T CELL REGULATION OF B CELL ACTIVATION

I-A-restricted T Suppressor Cells Inhibit the Major Histocompatibility

Complex-restricted Interactions of T Helper Cells with B Cells and

Accessory Cells

BY YOSHIHIRO ASANO* AND RICHARD J. HODES

From the Immunology Branch, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20205

T suppressor $(Ts)^1$ cells have been shown to play an important role in the regulation of a variety of immune responses. In many of these systems of immune regulation, it has been demonstrated that the activation and/or effector function of these Ts cells is genetically restricted. Thus, for example, the interaction of Ts cells either with other T cells in a suppressive network or with the cells that are the targets of suppression has been reported by several laboratories to be restricted by genes in the *I*-*J* subregion (1-11) of the murine major histocompatibility complex (MHC). In other circumstances, Ts cell function has also been found to be restricted by products of other MHC genes (12-15) or of non-MHC-linked genes (16). The presence of such genetic restrictions in cell interaction has provided an important instrument for the study of the mechanisms of Ts cell activation and function.

It has recently been demonstrated that two distinct Ts cell pathways function to regulate the MHC-restricted and carrier-hapten-linked IgG responses of Lyb-5⁻ B cells (17–21). In one pathway, carrier-primed Lyt-1⁺2⁻ Ts cells are activated in an antigen-specific manner by in vitro reexposure to the priming antigen. After this specific activation, these Lyt-1⁺2⁻ Ts cells are able to suppress IgG responses in an antigen-nonspecific manner. This suppression requires the participation of unprimed Lyt-1⁻2⁺ T cells, and is effective in both the early and late phases of antibody responses. A second suppressor pathway requires the antigen-specific activation, in contrast to the nonspecific effector function of Lyt-1⁺2⁻ Ts cells. This Lyt-1⁻2⁺ Ts population appears to act without requirement for additional T cell populations, and is effective only early in the course of the antibody response. Thus, it appears that two Ts cell populations may function through distinct mechanisms to regulate the generation of IgG Lyb-5⁻ B cell responses.

In order to further analyze the cell/cell interactions which are involved in the Ts cell regulation of IgG responses by Lyb-5⁻ B cells, the existence of genetically restricted cellular interactions was evaluated in the present studies. It was demonstrated that

Journal of Experimental Medicine · Volume 157, June 1983 1867-1884

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed at the Immunology Branch, National Institutes of Health, Building 10, Room 4B17, 9000 Rockville Pike, Bethesda, MD 20205.

¹Abbreviations used in this paper: C, complement; FGG, fowl gamma globulin; KLH, keyhole limpet hemocyanin; MHC, major histocompatibility complex; PFC, plaque-forming cells; RAMB, rabbit antimouse brain serum; SRBC, sheep erythrocytes; Th, T helper; TNP, trinitrophenyl; Ts, T suppressor.

accessory cells as well as antigen-primed T cells are required for the in vitro activation of both Lyt-1⁺2⁻ and Lyt-1⁻2⁺ Ts cells. Moreover, T cell recognition of *I*-A-encoded determinants on these accessory cells is required for in vitro activation of Ts cells, and this interaction determines the subsequent *I*-A restriction of Ts cell effector activity. It was found that the *I*-A restriction in suppressor function reflects neither an MHC restriction in the interaction of Ts cells with T helper (Th) cells, nor the simple consequence of Ts cell recognition of *I*-A products on B cells or accessory cells. Rather, the Ts cell function characterized here appears to act in a unique and highly specific manner to interfere with the MHC-restricted interaction between Th cells and the B cells and/or accessory cells cooperating in these antibody responses.

Materials and Methods

Animals. C57BL/6 (B6), C57BL/10SgSn (B10), B10.A B10.BR, (B10 \times B10.A)F₁, (B10 \times B10.BR)F₁, (B6 \times C3H/HeJ)F₁ (B6C3F₁), and (B6 \times A/J)F₁ (B6AF₁) mice were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME. A.TH, A.TL, (A.TH \times A.TL)F₁, B10.A(3R), B10.A(4R), and B10.A(5R) mice were provided by Dr. D. H. Sachs, National Institutes of Health.

Chimeras. Chimeras used in this study were prepared as previously described (22) by the transfer of 15×10^6 T cell-depleted bone marrow cells into lethally irradiated (900-950 rad) recipients, and are designated as bone marrow donor \rightarrow irradiated recipient. Chimeras were immunized no earlier than 8 wk after irradiation and reconstitution. The chimera spleen cells used in these studies were typed by indirect immunofluorescence and were of donor origin without detectable (<5%) host contamination.

Antigen. Keyhole limpet hemocyanin (KLH) (lot 730192; Calbiochem-Behring Corp., San Diego, CA) and fowl gamma globulin (FGG) (Cappel Laboratories, Cochranville, PA) were conjugated with 2,4,6-trinitrobenzene sulfonate (Pierce Chemical Co., Rockford, IL) as previously described (18). The degrees of substitution were 20 trinitrophenyl (TNP) residues/100,000 daltons KLH (TNP-KLH) and 9 TNP residues/100,000 daltons FGG (TNP-FGG).

Antisera. Monoclonal hybridoma anti-Lyt-1.2 antiserum (lot FPB-031) and anti-Lyt-2.2 antiserum (lot FPA-179) were purchased from New England Nuclear, Boston, MA, and used as cytotoxic reagents at final dilutions of 1:800 and 1:3200, respectively, on 1×10^7 cells/ml as previously described (21). Monoclonal anti-Thy-1.2 antiserum was a generous gift from Dr. P. Lake (Georgetown University, Washington DC). Monoclonal anti-I-A^k reagent was prepared as a culture supernatant of the anti-I-A^k hybridoma designated 10-2.16, described by Oi et al. (23), which was obtained from the Cell Distribution Center of the Salk Institute, La Jolla, CA.

Immunization. Mice (except parent_A \rightarrow (A × B)F₁ chimeras) were immunized with 100 µg of KLH, FGG, or TNP-KLH in complete Freund's adjuvant (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, MI) intraperitoneally 3-8 wk before use. Parent_A \rightarrow (A × B)F₁ chimeras were immunized with 5 × 10⁷ KLH-pulsed (A × B)F₁ 3,000 rad-irradiated spleen cells (see below) in *Bordetella pertussis* antigen (Difco Laboratories) intraperitoneally 3-8 wk before use.

Preparation of Cells

T CELLS. KLH or FGG-primed T cells were obtained as spleen cells nonadherent to antimouse immunoglobulin-coated plastic dishes as described previously (19). These populations were then used as Th cells or as a precursor population for the generation of Ts cells (see below).

(B + ACCESSORY) CELLS. (B + accessory) cells were prepared by depleting TNP-KLHprimed spleen cells of T cells by treatment with a T cell-specific cytotoxic rabbit anti-mouse brain serum (RAMB) + complement (C) (24).

ACCESSORY CELLS. Unprimed spleen cells were used as a source of accessory cells following 3,000 rad irradiation. In some experiments, spleen cells were treated with RAMB + C to deplete T cells. To immunize parent_A \rightarrow (A × B)F₁ chimeras, accessory cells were incubated at a density of 1 × 10⁷ cells/ml in culture medium containing 100 µg/ml KLH at 37°C for 4 h and washed extensively, then used as antigen-pulsed accessory cells.

T SUPPRESSOR CELL INDUCTION IN VITRO. KLH-primed T cells were passed over Sephadex G-10 columns twice to deplete accessory cells (24, 25). 3×10^7 accessory cell-depleted KLHprimed T cells were suspended in 5 ml of 10% fetal calf serum containing RPMI 1640 medium supplemented as previously described (19) in the presence or absence of additional accessory cells (2×10^6 /ml) and of 100 µg/ml KLH. Cells were cultured (precultured) in tissue culture dishes (Costar 3506; Costar, Cambridge, MA) for 3 d at 37°C in 5% CO₂ humidified air atmosphere, harvested, washed extensively, and tested for their suppressive activities. In some experiments as indicated in the text, T cells were used without passing over Sephadex G-10 columns.

