#### Open Access Full Text Article

#### ORIGINAL RESEARCH

# 3' untranslated region 1630 C>T polymorphism of *prohibitin* increases risk of breast cancer

Lin Tang<sup>1,\*</sup> Yunzhao Zhao<sup>2,\*</sup> Weiwei Nie<sup>1</sup> Zexing Wang<sup>1</sup> Xiaoxiang Guan<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medical Oncology, <sup>2</sup>Department of General Surgery, Jinling Hospital, Nanjing University School of Medicine, Nanjing, People's Republic of China

\*These authors contributed equally to this work

Correspondence: Xiaoxiang Guan Department of Medical Oncology, Jinling Hospital, Nanjing University School of Medicine, 305 East Zhongshan Road, Nanjing, Jiangsu Province 210002, People's Republic of China Tel +86 25 8086 0072 Fax +86 25 8086 1166 Email xguan@nju.edu.cn **Background:** *Prohibitin* 3' untranslated region 1630 C>T (rs6917) polymorphism creates a variant T allele that lacks the antiproliferative activity of the more common functional C allele. Previous studies indicate that women carrying the prohibitin T allele have an increased susceptibility to breast cancer. However, the role of 1630 C>T polymorphism in mRNA expression of *prohibitin* and its contribution to carcinogenesis in the breast remains controversial.

**Methods:** Using mRNA expression data from the HapMap online database, we sought an association between *prohibitin* 1630 C>T polymorphism and its mRNA expression, then conducted a meta-analysis of *prohibitin* 1630 C>T polymorphism and risk of breast cancer.

**Results:** Although no significant association was found between *prohibitin* 1630 C>T polymorphism and mRNA expression in lymphoblastoid cell lines from the HapMap database ( $P_{trend} = 0.543$ ), the present meta-analysis involving 5072 cases and 4796 controls demonstrated that *prohibitin* 1630 C>T polymorphism was significantly correlated with breast cancer risk in allele contrast model T versus C (odds ratio [OR] 1.09, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.01–1.18), the homozygote codominant model TT versus CC (OR 1.47, 95% CI 1.12–1.92), and the recessive model TT versus CC/CT (OR 1.45, 95% CI 1.10–1.89).

**Conclusion:** Our study indicates that minor allele T of *prohibitin* 1630 C>T polymorphism is associated with increased susceptibility to breast cancer.

Keywords: prohibitin, breast cancer, genetic, polymorphisms, risk

## Introduction

*Prohibitin* is a candidate tumor suppressor gene encoding a 30 kDa intracellular protein which regulates cell cycle progression in multiple cell types. It interacts with the retinoblastoma tumor suppressor protein and its family members to suppress E2F-mediated transcription, and binds to p53 protein, increasing p53 transcriptional activity via increased DNA binding.<sup>1,2</sup> The human *prohibitin* gene is located on chromosome 17q21, a region of frequent loss of heterozygosity in breast cancers, spanning approximately 11 kb and consisting of seven exons.<sup>3</sup> In total, 217 single nucleotide polymorphisms have been identified in the *prohibitin* gene region, and 38 nucleotide polymorphisms in the coding region (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/SNP/). Of these, 14 nucleotide polymorphisms have been reported in the 3'-untranslated region, as shown in Table 1, only five nucleotide polymorphisms (rs6917, rs9893420, rs111398671, rs112294663, rs73324369) have minor allele frequencies available, and the potential microRNA binding sites are summarized in Table 1. The most extensively studied nucleotide polymorphism of *prohibitin* is a C-to-T transition at position 1630 in

© 2013 Tang et al, publisher and licensee Dove Medical Press Ltd. This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted noncommercial use, provided the original work is properly cited.

