www.nature.com/mtna

The MicroRNA Biology of the Mammalian Nucleus

Thomas C Roberts^{1,2}

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are a class of genome-encoded small RNAs that are primarily considered to be post-transcriptional negative regulators of gene expression acting in the cytoplasm. Over a decade of research has focused on this canonical paradigm of miRNA function, with many success stories. Indeed, miRNAs have been identified that act as master regulators of a myriad of cellular processes, and many miRNAs are promising therapeutic targets or disease biomarkers. However, it is becoming increasingly apparent that the canonical view of miRNA function is incomplete. Several lines of evidence now point to additional functions for miRNAs in the nucleus of the mammalian cell. The majority of cellular miRNAs are present in both the nucleus and the cytoplasm, and certain miRNAs show specific nuclear enrichment. Additionally, some miRNAs colocalize with sub-nuclear structures such as the nucleolus and chromatin. Multiple components of the miRNA processing machinery are present in the nuclear compartment and are shuttled back and forth across the nuclear envelope. In the nucleus, miRNAs act to regulate the stability of nuclear transcripts, induce epigenetic alterations that either silence or activate transcription at specific gene promoters, and modulate cotranscriptional alternative splicing events. Nuclear miRNA-directed gene regulation constitutes a departure from the prevailing view of miRNA function and as such, warrants detailed further investigation. *Molecular Therapy—Nucleic Acids* (2014) **3**, e188; doi:10.1038/mtna.2014.40; published online 19 August 2014

Subject Category: RNAs siRNAs, shRNAs, and miRNAs

Canonical miRNA Biogenesis and Function

Microribonucleic acids (microRNAs, miRNAs, miRs) are short (~22 nucleotide), single-stranded, genome-encoded RNA molecules. miRNAs are generated by the cleavage of precursor hairpins in two sequential processing reactions. Initially, miRNAs are transcribed as long primary-miRNA (pri-miRNA) transcripts which are cleaved in the nucleus by the enzyme DROSHA (Drosha) to liberate the precursor-miRNA (premiRNA) hairpin.1 The pre-miRNA is subsequently exported from the nucleus in a process mediated by the karyopherin XPO5 (Exportin-5).² Further processing by the enzyme DICER1 (Dicer) in the cytoplasm removes the loop sequence from the hairpin to produce an RNA duplex analogous to a small interfering RNA (siRNA).3 The double-stranded RNA duplex is then passed to an Argonaute protein (e.g., AGO2, Argonaute-2) and one strand is discarded, leaving only the mature miRNA species.

The primary function of miRNAs appears to be the execution of endogenous RNA interference (RNAi) (*i.e.*, the posttranscriptional regulation of gene expression).⁴ The mature miRNA acts to guide the ribonucleoprotein complex RISC (RNA-induced silencing complex) to target mRNA transcripts at cytoplasmic Processing-bodies (P-bodies).^{5,6} Canonically, miRNAs function by binding in the 3' untranslated region (3' UTR) of a target mRNA, typically forming an imperfect duplex. While multiple mismatches are tolerated between a miRNA and its target, high complementarity in the miRNA "seed" region (*i.e.*, nucleotides 2–7) is generally required for effective target recognition.⁷ The degree of complementarity between the miRNA and its cognate target determines the fate of the targeted RNA. High levels of complementarity result in cleavage at a specific nucleotide position via the "slicer" activity of AGO2.⁸ Lower levels of complementarity lead to gene silencing via alternate mechanisms. Early studies showed that miRNAs induced translational repression whereby protein expression was reduced while mRNA levels were unaffected. Subsequently, other studies have shown that miRNAs can also induce mRNA decay by slicer-independent mechanisms.^{9–11} Importantly, there are numerous exceptions to the canonical modes of miRNA biogenesis and function.¹²

miRNAs Are Present in the Nucleus

The prevailing view is that miRNAs function to regulate mRNA stability and translation in the cytoplasm. However, multiple studies have detected miRNAs in the nuclear compartment. For example, miR-21 was detected in both nuclear and cytoplasmic HeLa cell extracts by northern blot as early as 2004.¹³ Subsequently, Hwang and coworkers showed that miR-29b is predominantly nuclear localized in the nuclei of HeLa and 3T3 cells, whereas the related miRNA, miR-29a, is mainly located in the cytoplasm.¹⁴ A key difference between these two miRNAs is the presence of a hexanucleotide sequence (AGUGUU) at the 3' terminus of miR-29b. Transplantation of this motif onto an siRNA targeted against luciferase was sufficient to bias its cellular localization towards the nucleus, thereby demonstrating that this sequence is a *bona fide* nuclear localization signal.¹⁴

Systematic analyses of the sub-cellular distribution of miR-NAs suggest that the majority of miRNAs are present in both nuclear and cytoplasmic compartments.^{15–18} Importantly, the use of microarrays with probes that preclude the binding of pre-miRNA hairpins confirmed the presence of mature miRNA

¹Department of Molecular and Experimental Medicine, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, California, USA; ²Department of Physiology, Anatomy and Genetics, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK Correspondence: Thomas C Roberts, Department of Molecular and Experimental Medicine, The Scripps Research Institute, 10550 N. Torrey Pines Road, MEM 115, La Jolla, California, 92037, USA. E-mail: robertst@scripps.edu Received 11 June 2014; accepted 8 July 2014; published online 19 August 2014. doi:10.1038/mtna.2014.40

species in the nucleus (as opposed to DROSHA processing products).¹⁶ Small RNA sequencing of nuclear and cytoplasmic fractions from human nasopharyngeal carcinoma cells revealed substantial overlap between miRNAs found in either location (nuclear: 339 miRNAs, cytoplasmic: 324 miRNAs, 300 miRNAs overlap).¹⁵ Similarly, Gagnon et al. reported that ~75% of cellular miRNAs are present in both the nucleus and the cytoplasm of HeLa cells.¹⁹ Using a combination of microarray analysis and small RNA deep sequencing, Khudayberdiev et al. investigated nuclear miRNAs in rat primary cortical neurons.¹⁸ In general, each miRNA was found to be approximately two- to fourfold less abundant in the nucleus relative to the cytoplasm, although two miRNAs (miR-25 and miR-92a) showed clear nuclear enrichment. Expression levels of miRNAs in the nucleus declined during the process of post-mitotic neuronal development¹⁸ suggesting that nuclear miRNAs might be important for maintaining the undifferentiated state, and that the global down-regulation of nuclear miRNAs is important for cortical development.

