

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

Correspondence

Long COVID and selfmanagement

People with COVID-19 often have symptoms in the long term (ie, long COVID), including fatigue, breathlessness, and neurocognitive difficulties.¹ The disease mechanisms causing long COVID are unknown, and there are no evidence-based treatment options. Clinical guidelines focus on symptom management, and various treatment options are being evaluated.¹ The scarcity of advice has often left people with long COVID feeling isolated and frustrated in their search for therapies.

Studies have reported a wide range of self-prescribed medications being used for prevention and management of acute COVID-19, including anti-retrovirals, penicillin, vitamin C, traditional medicines, and chloroquine or hydroxychloroquine.²⁻⁴ Self-prescribing practices are unsurprising given the high incidence of and mortality from COVID-19, restricted access to health care during lockdowns, and few treatments and preventive therapies for COVID-19.

There is an absence of research on self-management practices among individuals with long COVID. Patients and patient advocacy groups have reported an absence of timely support and poor recognition of long COVID, partly attributable to insufficient knowledge and evidence of long COVID and overwhelmed health-care systems. Insufficient support has led to loss of faith and disappointment in healthcare service delivery, leading people with long COVID to seek alternative sources of support and treatment.

People with long COVID reported turning to a vast range of overthe-counter medicines, remedies, supplements, other therapies, and dietary changes to manage relapsing and remitting symptoms. Individuals have expressed a willingness to try anything because symptoms have substantial effects on quality of life and work capability. There are potential risks of selfprescription, such as harmful drug-drug interactions and use of inappropriate treatments.⁵ Medicines can be used off label, in unsafe doses, and sometimes purchased in an unregulated manner overseas. Harmful drug-drug interactions are enhanced due to the complexity and multiple symptoms of long COVID leading to use of multiple treatments.

People with long COVID have sought advice from social media platforms, such as Facebook, where individuals share their selfmanagement experiences, and online resources, including medical blogs and journals. Due to the small evidence base, these platforms are a potential source of conflicting information and misinformation.

Self-management of symptoms in the long term is often costly, with some individuals using a substantial proportion of their income, which threatens to widen existing health inequalities. Further inequalities include geographical disparities in access to clinics for long COVID, access to private health care, and health literacy.

Research is needed to understand the self-management practices that are being used to manage long COVID symptoms; factors influencing their uptake; and the benefits, harms, and costs. There is also a need to assess the potential harmful effects of polypharmacy and drug-drug interactions in these individuals. The Therapies for Long COVID (TLC) Study (ISRCTN15674970) will begin to explore self-management practices through a survey of people with long COVID. This study aims to be a first step towards understanding this important and under-researched public health issue.

JC has participated in Patient Public Involvement for University College London and University Hospitals Bristol. JC has been a National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Covid Expert Panel lay member and a patient representative for other boards and organisations in the UK and Europe. JC has also been a received honorarium from GlaxoSmithKlein for patient review expert engagement and is a citizen scientist member for the Global Horizon scanning panel of the COVID-END Evidence synthesis network. All other authors declare no competing interests. This Correspondence and our work are independent research jointly funded by the National Institute for Health Research and UK Research and Innovation (TLC Study, COV-LT-0013). The views expressed in this Correspondence are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the National Institute for Health Research or the Department of Health and Social Care. We thank the patients who advised us for this Correspondence.

*Kirsty Brown, Asma Yahyouche, Shamil Haroon, Jenny Camaradou, Grace Turner

krb700@alumni.bham.ac.uk

University of Birmingham PPI (JC), Institute of Clinical Sciences (AY), and Institute of Applied Health Research (KB, SH, GT), University of Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK

- 1 Crook H, Raza S, Nowell J, Young M, Edison P. Long covid—mechanisms, risk factors, and management. *BMJ* 2021; **374:** n1648.
- 2 Sadio AJ, Gbeasor-Komlanvi FA, Konu RY, et al. Assessment of self-medication practices in the context of the COVID-19 outbreak in Togo. BMC Public Heal 2021; 21: 58.
- 3 Quispe-Cañari JF, Fidel-Rosales E, Manrique D, et al. Self-medication practices during the COVID-19 pandemic among the adult population in Peru: a cross-sectional survey. Saudi Pharm J 2021; 29: 1–11.
- 4 Onchonga D, Omwoyo J, Nyamamba D. Assessing the prevalence of self-medication among healthcare workers before and during the 2019 SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) pandemic in Kenya. Saudi Pharm J 2020; 28: 1149–54.
- 5 Ruiz M. Risks of self-medication practices. Curr Drug Saf 2010; **5:** 315–23.

Intersectionality and developing evidencebased policy

It is reassuring to see that ministers in the UK are formally acknowledging how people from minority ethnic (ie, defined here as all ethnicities other than White British) backgrounds have been disproportionately affected by COVID-19. However, crucial gaps exist in the collection, analysis, and translation of data to assess the effects of multiple intersecting factors on individuals and communities. The Science and Technology Committee and Health and Social Care Committee report, *Coronavirus: lessons learned to date*,¹ dedicates thirteen paragraphs to

Submissions should be made via our electronic submission system at http://ees.elsevier.com/ thelancet/



how ethnicity ties into disparities and makes five recommendations for how the government could avoid these inequities in the future.

