Research Article

Ruijin Zhou*, Yingying Wang, Xiaona Zhang, Fengqin Jia, Yunli Liu Cloning and expression analysis of SERK1 gene in **Diospyros lotus**

https://doi.org/10.1515/biol-2022-0490 received April 11, 2022; accepted August 09, 2022

Abstract: Somatic embryogenesis receptor-like kinases (SERKs), a subfamily of receptor-like kinases, play important roles in response to abiotic stresses in addition to apomictic reproductive development in numerous plant species. The purpose of the present work was to determine if an ortholog of the SERK gene is present in the Diospyros lotus genome, isolate it and analyze its expression during embryogeny and abiotic stress. An ortholog of the SERK gene was isolated from the D. lotus genome, and designated as DISERK1. The physical and chemical properties, protein structure, and evolutionary relationship of the DISERK1 protein were analyzed by bioinformatics methods, and the expression of DISERK1 gene during embryonic development and under low-temperature, salt, and drought stresses was examined through real-time quantitative PCR analysis. DISERK1 contained 1,881 bp open reading frame encoding 626 amino acids, with a molecular mass of 69.18 kDa and pI of 5.34. DISERK1 had strong hydrophilic property, signal peptide cleavage sites, and two transmembrane regions, indicating that *DISERK1* is a secretory protein. The secondary structure of DISERK1 was consistent with the tertiary structure, both of which were dominated by random curls and alpha-helices. *DISERK1* had the typical structure of SERK proteins, and harbored multiple phosphorylation and glycosylation sites. Quantitative analysis showed that DISERK1 was expressed during the embryonic development period, and the highest expression level was at

10 days post-flowering. The DISERK1 expression level was down-regulated under low-temperature stress and upregulated under drought and salt stresses. Our study showed that DISERK1 was expressed in embryo development and could respond to low-temperature, drought, and salt stresses, which lays a foundation for further research on the function of SERK1 in the apomixis growth and development of environmental adaptation in D. lotus.

Keywords: Diospyros lotus, SERK, bioinformatics analysis, gene expression

1 Introduction

Apomictic reproduction in plants is a kind of asexual reproduction in which the megaspore mother cell or nucellar cell directly develops into embryos and then forms seeds without the meiosis and double fertilization of sexual reproduction [1]. Apomictic progenies produced by apomixis inherit the same traits as their female parent without character separation, which speeds up the fixation of heterosis [2,3]. Apomictic reproduction could also be applied to cultivate high-quality rootstocks and establish a stable and efficient somatic embryo regeneration and genetic transformation system in vitro [4,5]. Therefore, studying apomictic reproductive characteristics in fruit breeding and production is of great practical value. Apomixis is not only affected by environmental conditions but regulated by multiple genes. At present, many genes related to apomictic reproductive development have been identified, such as SOMATIC EMBRYOGENESIS RECEPTOR-LIKE KINASE (SERK), BABY BOOM (BBM), LEAFY COTYLEDON 2 (LEC2), AGAMOUS-LIKE 15 (AGL15), WUSCHEL (WUS), and FUSCA3. SERK belongs to the leucine-rich repeat sequence receptor-like kinase (LRR-RLK) family [6], widely distributed in plants. Schmidt et al. [7] discovered the first SERK gene (DcSERK) in the hypocotyl of carrot. Subsequently, SERK genes were isolated from Arabidopsis thaliana [8], rice (Oryza sativa) [9], maize (Zea mays) [10], barley (Hordeum vulgare) [11], wheat (Triticum aestivum) [12], grape (Vitis vinifera) [13], and apple

^{*} Corresponding author: Ruijin Zhou, School of Horticulture and Landscape Architecture, Henan Province Engineering Research Centers of Horticultural Plant Research Utilization and Germplasm Enhancement, Henan Institute of Science and Technology, No. 90, East Section of Hualan Avenue, Hongqi District, Xinxiang, Henan 453003, China, tel: +86 15837368125, e-mail: persimmonzhou@163.com

Yingying Wang, Xiaona Zhang, Fengqin Jia, Yunli Liu: School of Horticulture and Landscape Architecture, Henan Institute of Science and Technology, Xinxiang, Henan 453003, China

(*Malus hupehensis*) [14], and were shown to play an important role in somatic embryogenesis. In general, the *SERK* gene consists of 11 exons and 10 introns, and the exons almost correspond to the functional domain of the coding protein, including the leucine-zipper (ZIP), leucine-rich repeat sequence (LRR), proline-rich (SPP), transmembrane (TM), and kinase domains, as well as the N- and C-terminal regions [15,16], which participate in important biological functions [17].

The involvement of the SERK gene in sporophyte development has been reported in A. thaliana. For example, AtSERK1 and AtSERK2 are expressed in both vegetative and reproductive tissues of A. thaliana, but their expression levels are relatively high in flowers and fruit pods, indicating that they may be involved in controlling sporophyte differentiation and thus affecting the development of male gametophytes [18]. At the same time, SERKs may function as coreceptors of GS01/2 to transduce the TWS1 signal and ultimately regulate embryonic cuticle integrity [19]. SERKs play a critical role in regulating zygotic embryo development through controlling the division patterns of vascular precursors and ground tissue stem cells. [20] AtSERK1 is only expressed at the heart-stage during embryo development, which is similar to the expression patterns of DgSERK and DcSERK [8,21]. In addition, SERKs (SERK1 and SERK2) are also involved in microspore embryogenesis in Brassica napus L., and the expression level of BnSERK1 is significantly up-regulated within 1-5 days after microsporederived embryogenesis, whereas the BnSERK2 expression is increased throughout microspore-derived embryogenesis [22]. It has been reported that expression of genes of the SERK family in maize are associated with embryogenesis induction [10]. Therefore, SERK genes play an important role in microspore development and reproductive development. However, SERKs also show differentiated functions, they play crucial roles in many biological processes such as brassinosteroids (BR) signaling, anther development, stomatal patterning, floral abscission, immune responses, hormone signal transduction, disease defense, and abiotic stress response [20,23-32]. For instance, AtSERK3-5 are involved in the regulation of BR signaling, affecting the growth and development of A. thaliana [29,30]. In rice, although OsSERK1 and OsSERK2 are expressed in all tissues, OsSERK1 is mainly expressed in flowers and stems, while OsSERK2 is mainly expressed in leaves. The expression of OsSERK1-2 can also be activated by pathogens, host dead cells, defense signaling molecules (such as salicylic acid), and other stress signals, modulating the immune signaling pathways [9,31]. Under salt stress, the expression of HvSERK1 and HvSERK3 in barley leaves was up-regulated at 12 h, and HvSERK1 remained at a high level until 24 h, while the HvSERK3 expression was decreased to a normal level at

24 h, suggesting that *HvSERK1* and *HvSERK3* may be involved in the salt tolerance pathway of barley leaves [11].

