



# A randomized controlled study to evaluate the effect of pharmacist-led educational intervention on glycemic control, self-care activities and disease knowledge among type 2 diabetes patients A consort compliant study protocol

Allah Bukhsh, M.Phil<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Muhammad Sarfraz Nawaz, M.Phil<sup>c</sup>, Hafiz Sajjad Ahmed, FCPS<sup>d</sup>, Tahir Mehmood Khan, PhD<sup>a,b,e</sup>

#### Abstract

**Background:** Diabetes self-care activities, like, healthy diet, regular exercise, self-monitoring of blood glucose, and rational use of medicines are considered to play a vital role in establishing euglycemia. Health literacy among type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) patients in Pakistan is very low, which is the most likely cause for poor clinical outcomes. This study is designed to investigate the impact of pharmacist-led educational intervention on glycemic control, self-care activities and disease knowledge among T2DM patients in Pakistan.

**Methods:** In this randomized controlled trail, effectiveness of a 6-month pharmacist-led educational intervention will be examined on glycemic control, diabetes self-care activities and disease knowledge of 80 adult T2DM patients (age >30 years) with poorly controlled T2DM (HbA1c> 7%), after randomizing them into intervention and control groups, at diabetes care clinic of Capital Hospital Islamabad, Pakistan.

**Results:** The primary outcome is change in patients' HbA1c, whereas, changes in self-care activities and patients' disease knowledge are the secondary outcomes. After baseline assessment of their self-care activities and disease knowledge by using validated Urdu versions of Diabetes Self-management Questionnaire (DSMQ) and Diabetes Knowledge Questionnaire (DKQ), respectively, interventional group patients will be supplemented with a face-to-face pharmacist-led educational intervention, whereas, the control group will receive usual care. Intervention arm patients will be educated successively at their first follow-up visit (12th week) and telephonically after every 4 weeks. All assessments will be made at baseline and end of trail for both intervention and control groups. Multivariate general linear model will be applied to analyze the effects of the intervention.

**Conclusion:** Glycemic control in T2DM patients requires optimum self-care activities. This study is an attempt to improve self-care behaviors among poorly controlled T2DM patients who are at higher risk of diabetes-associated late complications.

**Abbreviations:** ADA = American diabetes Association, ANOVA = Analysis of variance, ANZCTR = Australian New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry, CDA = Capital Development Hospital, DC = Dietary Control, DKQ = Diabetes Knowedge Questionnaire, DM = Diabetes mellitus, DSME = Diabetes Self-management Education, DSMQ = Diabetes Self-management Questionnaire, GM = Glucose Management, HbA1c = Glycosylated hemoglobin HbA1c, HU = Health-Care Use, IDF = International Diabetes Federation, PA = Physical Activity, RCT = Randomized Control Trial, T1DM = Type 1 diabetes mellitus, T2DM = Type 2 diabetes mellitus, WHO = World Health Organization.

Keywords: HbA1c, pakistan, self-care, self-management, type 2 diabetes

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

The authors report no conflicts of interest.

\* Correspondence: Allah Bukhsh, School of Pharmacy, Monash University, Jalan Lagoon Selatan 45700, Selangor, Malaysia (e-mail: abukhsh@uvas.edu.pk).

Copyright © 2018 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc.

Medicine (2018) 97:12(e9847)

Received: 1 October 2017 / Received in final form: 29 December 2017 / Accepted: 19 January 2018

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000009847

Trial registration: The study protocol is approved by Human Research and Ethics Committee of Capital Development Hospital, Islamabad, Pakistan and trail is registered with Australian New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry, Registration No. ACTRN12617001327370.

AB and TMK conceived the idea of the study. AB and TMK drafted the manuscript. MSN and HS critically reviewed the draft and provided valuable inputs. AB, MSN and TMK designed the educational protocol. AB and TMK contributed to the statistical issues in the RCT design and statistical analysis plan. AB and MSN participated in the development of intervention and measurement procedure of patients. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> School of Pharmacy, Monash University, Jalan Lagoon, Selatan 45700, Selangor, Malaysia, <sup>b</sup> Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, 54000, Lahore, <sup>c</sup> Department of Pharmacy, Quaid-i-Azam University, <sup>d</sup> Capital Hospital Islamabad, Pakistan, <sup>e</sup> Asian Centre for Evidence Synthesis in Population, Implementation and Clinical Outcomes (PICO), Health and Well-being Cluster, Monash University Malaysia, Bandar Sunway, Selangor, Malaysia.