Assay of Suppressive Activity. 1×10^{6} (or, as indicated in the text, titrated numbers of) precultured T cells were assayed for suppressive activity by the addition to responding cell mixtures of 3×10^{6} TNP-primed (B + accessory) cells co-cultured with 1×10^{6} KLH- or FGG-primed Th cells. In some experiments, 3×10^{6} TNP-KLH-primed untreated spleen cells syngeneic to Ts cells were used as responding cells. Cultures were carried out as previously described (19). Cells were harvested and assayed for TNP-specific hemolytic plaque-forming cells (PFC).

PFC Assay. Sheep erythrocytes (SRBC) were conjugated with TNP (TNP-SRBC) and direct PFC (IgM) as well as total PFC (facilitated by rabbit anti-MIgG) were assayed on these conjugated target cells (19). IgG PFC were calculated as total PFC minus direct PFC. All points shown represent the arithmetic means of triplicate cultures.

Results

Accessory Cells Are Required for the Activation of Ts Cells In Vitro. Two Ts cell pathways were recently characterized that function to selectively regulate the in vitro IgG responses of Lyb-5⁻ B cells (17). It was demonstrated that the activation of each of these Ts populations required both in vivo priming and subsequent in vitro stimulation with specific antigen. To further analyze the cellular interactions required for activation of these Ts cells in vitro, it was first determined whether the presence of accessory cells as well as T cells was required for the in vitro induction of Ts activity. KLH-primed B10 T cells were depleted of accessory cells by passage over Sephadex G-10 columns and were then cultured (precultured) for 3 d in the presence or absence of added unprimed B10 accessory cells and in the presence or absence of 100 μ g/ml KLH or FGG. Precultured cells were harvested, washed and tested for their suppressive activities on the responses to TNP-KLH by TNP-KLH-primed B10 spleen cells (Table I). KLH-primed B10 T cells that had been precultured with 100 μ g/ml KLH in the presence of added B10 accessory cells significantly suppressed the response of primed B10 spleen cells to TNP-KLH (Table I). In contrast, T cells that had been precultured either without added accessory cells or without the relevant antigen to which they had been primed in vivo failed to suppress these in vitro responses. In addition, the suppressive activity generated under these conditions was completely eliminated by treatment with anti-Thy-1.2 + C. These results demonstrated that the presence of both accessory cells and specific antigen is required for the in vitro activation of Ts cell activity.

Accessory Cells Determined the MHC Restriction of In Vitro Activated Ts Cells. The activation and effector function of a number of T cell populations has previously been shown to involve MHC-restricted cellular interaction events. Since it was established in the experiments presented above that in vitro Ts cell activation required the participation of accessory cells as well as T cells, it was of interest to determine whether these interactions between T cells and accessory cells could determine MHC restrictions in the activity of the Ts cells generated. Experiments were therefore TABLE I

~

.

Accesso	ry Cells Are Req	uired for Induction of Is	Cells In Vitro
Ts cell in	nduction*		
Accessory cells added	Antigen stimulation in vitro	Ts cell treatment‡	Anti-TNP IgG PFC/culture§
••••	•••	•••	$1,987 \pm 240$
-	KLH	Untreated	$1,776 \pm 93$
+	-	Untreated	1,948 ± 189
+	FGG	Untreated	1,819 ± 261
+	KLH	Untreated	748 ± 97
+	KLH	C treated	849 ± 38
+	KLH	Anti-Thy-1.2 + C	2,088 ± 101

* KLH-primed B10 T cells were passed over Sephadex G-10 columns and were cultured for 3 d in the presence or absence of additional unprimed B10 accessory cells and of $100 \,\mu$ g/ml of the indicated antigen.

‡ After preculture, cells were either untreated, treated with C alone, or treated with anti-Thy-1.2 + C.

§ 3×10^6 TNP-KLH-primed B10 spleen cells were stimulated in vitro with 0.001 µg/ml TNP-KLH in the presence or absence of 1×10^6 treated or untreated Ts cells.

|| Ts cells were not added to the responding cultures.

designed to examine the effect of the MHC haplotype expressed by accessory cells on the activity of Ts cells generated in vitro. KLH-primed (B10 \times B10.A)F₁ T cells were depleted of accessory cells and were then precultured for 3 d in the presence of either unprimed B10 or B10.A irradiated spleen cells with or without 100 μ g/ml KLH. Precultured cells were then tested for their suppressive activities on the responses to TNP-KLH of mixtures of KLH-primed (B10 \times B10.A)F₁ Th cells and TNP-primed B10, B10.A, or $(B10 \times B10.A)F_1$ (B + accessory) cells (Table II). T cell populations that had been precultured in the absence of added accessory cells did not generate Ts cell activity, confirming the results presented above (Table II, groups B and C). The addition of B10 accessory cells to T cells during preculture in the absence of stimulating antigen failed to generate Ts cells (group D). In contrast, the addition of B10 accessory cells and stimulating antigen (KLH) did generate Ts cells. Moreover, the Ts cells activated under these conditions suppressed the responses of B10 but not B10.A or $(B10 \times B10.A)F_1$ (B + accessory) cells (group E). In contrast, preculturing $(B10 \times B10.A)F_1$ T cells with B10.A accessory cells and KLH generated Ts cells that suppressed the responses of B10.A but neither B10 nor (B10 \times B10.A)F₁ (B + accessory) cells (group G). Although the Ts cells generated in the presence of KLH and either B10 or B10.A accessory cells alone failed to suppress the responses of (B10 \times B10.A)F₁ B cells, a mixture of Ts cells activated by B10 accessory cells and Ts cells activated by B10.A accessory cells did significantly suppress the response of $(B10 \times$ B10.A) F_1 B cells (group H). Similar experiments using accessory cell populations that were T cell depleted produced the same pattern of MHC-restricted Ts activity (data not shown). These results demonstrated that antigen-primed (A \times B)F₁ T cell populations generate Ts cells as a result of preculturing with parentA accessory cells and antigen, and that the F_1 Ts cells activated under these conditions are MHC restricted in their ability to suppress the responses of parent_A but not parent_B (B + accessory) cells. In addition, the failure of parent_A-restricted or parent_B-restricted Ts

			ſ	ABLE	II			
Accessory	Cells	Determine	the	МНС	Restriction	of Ts	Cell	Function

	Ts cell ir	duction*	Anti-TN	IP IgG PFC/culture‡			
Group	Accessory cells added	Antigen stimulation in vitro	B 10	B10.A	$(\mathbf{B}10 \times \mathbf{B}10.\mathbf{A})\mathbf{F}_1$		
A	···§		$1,030 \pm 163$	810 ± 58	1,276 ± 375		
В	<u> </u>	-	$1,020 \pm 45$	942 ± 116	ND		
С	-	+	885 ± 76	630 ± 52	$1,074 \pm 19$		
D	B 10	-	$1,132 \pm 123$	836 ± 117	ND		
È	B 10	+	40 ± 9	630 ± 29	$1,175 \pm 111$		
F	B 10. A	-	$1,155 \pm 109$	962 ± 34	ND		
G	B10.A	+	813 ± 29	0	$1,013 \pm 105$		
н	[Group E	+ group G]¶	ND	ND	0		

* KLH-primed (B10 \times B10.A)F₁ T cells were passed over Sephadex G-10 columns and were precultured in the presence or absence of additional unprimed accessory cells and in the presence or absence of 100 µg/ml KLH.

 $\ddagger 3 \times 10^{6}$ TNP-primed (B + accessory) cells from the indicated strains were co-cultured with 1×10^{6} KLH-primed (B10 × B10.A)F₁ Th cells. Cultures were stimulated in vitro with 0.001 µg/ml TNP-KLH in the presence or absence of 1×10^{6} Ts cells.

§ Ts cells were not added to the cultures.

Not determined.

¶ Mixture of 1 × 10⁶ group E Ts and 1 × 10⁶ group G Ts.

cells alone to suppress the responses of $(A \times B)F_1$ (B + accessory) cells indicated that the suppression observed here is not due to nonspecific inhibitory effects on any cell populations that express parent_A or parent_B MHC determinants. Rather, these results suggest that this T cell-mediated suppression may involve a more specific effect upon the MHC-restricted Th cell interactions with the B cells and/or accessory cells involved in these antibody responses.