Diospyros lotus is a dioecious plant. We found that some females of D. lotus have apomixes characteristics [33], this has great application potential for *D*. lotus breeding research. But the type of apomixes in *D. lotus* is not clear enough, in particular, the regulatory mechanism of apomixes is unclear. SERK genes have been isolated and identified in many plants, but there are less reports on SERK genes in D. lotus. Lijie et al. [14] found that the SERK1 gene was highly expressed in the ovary at the bud stage of M. hupehensis var. pingviensis Jiang, while Cit-SERK1 and CitSERK1-LIKE genes were highly expressed in the somatic embryo induction process of citrus [34,35]. In view of the important role of SERKs and their homologous genes in sporophyte development, reproductive development, and related resistance in higher plants, we cloned SERK homologous genes from D. lotus, and analyzed their expression patterns under somatic embryogenesis, low temperature, drought, and salt stress by reverse transcription quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR). At the same time, the results obtained from bioinformatics analysis lay a foundation for further research on the function of SERK genes and provide theoretical support for the study of the molecular mechanism of SERK genes in the growth and development process and environmental adaptation of D. lotus.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Plant material

The samples were collected from the perennial *D. lotus* L. tree grown at the test base of Henan Institute of Science and Technology.

2.2 Sampling during apomictic reproductive development

When *D. lotus* flowers were not open, the petals changed from green to yellow, the petals surrounding the stigmas were gently removed. Polyvinyl acetate emulsion adhesive was applied evenly on the stigma to completely wrap the stigma [33]. To ensure that pollen did not enter the stigma, each stigma was treated three times at an interval of 12–24 h. The samples were collected 1 day after treatment (as the control). Afterward, samples were taken once every 3 days until white embryos were formed in the fruit of *D. lotus*. The samples were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen, brought back to the laboratory, and stored at -80° C.

2.3 Abiotic stress treatment

Sufficient seeds with full grain and uniform size were sown in a nutrient bowl. The treatment was performed on seedlings with three or four leaves. Low-temperature treatment: D. lotus seedlings with the same growth status were placed in an incubator at 4°C, under continuous light supply and normal watering. Drought treatment: root irrigation was applied to robust D. lotus seedlings with same sizes with 20% PEG 6000 until saturation. Salt treatment: root irrigation was applied to healthy D. lotus seedlings with consistent growth with 250 mM NaCl solution until saturation. The third leaf from bottom to top was collected at 0 h (CK), 6 h, 12 h, 1, 3, 5, and 7 days after abiotic treatment, frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80°C until further use. Three biological replicates were conducted for each treatment. The relative electrical conductivity (REC) of the leaf at each time point was measured by a DDS-307 electrical conductivity meter, and the electrode constant was 0.990. According to the method of Bolat with some modifications [36], the conductivity of sample solution was R_1 , and that of deionized water partake solution was R_A before boiling. After boiling and cooling, the conductivity of sample solution was R_2 , and that of deionized water counterpart solution was $R_{\rm B}$. Then, REC (%) = $(R_1 - R_{\rm A})/(R_2 - R_{\rm B}) \times 100\%$. Three biological replicates were selected at each time point of each treatment.

Total RNA was extracted using the OminiPlant RNA Kit (DNaseI, CW2598S; Kangwei Century Biotechnology Co., Ltd) following the manufacturer's instructions. cDNA was synthesized by the RevertAid First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (#K1622; Thermo Scientific) and stored at -20°C for later use.

2.4 Screening and cloning of *SERK* in *D. lotus*

The *SERK* gene sequence was screened from the genome database of *Diospyros oleifera* "Youshi," and specific primers to amplify the full length of the *SERK* gene were designed (upstream primer: 5′–ATGGAAAGATTGGTGTTG GTGA–3′; downstream primer: 5′–TCACCTGGGCCCTGAT AACT–3′). PCR amplification was carried out using cDNA

from the leaves of the explants as the template by the homologous cloning method. The PCR reaction system was 20 µL, containing 1 µL of cDNA, 0.5 µL of each primer (10 μ M), 10 μ L of 2× Es TaqMster Mix (Dye), and 8 μ L of ddH₂O. The PCR reaction conditions were pre-denaturation at 94°C for 4 min; 94°C for 30 s, 56°C for 30 s, 72°C for 90 s, 35 cycles; extension at 72°C for 5 min. The target fragment was separated by 1.0% agarose gel electrophoresis and recovered by the Agarose gel DNA Recovery Kit. After the pMD18-T vector (TaKaRa) was connected, the plasmid was transformed into Escherichia coli DH5a strain by heat shock (laboratory preservation), and positive clones were identified with sequencing conducted by Sangong Biotech Co., Ltd (Shanghai, China). According to the sequencing results, the recovered fragment was confirmed as the SERK gene based on multiple characteristics of protein and gene structure and was named DISERK1.

2.5 Bioinformatics analysis of DISERK1

The online software BLASTP was used for gene sequence search and analysis (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast. cgi). The full-length open reading frame (ORF) was predicted by the online tool ORF-finder (http://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/gorf/gorf.html). The physicochemical properties and hydrophilicity of the encoded DISERK1 protein were predicted by ProtParam (http://web.expasy.org/ protparam/) and ExPASy (http://web.expasy.org/protscale/). SOPMA (https://npsa-prabi.ibcp.fr/cgi-bin/npsa_automat. pl?page=npsa_sopma.html) and SWISS-MODEL online tools were used to analyze the secondary structure and three-dimensional structure composition of the DISERK1 protein. TM helices were analyzed using the TMHMM server (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TMHMM/). The subcellular localization of DISERK was predicted using PlantmPLoc (http://www.csbio.sjtu.edu.cn/bioinf/plant-multi/) and PredictProtein (https://predictprotein.org/). Signal peptides were predicted by SignalP v5.0. The Netphos v3.1 server, NetOGlyc v4.0, and NetNGlyc v1.0 software were used to predict phosphorylation and glycosylation sites in DISERK. The MotifScan program (http://myhits.isb-sib.ch/ cgi-bin/motif_scan) was used to analyze the conserved motifs. Sequence alignment was performed using DNAMAN v9.0. Phylogenetic trees were prepared with MEGA v5.0 software using the neighbor-joining (NJ) method. The 2,000-bp sequence upstream of DISERK1 was regarded as the promoter region and extracted from the D. lotus genome database (http://persimmon.kazusa.or.jp/blast.html) by TBtools and submitted to the Plant CARE database for identifying the cis-acting elements.

2.6 RT-qPCR

The fruits which were capped by white latex for 1, 4, 7, 13, 16, 19, and 22 days and leaves treated with low temperature, drought, and salt stress were used as test materials. RNA was extracted, reverse transcribed to synthesize cDNA, and real-time quantitative PCR was performed. The RT-gPCR was performed on a CFX96 instrument (Bio-Rad), and the PCR reaction was prepared according to the instruction of the SYBR Green qPCR Kit (TaKaRa). Primer sequences were: SERKrt1F, TGCCATCTGAACCACC ACTC and SERKrt1R, ACGGCTGTAATGACGTGTGT. The 10µL PCR reaction system contained 5.0 µL of SYBR Premix Ex Taq II enzyme, 0.7 µL of cDNA, 0.4 µL of each primer $(10 \,\mu\text{M})$, and $3.5 \,\mu\text{L}$ of ddH₂O. The amplification parameters were as follows: 95°C pre-denaturation for 30 s, 1 cycle; 95°C denaturation for 5 s, 56°C renaturation for 30 s, 40 cycles. The comparative threshold $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method was applied to quantify the relative expression of target genes [37]. Four independent assays were carried out.