Conflicting results have been reported regarding the localization of miR-29b. Nuclear enrichment of miR-29b was confirmed in 5-8F cells¹⁵ but not in HCT116 colorectal carcinoma cells¹⁶ suggesting that the machinery involved in the motifdependent nuclear import of miR-29b is differentially active between cell-types. While Liao *et al.* identified a number of nuclear-enriched miRNAs in addition to miR-29b (*e.g.*, miR-1, miR-15, miR-32, and miR-148a/b), the hexanucleotide motif identified by Hwang *et al.*¹⁴ was not found to be over-represented among these nuclear miRNAs,¹⁵ indicating that distinct mechanisms are responsible for their differential sub-cellular localizations.

Some miRNAs have also been found to colocalize with specific substructures within the nucleus. A study by Politz et al. utilizing in situ hybridization in rat L6 myoblasts found that miR-206 (which is primarily expressed in skeletal muscle and regulates the process of myogenic differentiation)²⁰ was expressed in the cytoplasm but was also concentrated in the granular component of the nucleolus.²¹ A follow-up miRNA profiling study showed that many mature and precursor miRNAs (mainly nonmuscle specific) localize to the nucleolus of rat myoblasts, suggesting that they may be involved in cellular housekeeping functions.²² Additionally, variations in the nucleolar localization of miRNAs were observed between cells in the same cultures, suggesting that sub-nuclear miRNA localization may be a transient (and perhaps regulated) process. Remarkably, the induction of cell stress by the transfection/electroporation of foreign nucleic acids, or by infection with influenza A virus, induced a shift in the sub-cellular distribution of nucleolar miRNAs to a more cytoplasmic location in HeLa cells.²³ Taken together, these studies suggest that (i) the nucleolus is a site of storage for miRNAs which remain inactive until released by cell stress, and/or (ii) that nucleolar miRNAs function in a manner distinct from the canonical cytoplasmic RISC paradigm. Given that the nucleolus is the site of ribosomal gene transcription, ribosomal RNA maturation and RNA editing, it is possible that nuclear miRNAs participate in the regulation of these processes, or are themselves subject to RNA editing.24-26

miRNA Pathway Components Shuttle Between Cytoplasm and Nucleus

Multiple studies have identified components of the miRNA processing pathway in the nucleus. All four human Argonaute proteins and other RNAi factors (i.e., DICER1, TARBP2 and TNRC6A (GW182)) have been detected by western blot in nuclear lysates from a variety of human cell lines.19,27-29 Nuclear extracts retain the catalytic activities of AGO2 and DICER1 in vitro^{19,27} suggesting that active RISC complexes are present in the nucleus. There have been conflicting reports regarding the composition of the nuclear RISC complex. Using fluorescence correlation spectroscopy, Ohrt et al. showed that two distinct forms of RISC exist in the nuclear and cytoplasmic compartments (nRISC and cRISC respectively).30 The size of these complexes was markedly different. cRISC was determined to be ~3 MDa consistent with previous estimates,31 whereas nRISC was much smaller at ~150 kDa (which is close to the size of AGO2 alone). Asymmetric siRNA strand incorporation was found to be similar between cRISC and nRISC suggesting a common loading mechanism.30

In contrast, several studies have reported that Argonaute proteins form multi-protein complexes in the nucleus. The RNAi factors AGO2, TNRC6A, DICER1, and TARBP2 were shown to be directly associated by pairwise coimmunoprecipitation in HeLa cell nuclei.¹⁹ All four proteins were detected in a high molecular weight fraction following size exclusion chromatographic separation of nuclear lysates, suggesting that the nuclear RNAi machinery forms an intact protein complex.¹⁹ Similarly, TNRC6A and AGO2 were found to colocalize with miRNAs, and form punctate foci in the nucleus.³² In further support, it was shown that both AGO1 and AGO2 are present in the chromatin fraction of HeLa nuclear extracts, and coprecipitate with multiple RNAi factors (TNRC6A, TNRC6B, hnRNPs, RNA helicases) and epigenetic modifier proteins (CBX3, TIF1B, SUV39H1, EHMT2).³³

The translocation of endogenous miRNAs from cytoplasm to nucleus has been visualized directly by Földes-Papp et al. who utilized optoporation to selectively permeabilize single cells to superguencher molecular probes complementary to the mature form of miR-122.34 miR-122 probes were initially localized to the cytoplasm, but fluorescence signal was also detected in the nucleus ~5 minutes post-optoporation, thereby demonstrating nuclear import.³⁴ Furthermore, the delivery of siRNAs directly to the cytoplasm by microinjection led to efficient silencing of the nuclear-retained RN7SK transcript, suggesting that RISC is loaded in the cytoplasm and subsequently shuttled to the nucleus.³⁰ In further support, multiple RISC loading factors (i.e., HSP90AA1, TSN, TSNAX, AHA1, FKBP4, CDC37, and PTGES3) are restricted to the cytoplasm, and in vitro loading of RISC with radiolabeled duplex RNAs is impaired in nuclear extracts.¹⁹ Together these observations strongly suggest that RISC loading occurs exclusively in the cytoplasm prior to nuclear import. The spatial separation of miRNA processing and RISC loading between nucleus and cytoplasm permits tighter control of flux through the miRNA processing pathway, thereby avoiding toxicity associated with pathway oversaturation.35

The observations that (i) mature miRNAs are present in the nucleus, and (ii) nuclear RISC is deficient with respect to miRNA loading, necessitate the existence of cellular machinery for shuttling RISC across the nuclear envelope. Such mechanisms have been identified in C. elegans through genetic screens,36 and in Tetrahymena,37 but were unknown in mammalian cells until recently. The translocation of molecules through the Nuclear Pore Complex (NPC) is mediated by a family of proteins called the karyopherins (for example, the nuclear export of pre-miRNA hairpins by the karyopherin XPO5, as mentioned above).38 Several studies have identified karyopherins that are involved in the transport of RISC between the nucleus and the cytoplasm in mammals. For example, Castanotto et al. showed that XPO1 (Exportin-1) facilitates the transport of mature miRNAs from the cytoplasm to the nucleus in human cells.³⁹ Inhibition of XPO1 with Leptomycin B prevented the accumulation of miRNAs in the nucleus, and synthetic dicer substrate siRNAs competed with endogenous miRNAs for nuclear import. Furthermore, coimmunoprecipitation studies showed that XPO1 is associated with the RISC components (AGO1, AGO2, DICER1, RHA, TNRC6B) and the transcriptional regulators (EZH2, TOP2A, and MTA) suggesting that XPO1 may facilitate the import of intact protein complexes loaded with miRNAs.39,40 Interestingly, the P-body-associated RNAi factor, TNRC6A, acts as a navigator protein that facilitates the shuttling of AGO2 to and from the nucleus in conjunction with XPO1.32 The TNRC6A protein contains both nuclear import and export signals and was shown to mediate nRISC export in an XPO1-dependent manner, suggesting that this karyopherin regulates both nuclear import and export of miRNAs.32

