1	Structural insight into binding site access and ligand recognition by human ABCB1
2	
3	Devanshu Kurre ¹ , Phuoc X. Dang ^{1,2} , Le T.M. Le ^{1,3} , Varun V. Gadkari ⁴ , and Amer Alam ^{1#}
4	¹ The Hormel Institute, University of Minnesota, Austin, Minnesota 55912, United States
5	² Current Address: Department of Pharmacy - Inpatient, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota 55901,
6	United States
7	³ Current Address: Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester,
8	Minnesota 55901, United States
9	⁴ Department of Chemistry, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455, United
10	States
11	[#] Address correspondence to: aalam@umn.edu
12	Abstract:
13	ABCB1 is a broad-spectrum efflux pump central to cellular drug handling and multidrug resistance
14	in humans. However, its mechanisms of poly-specific substrate recognition and transport remain
15	poorly resolved. Here we present cryo-EM structures of lipid embedded human ABCB1 in its apo,
16	substrate-bound, inhibitor-bound, and nucleotide-trapped states at 3.4-3.9 Å resolution without
17	using stabilizing antibodies or mutations and each revealing a distinct conformation. The substrate
18	binding site is located within one half of the molecule and, in the apo state, is obstructed by
19	transmembrane helix (TM) 4. Substrate and inhibitor binding are distinguished by major
20	differences in TM arrangement and ligand binding chemistry, with TM4 playing a central role in
21	all conformational transitions. Our data offer fundamental new insights into the role structural

asymmetry, secondary structure breaks, and lipid interactions play in ABCB1 function and have
 far-reaching implications for ABCB1 inhibitor design and predicting its substrate binding profiles.

24 Introduction

25 The ATP binding cassette (ABC) transporter ABCB1, also known as Multidrug resistance 26 protein (MDR)1 or P-glycoprotein (p-gp) is a ubiquitously expressed drug exporter that plays a 27 key role in cellular drug handling¹⁻⁸. Its pharmacological relevance makes it a key transporter in 28 the Food and Drug Administration's guidance for all developmental drugs to be screened against¹⁰. ABCB1 activity can be a limiting factor in cancer chemotherapy ^{6,11-14} and treatment of 29 30 neurological disorders^{4,15-19} and has been increasingly implicated in accumulation of amyloid-beta peptides, a hallmark feature of Alzheimer's Disease¹⁷. Despite its relevance, ABCB1's promise as 31 32 druggable clinical target remains unrealized largely due to systemic toxicities and off target effects 33 resulting from its inhibition^{14,20}. Understanding the detailed mechanisms by which ABCB1 34 recognizes and transports a wide range of structurally and chemically diverse substrates remains a 35 major focus in biomedicine. Visualizing the underlying chemistry involved is key to designing 36 more specific ABCB1 inhibitors and circumventing ABCB1 mediated efflux of a wide range of 37 developmental drugs. However, despite long-term efforts, ABCB1 has so far remained notoriously 38 averse to direct structural analysis without the use of antibody fragments and stabilizing mutations 39 to aid conformational trapping.

40 ABCB1 is a type II ABC exporter/type IV ABC transporter with each TMD comprising 6 41 transmembrane helices (TMs) and followed by a cytosolic nucleotide binding domain (NBD). It 42 is topologically arranged as a pseudo-symmetric domain swapped dimer with the 4th and 5th TMs 43 of each TMD making extensive contacts with the opposing TMDs and NBDs as first revealed by 44 the structure of its bacterial homolog Sav1866²². To date, the only structures of human ABCB1

45 determined are those of its hydrolysis deficient mutant in the ATP bound outward facing (OF) 46 state and those in complex with antigen binding fragments (Fabs) from the inhibitory antibodies UIC2²³ and MRK16²⁴. Key mechanistic questions about polyspecific substrate recognition and the 47 48 drug transport cycle of ABCB1 therefore remain open. First, the nature of its Inward Facing (IF) 49 apo state remains unknown, leaving open the question of show substrates gain access to their 50 respective binding site(s). Second, the binding chemistry governing differential substrate and 51 inhibitor interactions with ABCB1 in the absence of conformational trapping by inhibitory Fabs 52 remains unknown. Third, it is unclear what role sequence and structural asymmetry plays in 53 ABCB1 function. Finally, while lipids have been implicated in modulation of ABCB1 structure and its interaction with ligands ²⁵⁻²⁸, the extent and specifics of these interactions remains largely 54 55 unexplored.

56 To address the above-mentioned gaps in knowledge, we determined multiple structures of 57 wildtype human ABCB1 in a lipid environment by single particle cryo-EM. Four distinct 58 conformations of the transporter were observed including, for the first time, its IF apo and 59 substrate-bound states. These structures allow us to map out the conformational transitions 60 associated with ligand and nucleotide binding and visualize key differences in how substrates and 61 inhibitors interact with the TMD. They highlight the concerted TM and NBD movements 62 underlying ATP coupled drug transport and regulation of binding site access and the complex 63 interplay between lipid interactions and TM secondary structure breaks that impart tremendous 64 TMD flexibility and overall conformational heterogeneity to human ABCB1 that has made its 65 high-resolution structure determination difficult. Overall, our results offer fundamental insights 66 into the mechanistic details of the ABCB1 drug transport cycle and its inhibition that will have 67 significant implications for ABCB1 targeted therapeutic design in various medical applications as

well broader drug-development efforts where potential ABCB1 interactions may limit drugbioavailability, among other undesired effects.

70 Four distinct conformations of lipid-embedded wildtype human ABCB1

71 Human ABCB1 (ABCB1) was stably expressed in HEK293 cells, purified in detergent, and 72 reconstituted in saposin A (sapA) nanoparticles comprising a mixture of Brain Polar Lipids (BPL) 73 and cholesterol (Chol). SapA reconstituted ABCB1 displayed a more homogenous mass 74 distribution as analyzed by native mass spectrometry (nMS) as well as greater ATPase activity 75 compared to MSP1D1 nanodisc reconstituted samples (Figure 1A-B) and was chosen for cryo-EM 76 analysis. We analyzed ABCB1 in its apo state and in the presence of ATP/Mg²⁺ and either the 77 substrate Taxol, representing turnover conditions similar to a recent analysis for human $ABCG2^{29}$, 78 or its third-generation inhibitor Zosuquidar. Taxol and Zosuquidar complexes of ABCB1 in the 79 absence of ATP/Mg²⁺ displayed near identical conformations and are not discussed in further detail 80 here. We also determined the structure of its nucleotide trapped state in the presence of ATPyS, 81 allowing for a visualization of the conformational spectrum associated with the drug transport 82 cycle and its inhibition in ABCB1 (Figure 1C). The overall conformation of the zosuquidar 83 complex was nearly identical to the inhibitor occluded state seen in the presence of UIC2 or 84 MRK16 fabs^{23,24}. Similarly, the ATP**y**S trapped ABCB1 structure was identical to that previously reported for ATP bound state of its hydrolysis deficient EQ mutant in a detergent environment ³⁰. 85 86 In contrast, the conformations observed for its apo- and substrate bound states are fundamentally 87 different and has not been previously described. Conventional models of the apo state of ABCB1 88 based on homologous structures or alphafold predictions invoke a symmetric, IF conformation 89 with a wide separation between the NBDs as seen in the crystal structure of murine ABCB1³¹. 90 Substrate binding is thought to promote NBD closure and explain consequent ATPase rate

91 stimulation. In contrast, the apo state structure determined here displays distinct asymmetry 92 between the two halves and closely spaced NBDs while the Taxol complex shows an IF_{OPEN} state 93 with wider NBD separation compared to the apo conformation, among other significant differences 94 compared to structures of the Taxol- complex of ABCB1 bound to inhibitory antibodies, as 95 discussed in detail below.



Figure 1 Conformational landscape of lipid embedded human ABCB1. A Comparison of saposin and nanodisc reconstituted human ABCB1 by nMS B) Comparison of ATPase activity of saposin, MSP1D1 nanodisc, and Liposome reconstituted human ABCB1. n=3 and error bars denote standard deviation. C Structures of human ABCB1 in multiple distinct conformational states. EM density for the two halves is colored differently and that of modeled acyl chains is colored gray.

