Journal of Orthopaedic
Surgery and Research

RESEARCH ARTICLE   **Open Access**

CDH2 gene rs11564299 polymorphism is a risk factor for knee osteoarthritis in a Chinese population: a case–control study

Houlai Shang[1], Yuedong Hao[1], Wenhao Hu[1], Xiaohui Hu[1] and Qing Jin[2*]

Abstract

Background: Cadherin-2 (CDH2) gene polymorphisms were reported to be associated with the induction and development of knee osteoarthritis (OA).

Methods: This case–control study was designed to explore the association between CDH2 gene rs11564299 polymorphism and the risk of knee OA in Chinese subjects. The polymorphism was genotyped by polymerase chain reaction and Sanger sequencing.

Results: G allele or GG genotype of CDH2 gene rs11564299 polymorphism was related to increased risk for knee OA in the Chinese Han population. Additionally, subgroup analyses indicated that the female, smoker, drinker, and BMI ≥ 25 kg/m^{2} groups showed increased risk for knee OA. Additionally, this polymorphism was associated with CRP and Kellgren–Lawrence grade.

Conclusion: In summary, this current study reveals that CDH2 gene rs11564299 polymorphism is a risk factor for knee OA development in this Chinese population. The genotypes distribution differed significantly among OA patients and healthy controls and may be a useful tool in the evaluation of OA susceptibility in Chinese Han population.

Keywords: CDH2, Knee osteoarthritis, Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP)

Background

Osteoarthritis (OA) is one of the most common chronic joint diseases. It leads to diminished quality of life and even disability in the elderly worldwide. The main characteristic of OA is pain, limitation, and dysfunction of joint activity [1], and a surgical treatment is often necessary. The radiographic definition for OA is according to the criteria of Kellgren–Lawrence (K/L) radiographic grading scheme. This overall joint scoring system grades OA from 0 to 4 score, which defines OA by the presence of a definite osteophyte (grade ≥ 2), and the presumed successive appearance of joint space narrowing, cysts, sclerosis, and deformity were regarded as more severe grades. The underlying pathophysiological mechanisms of OA primarily involve subchondral bone remodeling, synovial inflammation, osteophyte formation, ligamentous laxity, and the weakening of periarticular muscles. OA accounts for more difficulty with walking and climbing stairs than any other disorders [2]. OA is also the most common cause for total hip and total knee replacement [3]. OA shows a growing impact on health care and public health systems in China. Among all the sites, knee OA takes the highest percent, affecting up to 6% of all adults [4]. As the life expectancy of the Chinese population increases, the morbidity of knee OA is rising every year. Besides increased age, certain factors such as trauma, joint injuries, overweight, and physical activity may result in the development of knee OA [5, 6]. Biomechanical, molecular, and morphological changes of cells and extracellular matrix contribute to a progressive cartilage loss, osteophyte formation, and synovitis as well as degeneration of the joint [7]. An increasing body of evidence suggested that multiple genetic factors affect the pathogenesis of several kinds of OA [8–10]. Previous

* Correspondence: jinqingapple@126.com
[2]Department of Operation and Anesthesiology, The Affiliated Huaian No.1 People's Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Huai'an 223300, Jiangsu, China
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

studies have shown that OA is inherited and may vary by joint site. Recently, studies showed many candidate genes including Cadherin 2 (CDH2) as susceptibility loci for OA in different ethnic groups.

CDH2, also known as Cadherin 2 or N-cadherin, is a member of the cadherin gene superfamily. Cadherins are a group of cell surface molecules which recognize direct intercellular contact and mediate signal pathways into the cells. CDH2 is a kind of glycoproteins, which has been proved to play an important role in Ca2+ attachment and regulation of cell–cell functional protein adhesion [11, 12]. CDH2 was reported to have vital impacts on neurological diseases such as obsessive–compulsive disorder [13] and epilepsy [14]. Additionally, it was involved in tumor progression and resistance [15–17] because it worked as an intercellular signal mediator. In previous studies, CDH2 gene rs11564299 polymorphism seemed to take part in the development and risk of OA [18, 19], but the conclusions drawn by these studies were inconsistent. Therefore, we designed this case–control study to validate the association between CDH2 gene rs11564299 polymorphism and the risk of knee OA in a Chinese Han population.