The karyopherin IPO8 (Importin-8) has also been implicated in the nuclear import of miRNAs. In HeLa cells, IPO8 was found to associate with AGO2 in the nucleus and in P-bodies in the cytoplasm.⁴¹ siRNA-mediated knockdown of IPO8 resulted in a reduction of AGO2 in the nucleus while the total cellular concentration of AGO2 was unchanged, indicating a shift in the sub-cellular distribution of AGO2. Importantly, some residual AGO2 protein remained in the nucleus after IPO8 knockdown consistent with multiple redundant cellular pathways for Argonaute-nucleocytoplasmic shuttling.⁴¹

Several lines of evidence suggest that sub-cellular miRNA localization may be determined by the location of their target transcript(s). For example, Berezhna et al. showed that an siRNA targeting RN7SK was predominantly localized to the nucleus, whereas an siRNA targeting the cytoplasmic hepatitis C virus replicon RNA was retained in the cytoplasm.42 Separately, Ahlenstiel et al. showed that complexes of AGO1 and an siRNA targeting the 5' LTR region of the integrated HIV provirus were localized to the nucleus of HIV-infected cells but not in HIV-naïve cells.43 Intriguingly, these studies suggest that siRNAs/miRNAs become "trapped" and accumulate in the specific sub-cellular compartment in which their cognate targets reside. Nuclear accumulation of Argonautebound small RNAs is also dependent on the duration of interaction with the target transcript as a RN7SK-targeted siRNA with central mismatches (which inhibit the slicer activity of AGO2 and more closely mimic endogenous miRNA structure) showed increased nuclear AGO-siRNA accumulation relative the equivalent siRNA with no mismatches.³⁰ These data suggest that all (or most) miRNAs and exogenous siR-NAs are nonspecifically shuttled between the nucleus and the cytoplasm, such that the pool of AGO-miRNA complexes can scan each compartment for target transcripts (**Figure 1**). The observation that the majority of mature miRNAs that are present in the cytoplasm can also be detected in the nucleus lends credence to this idea.^{15,19}

Nuclear miRNA Function

The functions of nuclear miRNAs are currently not well understood. The nuclear localization of mature miRNA species and RNAi factors, in addition to the existence of mechanisms for nucleocytoplasmic RISC shuttling, strongly suggest that post-transcriptional gene silencing occurs in the nucleus (Figure 2a,b). Early studies in C. elegans showed that dsR-NAs complementary to intronic regions were capable of premRNA silencing (if the pre-mRNA transcript was sufficiently long-lived)44 thus demonstrating that nuclear transcripts are susceptible to RNAi. Similar results were later observed in human (HeLa) cells whereby siRNAs targeted against the RN7SK and U6 small nuclear RNA transcripts induced potent post-transcriptional silencing.27,30 Other nuclear-retained transcripts are also susceptible to RNAi-mediated degradation such as the toxic mutant DMPK transcript which is the cause of myotonic dystrophy,45 and the highly abundant long noncoding RNA (IncRNA) MALAT1.19,32

The notion that miRNAs have general functional roles in the nucleus is further supported by unbiased analysis of miRNA-mRNA-Argonaute interactions in mouse brain using high-throughput sequencing of RNAs isolated by crosslinking immunoprecipitation (HITS-CLIP).46 While the majority (40%) of AGO-mRNA tags mapped to the 3' UTR regions of mRNAs (consistent with the canonical view of miRNA function) a substantial number (12%) mapped to intronic sequences (which are nuclear-retained) indicative of Argonaute activity in the nucleus. Furthermore, 4% of AGO-mRNA tags mapped to IncRNAs, suggesting that miRNAs contribute to the regulation of the noncoding RNA transcriptome. Indeed, immunoprecipitation of Argonaute followed by microarray analysis identified the IncRNAs MALAT1 and H19 as some of the most highly enriched target transcripts.⁴¹ Subsequently, direct miRNA targeting of nuclear-retained noncoding transcripts has been demonstrated in specific cases (i.e., miR-9, miR-210, and miR-671 regulate MALAT1, XIST, and CDR1 respectively).47-49 Additionally, a nuclear localized miRNA, miR-709, acts as a post-transcriptional regulator of the primary-miR-15a/miR-16-1 transcript indicating that nuclear miRNAs can regulate the biogenesis of other miRNAs in a hierarchical manner.⁵⁰ Together these studies provide compelling evidence for miRNA-mediated regulation of nuclear transcript stability.

miRNAs in Epigenetic Regulation

Small RNA-mediated transcriptional gene silencing (TGS) is uncontroversial in fission yeast, *Drosophila* and *Arabidopsis*,^{51–54} but has only been known to occur in human cells since 2004.⁵⁵ Exogenous small RNAs guide the RNA Induced



Figure 1 Nucleocytoplasmic shuttling of miRNAs. miRNAs are initially transcribed as long pri-miRNA transcripts in the nucleus. A complex of DGCR8 and DROSHA recognizes hairpin structures located on the pri-miRNA. DROSHA liberates the pre-miRNA by cleaving at the base of the hairpin (indicated by black arrowheads). Export of the pre-miRNA through the Nuclear Pore Complex (NPC) is mediated by the karyopherin XPO5. In the cytoplasm, the pre-miRNA is further processed by the RISC loading complex (RLC) which consists of an Argonaute protein (AGO2 is depicted here), DICER1, TARBP2, and other factors (which include the cytoplasm-restricted HSP90AA1, TSN, TSNAX, AHA1, FKBP4, CDC37, and PTGES3). The loop sequence of the hairpin is removed by DICER1 cleavage (indicated by black arrowheads). Subsequently, TARBP2 facilitates the loading of the RNA duplex into AGO2. The mature form of the miRNA is shown in red whereas the passenger strand (miRNA*) is shown in blue. Exogenous RNA duplexes (*i.e.*, siRNAs) can also enter AGO2 at this stage in the processing pathway. One of the two strands is retained in AGO2 and the other degraded. The loaded cytoplasmic RISC (cRISC) complex, which contains the mature miRNA species and the silencing factor TNRC6A, is now capable of binding to cytoplasmic target transcripts. In the case of high miRNA-target complementarity AGO2 cleaves at the point indicated by the black arrowhead. Alternatively, cRISC can be imported into the nucleus by XPO1 or IPO8. TRNC6A acts as a navigator protein during this process. Nuclear RISC (nRISC) maintains a similar composition to cRISC although or may also exist as AGO2-miRNA complex alone (not depicted). The nRISC complex may bind additional nuclear factors or the Argonaute protein may form a distinct multi-protein complex (e.g., RITS, not depicted). nRISC binds to complementary nuclear transcripts or, in the absence of nuclear targets, be exported to the cytoplasm in a process facilitate by XPO1. Differential accumulation of miRNAs in the cytoplasm or nucleus is, in part, determined by the location of target transcripts.

