



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

marker of myocardial injury in patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) and on the strong prognostic implications of this simple and easily available biomarker. Unfortunately, troponin is a generic marker of myocardial damage and cannot provide any valuable insight into the pathophysiological mechanism of the damage. We believe that this limitation could have been partly resolved by the systematic evaluation of standard electrocardiogram (ECG). Paradoxically and unexpectedly, 5 months after the beginning of the “COVID-19 era,” data on standard ECG as a screening tool for cardiovascular complications are almost completely missing in the literature—1 recently published and 1 in-press paper (2,3)—whereas ECG details are available only for selected patients diagnosed with myocarditis or acute coronary syndrome. The extreme lack of ECG data is all the stranger considering it is a broadly available, low-cost diagnostic test that can be quickly performed without exposing a large number of personnel to the virus. This ECG eclipse has contributed to generate the misconception that “myocardial injury” diagnosed by elevated serum troponin is synonymous with myocarditis or acute coronary syndrome, neglecting the fact, for instance, that acute pressure overload of the right ventricle can also cause an increase of this biomarker. Indeed, compared to troponin, ECG can provide not only a generic diagnosis of myocardial injury or damage but can also orient to the specific pathophysiological mechanism and foster suspicion of pulmonary thromboembolic or in situ thrombosis of the pulmonary circulation, which are being described with increasing frequency (4).

In conclusion, the high frequency and the prognostic implications of increased troponin I reported by Lala et al. support the importance of a systematic screen of the full spectrum of cardiovascular complications of COVID-19 infection, including events threatening the right and not only the left ventricle. Standard ECG is fundamental in this strategy, so systematic studies on this issue are urgently needed.

*Matteo Bertini, MD, PhD
Roberto Ferrari, MD, PhD
Claudio Rapezzi, MD, PhD

*Cardiological Center
University of Ferrara, Italy
Via Aldo Moro 8 - 44124 Cona
Ferrara (FE)
Italy
E-mail: doc.matber@gmail.com
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2020.09.611>

© 2020 the American College of Cardiology Foundation. Published by Elsevier. All rights reserved.

The authors have reported that they have no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose. Patrick O’Gara, MD, served as Guest Associate Editor for this paper. P.K. Shah, MD, served as Guest Editor-in-Chief for this paper.

The authors attest they are in compliance with human studies committees and animal welfare regulations of the authors’ institutions and Food and Drug Administration guidelines, including patient consent where appropriate. For more information, visit the [JACC author instructions page](#).

REFERENCES

1. Lala A, Johnson KW, Januzzi JL, et al. Prevalence and impact of myocardial injury in patients hospitalized with COVID-19 infection. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2020;76:533-46.
2. McCullough AS, Goyal P, Krishnan U, et al. Electrocardiographic findings in coronavirus disease-19: insights on mortality and underlying myocardial processes. *J Cardiac Fail* 2020;26:626-32.
3. Bertini M, Ferrari R, Guardigli G, et al. Electrocardiographic features of 431 consecutive, critically ill COVID-19 patients: an insight into the mechanisms of cardiac involvement. *Europace* 2020 Sep 18 [E-pub ahead of print].
4. Ackermann M, Verleden SE, Kuehnel M, et al. Pulmonary vascular endothelialitis, thrombosis, and angiogenesis in Covid-19. *N Engl J Med* 2020;383:120-8.

REPLY: What Happened to Electrocardiogram as a Screening Test to Recognize Cardiovascular Complications in COVID-19 Patients?



We thank Dr. Bertini and colleagues for their thoughtful response to our paper (1). Specifically, the authors comment on the relative dearth of electrocardiographic (ECG) data in the study of the novel coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19). They further suggest that ECG information could have offered incremental insight in determining the underlying pathophysiology of elevated troponin levels, representing myocardial injury.

We agree that ECG data are additive in helping to understand the nature of myocardial involvement and also holds prognostic relevance. Unfortunately, reviewing ECG data in our large cohort of patients (nearly 3,000) was not feasible within a reasonable timeframe to allow the dissemination of information that was of importance during a surge in COVID-19 cases across the globe. Furthermore, data were collected during the peak of the pandemic in New York City (February 27 to April 12, 2020), with variable uses of antiviral therapy, anticoagulation, and hydroxychloroquine, which may have influenced ECG information. Nonetheless, the message of our paper was simple and still holds true: myocardial injury is common, and when present, it portends worse prognosis among patients hospitalized with COVID-19. Myocardial injury is represented by elevated troponin concentrations based on the fourth universal definition of myocardial infarction (2). We acknowledge that

understanding the underlying pathophysiology of myocardial injury in the setting of COVID-19 was beyond the scope of our paper. Indeed, several months after our data were collected, the causal relationship between severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus-2 and cardiac involvement remains elusive and requires further study (3). Systematic study of ECGs among affected patients is of interest.

Another important point raised by Bertini et al. is the frequently inappropriate invocation of severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus-2 myocarditis as a diagnosis based on elevated troponin levels. In fact, as we have seen in recent studies, this diagnosis requires the presence of histologic findings and viral particles within myocytes as well as the exclusion of other cardiotropic viruses within the appropriate clinical setting, and has proven to be quite rare (4).

*Anuradha Lala, MD
James Januzzi, MD
Sean P. Pinney, MD
Donna M. Mancini, MD
Valentin Fuster, MD, PhD
*1 Gustave Levy Place
Box 1030
New York, NY 10029

E-mail: Anu.lala@mountsinai.org

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2020.10.013>

© 2020 by the American College of Cardiology Foundation. Published by Elsevier.

Dr. Lala has received speaker honoraria from Zoll. Dr. Januzzi is a Trustee of the American College of Cardiology; has received grant support from Novartis Pharmaceuticals and Abbott Diagnostics; has received consulting income from Abbott, Janssen, Novartis, MyoKardia, and Roche Diagnostics; and participates in clinical endpoint committees/data safety monitoring boards for Abbott, AbbVie, Amgen, CVRx, Janssen, and Takeda. Dr. Pinney has received consulting fees from Abbott, CareDx, Medtronic, and Procyon; has participated in clinical endpoint committees for Abbott, Axon, SoniVie, and Transmedics; and has received honoraria from Boston Scientific. All other authors have reported that they have no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose. Patrick O’Gara, MD, served as Guest Associate Editor for this paper. P.K. Shah, MD, served as Guest Editor-in-Chief for this paper.

The authors attest they are in compliance with human studies committees and animal welfare regulations of the authors’ institutions and Food and Drug Administration guidelines, including patient consent where appropriate. For more information, visit the [JACC author instructions page](#).

REFERENCES

1. Lala A, Kipp J, Januzzi JJ, et al. Prevalence and impact of myocardial injury in patients hospitalized with COVID-19 infection. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2020;76:533-46.
2. Thygesen K, Alpert JS, Jaffe AS, et al. Fourth universal definition of myocardial infarction (2018). *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2018;72:2231-64.
3. Topol EJ. COVID-19 can affect the heart. *Science* 2020;370:408-9.
4. Caforio AL, Pankuweit S, Arbustini E, et al. Current state of knowledge on aetiology, diagnosis, management, and therapy of myocarditis: a position statement of the European Society of Cardiology Working Group on Myocardial and Pericardial Diseases. *Eur Heart J* 2013;34:2636-48.