96 Apo ABCB1 adopts a unique IF_{CLOSED} conformation

97 The predominant conformation of apo ABCB1 observed here features an asymmetric TMD 98 arrangement with a closed central TMD pathway (Figure 2A), closely spaced NBDs, and widely 99 spaced extracellular "wings"²² (Figure 1C). We chose to classify this state as an IF_{CLOSED} state 100 based on TMD conformation. The structure is marked by multiple secondary structure (SS) breaks 101 in the TMDs mediated by Glycine and Proline residues and several predicted SS breakers³², most

noticeably at G317 and G329 that leads to an elongation of extracellular loop (ECL)3 and wide
separation between TM5 and TM6 (Figure S1). Conversely, ECL6, connecting TM11 & TM12
displays a lower degree of helix unraveling, likely owing to lower frequency of secondary structure
breaking residues that we speculate limit its conformational freedom and possibly that of TM10
and TM11. As shown in figure 2B, closing of the central TMD pathway is facilitated by TM4,
which adopts a kinked conformation with secondary structure breaks at P223 and K242, effectively
dividing it into 3 sub helices (TM4a-c). In conjunction with TM6 and TM12, it forms a central 3-



Figure 2. Structure of apo-ABCB1. A Overall structure with the two halve colored as different shades of red and density modeled as lipid acyl chains (gray sticks) shown as transparent gray surfaces. **B** 3TM bundle formation by TM4, TM6, and TM12. TM4 sub-helical segments. The yellow dashed triangle highlights the central 3TM bundle in top and bottom views. **C** Comparison of the cryo-EM structure of apo-ABCB1, colored as in A, and its alphafold predicted structure (transparent cartoon). Blue transparent arrows indicate major movements of select TMs. The gray bars represent the plasma membrane.

- 109 TM bundle that closes off the central cavity (Figure 1C). In contrast to TM4, TM10 adopts a
- 110 straight conformation, contributing further to structural asymmetry and leading to a lateral opening

to the lower bilayer leaflet. These features lead to an overall conformation that diverges widely from canonical IF open conformations as demonstrated by a comparison to the alphafold predicted structure of ABCB1 (Figure 2C). The starkest differences are between the respective positions of TM1/TM2 and TM4/TM5 pairs, leading to a more splayed open asymmetric arrangement of the extracellular "leaflets" and closer NBD spacing. The implications of this conformation for substrate and nucleotide access are expanded upon below.

117 Distinct Substrate and Inhibitors interactions in human ABCB1



Figure 3 Structure of ABCB1 bound to Taxol A Overall structure with first and second halves (primary structure based) colored green and white, respectively, and distinguished from domain swapped (DS) halves. Density for Taxol and lipids is shown in pink and grey (0.01 contour threshold), respectively. Weaker density for the NBD1 nucleotide is shown in blue (0.008 contour threshold). The zoom panel shows Taxol (pink sticks) density along with associated density features modeled as a lipid acyl chain (grey sticks) as transparent pink and gray surfaces, respectively. Domain swapped halves are highlighted demarcated by grey and green semicircles **B** Overall comparison of apo and Taxol complexes of ABCB1 (transparent brown and green cartoons respectively) with 3TM forming helices (solid tube helices) and Taxol (pink spheres) shown

118 Previous analyses of substrate and inhibitor discrimination in human ABCB1 in the presence of 119 conformational antibody Fabs revealed that both classes could occupy a centrally located, occluded 120 TMD site with subtle differences between drug interacting residues and overall conformation 23,24 . 121 Here we show that the predominant conformational states of Taxol and zosuquidar complexes with 122 ABCB1 alone are completely different. As shown in Figure 3A, Taxol bound ABCB1 adopts a 123 symmetrical IF conformation with wider NBD spacing compared to the apo state. Taxol binding, 124 however, is asymmetric, with a single molecule observed within the C-terminal half of the 125 molecule/2nd half comprising the domain swapped (DS) TMD2 (TM7-9 and TM12 from TMD2, 126 and TM4 and TM5 from TMD1) and NBD2 pair, offset from the central TMD space. Interestingly, 127 this binding site is occupied by TM4b in the apo state, which swings away to allow Taxol binding 128 (Figure 3B). This is accompanied by major rearrangements of TM5, ECL6, and TM6, breakup of 129 the 3TM bundle observed in the apo state and an outward movement of NBD1. The position of 130 NBD2 and its associated coupling helices remains largely unchanged. This links substrate binding 131 to NBD orientation through TM4, which may act as an affinity gate to add a degree of substrate 132 discrimination as expanded upon below. Density features within the hydrophobic TMD cavity are 133 consistent with the presence of lipids and/or sterols. As their specific identity and orientation are 134 impossible to ascertain from these data alone, we modeled them as unidentified acyl chains. A 135 comparison of the two domain swapped halves of Taxol bound ABCB1 reveals distinct differences 136 between residues within 5Å of the observed Taxol molecule in the C-terminal Half and its N-137 terminal equivalent that would present a steric and electrostatic barrier to Taxol binding. (Figure 138 S2).

In contrast to its Taxol complex, zosuquidar bound ABCB1 adopts the same conformation
as seen in the antibody bound complexes, marked by a fully occluded cavity with 2 closely

interacting zosuquidar molecules (Figure 4A-B). Cavity occlusion is brought about by the
concerted kinking of TM4 and TM10, further highlighting its role in in the overall transport cycle.
Diffuse density for bound nucleotide is observed in NBD1. The overall structure of zosuquidar
bound ABCB1 shows increased positional order compared to the Taxol complex, with clearer
density for TMD associated lipids and NBD1 associated nucleotide. While the overwhelming
majority of Taxol-interacting residues are drawn from the C-terminal Half (Figure 4C), zosuquidar



Figure 4 Comparison of Zosuquidar and Taxol binding. A Overall structure of the ABCB1 bound to Zosuquidar. Zosuquidar and ATP density is shown (0.0175 contour) as teal and blue surfaces, respectively. **B** Zoomed view of the occluded TMD cavity with TM4 and TM10 shown with EM density for both Zosuquidar molecules (teal sticks, Z1 and Z2) shown as a transparent teal surface (0.017 contour). **C** Ligand interaction plot of ABCB1 complexed to Taxol. **D** Ligand interaction plot of zosuquidar bound ABCB1 with the second Zosuquidar molecule shown in yellow.

- 147 interactions span both halves of the transporter (Figure 4D) and no extraneous lipid density was
- 148 observed in the occluded cavity.



149 Structural transitions in human ABCB1 are asymmetric and dependent on TM4

Figure 5 Structural Transitions in ABCB1. A Overlay of full transporter in all 4 conformations with Half 1 and half 2 shown as transparent surfaces (front and back views, respectively) and with individual TMDs and NBDs outlined top and bottom views, respectively. **B** Pairwise structural alignment of linked TM pairs expected to move together in different type II ABC exporter conformational states.

150 The four conformational states of ABCB1 presented here allow for a direct comparison of the

151 overall transitions associated with its drug transport cycle. As shown in Figure 5A, the C-terminal

- 152 half of the transporter remains relatively rigid in comparison to its N-terminal counterpart, with
- 153 significant positional changes of NBD1 associated with the different TMD conformations. Inter
- 154 NBD separation is similar for the apo and inhibited state with the widest separation between the
- 155 NBDs of the Taxol bound IF conformation and narrowest separation for the sandwiched NBD

156 dimer in the ATPyS complex as highlighted by C α distance measurements between T263 (CH2) 157 and R905 (CH4) (Figure 5B). While the overall conformations of the 4 states diverge significantly, 158 a pairwise alignment of TM pairs 1/2, 3/6, and 4/5 (and their half 2 counterparts) shows expected 159 patterns of linked movements during conformational cycling³³ with major exceptions for TM4 and 160 TM10, and to a lesser extent, TM1 and TM2 (Figure 5C). TM4 adopts a different conformation in 161 all 4 structures, including three unique kinked conformations in the apo, substrate bound, and 162 inhibitor bound states. Similarly, TM10 adopts different conformations in all four structures, but 163 only the zosuquidar bound state displays a kinked conformation like that of TM4. The Cytoplasmic 164 halves of all TMs match very closely in all structures, revealing that the conformational changes 165 occur within the membrane environment, likely stabilized by dynamic lipid contacts, as expanded 166 upon below.

