Dynamical Modeling of the Moth Pheromone-Sensitive Olfactory Receptor Neuron within Its Sensillar Environment

Yuqiao Gu, Jean-Pierre Rospars*

INRA, UMR 1272, Physiologie de l'Insecte: Signalisation et Communication, Versailles, France

Abstract

In insects, olfactory receptor neurons (ORNs), surrounded with auxiliary cells and protected by a cuticular wall, form small discrete sensory organs - the sensilla. The moth pheromone-sensitive sensillum is a well studied example of hair-like sensillum that is favorable to both experimental and modeling investigations. The model presented takes into account both the molecular processes of ORNs, i.e. the biochemical reactions and ionic currents giving rise to the receptor potential, and the cellular organization and compartmentalization of the organ represented by an electrical circuit. The number of isopotential compartments needed to describe the long dendrite bearing pheromone receptors was determined. The transduction parameters that must be modified when the number of compartments is increased were identified. This model reproduces the amplitude and time course of the experimentally recorded receptor potential. A first complete version of the model was analyzed in response to pheromone pulses of various strengths. It provided a quantitative description of the spatial and temporal evolution of the pheromone-dependent conductances, currents and potentials along the outer dendrite and served to determine the contribution of the various steps in the cascade to its global sensitivity. A second simplified version of the model, utilizing a single depolarizing conductance and leak conductances for repolarizing the ORN, was derived from the first version. It served to analyze the effects on the sensory properties of varying the electrical parameters and the size of the main sensillum parts. The consequences of the results obtained on the still uncertain mechanisms of olfactory transduction in moth ORNs - involvement or not of G-proteins, role of chloride and potassium currents – are discussed as well as the optimality of the sensillum organization, the dependence of biochemical parameters on the neuron spatial extension and the respective contributions of the biochemical and electrical parameters to the overall neuron response.

Citation: Gu Y, Rospars J-P (2011) Dynamical Modeling of the Moth Pheromone-Sensitive Olfactory Receptor Neuron within Its Sensillar Environment. PLoS ONE 6(3): e17422. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0017422

Editor: Matthieu Louis, Center for Genomic Regulation, Spain

Received November 29, 2010; Accepted February 1, 2011; Published March 2, 2011

Copyright: © 2011 Gu, Rospars. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This work was supported by French-British ANR BBSRC SysBio 006 01 "Pherosys" (http://www.informatics.sussex.ac.uk/research/projects/PheroSys/ index.php/Main_Page) and European FP7-ICT 2007 STREP Bio-ICT convergence "Neurochem" (http://www.neurochem-project.org/). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: rospars@versailles.inra.fr

Introduction

Olfactory receptor neurons (ORNs) are specialized cells which detect and code for the presence, nature, concentration and temporal fluctuations of volatile molecules in their environment. They convert the odor signal in a sequence of biochemical and electrical events - a process called transduction whose final output is a train of action potentials sent to the brain along the ORN axon. ORNs provide to the brain abundant and subtle information because they detect a large number of odorants with vastly diverse molecular structures and discriminate them based on tiny chemical differences [1]. As a result, the animals can orient towards odor sources or away from them, locate food and preys or avoid predators, and communicate with other members of their species or with other species. Natural odors are usually mixtures of many odorants which are classically divided in pheromones and allelochemicals. Pheromones are emitted and received by individuals of the same species [2,3] a typical example being sexual pheromones, like that emitted by female moths to attract conspecific males [4,5,6]. Allelochemicals are emitted by a species

and received by another one, a typical example being flowers whose scents attract pollinators. In both insects and vertebrates, different ORNs transduce pheromones and allelochemicals [7,8].

In the present work we study sex-pheromone transduction in moth ORNs. Usually a moth sex pheromone is a blend in a specific ratio of two to three hydrocarbon molecules with 12 to 16 carbon atoms. Several thousand ORNs of the male moth antenna are specialized in the detection of these pheromone components. ORNs are typically associated in pairs and surrounded with accessory cells within specialized hair-like organs called sensilla [9,10]. ORN electrical responses can be recorded *in vivo* with an electrode slipped on the cut hair tip [11] (Fig. 1); it consists of the so-called sensillar potential (SP) with the superimposed action potentials [12]. Investigating SP generation is essential for a proper understanding of transduction in pheromone and other olfactory sensilla. SP properties depend on a variety of molecular, cellular (ORN level) and multicellular (sensillum level) mechanisms. These mechanisms have been the subject of intensive investigations.

Whatever the odorants and the animal group, the central event of olfactory transduction is the interaction of odorant molecules



Figure 1. Moth pheromone-sensitive sensillum trichodeum. The sensillum is typically composed of two ORNs and three auxiliary cells (thecogen Th, trichogen Tr and tormogen To). The tight junctions between cells separate the ORN extracellular environment in two parts with different ionic compositions, the sensillar lymph bathing the outer dendritic segment (housing the transduction machinery) and the hemolymph bathing the inner dendrite and soma. Pheromone molecules enter the sensillar lymph through pores in the hair shaft. The sensillar potential SP (ORN electrical response to pheromone stimulation) is recorded between the active electrode, slipped on the cut hair tip in contact with the sensillar lymph, and the indifferent electrode in contact with the hemolymph. Fig. 2 gives an overview of the global electrical organization of the sensillum. Fig. 3 shows part of ORN membrane processes at the molecular level. Modified from [66]. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0017422.q001

with membrane proteins – the olfactory receptors (ORs, [13]. Pheromone ORs have been found also in moths [14,15]. Most ORNs express a single OR gene. Located on the cilia (in vertebrates) and outer dendritic segments (in insects), ORs form the interface between external reactions taking place in the aqueous environment of ORNs (mucus of vertebrates and sensillum lymph of insects, both in contact with the air) and intracellular reactions involving various membrane proteins, cytoplasmic modulators and ions whose main function is to amplify the weak initial signal provided by odorant binding to ORs.

In the main olfactory epithelium of vertebrates, the activated OR bind to a G-protein which activates an effector enzyme (adenylyl cyclase) catalyzing the conversion of ATP to cyclic AMP (cAMP), then cAMP gates a cationic channel permeable to calcium. The increased concentration of Ca^{2+} gates a second depolarizing current borne by chloride ions [16,17]. In insects the cationic and chloride channels have also been found but they may significantly differ from their vertebrate analogs (for more

complete references, see [18,19,20,21]). First, in moths, a Gprotein activates an effector (PLCB [22,23]) producing two second messengers (IP₃ and DAG) that open a Ca^{2+} channel and a cationic channel respectively [24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31,32]. However, in Drosophila it has been shown recently that ORs gate directly a cationic current [33,34,35] which casts doubt on the universality of the metabotropic pathway. Three qualitative models of insect transduction have been proposed so far. All combine the metabotropic and ionotropic pathways in very different manners [18,20,21]. Second, in moths, a chloride current has also been described [36] although it remains uncertain whether it is depolarizing or repolarizing. Third, other currents are present, for example a large Ca^{2+} and voltage-gated K⁺ current [37,38,39] that may contribute to receptor-potential or action-potential generation. The combination of these currents creates the receptor potential (RP) which propagates passively from the ciliary or outer dendritic membrane to the inner dendritic segment, cell body and axon where it triggers action potentials. However, at least in insects, RP generation must take into account the transepithelial potential (TEP) which is generated by the auxiliary cells between the sensillum lymph, which bathes the outer dendrite, and the hemolymph, which bathes the inner dendrite, soma and axon. SP results from the combination of RP and TEP [40].

Moth pheromone sensilla have been the subject of several modeling studies based on extensive experimental data [8,41]. The available quantitative models describe the diffusion of pheromone molecules in the air [42], the extracellular pheromone transport and degradation in the sensillum lymph [41,43,44,45,46,47], the pheromone-receptor interaction ([48], the post-receptor transduction events [18,49], the action-potential generation [50,51], the electrical events taking place in the ORN [52,53]; or in the whole sensillum ([53,54], including the electrical interaction between ORNs of the same sensillum [55].

These models were based on two lines of enquiry which were developed almost separately. The first line focused on biochemical reactions taking place near and within the outer dendritic membrane, including ionic channels and their modulation, while neglecting the spatial extension of the outer dendrite, i.e. using basically single point models. The second line focused on the electrical phenomena taking place in the sensillum as a whole, considering the geometry of the system but neglecting its biochemical details. In the present work we aimed at connecting these two lines to develop and analyze an integrated model of the sensillum taking into account the molecular mechanisms as described in Gu et al. [18] and the electrical circuits as analyzed in Vermeulen and Rospars [53].

Within this framework several specific questions were addressed. To what extent must the biochemical parameter values estimated from the single-point model be modified when the spatial extension of the outer dendrite is taken into account? What are the relative contributions of the various biochemical components of the cascade and electrical parameters to the response properties of the RP and the SP? In particular, is it true that extracellular events rather than intracellular signaling govern the kinetics of the SP as suggested by Kaissling [41,45]? What can these models tell us about the still uncertain mechanisms of olfactory transduction? Can the geometry of the moth sensillum be considered optimum?

Results

The dynamic properties of the moth ORN in its sensillum environment are analyzed in two subsections. In the first subsection a detailed model is presented taking into account the molecular and ionic aspects of transduction. In the second subsection, the complete model is simplified to analyze the effects of the electrical and geometrical parameters.

1. Multichannel and multicompartmental sensillum model

1.1. The complete sensillum model. The sensillum housing a single ORN is divided in three electrically interconnected parts: (i) the outer dendrite bathing in the sensillum lymph which is the sensory part of the ORN with pheromone transport and degradation, pheromone ORs and ionic channels generating RP, (ii) the non-sensory part of the ORN with the inner dendrite, soma and axon bathing in the hemolymph, (iii) the auxiliary cells separating the outer dendrite and sensillum lymph from the hemolymph. In the present model the auxiliary cells and the relatively short ORN non-sensory part ($\approx 30 \ \mu m$) are each represented by a single electrical compartment, whereas the long sensory part (220 µm in recording conditions [11]) is modeled by \mathcal{N} compartments (Fig. 2) instead of a single isopotential compartment in [18]). Each compartment of the outer dendrite includes the complete transduction machinery. As summarized in Fig. 3 it takes into account the translocation of pheromone molecules from air to sensillum lymph, their interaction with the pheromone receptors, their deactivation, the activation of Gproteins and effector enzymes (PLC), the production and degradation of second messengers, the cascade of ionic channels

(transient cationic current, a long-lasting chloride current and a delayed potassium current), the feedback inhibition on PLC and channels by protein kinase C (PKC) and Ca²⁺-calmodulin (CaCaM), the central regulatory role of Ca²⁺ and its extrusion. For facilitating comparisons, we kept as starting point the same basic assumptions as in [18], notably the assumptions that the outer-dendritic Cl⁻ current is depolarizing and the inner-dendritic K⁺ current is repolarizing (alternative assumptions are considered in the second subsection). This complete model is described by eqs. (1)–(19) in the Methods section.

The values of geometrical and passive electrical parameter are given in Tables 1 and 2. The values of the electrical and biochemical parameters describing the ionic channels are given in Table 3. Values in Table 3 were fitted to SP experimental measurements performed in Antheraea polyphemus [45,56] knowing their physiologically acceptable ranges. Most of them are the same as in Gu et al. [18]. However, all electrical parameter values determining the strength of the response had to be modified to take into account the subdivision of the outer dendrite in \mathcal{N} identical compartments (this is the main difference with our previous three-compartmental model). First, the maximal conductances of the ionic channels present in the outer dendrite found for a single-compartment dendrite had to be distributed between Ncompartments. For reasons explained in the second subsection, we chose $\mathcal{N} = 40$; so, maximal conductances in [18] were divided by 40. Similarly, the values of extracellular (g_{ec}) , intracellular (g_{ic}) and



Figure 2. Compartmental model diagram of the moth pheromone-sensitive sensillum. The outer dendrite of the ORN is divided into *N* compartments, the inner dendrite and soma are lumped into a single compartment and the three auxiliary cells are also lumped into one compartment. The equivalent circuit of each outer-dendritic compartment includes the conductances of the external (g_{ec}) and internal (g_{ic}) media and six transmembrane branches for the membrane capacitance (C_d), the leak current and four types of pheromone-dependent currents. Each current is described by a conductance and a constant battery figuring the reversal potential of the permeating ion. The leak current with its constant conductance (g_{ld}) and battery (E_{ld}) is responsible for the resting potential. The four pheromone-dependent channels are the IP₃-gated Ca²⁺ permeable channel (g_{Ca} , E_{Ca}), DAG-gated cationic channel (g_{cat} , E_{cat}), Ca²⁺-gated chloride channel (g_{Ci} , E_{Cl}) and Na⁺/Ca²⁺ exchanger (g_x , E_x). The equivalent circuit of the inner dendrite and soma includes three branches representing the membrane capacitance (C_s) and the current (G_{ar} , E_{a}) responsible for the transepithelial resting potential. The sensillar potential SP is measured between the reaction channel (g_{Cat} , E_{Ca}), DAG-gated cationic channel (g_{Cat} , E_{Cat}), Ca²⁺-gated chloride channel (g_{Cat} , E_{Ca}), the leak current (G_{is} , E_{is}) and one pheromone-dependent Ca²⁺-gated K⁺ current (G_{k} , E_{k}). The equivalent circuit of the auxiliary cells includes two branches for the membrane capacitance (C_a) and the current (G_{ar} , E_{a}) responsible for the transepithelial resting potential. The sensillar potential SP is measured between the recording electrode and the reference electrode.



