Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Qi Feng, University of Oxford, United Kingdom

REVIEWED BY Nikhil Baban Ghate, University of Southern California, United States Madhumita Premkumar, Post Graduate Institute of Medical Education and Research (PGIMER), India

*CORRESPONDENCE Li Jiang lijiang87@zju.edu.cn

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Nutritional Epidemiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Nutrition

RECEIVED 08 June 2022 ACCEPTED 18 August 2022 PUBLISHED 16 September 2022

CITATION

Wang K, Yang F, Zhang P, Yang Y and Jiang L (2022) Genetic effects of iron levels on liver injury and risk of liver diseases: A two-sample Mendelian randomization analysis. *Front. Nutr.* 9:964163. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2022.964163

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Wang, Yang, Zhang, Yang and Jiang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

(CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Genetic effects of iron levels on liver injury and risk of liver diseases: A two-sample Mendelian randomization analysis

Kai Wang^{1,2,3}, Fangkun Yang⁴, Pengcheng Zhang⁵, Yang Yang⁶ and Li Jiang^{1*}

¹Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, School of Basic Medical Sciences, Hangzhou Normal University, Hangzhou, China, ²Eye Center of the Second Affiliated Hospital, School of Medicine, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China, ³Zhejiang Provincial Key Lab of Ophthalmology, The Second Affiliated Hospital, School of Medicine, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China, ⁴Department of Cardiology, Ningbo First Hospital, School of Medicine, Zhejiang University, Ningbo, China, ⁵Department of Gastroenterology, First Affiliated Hospital, School of Medicine, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China, ⁶Department of Radiation Oncology, The Second Affiliated Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou, China

Background and aims: Although iron homeostasis has been associated with liver function in many observational studies, the causality in this relationship remains unclear. By using Mendelian Randomization analyses, we aimed to evaluate the genetic effects of increased systemic iron levels on the risk of liver injury and various liver diseases. Moreover, in light of the sex-dependent iron regulation in human beings, we further estimated the sex-specific effect of iron levels in liver diseases.

Methods: Independent single nucleotide polymorphisms associated with systemic iron status (including four indicators) at the genome-wide significance level from the Genetics of Iron Status (GIS) Consortium were selected as instrumental variables. Summary data for six liver function biomarkers and five liver diseases were obtained from the UK Biobank, the Estonian Biobank, the eMERGE network, and FinnGen consortium. Mendelian Randomization assessment of the effect of iron on liver function and liver diseases was conducted.

Results: Genetically predicted iron levels were positively and significantly associated with an increased risk of different dimensions of liver injury. Furthermore, increased iron status posed hazardous effects on non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, alcoholic liver disease, and liver fibrosis/cirrhosis. Sex-stratified analyses indicated that the hepatoxic role of iron might exist in NAFLD and liver fibrosis/cirrhosis development among men. No significantly causal relationship was found between iron status and viral hepatitis.

Conclusion: Our study adds to current knowledge on the genetic role of iron in the risk of liver injury and related liver diseases, which provides clinical and public health implications for liver disease prevention as iron status can be modified.

KEYWORDS

iron, mendelian randomization, liver injury, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, liver fibrosis/ cirrhosis

Introduction

Iron is essential for many vital functions, however, there are no regulated means by which excess iron can be disposed of in humans. Therefore, whenever systemic iron exceeds its needs and storage capabilities are saturated, toxicity due to iron overload may arise (1). The liver plays a core role in systemic iron regulation. It is a major storage site for iron, taking up iron, and releasing iron back into the circulation (2). Additionally, the liver acts as a regulator in iron absorption and recycling for the organ to synthesize proteins to modulate iron homeostasis (3). Therefore, hepatic injury and dysfunction can disturb iron hemostasis. On the other hand, the presumption that iron-catalyzed oxidative injury plays a key role in the pathology of various forms of liver disease permeates the scientific and clinical literature (3). Iron is implicated in the pathogenesis of several human liver diseases, as hepatocellular and/or mesenchymal iron deposition was found in them. The type of liver siderosis (parenchymal, mesenchymal, or mixed) and its distribution throughout the lobule and the liver are useful means for suggesting its etiology (4). Accumulated observational studies have reported the association between iron overload and liver dysfunction (5-12). Nevertheless, confusion and conflict exist as the pathogenesis of various liver diseases is complicated. Moreover, for observational studies, it is difficult to distinguish causal and spurious associations due to problems of confounding and reverse causation.

Plasma concentration of liver enzymes (i.e., alanine aminotransferase [ALT], aspartate aminotransferase [AST], alkaline phosphatase [ALP], and gamma-glutamyl transferase [GGT], direct bilirubin [DBIL], and total bilirubin [TBIL]) are routinely measured clinical markers that represent different dimensions of liver function (13). Observational and laboratory studies suggested that systemic-iron regulation was associated with plasma concentrations of these enzymes (7, 10, 14). Iron overload is supposed to correlate with liver dysfunction, however, it is currently uncertain whether iron disorders contribute to, or result from liver dysfunction.

Genes are randomly allocated at conception, so that genetic effects on the exposure cannot be affected by classical confounding factors or reverse causation, as in the situation where the phenotype level is influenced by the presence of the disease (15). Mendelian Randomization (MR), where genetic variants that are strongly associated with a risk factor of interest are used to test its causal effect on an outcome, can help to distinguish causal effects from associations due to confounding or reverse causality (16). By studying the effect of iron status related to randomly allocated alleles, such an MR approach has previously been used in targeted analyses to investigate the effect of iron status on the risk of Parkinson's disease, coronary artery disease, stroke, and asthma (15, 17–19). However, so far, the causal effects of systemic iron status on liver function and related liver diseases are unclear.

In this work, we have extracted the largest available and updated datasets from UK Biobank to interrogate the potential effect of iron status (referred to serum iron, ferritin, transferrin, and transferrin saturation in a pattern consistent with an effect on systemic iron status), on liver function, proxied by multiple biomarkers (ALT, AST, ALP, GGT, DBIL, and TBIL), as well as on related liver diseases (including nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), alcoholic liver disease (ALD), viral hepatitis, liver fibrosis and/or cirrhosis and liver malignant neoplasm). Furthermore, we have also investigated whether systemic iron levels have a sex-specific effect on liver diseases since the morbidity of them are gender-dependent. Replication analyses in the largest liver disease genomewide association study (GWAS) and reverse MR analysis

Abbreviations: GWAS, genome-wide association study; NAFLD, Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease; ALT, alanine aminotransferase AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; GGT, gammaglutamyl transferase; DBIL, direct bilirubin; TBIL, total bilirubin; HFE, hemochromatosis protein; IVW, inverse variance weighted; MR, Mendelian Randomization; SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism; TMPRSS6, transmembrane serine protease 6; GIS, Genetics of Iron Status; UKBB, UK Biobank; HH, Hereditary haemochromatosis; ALD, Alcoholic liver disease; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; KC, Kupffer cells; CHC, chronic hepatitis C; HBV, hepatitis B virus; NASH, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis; CLDs, chronic liver disease; SD, standard deviation; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

were performed to comprehensively evaluate the iron-liver disease associations.