167 **Discussion**

168 Our data allow us to formulate an updated mechanism for substrate transport and its 169 inhibition in ABCB1 as shown in Figure 4B. Central to this scheme is TM4, which acts as a gating 170 helix and undergoes large-scale rearrangements in all conformations reported here. In the unbound 171 (apo) state, human ABCB1 likely exists in a conformational equilibrium between multiple IF 172 states. The IF_{CLOSED} state that is dominant from our analysis is incompatible with substrate binding, 173 with TM4 involved in 3TM bundle formation to close the TMD pathway and also sterically 174 occluding the substrate binding site. As such, TM4 may play an autoinhibitory role and act as an 175 affinity filter akin to the regulatory domains of ABCC type transporters^{34,35}. Substrates overcoming 176 this affinity threshold shift the conformational equilibrium towards an IF_{OPEN} state with greater 177 NBD separation, concurrent opening of the 3-TM bundle, and ejection of TM4b from the substrate



Figure 6 Substrate and inhibitor interactions in ABCB1 A Overlay of TM4/5 and TM10/11 of all structures reported, highlighting overall conformational changes linked to substrate (Taxol, pink) or inhibitor (zosuquidar, teal) binding and CH2 and CH4 movements **B** Schematic of working model for substrate transport and inhibition in human ABCB1. With the exception of the OF_{OPEN} state (based on homologous transporters like human ABCD1⁹ and Sav1866²¹). Green circles highlight potential intermediate/alternate states.

178 binding site. Compared to the apo state, this NBD separation may be more sterically favorable for 179 ATP binding (ATP/ADP exchange), linking substrate binding to stimulation of ATP as rates. The 180 IF_{OCCLUDED} state observed in the zosuquidar complex has been previously shown to be stabilized 181 by inhibitory antibodies and capable of accommodating substrates^{23,24,36}. Combined with insights 182 from our current data, it likely represents a sparsely populated high-energy state prior to substrate 183 extrusion through the OF_{OPEN} conformation. Inhibitors like zosuquidar, on the other hand, stabilize 184 the IF_{OCCLUDED} conformation, thereby inhibiting the transport cycle. This clear difference between 185 substrates and inhibitors may be explained by their divergent ligand interactions based on our 186 observations for the Taxol and Zosuquidar complex structures described here. Asymmetry may 187 play a key role here, with inhibitors like zosuguidar able to make stabilizing interactions with both

188 domain-swapped halves of the transporter. In contrast, substrates like Taxol seen to bind within 189 TMD2_{DS} may be destabilized upon contact with TMD1_{DS} upon ATP binding induced NBD closure 190 and consequent TMD rearrangements, promoting a transition to the OF_{OPEN} conformation and 191 substrate extrusion. This suggests that TMD1_{DS} residues that have been implicated in substrate 192 interactions through mutagenesis and cellular efflux studies but not seen to directly interact with 193 substrate here may be involved in promoting extrusion rather than stabilizing substrate binding³⁷-194 ⁴². Upon substrate extrusion the external leaflets of ABCB1 adopt a closer arrangement in contrast 195 to OF states such as that seen in human ABCD1⁹. Interestingly, this OF_{CLOSED} state is also 196 characterized by formation of a 3TM bundle like that in the apo state, albeit involving TM6, TM7, 197 and TM12, and may similarly serve to prevent undesired substrate or lipid interactions before the 198 transporter resets upon ATP hydrolysis to its IF conformation(s).

199 Insights into TMD access and auto-inhibition of the binding site by TM4 gleaned from our 200 data fundamentally change our understanding of how human ABCB1 works. They have a number 201 of important implications for development of better ABCB1 inhibitors as well as drugs that bypass 202 its substrate transport cycle. First, the IF_{CLOSED} apo state lays the foundation for development of a 203 new class of ABCB1 inhibitors that could potentially trap it, thereby preventing substrate access 204 to the TMD. Second, the taxol complex offers unprecedented detail into a discreet substrate 205 binding site that can aid the design of more accurate computational models for studying ABCB1 206 drug interactions. Third, the zosuquidar and taxol complexes of ABCB1 define the underlying 207 binding chemistry that distinguishes substrates and inhibitors. Finally, our structural data reconcile 208 decades of mutagenesis studies and showcase the remarkable structural and functional variability 209 helix breaking elements impart to TMDs, especially in context of a lipid bilayer environment and 210 dynamic lipid interactions, that existing homology and predicted models have failed to capture.

211	Additional structures of human ABCB1 in complex with drugs with different physiochemical are
212	needed to explore the extent of binding site plasticity and potential deviations from the mechanistic
213	framework proposed above.
214	
215	
216	
217	
218	
219	
220	
221	
222	
223	
224	
225	
226	
227	
228	
229	
230	

231 References

- Borst, P. & Schinkel, A. H. P-glycoprotein ABCB1: a major player in drug handling by
 mammals. *J Clin Invest* 123, 4131-4133, doi:10.1172/JCI70430 (2013).
- 234 2 Hodges, L. M. et al. Very important pharmacogene summary: ABCB1 (MDR1, P-
- 235
 glycoprotein).
 Pharmacogenet
 Genomics
 21,
 152-161,

 236
 doi:10.1097/FPC.0b013e3283385a1c (2011).
- Leslie, E. M., Deeley, R. G. & Cole, S. P. Multidrug resistance proteins: role of Pglycoprotein, MRP1, MRP2, and BCRP (ABCG2) in tissue defense. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 204, 216-237, doi:10.1016/j.taap.2004.10.012 (2005).
- 4 Fromm, M. F. Importance of P-glycoprotein at blood-tissue barriers. *Trends Pharmacol Sci*241 25, 423-429, doi:10.1016/j.tips.2004.06.002 (2004).
- Borst, P. & Elferink, R. O. Mammalian ABC transporters in health and disease. *Annu Rev Biochem* 71, 537-592, doi:10.1146/annurev.biochem.71.102301.093055 (2002).
- 244 6 Ueda, K., Cardarelli, C., Gottesman, M. M. & Pastan, I. Expression of a full-length cDNA
- for the human "MDR1" gene confers resistance to colchicine, doxorubicin, and vinblastine.

246 *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **84**, 3004-3008, doi:10.1073/pnas.84.9.3004 (1987).

- 2477Thiebaut, F. *et al.* Cellular localization of the multidrug-resistance gene product P-248glycoprotein in normal human tissues. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 84, 7735-7738,
- 249 doi:10.1073/pnas.84.21.7735 (1987).
- 250 8 Darwich, A. S., Neuhoff, S., Jamei, M. & Rostami-Hodjegan, A. Interplay of metabolism
 251 and transport in determining oral drug absorption and gut wall metabolism: a simulation
 252 assessment using the "Advanced Dissolution, Absorption, Metabolism (ADAM)" model.
- 253 *Curr Drug Metab* **11**, 716-729 (2010).

- 254 9 Le, L. T. M., Thompson, J. R., Dang, P. X., Bhandari, J. & Alam, A. Structures of the human
- peroxisomal fatty acid transporter ABCD1 in a lipid environment. *Commun Biol* 5, 7,
 doi:10.1038/s42003-021-02970-w (2022).
- 257 10 Fiedorczuk, K. & Chen, J. Mechanism of CFTR correction by type I folding correctors.
- 258 *Cell* **185**, 158-168 e111, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2021.12.009 (2022).
- 259 11 Bauer, F. et al. Synthesis and in vivo evaluation of [11C]tariquidar, a positron emission
- 260 tomography radiotracer based on a third-generation P-glycoprotein inhibitor. *Bioorg Med*
- 261 *Chem* **18**, 5489-5497, doi:10.1016/j.bmc.2010.06.057 (2010).
- 262 12 Leonard, G. D., Fojo, T. & Bates, S. E. The role of ABC transporters in clinical practice.
- 263 *Oncologist* **8**, 411-424, doi:10.1634/theoncologist.8-5-411 (2003).
- Ling, V. Multidrug resistance: molecular mechanisms and clinical relevance. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 40 Suppl, S3-8 (1997).
- 266 14 Robey, R. W. *et al.* Revisiting the role of ABC transporters in multidrug-resistant cancer.

267 *Nat Rev Cancer* **18**, 452-464, doi:10.1038/s41568-018-0005-8 (2018).