Figure 3. Pheromone transduction cascade. Pheromone molecules in the air (L_{air}) are translocated through the sensillum lymph by pheromone binding proteins (PBP) and deactivated (from L to inactive product P) by enzymes (N). These extracellular processes were taken into account in the model as previously described in [45] and [18]. Activation by L of receptors (R*) activates in turn G-proteins (G*) then effector enzymes (E*) that cleave PIP₂ in IP₃ and DAG. These second messengers gate Ca²⁺ (I_{ca}) and cationic (I_{cat}) currents. The resulting increase in intracellular Ca²⁺ concentration triggers feedback control of E*, I_{Ca} and I_{cat} via CaCaM and PKC* and feedforward gating of a Cl⁻ current (I_{Cl}). Ca²⁺ concentration is regulated by the Na⁺-Ca²⁺ exchanger (NCX). The membrane is depolarized by currents I_{cat} and I_{Cl} in the ORN outer dendrite (cylindrical compartment on left). It is repolarized by K⁺ (I_{k}) and leakage (I_{dc} , I_{ls}) currents in the inner dendrite and soma (spherical compartment on the right).

leak conductances (g_{ldc}) were calculated for forty compartments based on their corresponding global values G_i , G_e and G_{ld} for the whole outer dendrite (based on [48]; see Table 2). Second, to obtain better fits to experimental values of SP, as quantified by the cost function (42) (see Methods section), two other parameters were decreased: the maximal synthesis rate $s_{\rm M}$ (connecting the effector enzyme to the cationic channels) and the maximal conductance $G_{\rm MK}$ of K⁺ current (in inner dendrite and soma).

	Parameter	Symbol	Unit	Value	Reference
Outer dendrite	Length	L _d	μm	220 ^a	[11]
	Mean diameter	Di	μm	0.475 ^a	[67]
	Membrane resistivity	$ ho_{Id}$	Ωcm^2	7500	[48]
Media of outer dendrite	Hair mean inside diameter	De	μm	1.8 ^a	[67]
	Sensillar lymph resistivity	$ ho_{e}$	Ωcm	40	[48]
	Intracellular resistivity	$ ho_{\mathrm{i}}$	Ωcm	40	[48]
Inner dendrite and soma	Lateral area	Ss	μm²	144 ^b	[68]
	Membrane resistivity	$ ho_{ls}$	Ωcm^2	1000	[48]
	Equilibrium potential of leak currents	E _{ls}	mV	-62	[38]
Auxiliary cells	Capacitance of apical membrane	C _{api}	pF	30	[68,69,70]
	Resistance of apical membrane	R _{api}	MΩ	300	[68,69,70]
	Resistivity of basolateral membrane	$ ho_{bas}$	Ωcm^2	100	[68,69,70]
	Resistance of basolateral membrane	R _{bas}	MΩ	25	[68,69,70]
	Equilibrium potential ^c	Ea	mV	-35	[71]
All membranes	Specific capacitance	с	μ F/cm ²	1	[72]

Table 1. Basic geometrical and electrical parameters of the sensillum model.

^aMorphometric data on ORN and hair are for sensillum trichodeum cell A (with thick dendrite and large action potentials) of Antheraea polyphemus in tip-recording conditions, i. e. with cut hair tip.

^bModified from data on Antheraea pernyi.

^cGives rise to the transepithelial potential.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0017422.t001

Table 2. Geometrical and electrical parameters derived from Table 1.

	Parameter	Symbol	Unit	Value	Explanation
Outer dendrite	Lateral area (membrane)	S _d	μm²	328	$\pi L_d D_i$
	Volume (intracellular)	V _d	μm^3	38	$\pi L_d D^2_i/4$
	Equilibrium potential of leak currents	Eld	mV	-97	$E_{\rm ls} + E_{\rm a}$
	Capacitance per unit length ^a	c _d	F.cm ⁻¹	1.49×10^{-10}	$c_{\rm m} \pi D_{\rm i}$
	Capacitance ^b	C _d	pF	3.28	c _m S _d
	Resistance per unit length ^a	r _{ld}	MΩ.cm	50.26	$ ho_{\rm Id}/\pi D_{\rm i}$
	Membrane resistance and leak conductance $^{\rm b}$	R _{ld} G _{ld}	GΩ nS	2.28 0.4373	$ ho_{ m Id}/S_{ m d}$ 1/ $R_{ m Id}$
	Membrane space constant	λ	μm	455	$\sqrt{r_{\rm ld}/(r_{\rm e}+r_{\rm i})}$
	Membrane time constant	τ	ms	7.5	r _{ld} c _d
	Electrotonic length	l _d	-	0.484	$L_{\rm d}/\lambda$
Media of outer dendrite	Sensillar lymph resistance per unit length ^a	r _e g _e	$G\Omega.cm^{-1}$ nS.cm	1.69 0.592	$4\rho_{\rm e}/\pi (D^2_{\rm e}-D^2_{\rm i})$ $1/r_{\rm e}$
	Sensillar lymph resistance and conductance ^c	R _e G _e	MΩ nS	37.4 26.77	r _e L _d 1/R _e
	Intracellular resistance and conductance per unit length $^{\rm a}$	r _i g _i	$G\Omega cm^{-1}$ nS.cm	22.57 0.0443	$\frac{4\rho_{\rm i}}{\pi D^2}_{\rm i}$ 1/ $r_{\rm i}$
	Intracellular resistance and conductance $^{\rm c}$	R _i G _i	MΩ nS	497 2.011	r _i L _d 1/R _i
Inner dendrite and soma	Membrane resistance and leak conductance	R _{Is} G _{Is}	MΩ nS	694 1.44	$ ho_{ m s}/{ m S_s}$ 1/ $R_{ m s}$
	Capacitance	Cs	pF	1.44	c _s S _s
	Dimensionless ratio	r _{in}	-	0.900	$(R_{\rm ls}+R_{\rm a})/\lambda (r_{\rm e}+r_{\rm i})$
	Dimensionless ratio	а	-	0.3018	$R_{\rm a}/(R_{\rm ls}+R_{\rm a})$
Auxiliary cells	Area of apical membrane	S _{api}	μm^2	3000	C _{api} /c
	Resistivity of apical membrane	$ ho_{ m api}$	Ωcm^2	9000	S _{api} R _{api}
	Area of basolateral membrane	S _{bas}	μm^2	400	$ ho_{\rm bas}/R_{\rm bas}$
	Capacitance of basolateral membrane	C _{bas}	pF	4	cS _{bas}
	Resistance and conductance	R _a G _a	MΩ nS	325 3.1	$R_{\rm api} + R_{\rm bas}$ 1/ $R_{\rm a}$
	Capacitance	Ca	pF	3.53	$1 \left/ \left(C_{\rm api}^{-1} + C_{\rm bas}^{-1} \right) \right.$

^aNotations *c*_d, *g*_e, *g*_i, *g*_{id}, (and *r*_e, *r*_{ir}, *r*_{id}) apply to the "per unit length" (unidimensional cable) description. The corresponding parameters "per compartment" are denoted _*c*_d, *g*_e, *g*_{ic}, *g*_{id}, (and *r*_e, *r*_{ic}, *r*_{id}).

^bConductance and capacitance for the whole outer dendrite. For a single compartment they are $c_{dc} = C_d/N$, $g_{ldc} = G_{ld}/N$, where N is the number of compartments in the outer dendrite.

^cResistances and conductances for the whole internal medium of outer dendrite and whole sensillar lymph. For a single compartment the corresponding conductances are $g_{ec} = NG_e$ and $g_{ic} = NG_i$.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0017422.t002

Third, for fine tuning, other parameters were modified: principally the equilibrium potential of the Na⁺/Ca²⁺ exchanger (E_x) and the maximal conductances of cationic (G_{Mcat}) and chloride (G_{MCl}) currents, whereas their Hill coefficients (n_{cat} and n_{Cl}) and the maximum conductance of Ca²⁺ channels (G_{MCa}) were modified by less than 10%. All other values were kept unchanged with respect to Gu et al. [18].

1.2. RP and SP in the complete sensillum model. The variation in time of the transmembrane (RP) and transepithelial (SP) potentials were simulated in response to two-second square pheromone pulses of various heights. Because sensilla are flux detectors [43] it is convenient to express the pulse height as a flux or uptake U in molarity per second. Simulations for 26 uptakes separated by 0.25 log units from $10^{-4.75}$ to $10^{1.5}$ µM/s were run. Three examples of the resulting kinetics are shown in Fig. 4A–B at 10^{-4} , $10^{-1.5}$, and $10^{0.75}$ µM/s. RP at soma (*RP*_s)

Fig. 4A) and SP (measured at the tip of the outer dendrite, Fig. 4B) present similar kinetics but of opposite signs. Upon stimulation onset, RPs (defined by eq. 18 in Methods section) increases rapidly to its maximal value then, after stimulation offset, gradually decreases to zero (Fig. 4A), while SP (defined by eq. 19) decreases rapidly to its minimal value then gradually returns to zero (Fig. 4B). The maximum of RP and minimum of SP depend on the pheromone uptake (three uptakes from low to high are shown in Fig. 4); they are about 30 and -30 mVrespectively at the highest uptake. These kinetic curves were summarized with three numbers: maximum height (in mV), rising time (τ_{rise}) from stimulation onset to half-maximal response and falling time (τ_{fall}) from stimulation offset to halfmaximal response. Half-maxima were chosen because the times at which RP and SP reach their maxima and return to baseline cannot be determined with precision.

Table 3. Parameters of second messengers and ionic currents.^a

	Parameter	Symbol	Unit	Value ^b
IP ₃ and DAG	Maximal synthesis rate	s _M	s ⁻¹	653 (933)
IP_3 -gated Ca ²⁺ current I_{Ca}	Eq. potential Ca ²⁺	E _{Ca}	mV	140
	Maximal conductance	G _{MCa}	nS	0.137 (0.14)
	EC ₅₀ for IP ₃	K _{mCa}	μΜ	3.48
	Hill coefficient for IP ₃	n _{Ca}	-	1
	Maximal inhibition	i _{MCa}	_	3.08
	IC ₅₀ for CaCaM	K _{iCa}	μΜ	0.61
	Hill coef. for CaCaM	n _{iCa}	_	2.51
DAG-gated cationic current <i>l</i> cat	Eq. potential cations	E _{cat}	mV	0
	Maximal conductance	G _{Mcat}	nS	0.877 (1.23)
	EC ₅₀ for DAG	K _{mcat}	μΜ	0.0104
	Hill coefficient for DAG	n _{cat}	_	0.776 (0.86)
	Maximal inhibition	i _{Mcat}	-	53.2
	IC ₅₀ for CaCaM	K _{icat}	μΜ	0.0377
	Hill coef. for CaCaM	n _{icat}	-	0.818
Ca ²⁺ -gated CI ⁻ current I _{CI}	Eq. potential CI^-	E _{CI}	mV	-11.5
	Maximal conductance	G _{MCI}	nS	12.1 (16.8)
	EC ₅₀ for Ca ²⁺	K _{mCl}	μΜ	81.2
	Hill coefficient for Ca ²⁺	n _{Cl}	-	1.443 (1.52)
	Maximal inhibition	i _{MCI}	_	1.4
	IC ₅₀ for PKC*	K _{iCl}	μΜ	0.06
	Hill coef. for PKC*	n _{iCl}	_	1.1
Ca^{2+} extrusion I_x	Equilibrium potential	E _x	mV	-25.7 (-17.1)
	Maximal conductance	G _{Mx}	nS	2.21×10 ⁻³
	EC ₅₀ for Ca ²⁺	K _{mx}	μΜ	0.54
	Hill coefficient for Ca ²⁺	n _x	_	0.605
Ca ²⁺ - and voltage-gated K ⁺ current $I_{\rm K}$	Eq. potential	Eκ	mV	-62
	Maximal conductance	G _{MK}	nS	1.6091 (4.88)
	EC_{50} for K ⁺ (inner)	К _{тК}	μΜ	2.803×10 ⁻⁴
	Coef. of voltage depend.	A _K	mV	12.5
Conversion factors Ca ²⁺	Charge to concentration	F	$\mu M \ p C^{-1}$	136.37
	For IP ₃ -gated channels	f _{Ca}	$\mu M \ p C^{-1}$	4.87
	For DAG-gated channels	f _{cat}	$\mu M \ pC^{-1}$	2.50

^aAll currents are in the outer-dendritic membrane (in contact with the sensillum lymph), except the Ca²⁺- and voltage-gated K⁺ current which is in the inner-dendritic and somatic membranes (in contact with the hemolymph).

^bValues are the same as in Gu et al. [18] except for $G_{MCa'}$, $G_{Mcat'}$, $G_{ACt'}$, $G_{CL'}$, $R_{CL'}$, R_{CL

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0017422.t003

The height (maximum) of RP declines along the outer dendrite, being higher at the tip of the outer dendrite than at its base, then, it declines further at the inner dendrite and soma (Fig. 4C). The latter decline is due to the purely passive nature of the latter segment, which results in an exponential fall of the potential along its length, and to the change of the reference point (extracellular potential), which is in the sensillar lymph for RP along the outer dendrite and in the hemolymph for RP_s .