Materials and methods

Study design

This is a two-sample Mendelian Randomization study using summary statistics from two different studies to identify the causal effect of exposure on outcome. Appropriate patient consent and ethical approval were obtained in the original studies from which data for this work were obtained. The study design is depicted graphically in Figure 1.

Genetic instruments

As genetic instruments for systemic iron status, we selected single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) that related to 4 clinically measured biomarkers. Increased systemic iron status is associated with increased serum iron, ferritin, and transferrin saturation, as well as decreasing transferrin levels (20). A GWAS performed by the Genetics of Iron Status (GIS) Consortium on 48,972 European subjects identified 3 such SNPs associated with all 4 biomarkers at genome-wide significance ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$): rs1800562 and rs1799945 in the hemochromatosis (*HFE*) gene and rs855791 in the transmembrane protease serine 6 (*TMPRSS6*) gene (21), with low linkage disequilibrium (LD: $r^2 < 0.01$) between the two SNPs in the *HFE* gene (21, 22). Supplementary Table 1 shows all iron status instrumental SNPs were strong instruments for MR analysis as measured by *F*-statistics > 10 (23).

We also performed a reverse MR analysis to estimate the effect of NAFLD on the alteration of iron status. The NAFLD GWAS study was recruited from clinics at several leading European tertiary liver centers involving 1,483 biopsied NAFLD cases and 17,781 controls (24). Twelve SNPs associated with NAFLD at the genome-wide significance and with low linkage disequilibrium were established as genetic instruments (Supplementary Table 2).

Study outcomes

The outcomes of our MR analysis were liver function biomarkers and liver diseases. We obtained associations with outcomes using summary statistics from the UK Biobank, provided by Neale Lab (http://www.nealelab.is/uk-biobank/), in 361,194 European descent participants. The study was adjusted for age, age square, and 20 principal components in sex-specific analysis and additionally adjusted for sex, interactions of sex with age and age square in the overall analysis. For liver

function biomarkers, we retrieved GWAS of untransformed variable type (natural unit) from Neale Lab. Among all the liver diseases examined by Neale Lab, we excluded those of selfreported conditions, unclear origin of liver diseases, unspecific classification (such as other inflammatory liver diseases, other diseases of liver), relative small case numbers and duplicates. Therefore, five out of eighteen classified liver diseases were included in our primary analysis. The population of patients with an established diagnosis of liver disease was characterized according to the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems of the 10th revision (ICD-10). Liver fibrosis/cirrhosis was diagnosed according to ICD10: K74.0 (Hepatic fibrosis) and K74.2 (Hepatic fibrosis with hepatic sclerosis). NAFLD diagnosis was established from hospital records according to ICD10: K75.81, ICD10: K76.0, and ICD10: K76.9. ALD was defined according to ICD10: K70 and hepatitis ICD10: K73 (25). Supplementary Tables 3, 4 showed mean level and standard deviation (SD) of the included biomarkers, as well as the numbers of participants and cases in analyses. The summary data and phenotype information of liver enzymes and liver diseases can be from https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/ accessed 1kvPoupSzsSFBNSztMzl04xMoSC3Kcx3CrjVf4yBmESU/edit? ts=5b5f17db#gid=227859291. The extracted information and web link to each GWAS of the outcomes were provided in the Supplementary Data Sheet.

The replication analysis for NAFLD was conducted in an updated GWAS meta-analysis of four cohorts: The Electronic Medical Records and Genomics (eMERGE) network, the UK Biobank, the Estonian Biobank, and FinnGen. This GWAS meta-analysis included 8,434 NAFLD cases and 770,180 controls, making it the largest genome-wide analysis for a clinical diagnosis of NAFLD (24). In addition, we also performed replicate analysis in the most updated publicly available dataset of UK Biobank provided by Gene ATLAS (http://geneatlas. roslin.ed.ac.uk) (26). In 452,264 European descent participants, 901 cases of liver fibrosis/cirrhosis, 919 cases of ALD, and 625 cases of viral hepatitis were identified.

In the reverse MR analysis, the outcomes were the four indicators of iron status including serum iron, ferritin, transferrin saturation, as well as transferrin levels. Summary-level data for these iron markers were obtained from the Genetics of Iron Status (GIS) Consortium (21). Data from the Consortium were extracted through the MR-Base platform (27).

Statistical analysis

To test the hypothesis that genetic instruments affect liver function and liver diseases by affecting iron levels, we utilized MR analysis methods. Wald estimates for each SNP were calculated as the ratio of genetic association on outcomes and



Graphical overview of the two-sample MR study design. Three SNPs, each of which has a genome-wide significant association with increased serum iron, increased ferritin, increased transferrin saturation and decreased transferrin levels, were used as instruments for systemic iron status. By using genetic instruments associated with these four iron status biomarkers, the MR approach can be used to estimate the causal effect of systemic iron status on the risk of liver function (biomarkers including ALP, ALT, AST, GGT, DBIL, TBIL) and liver disease (NAFLD, ALD, fibrosis and cirrhosis, viral hepatitis, malignant neoplasm). Replication and reverse MR analyses were performed in the largest available GWAS studies. MR, Mendelian randomization; SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism.

genetic association on iron status (28). For liver biomarker, the effect estimate was beta of standardized liver biomarker level (*SD*). For liver disease, the effect estimate was odds ratio. Genetically determined 1-*SD* increase for iron indicators (or decrease for transferrin levels) were associated with an increase of beta (*SD*) for liver biomarkers or odds ratio for liver diseases. Standard errors were calculated using the Delta method (29). Then we performed fixed-effect inverse-variance weighted

(IVW) meta-analysis for all 3 instrument SNPs to derive the overall MR estimate (23). A threshold of P < 0.05 was used to determine statistical significance.

For sensitivity analyses, we tested our findings in an updated dataset (Gene ATLAS) which included a larger sample size and more cases to evaluate whether the results were affected markedly by sample size. To further investigate the potential bias of the findings due to possible pleiotropy, we also used the weighted median and MR-Egger methods to confirm findings from our main analyses (30, 31). Specifically, the weighted median method is robust to invalid instruments and able to provide consistent estimation even when up to 50% of the weight is from invalid SNPs (30). The MR-Egger method may provide correct estimates as long as the instrument strength independent of direct effect assumption is satisfied. A non-null MR-Egger intercept suggests that the IVW estimate is invalid (31). Furthermore, we checked for secondary phenotypes associated with the selected instruments in two comprehensive curated genotype to phenotype crossreferences, i.e., Ensembl (http://www.ensembl.org/index.html) and PhenoScanner (www.phenoscanner.medschl.cam.ac.uk). Statistical power was estimated by using an online tool (https:// shiny.cnsgenomics.com/mRnd/) (32).