This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

# 1. Introduction

Globally, diabetes has become a serious clinical and public health problem. According to World Health Organization (WHO), the diabetes number increased from 108 million in 1980 to 422 million in 2014.<sup>[1]</sup> Diabetes is the leading cause of kidney failure, stroke, heart attack, blindness, and lower limb amputations, and when not properly managed, it will lead to higher health care cost, morbidity, and mortality, thereby creating greater financial burdens.<sup>[2,3]</sup> With rapidly rising prevalence of diabetes, especially in the developing countries, it is projected to be the 7th leading cause of mortality in 2030.<sup>[1,3]</sup> Worldwide approximately 415 million people have been diagnosed with diabetes mellitus (DM), and the number is expected to increase to 642 million by 2040,<sup>[4]</sup> making it one of the leading noncommunicable health problem worldwide.<sup>[5]</sup>

Pakistan has been ranked 7th in diabetes disease burden in the world, it is projected to reach 15% by 2030, and if the present scenario continues, Pakistan is expected to move to top 4th place.<sup>[6–8]</sup> According to the current statistics of International Diabetes Federation (IDF), in Pakistan there are 7.028 million cases of adults (20–79 years) with diabetes, with 6.9% prevalence rate and 2.928 million undiagnosed adults with diabetes.<sup>[4]</sup>

T2DM is the most prevalent (>90%) type of diabetes,<sup>[9]</sup> requiring patients to adopt specific life style modifications in addition to continued pharmacotherapy. Because of the chronic nature of T2DM, diabetes self-care activities have become an integral component of effective diabetes care around the globe.<sup>[10]</sup> Glycemic control, measured in terms of glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c), has been associated with significant reduction in diabetes-related complications and economic burden.<sup>[11]</sup>

T2DM is a self-managed, chronic metabolic disorder, where patients has to take major responsibility to manage their condition by adopting healthy self-care behaviors. The consequences of these self-care behaviors can directly affect health. Managing T2DM requires a huge amount of time, modifications in lifestyle, and confidence to do this. Because of the high cost associated with controlling diabetes, health care providers are now taking an active role in the provision education for diabetes self-management.<sup>[12]</sup>

Educational interventions can play an important role to improve patients' knowledge and skills regarding diabetes selfmanagement. Systematic reviews of interventions addressing diabetes self-management indicate that the diabetes education courses enhance knowledge, self-care behaviors, and reduction in HbA1C.<sup>[13–16]</sup> Structured self-management education programmes can be delivered on a one-to-one basis or to groups of people with diabetes and should be facilitated by trained educators. The aim of the education is not only to improve knowledge and skills, but also to motivate a person to make and sustain lifestyle changes, by giving them the confidence to make their own treatment/lifestyle choices on a day-to-day basis.

Educational intervention on self-management can be delivered as group education or individually as one-to-one approach. Sturt et al. reported that an individualized approach with the help of a diabetes guide and telephonic support has demonstrated clinically significant reductions in A1c levels, for T2DM patients with poor glycemic control.<sup>[17]</sup> Health literacy has been reported as a significant factor influencing the patients' glycemic control.<sup>[18]</sup> Clinical outcome can be achieved by addressing patients' literacy. Pharmacist-based educational interventions are associated with improved medication adherence and glycemic control.<sup>[19–21]</sup> A review of 16 pharmacist-based interventions resulted in an absolute reduction of HbA1c with an average of 0.62%.<sup>[20]</sup> Similarly, in an another review, a reduction in A1c levels up to 2.1% was demonstrated by 20 pharmacist-based interventions.<sup>[13]</sup> A study examining the effectiveness of a free rural clinic for diabetes patients managed by pharmacists found that patients who received education on diabetes, lifestyle modifications, and management of diabetes drug therapy had significant reductions in A1c ( $\geq 1\%$ ) after 24 months.<sup>[22]</sup>

Keeping in view the importance educational interventions in diabetes self-care and clinical outcomes, we designed a face-to-face short-term (6 months) pharmacist-led educational intervention model in poorly controlled T2DM patient (HbA1c >7%) in Pakistan. In this study, we will investigate the mean change in HbA1C at 6 months after the baseline, and a comparison will be made with a similar comparator group of T2DM patients receiving standard diabetes care. In addition, comparison between self-care activities and disease knowledge between the groups will also be studied.

