hESCs	Rigbolt et al., 2011
				qMS; U2OS cells	Beli et al., 2012
				qMS; KG1 AML cells	Weber et al., 2012
				MS; HeLa cells	Sharma et al., 2014
				MS; HeLa, K562 cells	Zhou et al., 2013
				qMS; breast tumors	Mertins et al., 2016 PhosphoSitePlus
			Ubiquitination: K86	MS; HEP2, Jurkat cells	Akimov et al., 2018
Mouse	TGIF1	HD: 35–97 (isoform b, 272aa)	Phosphorylation: S13, S15, <i>S157</i> , S162	MS; 3 weeks old male mice	Huttlin et al., 2010 <i>PhosphoSitePlus</i>
IRX class					
Human	IRX1	HD: 127–189	Phosphorylation: T210, S267, S280, S298, S325, S433, S447	qMS; breast tumors	Mertins et al., 2016 PhosphoSitePlus
	IRX2	HD: 114–176	Phosphorylation: S186, T213, S231, S233, S236, S252, S254,	qMS; hESCs qMS; CCR tumors, normal tissue; HCT116,	Rigbolt et al., 2011 Shiromizu et al., 2013
			S285, T310, T316, S317, S325,	SW480, SW620 cells	
			S338, S445	qMS; breast tumors	Mertins et al., 2016 PhosphoSitePlus
	IRX3	HD: 127–189	Phosphorylation: S2, S208, S286, S358, S365, S372, S381, S496, S499	qMS; breast tumors	Mertins et al., 2016 PhosphoSitePlus
	IRX4	HD: 142–204	Phosphorylation: <i>T153</i> , <i>T154</i> , S258, S413, S430, S473	MS; HeLa cells	Sharma et al., 2014 PhosphoSitePlus
	IRX5	HD: 113–175	Phosphorylation: Y3, Y7, Y9, Y23,	MS; HeLa cells	Kettenbach et al., 2011 Zhou et al., 2013
			S248, S274. S319, S357 S374	MS: Hal a calle	Sharma et al. 2014
			S377, S383, S385, S464		
			,,	gMS; CCR tumors, normal tissue; HCTTT6, SW480, SW620 cells	Shiromizu et al., 2013
				qMS; WM239A cells	Stuart et al., 2015
				qMS; breast tumors	Mertins et al., 2016 PhosphoSitePlus
	IRX6	HD: 146–208	Phosphorylation: <i>Y139</i> , <i>S145</i> , S393	qMS; CCR tumors, normal tissue; HCT116, SW480, SW620 cells	Shiromizu et al., 2013 PhosphoSitePlus
Mouse	IRX1	HD: 127–189	Phosphorylation: S241, S267, S280, S298, S447	MS, 3 weeks old male mice	Huttlin et al., 2010 PhosphoSitePlus
	IRX2	HD: 115–177	Phosphorylation: S187	MS; 3T3-L1 adipocytes	Minard et al., 2016
	IBX3	HD: 130–192	Phosphorylation: S326_S329	MS. 3 weeks old male mice	Huttlin et al., 2010
	IRY5	HD: 112–174	Phosphorylation: \$184, \$236	.,	PhosphoSitePlus
	1170		S465		r noopnoonor ioo

(Continued)

TABLE 1 | Continued

Species	Protein	Motifs*	PTMs**	Detection***	References****		
MKX class	5						
Human	MKX	HD: 71–133	Phosphorylation: S36, S138, Y146, T239, S253, Y277, S286	qMS; CCR tumors, normal tissue; HCT116, SW480, SW620 cells	Shiromizu et al., 2013		
				qMS; breast tumors	Mertins et al., 2016 PhosphoSitePlus		
Mouse	MKX	HD: 71–133	Phosphorylation: S257		PhosphoSitePlus		