Both Antigen-specific and Antigen-nonspecific MHC-restricted Ts Cells Are Activated in (A \times B)F₁ T Cells by Stimulation with Parental Accessory Cells. It has been demonstrated (17) that two distinct Ts populations are induced by preculturing antigen-primed T cells in the presence of specific antigen: Lyt-1⁺2⁻ Ts cells that mediate antigennonspecific suppression and Lyt-1⁻²⁺ Ts cells that mediate antigen-specific suppression. It was therefore of interest to evaluate whether both of these The populations were generated under the conditions of the present study and whether both of these Ts populations were thus MHC restricted in their effector functions. KLH-primed $(B10 \times B10.A)F_1$ T cells were depleted of accessory cells and were precultured with either B10 or B10.A accessory cells and with 100 μ g/ml KLH. After 3 d of preculture, Ts cells were treated with either anti-Lyt-1.2 + C, anti-Lyt-2.2 + C, or anti-Lyt-1.2 + anti-Lyt-2.2 + C, and the residual cells were tested for their suppressive activities on responses of either KLH- or FGG-primed (B10 \times B10.A)F₁ Th cells and TNPprimed B10 or B10.A (B + accessory) cells to TNP-KLH or TNP-FGG, respectively (Table III). Ts cells activated in the presence of B10 accessory cells suppressed the responses of B10 but not B10.A (B + accessory) cells to TNP-KLH and TNP-FGG. Treatment of activated Ts cells with anti-Lyt-1.2 + C eliminated the antigennonspecific Ts cell activity that was detected on TNP-FGG responses but did not affect the antigen-specific Ts cell activity measured on TNP-KLH responses, confirming previous results (17). On the other hand, treatment of Ts cells with anti-Lyt-2.2

T.	ABL	E III			
Antigen-specific and Antigen-nonspecific	Ts	Cells Are	MHC-restricted	in their	r Function

T	s cells added		Anti-TNP IgG	PFC/culture*			
Accessory	Accessory		10	B10.A			
cells added‡	Is cell treatments	TNP-KLH	TNP-FGG	TNP-KLH	TNP-FGG		
•••	•••	1,460 ± 197	1,082 ± 42	$1,276 \pm 106$	985 ± 74		
- "	Untreated	$1,170 \pm 23$	924 ± 160	$1,302 \pm 63$	897 ± 84		
B 10	Untreated	78 ± 26	8 ± 8	$1,100 \pm 74$	1,082 ± 135		
B 10	Anti-Lyt-1.2 + C	176 ± 91	765 ± 21	$1,293 \pm 144$	985 ± 162		
B 10	Anti-Lyt-2.2 + C	61 ± 61	35 ± 35	1,302 ± 101	1,179 ± 91		
B 10	Anti-Lyt-1.2 + anti-	$1,416 \pm 71$	1,003 ± 139	1,425 ± 64	$1,047 \pm 63$		
	Lyt-2.2 + C						
B10.A	Untreated	1,328 ± 101	1,056 ± 52	211 ± 121	17 ± 8		

* 3×10^{6} TNP-primed, (RAMB + C)-treated (B + accessory) cells from the indicated strain were cocultured with 1×10^{6} KLH-primed or FGG-primed (B10 × B10.A)F₁ Th cells. Cultures were stimulated with 0.001 µg/ml of TNP-KLH or TNP-FGG, respectively.

KLH-primed (B10 × B10.A)F₁ T cells were passed over Sephadex G-10 columns and were cultured for 3 d with 100 µg/ml KLH in the presence or absence of added irradiated unprimed accessory cells.

§ Ts cells were treated with the indicated antiserum + C after preculture. 1×10^6 residual cells were added to the cultures.

|| Ts cells were not added to the cultures.

+ C failed to eliminate Ts cell activity on either TNP-KLH or TNP-FGG (Table III). This failure to eliminate suppression was likely the result of remaining Lyt-1⁺2⁻ antigen-nonspecific Ts cells (17). In fact, combined treatment of Ts cells with anti-Lyt-1.2 + anti-Lyt-2.2 + C completely eliminated Ts activity (Table III), confirming this interpretation. These results demonstrated that antigen-primed ($A \times B$)F₁ T cells precultured with parent_A accessory cells in the presence of specific antigen are capable of generating both antigen-specific Lyt-1⁻²⁺ Ts cells and antigen-nonspecific Lyt-1⁺²⁻ Ts cells and that both of these Ts cell populations are MHC restricted in their effector functions.

T Cell Recognition of I-A-encoded Determinants on Accessory Cells Is Required for the Activation of I-A-restricted Ts Cells. It was next determined what region(s) or subregion(s) of the MHC encodes the determinants that restrict the induction and effector function of the Ts cells characterized above. KLH-primed $(A.TH \times A.TL)F_1$ T cells were depleted of accessory cells and precultured with either A.TH, A.TL, or (A.TH \times A.TL)F1 accessory cells in the presence of 100 µg/ml KLH. Precultured cells were then tested for their suppressive activities on the responses of KLH-primed (A.TH \times A.TL) F_1 Th cells and TNP-primed A.TH, A.TL, or (A.TH \times A.TL) F_1 (B + accessory) cells to TNP-KLH (Table IV). (A.TH \times A.TL)F₁ Ts cells activated by A.TH accessory cells suppressed the responses of A.TH (B + accessory) cells but failed to suppress the responses of A.TL and $(A.TH \times A.TL)F_1$ (B + accessory) cells. In contrast, $(A.TH \times A.TL)F_1$ Ts cells activated by A.TL accessory cells suppressed the responses of A.TL but not A.TH or $(A.TH \times A.TL)F_1$ (B + accessory) cells (Table IV). These results demonstrate that I region-encoded determinants expressed on the accessory cells mediating Ts cell activation determine the I region-restricted effector activity of these Ts cells.

To further evaluate which I subregion(s) is involved in restricting the activation

Recognition of I Region Products Is Required for Ts Cell Function

	Or	Origin of H-2		Anti-TNP IgG	G PFC/culture*	
Accessory cells added‡	K	I	D	A.TH	A.TL	
§				937 ± 268	827 ± 180	
<u> </u>	-	_	-	932 ± 137	800 ± 57	
A.TH	s	s	d	52 ± 30	932 ± 106	
A.TL	s	k	d	642 ± 76	88 ± 46	
$(A.TH \times A.TL)F_1$	s/s	s/k	d/d	132 ± 60	114 ± 35	

* 3×10^{6} TNP-primed, (RAMB + C)-treated (B + accessory) cells from the indicated strain were co-cultured with 1×10^{6} KLH-primed (A.TH \times A.TL)F₁ Th cells. Cultures were stimulated with 0.001 µg/ml TNP-KLH.

‡ KLH-primed (A.TH × A.TL)F₁ T cells were passed over Sephadex G-10 columns and were cultured for 3 d with 100 μ g/ml KLH in the presence or absence of added irradiated unprimed accessory cells. 1 × 10⁶ activated Ts cells were added to the cultures.

§ Ts cells were not added to the cultures.

 TABLE V

 Recognition of K and/or I-A Products Is Required for Ts Function

Accessory cells			O	igin	of I	4-2			Anti-T	Anti-TNP IgG PFC/culture*			
added‡	ĸ	A	B	J	E	С	s	D	B 10	B 10. A	B10.A(4R)		
§								•••	2,020 ± 257	1,905 ± 191	$1,411 \pm 108$		
· _ `	-	-	_	-	-		-	-	$2,174 \pm 117$	1,833 ± 69	1,689 ± 150		
B 10	ь	ь	ь	b	b	ь	b	ь	264 ± 20	1,766 ± 212	1,632 ± 124		
B10.A(3R)	b	b	ь	ь	k	d	d	d	796 ± 26	2,083 ± 194	1,920 ± 106		
B10.A(5R)	b	b	b	k	k	d	d	d	432 ± 33	1,574 ± 125	1,449 ± 101		
B10.A(4R)	k	k	b	ь	ь	Ь	b	b	1,764 ± 146	403 ± 11	681 ± 210		
B 10. A	k	k	k	k	k	d	d	d	$2,030 \pm 180$	871 ± 11	643 ± 135		

* 3×10^6 TNP-primed, (RAMB + C)-treated (B + accessory) cells from the indicated strain were co-cultured with 1×10^6 KLH-primed (B10 × B10.A)F₁ Th cells. Cultures were stimulated with 0.001 µg/ml TNP-KLH.