All statistical analyses were conducted using the "Mendelian Randomization" package in the statistical program R version 3.6.1 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

Results

Genetic instruments for systemic iron status

We identified 3 SNPs associated with systemic iron status from the GIS meta-analysis. The effect on iron levels for each copy of the effect allele of instrument SNP expressed as the number of *SD* from the mean were listed in Supplementary Table 1. The *F*-statistics was high for all genetic instruments, as can be expected given the sample size of 48,972 individuals (21).

Associations with liver biomarkers

Descriptive characteristics of the UK Biobank participants included in MR analyses, together with the number of participants and cases available for each outcome were provided in Supplementary Tables 3, 4.

Overall, genetic liability to higher iron status was associated with increased liver injury risk (indicated by ALP, ALT, AST, DBIL, and TBIL level), albeit the association with GGT was not statistically significant (Figures 2A–C). In main MR analyses (IVW), higher predisposition to genetically predicted serum iron (each increase in 1 *SD*) was strongly related to an increase of 1.43 SD of ALP (95% CI 1.00–1.85, $P = 6.4 \times 10^{-11}$), 0.57 *SD* of ALT (95% CI 0.35–0.79, $P = 5.8 \times 10^{-7}$), 0.65 *SD* of AST (95% CI 0.48–0.82, $P = 1.7 \times 10^{-13}$), 0.10 SD of DBIL (95% CI 0.08–0.11, $P = 1.0 \times 10^{-37}$), 0.63 *SD* of TBIL (95% CI 0.39, 95% CI –0.29 to 1.08, P = 0.261). Similarly, genetically determined increase (or decrease, for lower transferrin levels indicating higher iron status) in other iron status biomarkers

were also significantly associated with the rise of ALP, ALT, AST, DBIL, and TBIL (Figures 2A–C). The associations were persistent in all sensitivity analyses including weighted median and MR-Egger method, though a few possible evidence of unbalanced horizontal pleiotropy was revealed by the MR-Egger method (Supplementary Table 5). A search of SNP-phenotype associations demonstrated that none of three SNPs were listed in either the Ensembl or PhenoScanner database as being strongly associated with traditional liver diseases risk factors.

Associations with liver diseases

Given the causal relationships between iron status and liver biomarkers, we further investigated the five classified liver diseases available in the Neale Lab. As shown in Figure 3, higher iron status was positively associated with risk of NAFLD (serum iron odds ratio 1.89, per SD unit increase; 95% CI 1.05–3.39, P = 0.033) and ALD (serum iron odds ratio 1.76, per SD unit increase; 95% CI 1.01–3.06, P = 0.047) based on the IVW estimates (P < 0.05 for all 4 iron status biomarkers). Similar results that deleterious effects of iron overload on the risk of NAFLD and ALD were also obtained for other instruments of iron status biomarkers (Figure 3).

In addition, serum transferrin was negatively related to liver fibrosis/cirrhosis (odds ratio 0.50, per *SD* unit increase; 95% CI 0.25–0.97, P = 0.041) and malignant neoplasm (odds ratio 0.42, per *SD* unit increase; 95% CI 0.21–0.86, P = 0.018). The positive associations remained consistent in the weighted median mode, albeit with wider CI in the MR-Egger method (Supplementary Table 6).

Since the prevalence and severity of liver disease in many populations around the globe were gender-specific, we also examined sex differences in 3 diseases of which genetic summary data including both women and men were publicly available. Interestingly, genetically predicted systemic iron status was associated with higher risk of NAFLD in men (Figure 4). Similar causality was found for body systemic markers and liver fibrosis/cirrhosis risk in men after stratifying sex. There was no evidence for an association between iron and viral hepatitis both in women and men. Weighted median and MR-Egger estimates in the sensitivity analyses produced qualitatively consistent effects as the IVW estimates (Supplementary Table 7). Despite this, we observed that the power to demonstrate an insignificant relationship between iron status and liver diseases was limited (Supplementary Table 8).

Replication analyses for iron-liver disease association

To comprehensively study the causality of iron- NAFLD association and minimize selection bias, we further performed an MR analysis in a recently reported NAFLD genome-wide



meta-analysis. This study included 4 cohorts of electronic health record-documented NAFLD in participants of European ancestry (8,434 cases and 770,180 controls), representing the largest genome-wide analysis for a clinical diagnosis of NAFLD. Consistently, we found that genetic liability to higher systemic iron status was significantly and positively associated with

Exposure/Outcome	N (case/control)	OR (95% CI)	P-value
Serum Iron				
NAFLD	275 / 360,919	_	1.89 (1.05, 3.39)	0.033
Alcoholic liver disease	344 / 360,850	— •—	1.76 (1.01, 3.06)	0.047
Fibrosis and cirrhosis	252 / 360,942	_ _	1.28 (0.67, 2.44)	0.459
Viral hepatitis	243 / 360,951	_	0.95 (0.51, 1.77)	0.873
Malignant neoplasm	204 / 360,990		1.73 (0.87, 3.41)	0.116
TS				
NAFLD	275 / 360,919	_ 	1.69 (1.11, 2.58)	0.014
Alcoholic liver disease	344 / 360,850	_ -	1.59 (1.07, 2.37)	0.022
Fibrosis and cirrhosis	252 / 360,942	_ _	1.36 (0.85, 2.15)	0.197
Viral hepatitis	243 / 360,951	_ _	0.96 (0.62, 1.50)	0.868
Malignant neoplasm	204 / 360,990		1.63 (1.00, 2.65)	0.050
Ferritin				
NAFLD	275 / 360,919		– 5.39 (1.50, 19.34)	0.010
Alcoholic liver disease	344 / 360,850		4.52 (1.35, 15.13)	0.014
Fibrosis and cirrhosis	252 / 360,942		2.89 (0.71, 11.81)	0.140
Viral hepatitis	243 / 360,951		0.90 (0.23, 3.51)	0.884
Malignant neoplasm	204 / 360,990		4.80 (1.09, 21.14)	0.038
Transferrin				
NAFLD	275 / 360,919	_	0.43 (0.23, 0.79)	0.007
Alcoholic liver disease	344 / 360,850	_ 	0.48 (0.27, 0.86)	0.013
Fibrosis and cirrhosis	252 / 360,942	•	0.50 (0.25, 0.97)	0.041
Viral hepatitis	243 / 360,951	—	1.06 (0.55, 2.03)	0.863
Malignant neoplasm	204 / 360,990	- _	0.42 (0.21, 0.86)	0.018
	r	05 1	21.1	
FIGURE 3	C C		21.1	

Associations of genetically predicted iron status and liver diseases. The OR (95% CI) of liver diseases (NAFLD, ALD, fibrosis and cirrhosis, viral hepatitis, and malignant neoplasm) per SD increase of iron status biomarkers were estimated using fixed-effect inverse-variance weighted meta-analysis. OR, odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; NAFLD, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease; ALD, alcoholic liver disease; SD, standard deviation.