- 268 15 Schinkel, A. H., Wagenaar, E., Mol, C. A. & van Deemter, L. P-glycoprotein in the blood-
- brain barrier of mice influences the brain penetration and pharmacological activity of many
 drugs. *J Clin Invest* 97, 2517-2524, doi:10.1172/JCI118699 (1996).
- Xie, R., Hammarlund-Udenaes, M., de Boer, A. G. & de Lange, E. C. The role of Pglycoprotein in blood-brain barrier transport of morphine: transcortical microdialysis
 studies in mdr1a (-/-) and mdr1a (+/+) mice. *Br J Pharmacol* 128, 563-568,
 doi:10.1038/sj.bjp.0702804 (1999).
- 275 17 Storck, S. E., Hartz, A. M. S. & Pietrzik, C. U. The Blood-Brain Barrier in Alzheimer's
 276 Disease. *Handb Exp Pharmacol* 273, 247-266, doi:10.1007/164 2020 418 (2022).

- 277 18 Sita, G., Hrelia, P., Tarozzi, A. & Morroni, F. P-glycoprotein (ABCB1) and Oxidative
- 278 Stress: Focus on Alzheimer's Disease. Oxid Med Cell Longev 2017, 7905486,
 279 doi:10.1155/2017/7905486 (2017).
- Loscher, W. & Potschka, H. Role of multidrug transporters in pharmacoresistance to
 antiepileptic drugs. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **301**, 7-14, doi:10.1124/jpet.301.1.7 (2002).
- 282 20 Tamaki, A., Ierano, C., Szakacs, G., Robey, R. W. & Bates, S. E. The controversial role of
- ABC transporters in clinical oncology. *Essays Biochem* **50**, 209-232, doi:10.1042/bse0500209 (2011).
- 285 21 Dawson, R. J. & Locher, K. P. Structure of the multidrug ABC transporter Sav1866 from
 286 Staphylococcus aureus in complex with AMP-PNP. *FEBS Lett* 581, 935-938,
 287 doi:10.1016/j.febslet.2007.01.073 (2007).
- 288 22 Dawson, R. J. & Locher, K. P. Structure of a bacterial multidrug ABC transporter. *Nature*289 443, 180-185, doi:10.1038/nature05155 (2006).
- Alam, A., Kowal, J., Broude, E., Roninson, I. & Locher, K. P. Structural insight into
 substrate and inhibitor discrimination by human P-glycoprotein. *Science* 363, 753-756,
 doi:10.1126/science.aav7102 (2019).
- 293 24 Nosol, K. *et al.* Cryo-EM structures reveal distinct mechanisms of inhibition of the human
 294 multidrug transporter ABCB1. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 117, 26245-26253,
 295 doi:10.1073/pnas.2010264117 (2020).
- 296 25 Clay, A. T., Lu, P. & Sharom, F. J. Interaction of the P-Glycoprotein Multidrug Transporter
- 297 with Sterols. *Biochemistry* **54**, 6586-6597, doi:10.1021/acs.biochem.5b00904 (2015).

- Hegedus, C., Telbisz, A., Hegedus, T., Sarkadi, B. & Ozvegy-Laczka, C. Lipid regulation
 of the ABCB1 and ABCG2 multidrug transporters. *Adv Cancer Res* 125, 97-137,
 doi:10.1016/bs.acr.2014.10.004 (2015).
- 301 27 Loo, T. W. & Clarke, D. M. P-glycoprotein ATPase activity requires lipids to activate a
- 302 switch at the first transmission interface. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **472**, 379-383,
- 303 doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2016.02.124 (2016).
- Szewczyk, P. *et al.* Snapshots of ligand entry, malleable binding and induced helical
 movement in P-glycoprotein. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* 71, 732-741,
 doi:10.1107/S1399004715000978 (2015).
- Yu, Q. *et al.* Structures of ABCG2 under turnover conditions reveal a key step in the drug
 transport mechanism. *Nat Commun* 12, 4376, doi:10.1038/s41467-021-24651-2 (2021).
- 309 30 Kim, Y. & Chen, J. Molecular structure of human P-glycoprotein in the ATP-bound,
- 310 outward-facing conformation. *Science* **359**, 915-919, doi:10.1126/science.aar7389 (2018).
- 311 31 Aller, S. G. *et al.* Structure of P-glycoprotein reveals a molecular basis for poly-specific
 312 drug binding. *Science* 323, 1718-1722, doi:10.1126/science.1168750 (2009).
- 313 32 Imai, K. & Mitaku, S. Mechanisms of secondary structure breakers in soluble proteins.
 314 *Biophysics (Nagoya-shi)* 1, 55-65, doi:10.2142/biophysics.1.55 (2005).
- 315 33 Lee, J. Y., Yang, J. G., Zhitnitsky, D., Lewinson, O. & Rees, D. C. Structural basis for heavy
 316 metal detoxification by an Atm1-type ABC exporter. *Science* 343, 1133-1136,
 317 doi:10.1126/science.1246489 (2014).
- 318 34 Mao, Y. X. *et al.* Transport mechanism of human bilirubin transporter ABCC2 tuned by the
- 319 inter-module regulatory domain. *Nat Commun* **15**, 1061, doi:10.1038/s41467-024-45337-
- 320 5 (2024).

321	35	Khandelwal, N. K. & Tomasiak, T. M. Structural basis for autoinhibition by the
322		dephosphorylated regulatory domain of Ycf1. Nat Commun 15, 2389, doi:10.1038/s41467-
323		024-46722-w (2024).
324	36	Alam, A. et al. Structure of a zosuquidar and UIC2-bound human-mouse chimeric ABCB1.
325		Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 115, E1973-E1982, doi:10.1073/pnas.1717044115 (2018).
326	37	Loo, T. W. & Clarke, D. M. Mapping the Binding Site of the Inhibitor Tariquidar That
327		Stabilizes the First Transmembrane Domain of P-glycoprotein. J Biol Chem 290, 29389-
328		29401, doi:10.1074/jbc.M115.695171 (2015).
329	38	Loo, T. W. & Clarke, D. M. Mutational analysis of ABC proteins. Arch Biochem Biophys
330		476 , 51-64, doi:10.1016/j.abb.2008.02.025 (2008).
331	39	Loo, T. W. & Clarke, D. M. Mutational analysis of human P-glycoprotein. Methods
332		Enzymol 292, 480-492 (1998).
333	40	Chufan, E. E., Kapoor, K. & Ambudkar, S. V. Drug-protein hydrogen bonds govern the
334		inhibition of the ATP hydrolysis of the multidrug transporter P-glycoprotein. Biochem
335		Pharmacol 101, 40-53, doi:10.1016/j.bcp.2015.12.007 (2016).
336	41	Chufan, E. E. et al. Multiple transport-active binding sites are available for a single
337		substrate on human P-glycoprotein (ABCB1). PLoS One 8, e82463,
338		doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0082463 (2013).
339	42	Nasim, F. et al. Active transport of rhodamine 123 by the human multidrug transporter P-
340		glycoprotein involves two independent outer gates. Pharmacol Res Perspect 8, e00572,
341		doi:10.1002/prp2.572 (2020).

- 342
- 343

344 Methods

345 Cell Culture, Protein expression and purification

346 The expression and purification of wild-type human ABCB1 were conducted largely as previously 347 described^{23,24,36}. First, an ABCB1 stable cell line with a C-terminal eYFP-Rho1D4 tag and a 348 3C/precision protease site between the protein and tag was generated using the FIp-In TREX 349 system (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for tetracycline-inducible expression. These ABCB1 stable 350 cells were grown in DMEM media supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), penicillin-351 streptomycin, and antimycotic antibiotics at 37 °C in a 5% CO2 incubator until they reached over 352 70% confluency, which typically took about 72-96 hours. Next, the media was replaced with 353 DMEM supplemented with 2% FBS and 0.6 µg/ml tetracycline, and the cells were allowed to 354 express the protein for 72 hours at 37 °C in a 5% CO2 incubator. These cells were subsequently 355 washed with PBS before being harvested by centrifugation at 3000 r.c.f for 3 minutes at 4 °C, and 356 flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen for storage at -80 °C.