Transcriptional Silencing (RITS) complex to promoter-associated transcripts which triggers alterations in chromatin structure and promoter CpG methylation leading to transcriptional gene silencing (*i.e.*, epigenetic-TGS).^{55,56} In other cases, siR-NAs complementary to transcription start sites inhibit assembly of the pre-initiation complex and therefore sterically inhibit RNA polymerase II binding and procession.^{57,58}

The observation that synthetic small RNAs could directly induce gene-specific changes in transcriptional activity led to the pursuit of endogenous miRNAs which might exhibit similar effects. By searching for completely complementary miRNA target sites in promoter regions, Kim *et al.* identified the *POLR3D* promoter as a target of miR-320.⁵⁹ Transfection of HEK293

cells with miR-320 mimics induced enrichment of AGO1 and EZH2 at the *POLR3D* locus, leading to heterochromatinization and transcriptional gene silencing⁵⁹ (**Figure 2c**). Genome-wide analysis of potential miRNA targets revealed that human promoters are enriched for miRNA seed matches, suggesting that miRNA-mediated TGS is likely to be a general phenomenon.⁶⁰ Multiple other examples of miRNA-mediated epigenetic silencing have since been reported at specific promoters,^{61–63} and in the regulation of cellular senescence,⁶⁴ granulopoiesis⁶⁵ and nerve regeneration⁶⁶ via the targeting of multiple genes.

Paradoxically, small RNAs (including miRNAs) can also induce transcriptional gene activation (TGA).^{29,67–69} Several common features suggest that the TGA and epigenetic-TGS



Figure 2 Gene regulatory mechanisms of nuclear miRNAs. miRNAs can induce Post-Transcriptional Gene Silencing (PTGS) of a target transcript via the nuclear RNA Induced Silencing Complex (nRISC). (a) Silencing can occur via AGO2-mediated target slicing leading to transcript degradation (indicated by black arrowhead), or (b) by a slicer-independent mechanism. (c) Transcriptional Gene Silencing (TGS) occurs when a miRNA directs the RNA-Induced Transcriptional Silencing complex (RITS) to low-copy promoter RNA (pRNA) transcripts. RITS consists of chromatin remodeling activities (HDAC1, EHMT2, and EZH2) in addition to the DNA-methyltransferase, DNMT3A which facilitate the transition from a transcriptionally active chromatin structure to silent heterochromatin. Several putative mechanisms of Transcriptional Gene Activation (TGA) have been proposed. (d) At certain loci, IncRNAs silence gene expression by recruiting transcriptional repressors (*e.g.*, Polycomb Repressive Complex 2, PRC2). miRNA-mediated silencing of the IncRNA disrupts the recruitment of silencing factors leading to activation of the target loci. (e) Alternatively, miRNAs may induce TGA by recruiting a protein complex containing transcriptional activators, as cleavage of the pRNA is not necessarily required for activation to occur. (f) miRNAs can influence alternate splicing decisions at specific exons. miRNA-mediated modulating of the chromatin landscape at the targeted exon effects the rate of RNAPII procession. Faster RNAPII procession through open chromatin promotes exon exclusion whereas slower RNAPII procession through compacted chromatin favors exon inclusion.

are functionally related⁷⁰ as both processes are mediated by Argonaute proteins,^{29,62,71} and are dependent upon the presence of promoter proximal noncoding transcripts.^{69,72–74} However, the mechanism of miRNA-mediated TGA is currently poorly understood. One possibility is that miRNAs silence promoter proximal IncRNA transcripts which are themselves *cis* negative regulators of their adjacent genes. As such, the promoter-targeting miRNA acts to "repress a repressor" leading to transcriptional activation of the neighboring gene^{69,75} (**Figure 2d**). Alternatively, AGO-miRNA complexes may recruit positive epigenetic regulators to target promoters. This recruitment hypothesis is supported by the observation that miRNA-mediated transcriptional activation was shown to be independent of target RNA cleavage⁷⁶ (Figure 2e).

In the first reported case of miRNA-mediated TGA, miR-373 was found to activate transcription of *CDH1* and *CSDC2* (both of which contain highly complementary target sites in their respective promoters).⁷⁷ Subsequently, it was shown that miR-205 directs transcriptional activation of the interleukin genes *IL24* and *IL32* though promoter interactions.⁷⁸ However, in these cases the complementarity between miR-205 and the promoter target sites was limited outside of the seed

region, thereby indicating that high levels of complementarity are not required for miRNA-induced TGA, and that miRNA target sites in promoters are likely to be widespread. In the most comprehensively studied example to date, miR-589 was shown to activate transcription of the inflammatory regulator PTGS2 (COX-2) by targeting two primate-conserved target sites on a sense promoter RNA.76 Activation of PTGS2 required recruitment of AGO2 and TRNC6A to the PTGS2 promoter RNA, and was coincident with promoter enrichment of active histone post-translational modifications. A highly interesting further finding was that miR-589 also activated expression of a distal pro-inflammatory gene, PLA2G4A, the promoter of which was found to be in close contact with the PTGS2 promoter by chromosome conformation capture (3C) analysis.⁷⁶ This observation is consistent with the idea that promoter proximal IncRNA transcripts act as chromatin signatures (in three-dimensional space) that permit small RNA guided ribonucleoprotein complexes to target specific genomic loci.

miRNAs in the Regulation of Alternative Splicing

Building on small-RNA-directed TGS studies, Alló et al. showed that targeting an intronic region of the FN1 premRNA with an siRNA resulted in local chromatin remodeling and altered splicing of the adjacent exon.79 The authors proposed that chromatin compaction at specific intron/ exon loci leads to slowing of RNA polymerase II procession which favors exon inclusion (consistent with the kinetic model of coupling between transcription and alternative pre-mRNA splicing)80 (Figure 2f). Knockdown and overexpression of AGO1, AGO2, and DICER1 influenced splicing decisions at a number of alternatively spliced exons,^{33,79} suggesting a general role for the RNAi machinery in the regulation of splicing. Furthermore, analysis of chromatinbound Argonaute proteins by coimmunoprecipitation and mass spectrometry identified multiple AGO-associated splicing factors (U2 and U5 snRNP core subunits, SRSF1, SRSF3, SRSF7, SRSF10, PTBP1, PTBP2, KHDRBS1, and snRNAs)³³ demonstrating a direct link between splicing factors and nuclear RISC.