*Conserved sequence motifs of biological significance annotated to the canonical isoforms, provided by UniProt. HD, Homeodomain; MHD, MEINOX homology domain; PBC, PBC homology domain. **Information about post-translational modifications were summarized from the references listed and/or UniProt, PhosphoSitePlus; PTMs are annotated to the isoforms as identified by UniProt ID. Residues given in italics were identified in the PhosphoSitePlus database only, references shown in bold were detected in the majority of studies referenced. ***Detection method used, cell type analyzed. CCR, colorectal cancer; E, embryonic day; hESCs, human embryonic stem cell line; qMS, quantitative mass spectrometry: ITRAQ (isobaric Tags for Relative and Absolute Quantitation), SILAC (stable isotope labeling by amino acids in cell culture) or stable-isotope dimethyl labeling mass spectrometry; MS, mass spectrometry; P, postnatal day. ****PhosphoSitePlus: www.phosphosite.org. Residues were numbered according to the following sequences (NCBI accession No): hPBX1: NP_002576; mPBX1: NP_899198.1; hPBX2: NP_002577; mPBX2: NP_059491; hPBX3: NP_006186; hPBX4: NP_079521; hIMEIS1: NP_002389 and EAW99896.1; mMEIS1: NP_001180200.1; hMEIS2: NP_733777; mMEIS2: AAC529481; hMEIS3: NP_0654545.1; hPREP1: NP_004562.2; mPREP1: NP_057879.2; mPREP2: XP_006510190.1; hTGIF1: AAH31268.1; mTGIF1: NP_033398.2; hTGIF2: NP_068581.1; mTGIF2: NP_775572.1; hIRX1: NP_077313.3; mIRX1: AAF63954.1; hIRX2: NP_001229631.1; mIKX2: NP_034704.1; hIRX3: NP_077312.2; hIRX4: NP_057442.1; hIRX5: NP_001229631.1; mMKX: AAI37729.1.

affect nuclear export of PBX1 (Figure 1D; Saleh et al., 2000; Kilstrup-Nielsen et al., 2003).

MEIS1 and MEIS2 proteins exhibit a striking accumulation of phosphosites clustered between the MHR-B domain and the TALE-HD, with frequent phosphorylation at serines 195, 196, 198, 204, 206, 207, and threonine 208 (numeration according to hMEIS2, NCBI# NP_733777; **Figure 1E**). In fact, phosphorylation at S195/S196/S198 was detected in virtually all phosphoproteomic studies that were examined for this minireview (**Table 1**). Nestled between these phosphosites are several aspartate and glutamate residues, amino acids with electrically changed, acidic side chains. Phosphorylation at these serine or threonine residues is therefore expected to create a strong, focal negative charge in this region of the MEIS1 and MEIS2 polypeptide.

PTMS, A WAY TO GENERATE FUNCTIONAL DIVERSITY?

Although the physiological relevance of these phosphorylation events and the signaling pathways that induce them remain to be elucidated, it is worth pointing out that none of these phosphosites are conserved in MEIS3, PREP1, or PREP2 (Figure 1E). Similarly, most of the phosphorylated amino acids that were detected in TGIF1 are not conserved in TGIF2, and vice versa (Figure 1F). Whether or not TALE-HD paralogous proteins are subject to regulation by shared kinase pathways thus appears to be dictated by the substitution of few key residues. It should be pointed out, however, that phosphorylation is a dynamic process in which phosphorylation and dephosphorylation may alternate in rather rapid cycles (Gelens and Saurin, 2018). Phosphoproteomic data hence only reflect a snapshot of a transient phosphorylation state. Lack of evidence in literature for a specific phosphorylation event can thus very well just reflect the inability of detection at a specific moment and in that specific cellular context.

Taken together, we here compiled a broad collection of PTMs in TALE-HD proteins that had been identified in unbiased, high-resolution mass-spectrometry analyses (**Table 1**). Few of

these PTMs have been assigned a physiological function. Yet, by taking the evolutionary conservation of modification sites into account we identified both class-specific and paralog-specific PTMs. From comparing these, concepts emerge about how the combinatorial use of such PTMs may generate functional diversity from evolutionarily conserved protein structures. Specifically, we propose that the vast repertoire of PTMs, shared or not, in paralogous and orthologous TALE-HD proteins, forms the structural backbone by which individual proteins can acquire the ability to respond to context-specific extracellular signals and exert physiologically diverse functions. Although explored here only by the example of the TALE-HD superclass, similar principles may very well also apply to other evolutionarily conserved TFs. Assays based on mutational approaches now need to be developed to test these PTMs alone and in combination for their functionality and physiological relevance. Ultimately, such information can pave the way for future studies, help unravel disease processes and facilitate rational drug design.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