3 Results

3.1 Cloning of the SERK1 gene in D. lotus

cDNA of *D. lotus* leaves was used as the template for PCR amplification. After cloning and sequencing, the ORF length of *DlSERK1* sequence was 1,881 bp (Figure 1). Comparison of nucleotide sequence length showed that the *DlSERK1* gene was 72 bp longer than *SERK* of *D. oleifera*, and these two sequences length shared 96.17% similarity (Figure 2). Moreover, compared with the homologous *SERK* sequences in other plants, this fragment showed 100% similarity with tetraploid hybrid offspring of *Malus*, 100% similarity with *PpSERK2*, and 98.90% similarity with *SERK1* of *D. officinale*, indicating that the full-length cDNA sequence of *DlSERK1* was cloned.

3.2 Bioinformatics analysis of DISERK1

3.2.1 Homology of DISERK1 protein

Through BLASTP searches against the NCBI database, the protein sequence encoded by *DlSERK1* showed high similarity with *SERKs* of other species. The similarities between the *DlSERK1* protein with *SERKs* of *Sesamum indicum* (XP_011074139.1), *Populus euphratica* (XP_011002514.1),



Figure 1: Amplification of *DISERK1*. M: DL2000 DNA marker; 1: amplification of full-length cDNA of *DISERK1*.

V. vinifera (XP_002270847.1), *Carica papaya* (ABS32233.1), and *Solanum lycopersicum* (NP_001233866.1) were 93.25, 92.27, 91.94, 91.56, and 89.94%, respectively, indicating that the cloned *DlSERK1* gene was homologous with *SERKs*.

3.2.2 Physicochemical properties of DISERK1

The ORF of *DISERK1* was 1,881 bp in length and encoded a protein of 626 amino acids that had a calculated molecular mass of 69.18 kDa and a predicted pI of 5.34. The molecular formula of the *DISERK1* protein was $C_{3109}H_{4893}N_{839}O_{907}S_{20}$, its lipid solubility index was 101.37, and its instability coefficient was less than 40 (39.52), belonging to the stable protein. Among the 20 amino acid residues in *DISERK1*, leucine was the most (15.5%), followed by glycine (7.8%), while cysteine (1.3%) was the least. The total number of negatively charged residues (Arg + Lys) was 56. The total average hydrophilic coefficient of *DISERK1* was -0.098, suggesting that *DISERK1* is a hydrophilic protein.

3.2.3 Hydrophilicity of DISERK1 protein

Analysis by ExPASy software showed that there were several strong hydrophilic regions in the N-terminal, C-terminal, and intermediate regions of *DISERK1* (Figure 3). The site with the strongest hydrophilicity was found at amino acid residue 266 (Lys), with a value of –2.733. The most hydrophobic site was found to be residue 14 (Leu), with a value of 2.867.



Figure 2: Nucleotide sequences of the SERK gene in D. oleifera Cheng and D. lotus.

3.2.4 Secondary and tertiary structures of *DISERK1* protein

The secondary structure prediction of *DISERK1* (Figure 4) revealed that it was mainly composed of 39.30% alphahelices (blue line), 4.31% beta-turns (green line), 42.65% random coils (purple line), and 13.74% extended strands (red line). Through homology modeling, it was found that the tertiary structure of *DISERK1* (Figure 5) had the most similarity with the 3tl8.2.A model, and the tertiary structure was dominated by random coils and alpha-helices, which is consistent with the prediction results of secondary structure.

3.2.5 Subcellular localization, TM structure, and signal peptide prediction of *DISERK1*

DlSERK1 was predicted to be localized to the cell membrane considering the results from both plant-MPLOC and Predict Protein. TM prediction results (Figure 6) showed that *DlSERK1* contained two TM domains, i.e., TM helices at amino acid residues 4–26 and 240–262, respectively. Therefore, *DlSERK1* was presumed to be a TM protein. The *DlSERK1* protein may contain a signal peptide (Sec/SPI), and the cleavage site was between residues 26 and 27, with a probability of 0.98 (CS > 0.5), indicating that the protein belongs to the secretory protein.

3.2.6 Prediction of phosphorylation and glycosylation sites of *DISERK1* protein

The phosphorylation sites of *DlSERK1* were predicted by the NetPhos v3.1 server (Figure 7). The results showed



Figure 3: Predicted hydrophilic sites of DISERK1.



Figure 4: Predicted secondary structure of DISERK1.



Figure 5: Predicted tertiary structure of DISERK1.

that there were 43 phosphorylation sites in *DISERK1*, including 27 serine (Ser) sites, 12 threonine (Thr) sites, and four tyrosine (Tyr) sites. NetOGlyc v4.0 and NetOGlyc v1.0 were used to predict the O-terminal and N-terminal glycosylation sites in *DISERK1*. Three O-terminal glycosylation sites were located at residues 394 and 607, respectively, and five N-terminal glycosylation sites were located at residues 115, 150, 163, 184, and 381.

3.2.7 Conserved motif prediction of DISERK1 protein

Analysis based on MotifScan showed that *DISERK1* contained several typical conserved domains of *SERK* proteins, including an N-terminal region LRRNT_2 (between residues 26 and 66), a leucine zipper (between residues 33 and 54), four leucine repeats LRR_1 (between residues 94 and 116, 118 and 140, 142 and 164, and 166 and 189), a proline-rich domain with the Ser-Pro-Pro (SPP) motif, a TM domain (between residues 204 and 231), a TM domain (between residues 240 and 262), and a protein kinase active domain comprising 11 sub-domains (between residues 302 and 589). Additionally, a protein kinase ATP-binding site (between residues 308 and 330) and a serine/threonine kinase activation site (between residues 425 and 437) were observed.

3.2.8 Multiple alignment of amino acid sequences and construction of phylogenetic tree

The protein sequences of *SERKs* from other plant species were downloaded from NCBI, including *D. oleifera* Cheng (AKN89445.1), *S. indicum* (XP_011074139.1), *P. euphratica* (XP_011002514.1), *V. vinifera* (XP_002270847.1), *C. papaya* (ABS32233.1), *Prunus persica* (XP_007201734.1), *Theobroma caca* (XP_007020220.1), *Prunus mume* (XP_008245841.1),



Figure 6: Predicted TM domain of DISERK1.



Figure 7: Predicted phosphorylation sites of DISERK1.