The dose-dependence of the three characteristics of RP and SP in response to two-second square pheromone pulses are shown in Fig. 5. They lead to the following observations. First, the comparison based on the three characteristics of the simulated (SP) and measured (SP_{exp}) values of SP in tip-recording conditions

shows that the model reproduces adequately SP_{exp} , particularly the fitting to the height curve at high uptakes with 40 compartments (Fig. 4A) is better than with a single compartment (see Fig. 8A in [18]). Second, simulated dose-response curves of RP were determined at four levels along the ORN: tip (RP_1), midlength (RP_{20}) and base (RP_b) of the outer dendrite and soma (RP_s). Fig. 5A shows that all these curves have a sigmoid shape as a function of the logarithm of the uptake. All height curves (including that for SP) normalized with respect to their maxima at high uptake are practically superimposed (Fig. 5B). The curves of rising times (Fig. 5C) and falling times (Fig. 5D) are also very similar, except for the rising time at low uptake. So, relative heights, rising times and falling times are strongly dependent on



Figure 4. Simulation results of the complete 42-compartment sensillum model shown in Figs. 2 and 3. (A) Kinetics of the receptor potential at the ORN soma RP_s . (B) Kinetics of the sensillar potential SP_1 at the tip of the outer dendrite. (C) Height of RP along the ORN (from dendrite tip to soma). Compartment 41 is the inner dendrite and soma. In all plots, kinetics and heights of the potentials are shown at three pheromone uptakes: 10^{-4} , 0.032 and 5.6 μ M/s. Heights in C are taken at the maximum of the kinetics in A and B. The bars from 0 to 2 second along the time axis in A and B indicate the pheromone stimulation period.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0017422.g004

the uptake but can be considered as independent of the location along the ORN. Third, with the present parameter values, the absolute value of SP happens to be practically equal to RP_s at soma. This fortuitous occurrence indicates that the tip-recorded SP reflects very well RP_s at all pheromone uptakes. The equality of RP_s and SP is practically independent of the maximum conductances of the depolarizing currents (cationic, Ca²⁺, and Cl⁻ channels; not shown) but strongly depends on the maximum conductance of the repolarizing Ca²⁺- and voltage-dependent K⁺ channel ($G_{\rm MK}$; Fig. 6). The amplitude of RP_s decreases with $G_{\rm MK}$ while that of SP increases (Fig. 6A) in such a way that their ratio SP/RP_s increases linearly and identically at all uptakes (Fig. 6B). At intermediate and high uptakes, the half-rise times (Fig. 6C) and half-fall times (Fig. 6D) of RP_s and SP are nearly equal for any $G_{\rm MK}$ values.

1.3. Sensitivity increase along the cascade. The amplitudes of the input (R^*) and output (RP) variables of the transduction cascade cannot be directly compared because they are not expressed in the same units. So, we compared their normalized amplitudes - ratio of amplitude at any given uptake to the maximum amplitude at high pheromone uptake ($10^{1.5} \mu M/s$). The relative dose-amplitude curves of these two variables as a function of pheromone uptake are shown in Fig. 7A. The global amplification of the cascade is apparent as a shift of the RP curve to the left of the R* curve. It can be quantitatively expressed by the uptakes that evoke half maximal responses, classically known as efficient concentrations 50 (EC₅₀). The EC₅₀'s of R^* and RP are 11.75 and 0.069 μ M/s respectively. This corresponds to a global 170-fold (11.75/0.069) increase in sensitivity. It confirms that one of the major functions of the transduction cascade is to amplify weak signals detected by receptors (R^*) in strong electrical responses (RP).

However, in the present model, the gain in sensitivity is not regular along the cascade: the EC_{50} 's of the intermediate steps E^* , g_{cat} , g_{Cl} are 2, 0.0871 and 1.175 μ M/s respectively. They indicate that the gain increases in the first two conversions R^* to E^* (≈ 6 fold) and E^* to g_{cat} (23 fold), but decreases in the third conversion g_{cat} to g_{Cl} (0.07 fold), then increases again in the last conversion g_{Cl} to RP (17 fold). Overall, the relative height curves of RP and the first (transient) conductance g_{cat} are similar (Fig. 7A). This is also true for the rising time (Fig 7B) but not for the falling time of RP which is very similar to that of the second (long-lasting) conductance g_{Cl} (Fig. 7C). The half-rising time of g_{Cl} is not monotonic; it increases except at uptakes between 10^{-4} and $10^{-1} \,\mu\text{M/s}$ (Fig. 7B). This is explained by the biphasic kinetics of $g_{\rm Cl}$ that presents an initial short bump, corresponding to the peak of the preceding cationic current, followed by a higher and longer wave (this bump and wave are also visible for RP and SP in Fig. 4A-B). The half-rising time is normally determined by the wave, whose rising time is monotonously increasing, except in the range 10^{-4} – 10^{-1} µM/s where it is determined by the bump, whose rising time is monotonously decreasing (as the corresponding cationic conductance).

2. Simplified multicompartmental sensillum model

2.1. Simplification of the pheromone transduction cascade. The transduction cascade converts pheromone concentration in the air (in μ M), or better pheromone uptake in the sensillum (in μ M/s), to a conductance change of the membrane at the outer dendrite. The total conductance change is the first electrical variable in the cascade and so, a proper level to simplify the whole process and separate the early network of biochemical reactions from the following electrical network. This simplification may benefit to future theoretical analyses and neural network modeling of the olfactory system. To this end, we replaced the set of Ca²⁺, cationic, Cl⁻ and NCX currents at each dendritic compartment *j* with a single current with constant Nernst potential E_p and variable conductance g_{pj} , where subscript 'p'



Figure 5. Dose-response characteristics of the receptor potential RP and sensillar potential SP of the complete 42-compartment **model**. RP and SP in response to 2-s square pulses of pheromone at various uptakes from $10^{-4.75}$ to $10^{1.5} \mu$ M/s. (A) Heights in mV. (B) Relative heights. (C) Half-maximum rising times (τ_{rise}). (D) Half-maximum falling times (τ_{fall}). RP is shown at three compartments located at the tip (*RP*₁), midlength (*RP*₂₀) and base (*RP*_b) of the outer dendrite, at the inner dendrite and soma (*RP*_s). Predicted *SP* (*-SP*₁) is compared to experimentally measured data *SP*_{exp} provided by K.-E. Kaissling ([45,56]). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0017422.g005

stands for 'pheromone dependent'. We also removed the K⁺ current of the inner dendrite and soma, which is equivalent to have $G_{\rm MK} = 0$, so that repolarization now results from the leak currents alone.

The replacement of the multiple pheromone-dependent conductances with $g_{\rm pj}$ was chosen so that the resulting membrane potential be the same in the original model as in the simplified model, at any time, any location along the outer dendrite and any pheromone uptake. The equivalent circuit of a simplified compartment at the ORN outer dendrite is shown in Fig. 8A. The current flowing through $g_{\rm pj}$ must be the same as the summation of the currents flowing through the four types of channels, i.e. $I_{\rm Caj} + I_{\rm catj} + I_{\rm Clj} + I_{\rm xj}$. For any compartment j, the equivalent conductance $g_{\rm pj}$ is given by eq. (21) in Methods section.

For simplicity and keeping close to the two main equilibrium potentials ($E_{\rm cat}$ and $E_{\rm Cl}$), we took $E_{\rm p} = 0$. Then knowing the total current through the outer-dendritic membrane and the depolarization $V_{\rm id} - V_{\rm ed}$ from the model and using eq. (21), we determined $g_{\rm pj}$ at each compartment corresponding to the results given in Fig. 4. Fig. 8B–C shows the kinetics of conductance $g_{\rm pj}$ obtained for 26 pheromone uptakes at the tip ($g_{\rm p1}$, Fig. 8B) and base ($g_{\rm p40}$, Fig. 8C) of the dendrite. The characteristics (height, rising and falling times) of conductance $g_{\rm pj}$, which gives at each uptake U the same heights of RP and SP in the single-conductance model as in the multi-conductance model, are shown in Fig. 9. The pairs $(\log_{10} U, \log_{10} g_{pj})$ are linearly related (Fig. 9A), with only small deviations at very high uptakes. Moreover, the height of g_{pj} along the outer dendrite, expressed in relative values, remains practically the same at all uptakes (Fig. 9B). This means that the g_{pj} 's yielded by any stimulus, except the largest, are nearly equal across compartments, so that, with a good approximation, the conductance of any compartment can be denoted g_p (without subscript *j*). Conductance g_p rises from 1.6×10^{-3} nS at $U = 10^{-4.75} \,\mu\text{M/s}$ to 0.1 nS at $U = 10^{1.5} \,\mu\text{M/s}$. Thus the total pheromone-dependent conductance G_p , sum of all g_{pj} over the 40 compartments of the outer dendrite, is in the range 6.4×10^{-2} to 4 nS.

The half-rising time (Fig. 9C) at low and intermediate uptakes is determined by the fast cationic current whereas at high uptakes it is given by the slower rising chloride current. The irregularity of the curve (angular point close to 0.1 μ M/s) has the same origin as the irregularity of g_{Cl} in Fig. 7B; it occurs when the Cl⁻ current overrides the cationic current, that is when the initial conductance peak due to the cationic current becomes less than one-half the maximum (steady state) conductance: then the rising time is no longer that of the cationic current but that of the Cl⁻ current. The half-falling time (Fig. 9D) follows a smooth close to exponential curve.

2.2. Steady state and transient states. The simplified sensillum model involves only electrical components and the



Figure 6. Effects of maximum conductance G_{MK} on the response characteristics of RP at soma (RP_s) and SP. The repolarizing conductance G_{MK} is the Ca²⁺- and voltage-dependent potassium conductance located at the inner dendrite and soma (the corresponding current I_K is shown in Fig. 3). (A) The height of *SP* (solid lines) increases, while that of RP_s (dashed lines) decreases with G_{MK} respectively. (B) The ratio of amplitudes SP/ RP_s increases linearly with G_{MK} at all uptakes. (C) The ratio of half-rising times of SP and RP_s increases with G_{MK} at low uptakes and becomes close to 1 at intermediate and high uptakes. (D) The ratio of the half-falling time of SP and RP_s decreases with G_{MK} at low uptakes and becomes close to 1 at intermediate and high uptakes. The vertical dotted lines indicate the reference value $G_{MK} = 1.6$ nS given in Table 3. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0017422.g006

pheromone-dependent conductance $G_{\rm p}$ is represented by the single ionic channel studied in the previous paragraph. The modeled ORN can be stimulated by a direct change of $G_{\rm p}$ bypassing all reactions interposed between the pheromone receptors and the ionic channels. To investigate the electrical properties of the model we used step or square pulses of conductance $G_{\rm p}$ taken in the range 6.4×10^{-2} to 4 nS as determined above. The general timedependent equations for currents (eqs. 20 and 21) and potentials (eqs. 14 with $I_{\rm K} = 0$, 15, 22 and 23) are given in the Methods section.

First, we examined the steady-state responses and compared simulated and analytical results. Vermeulen and Rospars [53] studied analytically a steady-state model of sensillum, identical to the present model, except that it did not include capacitances which play no role at steady state. They determined analytical solutions of the steady-state RP at the base of the outer dendrite (eqs. 24-26 in Methods section) and along its length (eqs. 27-33) and of the steady-state tip-recorded SP (eqs. 34-37). These solutions served here to determine the number N of outer dendrite compartments used in the first subsection. The simulated values of SP and $RP_{\rm b}$ were determined with $\mathcal{N} = 1$ to 40 compartments, in response to step stimulations at a low (0.01 nS) and a high (5 nS) values of the total pheromone-dependent conductance $G_{\rm p}$, and compared to the corresponding analytical results (Fig. 10A, B). The steady state response of the model is almost independent of the number \mathcal{N} of compartments at low and intermediate pheromone uptakes but not at high uptakes. The relative error of the numerical solution with respect to the analytical solution increases with $G_{\rm p}$ and decreases with \mathcal{N} . At very high conductance $(G_{\rm p} = 5 \text{ nS})$, it is greater than 22% with a single dendritic compartment and less than 1% with 40 compartments. For this reason we chose $\mathcal{N} = 40$. The steady-state RP decreases along the outer dendrite. The difference between RP_1 at the tip (X = 0) and RP_{40} at the last compartment $(X = 220 \ \mu\text{m})$ increases with $G_{\rm p}$ (Fig. 11A). The numerical solution is identical to the analytical solution.