This report and those preceding it^{2,3} acknowledge poorer COVID-19 outcomes for minority ethnic people than for White British people; they also point to structural and systemic inequalities contributing to the disproportionate effect of the pandemic and the importance of socioeconomic status. The Science and Technology Committee and Health and Social Care Committee report also goes further to attribute increased exposure to the virus to an over-representation of minority ethnic staff in front-line roles, which intersects with other risk factors. such as little access to appropriate personal protective equipment. However, reports still do not make explicit recommendations about how data should be gathered and analysed to investigate how the intersections of occupational risk, ethnicity, and other social and biological factors affect health. The continued failure to strengthen the collection and interpretation of meaningful data around health inequities in diverse populations inhibits the development of evidence-based policy to protect and support minority ethnic communities and key risk groups, such as health-care workers.

Ethnicity, occupation, gender, socioeconomic status, migration status, and other sociodemographic factors —including protected characteristics are too often considered separately and without acknowledging heterogeneity and intersectionality within populations. As a result, policy making often overlooks how multiple social identities intersect at an individual level to reflect interlocking systems of marginalisation and disadvantage and exacerbate health inequities.

One of the important obstacles in identifying and explaining the overrepresentation of COVID-19-related deaths in minority ethnic people has been the scarcity of available data across these intersecting factors, for example ethnicity and occupational risk.4 Early in the pandemic, research showing the disproportionate effects of COVID-19 on health-care workers from minority ethnic backgrounds had to rely on media reports, underscoring the low availability of robust primary data.5 Subsequently, primary research⁶ has been done and new data have been synthesised,7 strengthening evidence for the effects of COVID-19 on minority ethnic communities. However, mechanisms are not in place for accessible collection, analysis, and translation of data to assess the effects of multiple intersecting factors on individuals and communities.

Calls for meaningful data for ethnicity^{6,8} and the use of an intersectional framework when developing public policy^{9,10} have largely gone unheeded, at great human cost. We should build on urgent public health responses, such as the UK research study into ethnicity and COVID-19 outcomes among health-care workers (UK-REACH; ISRCTN11811602), and demand a framework for data gathering that facilitates easy inter-sectional analysis. The upcoming independent public inquiry into the government's handling of the COVID-19 pandemic should include a review of how relevant data are collected and made accessible. Surely one of the key lessons that we should learn from the response to this pandemic is the importance of setting up a robust system for data collection, aggregation, and analysis as a pandemic-preparedness measure rather than a response. This action will not only help to ensure future responses are quicker and more effective than was the initial response to COVID-19 but also that the government is better prepared to identify and address the multiple and intersecting factors driving health inequities.

MP reports grants from Sanofi, grants and personal fees from Gilead Sciences, and personal fees from

QIAGEN, unrelated to this Correspondence. All other authors declare no competing interests. MP and LBN are joint senior authors.

Irtiza Qureshi, Mayuri Gogoi, Amani Al-Oraibi, Fatimah Wobi, Daniel Pan, Christopher A Martin, Jonathan Chaloner, Katherine Woolf, *Manish Pareek, Laura B Nellums manish.pareek@leicester.ac.uk

Lifespan and Population Health, School of Medicine, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK (IQ, AA-0, JC, LBN); Department of Respiratory Sciences, University of Leicester, Leicester LE1 9HN, UK (MG, FW, DP, CAM, MP); UCL Medical School, University College London, London, UK (KW)

- House of Commons. Coronavirus: lessons learned to date. Sixth report of the Health and Social Care Committee and third report of the Science and Technology Committee of Session 2021–22. Oct 12, 2021. https://committees.parliament.uk/ publications/7496/documents/78687/ default (accessed Oct 12, 2021).
- 2 Davies G. Initial learning from the government's response to the COVID-19 pandemic. May 19, 2021. https://www.nao. org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/Initiallearning-from-the-governments-response-tothe-COVID-19-pandemic.pdf (accessed Oct 12, 2021).
- 3 Public Health England. Disparities in the risk and outcomes from COVID-19. Aug 11, 2020. https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/ government/uploads/system/uploads/ attachment_data/file/908434/Disparities_in_ the_risk_and_outcomes_of_COVID_ August_2020_update.pdf (accessed Dec 16, 2021).
- 4 Pareek M, Bangash M, Pareek N, et al. Ethnicity and COVID-19: an urgent public health research priority. *Lancet* 2020; **395:** 1421–22.
- 5 Cook T, Kursumovic E, Lennane S. Exclusive: deaths of NHS staff from COVID-19 analysed. April 22, 2020. https://www.hsj.co.uk/ exclusive-deaths-of-nhs-staff-from-covid-19analysed/7027471.article (accessed Oct 12, 2021).
- 6 Woolf K, Melbourne C, Bryant L, et al. The United Kingdom research study into ethnicity and COVID-19 outcomes in healthcare workers (UK-REACH): protocol for a prospective longitudinal cohort study of healthcare and ancillary workers in UK healthcare settings BMJ Open 2021; **11**: e050647.
- 7 Sze S, Pan D, Gray LJ, et al. Ethnicity and clinical outcomes in COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. EClinicalMedicine 2020; 29: 100630.
- Khunti K, Routen A, Pareek M, Treweek S, Platt L. The language of ethnicity BMJ 2020; 371: m4493.
- 9 Hankivsky O, Grace D, Hunting G, et al. An intersectionality-based policy analysis framework: critical reflections on a methodology for advancing equity. Int J Equity Health 2014; 13: 119.
- 10 Qureshi I, Garcia R, Ali N, Randhawa G. Understanding the disproportionate effects of COVID-19 on nurses from ethnic minority backgrounds. Nurs Stand 2021; 36: 29–34.