| DISERKI DoISERK2 SISERK2 VeSERK1 VySERK1 CPSERK2 TeSERK1 AtSERK2 AtSERK1 AtSERK2 Consensus | <pre></pre> | 12° 100 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 |
|---|--|---|
| DISERKI DoISERK2 SISERK2 PeSERK2 VySERK1 CpSERK2 PpSERK2 TcSERK1 AtSERK2 AtSERK1 AtSERK2 Consensus | SENGISTICS KLEFICIANNEL OG I EMELTNIS GUVLDISNN DEG VEDNOSESIFTISENNN DEGEVIGE CEGSPEN DEPERVE GERSEDOME LIGALAGGVAAGAALLEA PATAFAMM DRKHGT FEUVPAL SENGISTICS FERSIONEN OG I EMELTNIS GUVLDISNN DEG VEDNOSESIFTISENNN DI GEVIGE CEGSPEN DEPERVE DEGESTIGALAGGVAAGAALLEA PATAFAMM DRKHGT FEUVPAL SENGISTICS FERSIONEN OG I EMELTNIS GUVLDISNN DEG VEDNOSESIFTISENNN DI GEVIGE CEGSPEN DEPERVE DEGESTIGALAGGVAAGAALLEA PATAFAMM DRKHGT FEUVPAL SENGISTICS FERSIONEN OG I EMELTNIS GUVLDISNN DEGEVIDNOSESIFTISENNN DI GEVIG DEGESPEN DEPERVE DEGESTIGALAGGVAAGAALLEA PATAFAMM DRKHGT PEUVPAL SENGISTICS FERSIONEN OG I EMELTNIS GUVLDISNN DEGEVIDNOSESIFTISEANNI DI GEVIG DEGESPENS DEPERVE DEGESTIGALAGGVAAGAALLEA PATAFAMM DRKHGT PEUVPAL SENGISTICS FERSIONEN OG I EMELTNIS GUVLDISNN DEGEVIDNOSESIFTISEANNI DI GEVIG DEGESPENS DEPERVE DEGESTIGALAGGVAAGAALLEA PATAFAMM DRKHGT PEUVPAL SENGISTICS FERSIONEN OG I EMELTNIS SULULISNN DEGEVIDNOSESIFTISEANNI DI GEVIG DEGESPENS DEPERVE PERSONANGAALLEA PATAFAMM DRKHGT PEUVPAL SENGISTICS FERSIONEN OG I EMELTNIS SULULISNN DEGEVIDNOSESIFTISEANNI DI GEVIG DEGENES DEPERVE PERSONGANGAALLEA PATAFAMM DRKHGT PEUVPAL SENGISTICS FERSIONEN OG I EMELTNIS SULULISNN DEGEVIDNOSESIFTISEANNI DI GEVIG DEGEVIG DEGESPES DEPERVE PERSONGANGAALLEA PATAFAMM DRKHGT PEUVPAL SENGISTICS FERSIONEN OG I EMELTNIS SULULISNN DEGEVIDNOSESIFTISEANNI DI GEVIG DEGEVIG DEGESPES DEPERVE PERSONGANGAALLEA PATAFAMM DRKHGT PEUVPAL SENGISTICS FERSIONEN OG I EMELTNIS SULULISNN DEGEVIDNOSESIFTISEANNI DI GEVIG DEGESPES DEPERVE PERSONGANGAALLEA PATAFAMM DRKHGT PEUVPAL SENGISTICS FERSIONEN OG I EMELTNIS SULULISNN DEGEVIDNOSESIFTISEANNI DI GEVIG DEGESPES DEPERVE PERSONGANGAALLEA PATAFAMM DRKHGT PEUVPAL SENGISTICS DE KREFTELNINNEN OG I EMELTNIS SULULISNN DEGEVIDNOSSISTIFTISEANNI DI GEVIG DEGESPES DEPERVE PERSONGANGAALLEA PATAFAMM PRKTOSE PEUVPAL SENGISTICS DE KREFTELNINNEN OG I EMELTNIS SULULISNN DEGEVIDNOSSISTIFTISEANNI DI GEVIG DEGESPES DEPERVE PERSONGANGAALLEA PATAFAMM PRKTOSE PERVEAL SENGISTICS DE KREFTELNINNEN OG I EMELTINGS DEVENDESSISTIFTISEANNI DI GEVIG | 277 253 280 279 276 276 280 297 280 297 280 277 280 |
| D1SERK1 Do1SERK2 S1SERK2 PeSERK2 VySERK1 CpSERK PpSERK2 TcSERK1 AtSERK1 AtSERK1 AtSERK2 Consensus | EDEVHLGQLKRE LEELQVA IG ESNKNILGRGGFGKVYKGRLADG LVAVKRLKEERTFGGELQFQTEVENISMAVHRNLLRLRGFCMTFTERLLVY HANGSVASCLREE DEGE DI KETGE TALGS GGLSVLHDHCDFKI H EDEVHLGQLKRE LEELQVA IG ESNKNILGRGFGKVYKGRLADG LVAVKRLKEERTFGGELQFQTEVENISMAVHRNLLRLRGFCMTFTERLLVY HANGSVASCLRE DEGE DI KETGET TALGS GGLSVLHDHCDFKI H EDEVHLGQLKRE LEELQVA IG ESNKNILGRGFGKVYKGRLADG LVAVKRLKEERTFGGELQFQTEVENISMAVHRNLLRLRGFCMTFTERLLVY HANGSVASCLRE PEGGE DI KETGET TALGS GGLSVLHDHCDFKI H EDEVHLGQLKRE LEELQVA IG ESNKNILGRGFGKVYKGRLADG LVAVKRLKEERTFGGELQFQTEVENISMAVHRNLLRLRGFCMTFTERLLVY HANGSVASCLRE PEGGE DI KETGET TALGS GGLSVLHDHCDFKI H EDEVHLGQLKRE LREIQVA IG ESNKNILGRGFGKVYKGRLADG LVAVKRLKEERTFGGELQFQTEVENISMAVHRNLLRLRGFCMTFTERLLVY HANGSVASCLRE PEGGE DI KETGET TALGS AGLSVLHDHCDFKI H EDEVHLGQLKRE LREIQVA IG ESNKNILGRGFGKVYKGRLADG LVAVKRLKEERTFGGELQFQTEVENISMAVHRNLLRLRGFCMTFTERLLVY HANGSVASCLRE PEGGI IG TGET TALGS AGLSVLHDHCDFKI H EDEVHLGQLKRE LREIQVA IG ESNKNILGRGFGKVYKGRLADG LVAVKRLKEERTFGGELQFGTEVENISMAVHRNLLRLRGFCMTFTERLLVY HANGSVASCLRE PEGGI IG TGET TALGS AGLSVLHDHCDFKI H EDEVHLGQLKRE LREIQVA IG ESNKNILGRGFGKVYKGRLADG IVAVKRLKEERTFGGELQFGTEVENISMAVHRNLLRLRGFCMTFTERLLVY HANGSVASCLRE PEGGI IG TGET TALGS AGLSVLHDHCDFKI H EDEVHLGQLKRE LEELQVA IG ESNKNILGRGFGKVYKGRLADG IVAVKRLKEERTFGGELQFTEVENISMAVHRNLLRLRGFCMTFTERLLVY HANGSVASCLRE PEGGI IG TGET TALGS AGLSVLHDHCDFKI H EDEVHLGQLKRE LEELQVA IG ESNKNILGRGFGKVYKGRLADG IVAVKRLKEERTFGGELQFTEVENISMAVHRNLLRLRGFCMTFTERLLVY HANGSVASCLRE PEGGI IG TGET TALGS AGLSVLHDHCDFKI H EDEVHLGQLKRE LEELQVA IG ESNKNILGRGFGKVYKGRLADG IVAVKRLKEERTFGGELQFTEVENISMAVHRNLLRLRGFCMTFTERLLVY HANGSVASCLRE PEGGI IG TGET TALLSG AGLSVLHDHCDFKI H EDEVHLGQLKRE LEELQVA IG ESNKNILGRGFGKVYKGRLADG IVAVKRLKEERTFGGELQFTEVENISMAVHRNLLRIGGGTGFVYKGRLADG IVAVKRLEERTFGGELDFTEVENISMAVHRNLLRIGGTGFGVYKGRLADG IVAVKRLEERTFGGELDFTEVENISMAVHRNLLRIGGTGFGVYKGRLADG IVAVKRLEERTFGGELDFTEVENISMAVHRNLLRIGGTGFGVYKGRLADG IVAVKRLEERTFGGELDFTEVENISMAVHRNLLRIGGTGFGVYKGRLADG IVAVKRLEERTFGGELDFTEVENISMAVHRNLLRIGGTGFGVYKGRLADG IVAVKRLEERTFGGELDFTEVENISMAVHRNLLRIGGTGFGVYKGR | 42 403 429 420 420 420 420 420 420 420 420 |
| DISERK1 DoISERK2 SISERK2 PeSERK2 VySERK1 CpSERK PpSERK2 TCSERK1 AtSERK1 AtSERK1 AtSERK2 Consensus | REVRANILLEEFEAVVGDFGLA LMDVKTHV TAVRGT IGHLAFEYLSTGKSSEKTEVFGYGT LLELTGGAFELARLANDEUWLLDWVGLLKD KLEMLVDED GNN VEEDS GE IGVALLG GS HERFKNSEVVRHE REVRANILLEEFEAVVGDFGLA LMDVKTHV TAVRGT IGHLAFEYLSTGKSSEKTEVFGYGT LLELTGGAFELARLANDEUWLLDWVGLLKD KLEMLVDED GNN VEEDS GE IGVALLG GS HERFKNSEVVRHE REVRANILLEEFEAVVGDFGLA LMDVKTHV TAVRGT IGHLAFEYLSTGKSSEKTEVFGYGT LLELTGGAFELARLANDEUWLLDWVGLLKD KLEMLVDED GNN VEEDS GE IGVALLG GG HERFKNSEVVRHE REVRANILLEEFEAVVGDFGLA LMDVKTHV TAVRGT IGHLAFEYLSTGKSSEKTEVFGYGT LLELTGGAFELARLANDEUWLLDWVGLLKD KLEMLVDED GNN VEEDS GE IGVALLG GG HERFKNSEVVRHE REVRANILLEEFEAVVGDFGLA LMDVKTHV TAVRGT IGHLAFEYLSTGKSSEKTEVFGYGT LLELTGGAFELARLANDEUWLLDWVGLLKD KLEMLVDED GNN VEEDS GE IGVALLG GG HERFKNSEVVRHE REVRANILLEEFEAVVGDFGLA LMDVKTHV TAVRGT IGHLAFEYLSTGKSSEKTEVFGYGT LLELTGGAFELARLANDEUWLLDWVGLLKD KLEMLVDED GNN TEDS GE IGVALLG GG HERFKNSEVVRHE REVRANILLEEFEAVVGDFGLA LMDVKTHV TAVRGT IGHLAFEYLSTGKSSEKTEVFGYGT LLELTGGAFELARLANDEUWLLDWVGLLKD KLEMLVDED GNN TEDS GE IGVALLG GG HERFKNSEVVRHE REVRANILLEEFEAVVGDFGLA LMDVKTHV TAVRGT IGHLAFEYLSTGKSSEKTEVFGYGT LELTGGAFELARLANDEUWLLDWVGLLKD KLEMLVDED GNN TEDS GE IGVALLG GG HERFKNSEVVRHE REVRANILLEEFEAVVGDFGLA LMDVKTHV TAVRGT IGHLAFEYLSTGKSSEKTEVFGYGT LLELTGGAFELARLANDEUWLLDWVGLLKD KLEMLVDED GNN TEDS GE IGVALLG GG HERFKNSEVVRHE REVRANILLEEFEAVVGDFGLA LMDVKTHV TAVRGT IGHLAFEYLSTGKSSEKTEVFGYGT LLELTGGAFELARLANDEUWLLDWVGLLKD KLEMLVDED GNN TEDS GE IGVALLG GG HERFKNSEVVRHE REVRANILLEEFEAVVGDFGLA LMDVKTHV TAVRGT IGHLAFEYLSTGKSSEKTEVFGYGT LLELTGGAFELARLANDEUWLLDWVGLLKD KLEMLVDED GNN TEDS GE IGVALLG GG HERFKNSEVVRHE REVRANILLEEFEAVVGDFGLA LMDVKTHV TAVRGT IGHLAFEYLSTGKSSEKTEVFGYGT LLELTGGAFELARLANDEUWLLDWVGLLKD KLEMLVDED GNN TEDS GE IGVALLG GG HERFKNSEVVRHE REVRANILLEEFEAVVGDFGLA LMDVKTHV TAVRGT IGHLAFEYLSTGKSSEKTEVFGYGT LLELTGGAFELARLANDEUWLLDWVGLLKD KLEMLVDED GNN TEDS GE IGVALLG GG HERFKNSEVVRHE REVRANILLEEFEAVVGDFGLA LMDVKTHV TAVRGT IGHLAFEYLSTGKSSEKTEVFGYGT LLELTGGAFELARLANDEUWLLDWVGLLKD KLEMLFDED GNN TEDS GE IGVALLG GG HERFKNSEVVRHE REVRANTILDEFEAVVGDFGLA LMDVKTHV TAVRGT I | 577 553 578 578 578 578 580 597 580 578 580 |
| DISERKI DoISERK2 SISERK2 PeSERK2 VVSERK1 CpSERK PpSERK2 TcSERK2 AtSERK2 AtSERK2 AtSERK2 Consensus | GUGLAD WEEK GRU DYHLULH HEPENNISTENII CSTDINERGVELSGP GUGLAD WEEK GRU DYHLULH HEPENNISTENII CSTDINERGVELSGP GUGLAD WEEK GRU DYHLGEVRU APRES SUMNU CSTDINERAVELSGP GUGLAD WEEK GRU DYHLGEVRU APRES SUMNU CSTDINERAVELSGP | 623 621 623 623 623 623 624 627 624 627 624 |

