Prevalence and predictors of postpartum depression among postnatal women in Lagos, Nigeria

Adeyemo EO¹, Oluwole EO¹, Kanma-Okafor OJ¹, Izuka OM², Odeyemi KA¹

1. Department of Community Health and Primary Care, College of Medicine University of Lagos. Lagos State, Nigeria.

2. Federal Medical Centre Umuahia, Abia State, Nigeria.

Abstract

Background: Globally, postpartum depression is one of the most common but often unrecognized complications of childbirth, yearly affecting about 10–15% of postnatal women. This study aimed to determine the prevalence of postpartum depression and its predictors among postnatal women in Lagos.

Methods: A descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted among 250 mothers in Eti-Osa Local Government Area of Lagos State, Nigeria, attending six Primary Health Care centers for infant immunization at six weeks post-delivery. Data was collected using a pretested semi-structured interviewer administered questionnaire which included the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale. Analysis was carried out using SPSS version 23TM. Chi-square and logistic regression analyses were used to determine associations and predictive relationships between various factors and the presence of postpartum depression. The level of significance was set at <0.05.

Results: The prevalence of postpartum depression was 35.6%. Multiparity, delivery by cesarean section, mother being unwell after delivery, and not exclusively breastfeeding the baby were the factors linked with postpartum depression. Following multiple logistic regression, having postpartum blues (p=0.000; OR=32.77; 95%CI=7.23-148.58)., not getting help with caring for the baby (p=0.008; OR=2.64; 95%CI=1.29-5.42), experiencing intimate partner violence (p=0.000; OR=5.2; 95%CI=2.23-11.91) and having an unsupportive partner (p=0.018; OR=2.6; 95%CI=1.17-5.78) were identified as predictors of postpartum depression.

Conclusion: This study revealed a high prevalence of postpartum depression, identifying both the obstetric and psychosocial predictors. Social support for women both in the pre- and postnatal periods and routine screening of women for postpartum depression should be encouraged for early detection and immediate intervention.

Keywords: Postpartum depression; PPD; Eti-Osa; postnatal women; Lagos.

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ahs.v20i4.53

Cite as: Adeyemo EO, Oluwole EO, Kanma-Okafor OJ, Izuka OM, Odeyemi KA. Prevalence and predictors of postpartum depression among postnatal women in Lagos, Nigeria. Afri Health Sci. 2020;20(4):1943-54. https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ahs. v20i4.53

Introduction

Postpartum depression (PPD) is a mental health disorder that yearly affects about 10–15% of mothers worldwide.¹ It sets in immediately or about two to six weeks after delivery and may last for over a year.² It is characterized by symptoms such as tearfulness, a feeling of hopelessness, emotional lability, feelings of guilt, sleep problems and loss of appetite.^{2,3} As joyful and as excit-

Corresponding author:

Oluchi Joan Kanma-Okafor, Department of Community Health and Primary Care, College of Medicine University of Lagos. Email: okanma-okafor@unilag.edu.ng ing as the birth of a baby can be to a mother, it can be emotionally draining, tasking, and stressful leading to a depressed mood which affects a woman's quality of life, social functioning and economic productivity.⁴

PPD has a significant impact on the mother and longterm consequences on the cognitive and emotional development of most children whose mothers are affected.⁵ It is generally also agreed that while this illness can progress into major depression and carries a great risk of ill health and death, it is an underdiagnosed and underrated illness in many countries. In addition, it has been reported that the prevalence of PPD is three times higher in developing countries compared to developed countries, with various risk factors accounting for the high burden of the illness.⁶ The estimated prevalence of PPD in Africa is 18.4%. However, various countries

Health Sciences

© 2020 Adeyemo EO et al. Licensee African Health Sciences. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/BY/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

have reported higher rates such as Uganda (43.0%) and Cameroun (23.4%) as compared to Ethiopia (13.1%), Ghana (3.8%) and Morocco (11.6%).7,8,9,10 In Nigeria, various studies have been conducted to determine the prevalence of PPD using the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS). In western Nigeria, the lowest and highest prevalence of PPD reported were 14.6% and 23.0% respectively.11,12 Two different studies conducted in South-eastern Nigeria reported a low prevalence of 10.7% in one and a high prevalence of 30.0% in the other.^{13,14} In Northern Nigeria, seemingly high prevalence rates of 44.5% and 21.8% were reported.^{15,16} The varying prevalence worldwide and within Nigeria could be attributed to the different types of screening methods used, the study designs, the differences in geographical location, differences in socioeconomic status, the cut off score of the screening instruments as well as the various risk and predictive factors associated with developing PPD in such studies.