Next, we studied the transient-state responses to square pulses of conductance G_{p} . The transient time characteristics τ_{rise} and τ_{fall} of the complete sensillum model depend on the number of compartments. The half-rising time of RPb and SP increases, while the half-falling time decreases with \mathcal{N} (not shown). The change is fast when N rises from 1 to 10, then the results remain nearly constant. Tuckwell et al. [57] provided an analytical solution for the change in time of the RP following an exponential or instantaneous (step) change of conductance, delivered uniformly at the outer dendrite, in the special case where the depolarization is much smaller than the reversal potential of the permeating ions (here $E_p = 0$) (eqs. 38–41). Fig. 11B–D compares the analytical and numerical solutions at the tip, mid-length and base of the outer dendrite in the case of step changes of conductance. Of course, step changes of conductance do not occur with odor stimulation and they are used here only to determine the



Figure 7. Dose-response characteristics of the major steps in the pheromone transduction cascade. (A) Relative heights of activated pheromone receptor R^* (green), effector enzyme E^* (magenta), conductance of cationic and chloride channel at the dendrite $g_{\rm cat}$ (dash-dotted blue) and g_{CI} (solid blue), and receptor potential at the dendrite base RP_b (red) as a function of stimulus uptake. The EC₅₀'s of R^* (11.75), E*(2.0), g_{cat} (0.0871), g_{Cl} (1.175) and RP_b (0.069) are indicated (in μ M/s). (B) Half-maximum rising times; at EC₅₀'s they are 0.70 s (R^*), 0.68 s (E^*), 0.08 s (g_{cat}), 1.15 s (g_{Cl}) and 0.16 s (RP_b). (C) Half-maximum falling times; at EC₅₀'s they are 1.40 s (R^*), 1.35 s (\tilde{E}^*), -1.6 s (g_{cat}), 7.9 s (g_{CI}) and 3.70 s (RP_b). It becomes negative for g_{cat} when it declines before the end of the 2-s stimulation (falling times are determined from the end of stimulation). The curves for RP_b are the same as in Fig. 5. The differential equations and data for R* and E* are the same as in [18], the corresponding parameter values are given in [46] for R* and [49] for E*. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0017422.g007

contribution of the electrical components of the sensillum. They show that the electrical response is fast (rising time ≈ 5 ms) and that the analytical approximation is better at the tip and remains correct everywhere at better than 16% up to 0.2 nS. The halffalling phase (not shown) is also fast. Fig. 12 illustrates the change of the response characteristics - height, half-rise time and half-fall time – of RP and SP with conductance G_p . It shows that the heights of RP (Fig. 12A) decrease from the tip of the dendrite whereas their relative heights remain practically the same (Fig. 12B). So, at any conductance, SP is about one-third of RP at the tip (RP_1) and one half at the soma (RP_s) which agrees with the result shown in Fig. 6A for $G_{MK} = 0$. Fig. 12C, D confirms that half-rise times (in the range 0.5-2 ms) and half-fall times (2.2-2.4 ms) are much shorter than those of the experimental data (see Fig. 5C and D). This means that the transient states are practically not affected by the pure electrical components of the sensillum. In particular, membrane capacitances have almost negligible effects on the rising and falling phases of the potentials in the actual sensillum.

2.3. Effect of electrical parameters on dose-response characteristics. We analyzed how the various electrical parameters (batteries, conductances and capacitances) of the sensillar circuit affect the characteristics of the conductance-response curves (height, rising and falling times) at the inner dendrite and soma RP_s and of the tip-recorded *SP*. To this end we compared the characteristics at three intensities of the pheromone-dependent conductance G_p , low (0.1 nS corresponding to $U\approx 10^{-4.13} \,\mu\text{M/s}$), medium (1.0 nS, $U\approx 0.1 \,\mu\text{M/s}$) and high (10 nS, beyond the range accessible by pheromone stimulation). The results can be summarized as follows:

First, the height of the steady-state potentials is influenced by five parameters ($E_{\rm ls}$, $G_{\rm ls}$, $E_{\rm a}$, $G_{\rm a}$, $C_{\rm a}$). The battery at the auxiliary cells $E_{\rm a}$ strongly affects the heights of $RP_{\rm s}$ and SP (Fig. S1A). Removing it decreases the heights of the potentials. The leak battery at the ORN soma $E_{\rm ls}$ has similar effects (Fig. S1B). The conductances of the ORN soma ($G_{\rm ls}$, Fig. S1C, D) and the auxiliary cells ($G_{\rm a}$, Fig. S1E) strongly influence the heights of SPand $RP_{\rm s}$. Finally the capacitance $C_{\rm a}$ of the auxiliary cell membranes has some influence but only at high conductance $G_{\rm p}$ (Fig. S1F).

Second, the transient states of SP are only weakly influenced by three electrical parameters (G_a , C_a and C_d) and those of RP_s by only one (C_d). All other electrical parameters of the sensillum circuit have practically no influence. The conductance of the auxiliary cells G_a exerts an effect exceeding 10 ms on both rise and fall of SPonly if it becomes small, less than 1 nS (Fig. S2A, B). The capacitance of auxiliary cells C_a has an effect exceeding 10 ms on rise and fall of SP only for large values, over 50 pF (Fig. S2C, D). Only large changes of the outer-dendrite capacitance C_d can increase the rising times of RP_s and SP (this effect decreases with G_p ; Fig. S2E) and their falling times (effect independent of G_p ; Fig. S2F).

Third, to decide whether a given electrical parameter is amplifying the responses or not, we compared the height of the responses of RP_s at a given G_p for a small value (often zero) of the parameter (yielding height RP_{s0}) and for a higher value x (yielding height RP_{sx}); if the ratio $f = RP_{sx}/RP_{s0}$ is greater (or smaller) than one, the effect of the electrical parameter is to amplify (or reduce) the ORN response. Note that here we compare heights along the vertical axis instead of comparing sensitivities (EC₅₀s) along the horizontal axis as in Fig. 7. Based on this criterion f we made the following observations. First, batteries E_a and E_{ls} have a strong amplifying effect that is independent of G_p . The amplification ratio f_{Ea} increases linearly with the absolute value of E_a (slope is $\approx 1.6\%$,



Figure 8. Outer-dendritic compartment and equivalent lumped conductance of the simplified model. (A) Replacement of the original six-branch circuit including four pheromone-dependent conductances (g_{Cav} , g_{ctav} , g_{cl} and g_x as described in Fig. 1) with an equivalent three-branch circuit with a single pheromone-dependent conductance g_{pj} given by eq. (21). (B) Kinetics of g_{pj} in the first compartment (g_{p1}) located at the tip of the outer dendrite in response to 2-s square pulses yielding different uptakes regularly spaces by 0.5 log units from $10^{-4.75}$ to $10^{1.5} \mu$ M/s. (C) Idem in the 40th compartment at the base of the outer dendrite (g_{p40}). Heights of the kinetics were taken at their maximum (indicated with double arrow in next to last uptake).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0017422.g008

Fig. S3A) and the same is true for f_{Els} (slope is even steeper, \approx 2.8%, Fig. S3B). Second, conductances $G_{\rm a}$ and $G_{\rm ls}$ have opposite effects that are both dependent on $G_{\rm p}$: reducing for $G_{\rm ls}$ (especially at low $G_{\rm p}$, Fig. S3C) and amplifying for $G_{\rm a}$ (especially at low $G_{\rm p}$, Fig. S3D). Third, capacitance C_d (outer dendrite) has no effect; capacitance $C_{\rm s}$ has a very weak reducing effect at high $G_{\rm p}$ (Fig. S3E), whereas C_a has a weak amplifying effect (Fig. S3F), especially at high uptakes. We examined also the amplification ratios provided by the conductance-to-voltage conversion from $G_{\rm p}$ to $RP_{\rm s}$ at different G_p values. Since G_p and RP_s have different units, we compared the relative RP at soma $H_r = RP_s/max(RP_s)$ to the relative conductance $G_{\rm r} = G_{\rm p}/\max(G_{\rm p})$ which has given rise to it. With the standard parameter values, the corresponding ratio $f_r =$ $H_{\rm r}/G_{\rm r}$, is equal to ≈ 8.4 at very weak stimulation ($G_{\rm p} = 0.01$ nS) then declines to 1 at strong stimulation ($G_p = 10$ nS). Simulation results show that most parameters have very weak (G_a, C_d, C_s) or no effect at all (E_a and E_{ls}) on f_r . The only exceptions are G_{ls} , which has a strong reducing effect from ≈ 8.4 for $G_{ls} = 1.5$ nS to 5.2 for 5 nS (Fig. S4A), and C_a , which displays a relatively weak reducing effect from ≈ 8.4 for $C_a = 3.5$ pF to 6.8 for $C_a = 300$ pF (Fig. S4B).

2.4. Effect of geometrical parameters on dose-response characteristics. The resistance and capacitance of various sensillum parts depend directly on the area of the corresponding membranes. Therefore, the effects of electrical parameters can be analyzed in a less abstract way via the geometric characteristics of the sensillum. Since the electrical parameters exert a minor influence on the transient states, we examined the effects of the geometric parameters on the steady state only. Using eqs. (24) - (26), we calculated the steady-state value of the receptor potential

at the base of the outer dendrite $RP_{\rm b}$ as a function of various geometric parameters in response to five different values of pheromone-dependent conductivity $\sigma_{\rm p}$ (in S.cm⁻²). Fig. 13 shows that $RP_{\rm b}$ increases monotonously with the length $L_{\rm d}$ and diameter $D_{\rm i}$ (hence area) of the outer dendrite and diameter and $D_{\rm c}$ of the hair lumen, and decreases monotonously with the areas $S_{\rm s}$ of the inner dendrite and soma, and $S_{\rm api}$ and $S_{\rm bas}$ of the apical and basolateral membranes of the auxiliary cells. For most parameters, asymptotic values of $RP_{\rm b}$ are reached for the actual value of the parameter found in *Antheraea* (shown as dotted vertical lines in Fig. 13).

Discussion

In the following discussion we distinguish the "molecular parameters" that describe membrane proteins (e.g. ionic channels) and diffusible modulators (e.g. Ca^{2+}) and "cellular parameters" that describe the geometrical and electrical properties of the sensillum.

1. Molecular bases and assumptions

The sensillum model studied here is based on a detailed model of the biochemical processes generating the transmembrane receptor potential and the transpithelial sensillar potential (Fig. 3). The main conclusions drawn from simulating the model are: (i) As shown previously [18], it accounts for the wide dynamic range of the pheromonal ORN, for the short rising time of the RP and for its slow decline after the end of the stimulation. This is noteworthy because extracellular reactions alone cannot account for the falling time of SP at uptake larger than 1 μ M/s [41], thus



Figure 9. Dose-response characteristics of the simplified model with a single conductance g_{pj} shown in Fig. 8. Characteristics of g_{pj} shown for three compartments located at tip (j = 1), mid-length (j = 20) and base (j = 40) of the outer dendrite. (A) Heights $(\log_{10} g_{pj})$ as a function of $\log_{10} U$; can be fitted by line $\log_{10} g_{pj} = 0.32 \log_{10} U - 1.28$ for $U \le 1$. The mean values of g_{pj} at minimum ($U = 10^{-4.5} \mu$ M/s), end of the linear growth (U = 1) and maximum ($U = 10^{-1.5}$) uptakes are 1.8×10^{-3} , 5.6×10^{-2} and 9.6×10^{-2} nS respectively, as indicated by the dotted lines. (B) Relative heights, $g_{pj}/\max(g_{pj})$ as a function of log U at the same locations as in A. (C) Half-rising times. (D) Half-falling times. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0017422.g009

contradicting Kaissling's suggestion [41,45] that intracellular events play no role in determining RP kinetics. (ii) The cationic and the Cl⁻ currents are the two main depolarizing currents. (iii)

They play different roles depending on the pheromone concentration: the cationic current is the main depolarizing current at low concentration, the Cl^- current the main one at intermediate and



Figure 10. Relative error on steady-state RP and SP depending on number *N***of outer-dendritic compartments.** RP at base of the outer dendrite (RP_b) and SP determined in the simplified single-conductance model of Fig. 8. Relative error determined with respect to exact analytical values given by eqs (27) and (34) in Methods section. (A) At low total pheromone-dependent conductance $G_p = 0.01 \text{ nS}$. (B) At high conductance $G_p = 5 \text{ nS}$. The relative error increases with the amplitude of G_p and decreases with *N*. At high conductance $G_p = 5 \text{ nS}$, it is greater than 22% for RP_b with one compartment and becomes less than 1% for both RP_b and *SP* with 40 compartments. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0017422.q010



Figure 11. Steady state and kinetics of RP in the single-conductance model. (A) Steady-state RP along the outer dendrite for various values of the total pheromone-dependent conductance G_p . Comparison of simulated values for N = 40 compartments (solid lines) with analytical results given by eqs. (27) to (33) (dashed lines). (B) Kinetics of the transient state RP(t) close to tip of outer dendrite ($X = 5.5 \mu m$, tip is taken as X = 0) in response to step pulses of conductance G_p of various strengths. Comparison of simulated values with N = 40 compartments (solid lines) with analytical results (dashed lines) given by eqs. (38) to (41) based on an approximation correct only for small RP values. (C) Same at mid-length ($X = 100 \mu m$). (D) Same at the base ($X = 220 \mu m$). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0017422.q011

high concentrations. (iv) The Ca^{2+} extrusion mechanism depends on potential which supports the hypothesis of a Na⁺-Ca²⁺ exchange (NCX). However, three assumptions in the model – the involvement of G-proteins, the depolarizing role of the Cl⁻ current and the repolarizing role of the K⁺ current – are still uncertain and deserve specific discussions.

First, in recent years the requirement for G-proteins in ORNs has been much debated (reviewed in [18,19,20,21]). Two studies in *Drosophila* found that a ubiquitously expressed coreceptor, OR83b, alone or coupled to ORs, form a ligand-gated ion channel, but one of these studies conclude that G-proteins are

involved in olfactory responses [34] whereas the other report claims that they play a negligible role [33]. Although some studies support the involvement of G-proteins [58,59], recent results, also in *Drosophila*, using single-sensillum recordings, do not confirm this involvement in ORNs [35]. Whether the same conclusion holds true for moth pheromonal ORNs is not known, although some evidence exists for the involvement of Gq proteins and PLC in this cascade [14,60]. However, as far as the quantitative aspects studied in this paper are concerned, whether the pathway is metabotropic (via G-proteins) or ionotropic (without G-proteins) is not essential for two reasons. First, in both cases ORs trigger Ca²⁺-



Figure 12. Conductance-response characteristic of RP and SP in the simplified multicompartmental model. Characteristics along the outer dendrite (RP_1 , RP_{20} and RP_{40}), at soma (RP_s) and SP in response to 2-s square pulses of conductance G_p . (A) Heights. (B) Relative heights. (C) Half-rising times. (D) Half-falling times. The vertical dotted lines indicate the range of G_p from 6.4×10^{-2} to 4 nS corresponding to the pheromone uptake rang from $10^{-4.75}$ to $10^{1.5} \mu$ M/s. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0017422.g012

permeable cationic channels, either indirectly (via G-proteins and PLC effectors) or directly. Second, in the present model, although based on the metabotropic pathway, the amplification from OR to PLC effectors accounts for only 3.5% of the total amplification (Fig. 7; [49]). This means that removing the metabotropic processes (G-proteins, PLC and second messengers) from the model, i.e. replacing the original metabotropic model by a ionotropic model would not alter much the quantitative results obtained, provided the cationic current keeps the same transient kinetics in both models.