MR, LE, and DS jointly developed and wrote the review. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

Research leading to this review was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (Grants SCHU1218/3-3 and SCHU1218/4-1), the Wilhelm-Sander-Foundation and the Paul and Ursula Klein-Foundation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the members of the Schulte lab for discussions and critical reading of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Abu-Shaar, M., Ryoo, H. D., and Mann, R. S. (1999). Control of the nuclear localization of Extradenticle by competing nuclear import and export signals. *Genes Dev.* 13, 935–945. doi: 10.1101/gad.13.8.935
- Akimov, V., Barrio-Hernandez, I., Hansen, S. V. F., Hallenborg, P., Pedersen, A.-K., Bekker-Jensen, D. B., et al. (2018). UbiSite approach for comprehensive mapping of lysine and N-terminal ubiquitination sites. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 25, 631–640. doi: 10.1038/s41594-018-0084-y
- Bailey, J. S., Rave-Harel, N., McGillivray, S. M., Coss, D., and Mellon, P. L. (2004). Activin regulation of the follicle-stimulating hormone beta-subunit gene involves Smads and the TALE homeodomain proteins Pbx1 and Prep1. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 18, 1158–1170. doi: 10.1210/me.2003-0442
- Bedford, M. T., and Clarke, S. G. (2009). Protein arginine methylation in mammals: who, what, and why. *Mol. Cell* 33, 1–13. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2008. 12.013
- Beli, P., Lukashchuk, N., Wagner, S. A., Weinert, B. T., Olsen, J. V., Baskcomb, L., et al. (2012). Proteomic investigations reveal a role for RNA processing factor THRAP3 in the DNA damage response. *Mol. Cell* 46, 212–225. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2012.01.026
- Berthelsen, J., Kilstrup-Nielsen, C., Blasi, F., Mavilio, F., and Zappavigna, V. (1999). The subcellular localization of PBX1 and EXD proteins depends on nuclear import and export signals and is modulated by association with PREP1 and HTH. *Genes Dev.* 13, 946–953. doi: 10.1101/gad.13.8.946
- Bertolino, E., Reimund, B., Wildt-Perinic, D., and Clerc, R. G. (1995). A novel homeobox protein which recognizes a TGT core and functionally interferes with a retinoid-responsive motif. J. Biol. Chem. 270, 31178–31188. doi: 10.1074/ jbc.270.52.31178
- Bian, Y., Song, C., Cheng, K., Dong, M., Wang, F., Huang, J., et al. (2014). An enzyme assisted RP-RPLC approach for in-depth analysis of human liver phosphoproteome. *J. Proteomics* 96, 253–262. doi: 10.1016/j.jprot.2013. 11.014