357 All protein purification steps were carried out at 4°C or on ice. Cell pellets were thawed 358 and resuspended in eight volumes of lysis buffer per gram of pellet (25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 150 359 mM NaCl, 20% glycerol, 0.5 mM PMSF, 2 µg/ml trypsin inhibitor, and one complete mini tablet 360 per 50 ml). After dounce homogenizing, the cell lysate incubated with a 0.5%/0.1% mixture of n-361 dodecyl-β-D-maltopyranoside (DDM) and cholesteryl hemisuccinate (CHS) for 2 hours, and then 362 centrifuged at 48000 r.c.f for 30 minutes. The supernatant was applied to Cyanogen bromide-363 activated Sepharose 4b beads (Cytiva) coupled to rho1D4 antibody (University of British 364 Columbia) resin for binding over 3 hours. The resin was washed four times with 10 column 365 volumes (CV) of wash buffer (25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 20% glycerol, and 366 0.02%/0.004% DDM/CHS) followed by protein elution by addition of Wash Buffer supplemented

either with 0.25 mg⁻¹ml⁻¹ 1D4 peptide (GenScript) or a 1:10 w:w ratio of 3C protease for oncolumn cleavage and incubated overnight at 4 °C on a roller for tag cleavage. 3C protease was
removed by incubation with Ni-NTA beads.

370

371 Lipid reconstitution of ABCB1

372 Expression and purification of MSP1D1 (Addgene) and Saposin A (Salipro) was carried out as 373 described^{43,44} except that the final purification and storage buffer contained 25 mM HEPES pH 374 7.5, 150 mM NaCl. Brain Polar Extract lipids (BPL, Avanti) and cholesterol (Chol, Sigma) were 375 mixed at an 80:20 w:w ratio and dried using a rotary evaporator (Bucchi), resuspended in diethyl 376 ether, dried again, and finally resuspended in HEPES buffer (25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 150 mM 377 NaCl). Nanodisc reconstitution followed our published protocols^{9,45}. Briefly, The BPL/Chol 378 mixture was solubilized in storage buffer supplemented with a 0.2%/0.04% solution of DDM/CHS 379 and homogenized using water bath sonication, with three cycles of 2 minutes on and 2 minutes 380 off. 3C cleaved or ID4 peptide eluted ABCB1 was mixed with MSP1D1 and solubilized lipids a 381 molar ratio of 1:10:350 for ABCB1:MSP1D1:BPL/Chol and the mixture diluted to reduce the final 382 glycerol concentration to less than 4% (v:v). After 1-hour incubation at 4 °C on a roller, detergent was removed by addition of 0.8 grams ml⁻¹ reaction buffer of Bio-Beads SM-2 (Biorad) prewashed 383 384 in storage buffer and incubation on a roller for 2 hours at room temperature (RT). The supernatant 385 was removed from the biobeads and concentrated using a 100kDA molecular weight cut off 386 (M.W.C.O) centrifugal filter. Saposin A reconstituted ABCB1 was prepared similarly except that 387 a 1:15:400 molar ratio of ABCB1:Saposin A:BPL/Chol was used. Protein concentration was 388 measured by densitometry analysis of SDS-PAGE bands using detergent purified ABCB1 of 389 known concentrations as standards.

ABCB1 proteoliposomes were prepared as described⁴⁶ with minor modifications. Briefly, the 390 391 BPL/Chol lipid mixture (80:20 wt:wt ratio) was first reconstituted in buffer comprising 150mM 392 NaCl and 25mM Hepes pH 7.5 at a concentration of 20mg⁻¹ml⁻¹. Empty liposomes were prepared 393 through extrusion using a 0.2 µm filter. Pre-formed liposomes and detergent-purified ABCB1 were 394 supplemented with at 0.3 % and 0.14 % (v:v) of Triton X-100, respectively, mixed, and incubated 395 at RT for 30 minutes. Detergent removal was done in five successive incubation steps using each 396 using fresh 50 mg Bio-beads SM-2 per ml reaction mix. The incubation steps were carried out with 397 gentle agitation for 30 mins at RT, 60 mins at 4°C, overnight at 4 °C, followed by two 60-minute 398 incubations at 4 °C. Liposomes were pelleted by ultracentrifugation at 80,000 r.p.m using a TLA-399 100 rotor (Beckmann Coulter), the supernatant discarded and resuspended in an equivalent volume 400 of reconstitution buffer at 0.5-1 mg⁻¹ml⁻¹.

401

402 ATPase Assays

403 ATPase measurements were based on a molybdate-based calorimetric assay measuring release of 404 inorganic phosphate (Pi) ⁴⁷ as described^{9,45}. Stocks of zosuquidar (Tocris) and taxol (PhytoLab) 405 were prepared in 100% Dimethyl sulfoxide DMSO. ATPase measurements were performed by 406 incubating 0.02-0.1 mg⁻¹ml⁻¹ ABCB1 with 2mM ATP, 10mM MgCl₂ with varying concentrations 407 of zosuquidar or taxol at 37°C. Statistical analyses and linear regression were done in GraphPad 408 Prism 9. All assays were replicates of three independent experiments.

409

410 Native-Mass spectrometry

Wild-type ABCB1 was purified and reconstituted into nanoparticles as described in the abovesections. The detergent sample and the reconstituted ABCB1 samples were buffer exchanged into

413 200 mM ammonium acetate (99.999% Trace Metals Basis, Sigma Aldrich) containing 0.02% 414 DDM/0.004% CHS (only 200 mM ammonium acetate for nanoparticle sample) using 40k zeba 415 spin desalting column and further purified by injecting into an Agilent 1260 Infinity II LC system 416 (Agilent Technologies) using pre-equilibrated TSKgel G4000SWxl column (TOSOH biosciences) 417 Samples were diluted to 500 nM and ionized via nano-electrospray ionization using gold coated 418 borosilicate capillaries (prepared in-house) and analyzed on a Q Exactive Ultra High Mass Range 419 orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific)^{48,49}. The instrument was operated in Direct 420 Mass mode, enabling orbitrap-based charge detection mass spectrometry measurements of 421 individual intact lipoprotein nanoparticle ions^{50,51}. Briefly, the instrument was operated with the 422 Ion Target set to "high m/z" and the Detector Optimization set to "low m/z." The in-source 423 trapping and higher-energy collisional dissociation cell were operated at 1-10 V. All measurements 424 were acquired at a resolution setting of 200,000 (FWHM at m/z 400) with a trapping gas pressure 425 setting of 1. All data processing was performed using STORIBoard (Proteinaceous Inc.). Ions were 426 filtered based on ion lifetime and signal-to-noise, and ion charge states were assigned using the 427 "Voting v3" charge assignment algorithm⁵¹. Ion filtering and charge assignment parameters are 428 summarized in Table S1. Charge assignment was calibrated using carbonic anhydrase, alcohol 429 dehydrogenase, pyruvate kinase, beta-galactosidase, and GroEl. All samples were acquired for 10-430 20 minutes, and the reported measurements are representative of $\sim 10,000$ ions.

431

432 Cryo EM Sample Preparation & Data collection

For Grid preparation ABCB1-eYFP reconstituted in Saposin A Nanoparticles (SapNPs) were incubated antiGFP nanobody (Addgene) coupled Sepharose 4B resin prepared in house for 2 hrs at 4°C, washed with 3 x 10CV of reconstitution buffer, followed on-column cleavage by in

436 3CV reconstitution buffer supplemented with 3C protease to recover ABCB1 SapNPs. Samples 437 were subsequently concentrated using a 100 MWCO centrifugal filter and further purified by Size 438 exclusion chromatography (SEC) on an Agilent 1260 Infinity II LC system (Agilent Technologies) 439 using a TSKgel G4000SWxl column (TOSOH biosciences) pre-equilibrated with reconstitution 440 buffer at 4 °C and peak fractions pooled and concentrated to 0.5-1 mg⁻¹ml⁻¹ for grid preparation. 441 Where needed zosuquidar and taxol were added to pooled fractions at 10 µM final concentration 442 with or without ATP/Mg²⁺ (5mM each) and incubated for 10 minutes at RT before concentration. 443 4 μ L of sample was applied to the glow discharged (60 s, 15 mA) Quantifoil R1.2/1.3 Cu grids 444 using Vitrobot Mark IV with 4 s blot time and 0 blot force under >90 % humidity at 4 °C and 445 plunge frozen in liquid ethane. All grids were clipped and stored in liquid nitrogen.