# 2. Methods

## 2.1. Study design

This is a 24-week open label, parallel group, prospective randomized controlled trial. In this study, the impact of a pharmacist-based, face-to-face educational intervention will be investigated in adult Pakistani people with poorly controlled (HbA1c >7%) type 2 diabetes. The study flow is presented in (Fig. 1).

# 2.2. Study settings

This 6-month randomized controlled study will be conducted in a tertiary care diabetes care clinic of Capital Hospital (CDA), located in Islamabad, Pakistan. The recruitment and data collection will be performed between October 2017 and March 2018. Setting for pharmacist educational intervention in this study will be a private counselling room at CDA diabetes outpatient clinic.

### 2.3. Study population

Eligibility criteria for the study includes poorly controlled (HbA1c>7%) T2DM, adult patients (age  $\geq$  30 years) regardless of gender; speak and understand Urdu and / or English languages; having no significant comorbidity; being not involved in any trial/ study related to diabetes during last 3 months and able to attend regular visits. Participants will be excluded if they are of other types of diabetes (gestational diabetes, T1DM); unable to answer the questionnaire independently or having hearing, vision, or cognitive impairments.

#### 2.4. Sample recruitment procedures

Patients will be identified from the hospital record and who will meet the inclusion criteria of the study will be invited telephonically for participation in the study. If the patient is willing to participate in the study, an appointment will be set in the outpatient counselling room of the pharmacist at CDA hospital and purpose of the study will be explained to the patient and his/her written informed consent will be obtained and baseline assessments will be done. Simple random sampling



technique will be used from a list of random numbers of eligible patients which will be compiled by using the patients' hospital identification numbers. After recruitment, the patients will be requested to handpick an envelope from the basket indicating allocation to either control or intervention group with 1:1 randomization. AB will generate the random allocation sequences, MSN will enrol participants and HS will assign participants to intervention and control groups.

# 2.5. Study aims

**2.5.1. Primary aim.** To investigate the effectiveness of a pharmacist-delivered diabetes management educational program on glycemic control (HbA1c) after completion of intervention (24th week).

**2.5.2.** Secondary aim. To examine the impact of a pharmacistdelivered diabetes management educational program on self-care behaviors and patients' diabetes knowledge, by using Urdu versions of 24-item Diabetes knowledge Questionnaire (DKQ) and 16-item Diabetes Self-management Questionnaire (DSMQ).

**2.5.3. Sample size calculations.** Study sample size is calculated based on previous studies <sup>[23–28]</sup> for detecting the difference of 1% reduction for HbA1C (effect size) with standard deviation of 1.4%, at 6 months in the intervention group. A significance level of 0.05 is considered with study power of 80%. Based on these numbers, the calculated sample size is 62 (31 for experimental group and 31 for controlled group); however, a sample of 80

patients is assumed to be sufficient to compensate for a 25% attrition rate.

**2.5.4.** Study procedure. The participants will not be informed of their groups. After the initial assessment at baseline, the control group will receive usual care, whereas the interventional group will be provided with the structured intervention. A qualified pharmacist (researcher) will educate the patients in the interventional group about disease (diabetes), its symptoms, clinical goals, self-care activities (self-monitoring of blood glucose, physical activity, importance of regular medication intake and healthy diet), and reducing risks, whereas the control group patients (N=40) will receive the usual care.