NAFLD risk, odds ratios estimation of per SD increase in biomarker levels were 1.19 (95% CI 1.07–1.31, $P = 5.3 \times 10^{-3}$) for serum iron, 1.15 (95% CI 1.06–1.24, $P = 2.3 \times 10^{-3}$) for transferrin saturation, 1.57 (95% CI 1.29–1.85, $P = 1.7 \times 10^{-3}$) for ferritin, and 0.8 (95% CI 0.66–0.94, $P = 1.9 \times 10^{-3}$) for serum transferrin (Figure 5). The positive association between iron status and NAFLD risk was also replicated in both weighted median and MR-Egger estimations (Supplementary Table 9).

For other liver diseases, after updating case number and sample size from Gene ATLAS (Figure 6), genetically

determined higher systemic iron status (represented by all four biomarkers) became significantly associated with increased fibrosis and cirrhosis risk, odds ratios per SD increase in biomarker levels were 1.53 (95% CI 1.11–2.11, P = 0.010) for serum iron, 1.55 (95% CI 1.23–1.95, $P = 2.1 \times 10^{-4}$) for transferrin saturation, 4.44 (95% CI 2.19–8.99, $P = 3.4 \times 10^{-5}$) for ferritin, and 0.42 (95% CI 0.30–0.59, $P = 4.5 \times 10^{-7}$) for serum transferrin. In line with our primary analyses in Figure 3, systemic iron status was significantly associated with higher ALD risk, but had no causal effect on viral hepatitis.

Exposure/Outcome	N (case/control)		OR (95% CI)	P-value
Serum Iron				
NAFLD (Women)	126 / 194,048		1.52 (0.64, 3.60)	0.345
NAFLD (Men)	149 / 166,871		2.27 (1.02, 5.04)	0.043
Fibrosis and cirrhosis (Women)	126 / 194,048		0.79 (0.33, 1.89)	0.591
Fibrosis and cirrhosis (Men)	126 / 166,894		2.09 (0.81, 5.39)	0.128
Viral hepatitis (Women)	102 / 194,072		0.62 (0.24, 1.61)	0.323
Viral hepatitis (Men)	141 / 166,879	_	1.31 (0.58, 2.96)	0.522
тѕ				
NAFLD (Women)	126 / 194,048	_ _	1.41 (0.76, 2.63)	0.274
NAFLD (Men)	149 / 166,871	→	1.98 (1.12, 3.50)	0.019
Fibrosis and cirrhosis (Women)	126 / 194,048	_ _	0.88 (0.47, 1.66)	0.703
Fibrosis and cirrhosis (Men)	126 / 166,894	→	2.09 (1.06, 4.13)	0.033
Viral hepatitis (Women)	102 / 194,072	-+	0.67 (0.34, 1.34)	0.256
Viral hepatitis (Men)	141 / 166,879	+	1.25 (0.70, 2.25)	0.449
Ferritin				
NAFLD (Women)	126 / 194,048		3.10 (0.47, 20.44)	0.239
NAFLD (Men)	149 / 166,871	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	8.65 (1.52, 49.13)	0.015
Fibrosis and cirrhosis (Women)	126 / 194,048		0.72 (0.11, 4.89)	0.737
Fibrosis and cirrhosis (Men)	126 / 166,894	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	— 11.84 (1.50, 93.53)	0.019
Viral hepatitis (Women)	102 / 194,072		0.29 (0.04, 2.31)	0.240
Viral hepatitis (Men)	141 / 166,879		2.10 (0.35, 12.53)	0.414
Transferrin				
NAFLD (Women)	126 / 194,048		0.58 (0.24, 1.43)	0.239
NAFLD (Men)	149 / 166,871	_	0.33 (0.15, 0.77)	0.010
Fibrosis and cirrhosis (Women)	126 / 194,048		1.03 (0.41, 2.59)	0.942
Fibrosis and cirrhosis (Men)	126 / 166,894		0.23 (0.09, 0.63)	0.004
Viral hepatitis (Women)	102 / 194,072	++	1.89 (0.69, 5.14)	0.214
Viral hepatitis (Men)	141 / 166,879	+	0.69 (0.30, 1.63)	0.403
		0.04 1	93.5	

FIGURE 4

Sex-specific associations of genetically predicted iron status and liver diseases. The OR (95% CI) of liver diseases (NAFLD, fibrosis and cirrhosis and viral hepatitis) by sex per SD increase of iron status biomarkers were estimated using fixed-effect inverse-variance weighted meta-analysis. OR, odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; NAFLD, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease; SD, standard deviation.

Exposure/Outcome	N (case/control)		OR (95% CI)	<i>P-</i> Value
Serum Iron NAFLD	8,434 / 770,180		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.57 (1.18, 2.08)	0.002
TS NAFLD	8,434 / 770,180			1.19 (1.05, 1.34)	0.005
Ferritin NAFLD	8,434 / 770,180		_ 	1.15 (1.05, 1.26)	0.002
Transferrin NAFLD	8,434 / 770,180			0.80 (0.70, 0.92)	0.002
		0.6 1	.0	2.1	

FIGURE 5

Replication of associations of genetically predicted iron status and NAFLD in the largest NAFLD GWAS study. The ORs (95% CI) of NAFLD per SD increase of iron status biomarkers were estimated using a fixed-effect inverse-variance weighted meta-analysis. OR, odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; NAFLD, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease; SD, standard deviation.