Figure 8: Multiple sequence alignment of amino acid sequences of *DISERK1* and *SERKs* in other plants. Conserved sequence characteristics of *SERK* are indicated with colored underlines (red, signal peptide; orange, leucine zipper; yellow, leucine repeat sequence; green, serine-proline-rich domain; blue, TM domain; and purple, kinase domain).



0.02

Figure 9: Phylogenetic tree of DISERK1 and SERKs in other plants.

Nicotiana tabacum (XP_016455663.1), and A. thaliana (ACN59271.1 and CAF33246.1). Multiple sequence alignment was performed by DNAMAN. The results showed that the similarity among these sequences was 90.81%. Among them, *DISERK1* had the highest similarity with *D. oleifera SERK2* (94.47%), followed by *SiSERK2* (93.25%). Analysis results showed that these sequences had typical structural and functional domains of *SERK* proteins (Figure 8). This confirms that *DISERK1* is a member of the *SERK* family and belongs to *SERK/LRR-RLK* genes.

To explore the evolutionary relationship between *DISERK1* and *SERKs* from other species, a phylogenetic tree was constructed by MEGA v5.0 based on the NJ method (Figure 9). It was found that *DISERK1* was closest to *DoISERK2*; the two were clustered in a small clade with *VvSERK1* first, and then clustered with *SiSERK2*, *NtSERK*, *SISERK1*, and *SERKs* of other dicotyledons. *SERK* sequences were clustered in different clades of monocotyledonous

plants including *Brachypodium distachyon* and rice, and were relatively distant from each other. The results of the phylogenetic tree were consistent with the homology comparison results. Among dicotyledons, *SERKs* of peach, plum, and apple of Rosaceae belonged to one group, and those of tobacco, tomato, and potato of Solanaceae belonged to another group. While *AtSERK1* and *AtSERK2* were clustered to one branch, *AtSERK3*, *AtSERK4*, and *AtSERK5* were in separate clades, indicating that different *SERK* proteins in the same plant species can also be divergent.