Independently, data from the Corey lab suggested that nuclear small RNAs can induce alternative splicing events via a different mechanism.⁸¹ siRNAs targeting the pre-mRNAs of SMN2 and DMD (genes involved in the pathogenesis of spinal muscular atrophy and Duchenne muscular dystrophy respectively) were capable of inducing either exon inclusion or exon skipping at therapeutically relevant exons. In contrast with Alló et al., these siRNA-directed alternative splicing events were not dependent on alterations in chromatin structure. Inhibition of AGO2 (but not AGO1) diminished these effects on splicing and AGO2 was specifically recruited to the target transcript in siRNA-transfected cells. Interestingly, target cleavage by the slicer activity of AGO2 was not detected (even with completely complementary effector duplexes) suggesting a novel cleavage-independent role for AGO2 in the nucleus.

Given the success of steric block antisense oligonucleotides for modulating alternative splicing⁸² it is possible that AGO-miRNA complexes might function in an analogous manner. The formation of a ternary complex between the target transcript and a loaded-RISC might conceal splicing recognition motifs, thereby precluding binding of splicing factors and modulating pre-mRNA splicing events. Such a steric block functionality for AGO-miRNA complexes has been previously identified in the case of miR-122, which binds to sites at the 5' terminus of the hepatitis C viral RNA, thereby physically shielding it from exonucleolytic degradation.⁸³ Taken together, these findings strongly support the hypothesis that RNAi factors contribute to the regulation of alternative splicing via both epigenetic and nonepigenetic mechanisms.

Perspectives

In conclusion, mature miRNAs, and their associated RNAi factors, are shuttled between the cytoplasm and the nucleus. When in the nucleus, miRNAs can influence gene expression via a variety of mechanisms including post-transcriptional silencing, transcriptional gene silencing, gene activation and modulation of alternative splicing. However, many mechanistic details remain unclear and the full extent of nuclear miRNA function is currently unknown. An intriguing possibility, supported by a growing body of evidence, is that miRNAs can act as regulators of the epigenome through interactions with IncRNAs which are increasingly being recognized as epigenetic regulators that determine cell fate and can facilitate information transfer between the external environment and the genome.⁸⁴ Additionally, the RNAi machinery has recently been shown to be involved in directing the DNA damage response following double-strand breaks⁸⁵ and, in Drosophila, AGO2 has been shown to influence chromatin structure by regulating CTCF binding⁸⁶ (although such a function has vet to be identified in mammals). These observations point to the widespread involvement of miRNAs and the RNAi machinery in nuclear processes beyond the control of transcription and alternative splicing.

It should also be noted that a plethora of other small RNA species are present in the nucleus including transcription initiation RNAs (tiRNAs),⁸⁷ endo-siRNAs,^{88,89} and PIWI-interacting RNAs (piRNAs).⁹⁰ All of these small RNAs have been implicated in transcriptional and post-transcriptional gene regulatory events but do not fit the precise criteria required to be considered miRNAs (*i.e.*, they are not ~22 nucleotides in length and/or are not derived from hairpin precursors).

The role of miRNAs in the nucleus has so far been largely ignored. This is likely due, in part, to the dominance of canonical ideas of miRNA function. Very few miRNA profiling studies have compared the nuclear and cytoplasmic compartments with the vast majority of studies assuming that miRNA levels in total cellular RNA are the only biologically relevant metric. It is also highly probable that the majority of miRNAs that function in the nucleus simultaneously regulate transcript stability in the cytoplasm, meaning that differentiating putative nuclear functions from well-established cytoplasmic activities is nontrivial. Furthermore, a plethora of research tools are available that are focused towards, and have greatly facilitated conventional miRNA research but which are not necessarily applicable to the study of noncanonical miRNA functions. These include miRNA sponges, miRNA sensors, and miRNA target prediction algorithms (the majority of which only search for matches in 3' UTRs of protein-coding genes)7,91 (Note: Some tools for searching any user-defined sequence for miRNA targets (RegRNA)92 and databases of miRNA-IncRNA interactions (DIANA-LncBase)93 are available to facilitate future investigations of nuclear miRNAs). Claims surrounding nuclear miRNAs also face skepticism due to concerns about the purity of nuclei preparations. However, some researchers have taken steps to demonstrate the absence of contaminating factors (e.g., Calnexin derived from the membrane of the endoplasmic reticulum),19 and corroborating evidence from microscopy studies and the silencing of nuclear-retained transcripts are less easily dismissed as experimental artefacts. Lastly, many of the proposed nuclear functions of miRNAs are experimentally difficult to demonstrate relative to cytoplasmic post-transcriptional gene silencing which can be established easily with conventional gene expression assays.

While the majority of reports have focused on the canonical mode of miRNA action, the studies presented here emphasize the need to broaden the search when investigating miRNA gene function. As interest in nuclear miRNAs grows it is likely that novel therapeutic targets will emerge. In this instance, a nuclear miRNA which participates in a pathological gene regulatory event might be inhibited using a conventional anti-miRNA oligonucleotide strategy.⁹⁴ Conversely, multiple studies have demonstrated small RNA-mediated transcriptional modulation using exogenous oligonucleotides. This mode of gene regulation could be used to manipulate the expression of disease-related genes. Indeed, both TGS and TGA show promise as therapeutic strategies *in vivo*.^{75,95,96} As a result, the functions of miRNAs in the mammalian nucleus present exciting possibilities for novel therapeutic intervention.

- Lee, Y, Ahn, C, Han, J, Choi, H, Kim, J, Yim, J et al. (2003). The nuclear RNase III Drosha initiates microRNA processing. Nature 425: 415–419.
- Lund, E, Güttinger, S, Calado, A, Dahlberg, JE and Kutay, U (2004). Nuclear export of microRNA precursors. *Science* 303: 95–98.
- Bernstein, E, Caudy, AA, Hammond, SM and Hannon, GJ (2001). Role for a bidentate ribonuclease in the initiation step of RNA interference. *Nature* 409: 363–366.
- Filipowicz, W, Jaskiewicz, L, Kolb, FA and Pillai, RS (2005). Post-transcriptional gene silencing by siRNAs and miRNAs. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* 15: 331–341.
- Liu, J, Valencia-Sanchez, MA, Hannon, GJ and Parker, R (2005). MicroRNA-dependent localization of targeted mRNAs to mammalian P-bodies. *Nat Cell Biol* 7: 719–723.
- Liu, J, Rivas, FV, Wohlschlegel, J, Yates, JR 3rd, Parker, R and Hannon, GJ (2005). A role for the P-body component GW182 in microRNA function. *Nat Cell Biol* 7: 1261–1266.
- Lewis, BP, Shih, IH, Jones-Rhoades, MW, Bartel, DP and Burge, CB (2003). Prediction of mammalian microRNA targets. *Cell* 115: 787–798.
- Liu, J, Carmell, MA, Rivas, FV, Marsden, CG, Thomson, JM, Song, JJ *et al.* (2004). Argonaute2 is the catalytic engine of mammalian RNAi. *Science* 305: 1437–1441.
- Zeng, Y, Yi, R and Cullen, BR (2003). MicroRNAs and small interfering RNAs can inhibit mRNA expression by similar mechanisms. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 100: 9779–9784.
- Guo, H, Ingolia, NT, Weissman, JS and Bartel, DP (2010). Mammalian microRNAs predominantly act to decrease target mRNA levels. *Nature* 466: 835–840.
- Lim, LP, Lau, NC, Garrett-Engele, P, Grimson, A, Schelter, JM, Castle, J et al. (2005). Microarray analysis shows that some microRNAs downregulate large numbers of target mRNAs. *Nature* 433: 769–773.
- Roberts, TC and Wood, MJ (2014). Non-canonical microRNA biogenesis and function. In: *Applied RNAi: From Fundamental Research to Therapeutic Applications* Caister Academic Press: Norfolk, UK. pp. 18–42.
- Meister, G, Landthaler, M, Patkaniowska, A, Dorsett, Y, Teng, G and Tuschl, T (2004). Human Argonaute2 mediates RNA cleavage targeted by miRNAs and siRNAs. *Mol Cell* 15: 185–197.
- Hwang, HW, Wentzel, EA and Mendell, JT (2007). A hexanucleotide element directs microRNA nuclear import. *Science* 315: 97–100.