Second, it is not known whether the Ca^{2+} and voltagedependent K^+ current is located in the outer or inner dendrite. If it is in the outer dendrite, it cannot be repolarizing because the equilibrium potential of K^+ ions there is close to 0 mV. If it is in the inner dendrite, its modulation by Ca^{2+} cannot be direct because of the slow diffusion of this ion. The simplified model is informative here because it shows that leak currents are sufficient to repolarize the ORN, so that the K^+ current is not needed for this function.

Third, it remains uncertain whether the Ca²⁺-dependent Cl⁻ current is depolarizing, as in vertebrates, or repolarizing because the equilibrium potential of Cl⁻ ($E_{\rm Cl}$) is unknown. In a recent experimental study, we showed that reducing the extracellular Ca²⁺ [39] or Cl⁻ concentration [36] does not significantly modify the SP amplitude but slows down its return to the resting level. Although this effect is consistent with a repolarizing function, it does not fully exclude the possibility that the decrease in extracellular Cl⁻ concentration increases the depolarizing current and so results in the observed lengthening of the response. The uncertainty can be settled by measuring the Cl⁻ concentration in the sensillar lymph or blocking the Cl⁻ channel. The consequences of a repolarizing Cl⁻ current would be a more efficient repolarization than with leak currents alone. However, the longlasting Cl⁻ of the model would have to be replaced with another depolarizing current with the same long-lasting kinetics. Then, in order to account for the measured properties of the SP, the sum of all depolarizing currents, especially of the transient cationic current and of this new depolarizing current, should remain the same as the lumped receptor current predicted by the simplified model with a single pheromone-dependent conductance. If the mechanism is ionotropic and a single depolarizing cationic current exists, it would have to behave differently from ionotropic synaptic receptors that usually close immediately after the neurotransmitter removal; this suggests that the insect ORs might stay active after the ligand has been removed [61].

In summary, although quantitative modeling based principally on SP measurements of the kind considered here cannot distinguish between the ionotropic and metabotropic pathways and between the depolarizing and repolarizing functions of the Cl^- current, it provides precise information for example on the relative strength of the RP and the SP, or on the kinetics and intensities of the currents. These results, at least in principle, give



Figure 13. Effects of geometric parameters on the steady-state RP at the base of the outer dendrite. RP_b shown in response to different values of the pheromone-dependent conductivity σ_p (in μ Scm⁻²). (A) Length of outer dendrite L_d . (B) Diameter of outer dendrite D_i . (C) Diameter of hair lumen D_e . (D) Area of inner dendrite and soma S_s . (E) Area of apical membrane of auxiliary cells S_{api} . (F) Area of basal membrane of auxiliary cells S_{bas} . The vertical dotted lines indicate the biologically realistic parameter values given in Tables 1 and 2. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0017422.g013

the possibility to differentiate between the different hypotheses. A good example is provided by the DAG-gated cationic current. It follows from the previous discussion that its kinetics is a key aspect to resolve the intricacies of the cascade. We have considered this current as transient because the production of IP_3 and therefore DAG, in moth pheromonal ORNs has been shown to be transient

in stop flow experiments [24]. If the main transduction pathway of this ORN were ionotropic as in the fruit fly, the production of IP_3 (and DAG) would not be part of the pathway and there would be no compelling reason to consider the cationic current as transient. Then, provided its intensity turns out to be large enough, this long-lasting cationic current could be identified with the lumped

current of the simplified model and so account quantitatively for the SP properties.

2. Molecular parameters

Although the present model is based on several qualitative assumptions at the molecular level, not all aspects of the model are dependent on these assumptions. A recurrent problem concerns the validity of estimating parameter values from experimental data using a single point model, or more generally of comparing values from models of different degrees of geometrical realism. It is standard in neuron modeling to use models with only a few compartments or even reduced to a single point (e.g. [62,63]). The olfactory sensillum is a good example for studying this problem because it is a small multi-cell organ with spatially extended neurons. Both aspects (several cells, long dendrite) significantly influence the experimentally measured variable, the transepithelial sensillar potential (SP).

We have compared two models of this system, both with a single ORN within the sensillum. The first model involved only 3 compartments, two for the ORN (outer dendrite and inner dendrite plus soma) and one for the auxiliary cells [18]. The second model, analyzed in the present paper, takes into account the spatial extension of the outer dendrite, which is divided in $\mathcal{N} = 40$ compartments, and keeps unmodified the two other compartments (inner dendrite plus soma and auxiliary cells). All molecular details were kept identical in both models, except that concentrations of proteins and diffusible modulators, currents and potentials were computed independently in each outer-dendritic compartment of the second model.

Table 3 shows that only 8 of the 38 molecular parameters were modified to adapt the model with a single outer-dendritic compartment to the model with forty outer-dendritic compartments. Of these 8 parameters, five (s_M , G_{MCa} , G_{Mcat} , G_{MCl} , G_{MK}) control the maximum amplitude of the ionic currents. In all cases this amplitude had to be reduced. Because all these parameters are given for the whole outer dendrite, this reduction comes in addition to the division by \mathcal{N} of the conductances for the whole outer-dendrite to get conductances in each compartment. The three other modified parameters were the Hill coefficients of the two main currents (n_{cat} , n_{Cl}) and the equilibrium potential of the NCX pump (E_x); they can be considered as secondary adjustments. The only current whose maximum amplitude was not modified was Ca²⁺ extrusion.

The practical consequence of this finding is encouraging. It means that the long and computer-demanding process of fitting parameter values to the experimental data can be done in two steps. In a first step, a preliminary solution can be obtained with the simplified single compartment model. In a second step, this solution can be refined by modifying essentially the maximum conductances of the multi-compartmental model while keeping all other parameter values unchanged.

The rising and falling times of RP and SP are practically the same at all pheromone stimulations. A divergence appears only at weak stimulations (below $10^{-3} \mu M/s$) where the rising time of RP at soma is shorter than that of SP (Fig. 4C).

3. Cellular parameters

In previous work we studied analytically the steady-state properties of this system [52,53,64] or the transient state with restrictive assumptions [57]. Here we examined numerically both the steady and transient states without such assumptions and with realistic values of the parameters. For studying the global electrical properties, it is practical to replace the full molecular model with a simplified equivalent model. At the outer dendrite, the whole set of pheromone-dependent conductances (g_{Ca} , g_{cat} , g_{Cl} , g_x) shown in Fig. 3 was replaced with a single conductance g_{pj} in each compartment, while keeping the leak conductance g_{ld} (Fig. 8). The lumped pheromone-dependent conductance requires the choice of the equilibrium potential of the permeating ions; we took $E_p = 0$, in agreement with patch clamp observations of the reversal potential of the receptor current [26] and the fact that this current is mostly carried by cations ($E_{\text{cat}} = 0$) and chloride ions ($E_{\text{Cl}} =$ -11.5 mV in our model). At the inner dendrite and soma, the pheromone-dependent conductance G_{K} was removed while keeping the leak conductance G_{Is} .

The resulting simplified model accounts as well as the detailed model for the experimental SP measurements. A negative consequence of this fact is, as noted above, that SP measurements alone cannot help to decide the molecular and ionic mechanisms active in the system. In particular, the simplified model shows that the leak conductances (g_{ld} and g_{ls}) could be sufficient to repolarize the neuron after a pheromone stimulation. So, the role of Cl⁻ and K⁺ currents must be resolved by other experiments. A positive consequence, illustrated below, is that many significant properties of the pheromone-sensitive ORN and sensillum can be studied independently of these underlying mechanisms.

The outer dendrite is relatively compact: its total (cut) length (220 μ m, Table 1) is about $\frac{1}{2}$ space constant ($\lambda = 450 \ \mu$ m). For pheromone uptakes U between $10^{-4.5}$ and 1 μ M/s, the logarithm of the height of the pheromone-dependent conductance g_{pj} is the same whatever the location of compartment j along the dendrite and depends linearly on the logarithm of U (Fig. 9A). The maximum overall conductance $G_{\rm p} \approx Ng_{\rm p}$ at $10^{1.5} \,\mu {\rm M/s}$ (4 nS) is about nine-fold the resting membrane conductance (0.44 nS). On the same range of uptakes, the RP at soma varies between 0 and 28 mV. When directly stimulated with square pulses of pheromone-dependent conductance G_p , the maximal half-rise and halffall times are practically the same for RP and SP (2.5 ms, Fig.12C, D) and less than one-third the membrane time constant (7.5 ms). These transient times are much longer with pheromone stimulation, the half-rise times of RP and SP being in the range 0.05 to 0.4 s (Fig. 5C) and the half-fall times in the range 2.5 to 25 s (Fig. 5D), that is 20 to 160 and 860 to 8600 times longer respectively. Clearly the transient times of RP and SP can only be explained by molecular mechanisms, not by the electrical conductance-to-RP conversion mechanisms. Previous simulations reported in Gu et al. [18] showed that most of the rising (66-92% depending on uptakes) and falling times (82-90%) result from the extracellular translocation and deactivation of pheromone molecules. We determined these percentages by stimulating directly the modeled cascade with square pulses of activated receptor R* instead of square pulses of pheromone, so removing the time taken by the perireception and reception processes.

With the assumption $E_{\rm p} = 0$, we showed analytically [53] and confirmed numerically here, that the ratio of the tip-recorded SP to the RP at the base of the outer dendrite is independent of the values of the batteries and depends only on the ratio of the resistances per unit length of the sensillum lymph and the intradendritic medium $r_{\rm e}/(r_{\rm e} + r_{\rm i})$, the ratio *a* of the auxiliary-cell resistance to the total resistance of the nonsensory part $R_{\rm a}/(R_{\rm ls} + R_{\rm a})$, and the length $L_{\rm d}$ of the dendrite. With the present parameter values the ratios of the amplitudes $SP/RP_{\rm b}$ and $SP/RP_{\rm s}$ are about one third and one half respectively (Fig. 12A; in the complete model these ratios are 0.5 and 1.0 respectively, see Fig. 5A). This ratio remains the same whatever the stimulation (Fig. 12B), so at steady state SP is proportional to RP. This conclusion remains true in the complete model (Fig. 6), i.e. whatever the depolarizing currents (with a single pheromone-dependent conductance or not) and repolarizing currents (with only leak currents or not). It is in good agreement with the experimental observation that SP is proportional to RP [65].

4. Insect sensilla in an engineering perspective

In insects, olfactory sensilla come in very different shapes and sizes, both in different species and in the same species. For example, the flat sensilla placodea of Hymenoptera contrast with the hair-like sensilla of Lepidopera. Also, in Lepidoptera, the long sensilla trichodea housing pheromone-sensitive ORNs contrast with the short sensilla basiconica housing ORNs sensitive to allelochemicals (plant and other non-pheromonal odors). These variations affect primarily the geometrical characteristics of the sensillum listed in Table 1 like the length L_d , and diameters D_i , D_e characterizing the outer dendrite and hair lumen. If the shape of the various sensillum components is not simple, for example if the outer dendrite and surrounding cuticle is not cylindrical, membrane areas (S_d, S_s) and compartment volumes (V_d, V_e) may be more appropriate descriptors. For simplifying this discussion we will consider only variations in size.

Most physiologically-relevant properties of sensilla, i.e. those influencing their coding properties, ultimately depend on their internal geometry. The reason is that the geometry is the main way to modify the electrical properties. For example, increasing the diameters of the outer dendrite and hair lumen (hence the volumes) decreases the resistances per unit length (r_d, r_e, r_i) . Similarly, increasing membrane areas $(S_d, S_s, S_{api} \text{ and } S_{bas})$ increases their capacitances (C_d, C_s, C_a) but decreases their resistances (R_{ld}, R_{ls}, R_{a}) as shown by the relationships given in the right column of Table 2. Although the final physiological effects depend in a complex way on these changes, they can be summarized briefly: increasing the area of the outer dendrite or the diameter of the hair lumen increases RP whereas increasing the areas of the other parts (inner dendrite and soma, apical and basolateral membranes of auxiliary cells) has the reverse effect (Fig. 13). It is also noteworthy that for the actual values of $L_{\rm d}$, $D_{\rm e}$, $S_{\rm api}$ and $S_{\rm bas}$ observed in Antheraea, the horizontal asymptotic part of the RP curves is reached (or almost reached), so that they could be decreased without significantly decreasing the RP. This is not the case for D_i and S_s : if the outer-dendrite diameter was greater or the inner dendrite and soma were smaller, RP would be greater. Although these effects on RP amplitude may contribute to explain the long hairs and relatively compact internal parts of the moth pheromone sensillum, the fact that the geometric parameters D_i and S_s are not optimum (i.e. close to the value yielding the asymptotic RP) means that other constraints mould the shape and size of the sensillum. Although the reason why the area $S_{\rm s}$ of the inner dendrite and soma is suboptimal is unclear, one can speculate that the diameter $D_{\rm i}$ of the outer dendrite is limited by the metabolic cost of the transduction machinery that would result from a larger membrane area.