Name	Chr position	Alleles	MAF	Potential MicroRNA binding sites
rs6917	44836542	C/T	0.1924	hsa-miR-886-5p,hsa-miR-1292
rs9893420	44836887	A/G	0.0151	hsa-miR-15a,hsa-miR-15b,hsa-miR-16
				hsa-miR-103,hsa-miR-107,hsa-miR-195
				hsa-miR-220c,hsa-miR-217,hsa-miR-424
				hsa-miR-497,hsa-miR-873,hsa-miR-933
rs111398671	47481589	C/T	0.0064	NA
rs112294663	47481625	A/G	0.0172	NA
rs73324369	47481676	C/T	0.0115	NA

Table I The SNPs of prohibitin 3'UTR and MicroRNA binding sites

Abbreviations: Chr, chromosome; MAF, Minor Alleles Frequency; Mi, micro; NA, not available; RNA, ribonucleic acid; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; UTR, untranslated region.

the 3'-untranslated region, that creates a variant with hsamiR-1292 and hsa-miR-886-5p as potential binding sites (http://snpinfo.niehs.nih.gov/cgi-bin/snpinfo/snpfunc.cgi). This variant lacks antiproliferative activity and significantly reduces cell motility.<sup>4-6</sup>

Recent studies have evaluated the potential role of prohibitin in development of breast cancer and risk modification associated with prohibitin 1630 C>T polymorphism, but there are still no consistent data to indicate the molecular mechanism of 1630 C>T polymorphism in the regulation of prohibitin mRNA expression and its role in carcinogenesis. Although the T allele has been associated with an increased risk of breast cancer in women aged younger than 50 years who have a first-degree relative with breast cancer,7 there are other studies that have not found an association between this polymorphism and breast cancer. In order to evaluate this potential association more precisely, we identified all published case-control studies, amounting to 5072 cases and 4796 controls, and undertook a quantitative analysis to identify evidence of an association between prohibitin 1630 C>T polymorphism and breast cancer risk.

# Materials and methods Genotype and mRNA expression data in lymphoblastoid cell lines

We used additional data on *prohibitin* genotypes and mRNA levels available online (http://app3.titan.uio.no/biotools/help. php?app=snpexp) for analysis of the genotype-phenotype relationship.<sup>8</sup> We analyzed the variation in gene expression using genome-wide expression arrays (47,294 transcripts) from Epstein-Barr virus-transformed lymphoblastoid cell lines from the same 270 HapMap individuals.<sup>9</sup> The genotyping data were from the HapMap Phase II release 23 data set consisting of 3.96 million single nucleotide polymorphism genotypes from 270 individuals in four populations.<sup>10</sup>

#### Publication search and data extraction

Eligible studies were identified by searching in the PubMed, ISI Web of Knowledge, and Embase databases for relevant reports (last search update, August 2012), using the search terms "PHB" or "prohibitin", "polymorphism", and "breast cancer". We did not define any minimum number of patients to be included for meta-analysis. When multiple studies of the same patient population were identified, we included the published report with the largest sample size.

Inclusion criteria were: evaluation of prohibitin 1630 C>T polymorphism and breast cancer risk, case-control study design, and sufficient published data for estimating an odds ratio (OR) with a 95% confidence interval (CI). Only the most recent or complete study was used if the same study subjects were included in more than one publication. The main exclusion criteria were: no control population, no available genotype frequency, and overlapping data.

Two authors reviewed the articles separately and extracted the data from all eligible publications according to the criteria listed above. Any discrepancies between investigators were resolved by discussion and consultation with a third reviewer. The first author's surname, year of publication, country of original ethnicity, study design, genotyping method, and numbers of genotyped cases and controls (CC, CT, and TT genotypes) were recorded for each study.

#### Statistical methods

The genotype and phenotype relationship analysis was performed using SAS software (version 9.1, SAS Institute, Cary, NC). A pooled OR and 95% CI were calculated to estimate the risk of breast cancer associated with *prohibitin* 1630 C>T. For all studies, we estimated the association under five different types of OR, namely the allele contrast model (T versus C), homozygote codominant model (TT versus CC), heterozygote codominant model (CT versus CC), dominant model (TT/CT versus CC), and recessive model (TT versus CC/CT). Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium



Figure I mRNA expression level of the *prohibitin* gene in Epstein Barr virustransformed lymphoblastoid cell lines.

was investigated using the  $\chi^2$  test. The Q-statistic and  $I^2$  test were used to investigate the degree of heterogeneity between studies. When  $P \ge 0.1$  or  $I^2 \le 50\%$  indicated a lack of heterogeneity, the fixed-effects model (Mantel-Haenszel method) was used. Otherwise, the random-effects model (DerSimonian-Laird method) was chosen. Egger's test and inverted Begg's funnel plots were used to detect any publication bias. A sensitivity analysis was also performed by repeating the meta-analysis and omitting each study at each iteration.<sup>11,12</sup> The data were analyzed using Revman 5.0 software (http://ims.cochrane.org/revman).