- Liao, JY, Ma, LM, Guo, YH, Zhang, YC, Zhou, H, Shao, P et al. (2010). Deep sequencing of human nuclear and cytoplasmic small RNAs reveals an unexpectedly complex subcellular distribution of miRNAs and tRNA 3' trailers. PLoS ONE 5: e10563.
- Park, CW, Zeng, Y, Zhang, X, Subramanian, S and Steer, CJ (2010). Mature microRNAs identified in highly purified nuclei from HCT116 colon cancer cells. *RNA Biol* 7: 606–614.
- Jeffries, CD, Fried, HM and Perkins, DO (2011). Nuclear and cytoplasmic localization of neural stem cell microRNAs. *RNA* 17: 675–686.
- Khudayberdiev, SA, Zampa, F, Rajman, M and Schratt, G (2013). A comprehensive characterization of the nuclear microRNA repertoire of post-mitotic neurons. *Front Mol Neurosci* 6: 43.
- Gagnon, KT, Li, L, Chu, Y, Janowski, BA and Corey, DR (2014). RNAi factors are present and active in human cell nuclei. *Cell Rep* 6: 211–221.
- Kim, HK, Lee, YS, Sivaprasad, U, Malhotra, A and Dutta, A (2006). Muscle-specific microRNA miR-206 promotes muscle differentiation. J Cell Biol 174: 677–687.
- Politz, JC, Zhang, F and Pederson, T (2006). MicroRNA-206 colocalizes with ribosome-rich regions in both the nucleolus and cytoplasm of rat myogenic cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 103: 18957–18962.
- Politz, JC, Hogan, EM and Pederson, T (2009). MicroRNAs with a nucleolar location. *RNA* 15: 1705–1715.
- Li, ZF, Liang, YM, Lau, PN, Shen, W, Wang, DK, Cheung, WT et al. (2013). Dynamic localisation of mature microRNAs in Human nucleoli is influenced by exogenous genetic materials. PLoS ONE 8: e70869.
- Nishikura, K (2010). Functions and regulation of RNA editing by ADAR deaminases. Annu Rev Biochem 79: 321–349.
- Kawahara, Y, Zinshteyn, B, Sethupathy, P, Iizasa, H, Hatzigeorgiou, AG and Nishikura, K (2007). Redirection of silencing targets by adenosine-to-inosine editing of miRNAs. *Science* 315: 1137–1140.
- Humphreys, DT, Hynes, CJ, Patel, HP, Wei, GH, Cannon, L, Fatkin, D *et al.* (2012). Complexity of murine cardiomyocyte miRNA biogenesis, sequence variant expression and function. *PLoS ONE* 7: e30933.
- Robb, GB, Brown, KM, Khurana, J and Rana, TM (2005). Specific and potent RNAi in the nucleus of human cells. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 12: 133–137.
- Rüdel, S, Flatley, A, Weinmann, L, Kremmer, E and Meister, G (2008). A multifunctional human Argonaute2-specific monoclonal antibody. *RNA* 14: 1244–1253.
- Chu, Y, Yue, X, Younger, ST, Janowski, BA and Corey, DR (2010). Involvement of argonaute proteins in gene silencing and activation by RNAs complementary to a non-coding transcript at the progesterone receptor promoter. *Nucleic Acids Res* 38: 7736–7748.
- Ohrt, T, Mütze, J, Staroske, W, Weinmann, L, Höck, J, Crell, K et al. (2008). Fluorescence correlation spectroscopy and fluorescence cross-correlation spectroscopy reveal the cytoplasmic origination of loaded nuclear RISC *in vivo* in human cells. *Nucleic Acids Res* 36: 6439–6449.
- Chendrimada, TP, Finn, KJ, Ji, X, Baillat, D, Gregory, RI, Liebhaber, SA et al. (2007). MicroRNA silencing through RISC recruitment of eIF6. Nature 447: 823–828.
- Nishi, K, Nishi, A, Nagasawa, T and Ui-Tei, K (2013). Human TNRC6A is an Argonautenavigator protein for microRNA-mediated gene silencing in the nucleus. *RNA* 19: 17–35.
- Ameyar-Zazoua, M, Rachez, C, Souidi, M, Robin, P, Fritsch, L, Young, R et al. (2012). Argonaute proteins couple chromatin silencing to alternative splicing. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 19: 998–1004.
- Földes-Papp, Z, König, K, Studier, H, Bückle, R, Breunig, HG, Uchugonova, A et al. (2009). Trafficking of mature miRNA-122 into the nucleus of live liver cells. *Curr Pharm Biotechnol* 10: 569–578.
- Grimm, D, Streetz, KL, Jopling, CL, Storm, TA, Pandey, K, Davis, CR et al. (2006). Fatality in mice due to oversaturation of cellular microRNA/short hairpin RNA pathways. *Nature* 441: 537–541.
- Guang, S, Bochner, AF, Pavelec, DM, Burkhart, KB, Harding, S, Lachowiec, J et al. (2008). An Argonaute transports siRNAs from the cytoplasm to the nucleus. *Science* 321: 537–541.
- Noto, T, Kurth, HM, Kataoka, K, Aronica, L, DeSouza, LV, Siu, KW et al. (2010). The Tetrahymena argonaute-binding protein Giw1p directs a mature argonaute-siRNA complex to the nucleus. *Cell* 140: 692–703.
- Mosammaparast, N and Pemberton, LF (2004). Karyopherins: from nuclear-transport mediators to nuclear-function regulators. *Trends Cell Biol* 14: 547–556.
- Castanotto, D, Lingeman, R, Riggs, AD and Rossi, JJ (2009). CRM1 mediates nuclearcytoplasmic shuttling of mature microRNAs. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 106: 21655–21659.
- Till, S, Lejeune, E, Thermann, R, Bortfeld, M, Hothorn, M, Enderle, D et al. (2007). A conserved motif in Argonaute-interacting proteins mediates functional interactions through the Argonaute PIWI domain. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 14: 897–903.
- Weinmann, L, Höck, J, Ivacevic, T, Ohrt, T, Mütze, J, Schwille, P et al. (2009). Importin 8 is a gene silencing factor that targets argonaute proteins to distinct mRNAs. *Cell* 136: 496–507.
- Berezhna, SY, Supekova, L, Supek, F, Schultz, PG and Deniz, AA (2006). siRNA in human cells selectively localizes to target RNA sites. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 103: 7682–7687.
- Ahlenstiel, CL, Lim, HG, Cooper, DA, Ishida, T, Kelleher, AD and Suzuki, K (2012). Direct evidence of nuclear Argonaute distribution during transcriptional silencing links the actin cytoskeleton to nuclear RNAi machinery in human cells. *Nucleic Acids Res* 40: 1579–1595.