Socio-demographic factors such as religion, age, socioeconomic status, education and unemployment have been linked with PPD.^{17,18} Also, obstetric and infant care factors such as unplanned pregnancy, complications in pregnancy, an unhealthy baby, the death of an infant, parity, and history of abortion, have been reported as risk factors.¹⁹ In addition, other risk factors such as lack of antenatal care, history of psychiatric illness, stress, marital problems, and lack of emotional or social support have also been identified.²⁰ Among all, the most stable risk factors/predictors reported over time include a prior history of depression, inadequate social support, poor quality of the mother's relationship with her partner, and stress.²¹

In Nigeria, certain factors may serve as deterrents to PPD. The communal-living lifestyle found especially in rural settings enables social support and companionship from members within the community. This gives a sense of comfort and relief to women, from the challenges of pregnancy and delivery. In addition, the traditional naming ceremony that is typically celebrated on the baby's eighth day of life in some African cultures, enables mothers to maintain high spirits in the first few days after delivery. Furthermore, the cultural practice of "omugwo/ olojojo omo" where a woman's mother and/or her mother in-law takes residence with her after her delivery for periods up to 6 months, to care for both mother and baby, helps the newly delivered woman to adjust to life after childbirth. These practices reduce the stress and anxiety that come with motherhood and hence limiting the risk of developing PPD. However, certain factors act as enablers of PPD. Many women during postnatal visits to the clinic may conceal their emotions and view PPD as normal rather than as an illness of concern, thus may keep their feelings to themselves, bottling up in silence.²² Furthermore, many women are unaware of the signs and symptoms of the illness and those that are aware that they have a problem, tend to keep quiet about it for fear of being stigmatized or considered weak. In Nigeria, there is poor knowledge of PPD among postnatal women and poor recognition of its symptoms by health practitioners.²³ This has resulted in missed diagnosis of PPD and has necessitated research on the prevalence and associated risk factors of PPD to provide evidence of the burden of PPD. Though few studies have been carried out on the prevalence of PPD and its risk factors in many tertiary hospitals in Nigeria, there is still a paucity of work done on PPD among women, particularly at 6 weeks postpartum. Thus, this study was aimed at determining the prevalence, risk factors and predictors of PPD among postnatal women, six weeks after delivery.

Methods

A descriptive cross-sectional study was carried out in six primary healthcare centres (PHCs) in Eti-Osa Local Government Area (LGA) in Lagos, Nigeria among women, 6 weeks postpartum, attending infant immunization clinics. The minimum sample size of 250 was determined using the Cochran formula,²⁴ with a standard normal deviate at 95% confidence interval of 1.96, a prevalence rate of 0.14610 and the error of precision at 5%, after adjusting for non-response. The participants were selected by the multistage sampling technique. In stage one Eti-Osa LGA was selected out of 20 LGAs in Lagos by simple random sampling using balloting. In stage two, 6 out of 16 administrative wards of the LGA were selected by balloting. Stage three involved the selection of 1 PHC in each of the 6 selected wards, also by balloting. In stage four, a maximum of 41 study participants were consecutively recruited at each PHC over a period of 2 months from June to August 2018.

Data were collected using a pretested, semi-structured, interviewer-administered questionnaire which was divided into three sections. The first section was to collect data on the socio-demographic characteristics of the respondents; the second section included the obstetric, child-related and psychosocial risk factors of PPD while the third section consisted of the Edinburg PPD Scale (EPDS), a 10-question screening tool for PPD.²⁵ Each question in the EPDS had 4 answers scored 0, 1, 2 or 3. To determine the prevalence of PPD, all the scores were summed up. The minimum and maximum total scores obtained from the EPDS were 0 and 30 respectively. An EPDS score \geq 13 was considered positive for PPD, while a score of<13 ruled out the possibility of PPD.²⁵

Data analysis was carried out with SPSS version 23.0TM. Chi-square and logistic regression analyses were used to determine associations and predict the relationships between the dependent variable (PPD) and socio-de-mographic and other risk factors. A p-value of p<0.05 was considered statistically significant. Ethical approval was obtained from the Lagos University Teaching Hospital (LUTH), Health Research Ethics Committee. Written informed consent was obtained from each participant before enrollment into the study. It was clearly explained that participation was purely voluntary with no penalty for non-participation and that participants could choose at any time to withdraw from the study. All the information collected were handled as strictly confidential.

Results

The mean age of the respondents was 29.5 ± 5.70 SD, with 57.6% within the age group 21 to 30yrs. A greater proportion of the respondents were Christians (62.4%), majority (91.6%) married or in-union, 85.2% of them being monogamous marriages. The Yoruba tribe made up 40.4%, while the Igbos were 23.2%, the Hausas 7.6%, other tribes (Tiv, Ibira, Efik) made up 28.8% of the respondents. The greatest proportion (37.6%) of the respondents were traders, 21.2% were housewives, 21.6% artisans and 12.8% were professionals. Howev-

er, 6.8% of them were unemployed. Up to 20% of the mothers had a low family income of $< \mathbb{N}$ 10,000 monthly, though about half of the respondents had a family income of \mathbb{N} 10,001 to \mathbb{N} 50,000 monthly (Table 1).

The majority (74.0%) of the respondents did not experience any complications during pregnancy. More than half (61.2%) had 2 to 4 children. Vaginal delivery was the most common mode of delivery among respondents. Almost a third (26.6%) of the respondents had been unwell since their delivery. As high as 67.2% of the respondents had experienced postpartum blues, which had most frequently been attributed to lack of support in caring for the baby and themselves (38.1%), followed by having insufficient finances (30.4%). Male children were the desire of 42.0% of the respondents, though 47.6% eventually had male babies. An optimal birth weight (2.5kg - 4.0kg) was found with 87.6% of the babies, while 69.6% had optimal weights of >4kg to 6kg at six weeks of life. Majority of the babies were exclusively breastfed (68.8%) (Table 2).