KLH-primed (B10 × B10.A)F₁ T cells were passed over Sephadex G-10 columns and were cultured for 3 d with 100 µg/ml KLH in the presence or absence of added irradiated unprimed spleen cells. 1 × 10⁶ activated Ts cells were added to the cultures.

§ Ts cells were not added to the cultures.

and function of MHC-restricted Ts cells, KLH-primed (B10 × B10.A)F₁ T cells were depleted of accessory cells and precultured with B10, B10.A(3R), B10.A(4R), B10.A(5R), or B10.A accessory cells in the presence of 100 μ g/ml KLH. Induced Ts cells were then tested for their suppressive activities on the responses of KLH-primed (B10 × B10.A)F₁ Th cells and TNP-primed B10, B10.A, or B10.A(4R) (B + accessory) cells to TNP-KLH (Table V). B10 (B + accessory) cell responses were effectively suppressed by F₁ Ts cells induced in the presence of either B10, B10.A(3R), or B10.A(5R) accessory cells. On the other hand, B10.A and B10.A(4R) (B + accessory) cell responses were suppressed by Ts cells induced in the presence of either B10, B10.A or B10.A(4R) accessory cells. Other combinations failed to permit efficient suppression (Table V). These results suggested that K and/or I-A region homology between the accessory cells used for Ts cell activation and the (B + accessory) cells in the responding populations is required for MHC-restricted Ts cell-mediated suppression. Taken together, the above results suggest that I-A homology between the accessory cells functioning in Ts activation and the (B + accessory) cells in responding populations is required for Ts cell activation and function.

The possibility that Ts cell activation requires recognition of *I-A*-encoded determinants on accessory cells was further evaluated in studies investigating the effect of anti-Ia antibody on Ts cell generation. KLH-primed $(B10 \times B10.BR)F_1$ T cells (not depleted of F_1 accessory cells) were precultured in the presence of 100 μ g/ml KLH, and in the presence of titrated amounts of monoclonal anti- $I-A^k$ antibody, and the generation of Ts cells evaluated (Fig. 1). In the absence of anti-I- A^k antibody, activated Ts cells suppressed equally well the responses of B10 and B10.BR (B + accessory) cells (Fig. 1). The addition of anti- $I-A^k$ antibody during preculture blocked the generation of B10.BR $(I-A^k)$ -restricted Ts cells. In striking contrast, anti- $I-A^k$ antibody did not interfere with the generation of B10 $(I-A^b)$ -restricted Ts cells in the same cultures (Fig. 1). Since both the T cells and the accessory cells present in these precultures were uniformly $(B10 \times B10.BR)F_1$ in phenotype, the inhibitory effect of anti-I- A^k antibody observed here was not the nonspecific consequence of antibody binding to either T cells or accessory cells, but was rather a selective effect on the activation of an MHC-restricted F_1 Ts cell population. These results suggest that T cell recognition of I-A-encoded Ia determinants expressed on accessory cells is required for Ts cell activation.

The MHC-restricted Repertoire of Ts Cells is influenced by their Maturation Environment. The preceding results suggested that T cell recognition of I-A molecules on accessory cells is required for Ts cell activation and function. Because it has been demonstrated (26-31) that the T cell maturation environment can profoundly influence the repertoire of certain T cells for recognition of "self" I-A products on accessory cells and/or B cells, it was of interest to determine whether the T cell maturation environment can influence the Ts cell repertoire as well. (B10 × B10.BR)F₁ → B10



FIG. 1. Anti-*I*- A^k antibody inhibits the activation of *I*- A^k -restricted Ts cells. KLH-primed (B10 × B10.BR)F₁ T cells were precultured in the absence (Δ) or presence ($\bigcirc, \bullet, \blacktriangle, \blacksquare$) of 100 µg/ml KLH, and in the absence (\triangle, \bigcirc) or presence of 5% (\bullet), 10% (\bigstar), or 20% (\blacksquare) anti-*I*- A^k antibody. The suppressive activities of the resulting populations were tested on the responses of 1 × 10⁶ KLH-primed (B10 × B10.BR)F₁ Th cells and 3 × 10⁶ TNP-primed, (RAMB + C)-treated B10 (A) or B10.BR (B) (B + accessory) cells.

mice were primed in vivo with KLH and stimulated in vitro with KLH in the presence of $(B10 \times B10.BR)F_1$ accessory cells. The induced Ts cells were tested for their suppressive activities on the responses of KLH-primed B6C3F₁ Th cells and TNP-primed B10 or B10.BR (B + accessory) cells (Table VI). Ts cells induced in KLH-primed B6C3F₁ T cells by stimulation with KLH suppressed the responses of B10 as well as B10.BR (B + accessory) cells (Table VI). On the other hand, Ts cells induced in KLH-primed $(B10 \times B10.BR)F_1 \rightarrow B10$ T cells by stimulation with (B10 \times B10.BR)F₁ accessory cells and KLH, suppressed the responses of B10 but not B10.BR (B + accessory) cells (Table VI), in spite of the fact that these Ts cells were genotypically $(B10 \times B10.BR)F_1$ cells and that $(B10 \times B10.BR)F_1$ accessory cells were present during in vitro stimulation of Ts cells. These results demonstrate that (A \times B) F_1 T cells that had matured in a parent_A environment were able to generate Ts cells specific for parent_A (B + accessory) cell responses but failed to generate Ts cells specific for parent_B (B + accessory) cell responses. Thus, the T cell maturation environment can determine the self MHC-restricted repertoire of Ts cells in a fashion similar to that previously described for other functional T cell populations.

Neither MHC Homology Nor Recognition of MHC Determinants Between Th Cells and Ts Cells Is Required for Ts Cell Function. It has been reported in several systems (1-11) that MHC restrictions exist in the activation and/or function of Ts cells. The results presented above demonstrated that recognition of MHC determinants on (F₁) Th cells is not sufficient to allow suppression in the present studies, but that Ts cell activity is observed only when responding (B + accessory) cells express the appropriate MHC determinants. Nevertheless, these results did not exclude the possibility that MHC restriction also exists in the interaction of Th cells and Ts cells. Additional experiments were therefore designed to address this question. Ts cells were induced in B10 \rightarrow (B10 \times B10.A)F₁ T cells or B10.A \rightarrow (B10 \times B10.A)F₁ T cells by in vivo priming with KLH-pulsed (B10 \times B10.A)F₁ accessory cells and in vitro stimulation with KLH in the presence of either B10 or B10.A accessory cells. In addition, Ts cells were induced in KLH-primed (B10 \times B10.A)F₁ \rightarrow B10.A T cells by stimulation with KLH and

Ts cell induction	n*	Anti-TNP IgG	Anti-TNP IgG PFC/culture			
T cells from:	Antigen stimulation in vitro	B 10	B10.BR			
§	•••	1,478 ± 198	892 ± 72			
B6C3F1	_	$1,276 \pm 47$	$1,060 \pm 40$			
B6C3F1	+	484 ± 148	201 ± 173			
$(B10 \times B10.BR)F_1 \rightarrow B10$	-	1,982 ± 95	$1,152 \pm 92$			
$(B10 \times B10.BR)F_1 \rightarrow B10$	+	422 ± 85	1.022 ± 129			

TABLE VI
 Hablotype Specificity of Ts Cells Is Influenced by Maturation Environment

* KLH-primed T cells of indicated origin were cultured for 3 d with unprimed $(B10 \times B10.BR)F_1$ accessory cells in the presence or absence of 100 µg/ml KLH.

 $\ddagger 3 \times 10^{6}$ TNP-primed, (RAMB + C)-treated B10 or B10.BR (B + accessory) cells were co-cultured with 1×10^{6} KLH-primed B6C3F₁ Th cells. Cultures were stimulated with 0.001 µg/ml TNP-KLH in the presence or absence of 1×10^{6} Ts cells.

§ Ts cells were not added to the cultures.