Methods

1. Equations of the multicompartmental and multichannel sensillum model

As schematized in Fig. 2, each outer-dendritic compartment includes a circuit representing a cylinder segment of membrane, with six parallel branches: the capacitive current (membrane capacitance $C_{\rm d}$), the leak current (conductance $g_{\rm ldc}$ and battery $E_{\rm ld}$, the subscript 'd' stands for 'dendrite' and 'c' for 'compartmental') and the four pheromone-dependent currents (conductances g_v and batteries E_v described below). The inner dendrite

and soma compartment includes a three-branch circuit with capacitive current (membrane capacitance C_s), leak current (conductance G_{ls} and battery E_{ls} , the subscript 's' stands for 'soma') and Ca2+- and voltage-dependent potassium current (conductance $G_{\rm K}$ and battery $E_{\rm K}$). The three auxiliary cells are lumped in a circuit composed of two branches with a capacitive current (membrane capacitance C_a) and a leak current (conductance G_a and battery E_a , the subscript 'a' stands for 'auxiliary'). The sensillar lymph which bathes the outer dendrite is described by a series conductance g_{ec} (subscript 'e' stands for 'extracellular'). The intracellular medium (subscript 'i') is also described by a series conductance g_{ic} . Pheromone-dependent conductances $g_{i}(t)$ and $G_{\mathbf{K}}(t)$, current intensities I(t) and membrane potentials V(t)depend on time. For simplifying the notations, variable t is omitted wherever possible.

1.1. Equations for currents. In each compartment of the outer dendrite, five types of ionic channels are taken into account. The IP₃-gated Ca²⁺ current I_{Ca} , DAG-gated cationic current I_{cat} , intracellular Ca^{2+} -gated chloride current I_{Cl} and Na^+/Ca^{2+} exchange (NCX) current I_x at the *i*th compartment of the outer dendrite are described by

$$I_{yj} = g_y (V_{edj} - V_{idj} + E_y)$$
(1)

where subscript 'y' represents Ca2+, cationic, chloride and NCX currents respectively, V_{edi} is the extracellular potential (in sensillum lymph) and V_{idj} is the intracellular potential (within the outer dendrite). In a uniformly stimulated membrane, conductance g_v is a constant, independent of compartment *j*, described by

$$g_{y} = \frac{g_{My}}{1 + (K_{y}/Y)^{n_{y}}}$$
(2)

where g_{My} is the maximum ionic conductance of the channels for each compartment at the outer dendrite, Υ the concentration of the agonist Y of the channels (the agonists for $I_{\rm Ca}$, $I_{\rm cat}$, $I_{\rm Cl}$ and $I_{\rm x}$ are IP₃, DAG, Ca²⁺ and Ca²⁺ respectively), K_y the concentration of Y producing their half-maximal conductance (EC_{50}) . Eq. (2) is basically the same as eq. (2) in Gu *et al.* [18] except for $g_{My} = G_{Mj}$ $\mathcal N$ since the dendrite in the present model is divided into $\mathcal N$ compartments. Here, we suppose that these channels are uniformly distributed at the outer dendrite. For channels modulated by an antagonist Z (Ca²⁺-calmodulin for Ca²⁺ and cationic channels, and protein kinase C for Cl⁻ channels), K_v is given by

$$K_{\rm y} = K_{\rm my} \left(1 + \frac{i_{\rm My} - 1}{1 + (K_{\rm iy}/Z)^{n_{\rm iy}}} \right)$$
(3)

where K_{my} is the EC₅₀ in absence of Z (then $K_y = K_{my}$ is at its lowest value), K_{iv} is the concentration of Z producing half-maximal inhibition (IC₅₀) and i_{My} is maximum inhibition at high concentration of Z (then $K_y = K_{my}i_{My}$ is at its highest value). The leak current at the jth compartment is described by

$$I_{\rm ldj} = g_{\rm ldc}(V_{\rm edj} - V_{\rm idj} + E_{\rm ld}) \ (j = 1, 2..., N) \tag{4}$$

where g_{ldc} is the constant leak conductance at each dendritic compartment (inverse of the membrane specific resistance at rest). Finally, the extracellular longitudinal currents are

$$I_{e0} = 0, I_{ej} = g_{ec}(V_{edj+1} - V_{edj}) (j=1, 2, ..., N-1)$$
 (5)

At the inner dendrite and soma compartment two types of ionic channel are considered, the K^+ current I_K (agonist Ca²⁺, no antagonist, voltage-dependent) and the leak current I_{ls} . Their intensity is given by

$$I_{\rm K} = G_{\rm K}(V_{\rm is} - E_{\rm K}) \tag{6}$$

$$I_{\rm ls} = G_{\rm ls}(V_{\rm is} - E_{\rm ls}),\tag{7}$$

where conductance $G_{\rm K}$ depends on the Ca²⁺ concentration (*Ca*) and the membrane potential (*V*) and conductance $G_{\rm ls}$ is constant. For $G_{\rm K}$, we used a modified version of eq. (2)

$$G_{\rm K} = \frac{G_{\rm MK}}{1 + (K_{\rm K}/Ca)^{n_{\rm K}} e^{-V_{is}/A_{\rm K}}}$$
(8)

where $G_{\rm MK}$, $K_{\rm K}$ and $A_{\rm K}$ are constants.

The intracellular longitudinal current $(I_{i,\mathcal{N}})$ flowing from the \mathcal{N}^{th} outer dendrite compartment to the inner dendrite and soma compartment and the extracellular longitudinal current $(I_{e,\mathcal{N}})$ flowing from the auxiliary cells to the \mathcal{N}^{th} outer dendrite compartment is

$$I_{iN} = g_{ic}(V_{idN} - V_{is}),$$
 (9)

$$I_{eN} = g_{ec}(V_{ea} - V_{edN}) \tag{10}$$

The leak current at the auxiliary cells is

$$I_{\rm a} = -G_{\rm a}(V_{\rm ea} + E_{\rm a}),\tag{11}$$

1.2. Differential equations for potentials. Differential equations for the potentials are derived from Kirchhoff's current law. The outer dendrite is divided in N isopotential compartments. In each compartment j, with j = 1, 2, ..., N, the internal (V_{idj}) and external (V_{edj}) potentials obey the following differential equations

$$\frac{dV_{idj}}{dt} = \frac{g_{ec}}{c_{dc}(g_{ec} + g_{ic})} (I_{ej-1} - I_{ej} + I_{Caj} + I_{catj} + I_{Clj} + I_{xj} + I_{ldj})$$

$$+ \frac{g_{ec}}{C_a(g_{ec} + g_{ic})} (I_a - I_{eN}) + \frac{g_{ic}}{C_s(g_{ec} + g_{ic})} (I_{iN} - I_{ls} - I_K)$$
(12)

$$\frac{dV_{edj}}{dt} = \frac{g_{ic}}{c_{dc}(g_{ec} + g_{ic})} (I_{ej} - I_{ej-1} - I_{Caj} - I_{catj} - I_{Clj} - I_{xj} - I_{ldj})$$

$$+ \frac{g_{ec}}{C_a(g_{ec} + g_{ic})} (I_a - I_{eN}) + \frac{g_{ic}}{C_s(g_{ec} + g_{ic})} (I_{iN} - I_{ls} - I_K)$$
(13)

At the inner dendrite and soma:

$$\frac{dV_{\rm is}}{dt} = \frac{1}{C_{\rm s}} (I_{\rm iN} - I_{\rm ls} - I_{\rm K}) \tag{14}$$

At the auxiliary cells

$$\frac{dV_{\rm ea}}{dt} = \frac{1}{C_{\rm a}} (I_{\rm a} - I_{\rm eN}) \tag{15}$$

This system of differential equations was integrated numerically with the Matlab ode45 solver (The Mathworks, Natick, USA).

1.3. Receptor potential and sensillar potential. With pheromone stimulation starting at time 0, RP at time t at any point along the neuron is equal to the difference of intracellular potentials during stimulation (time t) and at rest (time 0). So, RP at the *j*th compartment of the dendrite at time t is

$$RP_{i}(t) = \Delta V_{i}(t) - \Delta V_{i}(0), \qquad (16)$$

where $\Delta V_j(t) = V_{idj}(t) - V_{edj}(t)$ is the transmembrane potential of segment *j* at time *t*. Similarly, RP at the base of the outer dendrite at time *t* is

$$RP_{\rm b}(t) = \Delta V_{\rm b}(t) - \Delta V_{\rm b}(0), \qquad (17)$$

where $\Delta V_{\rm b}(t) = V_{\rm is}(t) - V_{\rm ea}(t)$ is the transmembrane potential at the base of the outer dendrite at time *t*. Finally, RP at the ORN inner dendrite and soma is

$$RP_{\rm s}(t) = V_{\rm is}(t) - V_{\rm is}(0).$$
(18)

The tip-recorded SP is the difference between the external potential of the first compartment during stimulation at time t and at rest at time 0:

$$SP(t) = V_{ed1}(t) - V_{ed1}(0).$$
 (19)

2. Equations of the simplified sensillar model with single pheromone-dependent channel

The total transmembrane pheromone-dependent current flowing through the Ca²⁺, cationic, Cl⁻ and NCX channels in the *j*th compartment of the outer dendrite can be replaced by a single equivalent current $I_{pj} = I_{Caj} + I_{catj} + I_{Clj} + I_{xj}$, where the subscript 'p' stands for pheromone-dependent. The corresponding circuit branch involves a lumped battery E_p (the same in all compartments) and a lumped conductance g_{pj} replacing the ionic conductances g_{Ca} , g_{cat} , g_{Cl} and g_x . All equations are the same as above except those that involve the pheromone-dependent conductances. From eq. (1) the equivalent current can be written

$$I_{\rm pj} = g_{\rm pj}(V_{\rm edj} - V_{\rm idj} + E_{\rm p}) \ (j = 1, 2 \cdots N). \tag{20}$$

Therefore, the equivalent conductance is

$$g_{\rm pj} = \frac{I_{\rm Caj} + I_{\rm catj} + I_{\rm Clj} + I_{\rm xj}}{V_{\rm edj} - V_{\rm idj} + E_{\rm P}}$$
(21)

Note that g_{pj} depends on compartment j, unlike g_{Ca} , g_{cat} , g_{Cl} and g_{x} .

The differential equations (12) for the intracellular potential and (13) for the external potential (in the sensillum lymph) become, with N the number of compartments and j = 1, 2, ..., N,

$$\frac{dV_{idj}}{dt} = \frac{g_{ec}}{c_{dc}(g_{ec} + g_{ic})} (I_{ej-1} - I_{ej} + I_{ldj} + I_{pj}) + \frac{g_{ec}}{C_a(g_{ec} + g_{ic})} (I_a - I_{eN}) + \frac{g_{ic}}{C_s(g_{ec} + g_{ic})} (I_{iN} - I_{ls})$$
(22)

$$\frac{dV_{edj}}{dt} = \frac{g_{ic}}{c_{dc}(g_{ec} + g_{ic})} (I_{ej} - I_{ej-1} - I_{ldj} - I_{pj}) + \frac{g_{ec}}{C_a(g_{ec} + g_{ic})} (I_a - I_{eN}) + \frac{g_{ic}}{C_s(g_{ec} + g_{ic})} (I_{iN} - I_{ls})$$
(23)

3. Equations for the steady state based on the cable equation

In this section the outer dendrite is described by a cable [12,52,53]. This model is equivalent to the multicompartmental model considered in the previous section with an infinite number of cylindrical compartments. Axial symmetry is assumed and we only consider one space variable, the distance X along the cylinder, taking the tip of the outer dendrite as origin (X = 0). To have simpler equations, distance X and length $L_{\rm cl}$ of outer dendrite are expressed in membrane space constants, $x = X/\lambda$ and $l_{\rm cl} = L_{\rm cl}/\lambda$. Notations are the same as those used in the compartmental description, except for the "per compartment" parameters ($c_{\rm dc}$, $g_{\rm ec}$, $g_{\rm ic}$, $g_{\rm ic}$, $g_{\rm idc}$) that are now replaced with corresponding "per unit

length" parameters, denoted without the subscript 'c': c_d , g_e , g_i , g_{ld} (and the corresponding resistances r_e , r_i , r_{ld}).

3.1. Steady-state receptor potential at the base of the outer dendrite. From eq. (17) the steady-state receptor potential $RP_{\rm b}$ at $x = l_{\rm d}$ is

$$RP_{\rm b} = \Delta V(l_{\rm d}, t_{\rm st}) - \Delta V(l_{\rm d}, 0).$$
⁽²⁴⁾

where $\Delta V(l_{d}, t_{st}) = V_i(l_d, t_{st}) - V_e(l_d, t_{st})$ and $\Delta V(l_d, 0)$ are the transmembrane potentials at the base of the outer dendrite at steady state (at any time t_{st} when steady-state is established) and at rest (at time 0) respectively. These potentials are given by eqs. (6) and (8) in Vermeulen and Rospars [53]. With the present notations they can be written

$$\Delta V(l_{\rm d}, t_{\rm st}) = \frac{1}{1+g} \left(E_{\rm ld} + gE_{\rm ds} - \frac{E_{\rm ld} + gE_{\rm ds} - (1+g)(E_{\rm ls} + E_{\rm a})}{\sqrt{1+g}r_{\rm in}\tanh\left(\sqrt{1+g}l_{\rm d}\right) + 1} \right) (25)$$

$$\Delta V(l_{\rm d},0) = E_{\rm ld} - \frac{E_{\rm ld} - (E_{\rm ls} + E_{\rm a})}{r_{\rm in} \tanh(l_{\rm d}) + 1} = E_{\rm ld}$$
(26)

where r_{in} (dimensionless ratio of resistances) is given in Table 2 and g (dimensionless pheromone-dependent conductance) is given in Table 4.