A small proportion (9.2%) of the respondents had a family history of mental illness. While 82.8% had supportive husbands, 17.2% of the husbands were unsupportive by not providing enough financially (39.5%), not helping with the care of their children (32.6%), not giving care and not paying enough attention to the woman (27.9%). Husbands were violent towards 16% of the respondents; 27.5% of the respondents were beaten, 7.5% were raped and 65% verbally abused. Help for the care of the baby was most frequently given by relatives (39.2%) but 32.4% of the respondents did not get any help at all. Husbands were helpful in 20.4% of cases. (Table 3)

| Variable | Frequency (n=250) | Percent (%) | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|--|
| Age | | | |
| ≤20years | 12 | 4.8 | |
| 21 – 30years | 144 | 57.6 | |
| 31 – 40years | 87 | 34.8 | |
| ≥ 41years | 7 | 2.8 | |
| Mean age ±SD | 29.52±5.70 | | |
| Religion | | | |
| Christian | 156 | 62.4 | |
| Muslim | 93 | 37.2 | |
| Others | 1 | 0.4 | |
| Marital Status | | | |
| Single | 12 | 4.8 | |
| Married/in-union | 229 | 91.6 | |
| Separated/Widowed/Divorced | 9 | 3.6 | |
| Marriage/union type (n=229) | | | |
| Monogamy | 213 | 85.2 | |
| Polygamy | 16 | 14.8 | |
| Ethnicity | - | - | |
| Yoruba | 101 | 40.4 | |
| lgbo | 58 | 23.2 | |
| Hausa/Fulani | 19 | 7.6 | |
| Others | 72 | 28.8 | |
| Level of education | | | |
| None formal | 18 | 7.2 | |
| Primary | 58 | 23.2 | |
| Secondary | 124 | 49.6 | |
| Tertiary | 50 | 20 | |
| Occupation | | | |
| Professional | 32 | 12.8 | |
| Housewife | 53 | 21.2 | |
| Artisans | 54 | 21.6 | |
| Trader/Business | 94 | 37.6 | |
| Unemployed | 17 | 6.8 | |
| Monthly family income (in Naira | | 0.0 | |
| <u><10,000</u> | 50 | 20.0 | |
| 10,001 – 50,000 | 109 | 43.6 | |
| 50,001 – 100,000 | 47 | 18.8 | |
| 100,001 – 200,000 | 29 | 11.6 | |
| 200,001 – 400,000 | 10 | 4.0 | |
| >400,000 | 5 | 2.0 | |

 Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics of the respondents

| Variable | Frequency (n=250) | Percent (%) |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| Problems or complications in pregnar | icy | |
| Yes | 65 | 26.0 |
| No | 185 | 74.0 |
| Parity | | |
| 1 | 76 | 30.4 |
| 2-4 | 153 | 61.2 |
| ≥ 5 | 21 | 8.4 |
| Mode of last delivery | | |
| Vaginal | 213 | 85.2 |
| Caesarean section | 37 | 14.8 |
| Mother's health since delivery | | |
| Unwell | 67 | 26.8 |
| Well | 183 | 73.2 |
| Postpartum blues (PPB) | | |
| Present | 168 | 67.2 |
| Absent | 82 | 32.8 |
| Supposed triggers of PPB (n=168) | | |
| No help or support in caring for baby | 64 | 38.1 |
| Stress | 33 | 19.6 |
| Death of a loved one | 10 | 6.0 |
| Insufficient funds | 51 | 30.4 |
| Others | 10 | 6.0 |
| Desired sex of the baby | | |
| Male | 105 | 42.0 |
| Female | 94 | 37.2 |
| Not specific | 51 | 20.4 |
| Actual sex of the baby | | |
| Male | 119 | 47.6 |
| Female | 131 | 52.4 |
| Weight of baby at birth | | |
| <2.5kg | 19 | 7.6 |
| 2.5–4.0kg | 219 | 87.6 |
| >4.0kg | 12 | 4.8 |
| Weight of baby at 6 weeks | | |
| <4.0kg | 56 | 22.4 |
| >4.0-6.0kg | 174 | 69.6 |
| >6.0kg | 20 | 8.0 |
| Method of feeding baby | | |
| Exclusive breast feeding | 172 | 68.8 |
| Mixed feedings | 65 | 26.0 |
| Formula feedings | 13 | 5.2 |

| Variable | Frequency (n=250) | Percent(%) | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|------------|--|
| Family history of mental illness | | | |
| Yes | 23 | 9.2 | |
| No | 227 | 90.8 | |
| Husband/partner supportiveness | | | |
| Yes | 207 | 82.8 | |
| No | 43 | 17.2 | |
| Mode of unsupportiveness (n=43) | | | |
| Not providing enough financially | 17 | 39.5 | |
| Not helping out with the care of the | 14 | 32.6 | |
| children | | | |
| Not giving care and attention | 12 | 27.9 | |
| Husband/partner violence | | | |
| Yes | 40 | 16.0 | |
| No | 210 | 84.0 | |
| Type of violence (n=40) | | | |
| Beating | 11 | 27.5 | |
| Rape | 3 | 7.5 | |
| Verbal abuse | 26 | 65.0 | |
| Source of help for the care of the ba | aby | | |
| Husband | 51 | 20.4 | |
| Relatives | 98 | 39.2 | |
| Friends | 9 | 3.6 | |
| Nanny/House-help | 11 | 4.4 | |
| None | 81 | 32.4 | |