3.2.9 Analysis of regulatory elements in the *DISERK1* promoter

The 2,000 bp nucleotide sequence upstream of the *DISERK1* gene was analyzed by Plant CARE to obtain the regulatory elements (Table 1). The prediction results showed that this

| Type of <i>cis</i> -acting element | Associated element | Number | Function of response |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|--------|---|
| Light response-related element | G-box | Five | Light-responsive element |
| | GATA-motif | Two | Light-responsive element |
| | TCT-motif | One | Light-responsive element |
| | AE-box | One | Light-responsive element |
| Hormone response-related cis-element | TGACG-motif | Four | MeJA responsiveness |
| | CGTCA-motif | Four | MeJA responsiveness |
| | ABRE | Three | Abscisic acid responsiveness |
| | P-box | One | Gibberellin-responsive element |
| Stress response-related cis-element | ARE | One | Anaerobic-induction element |
| | MBS | One | MYB binding site involved in drought inducibility |
| Growth-related cis-element | 02-site | Two | Zein metabolism regulation |

Table 1: Cis-acting elements of the DISERK1 gene

sequence contained not only a large number of the core sequence (TATA-box) in the promoter, the CAAT-box in the promoter and enhancer regions, and other basic promoter elements across eukaryotes, but also included nine light-responsive elements (G-box, GATA-motif, TCT-motif, and AE-box), 12 hormone response-related *cis*-elements (TGACG-motif, CGTCA-motif, ABRE, and P-box), two stress response-related *cis*-elements (ARE and MBS), and two responsive elements involved in the regulation of corn protein metabolism (O2-site).

3.3 Changes of REC of leaves under abiotic stress

In order to calibrate the degree of cell damage under low temperature, drought, and salt stress at different times, and to determine the rationality of the sampling time of gene expression in the later period, we measured the REC. With the extension of the treatment time, the REC of leaves increased in varying degrees compared with CK (0 h), indicating that the cell membrane was damaged to varying degrees (Figure 10). The REC of leaves increased linearly within 12h under 4°C and peaked (11.76%) at 12 h, which was increased by 4.96% compared with CK. Although a downward trend was observed after 12 h, the REC was always higher than that of CK. The REC showed a gradual downward trend within 12 h of drought treatment with 20% PEG 6000. At 12 h, the REC of leaves was the lowest (5.74%); it then rose until the maximum value appeared at 5 days (12.32%), which was increased by 4.17% compared to that of CK and 2.15 times relative to that at 12 h. Within 7 days of 250 mM NaCl treatment, the REC first showed an upward trend within 6 h, then decreased to the CK level at 12h, and then gradually increased. At 5 days, the REC reached a maximum value

(9.74%), which was increased by 2.08% compared to CK. The results showed that low-temperature, drought, and salt treatment increased the REC of seedling leaves, and the membrane was damaged under abiotic stress. The damage intensity of the cell membrane under different stresses was in an order of 4° C > 20% PEG 6000 > 250 mM NaCl.

3.4 Expression analysis of DISERK1

The expression of *DISERK1* during the ovaries of different flower development stages in *D. lotus* was analyzed by RT-qPCR (Figure 11). The results showed that *DISERK1* was expressed during the embryonic development period. At 1–3 days post-treatment (DPT), some flowers bloomed, roughly in bud stage. At 4–7 DPT, most flowers bloomed, which was the full flowering stage. About a week after flowering, the stigma turned brown, and the petals



Figure 10: Relationship between treatment time and REC under different stress treatments. Different lowercase letters indicate significant differences at P < 0.05.

gradually withered, which was the late flowering stage. The highest *DlSERK1* expression in ovary was observed at 10 days of flowering, which was 1.63 times higher than that at Day 1 of flowering. It was speculated that *DlSERK1* may promote the development of somatic embryos.

The expression patterns of *DISERK1* under various abiotic stresses (4°C, PEG, and NaCl) were studied. *DISERK1* was expressed in leaves at low temperature for 7 days, and the expression was almost unchanged after 6 h of treatment. The *DISERK1* expression decreased rapidly after 12 h of treatment, which was less than half of the CK, and remained at a low level until 7 DPT. Under PEG treatment, the expression of *DISERK1* was up-regulated in leaves, and the peak expression appeared at 5 DPT, which was about 2.73 times that of the CK. Under the condition of high-salt treatment, the expression of *DISERK1* in leaves increased first and then decreased. The expression increased significantly within 3 days of treatment, and peaked at 3 DPT, which was about 1.9 times that of CK. However, at 5 DPT, the *DISERK1* expression decreased to the lowest level, and then returned to the normal level at 7 DPT.

4 Discussion

In this study, the full-length cDNA of *DlSERK1* (1,881 bp), encoding 626 amino acids, was cloned from the *D. lotus* leaf. Bioinformatics analysis showed that the *DlSERK*

protein encoded by this gene had high similarities with SERK proteins of other plants. DISERK also contained common conserved structural domains of SERK proteins, including a signal peptide, leucine zipper structure, proline-rich structure, TM structure, leucine-repeat sequence, and intracellular protein kinase activity domain, which were the typical structural characteristics of the SERK protein family. Therefore, it was speculated that DISERK was a member of the SERK protein family and was thus named DISERK1. DISERK1 had high similarities to other plant SERK proteins in amino acid composition, molecular weight, and pI. For example, DISERK1, AtSERK1, PpSERK2, MtSERK1, OsSERK1, and CitSERK1 proteins were composed of 626, 625, 626, 627, 628, and 621 amino acids, respectively; their molecular weights were 69.18, 69, 68.99, 69.125, 69.5, and 68.4 kDa, respectively; and their pIs were 5.34, 5.25, 5.38, 5.56, 5.98, and 5.48, respectively [9,18,34,38]. The results showed that SERK proteins of different plants had similar primary structure and physicochemical properties, thus it was speculated that they play similar roles in the process of plant growth and development. The secondary and tertiary structures were consistent with the prediction results, which revealed mainly random coils and alphahelices. There was a cleavage site of signal peptide between residues 26 and 27. It was speculated that DISERK1 is a secretory protein with a TM structure located on the cell membrane, and harbors multiple phosphorylation and glycosylation sites, which is consistent with the function of



Figure 11: DISERK1 gene expression analysis during embryogenesis and abiotic stress.

SERK proteins in signal transduction. *DISERK1* had the highest similarity with *DoISERK2*, was close to *SERKs* of dicotyledonous plants such as sesame, tobacco, and tomato, and far from *SERKs* of monocotyledonous plants such as rice and *B. distachyon*.

The expression level of DISERK1 was the highest at 10 days after flowering, which was the late flowering stage of *D. lotus*. The fruit began to expand about half a month after flowering, and the DISERK1 expression returned to the normal level. According to the DISERK1 expression at different stages of ovary development, it can be inferred that DISERK1 may play a certain regulatory role in embryogenesis in D. lotus. This is similar to the results of D. officinale [39], citrus [34], and apple [14]. DoSERK2 was widely expressed at all stages of protocorm development, and the expression was the highest at the stage of seed embryo activation. The expression of DoSERK2 was low and showed little difference from protocorm formation to the seedling stage. The expression signal of CitSERK1 could be detected in flowers and fruits at 30 and 60 days after flowering, but not in fruit at 180 days after flowering. The expression levels of MhSERK1 and MhdSERK1 were the highest in the ovary of M. hupehensis and in the ovary of the hybrid progeny, respectively. The expression of SERK1 was the highest in the ovary of *M. hupehensis* at the bud stage, while the expression was the highest in the ovary of hybrid progeny at the flowering stage, which may be the main reason for the decline in apomixis ability of hybrid progeny.