 $(B10 \times B10.A)F_1$ accessory cells. These induced Ts cells were then tested for their suppressive activities on the responses of TNP-primed B10 or B10.A (B + accessory) cells and Th cells from $B10 \rightarrow (B10 \times B10.A)F_1$ or $B10.A \rightarrow (B10 \times B10.A)F_1$ mice primed in vivo with KLH-pulsed (B10 \times B10.A)F₁ accessory cells (Table VII). Ts cells induced in B10 \rightarrow (B10 \times B10.A)F₁ T cells by stimulation with B10 accessory cells and KLH suppressed the responses of B10 but not B10.A (B + accessory) cells, regardless of the genotype of the Th cells functioning in these responses (Table VII). In contrast, Ts cells induced in $B10 \rightarrow (B10 \times B10.A)F_1$ T cells by stimulation with B10.A accessory cells and KLH suppressed the responses of B10.A but not B10 (B +accessory) cells (Table VII). Moreover, Ts cells induced in $B10.A \rightarrow (B10 \times B10.A)F_1$ T cells by stimulation with B10 or B10.A accessory cells, showed the same pattern (Table VII). In addition, Ts cells induced in $(B10 \times B10.A)F_1 \rightarrow B10.A$ T cells suppressed the responses of B10.A (B + accessory) cells and Th cells of either B10 \rightarrow $(B10 \times B10.A)F_1$ or $B10.A \rightarrow (B10 \times B10.A)F_1$ origin, but failed to suppress the responses of B10 (B + accessory) cells and the same Th cell populations (Table VII). These results demonstrated that (a) no requirement exists for MHC homology between Ts cells and Th cells, and (b) no requirement can be identified for Ts cell recognition of MHC determinants on Th cells.

Next, it was determined whether Th cells have to recognize MHC determinants on Ts cells. Ts cells were induced in either $B10 \rightarrow (B10 \times B10.A)F_1$ or $B10.A \rightarrow (B10 \times B10.A)F_1$ T cells, which were primed in vivo with KLH-pulsed $(B10 \times B10.A)F_1$ accessory cells, by stimulation with either B10 or B10.A accessory cells and KLH and were tested for their suppressive activities on the responses of TNP-primed B10 or

Ts c	ell induction	n*	Anti-TNP IgG PFC/culture‡							
	Accessory	Antigen	B10 (B + ac	cessory) cells	B10.A (B + accessory) cell					
T cells from:	cells added	tion in vitro	B 10 \rightarrow F ₁ Th	$\begin{array}{c} B10.A \rightarrow F_1 \\ Th \end{array}$	$B10 \rightarrow F_1$ Th	$\begin{array}{c} B10.A \rightarrow F_1 \\ Th \end{array}$				
§	•••		$1,918 \pm 140$	1,584 ± 169	$1,161 \pm 132$	1,355 ± 230				
$B10 \rightarrow F_1$	_	+	$2,182 \pm 98$	$1,645 \pm 132$	994 ± 68	1,434 ± 298				
$B10 \rightarrow F_1$	B 10	+	624 ± 68	567 ± 53	897 ± 52	1,095 ± 10				
$B10 \rightarrow F_1$	B10.A	+	$2,261 \pm 249$	$2,032 \pm 184$	79 ± 4 5	158 ± 80				
B10.A \rightarrow F ₁	_	+	2,173 ± 89	1,865 ± 217	906 ± 91	$1,042 \pm 32$				
B10.A \rightarrow F ₁	B10	+	123 ± 23	88 ± 17	765 ± 80	1,064 ± 103				
B10.A \rightarrow F ₁	B10.A	+	$1,645 \pm 23$	$1,390 \pm 97$	17 ± 17	290 ± 54				
$F_1 \rightarrow B10.A$	\mathbf{F}_{1}	_	$2,657 \pm 399$	1,988 ± 163	$1,232 \pm 89$	$1,654 \pm 162$				
$F_1 \rightarrow B10.A$	\mathbf{F}_1	+	$2,182 \pm 131$	$1,522 \pm 132$	281 ± 68	457 ± 76				

TABLE VII Ts Cell Recognition of MHC Determinants on Th Cells Is Not Required for Ts Cell Function

* KLH-primed T cells of indicated origin were passed over Sephadex G-10 columns and were cultured for 3 d in the presence or absence of additional unprimed accessory cells of the indicated strain and of 100 μ g/ml KLH.

 $\ddagger 3 \times 10^6$ TNP-primed B10 or B10.A (B + accessory) cells were co-cultured with 1×10^6 KLH-primed Th cells of the indicated strain. Cultures were stimulated with 0.001 µg/ml TNP-KLH in the presence or absence of 1×10^6 Ts cells.

§ Ts cells were not added to the cultures.

 $\| (B10 \times B10.A)F_1.$

B10.A (B + accessory) cells and KLH-primed (B10 × B10.A) $F_1 \rightarrow B10.A$ Th cells to 0.001 µg/ml TNP-KLH (Table VIII). (B10 × B10.A) $F_1 \rightarrow B10.A$ Th cells were restricted to cooperating with B10.A but not B10 (B + accessory) cells, confirming previous results (19). The responses generated by B10.A (B + accessory) cells and (B10 × B10.A) $F_1 \rightarrow B10.A$ Th cells were suppressed by Ts cells induced in either B10 \rightarrow (B10 × B10.A) F_1 or B10.A \rightarrow (B10 × B10.A) F_1 T cells by stimulation with B10.A but not B10 accessory cells (Table VIII). These results suggest that Th cell recognition of Ts cell MHC determinants is not required for Ts cell effector function. Taken together, the results described above suggest that neither MHC homology nor recognition of MHC determinants is required for any interactions between Th cells and Ts cells.

The preceding results demonstrated that two distinct Ts populations are generated by the preculture of antigen-primed T cells with corresponding antigen and that both of these Ts cells were MHC-restricted in their function. Although it was demonstrated above that at least one of these Ts pathways required neither MHC homology nor recognition of MHC determinants between Th cells and Ts cells, it remained possible that one of these Ts cell populations did require MHC-restricted interactions between Th cells and Ts cells. Further studies were therefore carried out in which anti-Lyt treatment was used to independently evaluate the antigen-nonspecific Lyt-1⁺2⁻ and the antigen-specific Lyt-1⁻2⁺ Ts pathways. In experiments similar to those presented above, it was found that neither of these Ts pathways expresses any requirement for MHC-restricted interaction between Ts and Th cells (data not shown).

Ts Cell Function Is Intimately Related to the MHC-restricted Interaction Between Th Cells and B Cells and/or Accessory Cells. The results presented above failed to demonstrate any MHC restriction in the interaction between Th cells and Ts cells. They also

	IABLE VIII						
Th	Cell Recognition of MHC Determinants on	Ts	Cells	Is	Not	Required	for
	Ts Cell Function	2					

Ts cell i	nduction*	Anti-TNP IgG PFC/culture‡				
T cells from:	Accessory cells added	B10.A	B 10			
•••§	•••	1,716 ± 124	149 ± 112			
$B10 \rightarrow F_1$	-	1,592 ± 83	ND¶			
$B10 \rightarrow F_1$	B 10	1,408 ± 83	ND			
$B10 \rightarrow F_1$	B 10. A	193 ± 71	ND			
B10.A \rightarrow F ₁	-	1,513 ± 96	ND			
B 10. A \rightarrow F ₁	B 10	1,320 ± 205	ND			
$B10.A \rightarrow F_1$	B10.A	17 ± 17	ND			

* KLH-primed T cells of indicated origin were passed over Sephadex G-10 columns and were cultured with $100 \,\mu g/ml$ KLH for 3 d in the presence or absence of additional unprimed accessory cells.

 $\ddagger 3 \times 10^{6}$ TNP-primed, (RAMB + C)-treated B10 or B10.A (B + accessory) cells were co-cultured with KLH-primed (B10 × B10.A)F₁ \rightarrow B10.A Th cells. Cultures were stimulated with 0.001 µg/ml TNP-KLH in the presence or absence of 1 × 10⁶ Ts cells.

§ Ts cells were not added to the cultures.