#### Results

# Prohibitin mRNA expression by genotype in lymphoblastoid cell lines

We used the available HapMap-cDNA expression database for correlation analysis of prohibitin genotype and mRNA expression in 270 HapMap lymphoblastoid cell lines. Except for nine cell lines with no available values, 180 (68.9%) cell lines had the CC genotype, 71 (27.3%) had the CT genotype, and 10 (3.8%) had the TT genotype. Figure 1 shows *prohibitin* mRNA expression according to 1630 C>T genotype for the lymphoblastoid cell lines. There was no significant difference in *prohibitin* mRNA expression level between cell lines carrying the TT genotype (9.05 ± 0.31), TC genotype (9.04  $\pm$  0.33), or CC genotype (8.96  $\pm$  0.29,  $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.543$ , Figure 1).

#### Study characteristics

After careful examination according to the inclusion criteria, six publications on polymorphisms of *prohibitin* 1630 C>T and breast cancer risk were eligible,<sup>4,7,13-16</sup> of which the study by Jakubowska et al<sup>14</sup> was reported twice. For the overlapping studies, only the one with the largest sample numbers was included. Jupe et al<sup>7</sup> only provided information on C/T or T/T versus C/C. Hence, a total of five publications including 5072 cases and 4796 controls were used in the present meta-analysis. Table 2 lists the main characteristics of these studies. All cases were histologically confirmed as breast cancer, and controls were cancer-free and hospital-based populations matched for age and gender. The genotype distribution of the controls was in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, except for one study.<sup>7</sup>

#### Meta-analysis results

The results of the meta-analysis are shown in Table 3. Because the between-study heterogeneity of each study included in our meta-analysis was not statistically significant, all pooled ORs were derived from fixed-effects models. We observed that the *prohibitin* 1630 C>T polymorphism was significantly correlated with risk of breast cancer in the allele contrast model T versus C (OR 1.09, 95% CI 1.01–1.18, Figure 2), the homozygote codominant model TT versus CC (OR 1.47, 95% CI 1.12–1.92, Figure 3), and the recessive model TT versus CC/CT (OR 1.45, 95% CI 1.10–1.89, Figure 4). However, no significant association was detected for the heterozygote codominant model CT versus CC (OR 1.04, 95% CI 0.95–1.14, Figure 5) or the dominant model TT/CT versus CC (OR 1.08, 95% CI 0.99–1.18, Figure 6).

#### Publication bias

Funnel plots and Egger's test were used to assess publication bias in the literature. There was no evidence of publication

Table 2 Characteristics of the studies included in the meta-analysis

First author	Year	Country	Genotyping method	Source	Genot	HWE					
					сс	СТ	тт	сс	ст	TT	
Jupe et al <sup>7</sup>	2001	USA	PCR-RFLP	PB	128	77*		709	337*		NA
Spurdle et al <sup>13</sup>	2002	Australia	PCR-RFLP	PB	992	416	38	533	235	18	0.18
Campbell et al⁴	2003	UK	PCR-RFLP	PB	188	93	10	170	61	7	0.59
Karakus et al <sup>15</sup>	2008	Turkey	PCR-RFLP	PB	67	36	3	101	47	6	0.86
Jakubowska et al <sup>16</sup>	2012	Poland	iPLEX PCR-RFLP	PB	2029	891	104	1771	747	54	0.02
			Tagman								

Note: \*For these just presenting the information for genotypes of CC and CT + TT, dominant model is calculated only.

Abbreviations: HWE, Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium; NA, not available; PB, population-based study; PCR, polymerase chain reaction.

179

 Table 3 Result of meta-analysis for prohibitin 3'UTR 1630 C>T polymorphism and breast cancer risk

Study	tudy T vs C		TT vs CC		CT vs CC		CT/TT vs CC		TT vs CT/CC	
Groups	OR (95% CI)	Ph	OR (95% CI)	Ph	OR (95% CI)	Ph	OR (95% CI)	Ph	OR (95% CI)	Ph
Total	1.09 (1.01–1.18) <sup>a</sup>	0.43	.47 ( . 2– .92)ª	0.51	1.04 (0.95–1.14)	0.38	1.08 (0.99–1.18)	0.4	I.45 (I.10−I.89)ª	0.49

Notes: "Statistically significant result; Ph: P value of Q test for heterogeneity.