A large number of studies have shown that SERK proteins play an important role in the regulation of abiotic stress. Ma et al. found that SERK1 could be induced by lowtemperature treatment at 4°C for 24 h in non-embryogenic callus of pineapple [40]. Xuekai et al. found that low-temperature accumulation could induce the PsSERK2 expression in Paeonia suffruticosa "Luhehong," and PsSERK2 plays a positive regulatory role in sleep release [41]. In this study, we found that the expression level of *DISERK1* decreased significantly with the increase of low-temperature treatment time (low temperature for 12 h) by RT-qPCR technique. DISERK1 plays a negative regulatory role in low temperature stress. Many gene families play different roles in different plants, such as the MYB gene family has positive and negative regulatory functions [42,43]. Most of the MYBs involved in the control of flavonoid biosynthesis are positive regulators that enhance the expression of the structural flavonoid pathway genes [2]. However, repressors have also been characterized, such as FaMYB1 in strawberry (Fragaria x ananassa Duch.) and VvMYB4 in the berries of grapevine [44,45]. The expression level of DISERK1 was upregulated at 5 DPT during drought stress, which was similar to that of BnaA07g23390D and BnaA07g29610D in B. napus

under drought treatment, with the *BnaA07g23390D* expression being up-regulated at 1 h of treatment and the *BnaA07g29610D* expression being up-regulated at 0.5 h [46]. The expression of *DlSERK1* was up-regulated after salt treatment, similar to *GmSERK16*, *HvSERK1*, *HvSERK3*, *MdSERK4*, *MdSERK10*, *BnaC01g43240D*, and *BnaCnng07810D* [32,47–49]. The results indicate that *DlSERK1* can respond to low-temperature, drought, and salt stress signals. Plant CARE analysis showed that *DlSERK1* may be involved in the light-response process, response to the signal regulation of hormones such as MeJA and abscisic acid, and protein metabolism. This indicates that the regulation of gene expression is extremely complex, and *DlSERK1* may have multiple functions and participate in various biological processes.

5 Conclusion

In summary, the cloning of *SERK* has laid a foundation for studying the role of this gene in the development of embryogenesis in *D. lotus*, and it is of great significance for the in-depth study of the molecular mechanism of embryonic development and the function of environmental adaptability in *D. lotus*.

Funding information: This work was supported by the Henan Provincial Department of Science and Technology Research Project by a grant (192102110044).

Conflict of interest: Authors state no conflict of interest.

Data availability statement: The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request

References

- Bicknell RA, Koltunow AM. Understanding apomixis: recent advances and remaining conundrums. Plant Cell. 2004;16:228-45.
- [2] Grimanelli D, Leblanc O, Perotti E, Grossniklaus U. Developmental genetics of gametophytic apomixis. Trends Genet. 2001;17(10):597-604.
- [3] Hand ML, Koltunow AMG. The genetic control of apomixis: asexual seed formation. Genetics. 2014;197(2):441–50.
- [4] Wu Y, Xu C, Zhang A. Status and prospect of research in peach tissue culture and genetic transformation. J Fruit Sci. 2002;02:123-7.

- [5] Yang F, Liu Z, Kai YI, Mingli HE, Wang D, Yan Z, et al. 'LZ106', a new apomixis and semi-dwarfing rootstock for apple. J Fruit Sci. 2017;34(3):379–82.
- [6] Gou X, He K, Yang H, Yuan T, Lin H, Clouse SD, et al. Genomewide cloning and sequence analysis of leucine-rich repeat receptor-like protein kinase genes in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. BMC Genomics. 2010;11(1):19.
- [7] Schmidt ED, Guzzo F, Toonen MA, de Vries SC. A leucine-rich repeat containing receptor-like kinase marks somatic plant cells competent to form embryos. Development. 1997;124(10):2049–62.
- [8] Hecht VR, Vielle Calzada JP, Hartog MV, Schmidt EDL, Boutilier K, Grossniklaus U, et al. The arabidopsis somatic embryogenesis receptor kinase 1 gene is expressed in developing ovules and embryos and enhances embryogenic competence in culture. Plant Physiol. 2001;127(3):803–16.
- [9] Hu H, Xiong L, Yang Y. Rice SERK1 gene positively regulates somatic embryogenesis of cultured cell and host defense response against fungal infection. Planta. 2005;222(1):107–17.
- [10] Baudino S, Hansen S, Brettschneider R, Hecht VFG, Dresselhaus T, Lörz H, et al. Molecular characterisation of two novel maize LRR receptor-like kinases, which belong to the SERK gene family. Planta. 2001;213(1):1–10.
- [11] Li H, Wang Y, Wu M, Li L, Li C, Han Z, et al. Genome-wide identification of AP2/ERF transcription factors in cauliflower and expression profiling of the erf family under salt and drought stresses. Front Plant Sci. 2017;8:946.
- [12] Singh A, Khurana P. Ectopic expression of *Triticum aestivum SERK* genes (TaSERKs) control plant growth and development in *Arabidopsis*. Sci Rep. 2017;7(1):12368.
- [13] Schellenbaum P, Jacques A, Maillot P, Bertsch C, Mazet F, Farine S, et al. Characterization of VvSERK1, VvSERK2, VvSERK3 and VvL1L genes and their expression during somatic embryogenesis of grapevine (Vitis vinifera L.). Plant Cell Rep. 2008;27(12):1799.
- [14] Lijie Z, Hamad M, Wenxuan D, Suman GUO, Qingjiao M. Isolation and bioinformatics analysis of apomicxis SERK1 genes in Malus. For Res. 2016;29(1):67–73.
- [15] Shiu SH, Bleecker AB. Receptor-like kinases from Arabidopsis form a monophyletic gene family related to animal receptor kinases. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2001;98(19):10763–8.
- [16] Fan M, Wang M, Bai MY. Diverse roles of SERK family genes in plant growth, development and defense response. Sci China Life Sci. 2016;59(9):889–96.
- [17] Yang C, Zhao T, Yu D, Gai J. Isolation and functional characterization of a SERK gene from soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.). Plant Mol Biol Rep. 2011;29(2):334–44.
- [18] Albrecht C, Russinova E, Hecht V, Baaijens E, de Vries S. The Arabidopsis thaliana somatic embryogenesis receptor-like kinases1 and 2 control male sporogenesis. Plant Cell. 2005;17(12):3337–49.
- [19] Zhang H, Li X, Wang W, Li H, Cui Y, Zhu Y, et al. SERKs regulate embryonic cuticle integrity through the TWS1-GSO1/2 signaling pathway in Arabidopsis. N Phytol. 2022;233(1):313–28.
- [20] Li H, Cai Z, Wang X, Li M, Cui Y, Cui N, et al. SERK receptor-like kinases control division patterns of vascular precursors and ground tissue stem cells during embryo development in *Arabidopsis*. Mol Plant. 2019;12(7):984–1002.
- [21] Somleva MN, Schmidt EDL, de Vries SC. Embryogenic cells in *Dactylis glomerata* L. (Poaceae) explants identified by cell

tracking and by SERK expression. Plant Cell Rep. 2000;19(7):718-26.