- Blasi, F., Bruckmann, C., Penkov, D., and Dardaei, L. (2017). A tale of TALE, PREP1, PBX1, and MEIS1: interconnections and competition in cancer. *Bioessays* 39:1600245. doi: 10.1002/bies.201600245
- Boeing, S., Williamson, L., Encheva, V., Gori, I., Saunders, R. E., Instrell, R., et al. (2016). Multiomic analysis of the UV-Induced DNA damage response. *Cell Rep.* 15, 1597–1610. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2016.04.047
- Bridoux, L., Zarrineh, P., Mallen, J., Phuycharoen, M., Latorre, V., Ladam, F., et al. (2020). HOX paralogs selectively convert binding of ubiquitous transcription factors into tissue-specific patterns of enhancer activation. *PLoS Genet.* 16:e1009162. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1009162
- Brill, L. M., Xiong, W., Lee, K.-B., Ficarro, S. B., Crain, A., Xu, Y., et al. (2009). Phosphoproteomic analysis of human embryonic stem cells. *Cell Stem Cell* 5, 204–213. doi: 10.1016/j.stem.2009.06.002
- Bruckmann, C., Tamburri, S., Lorenzi, V., Doti, N., Monti, A., Mathiasen, L., et al. (2020). Mapping the native interaction surfaces of PREP1 with PBX1 by cross-linking mass-spectrometry and mutagenesis. *Sci. Rep.* 10:16809. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-74032-w
- Bruneau, B. G., Bao, Z. Z., Fatkin, D., Xavier-Neto, J., Georgakopoulos, D., Maguire, C. T., et al. (2001). Cardiomyopathy in Irx4-deficient mice is preceded by abnormal ventricular gene expression. *Mol. Cell Biol.* 21, 1730–1736.
- Bürglin, T. R. (1997). Analysis of TALE superclass homeobox genes (MEIS, PBC, KNOX, Iroquois, TGIF) reveals a novel domain conserved between plants and animals. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 25, 4173–4180. doi: 10.1093/nar/25.21.4173
- Bürglin, T. R., and Ruvkun, G. (1992). New motif in PBX genes. Nat. Genet. 1, 319–320. doi: 10.1038/ng0892-319
- Capellini, T. D., Di Giacomo, G., Salsi, V., Brendolan, A., Ferretti, E., Srivastava, D., et al. (2006). Pbx1/Pbx2 requirement for distal limb patterning is mediated by the hierarchical control of Hox gene spatial distribution and Shh expression. *Development* 133, 2263–2273. doi: 10.1242/dev.02395
- Choe, S.-K., Ladam, F., and Sagerström, C. G. (2014). TALE factors poise promoters for activation by Hox proteins. *Dev. Cell* 28, 203–211. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel. 2013.12.011
- Choe, S.-K., Lu, P., Nakamura, M., Lee, J., and Sagerström, C. G. (2009). Meis cofactors control HDAC and CBP accessibility at Hox-regulated promoters during zebrafish embryogenesis. *Dev. Cell* 17, 561–567. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel. 2009.08.007