 Table 3: Psychosocial characteristics of respondents

The prevalence of PPD was 36.5% (Figure 1). There was no statistically significant association between the socio-demographic characteristics of the participants and the presence of PPD (Table 4). Among the obstetric and child-related factors of the respondents, having more than five children (p=0.027), mode of delivery, by cesarean section (p=0.002), mothers' poor state of health since delivery (p<0.001), experiencing postpartum blues (p<0.001) and not exclusively breastfeeding the baby (p=0.003) were associated with PPD (p<0.05) (Table 5). Furthermore, some psychosocial factors were significantly associated with having PPD; having an unsupportive partner (p<0.001), experiencing intimate partner violence (p<0.001) and not getting help in taking care of their baby (p<0.001) (Table 6). After subjecting the associated factors to logistic regression analysis to eliminate confounders, postpartum blues, lack of assistance in taking care of the baby, intimate partner violence and having an unsupportive partner remained as predictors for developing PPD. Postpartum blues was identified as a predictor of PPD (p=0.000;

OR=32.77; 95%CI=7.23-148.58). This implies that women who experienced postpartum blues within 0-2weeks after delivery were likely to develop PPD, and the odds that women who experienced postpartum blues would get depressed was 32 times higher than those who did not experience postpartum blues. In addition, not having help after childbirth (p=0.008; OR=2.64; 95%CI=1.29-5.42) was also identified as a predictor of PPD such that women who did not have help in taking care of their babies were more than 2 times as likely to develop PPD compared to those who did. Experiencing intimate partner violence (p=0.000; OR=5.2; 95%CI=2.23-11.91) was implicated as a predictor of PPD, implying that women who experienced violence from their partners were about 5 times more likely to develop PPD than those who did not. Also, having an unsupportive partner (p=0.018; OR=2.6; 95%CI=1.17-5.78) was identified as a predictor of PPD, such that those mothers who had unsupportive partners were almost 3 times more likely to have PPD than those who received support from their partners. (Table 7).



Figure 1: Prevalence of PPD

| Variable | PPD present n=89 | PPD absent n=161 | Chi- square | p-value |
|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------|---------|
| | Freq(%) | Freq(%) | oquaio | |
| Age | | | | |
| ≤20years | 2(16.7) | 10(83.3) | | 0.477* |
| 21 – 30years | 51(35.4) | 93(64.6) | | |
| 31 – 40years | 34(39.1) | 53(60.9) | | |
| ≥41years | 2(28.6) | 5(71.4) | | |
| Religion | | | | |
| Christian | 58(37.2) | 98(62.8) | | 0.296* |
| Muslim | 30(32.3) | 63(67.7) | | |
| Others | 1(100.0) | Ò(0.0) | | |
| Marital | | · · · · | | |
| Single | 6(50.0) | 6(50.0) | | 0.236* |
| Married/co-habiting | 78(34.1) | 151(65.9) | | |
| Separated / Widowed | 5(55.6) | 4(44.4) | | |
| /Divorced | | () | | |
| Marriage type (n=229) | | | | |
| Monogamy | 74(34.7) | 139(65.3) | 0.14 | 0.707 |
| Polygamy | 5(31.3) | 11(68.8) | | |
| Ethnicity | - (/ | () | | |
| Yoruba | 28(27.7) | 73(72.3) | 6.94 | 0.074 |
| lgbo | 27(46.6) | 31(53.4) | | |
| Hausa/Fulani | 9(47.4) | 10(52.6) | | |
| Others | 25(34.7) | 47(65.3) | | |
| Level of education | () | | | |
| Non-formal | 9(50.0) | 9(50.0) | | 0.334* |
| Primary | 23(39.7) | 35(60.3) | | |
| Secondary | 41(33.1) | 83(66.9) | | |
| Tertiary | 13(28.9) | 32(71.1) | | |
| Postgraduate | 3(60.0) | 2(40.0) | | |
| Occupation | | _() | | |
| Professional | 14(43.8) | 18(56.3) | 7.19 | 0.126 |
| Housewife | 24(45.3) | 29(54.7) | | 0=0 |
| Artisan | 14(25.9) | 40(74.1) | | |
| Trade/Business | 29(30.9) | 65(69.1) | | |
| Unemployed | 8(47.1) | 9(52.9) | | |
| Monthly family Income | 0(111) | 0(02.0) | | |
| ≤10,000 | 19(38.0) | 31(62.0) | | 0.267* |
| 10,001 – 50,000 | 31(28.4) | 78(71.6) | | 0.201 |
| 50,001 - 100,000 | 18(38.3) | 29(61.7) | | |
| 100,001 – 200,000 | 13(44.8) | 16(55.2) | | |
| 200,001 – 200,000 | 6(60.0) | 4(40.0) | | |
| ≥400,000 | 2((40.0) | 3(60.0) | | |
| *Fisher's exact p-value | 2((70.0) | 0(00.0) | | |