- [22] Ahmadi B, Masoomi Aladizgeh F, Shariatpanahi ME, Azadi P, Keshavarz Alizadeh M. Molecular characterization and expression analysis of SERK1 and SERK2 in Brassica napus L.: implication for microspore embryogenesis and plant regeneration. Plant Cell Rep. 2016;35(1):185–93.
- [23] Nam KH, Li J. BRI1/BAK1, a receptor kinase pair mediating brassinosteroid signaling. Cell. 2002;110(2):203–12.
- [24] Colcombet J, Boisson-Dernier Al, Ros-Palau R, Vera CE, Schroeder JI. Arabidopsis somatic embryogenesis receptor kinases1 and 2 are essential for tapetum development and microspore maturation. Plant Cell. 2005;17(12):3350–61.
- [25] Chinchilla D, Zipfel C, Robatzek S, Kemmerling B, Nürnberger T, Jones JDG, et al. A flagellin-induced complex of the receptor FLS2 and BAK1 initiates plant defence. Nature. 2007;448(7152):497–500.
- [26] Meng X, Zhou J, Tang J, Li B, de Oliveira Marcos VV, Chai J, et al. Ligand-induced receptor-like kinase complex regulates floral organ abscission in *Arabidopsis*. Cell Rep. 2016;14(6):1330–8.
- [27] Gou X, Li J. Paired receptor and coreceptor kinases perceive extracellular signals to control plant development. Plant Physiol. 2020;182(4):1667-81.
- [28] Wu Y, Gao Y, Zhan Y, Kui H, Liu H, Yan L, et al. Loss of the common immune coreceptor BAK1 leads to NLR-dependent cell death. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2020;117(43):27044–53.
- [29] He K, Gou X, Yuan T, Lin H, Asami T, Yoshida S, et al. BAK1 and BKK1 regulate brassinosteroid-dependent growth and brassinosteroid-independent cell-death pathways. Curr Biol. 2007;17(13):1109–15.
- [30] Jin YL, Tang RJ, Wang HH, Jiang CM, Bao Y, Yang Y, et al. Overexpression of *Populus trichocarpa CYP85A3* promotes growth and biomass production in transgenic trees. Plant Biotechnol J. 2017;15(10):1309–21.
- [31] Chen X, Zuo S, Schwessinger B, Chern M, Canlas PE, Ruan D, et al. An XA21-associated kinase (OsSERK2) regulates immunity mediated by the XA21 and XA3 immune receptors. Mol Plant. 2014;7(5):874–92.
- [32] Li Y, Liu C, Guo G, He T, Chen Z, Gao R, et al. Expression analysis of three SERK-like genes in barley under abiotic and biotic stresses. J Plant Interact. 2017;12(1):279–85.
- [33] Zhou YF, Mao SL, Zhou RJ, Zhang XN, Zhang P, He YH, et al. The application of pollen isolation in apomixis germplasm identification of date plum (*Diospyros lotus*) by sealing stigma with white latex. J Fruit Sci. 2022;39(8):1–9.
- [34] Shimada T, Hirabayashi T, Endo T, Fujii H, Kita M, Omura M. Isolation and characterization of the somatic embryogenesis receptor-like kinase gene homologue (CitSERK1) from *Citrus unshiu* Marc. Sci Hortic. 2005;103(2):233–8.
- [35] Ge XX, Fan GE, Chai LJ, Guo WW. Cloning, molecular characterization and expression analysis of a SOMATIC EMBRYOGE-NESIS RECEPTOR-LIKE KINASE gene (CitSERK1-like) in Valencia sweet orange. Acta Physiol Plant. 2010;32(6):1197–207.
- [36] Bolat I, Dikilitas M, Ercisli S, Ikinci A, Tonkaz T. The effect of water stress on some morphological, physiological, and biochemical characteristics and bud success on apple and quince rootstocks. Sci World J. 2014;2014:769732.
- [37] Li G, Quan R, Cheng S, Hou X, Hu H. An HD-Zip transcription factor, *VvHDZ4*, in grapes (*Vitis vinifera* L.) confers enhanced drought tolerance in transgenic tomato. J Berry Res. 2021;11:217–29.

- [38] Tan B, Chen TX, Han YP, Zhang YR, Zheng XB, Cheng J, et al. Cloning and expression analysis of SERK2 gene in different forms of Calli on peach (*Prunus persica* L.). Sci Agric Sin. 2019;52(5):882–92.
- [39] Yi L, Liangjian L, Linghui M, Chuqi L, Chang L, Wanjun W. Identification and expression analysis of SERK gene in Dendrobium officinale Kimura et Migo. J Biol. 2019;36(2):11.
- [40] Ma J, He YH, Hu ZY, Kanakala S, Xu WT, Xia JX, et al. Histological analysis of somatic embryogenesis in pineapple: *AcSERK1* and its expression validation under stress conditions. J Plant Biochem Biotechnol. 2016;25(1):49–55.
- [41] Xuekai G, Yuxi Z, Chunying L, Baolei D, Shupeng G. Cloning of somatic embryogenesis receptor-like kinase gene PsSERK2 and cell division rate analysis during dormancy release in tree peony (*Paeonia suffruticosa*). Acta Hortic Sin. 2018;45(3):511–8.
- [42] Dubos C, Stracke R, Grotewold E, Weisshaar B, Martin C, Lepiniec L. MYB transcription factors in *Arabidopsis*. Trends Plant Sci. 2010;15(10):573–81.
- [43] Stracke R, Werber M, Weisshaar B. The *R2R3-MYB* gene family in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Curr Opin Plant Biol. 2001;4(5):447–56.

- [44] Aharoni A, De Vos CHR, Wein M, Sun Z, Greco R, Kroon A, et al. The strawberry *FaMYB1* transcription factor suppresses anthocyanin and flavonol accumulation in transgenic tobacco. Plant J. 2001;28(3):319–32.
- [45] Matus JT, Aquea F, Arce-Johnson P. Analysis of the grape MYB R2R3 subfamily reveals expanded wine quality-related clades and conserved gene structure organization across Vitis and Arabidopsis genomes. BMC Plant Biol. 2008;8(1):83.
- [46] Gu QM, Zhao YY, Peng AY, Cheng FJ, Luo DF, Tang JT, et al. Genome-wide identification and phylogenetic analysis of SERK gene family in *Brassica napus*. Chinese J Oil Crop Sci. 2021;43(05):783–94.
- [47] Zheng L, Ma J, Mao J, Fan S, Zhang D, Zhao C, et al. Genomewide identification of SERK genes in apple and analyses of their role in stress responses and growth. BMC Genomics. 2018;19(1):962.
- [48] He FL, Liu X BZ. Bioinformatics identification of SERK genes and expression analysis under salt stress in soybean. Acta Agric Boreali-occidentalis Sin. 2019;28(10):1708–17.
- [49] Nolan KE, Irwanto RR, Rose RJ. Auxin up-regulates *MtSERK1* expression in both *Medicago truncatula* root-forming and embryogenic cultures. Plant Physiol. 2003;133(1):218–30.