- Costantini, D. L., Arruda, E. P., Agarwal, P., Kim, K.-H., Zhu, Y., Zhu, W., et al. (2005). The homeodomain transcription factor Irx5 establishes the mouse cardiac ventricular repolarization gradient. *Cell* 123, 347–358. doi: 10.1016/j. cell.2005.08.004
- Crocker, J., Noon, E. P.-B., and Stern, D. L. (2016). The soft touch: low-affinity transcription factor binding sites in development and evolution. *Curr. Top Dev. Biol.* 117, 455–469. doi: 10.1016/bs.ctdb.2015.11.018
- Dardaei, L., Longobardi, E., and Blasi, F. (2014). Prep1 and Meis1 competition for Pbx1 binding regulates protein stability and tumorigenesis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 111, E896–E905. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1321200111
- Doubleday, P. F., and Ballif, B. A. (2014). Developmentally-Dynamic murine brain proteomes and phosphoproteomes revealed by quantitative proteomics. *Proteomes* 2, 197–207. doi: 10.3390/proteomes2020191
- Draime, A., Bridoux, L., Graba, Y., and Rezsohazy, R. (2018). Post-translational modifications of HOX proteins, an underestimated issue. *Int. J. Dev. Biol.* 62, 733–744. doi: 10.1387/ijdb.180178rr
- Ettahar, A., Ferrigno, O., Zhang, M.-Z., Ohnishi, M., Ferrand, N., Prunier, C., et al. (2013). Identification of PHRF1 as a tumor suppressor that promotes the TGF-β cytostatic program through selective release of TGIF-driven PML inactivation. *Cell Rep.* 4, 530–541. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2013.07.009
- Filtz, T. M., Vogel, W. K., and Leid, M. (2014). Regulation of transcription factor activity by interconnected post-translational modifications. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 35, 76–85. doi: 10.1016/j.tips.2013.11.005
- Gaborit, N., Sakuma, R., Wylie, J. N., Kim, K.-H., Zhang, S.-S., Hui, C.-C., et al. (2012). Cooperative and antagonistic roles for Irx3 and Irx5 in cardiac morphogenesis and postnatal physiology. *Development* 139, 4007–4019. doi: 10.1242/dev.081703
- Gelens, L., and Saurin, A. T. (2018). Exploring the function of dynamic phosphorylation-dephosphorylation cycles. *Dev. Cell* 44, 659–663. doi: 10.1016/ j.devcel.2018.03.002
- Guca, E., Suñol, D., Ruiz, L., Konkol, A., Cordero, J., Torner, C., et al. (2018). TGIF1 homeodomain interacts with Smad MH1 domain and represses TGF-β signaling. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 46, 9220–9235. doi: 10.1093/nar/gky680
- Huang, H., Paliouras, M., Rambaldi, I., Lasko, P., and Featherstone, M. (2003). Nonmuscle myosin promotes cytoplasmic localization of PBX. *Mol. Cell Biol.* 23, 3636–3645. doi: 10.1128/MCB.23.10.3636-3645.2003
- Huttlin, E. L., Jedrychowski, M. P., Elias, J. E., Goswami, T., Rad, R., Beausoleil, S. A., et al. (2010). A tissue-specific atlas of mouse protein phosphorylation and expression. *Cell* 143, 1174–1189. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2010.12.001
- Imami, K., Sugiyama, N., Kyono, Y., Tomita, M., and Ishihama, Y. (2008). Automated phosphoproteome analysis for cultured cancer cells by twodimensional nanoLC-MS using a calcined titania/C18 biphasic column. Anal Sci. 24, 161–166. doi: 10.2116/analsci.24.161
- Ito, Y., Toriuchi, N., Yoshitaka, T., Ueno-Kudoh, H., Sato, T., Yokoyama, S., et al. (2010). The Mohawk homeobox gene is a critical regulator of tendon differentiation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 107, 10538–10542. doi: 10.1073/ pnas.1000525107
- Kamps, M. P., Murre, C., Sun, X. H., and Baltimore, D. (1990). A new homeobox gene contributes the DNA binding domain of the t(1;19) translocation protein in pre-B ALL. *Cell* 60, 547–555. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(90)90658-2
- Kettenbach, A. N., Schweppe, D. K., Faherty, B. K., Pechenick, D., Pletnev, A. A., and Gerber, S. A. (2011). Quantitative phosphoproteomics identifies substrates and functional modules of Aurora and Polo-like kinase activities in mitotic cells. *Sci. Signal* 4:rs5. doi: 10.1126/scisignal.2001497
- Kilstrup-Nielsen, C., Alessio, M., and Zappavigna, V. (2003). PBX1 nuclear export is regulated independently of PBX-MEINOX interaction by PKA phosphorylation of the PBC-B domain. *EMBO J.* 22, 89–99. doi: 10.1093/emboj/ cdg010
- Kim, K.-H., Rosen, A., Bruneau, B. G., Hui, C.-C., and Backx, P. H. (2012). Iroquois homeodomain transcription factors in heart development and function. *Circ. Res.* 110, 1513–1524. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.112.2 65041
- Knoepfler, P. S., Calvo, K. R., Chen, H., Antonarakis, S. E., and Kamps, M. P. (1997). Meis1 and pKnox1 bind DNA cooperatively with Pbx1 utilizing an interaction surface disrupted in oncoprotein E2a-Pbx1. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 94, 14553–14558. doi: 10.1073/pnas.94.26.14553
- Kolb, J., Anders-Maurer, M., Müller, T., Hau, A.-C., Grebbin, B. M., Kallenborn-Gerhardt, W., et al. (2018). Arginine methylation regulates meis2 nuclear