Methods
Patients
We recruited 348 OA cases and 423 control consecutively from the Huai'an First People's Hospital. Patients were diagnosed on the basis of the American College of Rheumatology criteria, which included primary OA with Kellgren–Lawrence grade (K-L grade) ≥ 2. The exclusion criteria were as follows: inflammatory arthritis, posttraumatic, any type of cancer, or developmental dysplasia. Participants were chosen without restriction to age, sex, or occupation.

The healthy controls were selected from subjects receiving regular medical examination. No controls ever had any clinical or symptom sign of OA, other arthritis, or joint diseases (pain, swelling, tenderness, or restriction of movement) at any site based on medical record. No controls had relation to the patients or family history of OA.

The demographic, lifestyle, and clinical characteristics of all participants, such as gender, age, body mass index (BMI), erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), C-reactive protein (CRP), and K-L grading, were collected from medical records. Individuals who had smoked at least 1 cigarette per day more than 1 year were considered to be smoker. We defined alcohol usage as consumption of at least one drink per week. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to their participation. The research protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of The Affiliated Huaian No.1 People's Hospital of Nanjing Medical University. The ethical approval was consistent with the standards of the Declaration of Helsinki.

DNA extraction and genotyping
Two milliliters of peripheral blood samples were collected using vacutainer tubes and transferred to tubes containing EDTA and stored at 4 °C until use. Genomic DNA was extracted using a QIAamp DNA blood mini kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) following the manufacturer's instruction. The integrity and purity of the extracted DNA were tested by measuring the absorbance and running electrophoresis.

Genotyping was carried out by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and Sanger sequencing method. The primers used for the nucleotide extension reaction were 5′-AATA ATAAATAATAGGCCTCTGATTACAAGA-3′ and 5′-ATAATAAATAATAGGCCTCTGATTACAAGG-3′. PCRs were performed each in a 50-μL volume containing 1 μL of DNA template, 5 μL of 10× PCR buffer containing 1.5 μM $MgCl_2$, 1 μL of 1 U/μL Taq DNA polymerase, 5 μL of 2.5 mM dNTPs, 33 μL of sterilized distilled water, and 1 μL of forward/reverse primers. The amplification conditions were as follows: 95 °C for 10 min; 30 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 55 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 30 s; and final extension for 10 min at 72 °C. The PCR products were electrophoresed on 2% agarose gel and subjected to direct sequencing. In order to control the genotyping quality, 3% of samples were randomly selected for repeated assay by two different persons.

Statistical analysis
The chi-square test was applied for categorical data, and unpaired Student's t test and analysis of variance (ANOVA) were used for continuous data. A goodness-of-fit chi-square was used to test the Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) of genotype distributions among controls. Odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated to evaluate the OA risk associated with genotypes and alleles of rs11564299 polymorphism by logistic regression analyses. All statistical analyses were performed using SAS 9.1.3 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). $P < 0.05$ was the cutoff value of significance.

Results
Characteristics of the study population
No significant difference between the patients and the controls was found in the mean age (62.23 ± 8.13 years vs. 62.50 ± 7.64 years, $P = 0.640$) or the male/female ratio (69/270 vs. 75/348, $P = 0.457$) (Table 1). There was no significant between-group difference in terms of BMI. Similarly, the proportions of smokers or drinkers did not differ significantly. K-L grade II+III accounted for the majority of OA patients.

Association between CDH2 rs11564299 polymorphism and OA risk
The genotype and allele distributions for rs11564299 polymorphism are shown in Table 2. Genotype

Table 1 Patient demographics and risk factors in osteoarthritis

Variable	Cases ($n = 348$)	Controls ($n = 423$)	P
Age (years)	62.23 ± 8.13	62.50 ± 7.64	0.640
Body mass index, kg/m^2	24.37 ± 1.55	24.27 ± 1.47	0.327
Sex			0.457
Male	69 (19.83%)	75 (17.73%)	
Female	279 (80.17%)	348 (82.27%)	
Smoking			0.885
Yes	102 (29.31%)	126 (29.79%)	
No	246 (70.69%)	297 (70.21%)	
Alcohol			0.710
Yes	132 (37.93%)	166 (39.24%)	
No	216 (62.07%)	257 (60.76%)	
ESR, mm/h	10.64 ± 11.43		
CRP, mg/L	7.69 ± 17.47		
Kellgren–Lawrence grading			
II	180 (51.72%)		
III	102 (29.31%)		
IV	66 (18.97%)		