Abbreviations: CI, Confidence Interval; OR, Odds Ratio; UTR, Untranslated Regions.

bias for *prohibitin* 1630 C>T polymorphism, and the results of the Egger's test suggested no publication bias for the allele contrast model (P = 0.685), homozygote codominant model (P = 0.810), heterozygote codominant model (P = 0.926), dominant model (P = 0.639), or recessive model (P = 0.846).

#### Discussion

The 3' untranslated region of the prohibitin gene which encodes a transacting regulatory RNA molecule arrests cell proliferation between the G<sub>1</sub> and S phases of the cell cycle.<sup>17</sup> Jupe et al confirmed the antiproliferative activity of prohibitin by microinjection of prohibitin mRNA and protein into normal and immortalized cancer cell lines.<sup>18</sup> The protein-encoding region of the prohibitin gene was not found to be mutated, but the 3'-untranslated region of prohibitin mutations inhibited cell cycle progression in loss of human cancer cell lines.<sup>5</sup> The investigators confirmed that a single nucleotide polymorphism (C-T transition) in the prohibitin 3'-untranslated region creates a null (T) allele whereby the RNA product has lost its antiproliferative activity.<sup>17</sup> The results of our study are consistent with the functional prohibitin 3'-untranslated region 1630 C>T polymorphism resulting in increased risk of breast cancer, although there was no significant association between prohibitin 1630 C>T polymorphism and mRNA expression in lymphoblastoid cell lines from the HapMap database. Data being collected from different studies without stratification/ adjustment for differences between studies and inconsistent use of selection criteria are possible explanations for this. Further investigations should be done in breast cancer tissue or cells to determine if a correlation exists between genotype and mRNA expression.

In this study, we investigated 5072 cases and 4796 controls, the allele contrast model, the homozygote codominant and the recessive model of prohibitin 1630 C>T polymorphism were found to be significantly associated with influencing the risk of breast cancer. Heterogeneity and publication bias were not observed in this study. Our findings suggest that *prohibitin* 1630 C>T polymorphism increases the risk of breast cancer.

Some limitations of this meta-analysis need to be acknowledged when interpreting its findings. First, we presumed that ethnicity status and family history play diverse roles in the risk of breast cancer. In our study, we considered the possibility that the effect of prohibitin 1630 C>T polymorphism might be ethnicity-specific in mixed populations, but we did not perform subgroup analysis to detect an association between this polymorphism and ethnicity. Second, our results were based on unadjusted estimates, so a more precise analysis should be done when more detailed individual data become available. A recent study evaluated the association between genetic variants of prohibitin and breast cancer risk in BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutation carriers, and the findings showed that the prohibitin 1630TT genotype may modify breast cancer risk in these women.<sup>16</sup> Third, as we all know, cancer is a complicated disease, different genetic backgrounds may contribute to the discrepancy, and it is still



Figure 2 Forest plot for the association between the *prohibitin* 1630 C>T polymorphism and breast cancer risk (for T versus C) in a fixed-effects model. Abbreviations: CI, Confidence Interval; M-H, Mantel-Haenszel.

	Experim	ental	Cont	Control		Odds ratio	Odds ratio
Study or subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, fixed, 95% Cl
Campbell, et al.4	10	198	7	177	7.8%	1.29 [0.48, 3.47]	
Jakubowska, et al. <sup>16</sup>	104	2133	54	1825	61.9%	1.68 [1.20, 2.35]	-
Karakus, et al. <sup>15</sup>	3	70	6	107	5.1%	0.75 [0.18, 3.12]	
Spurdle, et al. <sup>13</sup>	38	1030	18	551	25.2%	1.13 [0.64, 2.01]	-
Total (95% CI)		3431		2660	100.0%	1.47 [1.12, 1.92]	•
Total events	155		85				
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	2.32, df = 3	B (P = 0.5	51); l² = (	0%			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.76 (A	P = 0.006	6)		5.01 Favor	s experimental Favors control	

Figure 3 Forest plot for the association between the *prohibitin* 1630 C>T polymorphism and breast cancer risk (for TT versus CC) in a fixed-effects model. Abbreviations: Cl, Confidence Interval; M-H, Mantel-Haenszel.