 $\| (B10 \times B10.A)F_1. \|$

¶ Not determined.

indicated that Ts cell recognition of the appropriate MHC products on $(A \times B)F_1$ (B + accessory) cells was itself not sufficient to allow suppression of B cell responses. These findings were thus consistent with the possibility that these Ts cells did not function to directly suppress the functions of Th cells, B cells, or accessory cells per se, but rather that they might interfere with the MHC-restricted interaction previously shown to occur between Th cells and B cells and/or accessory cells (19, 20). To directly assess this possibility, the following experiments were carried out. T cells from $B10.A \rightarrow B6AF_1$ mice primed in vivo with KLH-primed $B6AF_1$ accessory cells were depleted of accessory cells and then precultured with KLH and B10 or B10.A accessory cells. Suppressive activity was then tested on the responses of KLH-primed $B6AF_1 \rightarrow B10$ or $B10 \rightarrow B6AF_1$ Th cells and TNP-primed $(B10 \times B10.A)F_1$ (B +accessory) cells (Table IX). $B6AF_1 \rightarrow B10$ Th cells generated responses with B10 but not B10.A (B + accessory) cells (data not shown), indicating that these Th cells were B10 restricted in their helper function, whereas $B10 \rightarrow B6AF_1$ Th cells cooperated efficiently with either B10 or B10.A (B + accessory) cells (Table IX). When B10restricted $B6AF_1 \rightarrow B10$ Th cells cooperated with $(B10 \times B10.A)F_1$ (B + accessory) cells, responses were generated that were strongly suppressed by B10.A \rightarrow B6AF₁ Ts cells activated by B10 but not B10.A accessory cells (Table IX). Thus, when chimeric $(H-2^b \times H-2^a)F_1 \rightarrow H-2^b$ Th cells were restricted to recognizing $H-2^b$ but not $H-2^a$ determinants on F₁ (B + accessory) cells, $H-2^{b}$ - but not $H-2^{a}$ -restricted Ts cells were able to suppress antibody responses. These results demonstrate that the effect of Ts cells is not a direct inhibitory effect on B cells, accessory cells, or Th cells expressing a given MHC phenotype, but rather is a specific effect on the interaction of MHCrestricted Th cells with responding B cells and/or accessory cells.

Discussion

To evaluate the cell/cell interactions involved in the Ts cell regulation of Tdependent, MHC-restricted B cell activation, the role of genetically restricted recog-

TABLE IX
Ts Cell Functional Specificity Is Related to the MHC-Restricted Interaction
Between Th Cells and $(B + Accessory)$ Cells

Ts cell induction*	Anti-TNP IgG PFC/Culture		
	$\frac{B6AF_1 \rightarrow B10}{Th}$ $(B10 \times B10.A)F_1$	$B10 \rightarrow B6AF_1$ Th	
		B 10‡	B10.A‡
•••§	$1,874 \pm 160$	1,900 ± 52	1,038 ± 61
_	$1,223 \pm 129$	$1,232 \pm 78$	$1,135 \pm 91$
B 10	79 ± 40	26 ± 15	$1,205 \pm 101$
B10.A	$1,047 \pm 136$	$1,196 \pm 101$	127 ± 23

* T cells from B10.A \rightarrow B6AF₁ mice primed in vivo with KLH-pulsed B6AF₁ accessory cells were passed over G-10 Sephadex columns and were precultured with the indicated accessory cells and KLH. 1 \times 10⁶ Ts cells were added per culture.

 $\ddagger 3 \times 10^{6}$ TNP-primed, (RAMB + C)-treated (B + accessory) cells from the indicated mice were co-cultured with 1×10^{6} KLH-primed B6AF₁ \rightarrow B10 Th cells or B10 \rightarrow B6AF₁ Th cells primed in vivo with KLH-pulsed B6AF₁ accessory cells.

§ Ts cells were not added to the cultures.

nition events in the generation and function of Ts cells was studied in the present report. First, it was demonstrated that the presence of accessory cells as well as antigen-primed T cells was required for the antigen-specific in vitro activation of both the Lyt-1⁻²⁺ Ts cells mediating antigen-specific suppression and Lyt-1^{+2⁻} Ts cells mediating antigen-nonspecific suppression. Furthermore, it was demonstrated that both of these Ts cell populations were MHC-restricted both at the level of their in vitro activation and at the level of their effector function. In antigen-primed (A \times B)F₁ T cell populations, parent_A-restricted Ts cells were generated by stimulation with antigen in the presence of parent_A but not parent_B accessory cells, while parent_Brestricted Ts cells were similarly generated only in the presence of parent_B accessory cells. The MHC restriction of these Ts cells was expressed at the level of their effector function, such that parent_A-restricted (A \times B)F₁ Ts cells suppressed the T celldependent responses generated by $(A \times B)F_1$ Th cells in cooperation with parent_A (B + accessory) cells but did not suppress the responses generated by the same F1 Th cells in cooperation with parent_B (B + accessory) cells. The use of intra-H-2 recombinant strains demonstrated that these restrictions involved a requirement for recognition of products encoded in the I-A subregion. Several conclusions can be drawn concerning the nature of the MHC restriction that was observed at the level of Ts cell activation. First, it should be noted that this restriction does not represent a requirement for I-A homology, but is rather a requirement for active Ts cell recognition of determinants expressed on accessory cells. Thus, $(A \times B)F_1$ Ts cells that had been activated by parent_A accessory cells suppressed only the response of parent_A (B + accessory) cells, although these F_1 Ts cells were equally homologous with both parent_A and parent_B (B + accessory) cells. In addition, antigen-primed (A \times B)F₁ \rightarrow parent_A chimeric T cells failed to generate parent_B-specific Ts cells even when stimulated with $(A \times B)F_1$ accessory cells and antigen, suggesting that these chimeric T cells lack the parent_B specific Ts cell repertoire. In contrast, parent_A \rightarrow (A \times B)F₁ T cells primed in vivo with antigen-pulsed (A \times B)F₁ accessory cells were able to generate parent_Aor parent_B-specific Ts cells upon stimulation with antigen and either parent_A or parent_B accessory cells, respectively, demonstrating that these chimeric T cells (themselves of parent_A origin) have both parent_A- and parent_B-specific Ts cell repertoires that can be activated by MHC-restricted interactions with the appropriate accessory cells. Collectively, these findings demonstrate that the MHC-restricted Ts cell repertoire, like those of other functional T cell populations, is strongly influenced by the T cell maturation environment, consistent with the recent report by Flood et al. (32). The MHC restriction that functions during the in vitro activation of antigen-specific and antigen-nonspecific Ts cells shows specificity for both antigen and accessory cell "self" I-A products, and the ability to selectively block the generation of I-A^k-restricted Ts cells with a monoclonal anti- $I-A^k$ antibody further suggests that the restricting element recognized by Ts precursors is in fact the serologically defined Ia product of this subregion.

It has been reported by Feldmann and Kontiainen (33) that accessory cells either were not required for Ts cell induction or were required in numbers considerably lower than required for Th cell induction in vitro. Pierres and Germain (34) similarly concluded that in vitro Ts cell generation was favored by a reduction in accessory cell number. While not in direct conflict with the data presented by these authors, the present studies have directly demonstrated that accessory cells are required for the in vitro activation of at least certain Ts cells and that the MHC determinants expressed by these accessory cells determine the MHC-restriction specificity of the Ts cells activated. A number of laboratories have identified requirements for recognition of MHC products in Ts cell function (1-12, 32). The results presented in this report demonstrated that recognition of *I-A*-encoded determinants is required for both the induction and function of the Ts cells studied here. There was, in contrast, no apparent restriction for recognition of the products of other *I* subregions. These findings are in particular contrast with the results of a large number of studies in which it was found that *I-J*-encoded determinants were critical restricting elements in the sequential cell/cell interactions involved in Ts cell pathways (1-11, 32). The present study failed to demonstrate any requirement for either homology of *I-J*encoded determinants or Ts cell recognition of *I-J*-encoded determinants on Th cells. It has not been determined whether the Ts cells described in this report express cell surface *I-J*-encoded determinants.