localization to promote neuronal differentiation of adult svz progenitors. *Stem Cell Rep.* 10, 1184–1192. doi: 10.1016/j.stemcr.2018.03.010

- Kuang, C., Xiao, Y., Yang, L., Chen, Q., Wang, Z., Conway, S. J., et al. (2006). Intragenic deletion of Tgif causes defects brain development. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 15, 3508–3519. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddl427
- Lambert, S. A., Jolma, A., Campitelli, L. F., Das, P. K., Yin, Y., Albu, M., et al. (2018). The human transcription factors. *Cell* 172, 650–665. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2018.01. 029
- LaRonde-LeBlanc, N. A., and Wolberger, C. (2003). Structure of HoxA9 and Pbx1 bound to DNA: hox hexapeptide and DNA recognition anterior to posterior. *Genes Dev.* 17, 2060–2072. doi: 10.1101/gad.1103303
- Larsen, S. C., Sylvestersen, K. B., Mund, A., Lyon, D., Mullari, M., Madsen, M. V., et al. (2016). Proteome-wide analysis of arginine monomethylation reveals widespread occurrence in human cells. *Sci. Signal* 9:rs9. doi: 10.1126/scisignal. aaf7329
- Lawrence, H. J., Rozenfeld, S., Cruz, C., Matsukuma, K., Kwong, A., Kömüves, L., et al. (1999). Frequent co-expression of the HOXA9 and MEIS1 homeobox genes in human myeloid leukemias. *Leukemia* 13, 1993–1999. doi: 10.1038/sj. leu.2401578
- Lo, R. S., Wotton, D., and Massagué, J. (2001). Epidermal growth factor signaling via Ras controls the Smad transcriptional co-repressor TGIF. *EMBO J.* 20, 128–136. doi: 10.1093/emboj/20.1.128
- Longobardi, E., Iotti, G., Di Rosa, P., Mejetta, S., Bianchi, F., Fernandez-Diaz, L. C., et al. (2010). Prep1 (pKnox1)-deficiency leads to spontaneous tumor development in mice and accelerates EmuMyc lymphomagenesis: a tumor suppressor role for Prep1. *Mol. Oncol.* 4, 126–134. doi: 10.1016/j.molonc.2010. 01.001
- Lorton, B. M., and Shechter, D. (2019). Cellular consequences of arginine methylation. *Cell Mol. Life Sci.* 76, 2933–2956. doi: 10.1007/s00018-019-03 140-2
- Luscombe, N. M., Laskowski, R. A., and Thornton, J. M. (2001). Amino acid-base interactions: a three-dimensional analysis of protein-DNA interactions at an atomic level. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 29, 2860–2874. doi: 10.1093/nar/29.13.2860
- Mann, R. S., and Abu-Shaar, M. (1996). Nuclear import of the homeodomain protein extradenticle in response to Wg and Dpp signalling. *Nature* 383, 630–633. doi: 10.1038/383630a0
- Merabet, S., and Galliot, B. (2015). The TALE face of Hox proteins in animal evolution. *Front. Genet.* 6:267. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2015.00267
- Mertins, P., Mani, D. R., Ruggles, K. V., Gillette, M. A., Clauser, K. R., Wang, P., et al. (2016). Proteogenomics connects somatic mutations to signalling in breast cancer. *Nature* 534, 55–62. doi: 10.1038/nature18003
- Minard, A. Y., Tan, S.-X., Yang, P., Fazakerley, D. J., Domanova, W., Parker, B. L., et al. (2016). mTORC1 is a major regulatory node in the FGF21 signaling network in adipocytes. *Cell Rep.* 17, 29–36. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2016.08.086
- Mukherjee, K., and Bürglin, T. R. (2007). Comprehensive analysis of animal TALE homeobox genes: new conserved motifs and cases of accelerated evolution. *J. Mol. Evol.* 65, 137–153. doi: 10.1007/s00239-006-0023-0
- Pan, C., Olsen, J. V., Daub, H., and Mann, M. (2009). Global effects of kinase inhibitors on signaling networks revealed by quantitative phosphoproteomics. *Mol. Cell Proteomics* 8, 2796–2808. doi: 10.1074/mcp.M900285-MCP200
- Peltenburg, L. T., and Murre, C. (1996). Engrailed and Hox homeodomain proteins contain a related Pbx interaction motif that recognizes a common structure present in Pbx. *EMBO J.* 15, 3385–3393.
- Peters, T., Dildrop, R., Ausmeier, K., and Rüther, U. (2000). Organization of mouse Iroquois homeobox genes in two clusters suggests a conserved regulation and function in vertebrate development. *Genome Res.* 10, 1453–1462. doi: 10.1101/ gr.144100
- Pickart, C. M. (2001). Mechanisms underlying ubiquitination. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 70, 503–533. doi: 10.1146/annurev.biochem.70.1.503
- Piper, D. E., Batchelor, A. H., Chang, C. P., Cleary, M. L., and Wolberger, C. (1999). Structure of a HoxB1-Pbx1 heterodimer bound to DNA: role of the hexapeptide and a fourth homeodomain helix in complex formation. *Cell* 96, 587–597. doi: 10.1016/s0092-8674(00)80662-5
- Rape, M. (2018). Ubiquitylation at the crossroads of development and disease. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 19, 59–70. doi: 10.1038/nrm.2017.83
- Rhee, J. W., Arata, A., Selleri, L., Jacobs, Y., Arata, S., Onimaru, H., et al. (2004). Pbx3 deficiency results in central hypoventilation. *Am. J. Pathol.* 165, 1343– 1350. doi: 10.1016/S0002-9440(10)63392-5