Exposure/Outcome	N (case/control))		OR (95% CI)	P-value
Serum Iron					
Fibrosis and cirrhosis	901 / 451,363		 →	1.53 (1.11, 2.11)	0.010
Alcoholic liver disease	919 / 451,345		 →	1.50 (1.09, 2.06)	0.013
Viral hepatitis	625 / 451,639	_	-	1.06 (0.72, 1.56)	0.769
TS					
Fibrosis and cirrhosis	901 / 451,363			1.55 (1.23, 1.95)	2.1E-04
Alcoholic liver disease	919 / 451,345			1.43 (1.13, 1.80)	0.002
Viral hepatitis	625 / 451,639	-	-	1.04 (0.79, 1.37)	0.790
Ferritin					
Fibrosis and cirrhosis	901 / 451,363		│ •──	4.44 (2.19, 8.99)	3.4E-05
Alcoholic liver disease	919 / 451,345		│	3.15 (1.57, 6.34)	0.001
Viral hepatitis	625 / 451,639		•	1.12 (0.48, 2.61)	0.797
Transferrin					
Fibrosis and cirrhosis	901 / 451,363			0.42 (0.30, 0.59)	4.5E-07
Alcoholic liver disease	919 / 451,345			0.54 (0.39, 0.76)	3.2E-04
Viral hepatitis	625 / 451,639	_		0.96 (0.64, 1.44)	0.853
	0	.11	1 8.	99	
FIGURE 6 Replication of associations of genetically predicted iron status and liver diseases in the updated database. The ORs (95% CI) of liver diseases					

Replication of associations of genetically predicted iron status and liver diseases in the updated database. The ORs (95% CI) of liver diseases (ALD, fibrosis and cirrhosis, viral hepatitis) per SD increase of iron status biomarkers were estimated using fixed-effect inverse-variance weighted meta-analysis. OR, odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; ALD, alcoholic liver disease; SD, standard deviation.

Reverse MR analyses

To assess the genetic effects of liver disease on iron levels, the MR estimates were performed in the opposite direction. By using the established IVs for NAFLD, our results showed no significant association for genetic liability to NAFLD on levels of iron status indicators, except for an association with ferritin level in the IVW mode (Supplementary Table 10).

Discussion

Although multiple observational studies have reported the association between iron overload and liver dysfunction (7, 11, 14, 33), it is still unclear whether this association reflects causation. Our findings from the MR analyses showed strong evidence that genetic predisposition to higher systemic iron status was related to a higher risk of liver injury, which was reflected by increased blood concentration of ALT, AST, ALP, DBIL, and TBIL. In further analyses on liver diseases, our primary and replication results all showed that genetic liability to higher iron status was associated with increased risks of NAFLD, ALD, and liver fibrosis/cirrhosis. Moreover, our analyses stratified by sex indicated that genetically predicted iron

status was associated with a higher risk of NAFLD and liver fibrosis/cirrhosis in men. Although serum iron wasn't found to be significantly associated with liver malignant neoplasm, other iron markers indicated the detrimental effect of iron on the progression of this severe disease. No strong evidence was found for a causal effect of genetically predicted iron status on viral hepatitis. In the reverse MR analyses, no significant genetic effects were observed for NAFLD progression on iron status.

Hereditary hemochromatosis (HH) is an iron overload disease and is the most common genetic condition in people of European descent (34). Observational evidence suggested that patients with HH were associated with a 4~11-fold risk of liver disease, including hepatocellular carcinoma, hepatitis C and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (35). Other clinical studies also reported that HH-related iron overload can result in life-threatening clinical complications, most notably of which were severe liver diseases such as cirrhosis or hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) (36, 37). Arguably, however, it remains confusing whether the risk of liver disease in HH homozygotes is attributable to disease penetrance, or from the independent hepatotoxic effect of iron. Epidemiological studies suffer from confounding and reverse causation, which is intrinsic to their observational nature so they can hardly provide conclusive evidence on the causality of an observed association.

Thus, independent MR studies and large prospective trials using more accurate methods of diagnosing liver disease are needed to reach a conclusion on the crucial role of iron.

So far, this is the first MR study to examine the causal effect of predisposition to iron status on liver function. Previous observational studies observed an elevation of serum transaminases in most hemochromatosis patients and mouse models of iron-induced liver injury (38–40). Serum ferritin levels were also reported to associate with elevated liver function enzymes (7, 14). However, the intrinsic causality between iron status and liver function warrants to be clarified. Our results of increased systemic iron status cause elevated circulating liver injury biomarkers, including ALT, AST, ALP, DBIL, and TBIL, provide strong genetic evidence that excess iron could be a trigger for liver dysfunction.

The deleterious effect of higher iron levels on NAFLD and ALD found in our study may get support from previous observational and experimental studies. It is not uncommon that epidemiological studies point toward an association between high ferritin levels and the presence of NAFLD and steatohepatitis (7, 11, 14, 41, 42). As an indicator of systemic iron status, elevated serum ferritin concentration is an independent predictor of advanced hepatic fibrosis in patients with NAFLD (43). Accumulating evidence suggested that hepatic mitochondrial dysfunction might contribute to NAFLD development and severity, as occurs in experimental iron overload (44). Moreover, hepatic iron excess might contribute to the impairment of glucose homeostasis by influencing insulin signaling and metabolic control, reinforcing the idea of a possible role of iron in favoring NAFLD progression (45, 46). Sets of data suggested a correlation between systemic iron burden and the extent of liver damage in ALD patients (47, 48). The underlying pathogenic mechanisms of iron-promoted alcoholic steatohepatitis may be ascribed to the ability that alcohol and iron synergistically caused oxidative stress, stellate cell activation, and hepatic fibrogenesis, an exaggerated effect on liver disease progression (49). On the other hand, excess iron accumulation in Kupffer cells (KC) has emerged as a central event in hepatic toxicity in ALD (50), suggesting the dominant role of iron in the hepatic toxicity of ALD.

Studies have shown that the risk of cirrhosis is increased in iron-overloaded patients (51). Laboratory studies also reported that iron-generated oxyradicals and lipid peroxidation results in damage to hepatocellular organelles, which is thought to contribute to the development of hepatic fibrogenesis (52). It is highly necessary to use genetic variants to assess the genetic effect of iron exposure on liver cirrhosis. Our study supports the genetic association of increased iron levels with the risk of developing liver fibrosis/cirrhosis. The underlining mechanism of iron-triggered liver fibrosis/ cirrhosis progression could be explained by a newly identified, iron-dependent, and nonapoptotic cell death named ferroptosis (53). Previous studies reported that excess iron-induced liver ferroptosis played a key role in hepatic dysfunction and liver damage in different liver fibrosis/cirrhosis mouse models (54, 55), indicating the crucial effects of iron triggered ferroptosis in liver fibrosis/cirrhosis. Although epidemiologic studies have yielded mixed results for liver malignant neoplasm, there is an iron-cancer relationship among patients with HH, who are prone to develop liver cancer (33). However, we still emphasize that large prospective studies in selected groups of participants are needed to evaluate the independent pathogenic role of hepatic iron deposits in liver fibrosis/cirrhosis and malignant neoplasm.