*Fisher's exact p-value

| Variable | PPD present n=89 Freq(%) | PPD absent n=161 Freq(%) | X ² | p-value |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Complications in pregnancy | | | | · |
| Yes | 26(40.0) | 39(60.0) | 0.74 | 0.389 |
| No | 63(34.1) | 122(65.9) | | |
| Parity | · · · · | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | |
| 1 | 31(40.8) | 45(59.2) | 7.19 | 0.027 |
| 2-4 | 46(30.1) | 107(69.9) | | |
| ≥ 5 | 12(57.1) | 9(42.9) | | |
| Mode of Delivery | · · · · · | () | | |
| Vaginal | 67(31.5) | 146(68.5) | 10.78 | 0.001 |
| Caesarean Section | 22(59.5) | 15(40.5) | | |
| Mother's health since delivery | (•••••) | | | |
| Unwell/ill | 35(52.2) | 32(47.8) | 13.14 | <0.001 |
| Well | 54(29.5) | 129(70.5) | | |
| Postpartum blues | 0 (2010) | | | |
| Present | 87(51.8) | 81(48.2) | | <0.001* |
| Absent | 2(2.4) | 80(97.6) | | |
| Desired sex of baby | _() | | | |
| Male | 32(30.5) | 73(69.5) | 2.20 | 0.333 |
| Female | 37(39.8) | 56(60.2) | | |
| Not Specific | 20(39.2) | 31()60.8 | | |
| Actual sex of baby | _==(===) | | | |
| Male | 39(32.8) | 80(67.2) | 0.79 | 0.374 |
| Female | 50(38.2) | 81(61.8) | 0110 | 0.07.1 |
| Babies weight at birth | 00(0012) | | | |
| <2.5kg | 10(52.6) | 9(47.4) | | 0.297* |
| 2.5 – 4.0kg | 75(34.3 | 144(65.8) | | 0.207 |
| >4.0kg | 4(33.3) | 8(66.7) | | |
| Babies weight at 6 weeks | (0010) | 0(0011) | | |
| 2.01 – 4.00 kg | 22(39.3) | 34(60.7) | 0.63 | 0.731 |
| 4.01 – 6.00 kg | 61(35.1) | 113(64.9) | 0.00 | 0.701 |
| 6.01 – 8.00kg | 6(30.0) | 14(70.0) | | |
| Feeding method | 0(00.0) | 1 (7 0.0) | | |
| Exclusive Breast feeding | 53(30.8) | 119(69.2) | | 0.003* |
| Mixed feeding | 26(40.0) | 39(60.0) | | 0.000 |
| Formula feeding | 10(76.9) | 3(23.1) | | |

Table 5: Obstetric and child-related factors associated with the presence of PPD

Table 6: Psychosocial factors associated with PPD

| Variable | PPD present n=89(%) | PPD absent n=161(%) | X ² | p-value |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Family history of mental illness | | Y | - | |
| Yes | 12(52.2) | 11(47.8) | 3.035 | 0.080 |
| No | 77(33.9) | 150(66.1) | | |
| Husband/partner violence | | | | |
| Yes | 30(75.0) | 10(25.0) | 32.243 | <0.001 |
| No | 59(28.1) | 151(71,9) | | |
| Help with caring for the baby | | | | |
| Present | 42(24.9) | 127(75.1) | 30.359 | <0.001 |
| Absent | 47(58.0) | 34(42.0) | | |
| Supportiveness of husband | | | | |
| Yes | 60(29.0) | 147(71.0) | 22.967 | <0.001 |
| No | 29(67.4) | 14(32.6) | | |

| Variable | aOR | 95% CI | p-value |
|--|------|-------------|---------|
| Having an unsupportive partner | 2.6 | 1.17-5.78 | 0.018 |
| Experiencing intimate partner violence | 5.2 | 2.23-11.91 | <0.001 |
| Not having help with caring for the baby | 3.2 | 1.71-5.79 | <0.001 |
| Having postpartum blues | 32.8 | 7.23-148.58 | <0.001 |

Table 7: Predictors of PPD

aOR=adjusted odds ratio CI=confidence interval

Discussion

The prevalence of PPD found in this study was 35.6%. This finding is similar to the prevalence ranges of 35-47%, 28-57%, and 35-47% for Latin America, Bangladesh and Pakistan respectively.25 Similarly, in other countries such as Jamaica and Korea, the prevalence of PPD reported at 6 weeks post-delivery were 34.6% and 34.3% respectively.26 In Africa likewise, a prevalence of 34.7% was reported in South Africa and 16%-34.2% in Zimbabwe.^{27,28} A study conducted in South Eastern Nigeria reported a prevalence of 30.6% at EPDS cut off score of 10.29 Also, a study in North-East Nigeria reported a prevalence of 44.5%, using a cut-off score of 7.14 On the other hand, some other studies in Nigeria reported much lower prevalence rates; Osun State (14.6% at EPDS cut off 8/9),²⁰ Enugu (10.7% at the optimal EPDS cut-off score of 9),¹³ and Lagos (20.9% at EPDS cut-off of >12).³⁰ The differences in prevalence from various studies compared to this study may be due to differences in the study designs, the postpartum period at which the study was conducted, the differences in geographical location (developed or developing countries), different risk factors studied, and the cut off score of the screening instrument.