The pharmacist will provide face-to-face diabetes management education (approximately 30 minutes duration) to the patients in the intervention group at their first visit, follow-up visit (12th week), and 4 telephone (4th, 8th, 16th, and 20th week) and will recommend physician visits when necessary. In addition to face-to-face educational intervention patients in the intervention group will also be provided informatory brochures about selfcare activities, and a log book to keep record of their selfmonitored blood glucose levels. The educational intervention and patient informatory material has been designed according to American diabetes Association (ADA) guidelines, the details of are presented in Table 1.

Details about patients' (intervention and control group) demographics and lab profile (HbA1c, random blood sugar, fastening blood sugar, etc.) will be obtained by the pharmacist at

Table 1

Medicine

Session	Domain	Contents			
l (1st visit)	Diabetes	General introduction to diabetes, its types and associated symptoms.			
	Healthy diet	Diet planning.			
		Eating tips for weight loss for obese / overweight patients.			
		Healthy and unhealthy food choices for type 2 diabetes.			
	Physical activity	The importance of physical activity / regular exercise.			
		Pre-exercise patients' evaluation.			
		Getting started - individualized plan for regular exercise.			
		Physical activity and hypoglycemia.			
		Physical activity advice for patients suffering with diabetes associated complications.			
	Self-monitoring of blood glucose	How to use glucometer.			
		Target blood glucose and HbA1c range.			
		Ideal timings and rationale for self-monitoring of blood glucose.			
	Taking medication	The importance of taking anti-diabetes medication and encouraging patients to improve medication adherence.			
		Insulin administration technique.			
	Reducing risks of common diabetes	Common complications of type 2 diabetes mellitus			
	associated complications	Identification and prevention of -			
		Foot complications in diabetes			
		Eye complications in diabetes			
		Cardiovascular complications			
		Kidney complications			
		Hypoglycemia			
ll (2nd visit)	Follow-up (12th week visit)	Discussion and recap of patients' self-care practices.			
		Enquiring barriers to self-care activities and resolving the barriers to goal achievement.			

first visit. At baseline and end of the trail (24th week), the diabetes disease knowledge and self-care activities of the patients in both groups (intervention and control) will be assessed by using 2 prevalidated questionnaires in Urdu language [24-item Urdu version of DKQ and 16-item Urdu version of DSMQ]. Pharmacists can assist the patient in completing questionnaires, but will not provide any help in answering the questions, as the same questionnaires will be used repeatedly. The details of study schedule for data collection are presented in Table 2.

# 2.6. Measurement tools

2.6.1. Diabetes knowledge score. DKQ, developed for the Starr County Diabetes Education Study, has been translated and validated in Urdu language in Pakistan.<sup>[29]</sup> DKQ is a 24-item questionnaire. The DKQ scoring involves summing up the scores of all the correct items of each participant, where higher score will indicate better patient's diabetes knowledge. One point will be given to each correct answer and no point for the incorrect option.

2.6.2. Self-care practices. DSMQ will be used to measure the patient's self-care activities. DMSQ was originally developed to evaluate diabetes self-care behaviors associated with glycemic

# Table 2

Study	schedule	for	data	collection	for	intervention	and	control
groups	5.							

Parameter	Baseline visit	12-week visit	24-week visit
Patients' demographic characteristics	Х		
HbA1c test	Х		Х
DSMQ	Х		Х
DKQ	Х		Х

DKQ = Diabetes Knowledge Questionnaire, DSMQ = Diabetes Self-management Questionnaire, HbA1c = glycosylated hemoglobin.

control within common therapeutic options for adult patients of type 1 and type 2 DM.<sup>[30]</sup> Respondents will be asked to rate their specific self-care activities of last 3 months on a 16-item DSMQ. This questionnaire involves a four point likert scale (ranging from 0 -'does not apply to me' to 3 -'applies to me very much'). There are 4 subscales of this study tool; namely, 'Glucose Management' (GM), which is comprised of 5 statements: 1, 4, 6, 10, 12, 'Dietary Control' (DC): comprised of 4 statements: 2, 5, 9, 13, 'Physical Activity' (PA): comprised of 3 statements: 8, 11, 15, and, 'Health-Care Use' (HU): comprised of 3 statements: 3, 7, 14. The last item (item 16) asks the respondents to rate their overall diabetes self-care, hence its score is included only in the 'Sum Scale' (summation of all of the 16 items).