CRP C-reactive protein, *ESR* erythrocyte sedimentation rate

distributions of these polymorphisms in the controls conformed to HWE ($P = 0.798$). Logistic regression analyses revealed the risk of OA was significantly intensified by GG genotype (OR and 95%CI 2.66(1.30–5.47), $P = 0.008$ for GG vs. AA; 1.47(1.09–1.98), $P = 0.013$ for AG+GG vs. AA) or G allele carriers (1.33 (1.07, 1.63), $P = 0.008$ for A vs. G). Furthermore, we have investigated the role of CDH2 rs11564299 polymorphism in the risk of OA stratified by age, sex, smoking, BMI, and drinking (Table 3). The association between CDH2 rs11564299 polymorphism and OA appeared stronger in the subgroups of females, smokers, drinkers, and subjects with BMI ≥ 25 kg/m^2.

Subsequently, we examined the association between rs11564299 polymorphism and clinical features of OA patients, including ESR, CRP, and K-L grade (Table 4). Our results indicated that AG, GG, and AG+GG genotype were more frequent in CRP ≥ 25 mg/L compared to CRP < 25 mg/L and in patients with K-L grade III or IV compared to K-L grade II. This indicated that CDH2 rs11564299 polymorphism was associated with CRP and K-L grade.

Discussion

We evaluated the effects of CDH2 gene rs11564299 polymorphism on knee OA risk in this current Chinese case–control study and found that CDH2 gene rs11564299 polymorphism may work as a risk factor in the progression of knee OA in this Chinese Han population, especially among female, smokers, drinkers, and subjects with BMI ≥ 25 kg/m^2. This SNP also showed significant correlation with CRP and K-L grade.

Up to date, the etiologies of OA are still poorly understood, which should be attributed to interactions between systemic and local factors [20]. Systemic risk factors involve old age, overweight and obesity, female gender, ethnicity, and genetic factors; local risk factors contain muscle weakness, repetitive use of joints, previous knee injury, bone density, and joint laxity [21]. In this study, we primarily focused on the association between genetic factors and knee OA risk. We chose the CDH2 as the investigate gene.

N-cadherin, encoded by CDH2 gene in the human body, was known as a kind of transmembrane proteins expressed in various tissues and mediates cell–cell adhesion [22, 23]. Changes with the expression and integrity of CDH2 have been observed in various forms of diseases [24, 25]. In addition, it has been reported that high expression of CDH2 in cytoplasm can lead to a remarkable increase of massive oncogenes. Expression of CDH2 has prognostic and predictable significance in kinds of

Table 2 Logistic regression analysis of associations between polymorphisms and risk of osteoarthritis

Genotype	Cases* ($n = 348$)		Controls* ($n = 423$)		OR (95% CI)	P	OR** (95% CI)	P**
	n	%	n	%				
rs11564299 A/G								
AA	213	61.6	296	70.1	1.00		1.00	
AG	110	31.8	114	27.0	1.34 (0.98–1.84)	0.069	1.34 (0.98–1.84)	0.071
GG	23	6.6	12	2.8	*2.66 (1.30–5.47)*	*0.008*	*2.68 (1.30–5.51)*	*0.007*
AG+GG	133	38.4	126	29.9	*1.47 (1.09–1.98)*	*0.013*	*1.47 (1.09–1.98)*	*0.013*
AA+AG	323	93.4	410	97.2	1.00		1.00	
GG	23	6.6	12	2.8	*2.43 (1.19–4.96)*	*0.015*	*2.45 (1.20–5.00)*	*0.014*
A allele	536	77.5	706	83.6	1.00		1.00	
G allele	156	22.5	138	16.4	*1.49 (1.15–1.92)*	*0.002*	–	–

Values in italics are statistically significant ($P < 0.05$)
*The genotyping was successful in 346 cases and 422 controls for rs11564299
**Adjusted for sex and age

Table 3 Stratified analyses between rs11564299 polymorphisms and the risk of osteoarthritis