	Experim	ental	Cont	rol		Odds ratio	Odds ratio
Study or subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, fixed, 95% Cl
Campbell, et al.4	10	291	7	238	8.1%	1.17 [0.44, 3.13]	
Jakubowska, et al. <sup>16</sup>	104	3024	54	2572	61.8%	1.66 [1.19, 2.32]	l 🖶
Karakus, et al.15	3	106	6	154	5.2%	0.72 [0.18, 2.94]	
Spurdle, et al. <sup>13</sup>	38	1446	18	786	24.9%	1.15 [0.65, 2.03]	+
Total (95% CI)		4867		3750	100.0%	1.45 [1.10, 1.89]	•
Total events	155		85				
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	2.40, df = 3	( <i>P</i> = 0.4	19); l² = (	)%			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.68 (F	P = 0.007	7)			Fave	ors experimental Favors control

Figure 4 Forest plot for the association between the *prohibitin* 1630 C>T polymorphism and breast cancer risk (for TT versus CC/CT) in a fixed-effects model. Abbreviations: CI, Confidence Interval; M-H, Mantel-Haenszel.

	Experim	ental	Cont	rol	Odds ratio			Odds ratio		
Study or subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, fixed, 95% C	I	M-H, fixe	d, 95% Cl	
Campbell, et al.4	93	281	61	231	5.3%	1.38 [0.94, 2.02]	]		+	
Jakubowska, et al. <sup>16</sup>	891	2920	747	2518	66.2%	1.04 [0.93, 1.17]	]			
Karakus, et al. <sup>15</sup>	36	103	47	148	3.0%	1.15 [0.68, 1.97]	]	-	<u>+</u>	
Spurdle, et al. <sup>13</sup>	416	1408	235	768	25.5%	0.94 [0.79, 1.15]	]	1		
Total (95% CI)		4712		3665	100.0%	1.01 [0.95, 1.14	]		•	
Total events	1436		1090							
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	2%			0.1	1 10	100				
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.80 ( <i>I</i>	P = 0.42	)				Favors	experimenta	al Favors c	ontrol

Figure 5 Forest plot for the association between the *prohibitin* 1630 C>T polymorphism and breast cancer risk (for CT versus CC) in a fixed-effects model. Abbreviations: CI, Confidence Interval; M-H, Mantel-Haenszel.

	Experim	ental	Cont	rol		Odds ratio	Odds		
Study or subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, fixed, 95% CI	M-H, fixe	d, 95% Cl	
Campbell, et al.4	103	291	68	238	5.1%	1.37 [0.95, 1.98]		-	
Jakubowska, et al. <sup>16</sup>	995	3024	801	2572	61.1%	1.08 [0.97, 1.21]			
Jupe, et al. <sup>7</sup>	77	205	337	1046	7.3%	1.27 [0.93, 1.73]		<b> </b> ∙-	
Karakus, et al. <sup>15</sup>	39	106	53	154	2.9%	1.11 [0.66, 1.86]	_	<b>-</b>	
Spurdle, et al. <sup>13</sup>	454	1446	253	786	23.7%	0.96 [0.80, 1.16]		•	
Total (95% CI)		5072		4796	100.0%	1.08 [0.99, 1.18]		•	
Total events	1668		1512						
Heterogeneity: <i>Chi</i> <sup>2</sup> = 4.02, df = 4 ( <i>P</i> = 0.40); l <sup>2</sup> = 0%						F		+ +	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.80 ( <i>I</i>	P = 0.07	)			0.01	0.1	1 10	100
	``		,			Fa	vors experimenta	I Favors co	ontrol

Figure 6 Forest plot for the association between the *prohibitin* 1630 C>T polymorphism and breast cancer risk (for TT/CT versus CC) in a fixed-effects model. Abbreviations: CI, Confidence Interval; M-H, Mantel-Haenszel.

**Dove**press

necessary to conduct larger sample studies considering genegene and gene-environment interactions, which may be an important component of the association between *prohibitin* 1630 C>T polymorphism and risk of breast cancer. In conclusion, the results of this meta-analysis suggest that the *prohibitin* 1630 C>T variant was associated with a significant increase in the risk of breast cancer.