In this study no association was found between socio-demographic characteristics of the respondents and development of PPD. This study corroborated a study conducted in Enugu, South-East Nigeria which reported that there was no association between PPD and socio-demographic characteristics of women 6 weeks after delivery.³¹ Similarly, a study conducted in Cameroon with a PPD prevalence of 23.4 %, reported that socio-demographic variables were not associated with developing PPD.⁸ However, a study in Iraq implicated low socio-economic profiles of respondents in PPD.³²

In both economically developed and developing nations, unplanned pregnancy, mode of delivery (Caesarean section), high parity, premature delivery, unintended and unwanted pregnancy, infant illness after delivery and not breastfeeding have been reported as risk factors of PPD.33 In this study, the results revealed that various obstetric and child related factors were associated with PPD. Parity (p=0.027) was identified as a risk factor associated with PPD, which was in line with findings from other studies.³⁴ In addition, it revealed that more of the women who had more than 5 children had PPD and this could be as a result of the stress that comes with having many children. Also, the mode of delivery (p=0.002) was implicated as a risk factor to having PPD with more of the women who had caesarean sections having PPD. Studies in United Arab Emirates,³⁵ France,³⁶ and Finland³⁷ also found the mode of delivery to be a risk factor for PPD. Furthermore, a study in Greece and another in Nigeria reported a significant association between PPD and mode of delivery among women who delivered via Caesarean section.³⁸ Experiencing a form of illness after delivery was also associated with PPD (p=0.001). This finding is supported by a study conducted in Kampala, Uganda which implicated poor physical health of women as a risk factor for developing PPD.6 The findings in this study also implicated postpartum blues as a risk factor for developing PPD. Over half (51.8%) of the women who experienced postpartum blues within 0-2 weeks after delivery had PPD. However, a study in Nairobi, Kenya reported that postpartum blues was not associated with PPD.39 The method of feeding the baby was significantly associated with PPD (p=0.003), 69.2% of the women who exclusively breast fed their babies did not have PPD, whereas 76.9% of those who bottle fed their babies had PPD. This implies that breastfeeding, being very important for infant growth and cognitive development, may in addition, be a better option for feeding a baby to avoid PPD. Having a baby of a non-desired sex, was identified as a risk factor for PPD in studies conducted in Australia⁴⁰ and France. ⁴¹ However in this study, it was not identified as a risk factor associated with having PPD. Other studies in Kenya⁶ and Turkey⁴² identify the sex of a baby as being linked to having PPD. Reports on sex preference and development of PPD has varied from culture to culture. In China, a study indicated that giving birth to a baby girl was significant in the development of PPD⁴³. Also, women who had problems during pregnancy/complications were reported in studies from Morocco,9 Nigeria 44 and Uganda,6 to be linked with developing PPD. However, in this study, there was

no association between having problems/complication during pregnancy and having PPD. In this study intimate partner violence was significantly associated with PPD (p<0.001). Similarly, various studies attributed physical abuse by a partner as a risk factor associated with PPD. 30,45 On the contrary, a study conducted in India did not find any significant relationship between PPD and physical abuse.⁴⁶ This study also reported not having help with caring for self and the baby after birth (p<0.001) and having unsupportive husbands (p < 0.001) as risk factors for developing PPD. Similarly, a study in Turkey recognized lack of social support as a predictor for PPD.35 This implies that the role of having a good support system can never be over emphasized. Studies in both developed and developing countries have depicted the importance of having good social support in decreasing the risk of having PPD.47,48 Having postpartum blues, not having help after childbirth, experiencing intimate partner violence and having an unsupportive partner were identified as predictors of PPD, as corroborated by other studies. A meta-analysis identified prenatal depression, low self-esteem, childcare stress, prenatal anxiety, life stress, social support, poor marital relationship, history of previous depression, infant temperament, maternity blues, marital status, socioeconomic status, and unplanned/unwanted pregnancy as predictors of PPD.49 A systematic review also found a few biological and psychosocial predictive factors of PPD, the strongest predictors being severe life events, some forms of chronic strain, relationship quality, and support from partner and mother.⁵⁰ Another systematic review found the current best predictors to be clinical assessments for psychiatric history and adverse life events, highlighting the need for increased depression screening across the perinatal period.⁵¹

Conclusion

This study revealed a high prevalence of PPD among women attending PHCs at six weeks post-delivery. Among the factors associated with PPD, experiencing postpartum blues, not getting help with child care, intimate partner violence, and having an unsupportive partner were identified as predictors of PPD. Adequate social systems that provide support for women before and after delivery should be instituted. Simple screening methods applied early in the postpartum period should be made routine for all women attending postnatal clinics and even up to a year or two after delivery.

References

1 Beck CT, Records K, Rice M. Further development

of the postpartum depression predictors inventory-revised. J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs. 2006;35(6):735– 745. doi: 10.1111/j.1552-6909.2006.00094.x.

2 Katon W, Russo J, Von-Korff M, Lin E, Simon G, Bush T, Ludman E, Walker E. Long-term effects of a collaborative care intervention in persistently depressed primary care patients. *J. Gen. Intern. Med.* 2002; 17:741–748 PubMed .