Variable	rs11564299 (case/control)			AG vs. AA	GG vs. AA	GG vs. AA+AG	GG+AG vs. AA
	AA	AG	GG				
Sex							
Male	44/50	19/24	6/24	0.90 (0.44–1.86); 0.775	6.82 (0.79–58.80); 0.081	7.04 (0.83–60.06); 0.074	1.14 (0.57–2.26); 0.715
Female	168/246	91/90	17/90	*1.47 (1.04–2.09); 0.031*	*2.25 (1.03-4.92); 0.043*	2.00 (0.92–4.34); 0.080	*1.56 (1.11–2.18); 0.010*
Smoking							
Yes	135/213	79/73	12/7	*1.71 (1.16–2.51); 0.006*	*2.71 (1.04–7.04); 0.042*	2.29 (0.89–5.92); 0.087	*1.80 (1.24–2.60); 0.002*
No	78/83	31/41	11/5	0.81 (0.46–1.41); 0.446	2.34 (0.78–7.04); 0.130	2.50 (0.84–7.43); 0.099	0.97 (0.58–1.63); 0.914
Alcohol							
Yes	129/198	71/80	16/6	1.36 (0.92–2.01); 0.119	*4.09 (1.56–10.73); 0.004*	*3.71 (1.43–9.63); 0.007*	*1.55 (1.07–2.25); 0.020*
No	84/98	39/34	7/6	1.34 (0.78–2.31); 0.294	1.36 (0.44–4.21); 0.592	1.25 (0.41–3.83); 0.694	1.34 (0.80–2.24); 0.263
Age (years)							
< 60	77/109	47/38	6/4	*1.75 (1.04–2.94); 0.034*	2.12 (0.58–7.78); 0.256	1.78 (0.49–6.44); 0.381	*1.79 (1.08–2.94); 0.023*
≥ 60	136/187	63/75	17/8	1.14 (0.76–1.70); 0.522	*2.92 (1.23–6.97); 0.016*	*2.81 (1.19–6.64); 0.019*	1.31 (0.90–1.91); 0.162
BMI							
< 25	75/94	43/44	6/4	1.23 (0.73–2.06); 0.443	1.88 (0.51–6.91); 0.342	1.75 (0.48–6.37); 0.392	1.28 (0.78–2.11); 0.335
≥ 25	138/202	67/70	17/8	1.40 (0.94–2.09); 0.098	*3.11 (1.31–7.41); 0.010*	*2.82 (1.19–6.66); 0.018*	*1.58 (1.08–2.30); 0.018*

Values in italics are statistically significant (*P* < 0.05)

tumors [23, 26]. The change with CDH2 expression may lead to apoptosis of cells in previous studies [27, 28].

Ruedel et al. evaluated the relationship between CDH2 gene rs11564299 polymorphism and OA risk in a Germany population with 312 OA patients and 259 controls and found the minor allele of rs11564299 polymorphism was related to decreased risk for OA [18]. In addition, they showed that compared with carriers of the major allele, minor allele of rs11564299 polymorphism elevated CDH2 expression in synovial fibroblasts [18]. Another study from China by Zhao et al. revealed that rs11564299 polymorphism showed no correlation with OA in a Chinese population [19]. In this study, we found that G allele or GG genotype of CDH2 gene rs11564299 polymorphism was associated with increased risk for knee

OA in a Chinese Han population. It is obvious that the conclusions of this study were different from those of another Chinese study. We assumed the following factors may explain it. One, genetic heterogeneity for OA existed in different regions of China. Two, clinical heterogeneity may also be a potential factor. For example, the study by Zhao et al. investigated mixed OA including knee OA and hand OA, while this study only explored knee OA. Three, the sample sizes varied among these studies. Four, diverse lifestyles and BMIs may also contribute to it. Subgroup analysis indicated that individuals who were female, smokers, and drinkers and subjects with BMI ≥ 25 kg/m2 were prone to knee OA in this study. These results should be validated in more well-designed studies considering the relatively limited sample sizes of subgroup analyses.

Table 4 The associations between CDH2 rs11564299 polymorphism and clinical characteristics of osteoarthritis

Characteristics	Genotype distributions			
rs11564299	AA	AG	GG	AG+GG
ESR				
≥ 10/< 10	88/125	43/67	6/17	49/84
OR (95%CI); *P* value	1.0 (reference)	0.91 (0.57–1.46); 0.700	0.50 (0.19–1.32); 0.156	0.83 (0.53–1.29); 0.408
CRP				
≥ 25/< 25	14/199	15/95	5/18	20/113
OR (95%CI); *P* value	1.0 (reference)	*2.24 (1.04–4.84); 0.035*	*3.95 (1.28–12.22); 0.011*	*2.52 (1.22–5.17); 0.010*
K-L grade				
III+IV/II	103/110	70/40	17/6	87/46
OR (95%CI); *P* value	1.0 (reference)	*1.87 (1.17–3.00); 0.009*	*3.03 (1.15–7.97); 0.020*	*2.02 (1.29–3.16); 0.002*

Values in italics are statistically significant (*P* < 0.05).