All the Cryo EM data were collected on a 300 kV Titan Krios electron microscope equipped with a Biocontinuum K3 Direct Electron Detector with 20 eV GIF energy filter, 50 eV condenser C2 and 100 μ m objective apertures. Automated data collection was carried out using the EPU 2.8.0.1256REL software package (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at a magnification of 130,000× in Counted Super Resolution mode corresponding to a calibrated pixel size of 0.664 Å with defocus range set from -0.5 μ m to -2.5 μ m. Three shots were taken per hole. Image stacks comprising 40 frames were recorded for 60 s at an estimated dose rate of 1e-/Å²/frame.

453 Data processing, model building, and refinement

Data processing was done in Relion⁵²⁻⁵⁴. In brief, image stacks were motion corrected using Relion's internal MotionCor2 implementation, followed by CTF estimation using CTFFIND4⁵⁵. All resolution estimates were based on the gold standard 0.143 cutoff criterion⁵³. Data collection and processing parameters are provided in Table S2 along with model building and refinement statistics. Data processing flow charts are shown in Figure S3. EM density around individual

domains/TMs and Local resolution-colored maps are shown in Figure S5 and Figure S6,respectively.

461 For ABCB1-apo, an initial dataset comprising 5974 micrographs was used for reference 462 free automated particle picking (Laplacian-of-Gaussian algorithm) within Relion. 2167202 463 particles were extracted at a 3x binned pixel size of 1.992 Å and subjected to several rounds of 2D 464 classification, followed by Ab-initio model building using within Relion. This initial model was 465 used for subsequent 3D classification (number of classes (N)=5) and a single predominant class 466 comprising 662694 was refined to 5.1 Å followed by another round of 3D classification (N=5) and 3D refinement, re-extraction at a 1.5X binned pixel size of 0.996 Å, and particle polishing to yield 467 468 a 4.1 Å map. A second set of 6321903 particles from 13327 micrographs was picked using Topaz 469 (default model) and processed similarly except that a refined 3D class from the first set was used 470 as a reference. A refined 3D at 4.0 Å resolution and comprising 660276 particles was obtained. 471 Particles from the final refined classes from both sets were combined, followed by additional 472 rounds of 3D refinement and postprocessing to yield a 3.8 Å map.

For the ABCB1_{Taxol/ATP} complex, 15494460 particles from 33055 micrographs were autopicked using Topaz and extracted at a 3x binned pixel size of 1.992 Å. After one round of 2D Classification, 6254156 particles were used for 3D classification (N=5) with a low pass filtered ABCB1-apo map as a reference. The single highest resolution class revealed an IF conformation and was subjected to iterative 3D refinement and particle polishing, followed by subtraction of the SapNP. After 3D classification (N=5), 154538 particles from the highest resolution were reverted to their original non-subtracted images and refined to 3.9 Å.

For the ABCB1_{Taxol} complex, 5725 micrographs were used to pick 2547172 particles by
Topaz and extracted at a 3x binned pixel size of 1.992 Å. After 2D classification, 1270596 particles

were used for 3D classification (N=3) using the ABCB1_{apo} map as a reference. 486111 particles
from the best class were subjected to another round of 3D classification. The single highest
resolution class comprised 133895 particles and was refined to 4.7 Å.

For the ABCB1_{Zosuquidar} complex, 2182930 particles were automatically picked by Topaz from 7281 micrographs. After two rounds of 2D classification, 943398 particles entered 3D classification (N=5) with a low-pass filtered ABCB1_{apo} map used as a reference The single, highest resolution class comprising 373279 particles was subjected to re-extraction at a 1.5X binned pixel size of 0.996 Å and signal subtraction to remove delocalized bulk lipid density and refined to 3.6 Å resolution.

For the ABCB1_{Zosuquidar/ATP} complex, 10710935 particles from 12897 micrographs were picked using topaz. 2468729 particles were chosen for 3D classification (N=5) using the map of the zosuquidar complex without ATP as a reference. A single highest resolution class comprising 733688 particles was subjected to iterative rounds of 3D classification and particle polishing within Relion to yield a final refined map at 3.6 Å resolution.

496 Fort the ABCB1_{ATPrs} sample, 7689616 particles from 12165 micrographs were 497 automatically picked using Topaz. After several rounds of 2D classification, 1732065 particles 498 were subjected to 3D classification (N=5)using a low pass filtered ABCAB1_{Apo} map as a reference. 499 A single OF classes comprising 400787 particles was subjected to another round of 3D 500 classification (N=5). 180,163 Particles from two similar and roughly equally populated OF classes 501 were combined, re-extracted at a 1.5X binned pixel size of 0.996 Å and refined to 3.75 Å. A second 502 dataset of 6204620 particles from 9318 micrographs was processed similarly to yield a final 503 refined class at 3.5 Å comprising 260172 particles. Particles from the final class from both datasets

504 were combined and subjected to another round of 3D classification (N=5) and the highest 505 resolution class comprising 136896 particles was refined to 3.4 Å.

506 Final EM maps were used for model building in COOT 0.9.6 EL⁵⁶. De novo model building 507 was guided by the predicted structure of ABCB1 from AlphaFold2⁵⁷ for the apo and taxol 508 complexes. For the zosuquidar complexes, model building was guided initially by the structure of 509 ABCB1 bound to the MRK16 fab (PDBID 7A6F). For the ATPyS complexed ABCB1, the 510 structure of ATP bound ABCB1-EQ (PDBID: 6C0V) was used as an initial model before minor 511 adjustments and refinement. Non-proteinaceous continuous density features attributed to lipids or 512 sterols were modeled as Acyl-chains. The structures were refined with secondary structure and geometry restrains in COOT 0.9.6 and PHENIX⁵⁸. Where NBD density was too weak for denovo 513 514 model building, docked NBDs from higher resolution structures reported here were used and 515 minimally refined. The final models for ABCB1_{apo} comprised residues 33-81, 106-606, 694-1230, 516 for ABCB1_{Taxol/ATP} comprised residues 30-87, 100-630, 689-1257, for ABCB1_{Zosuguidar/ATP} 517 comprised residues 30-90, 104-630, 691-1272, and for ABCB1_{ATPrs} comprised residues 35-80, 518 105-630, 692-1276. Map and Structure visualization was performed in UCSF Chimera⁵⁹ and 519 ChimeraX⁶⁰.

520

521 References (Methods)

522

Ritchie, T. K. *et al.* Chapter 11 - Reconstitution of membrane proteins in phospholipid
bilayer nanodiscs. *Methods Enzymol* 464, 211-231, doi:10.1016/S0076-6879(09)64011-8
(2009).

- 526 44 Frauenfeld, J. *et al.* A saposin-lipoprotein nanoparticle system for membrane proteins. *Nat*527 *Methods* 13, 345-351, doi:10.1038/nmeth.3801 (2016).
- 528 45 Le, L. T. M. *et al.* Cryo-EM structures of human ABCA7 provide insights into its 529 phospholipid translocation mechanisms. *EMBO J* 42, e111065,
- 530 doi:10.15252/embj.2022111065 (2023).
- Geertsma, E. R., Nik Mahmood, N. A., Schuurman-Wolters, G. K. & Poolman, B.
 Membrane reconstitution of ABC transporters and assays of translocator function. *Nat Protoc* 3, 256-266, doi:10.1038/nprot.2007.519 (2008).
- 534 47 Chifflet, S., Torriglia, A., Chiesa, R. & Tolosa, S. A method for the determination of 535 inorganic phosphate in the presence of labile organic phosphate and high concentrations of 536 protein: application to lens ATPases. *Anal Biochem* **168**, 1-4 (1988).
- Wilm, M. & Mann, M. Analytical properties of the nanoelectrospray ion source. *Anal Chem*68, 1-8, doi:10.1021/ac9509519 (1996).
- Fort, K. L. *et al.* Expanding the structural analysis capabilities on an Orbitrap-based mass
 spectrometer for large macromolecular complexes. *Analyst* 143, 100-105,
 doi:10.1039/c7an01629h (2017).
- 50 Worner, T. P. *et al.* Resolving heterogeneous macromolecular assemblies by Orbitrap-based
 single-particle charge detection mass spectrometry. *Nat Methods* 17, 395-398,
 doi:10.1038/s41592-020-0770-7 (2020).
- 545 51 Kafader, J. O. *et al.* STORI Plots Enable Accurate Tracking of Individual Ion Signals. *J Am*546 Soc Mass Spectrom **30**, 2200-2203, doi:10.1007/s13361-019-02309-0 (2019).
- 547 52 Zivanov, J. *et al.* A Bayesian approach to single-particle electron cryo-tomography in
 548 RELION-4.0. *Elife* 11, doi:10.7554/eLife.83724 (2022).