In this study, we observed a sex-dependent casual association between iron status and NAFLD risk in men, similar sex-dimorphic result was also found for liver fibrosis/cirrhosis. These findings were corroborated by previous population-based studies which reported sex-specific prevalence of liver disease in HH patients, with the male gender increasing the estimated effect size for liver disease association (34). The prevalence of cirrhosis in the United States was reported to independently associated with the male sex (56). Males are also shown more susceptible to non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis, and liver fibrosis than females in humans or animals (57, 58). Previous observational studies suggested a significantly higher propensity of NAFLD among men with higher iron levels. The HH (H63D) mutation was reported to be significantly associated with male NAFLD patients, but not in female patients with NAFLD, suggesting that female patients with the H63D mutation might be protected from the development of NAFLD by iron loss through menstruation or pregnancy (59). In accordance with this, participants with higher dietary iron intake were subject to a higher prevalence of NAFLD in a dose-response relationship manner, moreover, after stratifying by gender, such association only remained in the male population (60). The observed sexual dimorphic associations between iron status with NAFLD and liver fibrosis/ cirrhosis progression may be explained by multiple pathways. It was established that iron accumulation occurs later in women, as serum ferritin levels in adult men were around 120 µg/L, while the values remained low in women at around 30 μ g/L until menopause when ferritin levels increase to around 80 µg/L. This partially explained the stronger effect size of iron on liver disease among men. In addition, estradiol and its derivatives had a strong antioxidant capacity to suppress the generation of iron-induced reactive oxygen species and lipid peroxidation in the liver (61), which may prevent hepatocytes from oxidative damage, inflammation, and cell death.

Since randomized trials are very difficult to perform in the case of iron and liver disease, as investigating the longterm effect would require not only a very long follow-up but also a huge sample size, MR offers a cost-effective approach to derive well-powered causal effect estimates. However, the potential limitations of MR and this study deserve comment. In our study, genetic variants of iron status were used as

10.3389/fnut.2022.964163

IVs to explore the association between iron on liver function and liver disease based on 3 core MR assumptions: (1) The association between the genetic variants and the exposure is reliable, suggesting that the genetic IVs for the exposure should be selected at a genome-wide significance level ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$). In our MR study, we selected 3 SNPs strongly associated with all four iron status biomarkers ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) that are broadly used in other MR studies (15, 17-19). The instrument strength was high for all of them, as shown by their F-statistic values. (2) The genetic variants should not be associated with any possible known confounders. (3) The genetic variants should affect the outcome only through the exposure. The second and third assumptions are known collectively as independence from pleiotropy. Pleiotropy is a potential source of bias specific to MR studies. In sensitivity analysis, we checked for unknown pleiotropy using the weighted median and MR-Egger methods and found little indication of pleiotropy. Investigation for SNPassociated secondary phenotypes using online databases also found no evidence of traditional liver disease risk factors, consistent with the assumption of no alternate causal pathways. Moreover, we also note that a Wald-type estimator for MR analysis of binary outcomes has been shown to induce bias, although typically of small magnitude (within 10%) (62). In addition, when interpreting our results, some concerns should be taken into consideration. Firstly, due to the low incidence of severe liver disease, the proportion of disease cases from UK Biobank was relatively small, which might reduce the effect size of the iron-disease estimate and result in statistically insufficient for MR analysis. However, our persistent results in primary and replication analyses showed a causal effect of iron overload on the enhanced risk of liver injury, providing evidence to reach the conclusion that increased iron is detrimental to liver function. Secondly, although our findings on the sex-specific analysis were supported by previous observational studies, it should be careful when interpreting the results as the statistical power was limited, suggesting that the insignificant associations might be due to a lack of power. Moreover, sex-specific IVs for iron status are not available. Therefore, further larger GWAS studies that explore the sex effects of iron are needed. Thirdly, due to not enough IVs being available for other liver diseases in current GWAS studies, the reverse MR analysis was conducted only for NAFLD patients. Moreover, a detailed fibrosis assessment was not available as the disease identification in the database has been generated from the ICD-10 codes. Further GWAS studies with a larger number and proportion of liver disease cases, and detailed information for disease characteristics, are warranted. Finally, to minimize bias from population stratification, we confined the study population to individuals of European ancestries, our findings may be limited when generalized to other populations with different ethnicities.

In conclusion, our study shows the genetic effects of increased iron levels on a higher risk of liver injury, and the development of NAFLD, ALD, and liver fibrosis/cirrhosis. In addition, sex-specific analyses found the hepatoxic role of iron in NAFLD and liver fibrosis/cirrhosis progression among men. These findings provide genetic evidence that disrupted iron metabolism may be a trigger in the pathogenesis of liver injury. Independent GWAS and large prospective studies are warranted to further validate our findings in other cohorts and ethnicities.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Ethics statement

Ethical review and approval was not required for this human study as it was conducted using summary data obtained from a public database.

Author contributions

LJ and KW: responsible for the writing of the original draft. LJ, KW, FY, YY, and PZ: reviewing and editing the manuscript and for acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data. LJ, KW, and FY: conceptualization of the study, statistical analysis, and accessed the database and raw data. LJ: supervision. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This study was supported by research grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (32000820 to LJ) and the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2022M712763 to KW).

Acknowledgments

The publicly available summary data on liver function biomarkers and liver disease were provided by the Neale Lab (http://www.nealelab.is/uk-biobank/) and Gene ATLAS (http:// geneatlas.roslin.ed.ac.uk). In the reverse MR analysis, summary data on iron status were extracted from the MR-Base platform (https://gwas.mrcieu.ac.uk). The authors thank all investigators for sharing these data.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher,

References

1. Pietrangelo A. Iron and the liver. *Liver Int.* (2016) 36:116–23. doi: 10.1111/liv.13020

2. Ganz T. Systemic iron homeostasis. Physiol Rev. (2013) 93:1721-41. doi: 10.1152/physrev.00008.2013

3. Bloomer SA, Brown KE. Iron-induced liver injury: a critical reappraisal. Int J Mol Sci. (2019) 20:2132. doi: 10.3390/ijms20092132

4. Deugnier Y, Turlin B. Pathology of hepatic iron overload. World J Gastroenterol. (2007) 13:4755-60. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v13.i35.4755

5. Fargion S, Mattioli M, Fracanzani AL, Sampietro M, Tavazzi D, Fociani P, et al. Hyperferritinemia, iron overload, and multiple metabolic alterations identify patients at risk for nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *Am J Gastroenterol.* (2001) 96:2448–55. doi: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2001.04052.x

6. Mao W, Hu Y, Lou Y, Chen Y, Zhang J. Abnormal serum iron markers in chronic hepatitis B virus infection may be because of liver injury. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2015) 27:130-6. doi: 10.1097/MEG.00000000000247

7. Jung JY, Shim JJ, Park SK, Ryoo JH, Choi JM, Oh IH, et al. Serum ferritin level is associated with liver steatosis and fibrosis in Korean general population. *Hepatol Int.* (2019) 13:222–33. doi: 10.1007/s12072-018-9892-8

8. Murali AR, Gupta A, Brown K. Systematic review and meta-analysis to determine the impact of iron depletion in dysmetabolic iron overload syndrome and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Hepatol Res.* (2018) 48:E30–41. doi: 10.1111/hepr.12921