There were some limitations in this present study. First, the correlation between the CDH2 gene rs11564299 polymorphism and knee OA susceptibility could not be entirely demonstrated by a single case–control study. Second, the facticity might be underpowered because of the relatively small sample size. Third, the patients or the healthy controls were all selected from hospitals, which may cause bias of population representativeness. Fourth, the ethnic distinction could not be ignored since we only designed this study among the Chinese population. And the results of this study cannot be extrapolated to different ethnic groups. Fifth, knee OA is a multifactorial etiopathogenetic disease, and the relationship between this disorder and other environmental factors should be investigated. In addition, the difference of two knee joints, strictly speaking, should be taken into consideration but we did not.

To sum up, CDH2 gene rs11564299 polymorphism is associated with increased risk for knee OA in this Chinese Han population. This SNP may be a potential genetic marker to OA susceptibility in Chinese Han population, which was beneficial to early detection and treatment of OA. More studies with larger sample sizes in other races should be conformed to verify the relationship between this SNP and OA risk.

Abbreviations
BMI: Body mass index; CDH2: Cadherin-2; CIs: Confidence intervals; CRP: C-reactive protein; ESR: Erythrocyte sedimentation rate; HWE: Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium; K-L grade: Kellgren–Lawrence grade; OA: Osteoarthritis; ORs: Odds ratios; SNP: Single nucleotide polymorphism

Authors' contributions
QJ conceived and designed the study. HLS was involved in the data search and selection of data, analyzed the data, and wrote the manuscript. YDH was involved in the data search and selection of data and analyzed the data. WHH was involved in the data search and analyzed the data. XHH was involved in the data search and selection of data. HLS and XHH analyzed the data and contributed analysis tools. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Availability of data and materials
Please contact the authors for reasonable requests.

Ethics approval and consent to participate
Written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to their participation. The research protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of The Affiliated Huaian No.1 People's Hospital of Nanjing Medical University. The ethical approval was consistent with the standards of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Consent for publication
Not applicable.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details
[1]Department of Orthopaedics, The Affiliated Huaian No.1 People's Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Huai'an 223300, Jiangsu, China. [2]Department of Operation and Anesthesiology, The Affiliated Huaian No.1 People's Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Huai'an 223300, Jiangsu, China.