The scoring process of the DSMQ involves, adding up the scores of all 16 items after reversing the scores of 9 negatively keyed statements. Higher scores will represent more effective selfcare. Finally DSMQ scores will be transformed to a scale ranging from 0 to 10, where a score of 10 will indicate the most effective self-care behavior.

2.6.3. Statistical methods. The analyses will be performed using SPSS 22.0.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Frequencies and descriptive statistics will be used for patients' demographic presentation, while means and standard deviations will be calculated for the continuous variables and group differences will be analyzed by using Pearson chi-square test for categorical variables. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test will be applied to check the distribution of data. Independent t test will be used in case of normally distributed data, whereas, Mann-Whitney U test for non-normal distributions. Primary outcomes of the educational intervention, which are changes in HbA1C levels, self-care activities scores (DSMQ) and disease knowledge scores (DKQ) will be analyzed by using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) for normally distributed data and Kruskal Wallis Test for nonnormally distributed data. P < 0.05 will be considered as significant for all analysis.

**2.6.4.** Ethics and dissemination. This study protocol has been approved by Human Research and Ethics committee of Capital Hospital, Islamabad, Pakistan, and, Australian New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry (Registration No. ACTRN12617001327370). Informed written consent will be obtained from all the study participants, after explaining them the purpose and procedure of the study. Participants can withdraw from the study willingly at any point of the study. Confidentiality of patients' response and data will be assured. All procedures performed in this study involving human participants are in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. After completion of the trial a manuscript with detailed results will be published in a peer review journal.

# 3. Discussion

Previous trials of pharmacist-based educational interventions targeting self-management have demonstrated reductions in HbA1c levels in T2DM in various countries.<sup>[31–34]</sup> This article describes the study protocol of a RCT evaluating the effectiveness of a short educational intervention to improve self-care activities, disease knowledge and glycemic control in poorly controlled T2DM patients in Pakistan. In 2010, Qayyum et al. observed a clinically significant effect of diabetes self-management education (DSME) on HbA1c reduction in type 1 diabetes children in Pakistan.<sup>[35]</sup> To date, pharmacist-led educational intervention has not been formally tested in T2DM patients in Pakistan. Therefore, this study will provide valuable information on the effectiveness of this intervention in terms of HbA1c reduction, improvements in disease knowledge and self-care activities.

This study protocol addresses the need to investigate the impact of new educational approaches to deliver a regular diabetes self-management support program. The research will also demonstrate the practicality of such educational intervention on a larger scale. We hypothesized that intervention arm patients will exhibit improvements in HbA1c, self-care activities and disease knowledge in comparison to the control group participants. Thus, we can assume that if 6 months intervention can produce significant reduction in HbA1c, it will also result in beneficial effect on patients' long-term outcomes.

A systematic review by Wubben et al. (2008) on impact of pharmacist 18 interventional studies on diabetes patients demonstrated a significant reduction in HbA1c, ranging from +0.2 to -2.1% in intervention group as compared to control group.<sup>[13]</sup> A similar effect has also been reported in a recently published systematic review by Pousinho et al. (2016), where 24 studies out of 26 pharmacist-based interventions in the management of T2DM showed a greater reduction in HbA1c (difference ranging from -0.18% to -2.1%) in interventional group.<sup>[14]</sup> Improvement in self-care activities has been reported in several pharmacist-based RCT studies.<sup>[24,33,36]</sup>

To date, limited studies have been conducted to address the association of self-care activities with glycemic control in T2DM patients in Pakistan. From a generalizability perspective, this study will recruit a diverse population across urban and rural Islamabad and Rawalpindi, and can be generalizable to other areas in Pakistan. If the study hypotheses are confirmed, the educational module and material could be applied in diabetes health care, resulting in reduction of diabetes related complications.

### 3.1. Strengths and limitations

This interventional study is first of its kind to be conducted in Pakistan involving randomized control design. This study outcome will help to demonstrate the value of implementing a pharmacist-led educational intervention at diabetes care settings to improve self-care practices and clinical outcomes among Pakistani T2DM patients. A possible limitation of the study is the short duration (6 months intervention), which may not make it possible to examine intervention influence on diabetes related complications. Additionally, a 6-months intervention may result in high drop-out rate. To reduce the chances of drop-out, the researcher will facilitate patients in making appointments for follow-up assessment, and an additional 25% sample population will be recruited to compensate the drop-out.