- 44. Bosher, JM, Dufourcq, P, Sookhareea, S and Labouesse, M (1999). RNA interference can target pre-mRNA: consequences for gene expression in a Caenorhabditis elegans operon. Genetics 153: 1245-1256.
- 45. Langlois, MA, Boniface, C, Wang, G, Alluin, J, Salvaterra, PM, Puymirat, J et al. (2005). Cytoplasmic and nuclear retained DMPK mRNAs are targets for RNA interference in myotonic dystrophy cells. J Biol Chem 280: 16949-16954.
- Chi, SW, Zang, JB, Mele, A and Darnell, RB (2009). Argonaute HITS-CLIP decodes 46 microRNA-mRNA interaction maps. Nature 460: 479-486.
- 47. Fasanaro, P, Greco, S, Lorenzi, M, Pescatori, M, Brioschi, M, Kulshreshtha, R et al. (2009). An integrated approach for experimental target identification of hypoxia-induced miR-210. I Biol Chem 284: 35134-35143
- Leucci, E, Patella, F, Waage, J, Holmstrøm, K, Lindow, M, Porse, B et al. (2013). 48 microRNA-9 targets the long non-coding RNA MALAT1 for degradation in the nucleus. Sci Rep 3: 2535.
- Hansen TB Wiklund ED Bramsen JB Villadsen SB Statham AL Clark SJ et al. 49 (2011). miRNA-dependent gene silencing involving Ago2-mediated cleavage of a circular antisense RNA. EMBO J 30: 4414-4422.
- Tang, R, Li, L, Zhu, D, Hou, D, Cao, T, Gu, H et al. (2012). Mouse miRNA-709 directly 50. regulates miRNA-15a/16-1 biogenesis at the posttranscriptional level in the nucleus: evidence for a microRNA hierarchy system. Cell Res 22: 504-515.
- 51. Creamer, KM and Partridge, JF (2011). RITS-connecting transcription, RNA interference, and heterochromatin assembly in fission yeast. Wiley Interdiscip Rev RNA 2: 632-646.
- 52 Verdel, A, Vavasseur, A, Le Gorrec, M and Touat-Todeschini, L (2009). Common themes in siRNA-mediated epigenetic silencing pathways. Int J Dev Biol 53: 245-257.
- 53. Grewal, SI and Elgin, SC (2007). Transcription and RNA interference in the formation of heterochromatin. Nature 447: 399-406.
- Matzke, MA, Matzke, AJ, Pruss, GJ and Vance, VB (2001). RNA-based silencing strategies 54. in plants. Curr Opin Genet Dev 11: 221-227.
- Morris, KV, Chan, SW, Jacobsen, SE and Looney, DJ (2004). Small interfering RNA-55. induced transcriptional gene silencing in human cells. Science 305: 1289-1292.
- Roberts, TC, Andaloussi, SE, Morris, KV, McClorey, G and Wood, MJ (2012). Small RNA-56 Mediated Epigenetic Myostatin Silencing. Mol Ther Nucleic Acids 1: e23.
- 57. Janowski, BA, Huffman, KE, Schwartz, JC, Ram, R, Hardy, D, Shames, DS et al. (2005). Inhibiting gene expression at transcription start sites in chromosomal DNA with antigene RNAs. Nat Chem Biol 1: 216-222.
- 58 Napoli, S, Pastori, C, Magistri, M, Carbone, GM and Catapano, CV (2009). Promoterspecific transcriptional interference and c-myc gene silencing by siRNAs in human cells. EMBO J 28: 1708-1719.
- Kim, DH, Saetrom, P, Snøve, O Jr and Rossi, JJ (2008). MicroRNA-directed transcriptional 59 gene silencing in mammalian cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 105: 16230-16235.
- Younger, ST, Pertsemlidis, A and Corey, DR (2009). Predicting potential miRNA target sites 60. within gene promoters. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 19: 3791-3794
- Tan, Y. Zhang, B. Wu, T. Skogerbø, G. Zhu, X. Guo, X et al. (2009), Transcriptional inhibiton 61. of Hoxd4 expression by miRNA-10a in human breast cancer cells. BMC Mol Biol 10: 12.
- 62. Gonzalez, S, Pisano, DG and Serrano, M (2008). Mechanistic principles of chromatin remodeling guided by siRNAs and miRNAs. Cell Cycle 7: 2601-2608.
- Younger, ST and Corey, DR (2011). Transcriptional regulation by miRNA mimics that target 63. sequences downstream of gene termini. Mol Biosyst 7: 2383-2388.
- Benhamed, M, Herbig, U, Ye, T, Dejean, A and Bischof, O (2012). Senescence is an 64. endogenous trigger for microRNA-directed transcriptional gene silencing in human cells. Nat Cell Biol 14: 266-275.
- Zardo, G, Ciolfi, A, Vian, L, Starnes, LM, Billi, M, Racanicchi, S et al. (2012). Polycombs 65. and microRNA-223 regulate human granulopoiesis by transcriptional control of target gene expression. Blood 119: 4034-4046.
- 66. Adilakshmi, T, Sudol, I and Tapinos, N (2012). Combinatorial action of miRNAs regulates transcriptional and post-transcriptional gene silencing following in vivo PNS injury. PLoS ONE 7: e39674.
- 67. Li, LC, Okino, ST, Zhao, H, Pookot, D, Place, RF, Urakami, S et al. (2006). Small dsRNAs induce transcriptional activation in human cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 103: 17337-17342.
- Janowski, BA, Younger, ST, Hardy, DB, Ram, R, Huffman, KE and Corey, DR (2007) 68. Activating gene expression in mammalian cells with promoter-targeted duplex RNAs. Nat Chem Biol 3: 166-173.
- Morris, KV, Santoso, S, Turner, AM, Pastori, C and Hawkins, PG (2008). Bidirectional 69 transcription directs both transcriptional gene activation and suppression in human cells. PLoS Genet 4: e1000258.
- Roberts, TC and Wood, MJ (2014). Small RNA-mediated transcriptional modulation. In: 70. RNA Nanotechnology. Pan Stanford Publishing: Singapore. pp. 247-286.
- Kim, DH, Villeneuve, LM, Morris, KV and Rossi, JJ (2006). Argonaute-1 directs siRNA-71. mediated transcriptional gene silencing in human cells. Nat Struct Mol Biol 13: 793-797.
- Han, J. Kim, D and Morris, KV (2007). Promoter-associated RNA is required for RNA-72. directed transcriptional gene silencing in human cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 104: 12422-12427
- 73. Schwartz, JC, Younger, ST, Nguyen, NB, Hardy, DB, Monia, BP, Corey, DR et al. (2008). Antisense transcripts are targets for activating small RNAs. Nat Struct Mol Biol 15: 842-848.