549	53	Scheres, S. H. RELION: implementation of a Bayesian approach to cryo-EM structure
550		determination. J Struct Biol 180, 519-530, doi:10.1016/j.jsb.2012.09.006 (2012).

- 551 54 Kimanius, D. et al. Data-driven regularization lowers the size barrier of cryo-EM structure
- 552 determination. *Nat Methods*, doi:10.1038/s41592-024-02304-8 (2024).
- 55355Rohou, A. & Grigorieff, N. CTFFIND4: Fast and accurate defocus estimation from electron
- 554 micrographs. *J Struct Biol* **192**, 216-221, doi:10.1016/j.jsb.2015.08.008 (2015).
- 555 56 Brown, A. et al. Tools for macromolecular model building and refinement into electron
- 556 cryo-microscopy reconstructions. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 71, 136-153,
- 557 doi:10.1107/S1399004714021683 (2015).
- 558 57 Jumper, J. *et al.* Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature* 596,
 559 583-589, doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03819-2 (2021).
- 560 58 Adams, P. D. et al. PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecular
- 561 structure solution. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* 66, 213-221,
 562 doi:10.1107/S0907444909052925 (2010).
- 563 59 Pettersen, E. F. *et al.* UCSF Chimera--a visualization system for exploratory research and 564 analysis. *J Comput Chem* **25**, 1605-1612, doi:10.1002/jcc.20084 (2004).
- 565 60 Pettersen, E. F. *et al.* UCSF ChimeraX: Structure visualization for researchers, educators,
 566 and developers. *Protein Sci* 30, 70-82, doi:10.1002/pro.3943 (2021).
- 567

568 Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Dr. Kaspar Locher at ETH, Zurich, Switzerland, for providing the synthetic gene construct of human ABCB1. We would also like to thank the cryo-EM and shared instruments core facilities at the Hormel Institute for help with experimental setup, and Dr. Jeppe

572 Olsen, Dr. Jarrod French, Dr. Thanuja Sudasinghe, Dr. Subhrajyoti Dola, and Ashley Wise for

573 critical reading and discussion during manuscript preparation. This work was supported in part by

- 574 the Hormel Foundation (Institutional research funds to AA), the National Institutes of Health
- 575 (NIH) 1R01GM146906 (to AA), the Eagles Telethon postdoctoral fellowship (LTML and DK).
- 576 V.V.G acknowledges funding from University of Minnesota start-up funds.

577 Author Contributions

- 578 AA conceived the research. DK performed all experiments with contributions from LTML, AA,
- and PXD. AA and DK performed all cryo-EM Data processing, model building, and refinement.
- 580 V.V.G performed the nMS data collection analysis simulations. AA and DK wrote the manuscript
- 581 with contributions from all other authors.

582 **Competing Financial Interests**

583 The authors declare no competing financial Interests.

584 Data and materials availability:

Requests for materials should be addressed to Amer Alam. The cryo-EM Maps have been deposited at the Electron Microscopy Databank (EMDB) under accession codes EMD-45854 (ABCB1_{apo}), EMD-45904 (ABCB1_{Taxol/ATP}), EMD-45903 (ABCB1_{Zosuquidar/ATP}), and EMD-45906 (ABCB1_{ATPyS}) and the associated atomic coordinates have been deposited at the Protein Data bank (PDB) under accession codes 9CR8, 9CTF, 9CTC, and 9CTG, respectively. Maps for ABCB1_{Taxol} and ABCB1_{Zosuquidar} have been deposited at the EMDB with accession codes MD-45931 and EMD-45932, respectively.

592

593 Additional Information

594 Supplementary Information is available for this manuscript.

- 595 Supplementary Data
- **Tables S1-S2**
- 597 Figures S1-S6

-

Table S1: Ion filtering and charge assignment parameters

Ion Filtering	
R ² Threshold	0.996
Duration threshold	0.42
Minimum Time of Death	0.2
Maximum Time of Birth	0.1
Signal-To-Noise Threshold	3
Charge Assignment (Voting v3)	
Bin Size (ppm)	5
Minimum Ions in Bin	1
Number of Charge Neighbors	2
Number of Isotope Neighbors	5