# Acknowledgments

The authors thank the colleagues from the CDA hospital for their efforts in designing of this project. In addition, we would like to thank Global Asia 21 platform.

#### Author contributions

Conceptualization: A. Bukhsh, T.M. Khan. Data curation: A. Bukhsh, H. Sajjad, M.S. Nawaz. Formal analysis: A. Bukhsh, T.M. Khan. Investigation: A. Bukhsh, H. Sajjad, M.S. Nawaz. Methodology: A. Bukhsh, T.M. Khan. Project administration: A. Bukhsh, T.M. Khan. Supervision: A. Bukhsh, T.M. Khan. Validation: A. Bukhsh, H. Sajjad, T.M. Khan. Visualization: A. Bukhsh. Writing – original draft: A. Bukhsh. Writing – review & editing: T.M. Khan.

### References

- Organization WH. Diabetes. 2016; http://www.who.int/mediacentre/ factsheets/fs312/en/, 2017.
- [2] Organization WH. Global report on diabetes. 2016; http://www.who. int/diabetes/global-report/en/.
- [3] Lu Y, Xu J, Zhao W, et al. Measuring Self-Care in Persons With Type 2 Diabetes A Systematic Review. Eval Health Prof 2016;39:131–84.
- [4] Atlas IDFI. IDF DIABETES ATLAS 2016; 7TH EDITION:http://www. diabetesatlas.org/. Accessed Febuary 13, 2016.
- [5] Raaijmakers LG, Martens MK, Bagchus C, et al. Correlates of perceived self-care activities and diabetes control among Dutch type 1 and type 2 diabetics. J Behav Med 2015;38:450–9.
- [6] Bahadar H, Mostafalou S, Abdollahi M. Growing burden of diabetes in Pakistan and the possible role of arsenic and pesticides. J Diabetes Metab Disord 2014;13:1.
- [7] Hussain A, Ali I. Diabetes mellitus in Pakistan: a major public health concern. Arch Pharm Pract 2016;7:30.
- [8] Qidwai W, Ashfaq T. Imminent epidemic of diabetes mellitus in Pakistan: issues and challenges for health care providers. 2010.
- [9] Conditions NCCfC. Type 2 diabetes: national clinical guideline for management in primary and secondary care (update): Royal College of Physicians (UK); 2008.
- [10] Xu WH, Rothman RL, Li R, et al. Improved self-management skills in Chinese diabetes patients through a comprehensive health literacy strategy: study protocol of a cluster randomized controlled trial. Trials 2014;15:498.
- [11] Selvin E, Marinopoulos S, Berkenblit G, et al. Meta-analysis: glycosylated hemoglobin and cardiovascular disease in diabetes mellitus. Ann Intern Med 2004;141:421–31.
- [12] Ko JJ, Lu J, Rascati K, et al. Analysis of glycemic control of a pharmacistled medication management program in patients with type 2 diabetes. J Manag Care Spec Pharm 2016;22:32–7.