The restrictions that were observed in the present studies suggest that there exists a mechanism of T cell-mediated regulation which is quite distinct from those previously proposed. Whereas the in vitro activation of the Ts cells mediating both antigen-specific and antigen-nonspecific suppression demonstrated specificity for antigen in association with the appropriate *I-A*-encoded determinants on accessory cells, the observation that neither parent_A-restricted nor parent_B-restricted Ts cells were able to suppress the responses of $(A \times B)F_1$ Th cells and $(A \times B)F_1$ (B + accessory) cells implied that the recognition of appropriate I-A products on B cells and/or accessory cells is not sufficient to permit suppression. Indeed, experiments using parent_A-restricted Th cells from $(A \times B)F_1 \rightarrow$ parent_A chimeras demonstrated that parent_A-restricted Ts cells, but not parent_B-restricted Ts cells could suppress responses mediated by $(A \times B)F_1$ (B + accessory) cells and $(A \times B)F_1 \rightarrow \text{parent}_A$ Th cells. Thus, when $(A \times B)F_1$ Th cells were restricted to recognizing only parent_A MHC determinants on responding $(A \times B)F_1$ (B + accessory) cells, these responses were suppressed by parent_A-restricted Ts cells. These results demonstrate that the I-A-restricted function of both antigen-specific and antigen-nonspecific Ts cells is related not to recognition of genotypic B cell and/or accessory cell I-A products alone, but rather that Ts function is intimately related to the MHC-restricted interaction between Th cells and responding (B + accessory) cells.

Since the antigen-specific Ts cells characterized in this report are specific in their activation requirements for antigen plus *I-A* product, the most straightforward interpretation of these findings is that the effector function of these cells also requires recognition of antigen plus *I-A* product. As noted above, the target of this *I-A*-restricted recognition does not appear to be the codominantly expressed genotypically determined *I-A* product on T cells, B cells, or accessory cells. In contrast, the results presented here are consistent with a mechanism in which Ts cells recognize both the specific antigen and the appropriate *I-A* product bound to the appropriate clonally expressed Th cell receptor(s). This recognition event would then lead to the functional inactivation of only those Th cells expressing specificity for the appropriate antigen plus *I-A*. Since it has been shown that the same Th cells can activate B cells through both MHC-restricted and unrestricted pathways (20), the finding that the Ts cells described here selectively affect the MHC-restricted Th cell suggests that any Ts

cell effects on Th cells must selectively interfere with only certain Th cell functions. This model of Ts cell function has several implications. If Ts cells in fact recognize antigen plus *I-A* products as "presented" by the Th cell receptor, it might be predicted that the Ts cell acting upon a given Th cell would of necessity recognize epitopes on antigen and/or *I-A* products which are different from those recognized by that Th cell. That is, in the absence of multiple (polymeric) cross-reactive determinants on the same antigen and/or *I-A* molecule, steric constraints would be expected to prevent both Th and Ts cell receptors from simultaneously recognizing the same determinant. The existence of distinct "suppressor determinants" and "helper determinants" on a single antigen molecule has in fact been proposed for the response to poly-(Glu, Ala, Tyr) by Schwartz et al. (35) and for the response to lysozyme by Adorini et al (36); and the potential importance of steric constraints for the interaction of Th cells and B cells has been discussed by Berzofsky (37). To further assess this issue, both MHC-restricted cloned Ts cells and MHC-restricted cloned Th cells have recently been generated, and studies of their interactions and fine specificities are now in progress.

Alternative mechanisms consistent with the observed restrictions in Ts cell activity involve Ts cell recognition of determinants on B cells. In one such mechanism, Ts cells or Ts factors might recognize and bind to antigen and I-A product on B cells, thus directly competing with Th cells of the same specificity. It is also possible that Ts cells recognize determinants presented jointly by the interacting Th cell and B cell. Ts cells could, for example, recognize antigen presented by the Th cell receptor and the B cell I-A product being presented to the same Th cell. This alternative, although subject to significant steric constraints, would also account for the selective effect of Ts cells on the MHC-restricted pathway of B cell activation.

Finally, while the experiments presented in this report failed to identify any genotype-related MHC restriction in the interactions between Th cells and Ts cells, these data do not exclude the possibility that Ts cells directly recognize non-MHC determinants on Th cells. Therefore, one interpretation of the findings presented above is that Ts cells directly interact with the *I-A*-restricted receptors expressed by Th cells and in that manner inhibit the MHC-restricted Th cell recognition of B cells and/or accessory cells required for response. This possibility is currently being evaluated by assessing the presence of any non-MHC, e.g., Igh-linked restrictions in the interaction of Ts and Th cells. It will also be of interest to study the specificity of cloned Ts cells directly, since it would be unlikely that an antireceptor specificity is expressed by the same cloned Ts cell which also recognizes antigen plus Ia.

The studies presented here have characterized two Ts cell populations that appear to function through a unique and highly specific mechanism. The activation of these Ts cells requires active recognition by T cells of accessory cell *I-A* products, and is mediated by an MHC-restricted Ts cell repertoire which is profoundly influenced by the T cell maturation environment. The mode of action of these Ts cells appears to involve a specific effect upon the MHC-restricted interaction between Th cells and B cells and/or accessory cells that is necessary for the generation of IgG responses by Lyb-5⁻ B cells. Further analysis of the mechanism of this T cell-mediated suppression is now being carried out in studies using monoclonal Ts cell populations and their soluble products.

Summary

The present studies were carried out to characterize the cellular interactions involved in the activation and function of the antigen-specific and antigen-nonspecific

T suppressor (Ts) cells that regulate the IgG responses of Lyb-5⁻ B cells. The in vitro activation of both Lyt-1⁺2⁻ antigen-nonspecific Ts cells and Lyt-1⁻2⁺ antigen-specific Ts cells was shown to require the interaction of accessory cells and antigen-primed T cells. It was further demonstrated that this interaction was major histocompatibility complex (MHC)-restricted in that T cell recognition of *I*-A-encoded determinants on accessory cells was required for Ts cell activation.

The activation of antigen-primed $(A \times B)F_1$ T cells with antigen in the presence of parent_A or parent_B accessory cells resulted, respectively, in the generation of parent_Arestricted or parent_B-restricted Ts cells. Parent_A-restricted F_1 Ts cells suppressed the responses generated by $(A \times B)F_1$ T helper (Th) cells cooperating with parent_A (B + accessory) cells but did not suppress responses by the same (A \times B)F₁ Th cell population cooperating with parent_B (B + accessory) cells. Neither parent_A-restricted Ts cells alone nor parent_B-restricted Ts cells alone suppressed the responses of (A \times $B F_1$ (B + accessory) cells, whereas a mixture of these two Ts cell populations was able to significantly suppress the responses of F_1 (B + accessory) cells. In contrast, responses of $(A \times B)F_1 \rightarrow \text{parent}_A$ Th cells (restricted to recognizing parent_A but not parent_B MHC determinants on F_1 cells) and $(A \times B)F_1$ (B + accessory) cells was suppressed by parent_A-restricted Ts cells but not by parent_B-restricted Ts cells. Collectively these findings suggest that the Ts cell populations characterized here do not function by directly inhibiting the activity of Th cells, B cells or accessory cells of a given MHC genotype, but rather that they appear to function through a unique mechanism involving highly specific inhibition of the interaction between MHCrestricted Th cells and the (B + accessory) cells required for these responses.

We gratefully acknowledge Dr. R. N. Germain and Dr. R. H. Schwartz for their helpful discussion in the course of this work; Dr. H. B. Dickler and Dr. A. Singer for their careful and critical readings and discussion of this manuscript; and Mr. J. Israel, Mr. F. Jones, and Ms. L. DeNenno for their expert animal care.

Received for publication 22 January 1983.