9. Martinelli AL, Filho AB, Franco RF, Tavella MH, Ramalho LN, Zucoloto S, et al. Liver iron deposits in hepatitis B patients: association with severity of liver disease but not with hemochromatosis gene mutations. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2004) 19:1036–41. doi: 10.1111/j.1440-1746.2004.03410.x

10. Sorrentino P, D'Angelo S, Ferbo U, Micheli P, Bracigliano A, Vecchione R. Liver iron excess in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma developed on non-alcoholic steato-hepatitis. *J Hepatol.* (2009) 50:351–7. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2008.09.011

11. Du SX, Lu LL, Geng N, Victor DW, Chen LZ, Wang C, et al. Association of serum ferritin with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a meta-analysis. *Lipids Health Dis.* (2017) 16:228. doi: 10.1186/s12944-017-0613-4

12. Tran KT, Coleman HG, McCain RS, Cardwell CR. Serum biomarkers of iron status and risk of primary liver cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nutr Cancer*. (2019) 71:1365–73. doi: 10.1080/01635581.2019.1609053

13. Green RM, Flamm S. AGA technical review on the evaluation of liver chemistry tests. *Gastroenterology.* (2002) 123:1367–84. doi: 10.1053/gast.2002.36061

14. El Nakeeb N, Saleh SA, Massoud YM, Hussein A, Hamed R. Serum ferritin as a non-invasive marker in the prediction of hepatic fibrosis among Egyptian patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *JGH Open.* (2017) 1:112–9. doi: 10.1002/jgh3.12019

15. Pichler I, Del Greco MF, Gogele M, Lill CM, Bertram L, Do CB, et al. Serum iron levels and the risk of Parkinson disease: a Mendelian randomization study. *PLoS Med.* (2013) 10:e1001462. doi: 10.1371/annotation/c4d81646-0c0e-4a3e-9425-b220bae2d8b6

the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ fnut.2022.964163/full#supplementary-material

16. De Silva NMG, Borges MC, Hingorani AD, Engmann J, Shah T, Zhang X, et al. Liver function and risk of type 2 diabetes: bidirectional mendelian randomization study. *Diabetes*. (2019) 68:1681–91. doi: 10.2337/db18-1048

17. Gill D, Del Greco MF, Walker AP, Srai SKS, Laffan MA, Minelli C. The effect of iron status on risk of coronary artery disease: a mendelian randomization study-brief report. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* (2017) 37:1788–92. doi: 10.1161/ATVBAHA.117.309757

18. Gill D, Monori G, Tzoulaki I, Dehghan A. Iron status and risk of stroke. *Stroke.* (2018) 49:2815–21. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.118.022701

19. Huang L, Li L, Luo X, Huang S, Hou Q, Ge X, et al. The association between serum iron status and risk of asthma: a 2-sample Mendelian randomization study in descendants of Europeans. *Am J Clin Nutr.* (2019) 110:959–68. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/nqz162

20. Wish JB. Assessing iron status: beyond serum ferritin and transferrin saturation. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol CJASN*. (2006) 1:S4–8. doi: 10.2215/CJN.01490506

21. Benyamin B, Esko T, Ried JS, Radhakrishnan A, Vermeulen SH, Traglia M, et al. Novel loci affecting iron homeostasis and their effects in individuals at risk for hemochromatosis. *Nat Commun.* (2014) 5:4926. doi: 10.1038/ncomms5926

22. Machiela MJ, Chanock SJ. LDlink: a web-based application for exploring population-specific haplotype structure and linking correlated alleles of possible functional variants. *Bioinformatics*. (2015) 31:3555-7. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btv402

23. Palmer TM, Lawlor DA, Harbord RM, Sheehan NA, Tobias JH, Timpson NJ, et al. Using multiple genetic variants as instrumental variables for modifiable risk factors. *Stat Methods Med Res.* (2012) 21:223–42. doi: 10.1177/096228021039 4459

24. Anstee QM, Darlay R, Cockell S, Meroni M, Govaere O, Tiniakos D, et al. Genome-wide association study of non-alcoholic fatty liver and steatohepatitis in a histologically characterised cohort. *J Hepatol.* (2020) 73:505–15. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2020.04.003

25. Ghodsian N, Abner E, Emdin CA, Gobeil E, Taba N, Haas ME, et al. Electronic health record-based genome-wide meta-analysis provides insights on the genetic architecture of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Cell Rep Med.* (2021) 2:100437. doi: 10.1016/j.xcrm.2021.100437

26. Canela-Xandri O, Rawlik K, Tenesa A. An atlas of genetic associations in UK Biobank. Nat Genet. (2018) 50:1593–9. doi: 10.1038/s41588-018-0248-z

27. Hemani G, Zheng J, Elsworth B, Wade KH, Haberland V, Baird D, et al. The MR-Base platform supports systematic causal inference across the human phenome. *Elife.* (2018) 7:e34408. doi: 10.7554/eLife.34408.012

28. Burgess S, Scott RA, Timpson NJ, Davey Smith G, Thompson SG. Using published data in Mendelian randomization: a blueprint for efficient identification of causal risk factors. *Eur J Epidemiol.* (2015) 30:543–52. doi: 10.1007/s10654-015-0011-z

29. Thompson JR, Minelli C, Del Greco MF. Mendelian randomization using public data from genetic consortia. *Int J Biostat.* (2016) 12:1–11. doi: 10.1515/ijb-2015-0074

30. Bowden J, Davey Smith G, Haycock PC, Burgess S. Consistent estimation in mendelian randomization with some invalid instruments using a weighted median estimator. *Genet Epidemiol.* (2016) 40:304–14. doi: 10.1002/gepi.21965

31. Burgess S, Thompson SG. Interpreting findings from Mendelian randomization using the MR-Egger method. *Eur J Epidemiol.* (2017) 32:377–89. doi: 10.1007/s10654-017-0255-x

32. Brion MJ, Shakhbazov K, Visscher PM. Calculating statistical power in Mendelian randomization studies. *Int J Epidemiol.* (2013) 42:1497-501. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyt179

33. Wen CP, Lee JH, Tai YP, Wen C, Wu SB, Tsai MK, et al. High serum iron is associated with increased cancer risk. *Cancer Res.* (2014) 74:6589-97. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-14-0360

34. Pilling LC, Tamosauskaite J, Jones G, Wood AR, Jones L, Kuo CL, et al. Common conditions associated with hereditary haemochromatosis genetic variants: cohort study in UK Biobank. *BMJ.* (2019) 364:k5222. doi: 10.1136/bmj.k5222

35. Ellervik C, Birgens H, Tybjaerg-Hansen A, Nordestgaard BG. Hemochromatosis genotypes and risk of 31 disease endpoints: metaanalyses including 66,000 cases and 226,000 controls. *Hepatology.* (2007) 46:1071–80. doi: 10.1002/hep.21885