References
1. Michael JW, Schluter-Brust KU, Eysel P. The epidemiology, etiology, diagnosis, and treatment of osteoarthritis of the knee. Dtsch Arztebl Int. 2010;107:152–62.
2. Guccione AA, Felson DT, Anderson JJ, Anthony JM, Zhang Y, Wilson PW, et al. The effects of specific medical conditions on the functional limitations of elders in the Framingham Study. Am J Public Health. 1994;84:351–8.
3. DeFrances CJ, Podgornik MN. 2004 National Hospital Discharge Survey. Adv Data. 2006;4:1–19.
4. Andrianakos AA, Kontelis LK, Karamitsos DG, Aslanidis SI, Georgountzos AI, Kaziolas GO, et al. Prevalence of symptomatic knee, hand, and hip osteoarthritis in Greece. The ESORDIG study. J Rheumatol. 2006;33:2507–13.
5. Leung GJ, Rainsford KD, Kean WF. Osteoarthritis of the hand I: aetiology and pathogenesis, risk factors, investigation and diagnosis. J Pharm Pharmacol. 2014;66:339–46.
6. Tsujii A, Nakamura N, Horibe S. Age-related changes in the knee meniscus. Knee. 2017;24:1262–70.
7. Miyatake K, Muneta T, Ojima M, Yamada J, Matsukura Y, Abula K, et al. Coordinate and synergistic effects of extensive treadmill exercise and ovariectomy on articular cartilage degeneration. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2016;17:238.
8. Chu M, Rong J, Wang Y, Zhu L, Xing B, Tao Y, et al. Strong association of the polymorphisms in PBEF1 and knee OA risk: a two-stage population-based study in China. Sci Rep. 2016;6:19094.
9. Vrgoc G, Vrbanec J, Eftedal RK, Dembic PL, Balen S, Dembic Z, et al. Interleukin-17 and Toll-like Receptor 10 genetic polymorphisms and susceptibility to large joint osteoarthritis. J Orthop Res. 2018;36:1684–93.
10. Taipale M, Solovieva S, Leino-Arjas P, Mannikko M. Functional polymorphisms in asporin and CILP together with joint loading predispose to hand osteoarthritis. BMC Genet. 2017;18:108.
11. Goodwin M, Yap AS. Classical cadherin adhesion molecules: coordinating cell adhesion, signaling and the cytoskeleton. J Mol Histol. 2004;35:839–44.
12. Shapiro L, Love J, Colman DR. Adhesion molecules in the nervous system: structural insights into function and diversity. Annu Rev Neurosci. 2007;30:451–74.
13. McGregor NW, Lochner C, Stein DJ, Hemmings SM. Polymorphisms within the neuronal cadherin (CDH2) gene are associated with obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) in a South African cohort. Metab Brain Dis. 2016;31:191–6.
14. Galizia EC, Myers CT, Leu C, de Kovel CG, Afrikanova T, Cordero-Maldonado ML, et al. CHD2 variants are a risk factor for photosensitivity in epilepsy. Brain. 2015;138:1198–207.
15. Warde N. Prostate cancer: Cadherin 2: an important new player in castration resistance. Nat Rev Urol. 2011;8:3.
16. Ma T, Zhao Y, Wei K, Yao G, Pan C, Liu B, et al. MicroRNA-124 functions as a tumor suppressor by regulating CDH2 and epithelial-mesenchymal transition in non-small cell lung cancer. Cell Physiol Biochem. 2016;38:1563–74.
17. Gao S, Zhao Z, Wu R, Wu L, Tian X, Zhang Z. MicroRNA-194 regulates cell viability and apoptosis by targeting CDH2 in prostatic cancer. Onco Targets Ther. 2018;11:4837–44.
18. Ruedel A, Stark K, Kaufmann S, Bauer R, Reinders J, Rovensky J, et al. N-cadherin promoter polymorphisms and risk of osteoarthritis. FASEB J. 2014;28:683–91.
19. Zhao G, Shi J, Xia J. Analysis of the association between CDH2 gene polymorphism and osteoarthritis risk. Med Sci (Paris). 2018;34 Focus issue F1:105–12.
20. Heijink A, Gomoll AH, Madry H, Drobnic M, Filardo G, Espregueira-Mendes J, et al. Biomechanical considerations in the pathogenesis of osteoarthritis of the knee. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2012;20:423–35.
21. Zhang Y, Jordan JM. Epidemiology of osteoarthritis. Clin Geriatr Med. 2010;26:355–69.

22. Alimperti S, Andreadis ST. CDH2 and CDH11 act as regulators of stem cell fate decisions. Stem Cell Res. 2015;14:270–82.

23. Chen Q, Cai J, Jiang C. CDH2 expression is of prognostic significance in glioma and predicts the efficacy of temozolomide therapy in patients with glioblastoma. Oncol Lett. 2018;15:7415–22.

24. Mayosi BM, Fish M, Shaboodien G, Mastantuono E, Kraus S, Wieland T, et al. Identification of Cadherin 2 (CDH2) mutations in arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy. Circ Cardiovasc Genet. 2017;10.

25. Kumari P, Singh SK, Raman R. A novel non-coding RNA within an intron of CDH2 and association of its SNP with non-syndromic cleft lip and palate. Gene. 2018;658:123–8.

26. Han K, Zhao T, Chen X, Bian N, Yang T, Ma Q, et al. microRNA-194 suppresses osteosarcoma cell proliferation and metastasis in vitro and in vivo by targeting CDH2 and IGF1R. Int J Oncol. 2014;45:1437–49.

27. Oliver C, Gonzalez CA, Alvial G, Flores CA, Rodriguez EM, Batiz LF. Disruption of CDH2/N-cadherin-based adherens junctions leads to apoptosis of ependymal cells and denudation of brain ventricular walls. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol. 2013;72:846–60.

28. Miao J, Wang W, Wu S, Zang X, Li Y, Wang J, et al. miR-194 suppresses proliferation and migration and promotes apoptosis of osteosarcoma cells by targeting CDH2. Cell Physiol Biochem. 2018;45:1966–74.

Publisher's Note