References

- 1. Cantor, H., and R. K. Gershon. 1979. Immunological circuit: cellular composition. Fed. Proc. 38:2058.
- Gershon, R. K., D. D. Eardley, S. Durum, D. R. Green, F. W. Shen, K. Yamauchi, H. Cantor, and D. B. Murphy. 1981. Contrasuppression. A novel immunoregulatory activity. *J. Exp. Med.* 153:1533.
- 3. Germain, R. N., and B. Benacerraf. 1981. A single major pathway of T-lymphocyte interactions in antigen-specific immune suppression. *Scand. J. Immunol.* 13:1.
- 4. Minami, M., S. Furusawa, and M. Dorf. 1982. I-J restrictions on the activation and interaction of parental and F₁-derived Ts₃ suppressor cells. J. Exp. Med. 156:465.
- 5. Kontiainen, S., and M. Feldmann. 1978. Suppressor-cell induction in vitro. IV. Target of antigen-specific suppressor factors and its genetic relationship. J. Exp. Med. 147:110.
- McDougal, J. S., F. W. Shen, S. P. Cort, and J. Bard. 1980. Feedback suppression: phenotype of T cell subsets involved in the Ly-1 T cell-induced immunoregulatory circuit. *J. Immunol.* 125:1157.
- 7. Pierce, C. W., and J. A. Kapp. 1976. Regulation of immune responses by suppressor T cells. Contemp. Top. Immunobiol. 5:91.
- 8. Tada, T., M. Taniguchi, and C. S. David. 1976. Properties of the antigen-specific

suppressive T-cell factor in the regulation of antibody response of the mouse. IV. Special subregion assignment of the gene(s) that codes for the suppressive T-cell factor in the H-2 histocompatibility complex. J. Exp. Med. 144:713.

- 9. Tada, T., and K. Okumura. 1980. The role of antigen-specific T cell factors in the immune response. Adv. Immunol. 28:1.
- 10. Taniguchi, T., and T. Tokuhisa. 1980. Cellular consequences in the suppression of antibody response by the antigen-specific T-cell factor. J. Exp. Med. 151:517.
- Takaoki, M., M. S. Sy, A. Tominaga, A. Lowy, M. Tsurufuji, R. Finberg, B. Benacerraf, and M. I. Greene. 1982. I-J-restricted interactions in the generation of azobenzenearsonatespecific suppressor T cells. J. Exp. Med. 156:1325.
- Baxevanis, C. N., N. Ishii, Z. A. Nagy, and J. Klein. 1982. H-2-controlled suppression of T cell response to lactate dehydrogenase B. Characterization of the lactate dehydrogenase B suppressor pathway. J. Exp. Med. 156:822.
- Heuer, J., K. Bruner, B. Opalka, and E. Kolsch. 1982. A cloned T-cell line from a tolerant mouse represents a novel antigen-specific suppressor cell type. *Nature (Lond.)*. 296:456.
- Rich, S., and R. Rich. 1974. Regulatory mechanisms in cell-mediated immune responses. III. I-region control of suppressor cell interaction with responder cells in mixed lymphocyte reactions. J. Exp. Med. 143:672.
- 15. Sorensen, C. M., and C. W. Pierce. 1981. Haplotype-specific suppression of antibody responses in vitro. I. Generation of genetically restricted suppressor T cells by neonatal treatment with semiallogeneic spleen cells. J. Exp. Med. 154:35.
- Herzenberg, L. A., K. Okumura, H. Cantor, V. L. Sato, F-W. Shen, E. A. Boyse, and L. A. Herzenberg. 1976. T-cell regulation of antibody responses: demonstration of allotypespecific helper T cells and their specific removal by suppressor T cells. J. Exp. Med. 144:330.
- Asano, Y., and R. J. Hodes. 1983. T cell regulation of B cell activation. Antigen-specific and antigen-nonspecific suppressor pathways are mediated by distinct T cell subpopulations. J. Immunol. 130:1061.
- Singer, A., P. J. Morrissey, K. S. Hathcock, A. Ahmed, I. Scher, and R. J. Hodes. 1981. Role of the major histocompatibility complex in T cell activation of B cell subpopulations. Lyb-5⁺ and Lyb-5⁻ B cell subpopulations differ in their requirement for major histocompatibility complex-restricted T cell recognition. J. Exp. Med. 154:501.
- Asano, Y., A. Singer, and R. J. Hodes. 1981. Role of the major histocompatibility complex in T cell activation of B cell subpopulations. Major histocompatibility complex-restricted and -unrestricted B cell responses are mediated by distinct B cell subpopulations. J. Exp. Med. 154:1100.
- Asano, Y., M. Shigeta, C. G. Fathman, A. Singer, and R. J. Hodes. 1982. Role of the major histocompatibility complex in T cell activation of B cell subpopulations. A single monoclonal T helper cell population activates different B cell subpopulations by distinct pathways. J. Exp. Med. 156:350.
- 21. Asano, Y., and R. J. Hodes. 1982. T cell regulation of B cell activation. T cells independently regulate the responses mediated by distinct B cell subpopulations. J. Exp. Med. 155:1267.
- Singer, A., K. S. Hathcock, and R. J. Hodes. 1979. Cellular and genetic control of antibody responses. V. Helper T-cell recognition of H-2 determinants on accessory cells but not B cells. J. Exp. Med. 149:1208.
- Oi, V. T., P. P. Jones, J. W. Goding, L. A. Herzenberg, and L. A. Herzenberg. 1978. Properties of monoclonal antibodies to mouse Ig allotypes, H-2, and Ia antigens. Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol. 81:115.
- 24. Hodes, R. J., and A. Singer. 1977. Cellular and genetic control of antibody responses in vitro. I. Cellular requirements for the generation of genetically controlled primary IgM responses to soluble antigens. Eur. J. Immunol. 7:892.
- 25. Ly, L., and R. Mishell. 1974. Separation of mouse spleen cells by passage through Sephadex

G-10. J. Immunol. Methods. 5:239.

- von Boehmer, H., L. Hudson, and J. Sprent. 1975. Collaboration of histoincompatible T and B lymphocytes using cells from tetraparental bone marrow chimeras. J. Exp. Med. 142:989.
- 27. Bevan, M. J. 1977. In a radiation chimera, host H-2 antigens determine the immune responsiveness of donor cytotoxic cells. *Nature (Lond.)*. 269:417.
- 28. Katz, D. H. 1977. The role of the histocompatibility gene complex in lymphocyte differentiation. Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. 41:611.
- Kappler, J. W., and P. Marrack. 1978. The role of *H*-2-linked genes in helper T-cell function. IV. Importance of T-cell genotype and host environment in *I*-region and *Ir* gene expression. *J. Exp. Med.* 148:1510.
- Sprent, J. 1978. Restricted helper function of F₁ → parent bone marrow chimeras controlled by K-end of H-2 complex. J. Exp. Med. 147:1838.
- Zinkernagel, R. M., G. N. Callahan, A. Althage, S. Cooper, P. A. Klein, and J. Klein. 1978. On the thymus in the differentiation of "H-2 self-recognition" by T cells: evidence for dual recognition? J. Exp. Med. 147:882.
- 32. Flood, P., K. Yamauchi, A. Singer, and R. K. Gershon. 1982. Homologies between cell interaction molecules controlled by major histocompatibility complex- and Igh-V-linked genes that T cells use for communication. Tandem "adaptive" differentiation of producer and acceptor cells. J. Exp. Med. 156:1390.
- 33. Feldmann, M., and S. Kontiainen. 1976. Suppressor cell induction in vitro. II. Cellular requirements of suppressor cell induction. Eur. J. Immunol. 6:302.
- 34. Pierres, M., and R. N. Germain. 1978. Antigen-specific T cell-mediated suppression. IV. Role of macrophages in generation of L-glutamic acid⁶⁰-L-alanine³⁰-L-tyrosine¹⁰ (GAT)specific suppressor T cells in responder mouse strains. *J. Immunol.* 121:1306.
- Schwartz, M., C. Waltenbaugh, M. Dorf, R. Cesla, M. Sela, and B. Benacerraf. 1976. Determinants of antigen molecules responsible for genetically controlled regulation of immune responses. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 73:2862.
- Adorini, L., M. A. Harvey, A. Miller, and E. E. Sercarz. 1979. Fine specificity of regulatory T cells. II. Suppressor and helper T cells are induced by different regions of hen egg-white lysozyme in a genetically nonresponder mouse strain. J. Exp. Med. 150:293.
- 37. Berzofsky, J. A. T-B reciprocity: an Ia-restricted epitope-specific circuit regulating T cell-B cell interaction and antibody specificity. *Surv. Immunol. Res.* In press.