- Rigbolt, K. T. G., Prokhorova, T. A., Akimov, V., Henningsen, J., Johansen, P. T., Kratchmarova, I., et al. (2011). System-wide temporal characterization of the proteome and phosphoproteome of human embryonic stem cell differentiation. *Sci. Signal* 4:rs3. doi: 10.1126/scisignal.2001570
- Sacco, F., Humphrey, S. J., Cox, J., Mischnik, M., Schulte, A., Klabunde, T., et al. (2016). Glucose-regulated and drug-perturbed phosphoproteome reveals molecular mechanisms controlling insulin secretion. *Nat. Commun.* 7:13250. doi: 10.1038/ncomms13250
- Saleh, M., Huang, H., Green, N. C., and Featherstone, M. S. (2000). A conformational change in PBX1A is necessary for its nuclear localization. *Exp. Cell Res.* 260, 105–115. doi: 10.1006/excr.2000.5010
- Schulte, D., and Geerts, D. (2019). MEIS transcription factors in development and disease. *Development* 146:dev174706. doi: 10.1242/dev.174706
- Selleri, L., Depew, M. J., Jacobs, Y., Chanda, S. K., Tsang, K. Y., Cheah, K. S., et al. (2001). Requirement for Pbx1 in skeletal patterning and programming chondrocyte proliferation and differentiation. *Development* 128, 3543–3557.
- Selleri, L., DiMartino, J., van Deursen, J., Brendolan, A., Sanyal, M., Boon, E., et al. (2004). The TALE homeodomain protein Pbx2 is not essential for development and long-term survival. *Mol. Cell Biol.* 24, 5324–5331.
- Selleri, L., Zappavigna, V., and Ferretti, E. (2019). 'Building a perfect body': control of vertebrate organogenesis by PBX-dependent regulatory networks. *Genes Dev.* 33, 258–275. doi: 10.1101/gad.318774.118
- Shanmugam, K., Green, N. C., Rambaldi, I., Saragovi, H. U., and Featherstone, M. S. (1999). PBX and MEIS as non-DNA-binding partners in trimeric complexes with HOX proteins. *Mol. Cell Biol.* 19, 7577–7588. doi: 10.1128/mcb. 19.11.7577
- Sharma, K., D'Souza, R. C. J., Tyanova, S., Schaab, C., Wiśniewski, J. R., Cox, J., et al. (2014). Ultradeep human phosphoproteome reveals a distinct regulatory nature of Tyr and Ser/Thr-based signaling. *Cell Rep.* 8, 1583–1594. doi: 10.1016/ j.celrep.2014.07.036
- Shen, J., and Walsh, C. A. (2005). Targeted disruption of Tgif, the mouse ortholog of a human holoprosencephaly gene, does not result in holoprosencephaly in mice. *Mol. Cell Biol.* 25, 3639–3647.
- Shiromizu, T., Adachi, J., Watanabe, S., Murakami, T., Kuga, T., Muraoka, S., et al. (2013). Identification of missing proteins in the neXtProt database and unregistered phosphopeptides in the PhosphoSitePlus database as part of the chromosome-centric human proteome project. *J. Proteome Res.* 12, 2414–2421. doi: 10.1021/pr300825v
- Slattery, M., Riley, T., Liu, P., Abe, N., Gomez-Alcala, P., Dror, I., et al. (2011). Cofactor binding evokes latent differences in DNA binding specificity between Hox proteins. *Cell* 147, 1270–1282. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2011.10.053
- Star, E. N., Zhu, M., Shi, Z., Liu, H., Pashmforoush, M., Sauve, Y., et al. (2012). Regulation of retinal interneuron subtype identity by the Iroquois homeobox gene Irx6. *Development* 139, 4644–4655. doi: 10.1242/dev.081729
- Stuart, S. A., Houel, S., Lee, T., Wang, N., Old, W. M., and Ahn, N. G. (2015). A phosphoproteomic comparison of B-RAFV600E and MKK1/2 inhibitors in melanoma cells. *Mol. Cell Proteomics* 14, 1599–1615. doi: 10.1074/mcp.M114. 047233
- Subramaniam, N., Campión, J., Rafter, I., and Okret, S. (2003). Cross-talk between glucocorticoid and retinoic acid signals involving glucocorticoid receptor interaction with the homoeodomain protein Pbx1. *Biochem. J.* 370, 1087–1095. doi: 10.1042/BJ20020471
- Taniguchi, K., Anderson, A. E., Sutherland, A. E., and Wotton, D. (2012). Loss of Tgif function causes holoprosencephaly by disrupting the SHH signaling pathway. *PLoS Genet.* 8:e1002524. doi: 10.1371/journal. pgen.1002524
- Trost, M., Sauvageau, M., Hérault, O., Deleris, P., Pomiès, C., Chagraoui, J., et al. (2012). Posttranslational regulation of self-renewal capacity: insights from proteome and phosphoproteome analyses of stem cell leukemia. *Blood* 120, e17–e27. doi: 10.1182/blood-2011-12-397844
- Wagner, K., Mincheva, A., Korn, B., Lichter, P., and Pöpperl, H. (2001). Pbx4, a new Pbx family member on mouse chromosome 8, is expressed during spermatogenesis. *Mech. Dev.* 103, 127–131. doi: 10.1016/s0925-4773(01)0 0349-5
- Wang, Y., Yin, L., and Hillgartner, F. B. (2001). The homeodomain proteins PBX and MEIS1 are accessory factors that enhance thyroid hormone regulation of the malic enzyme gene in hepatocytes. J. Biol. Chem. 276, 23838–23848. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M102166200