636 Table S2 Cryo-EM data collection, refinement and validation statistics of human ABCB1

Data collection and	ABCB1 _{apo}	ABCB1	ABCB1	ABCB1	ABCB1	ABCB1
processing		Taxol/ATP	Zosuquidar/ATP	ATP y S	Zosuquidar	Taxol
Microscope	FEI Titan Krios					
Camera	Gatan Biocontinuum K3					
Magnification	130kx					
Voltage (kV)	300					
Electron exposure $(e^{-/} Å^2)$	45-50					
Defocus range (µm)	-0.5 to -2.5					
Pixel size (Å)	0.664					
Energy filter (eV)	20					
Micrographs (#)				9318	7281	
Symmetry imposed	C1		•	•		
Particles in final Class	660276	154538	733688	136896	373279	133895
Map resolution (Å)	3.8	3.9	3.6	3.4	3.6	4.7
(FSC 0.143)						
Map sharpening B factor	-197.74	-	-223.113	-137.7	-	-
(Å ²)		168.435			148.229	240.975
Local Resolution Range	3.7-4.9	3.8-5.9	3.5-4.5	3.4-5.0		
$(Å^2)$						
				ABCB1 _{ATPys}		
Refinement	ABCB1 _{apo}	ABCB1	ABCB1	ABCB1 _A	ΓΡγS	
Refinement	ABCB1 _{apo}	ABCB1 Taxol/ATP	ABCB1 Zosuquidar/ATP	ABCB1 _A	ΓΡ γ S	
Refinement Model composition	ABCB1apo	ABCB1 Taxol/ATP	ABCB1 Zosuquidar/ATP	ABCB1 _A	ΓΡ γ S	
Refinement Model composition Non-hydrogen atoms	ABCB1 _{apo} 8664	ABCB1 Taxol/ATP 9398	ABCB1 Zosuquidar/ATP 9525	ABCB1 _A	ΓΡγS	
Refinement Model composition Non-hydrogen atoms Protein residues	ABCB1 _{apo} 8664	ABCB1 Taxol/ATP 9398	ABCB1 Zosuquidar/ATP 9525	ABCB1 _A 9128	ΓΡ γ S	
Refinement Model composition Non-hydrogen atoms Protein residues Ligands	ABCB1 _{apo} 8664 1087	ABCB1 Taxol/ATP 9398 1158	ABCB1 Zosuquidar/ATP 9525 1170	ABCB1 _A 9128 1157	ΓΡγS	
Refinement Model composition Non-hydrogen atoms Protein residues Ligands	ABCB1 _{apo} 8664 1087 15	ABCB1 Taxol/ATP 9398 1158 24	ABCB1 Zosuquidar/ATP 9525 1170 25	ABCB1 _A 9128 1157 13	ΓΡγS	
Refinement Model composition Non-hydrogen atoms Protein residues Ligands <i>B</i> factors (Å ²)	ABCB1 _{apo} 8664 1087 15	ABCB1 Taxol/ATP 9398 1158 24	ABCB1 Zosuquidar/ATP 9525 1170 25	ABCB1 _A 9128 1157 13	ΓΡγS	
Refinement Model composition Non-hydrogen atoms Protein residues Ligands B factors (Å ²) Protein	ABCB1 _{apo} 8664 1087 15 65.21	ABCB1 Taxol/ATP 9398 1158 24 128.74	ABCB1 Zosuquidar/ATP 9525 1170 25 79.80	ABCB1 _A 9128 1157 13 70.65	ΓΡγS	
Refinement Model composition Non-hydrogen atoms Protein residues Ligands B factors (Å ²) Protein Ligand	ABCB1 _{apo} 8664 1087 15 65.21 41.21	ABCB1 Taxol/ATP 9398 1158 24 128.74 100.83	ABCB1 Zosuquidar/ATP 9525 1170 25 79.80 60.54	ABCB1 _A 9128 1157 13 70.65 62.68	ΓΡγS	
Refinement Model composition Non-hydrogen atoms Protein residues Ligands <i>B</i> factors (Å ²) Protein Ligand R.m.s. deviations	ABCB1 _{apo} 8664 1087 15 65.21 41.21	ABCB1 Taxol/ATP 9398 1158 24 128.74 100.83	ABCB1 Zosuquidar/ATP 9525 1170 25 79.80 60.54	ABCB1 _A : 9128 1157 13 70.65 62.68	ΓΡγS	
Refinement Model composition Non-hydrogen atoms Protein residues Ligands <i>B</i> factors (Å ²) Protein Ligand R.m.s. deviations Bond lengths (Å)	ABCB1 _{apo} 8664 1087 15 65.21 41.21 0.003 0.003	ABCB1 Taxol/ATP 9398 1158 24 128.74 100.83 0.002	ABCB1 Zosuquidar/ATP 9525 1170 25 79.80 60.54 0.003	ABCB1A 9128 1157 13 70.65 62.68 0.004	ΓΡγS	
Refinement Model composition Non-hydrogen atoms Protein residues Ligands <i>B</i> factors (Å ²) Protein Ligand R.m.s. deviations Bond lengths (Å) Bond angles (°)	ABCB1 _{apo} 8664 1087 15 65.21 41.21 0.003 0.489	ABCB1 Taxol/ATP 9398 1158 24 128.74 100.83 0.002 0.483	ABCB1 Zosuquidar/ATP 9525 1170 25 79.80 60.54 0.003 0.516	ABCB1A 9128 1157 13 70.65 62.68 0.004 0.579	ΓΡγS	
Refinement Model composition Non-hydrogen atoms Protein residues Ligands <i>B</i> factors (Å ²) Protein Ligand R.m.s. deviations Bond lengths (Å) Bond angles (°) Validation	ABCB1 _{apo} 8664 1087 15 65.21 41.21 0.003 0.489 4.01	ABCB1 Taxol/ATP 9398 1158 24 128.74 100.83 0.002 0.483 1.60	ABCB1 Zosuquidar/ATP 9525 1170 25 79.80 60.54 0.003 0.516	ABCB1A 9128 1157 13 70.65 62.68 0.004 0.579	ΓΡγS	
Refinement Model composition Non-hydrogen atoms Protein residues Ligands <i>B</i> factors (Å ²) Protein Ligand R.m.s. deviations Bond lengths (Å) Bond angles (°) Validation MolProbity score	ABCB1 _{apo} 8664 1087 15 65.21 41.21 0.003 0.489 1.84 7.50	ABCB1 Taxol/ATP 9398 1158 24 128.74 100.83 0.002 0.483 1.62 0.52	ABCB1 Zosuquidar/ATP 9525 1170 25 79.80 60.54 0.003 0.516 1.73 6.72	ABCB1 _A : 9128 1157 13 70.65 62.68 0.004 0.579 1.59	ΓΡγS	
Refinement Model composition Non-hydrogen atoms Protein residues Ligands <i>B</i> factors (Å ²) Protein Ligand R.m.s. deviations Bond lengths (Å) Bond angles (°) Validation MolProbity score Clashscore	ABCB1 _{apo} 8664 1087 15 65.21 41.21 0.003 0.489 1.84 7.50 2.22	ABCB1 Taxol/ATP 9398 1158 24 128.74 100.83 0.002 0.483 1.62 9.52 0.52	ABCB1 Zosuquidar/ATP 9525 1170 25 79.80 60.54 0.003 0.516 1.73 6.72 2 2 1	ABCB1A ⁻ 9128 1157 13 70.65 62.68 0.004 0.579 1.59 7.68	ΓΡγS	
Refinement Model composition Non-hydrogen atoms Protein residues Ligands <i>B</i> factors (Å ²) Protein Ligand R.m.s. deviations Bond lengths (Å) Bond angles (°) Validation MolProbity score Clashscore Poor rotamers (%)	ABCB1 _{apo} 8664 1087 15 65.21 41.21 0.003 0.489 1.84 7.50 0.22	ABCB1 Taxol/ATP 9398 1158 24 128.74 100.83 0.002 0.483 1.62 9.52 0.52	ABCB1 Zosuquidar/ATP 9525 1170 25 79.80 60.54 0.003 0.516 1.73 6.72 0.31	ABCB1A ⁻ 9128 1157 13 70.65 62.68 0.004 0.579 1.59 7.68 0.11	ΓΡγS	
Refinement Model composition Non-hydrogen atoms Protein residues Ligands B factors (Ų) Protein Ligand R.m.s. deviations Bond lengths (Å) Bond angles (°) Validation MolProbity score Clashscore Poor rotamers (%) Ramachandran plot	ABCB1 _{apo} 8664 1087 15 65.21 41.21 0.003 0.489 1.84 7.50 0.22	ABCB1 Taxol/ATP 9398 1158 24 128.74 100.83 0.002 0.483 1.62 9.52 0.52	ABCB1 Zosuquidar/ATP 9525 1170 25 79.80 60.54 0.003 0.516 1.73 6.72 0.31	ABCB1A 9128 1157 13 70.65 62.68 0.004 0.579 1.59 7.68 0.11	ΓΡγS	
Refinement Model composition Non-hydrogen atoms Protein residues Ligands <i>B</i> factors (Å ²) Protein Ligand R.m.s. deviations Bond lengths (Å) Bond angles (°) Validation MolProbity score Clashscore Poor rotamers (%) Ramachandran plot Favored (%)	ABCB1 _{apo} 8664 1087 15 65.21 41.21 0.003 0.489 1.84 7.50 0.22 93.52 6.52	ABCB1 Taxol/ATP 9398 1158 24 128.74 100.83 0.002 0.483 1.62 9.52 0.52 97.40 2.52	ABCB1 Zosuquidar/ATP 9525 1170 25 79.80 60.54 0.003 0.516 1.73 6.72 0.31 94.85	ABCB1A 9128 1157 13 70.65 62.68 0.004 0.579 1.59 7.68 0.11 97.05	ΓΡ γ S	
Refinement Model composition Non-hydrogen atoms Protein residues Ligands B factors (Ų) Protein Ligand R.m.s. deviations Bond lengths (Å) Bond angles (°) Validation MolProbity score Clashscore Poor rotamers (%) Ramachandran plot Favored (%) Allowed (%)	ABCB1 _{apo} 8664 1087 15 65.21 41.21 0.003 0.489 1.84 7.50 0.22 93.52 6.48	ABCB1 Taxol/ATP 9398 1158 24 128.74 100.83 0.002 0.483 1.62 9.52 0.52 97.40 2.52 97.40 2.52	ABCB1 Zosuquidar/ATP 9525 1170 25 79.80 60.54 0.003 0.516 1.73 6.72 0.31 94.85 4.81 2.5	ABCB1A 9128 1157 13 70.65 62.68 0.004 0.579 1.59 7.68 0.11 97.05 2.95	ΓΡ γ S	

637



Figure S1. Secondary structure (SS) breaks in apo ABCB1 Gly and Pro residues colored teal
 and blue, respectively, and predicted SS breaks shown as spheres. An ECL3 and ECL6 sequence
 alignment is also shown with residues colored similarly and predicted SS breaking residues
 underlined. TM4/5 and TM10/11 pairs are colored red. Acyl chains for prospective lipid/sterol
 molecules are shown as transparent spheres.

650 Figure S2



- Figure S2 Mismatch between TMD1 and TMD2 cavities for taxol binding. A Overlay of domain swapped (DS) halves of ABCB1. The Taxol molecule bound to TMD2_{DS} is shown as transparent pink spheres. The Zoom panel shows electrostatic potential map of the TMD2_{DS} cavity (left) and its TMD1_{DS} cavity equivalent (right) showing electrostatic and steric clashes with Taxol.
 B TMD1_{DS} equivalent residues of TMD2_{DS} residues (Blue sticks) within 5 Angstroms of bound Taxol (transparent sticks), with residue labels colored similarly.

662 Figure S3



667

Figure S3 Data processing overview. 3D classes chosen for further processing are boxed.

669 Figure S4



671



Figure S4 Data processing overview. FSC curves for final human ABCB1 maps

673 Figure S5



675 **Figure S5 EM Density maps for lipid embedded ABCB1**. Contour levels for Apo: 0.012; Taxol/ATP complex: 0.011; Zosuquidar/ATP complex: 0.031; and ATP**y**S complex:0.011

676





 Figure S6 Local Density filtered Maps. Color Key indicates Resolution range for each filtered map.