- 74. Yue, X. Schwartz, JC. Chu, Y. Younger, ST. Gagnon, KT. Elbashir, S et al. (2010). Transcriptional regulation by small RNAs at sequences downstream from 3' gene termini. Nat Chem Biol 6: 621-629.
- 75. Modarresi, F, Faghihi, MA, Lopez-Toledano, MA, Fatemi, RP, Magistri, M, Brothers, SP et al. (2012). Inhibition of natural antisense transcripts in vivo results in gene-specific transcriptional upregulation. Nat Biotechnol 30: 453-459.
- 76 Matsui, M, Chu, Y, Zhang, H, Gagnon, KT, Shaikh, S, Kuchimanchi, S et al. (2013). Promoter RNA links transcriptional regulation of inflammatory pathway genes. Nucleic Acids Res 41: 10086-10109.
- 77. Place, RF, Li, LC, Pookot, D, Noonan, EJ and Dahiya, R (2008). MicroRNA-373 induces expression of genes with complementary promoter sequences. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 105: 1608-1613.
- Majid, S, Dar, AA, Saini, S, Yamamura, S, Hirata, H, Tanaka, Y et al. (2010). MicroRNA-205-78. directed transcriptional activation of tumor suppressor genes in prostate cancer. Cancer 116 5637-5649
- Alló, M, Buggiano, V, Fededa, JP, Petrillo, E, Schor, I, de la Mata, M et al. (2009). Control of 79 alternative splicing through siRNA-mediated transcriptional gene silencing. Nat Struct Mol Biol 16: 717-724
- 80. Kornblihtt, AR (2006). Chromatin, transcript elongation and alternative splicing. Nat Struct Mol Biol 13: 5-7.
- Liu, J, Hu, J and Corey, DR (2012). Expanding the action of duplex RNAs into the nucleus: 81. redirecting alternative splicing. Nucleic Acids Res 40: 1240-1250.
- van Ommen, GJ, van Deutekom, J and Aartsma-Rus, A (2008). The therapeutic potential of antisense-mediated exon skipping. Curr Opin Mol Ther 10: 140-149.
- Shimakami, T, Yamane, D, Jangra, RK, Kempf, BJ, Spaniel, C, Barton, DJ et al. (2012). 83 Stabilization of hepatitis C virus RNA by an Ago2-miR-122 complex. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 109: 941-946
- Roberts, TC, Morris, KV and Weinberg, MS (2014). Perspectives on the mechanism of transcriptional regulation by long non-coding RNAs. Epigenetics 9: 13-20.
- 85 Wei, W, Ba, Z, Gao, M, Wu, Y, Ma, Y, Amiard, S et al. (2012). A role for small RNAs in DNA double-strand break repair. Cell 149: 101-112.
- Moshkovich, N, Nisha, P, Boyle, PJ, Thompson, BA, Dale, RK and Lei, EP (2011). RNAiindependent role for Argonaute2 in CTCF/CP190 chromatin insulator function. Genes Dev 25: 1686-1701.
- Taft, RJ, Glazov, EA, Cloonan, N, Simons, C, Stephen, S, Faulkner, GJ et al. (2009). Tiny 87. RNAs associated with transcription start sites in animals. Nat Genet 41: 572-578.
- Yang, N and Kazazian, HH Jr (2006). L1 retrotransposition is suppressed by endogenously encoded small interfering RNAs in human cultured cells. Nat Struct Mol Biol 13: 763-771.
- 89 Chen, L. Dahlstrom, JE, Lee, SH and Rangasamy, D (2012), Naturally occurring endosiRNA silences LINE-1 retrotransposons in human cells through DNA methylation. Epigenetics 7: 758-771.
- 90. Aravin, AA, Sachidanandam, R, Bourc'his, D, Schaefer, C, Pezic, D, Toth, KF et al. (2008). A piRNA pathway primed by individual transposons is linked to de novo DNA methylation in mice. Mol Cell 31: 785-799.
- 91. Betel, D, Wilson, M, Gabow, A, Marks, DS and Sander, C (2008). The microRNA.org resource: targets and expression. Nucleic Acids Res 36 (Database issue): D149-D153.
- 92. Huang, HY, Chien, CH, Jen, KH and Huang, HD (2006). RegRNA: an integrated web server for identifying regulatory RNA motifs and elements. Nucleic Acids Res 34(Web Server issue): W429-W434.
- 93. Paraskevopoulou, MD, Georgakilas, G, Kostoulas, N, Reczko, M, Maragkakis, M, Dalamagas, TM et al. (2013). DIANA-LncBase: experimentally verified and computationally predicted microRNA targets on long non-coding RNAs. Nucleic Acids Res 41 (Database issue): D239-D245.
- 94. Roberts, TC and Wood, MJ (2013). Therapeutic targeting of non-coding RNAs. Essays Biochem 54: 127-145.
- 95. Turunen, MP, Lehtola, T, Heinonen, SE, Assefa, GS, Korpisalo, P, Girnary, R et al. (2009). Efficient regulation of VEGF expression by promoter-targeted lentiviral shRNAs based on epigenetic mechanism: a novel example of epigenetherapy. Circ Res 105: 604-609.
- Turunen, MP, Husso, T, Musthafa, H, Laidinen, S, Dragneva, G, Laham-Karam, N et al. 96. (2014). Epigenetic upregulation of endogenous VEGF-A reduces myocardial infarct size in mice. PLoS ONE 9: e89979.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons ۲ Attribution 3.0 Unported License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by/3.0/