36. Adams PC. The natural history of untreated HFE-related hemochromatosis. *Acta Haematol.* (2009) 122:134–9. doi: 10.1159/000243797

37. Allen KJ, Gurrin LC, Constantine CC, Osborne NJ, Delatycki MB, Nicoll AJ, et al. Iron-overload-related disease in HFE hereditary hemochromatosis. *N Engl J Med.* (2008) 358:221–30. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa073286

38. Delima RD, Chua AC, Tirnitz-Parker JE, Gan EK, Croft KD, Graham RM, et al. Disruption of hemochromatosis protein and transferrin receptor 2 causes iron-induced liver injury in mice. *Hepatology.* (2012) 56:585–93. doi: 10.1002/hep.25689

39. Pratt DS, Kaplan MM. Evaluation of abnormal liver-enzyme results in asymptomatic patients. *N Engl J Med.* (2000) 342:1266–71. doi: 10.1056/NEJM200004273421707

40. Weiss G. Genetic mechanisms and modifying factors in hereditary hemochromatosis. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2010) 7:50–8. doi: 10.1038/nrgastro.2009.201

41. Aigner E, Weiss G, Datz C. Dysregulation of iron and copper homeostasis in nonalcoholic fatty liver. *World J Hepatol.* (2015) 7:177–88. doi: 10.4254/wjh.v7.i2.177

42. Corradini E, Pietrangelo A. Iron and steatohepatitis. J Gastroenterol Hepatol. (2012) 27:42–6. doi: 10.1111/j.1440-1746.2011.07014.x

43. Sikorska K, Bernat A, Wróblewska A. Molecular pathogenesis and clinical consequences of iron overload in liver cirrhosis. *Hepatobiliary Pancreat Dis Int.* (2016) 15:461–79. doi: 10.1016/S1499-3872(16)60135-2

44. Kim JA, Wei Y, Sowers JR. Role of mitochondrial dysfunction in insulin resistance. *Circ Res.* (2008) 102:401–14. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.107.165472

45. Facchini FS, Hua NW, Stoohs RA. Effect of iron depletion in carbohydrate-intolerant patients with clinical evidence of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Gastroenterology.* (2002) 122:931–9. doi: 10.1053/gast.2002. 32403

46. Fernandez-Real JM, Lopez-Bermejo A, Ricart W. Cross-talk between iron metabolism and diabetes. *Diabetes.* (2002) 51:2348– 54. doi: 10.2337/diabetes.51.8.2348 47. Fletcher LM, Dixon JL, Purdie DM, Powell LW, Crawford DH. Excess alcohol greatly increases the prevalence of cirrhosis in hereditary hemochromatosis. *Gastroenterology*. (2002) 122:281–9. doi: 10.1053/gast.2002.30992

48. Tavill AS, Qadri AM. Alcohol and iron. Semin Liver Dis. (2004) 24:317-25. doi: 10.1055/s-2004-832943

49. Pietrangelo A. Iron-induced oxidant stress in alcoholic liver fibrogenesis. Alcohol. (2003) 30:121-9. doi: 10.1016/S0741-8329(03)00126-5

50. She H, Xiong S, Lin M, Zandi E, Giulivi C, Tsukamoto H. Iron activates NFkappaB in Kupffer cells. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol.* (2002) 283:G719– 26. doi: 10.1152/ajpgi.00108.2002

51. Barton JC, Barton JC, Acton RT, So J, Chan S, Adams PC. Increased risk of death from iron overload among 422 treated probands with HFE hemochromatosis and serum levels of ferritin greater than 1000 mug/L at diagnosis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2012) 10:412–6. doi: 10.1016/j.cgh.2011.11.032

52. Ramm GA, Ruddell RG. Hepatotoxicity of iron overload: mechanisms of iron-induced hepatic fibrogenesis. *Semin Liver Dis.* (2005) 25:433–49. doi: 10.1055/s-2005-923315

53. Dixon SJ, Lemberg KM, Lamprecht MR, Skouta R, Zaitsev EM, Gleason CE, et al. Ferroptosis: an iron-dependent form of nonapoptotic cell death. *Cell.* (2012) 149:1060–72. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2012.03.042

54. Kong Z, Liu R, Cheng Y. Artesunate alleviates liver fibrosis by regulating ferroptosis signaling pathway. *Biomed Pharmacother.* (2019) 109:2043–53. doi: 10.1016/j.biopha.2018.11.030

55. Wang L, Zhang Z, Li M, Wang F, Jia Y, Zhang F, et al. P53-dependent induction of ferroptosis is required for artemether to alleviate carbon tetrachloride-induced liver fibrosis and hepatic stellate cell activation. *IUBMB Life*. (2019) 71:45–56. doi: 10.1002/iub.1895

56. Scaglione S, Kliethermes S, Cao G, Shoham D, Durazo R, Luke A, et al. The epidemiology of cirrhosis in the United States: a population-based study. *J Clin Gastroenterol.* (2015) 49:690–6. doi: 10.1097/MCG.00000000000208

57. Pramfalk C, Pavlides M, Banerjee R, McNeil CA, Neubauer S, Karpe F, et al. Sex-specific differences in hepatic fat oxidation and synthesis may explain the higher propensity for NAFLD in men. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* (2015) 100:4425–33. doi: 10.1210/jc.2015-2649

58. Ballestri S, Nascimbeni F, Baldelli E, Marrazzo A, Romagnoli D, Lonardo A. NAFLD as a sexual dimorphic disease: role of gender and reproductive status in the development and progression of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and inherent cardiovascular risk. *Adv Ther.* (2017) 34:1291–326. doi: 10.1007/s12325-017-0556-1

59. Lee SH, Jeong SH, Lee D, Lee JH, Hwang SH, Cho YA, et al. An epidemiologic study on the incidence and significance of HFE mutations in a Korean cohort with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *J Clin Gastroenterol.* (2010) 44:e154–61. doi: 10.1097/MCG.0b013e3181d347d9

60. Yang Z, Wu J, Li X, Xie D, Wang Y, Yang T. Association between dietary iron intake and the prevalence of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a cross-sectional study. *Medicine*. (2019) 98:e17613. doi: 10.1097/MD.000000000017613

61. Bellanti F, Matteo M, Rollo T, De Rosario F, Greco P, Vendemiale G, et al. Sex hormones modulate circulating antioxidant enzymes: impact of estrogen therapy. *Redox Biol.* (2013) 1:340–6. doi: 10.1016/j.redox.2013.05.003

62. Harbord RM, Didelez V, Palmer TM, Meng S, Sterne JA, Sheehan NA. Severity of bias of a simple estimator of the causal odds ratio in Mendelian randomization studies. *Stat Med.* (2013) 32:1246–58. doi: 10.1002/sim.5659