3.2. Steady-state receptor potential along the outer dendrite. From eqs. (16) – (18) the receptor potential at distance x (expressed in membrane space constant) from the tip of the outer dendrite $RP(x, t_{st})$, with $0 \le x \le l_d$, is

$$RP(x,t_{\rm st}) = \Delta V(x,t_{\rm st}) - \Delta V(x,0).$$
⁽²⁷⁾

Reference or explanation Variables Symbol Unit Pheromone $L_{\rm air} = U/k_{\rm i}$ with $k_{\rm i} \approx 10^4 \, {\rm s}^{-1}$ [47] Concentration in air μΜ Lai Uptake in sensillum U μM/s [45] R* Complete model Concentration of activated pheromone receptor μΜ [49] Concentration of activated effector enzyme (PLC) F* μΜ [49] Conductance of channel y b nS Eq. (2) $g_{\rm y}$ Current borne by channel y ^b in compartment jpА Eq. (1), [18] l_{yj} Transmembrane potential Eqs. (16)-(17) ΔV_i mV Receptor potential RPi m٧ Eq. (16), Figs. 4C, 5 Simplified model Total receptor current in compartment j I_{Di} pА Eq. (20) Eq. (21), Figs. 8 and 9 Pheromone-dependent conductance in compartment i nS g_{pj} Pheromone-dep. conductance of whole outer dendrite nS $G_{\rm p}$ $\sum_{j} g_{pj} \approx Ng_{p1}$ $\rm Scm^{-2}$ Pheromone-dependent conductivity $\sigma_{\rm p} = G_{\rm p}/\pi L_{\rm d} D_{\rm i}$ σ_{p} $g_{\rm p} = \sigma_{\rm p} \pi D_{\rm i}$ Pheromone-dependent conductance per unit length Scm $g_{\rm p}$ Dimensionless pheromone-dependent conductance $g = r_{\rm ld}g_{\rm p}$ or $g = \rho_{\rm ld} \sigma_{\rm p}$ g $g_{\rm ld}$ Transmembrane potential $\Delta V(x)$ Eqs. (24) - (27) m٧ Receptor potential RP(x)Fig. 11 m٧ Both models Sensillar potential SP m٧ Figs. 4B, 5, 10, 12

Table 4. Main time-dependent variables from stimulus to responses.^a

^aAll variables listed depend on pheromone concentration L_{air} and therefore on time t. L_{air} is assumed to be applied uniformly over the sensillum hair. Variables U, R^*, g_y are independent of the location (compartment *j* or abscissa *x*) along the outer dendrite but not variables *I*, ΔV and *RP*. ^bSubscript 'y' refers to Ca²⁺, cationic, Cl⁻ or K⁺ channels or to Na⁺-Ca²⁺ exchanger.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0017422.t004

where $\Delta V(x, t_{st})$ and $\Delta V(x, \theta)$ are the transmembrane potentials at steady state and at rest respectively. The intracellular membrane potentials and the extracellular potentials are given by eqs. (11) and (12) respectively in Vermeulen and Rospars [53]. With the present notations they can be written as

$$\Delta V(x,t_{st}) = \left(\cosh\left((l_d - x)\sqrt{1+g}\right) - \tanh\left(l_d\sqrt{1+g}\right)\sinh\left((l_d - x)\sqrt{1+g}\right)\right)$$

$$\left(\cosh\left((l_d - x)\sqrt{1+g}\right) - E_{ld} + gE_{ds}\right) - E_{ld} + gE_{ds}$$
(20)

$$\times \left(\Delta V(l_{\rm d}, t_{\rm st}) - \frac{E_{\rm ld} + gE_{\rm ds}}{1+g}\right) + \frac{E_{\rm ld} + gE_{\rm ds}}{1+g} \tag{28}$$

$$V_{\rm e}(x,t_{\rm st}) = \frac{r_{\rm e}}{r_{\rm e}+r_{\rm i}} \left(\Delta V(l_{\rm d},t_{\rm st}) - \Delta V(x,t_{\rm st})\right)$$
(29)

These potentials at resting state can be obtained by taking g = 0:

$$\Delta V(x,0) = \left(\cosh\left(l_{\rm d} - x\right) - \tanh\left(l_{\rm d}\right)\sinh\left(l_{\rm d} - x\right)\right) \tag{30}$$

$$\times (\Delta V(l_{\rm d},0) - E_{\rm ld}) + E_{\rm ld}$$

$$V_{\rm e}(x,0) = \frac{r_{\rm e}}{r_{\rm e} + r_{\rm i}} \left(\Delta V(l_{\rm d},0) - \Delta V(x,0) \right)$$
(31)

$$V_{\rm i}(x,t_{\rm st}) = \Delta V(x,t_{\rm st}) + V_{\rm e}(x,t_{\rm st})$$
(32)

$$V_{i}(x,0) = \Delta V(x,0) + V_{e}(x,0)$$
(33)

where $\Delta V(l_d, t_{st})$ and $\Delta V(l_d, 0)$ are given by Eqs. (25) and (26).

3.3. Sensillar potential at steady state. From eq. (19) the tip-recorded sensillar potential at steady state is

$$SP(0,t_{\rm st}) = V_{\rm e}(0,t_{\rm st}) - V_{\rm e}(0,0)$$
(34)

where $V_{\rm e}(0,t_{\rm st})$ and $V_{\rm e}(0,0)$ are the potentials recorded at the tip of the hair at steady state (corresponding to time $t_{\rm st}$) and at rest (corresponding to time 0) respectively. These membrane potentials are given by eqs. (16) and (17) in [53]. With the present notations they can be written as

$$V_{\rm e}(0,t_{\rm st}) = V_{\rm ea}(t_{\rm st}) + \frac{r_{\rm e}}{r_{\rm e} + r_{\rm i}} \left(\Delta V(l_{\rm d},t_{\rm st}) - \frac{gE_{\rm ds} + E_{\rm ld}}{1+g} \right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{\cosh\left(\sqrt{1+g}l_{\rm d}\right)} \right)^{(35)}$$

with
$$V_{ea}(t_{st}) = V_e(l_d, t_{st}) =$$

$$-E_a - \frac{E_{ld} + gE_{ds} - (1+g)(E_{ls} + E_a)}{(1+g)r_{in} + \sqrt{1+g}\coth(\sqrt{1+g}l_d)}ar_{in}$$
(36)

$$V_{\rm e}(0,0) = V_{\rm ea}(0) = -E_{\rm a} \tag{37}$$

where g, $l_{\rm d}$ are defined above. Parameters $r_{\rm c}$, $r_{\rm i}$, a, $r_{\rm in}$ are given in Table 2. $E_{\rm A}$, $E_{\rm rN}$, $E_{\rm rS}$ and $E_{\rm S}$ in eqs. (5) – (8), (11), (12), (16) and (17) of [53] correspond to $-E_{\rm a}$, $-E_{\rm ls}$, $-E_{\rm ld}$ and $E_{\rm p}$ respectively, in the present paper.

4. Equation for the transient state

Tuckwell et al. [57] analyzed the depolarization V(X, t) at any point X and time t along a cable of length L with both ends sealed representing the ORN. The outer dendrite containing the receptor-potential generating mechanisms extends from X = 0to $X = L_{\rm cl}$, with $L_{\rm cl} < L$. Again, to simplify equations, distance X and lengths $(L_{\rm cl}, L)$ are measured in membrane space constants $(x = X/\lambda, l_{\rm cl} = L_{\rm cl}/\lambda, L' = L/\lambda)$ and time t in time constants $(t' = t/\tau)$. For a uniform change of conductance \bar{g} (measured in membrane conductance at rest) along the outer dendrite, starting at time t' = 0 and obeying function $g(t') = \bar{g}(1 - e^{-\nu t'})$, the depolarization V(x,t') could be obtained analytically only in the special case $V << E_{\rm p}$, where $E_{\rm p}$ is the reversal potential of the permeating ions, as

$$V(x,t') = U(x,t') - W(x,t'),$$
(38)

where

$$U(x,t') = \frac{\bar{g}E}{L} \left(l_{\rm d}(1-e^{-t'}) + \frac{2L}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n \right), \tag{39}$$

with
$$u_n = \frac{1}{nk_n} \cos\left(\frac{n\pi x}{L}\right) \sin\left(\frac{n\pi l_d}{L}\right) (1 - e^{-k_n t'})$$
 and $k_n = 1 + n^2 \pi^2 / L^2$,

and
$$W(x,t') = \frac{\bar{g}E}{L} \left(\frac{l_{\rm d}}{1-v} (e^{-vt'} - e^{-t'}) + \frac{2L}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n \right),$$
 (40)

with
$$w_n = \frac{1}{n(k_n - v)} \cos\left(\frac{n\pi x}{L}\right) \sin\left(\frac{n\pi l_d}{L}\right) \left(e^{-vt'} - e^{-k_n t'}\right).$$

In the case of a step function $g(t') = \bar{g}$, the solution simplifies to

$$V(x,t') = U(x,t') \tag{41}$$

5. Parameter estimation

The unknown parameters of the multicompartmental and multichannel sensillum model were estimated as explained previously [18]. First, we imposed that each parameter be in a physiologically acceptable range of values compatible with the properties of our qualitative model of transduction. Second, we considered a parameter set θ as acceptable if the predicted kinetics of SP were close to the experimentally measured kinetics at all uptakes. For checking this condition, we minimized a cost function based on the three response characteristics, height (H_i) , rising time $(\tau_{\text{rise},i})$ and falling time $(\tau_{\text{fall},i})$ at a series of uptakes *i*. The differences, $\Delta H_i = |H_i - \hat{H}_i|$, between the values \hat{H}_i predicted by the model for a given set θ and the experimental values H_i , were determined. The differences varying on different scales, the differences were weighted and summed to produce a single cost function

$$E(\theta) = \frac{1}{3n} \sum_{i}^{n} (1.5 \varDelta H_i + 70 \varDelta \tau_{\text{rise},i} + 5 \varDelta \tau_{\text{fall},i}), \qquad (42)$$

where n = 26 is the number of uptakes. Third, a solution was finally accepted only if it was in qualitative accordance with other available experimental facts (transient kinetics of DAG- and IP₃-gated currents,

. PLoS ONE | www.plosone.org

sustained Cl⁻ and K⁺ currents, intracellular Ca²⁺ concentration below 200 μ M). In the present work, no global exploration of the parameter space by trial-and-error was needed because all parameter values were kept the same as in [18], except for 7 parameters. The solutions were locally optimized utilizing the Matlab unconstrained minimizer *fininsearch* based on the Nelder-Mead simplex method. The algorithm converged on the set of estimated parameters θ_0 shown in Table 3.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Electrical parameters influencing the height of RP at soma and SP. (A) Transepithelial potential E_a at auxiliary cells. (B) Leak battery at soma E_{ls} . (C, D) Leak conductance G_{ls} at the inner dendrite and soma. (E) Conductance G_a at auxiliary cells. (F) Capacitance C_a at auxiliary cells. Effects shown at low (0.1 nS), intermediate (1 nS) and high (10 nS) pheromone-dependent conductance G_p . The vertical dotted lines indicate the biologically realistic parameter values given in Tables 1 and 2. (DOC)

Figure S2 Electrical parameters influencing the rising and falling times of RP and SP. Rising times (left column) and falling times (right column) of RP at soma (blue lines) and SP (red lines). (A, B) Conductance G_a at auxiliary cells. (C, D) Capacitance C_a at auxiliary cells. (E, F) Capacitance C_d at outer dendrite. Effect shown at low (0.1 nS), intermediate (1 nS) and high (10 nS) pheromone-dependent conductance G_p . G_ls and C_s have weak influence on the rising and falling time, E_a and E_ls have no influence on the transient process. The vertical dotted lines indicate the biologically realistic parameter values given in Tables 1 and 2. (DOC)

References

- de Bruyne M, Baker TC (2008) Odor detection in insects: volatile codes. Journal of Chemical Ecology 34: 882–897.
- Karlson P, Luscher M (1959) Pheromones: a new term for a class of biologically active substances. Nature 183: 55–56.
- 3. Pain J (1961) Sur la phéromone des reines d'abeilles et ses effets physiologiques. Ann Abeille 4: 1-162.
- Fabre J-H (1879) Souvenirs entomologiques: étude sur l'instinct et les mœurs des insectes. 1989 ed. Paris: Robert Laffont.
- Schneider D, Kasang G, Kaissling K-E (1968) Bestimmung der Riechschwelle von Bombyx mori mit Tritium-markiertem Bombykol. Naturwissenschaften 55: 395.
- Kaissling K-E (2004) Physiology of pheromone reception in insects (an example of moths). ANIR 6: 73–91.
- Jacquin-Joly E, Lucas P (2005) Pheromone reception and transduction: mammals and insects illustrate converging mechanisms across phyla. Current Topics Neurochem 4: 75–105.
- Rospars J-P, Gu Y, Grémiaux A, Lucas P (2010) Odour transduction in olfactory receptor neurons. Chinese J Physiol 53: 364–372.
- Keil TA (1999) Morphology and development of the peripheral olfactory organs. In: Hansson BS, ed. Insect olfaction. Berlin: Springer. pp 5–47.
- Altner H, Prillinger L (1980) Ultrastructure of invertebrate chemo-, thermo-, and hygroreceptors and its functional significance. Int Rev Cytol 67: 68–139.
- Kaissling K-E, Sensory transduction in insect olfactory receptors (1974) In: Jaenicke L, ed. New York: Springer-Verlag. pp 243–271.
- Kaissling K-E (1971) Insect olfaction. In: Beidler LM, ed. Handbook of Sensory Physiology IV Olfaction. Berlin: Springer-Verlag. pp 351–431.
- Buck L, Axel R (1991) A novel multigene family may encode odorant receptors: a molecular basis for odor recognition. Cell 65: 175–187.
- Sakurai T, Nakagawa T, Mitsuno H, Mori H, Endo Y, et al. (2004) Identification and functional characterization of a sex pheromone receptor in the silkmoth *Bombyx mori*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 101: 16653–16658.
- Krieger J, Klink O, Mohl C, Raming K, Breer H (2003) A candidate olfactory receptor subtype highly conserved across different insect orders. J comp Physiol A 189: 519–526.
- Schild D, Restrepo D (1998) Transduction mechanisms in vertebrate olfactory receptor cells. Physiol Rev 78: 429–466.
- Kleene SJ (2008) The electrochemical basis of odor transduction in vertebrate olfactory cilia. Chem Senses 33: 839–859.
 Gu Y, Lucas P, Rospars J-P (2009) Computational model of the insect

pheromone transduction cascade. PLoS Comput Biol 53: e1000321.