### Acknowledgments

This project was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81272252, 81141094 to XG) and the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (BK2011656 to XG). The authors thank Hongliang Liu, Department of Epidemiology, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, for assistance with data analysis.

# Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

#### References

- Wang S, Nath N, Adlam M, Chellappan S. Prohibitin, a potential tumor suppressor, interacts with RB and regulates E2F function. *Oncogene*. 1999;18(23):3501–3510.
- Fusaro G, Dasgupta P, Rastogi S, et al. Prohibitin induces the transcriptional activity of p53 and is exported from the nucleus upon apoptotic signaling. *J Biol Chem.* 2003;278(48):47853–47861.
- White JJ, Ledbetter DH, Eddy RL, et al. Assignment of the human prohibitin gene (PHB) to chromosome-17q21. *Cytogenet Cell Genet*. 1991;58(3–4):2011–2011.
- Campbell IG, Allen J, Eccles DM. Prohibitin 3' untranslated region polymorphism and breast cancer risk. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2003;12(11):1273–1274.

- Jupe ER, Liu XT, Kiehlbauch JL, et al. Prohibitin in breast cancer cell lines: loss of antiproliferative activity is linked to 3' untranslated region mutations. *Cell Growth Differ*. 1996;7(7):871–878.
- Manjeshwar S, Lerner MR, Zang XP, et al. Expression of prohibitin 3' untranslated region suppressor RNA alters morphology and inhibits motility of breast cancer cells. *J Mol Histol*. 2004;35(6):639–646.
- Jupe ER, Badgett AA, Neas BR, et al. Single nucleotide polymorphism in prohibitin 3' untranslated region and breast-cancer susceptibility. *Lancet*. 2001;357(9268):1588–1589.
- 8. Holm K, Melum E, Franke A, Karlsen TH. SNPexp a web tool for calculating and visualizing correlation between HapMap genotypes and gene expression levels. *BMC Bioinformatics*. 2010;11:600.
- Stranger BE, Forrest MS, Dunning M, et al. Relative impact of nucleotide and copy number variation on gene expression phenotypes. *Science*. 2007;315(5813):848–853.
- Gibbs RA, Belmont JW, Hardenbol P, et al. The International HapMap Project. *Nature*. 2003;426(6968):789–796.
- Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ*. 2003;327(7414):557–560.
- Egger M, Smith GD, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ*. 1997;315(7109):629–634.
- Spurdle AB, Hopper JL, Chen XQ, et al. Prohibitin 3' untranslated region polymorphism and breast cancer risk in Australian women. *Lancet*. 2002;360(9337):925–926.
- 14. Jakubowska A, Gronwald J, Gorski B, et al. The 3' untranslated region C>T polymorphism of prohibitin is a breast cancer risk modifier in Polish women carrying a BRCA1 mutation. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 2007;104(1):67–74.
- Karakus N, Kara N, Ulusoy AN. Lack of association between prohibitin 3' untranslated region C>T polymorphism and breast cancer in a Turkish population. DNA Cell Biol. 2008;27(8):449–452.
- 16. Jakubowska A, Rozkrut D, Antoniou A, et al. Association of PHB 1630C>T and MTHFR 677C>T polymorphisms with breast and ovarian cancer risk in BRCA1/2 mutation carriers: results from a multicenter study. *Br J Cancer*. 2012;106(12):2016–2024.
- Manjeshwar S, Branam DE, Lerner MR, et al. Tumor suppression by the prohibitin gene 3' untranslated region RNA in human breast cancer. *Cancer Res.* 2003;63(17):5251–5256.
- Jupe ER, Liu XT, Kiehlbauch JL, et al. The 3' untranslated region of prohibitin and cellular immortalization. *Exp Cell Res.* 1996;224(1): 128–135.

#### **OncoTargets and Therapy**

#### Publish your work in this journal

OncoTargets and Therapy is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal focusing on the pathological basis of all cancers, potential targets for therapy and treatment protocols employed to improve the management of cancer patients. The journal also focuses on the impact of management programs and new therapeutic agents and protocols on

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.dovepress.com/oncotargets-and-therapy-journal

#### **Dove**press

patient perspectives such as quality of life, adherence and satisfaction. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.