- Weber, C., Schreiber, T. B., and Daub, H. (2012). Dual phosphoproteomics and chemical proteomics analysis of erlotinib and gefitinib interference in acute myeloid leukemia cells. *J. Proteomics* 75, 1343–1356. doi: 10.1016/j.jprot.2011. 11.004
- Wotton, D., Lo, R. S., Lee, S., and Massagué, J. (1999). A Smad transcriptional corepressor. *Cell* 97, 29–39. doi: 10.1016/s0092-8674(00)80712-6
- Yu, W., Li, X., Eliason, S., Romero-Bustillos, M., Ries, R. J., Cao, H., et al. (2017). Irx1 regulates dental outer enamel epithelial and lung alveolar type II epithelial differentiation. *Dev. Biol.* 429, 44–55. doi: 10.1016/j.ydbio.2017.07. 011
- Zhang, S.-S., Kim, K.-H., Rosen, A., Smyth, J. W., Sakuma, R., Delgado-Olguín, P., et al. (2011). Iroquois homeobox gene 3 establishes fast conduction in the cardiac His-Purkinje network. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 108, 13576–13581. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1106911108
- Zhou, H., Di Palma, S., Preisinger, C., Peng, M., Polat, A. N., Heck, A. J. R., et al. (2013). Toward a comprehensive characterization of a human cancer cell phosphoproteome. *J. Proteome Res.* 12, 260–271. doi: 10.1021/pr300630k

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Reichlmeir, Elias and Schulte. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.