3 Robertson E, Celasun N, Stewart DE. Risk factors for postpartum depression. In Stewart DE, Robertson E, Dennis CL, Grace SL, Wallington T. Postpartum depression: Literature review of risk factors and interventions 2003. Available from http://www.who.int/ mental_health/prevention/suicide/lit_review_postpartum_depression.pdf accessed February 24th 2019

4 Rai S, Pathak A, Sharma I. Postpartum psychiatric disorders: Early diagnosis and management. *Indian Journal* of *Psychiatry* 2015; 57: 216-21 PubMed .

5 World Health Organization (WHO).Managing complications in pregnancy and childbirth: A guide for midwives and doctors. The Department of Reproductive Health and Research, WHO (2003). Available from http://www.who.int/reproductive-health/impac/Clinical_Principles/Emotional_support_C7_C14html accessed February 24th 2019

6 Halbreich U, karkun S. Cross-cultural and social diversity of prevalence of postpartum depression and depressive symptoms. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. 2006; 91: 97-11

7 Nakku J, Nakasi G, Mirembe F. Postpartum major depression at six weeks in primary health care: prevalence and associated factors. *African Health Sciences* 2006; 6: 207-14.

8 Weobong B, Ten-Asbroek A, Soremekun S, Danso S, Owusu-Agyei S. Determinants of postnatal depression in rural Ghana: findings from the done population-based cohort study. *Depression and Anxiety* 2015; 32: 108-119.

9 Adama N, Foumane P, Olen J, Dohbit J, Meka E. Prevalence and Risk Factors of Postpartum Depression in Yaounde, Cameroon. *Open Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 2015; 05: 608-617.

10 Agoub M, Moussaoui D, Battas O. Prevalence of postpartum depression in a Moroccan sample. *Archives of Women's Mental Health.* 2005; 8: 37-43 PubMed .

11 Adewuya OA, Eegunranti A, Lawal, A. Prevalence of postnatal depression in Western Nigerian women: a controlled study. *International Journal of Psychiatry in Clinical Practice* 2005; 9: 60-64.

12 Adewuya AO. Early postpartum mood as a risk factor for postnatal depression in Nigerian women. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2006; 163:1435–7 PubMed. 13 Abasiubong F, Bassey EA, Ekott JU. Postpartum depression among women in Uyo, Akwa-Ibom State. *Niger J Psychiatry*. 2008; 6:65-69 PubMed .

14 Uwakwe R. Affective (depressive) morbidity in puerperal Nigerian women: Validation of the Edinburgh Postnatal Scale. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 2003; 107: 251-259. 15 Obindo TJ, Prevalence and correlates of postpartum depression in a teaching hospital in Nigeria. *Highland*

Medical Research Journal 2014; 13: 71-75.

16 Tungchama F, Obindo J, Armiyau A, Maigari Y, Davou F, Goar S, et al. Prevalence and sociodemographic correlates of postpartum depression among women attending Postnatal and/or Children's Welfare Clinics in a Tertiary Hospital, Jos, Nigeria. *Sahel Med J*. 2018; 21:23.

17 Hamdan A, Tamim H. Psychosocial risk and protective factors for postpartum depression in the United Arab Emirates. *Archives of Women's Mental Health* 2011; 14: 125-133.

18 Haque A, Namavar A, Breene K. Prevalence and risk factors of Postpartum Depression in Middle Eastern/ Arab Women. *Journal of Muslim Mental Health.* 2015; 9: 1.

19 Najafi K, Zarrabi H, Shirazi M, Avakh F, Nazifi, F. Prevalence of postpartum depression in a group of women delivering at a hospital in Rasht city, Iran. *Journal* of *Pakistan Psychiatric Society* 2007; 4:2

20 Chaaya M, Campbell O, El-Kak F, Shaar D, Harb H. Postpartum depression: prevalence and determinants in Lebanon: *Archives of Women's Mental Health* 2002; 5 (2): 65-72.

21 Peindl O, Kathleen S, Katherine L. Wisner, and Barbara H. Identifying Depression in the First Postpartum Year: Guidelines for screening and referral. *Journal of Affective Disorders* 2004; 80: 37- 44

22 Msiqwa T. Prevalence of depressive symptoms and risk factors among postpartum mothers at Sinza and Magomeni health in Kinondoni Municipal- Dar Es Salaam, Tanzania. 2010.Available From: http://hdl.handle.net/123456789/1029 Accessed February 24th, 2019.

23 Joel AA, Olayinka OA, Rejuaro FM, Yusuf A, Chibuike O. Knowledge of Postpartum Depression and its Associated Risk Factors Among Nurse-Midwives in a Nigerian Tertiary Hospital. *Sierra Leone J Biomed Res* 2016; 8: 4-65.

24 Cochran WG. Sampling Techniques. 2nd Edition, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York. 1963

25 Cox J, Holden J, Sagovsky R. Detection of postnatal depression: development of the 10-item Edinburgh postnatal depression scale. *British Journal of Psychiatry* 1987; 150:782–786 PubMed

African Health Sciences, Vol 20 Issue 4, December, 2020

26 Wachs TD, Black MM, Eagle PL. Maternal depression: A global threat to children's health, development, and behaviour and human rights. *Child Development Perspectives* 2009; 3(1)

27 Cooper PJ, Tomlinson M, Swartz L, Woolgar M, Murray L, Molteno C. Postpartum depression and the mother-infant relationship in a South African peri-urban settlement. *Br J Psychiatry.* 1999 ;175(6):554 PubMed –8.