- [13] Wubben DP, Vivian EM. Effects of pharmacist outpatient interventions on adults with diabetes mellitus: a systematic review. Pharmacotherapy 2008;28:421–36.
- [14] Pousinho S, Morgado M, Falcão A, et al. Pharmacist interventions in the management of type 2 diabetes mellitus: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. J Manag Care Spec Pharm 2016;22: 493–515.
- [15] Gucciardi E, Chan VW-S, Manuel L, et al. A systematic literature review of diabetes self-management education features to improve diabetes education in women of Black African/Caribbean and Hispanic/Latin American ethnicity. Patient Educ Couns 2013;92:235–45.
- [16] Chrvala CA, Sherr D, Lipman RD. Diabetes self-management education for adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus: A systematic review of the effect on glycemic control. Patient Educ Couns 2016;99:926–43.
- [17] Sturt JA, Whitlock S, Fox C, et al. Effects of the Diabetes Manual 1: 1 structured education in primary care. Diabet Med 2008;25:722–31.
- [18] Parker RM, Williams MV, Weiss BD, et al. Health literacy-report of the council on scientific affairs. JAMA 1999;281:552–7.
- [19] Li R, Zhang P, Barker LE, et al. Cost-effectiveness of interventions to prevent and control diabetes mellitus: a systematic review. Diabetes Care 2010;33:1872–94.
- [20] Machado M, Bajcar J, Guzzo GC, et al. Sensitivity of patient outcomes to pharmacist interventions. Part I: systematic review and meta-analysis in diabetes management. Ann Pharmacother 2007;41:1569–82.
- [21] Smith M. Pharmacists' role in improving diabetes medication management. J Diabetes Sci Technol 2009;3:175–9.
- [22] Wagner EH, Sandhu N, Newton KM, et al. Effect of improved glycemic control on health care costs and utilization. JAMA 2001;285:182–9.
- [23] Butt M, Ali AM, Bakry MM, et al. Impact of a pharmacist led diabetes mellitus intervention on HbA1c, medication adherence and quality of life: A randomised controlled study. Saudi Pharm J 2016;24:40–8.
- [24] Ali M, Schifano F, Robinson P, et al. Impact of community pharmacy diabetes monitoring and education programme on diabetes management: a randomized controlled study. Diabet Med 2012;29(9.):
- [25] Hayward RA, Krein SL, Vijan S. Proactive case management of high-risk patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus by a clinical pharmacist: a randomized controlled trial. Am J Manag Care 2005;11:253.
- [26] Chen SM, Creedy D, Lin H-S, et al. Effects of motivational interviewing intervention on self-management, psychological and glycemic outcomes

in type 2 diabetes: a randomized controlled trial. Int J Nurs Stud 2012;49:637-44.

- [27] Sarkadi A, Rosenqvist U. Experience-based group education in Type 2 diabetes: a randomised controlled trial. Patient Educ Couns 2004;53: 291–8.
- [28] Moreira RC, de Fátima Mantovani M, Soriano JV. Nursing Case Management and Glycemic Control Among Brazilians With Type 2 Diabetes: pragmatic clinical trial. Nurs Res 2015;64: 272–81.
- [29] Bukhsh A, Lee SWH, Pusparajah P, et al. Psychometric Properties of the Urdu Version of Diabetes Knowledge Questionnaire. Front Public Health 2017;5:139.
- [30] Schmitt A, Gahr A, Hermanns N, et al. The Diabetes Self-Management Questionnaire (DSMQ): development and evaluation of an instrument to assess diabetes self-care activities associated with glycaemic control. Health Qual Life Outcomes 2013;11:1.
- [31] Castejón AM, Calderón JL, Perez A, et al. A community-based pilot study of a diabetes pharmacist intervention in Latinos: impact on weight and hemoglobin A1c. J Health Care Poor Underserved 2013;24:48–60.
- [32] Morello CM, Christopher ML, Ortega L, et al. Clinical outcomes associated with a collaborative pharmacist-endocrinologist diabetes intense medical management "tune up" clinic in complex patients. Ann Pharmacother 2016;50:8–16.
- [33] Jahangard-Rafsanjani Z, Sarayani A, Nosrati M, et al. Effect of a Community Pharmacist–Delivered Diabetes Support Program for Patients Receiving Specialty Medical Care: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Diabetes Educ 2015;41:127–35.
- [34] Farsaei S, Sabzghabaee AM, Zargarzadeh AH, et al. Effect of pharmacist-led patient education on glycemic control of type 2 diabetics: a randomized controlled trial. J Res Med Sci 2011;16:43.
- [35] Qayyum AA, Lone SW, Ibrahim MN, et al. Effects of diabetes selfmanagement education on glycaemic control in children with insulindependent diabetes mellitus. J Coll Physicians Surg Pak 2010;20: 802–5.
- [36] Mehuys E, Van Bortel L, De Bolle L, et al. Effectiveness of a community pharmacist intervention in diabetes care: a randomized controlled trial. J Clin Pharm Ther 2011;36:602–13.