PLoS ONE | www.plosone.org

amplification factor of RP at soma as a function

pheromone-dependent conductance $G_{\rm p}$. (A) Leak conductance at soma $G_{\rm ls}$. (B) Capacitance of auxiliary cell membranes $C_{\rm a}$. For all other electrical parameters the curves obtained are practically superimposed and correspond to the curves for $G_{\rm ls} =$ 1.5 nS and $C_{\rm a} = 3.5$ pF. The vertical dotted lines indicate the range of $G_{\rm p}$ from 6.4×10^{-2} to 4 nS corresponding to the pheromone uptake rang from $10^{-4.75}$ to $10^{1.5} \,\mu$ M/s. (DOC)

Figure S3 Electrical parameters influencing the ampli-

fication factor of RP at soma. (A) Equilibrium potential of

auxiliary cells E_a . (B) Equilibrium potential of leak current of soma

 E_{ls} . (C) Leak conductance of soma G_{ls} . (D) Leak conductance of

auxiliary cells $G_{a.}$ (E) Capacitance of soma $C_{s.}$ (F) Capacitance of

auxiliary cell $C_{\rm a}$. All parameters are influential except $C_{\rm d}$ (not

Figure S4 Electrical parameters influencing the relative

Acknowledgments

shown). (DOC)

The authors are grateful to Dr. P. Lucas for his comments on an earlier version of this manuscript and to Prof. K.-E. Kaissling for experimental data and helpful suggestions.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: YG JPR. Performed the experiments: YG. Analyzed the data: YG JPR. Contributed reagents/ materials/analysis tools: YG JPR. Wrote the paper: YG JPR.

- Silbering AF, Benton R (2010) Ionotropic and metabotropic mechanisms in chemoreception: 'chance or design'? EMBO Rep 11: 173–179.
- Stengl M (2010) Pheromone transduction in moths. Frontiers Cellular Neuroscience 4: 1–15.
- Nakagawa T, Vosshall LB (2009) Controversy and consensus: noncanonical signaling mechanisms in the insect olfactory system. Curr Opin Neurobiol 19: 284–292.
- Breer H, Boeckhoff I, Tareilus E (1990) Rapid kinetics of second messenger formation in olfactory transduction. Nature 345: 65–68.
- Chouquet B, Lucas P, Bozzolan F, Solvar M, Maïbèche-Coisné M, et al. (2010) Molecular characterization of a phospholipase Cβ potentially involved in moth olfactory transduction. Chem Senses 35: 363–373.
- Boekhoff I, Raming K, Breer H (1990) Pheromone-induced stimulation of inositol-triphosphate formation in insect antennae is mediated by G-proteins. J comp Physiol A 160: 99–103.
- Boekhoff I, Strotmann J, Raming K, Tareilus E, Breer H (1990) Odorantsensitive phospholipase C in insect antennae. Cell Signal 2: 49– 56.
- Zufall F, Hatt H (1991) Dual activation of a sex pheromone-dependent ion channel from insect olfactory dendrites by protein kinase C activators and cyclic GMP. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 88: 8520–8524.
- Kaissling K-E, Boekhoff I (1993) Transduction and intracellular messengers in pheromone receptor cell of the moth *Antheraea polyphemus*. In: Wiese K, Gribakin FG, Popov AV, Renninger G, eds. Berlin: Sensory systems of Arthropods: Birkhäuser, Verlag. pp 489–502.
- Boekhoff I, Seifert E, Goggerle S, Lindemann M, Kruger B-W, et al. (1993) Pheromone-induced second-messenger signaling in insect antennae. Insect Biochem Mol Biol 23: 757–762.
- Stengl M (1994) Inositol-triphosphate-dependent calcium currents precede cation currents in insect olfactory receptor neurons *in vitro*. J comp Physiol A 174: 187–194.
- Laue M, Steinbrecht RA (1997) Topochemistry of moth olfactory sensilla. Int J Insect Morphol Embryol 26: 217–228.
- Maida R, Redkozubov A, Ziegelberger G (2000) Identification of PLC beta and PKC in pheromone receptor neurons of *Antheraea polyphemus*. Neuroreport 11: 1773–1776.
- Pophof B, Van Der Goes Van Naters W (2002) Activation and inhibition of the transduction process in silkmoth olfactory receptor neurons. Chem Senses 27: 435–443.

- Sato K, Pellegrino M, Nakagawa T, Nakagawa T, Vosshall LB, et al. (2008) Insect olfactory receptors are heteromeric ligand-gated ion channels. Nature 452: 1002–1006.
- Wicher D, Schafer R, Bauernfeind R, Stensmyr MC, Heller R, et al. (2008) Drosophila odorant receptors are both ligand-gated and cyclic-nucleotideactivated cation channels. Nature 452: 1007–1011.
- Yao CA, Carlson JR (2010) Role of G-proteins in odor-sensing and CO₂-sensing neurons in *Drosophila*. J Neurosci 30: 4562–4572.
- Pézier A, Grauso M, Acquistapace A, Monsempes C, Rospars J-P, et al. (2010) Calcium activates a chloride conductance likely involved in olfactory receptor neuron repolarisation in the moth *Spodoptera littoralis*. J Neurosci 30: 6323–6333.
- Zufall F, Stengl M, Franke C, Hildebrand JG, Hatt H (1991) Ionic currents of cultured olfactory receptor neurons from antennae of male *Manduca sexta*. J Neurosci 11: 956–965.
- Lucas P, Shimahara T (2002) Voltage- and calcium-activated currents in cultured olfactory receptor neurons of male *Mamestra brassicae* (Lepidoptera). Chem Senses 27: 599–610.
- Pézier A, Acquistapace A, Renou M, Rospars J-P, Lucas P (2007) Ca²⁺ stabilizes the membrane potential of moth olfactory receptor neurons at rest and is essential for their fast repolarization. Chem Senses 32: 305–317.
- Thurm U, Küppers J (1980) Epithelial physiology of insect sensilla. In: Lovke M, Smith D, eds. Insect biology in the future. LondonNew York: Academic Press. pp 735–763.
- Kaissling K-E (2009) Olfactory perireceptor and receptor events in moths: a kinetic model revised. J comp Physiol A 195: 895–922.
- Futrelle RP (1984) How molecules get to their detectors. The physics of diffusion of insect pheromones. Trends Neurosci 7: 116–120.
- Kaissling K-E (1998) Flux detectors versus concentration detectors: two types of chemoreceptors. Chem Senses 23: 99–111.
- Kaissling K-E (1998) Pheromone deactivation catalyzed by receptor molecules: a quantitative kinetic model. Chem Senses 23: 385–395.
- Kaissling K-E (2001) Olfactory perireceptor and receptor events in moths: a kinetic model. Chem Senses 26: 125–150.
- Kaissling K-E, Rospars J-P (2004) Dose-response relationships in an olfactory flux detector model revisited. Chem Senses - 29: 529–531 & Erratum 529: 747.
- Rospars J-P, Lansky P, Krivan V (2003) Extracellular transduction events under pulsed stimulation in moth olfactory sensilla. Chem Senses 28: 509–522.
- Minor AV, Kaissling K-E (2003) Cell responses to single pheromone molecules may reflect the activation kinetics of olfactory receptor molecules. J comp Physiol A 189: 221–230.
- Rospars J-P, Lucas P, Coppey M (2007) Modelling the early steps of transduction in insect olfactory receptor neurons. Biosystems 89: 101–109.
- De Kramer JJ, Kaissling KE, Keil TA (1984) Passive electrical properties of insect olfactory sensilla may produce the biphasic shape of spikes. Chem Senses 8: 289–295.
- Vermeulen A, Rospars J-P, Lansky P, Tuckwell HC (1996) Coding of stimulus intensity in an olfactory receptor neuron: Role of neuron spatial extent and dendritic backpropagation of action potentials. Bull Math Biol 58: 493–512.
- Rospars J-P, Lansky P, Tuckwell HC, Vermeulen A (1996) Coding of odor intensity in a steady-state deterministic model of an olfactory receptor neuron. J Comput Neurosci 3: 51–72.
- Vermeulen A, Rospars J-P (2001) Membrane potential and its electroderecorded counterpart in an electrical model of an olfactory sensillum. Eur Biophys J 29: 587–596.

- Vermeulen A, Rospars J-P (2001) Electrical circuitry of an insect olfactory sensillum. Neurocomputing 38-40: 1011–1017.
- 55. Vermeulen A, Rospars J-P (2004) Why are insect olfactory receptor neurons grouped into sensilla? The teachings of a model investigating the effects of the electrical interaction between neurons on the transpithelial potential and the neuronal transmembrane potential. Eur Biophys J 33: 633–643.
- Zack C (1979) Sensory adaptation in the sex pheromone receptor cells of Saturniid moths [PhD Thesis - Ludwig-Maximilians Universität, Munich]. Munich. 99 p.
- Tuckwell HC, Rospars JP, Vermeulen A, Lansky P (1996) Time-dependent solutions for a cable model of an olfactory receptor neuron. J Theor Biol 181: 25–31.
- Kalidas S, Smith DP (2002) Novel genomic cDNA hybrids produce effective RNA interference in adult *Drosophila*. Neuron 33: 177–184.
- 59. Kain P, Chakraborty TS, Sundaram S, Siddiqi O, Rodrigues V, et al. (2008) Reduced odor responses from antennal neurons of G_qα, phospholipase Cβ, and *rdgA* mutants in *Drosophila* support a role for a phospholipid intermediate in insect olfactory transduction. J Neurosci 28: 4745–4755.
- Laue M, Maida R, Redkozubov A (1997) G-protein activation, identification and immunolocalization in pheromone-sensitive sensilla trichodea of moths. Cell Tissue Res 288: 149–158.
- Kaupp UB (2010) Olfactory signalling in vertebrates and insects: differences and commonalities. Nat Rev Neurosci 11: 188–200.
- Tuckwell HC (1988) Introduction to theoretical neurobiology. Volume 1: Linear cable theory and dendritic structure. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. 291 p.
- Tuckwell HC (1988) Introduction to theoretical neurobiology. Volume 2: Nonlinear and stochastic theories. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. 265 p.
- 64. Vermeulen A, Rospars J-P (1998) Dendritic integration in olfactory sensory neurons: a steady-state analysis of how the neuron structure and neuron environment influence the coding of odor intensity. J Comput Neurosci 5: 243–266.
- 65. Kaissling K-E, Thorson J (1980) Insect olfactory sensilla: structural, chemical and electrical aspects of the functional organization. In: Sattelle DB, Hall LM, Hildebrand JG, eds. Receptors for neurotransmitters, hormones and pheromones in insects: Elsevier. Amsterdam: North Holland biomedical Press. pp 261–282.
- Keil TA, Steinbrecht RA (1984) Mechanosensitive and olfactory sensilla of insects. In: King RC, Akai H, eds. New York: Insect ultrastructure: Plenum. pp 477–516.
- Keil TA (1984) Reconstruction and morphometry of silkmoth olfactory hairs: A comparative study of sensilla trichodea on the antennae of male *Antheraea polyphemus* and *Antheraea penyi* (Insecta, Lepidoptera). Zoomorphology 104: 147–156.
- Gnatzy W, Mohren W, Steinbrecht RA (1984) Pheromone receptors in *Bombyx* mori and Antheraea pemyi. II Morphometric analysis. Cell Tissue Res 235: 35–42.
- De Kramer JJ (1985) The electrical circuitry of an olfactory sensillum in Antheraea polyphemus. J Neurosci 5: 2484–2493.
- De Kramer JJ (1982) The electrical circuitry of an insect olfactory hair. In: Boeckh J, ed. Regensburg: Fifth ECRO Congress. 16 p.
- Kaissling K-E (1987) R.H. Wright lectures on insect olfaction; Colbow K, ed. BurnabyB.C., Canada: Simon Fraser University. 190 p.
- Cole KS (1972) Membrane, ions and impulses: a chapter of classical biophysics. Berkeley: University of California Press. 569 p.