28 January J, Burns J, Chimbari M. Primary care screening and risk factors for postnatal depression in Zimbabwe: A scoping review of literature. *J Psychol Africa*. 2017;27(3):294 PubMed –8.

29 Ukaegbe CI, Iteke OC, Bakare MO, Agbata AT. Postpartum Depression among Igbo Women in an Urban Mission Hospital, South East Nigeria. *EMJ* 2012; 11: 29-36 PubMed.

30 Owoeye OA, Aina OF, Morakinyo O. Risk factors of postpartum depression and EPDS scores in a group of Nigerian Women. *Trop Doct* 2006; 36: 100-103.

31 Chinawa JM, Israel O, Ndu IK, Ezugwu EC, Aniwada EC, Chinawa AT, et al. Postpartum depression among mothers as seen in hospitals in Enugu, South-East Nigeria: an undocumented issue. *Pan Afr Med J.* 2016;23.

32 Ahmed HM, Alalaf SK, Al-Tawil NG. Screening for postpartum depression using Kurdish version of Edinburgh postnatal depression scale. *Arch Gynecol Obstet*. 2012; 285:1249–55 PubMed .

33 Norhayati MN, Nik Hazlina NH, Asrenee AR, Wan Emilin WMA. Magnitude and risk factors for postpartum symptoms: A literature review. *J Affect Disord*. 2015; 175:34–52 PubMed .

34 Lanes A, Kuk JL, Tamim H. Prevalence and characteristics of Postpartum Depression symptomatology among Canadian women: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health* 2011; 11:302.

35 Green K, Broome H, Mirabella J. Postnatal depression among mothers in the United Arab Emirates: Socio-cultural and physical factors. *Psychol Health Med.* 2006; 11:425–31 PubMed .

36 Gaillard A, Le Strat Y, Mandelbrot L, Keïta H, Dubertret C. Predictors of postpartum depression: Prospective study of 264 women followed during pregnancy and postpartum. *Psychiatry Res.* 2014; 215(2):341 PubMed –6.

37 Goker A, Yanikkerem E, Demet MM, Dikayak S, Yildirim Y, Koyuncu FM. Postpartum depression: is mode of delivery a risk factor? *ISRN Obstet Gynecol.* 2012; 2012:61-67 PubMed .

38 Koutra K, Vassilaki M, Georgiou V, Koutis A, Bitsios P, Chatzi L, et al. Antenatal maternal mental health as determinant of postpartum depression in a population based mother-child cohort (Rhea Study) in Crete, Greece. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol.* 2014; 49:711– 21.

39 Oates J, Cox S, Neema P, Asten N, Glangeaud-Freudenthal B, Figueiredo LL. Postnatal depression across countries and cultures: a qualitative study. *The British Journal of Psychiatry* 2004; 184:10-16.

40 Boyce P, Hickey A. Psychosocial risk factors to major depression after childbirth. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol.* 2005;40(8):605–12.

41 De-Tychey C, Briançon S, Lighezzolo J, Spitz E, Kabuth B, de Luigi V, et al. Quality of life, postnatal depression and baby gender. *J Clin Nurs.* 2007; 0:071012 42 Kim Y-K, Hur J-W, Kim K-H, Oh K-S, Shin Y-C. Prediction of postpartum depression by socio-demographic, obstetric and psychological factors: A prospective study. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci.* 2008; 62:331–40 Pu-

bMed. 43 Beck CT Postpartum Depression: It isn't just the

43 Beck CT. Postpartum Depression: It isn't just the blues. *American Journal of Nursing.* 2006; 106(5):40-50.

44 Abiodun OA. Postnatal depression in Primary Care Population in Nigeria. *Gen Hosp Psych.* 2006; 28: 133-136 PubMed

45 Leahy-Warren P, McCarthy G, Corcoran P. First-time mothers: social support, maternal parental self-efficacy and postnatal depression. *J Clin Nurs.* 2012; 21:388-97 PubMed

46 Savarimuthu RJS, Ezhilarasu P, Charles H, Antonisamy B, Kurian S, Jacob KS. Postpartum Depression in the Community: A Qualitative study from rural South India. *Int J Soc Psychiatry*. 2010; 56:94–102

47 Sword W, Kurtz Landy C, Thabane L, Watt S, Krueger P, Farine D, et al. Is mode of delivery associated with postpartum depression at 6 weeks: a prospective cohort study. *BJOG An Int J Obstet Gynaecol.* 2011 ;118:966–77

48 Lanes A, Kuk JL, Tamim H. Prevalence and characteristics of Postpartum Depression symptomatology among Canadian women: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health.* 2011; 11:302.

49 Beck CT. Predictors of postpartum depression: an update. *Nursing Research*. 2001. 50(5):275-85

50 Yim IS, Tanner Stapleton LR, Guardino CM, Hahn-Holbrook J, Dunkel Schetter C. Biological and psychosocial predictors of postpartum depression: systematic review and call for integration. *Annu Rev Clin Psychol.* 2015; 11:99-137 PubMed .

51 Guintivano J, Manuck T, Meltzer-Brody S. Predictors of Postpartum Depression: A Comprehensive Review of the Last Decade of Evidence. *Clinical Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 2018;61(3):591–603