

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active. untreated, unresectable, wild-type KRAS exon 2 metastatic colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol 2014; 32: 2240–2247.

- 17. Karthaus M, Schwartzberg L, Rivera F et al. Updated overall survival (OS) analysis of novel predictive KRAS/NRAS mutations beyond KRAS exon 2 in PEAK: A 1st-line phase 2 study of FOLFOX6 plus panitumumab (pmab) or bevacizumab (bev) in metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC). Eur J Cancer 2013; 49: S516.
- Ciardiello F, Lenz HJ, Kohne CH et al. Treatment outcome according to tumor RAS mutation status in CRYSTAL study patients with metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC) randomized to FOLFIRI with/without cetuximab. J Clin Oncol 2014; 32: abstr 3506.
- Amado RG, Wolf M, Peeters M et al. Wild-type KRAS is required for panitumumab efficacy in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol 2008; 26: 1626–1634.
- Jonker DJ, O'Callaghan CJ, Karapetis CS et al. Cetuximab for the treatment of colorectal cancer. N Engl J Med 2007; 357: 2040–2048.
- Venook AP, Niedzwiecki D, Lenz HJ et al. CALGB/SWOG 80405: Phase III trial of irinotecan/5-FU/leucovorin (FOLFIRI) or oxaliplatin/5-FU/leucovorin (mFOLFOX6) with bevacizumab (BV) or cetuximab (CET) for patients (pts) with KRAS wild-type

(wt) untreated metastatic adenocarcinoma of the colon or rectum (MCRC). J Clin Oncol 2014; 32: abstr LBA3.

- Tveit K, Guren T, Glimelius B et al. Phase III trial of cetuximab with continuous or intermittent fluorouracil, leucovorin, and oxaliplatin (Nordic FLOX) versus FLOX alone in first-line treatment of metastatic colorectal cancer: The NORDIC-VII study. J Clin Oncol 2012; 30: 1755–1762.
- Sobrero AF, Maurel J, Fehrenbacher L et al. EPIC: phase III trial of cetuximab plus irinotecan after fluoropyrimidine and oxaliplatin failure in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol 2008; 26: 2311–2319.
- Jonker DJ, Karapetis C, Harbison CT. Epiregulin gene expression as a biomarker of benefit from cetuximab in the treatment of advanced colorectal cancer. Br J Cancer 2014; 110: 648–655.
- Sartore-Bianchi A, Martini M, Molinari F et al. PIK3CA mutations in colorectal cancer are associated with clinical resistance to EGFR-targeted antibodies. Cancer Res 2009; 69: 1851–1857.
- De Roock W, De Vriendt V, Normanno N et al. KRAS, BRAF, PIK3CA, and PTEN mutations: implications for targeted therapies in metastatic colorectal cancer. Lancet Oncol 2010; 12: 594–603.

Annals of Oncology 26: 21–33, 2015 doi:10.1093/annonc/mdu192 Published online 15 May 2014

### Diagnosis and antimicrobial therapy of lung infiltrates in febrile neutropenic patients (allogeneic SCT excluded): updated guidelines of the Infectious Diseases Working Party (AGIHO) of the German Society of Hematology and Medical Oncology (DGHO)<sup>†</sup>

G. Maschmeyer<sup>1\*</sup>, J. Carratalà<sup>2</sup>, D. Buchheidt<sup>3</sup>, A. Hamprecht<sup>4</sup>, C. P. Heussel<sup>5</sup>, C. Kahl<sup>6</sup>, J. Lorenz<sup>7</sup>, S. Neumann<sup>8</sup>, C. Rieger<sup>9</sup>, M. Ruhnke<sup>10</sup>, H. Salwender<sup>11</sup>, M. Schmidt-Hieber<sup>12</sup> & E. Azoulay<sup>13</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Hematology, Oncology and Palliative Care, Klinikum Ernst von Bergmann, Potsdam, Germany; <sup>2</sup>Department of Infectious Diseases, Bellvitge University Hospital, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain; <sup>3</sup>Department of Hematology and Oncology, Mannheim University Hospital, Mannheim; <sup>4</sup>Institution for Medical Microbiology, Immunology and Hygiene, University Hospital Cologne, Cologne; <sup>5</sup>Department of Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology with Nuclear Medicine, Thoraxklinik, University Hospital, Heidelberg; <sup>6</sup>Department of Hematology and Oncology, Klinikum Magdeburg, Magdeburg; <sup>7</sup>Department of Pneumology, Infectious Diseases, Sleep Medicine and Intensive Care, Klinikum Lüdenscheid, Lüdenscheid; <sup>8</sup>Medical Oncology, AMO MVZ, Wolfsburg; <sup>9</sup>Department of Medicine III, University Hospital Großhadern, München; <sup>10</sup>Department of Medical Oncology on d Hematology, Charité University Medicine Campus Mitte, Berlin; <sup>11</sup>Department of Hematology, Oncology, Stem Cell Transplantation, Asklepios Klinik Atona, Hamburg; <sup>12</sup>Department of Hematology, Oncology and Tumor Immunology, Helios-Klinikum Berlin-Buch, Berlin, Germany; <sup>13</sup>AP-HP, Hopital Saint-Louis, Service de Réanimation Médicale, Université Paris-Diderot, Sorbonne Paris-Cité, Faculté de Médecine, Paris, France

Received 22 February 2014; revised 29 April 2014; accepted 2 May 2014

Up to 25% of patients with profound neutropenia lasting for >10 days develop lung infiltrates, which frequently do not respond to broad-spectrum antibacterial therapy. While a causative pathogen remains undetected in the majority of cases, *Aspergillus* spp., *Pneumocystis jirovecii*, multi-resistant Gram-negative pathogens, mycobacteria or respiratory viruses may be involved. In at-risk patients who have received trimethoprim–sulfamethoxazole (TMP/SMX) prophylaxis, filamentous fungal pathogens appear to be predominant, yet commonly not proven at the time of treatment initiation.

© The Author 2014. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the European Society for Medical Oncology. This is an Open Access article under the CC-BY-NC license.

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence to: Prof. Georg Maschmeyer, Department of Hematology, Oncology and Palliative Care, Klinikum Ernst von Bergmann, Charlottenstrasse 72, D-14467 Potsdam, Germany. Tel: +49-331-241-6002; Fax: +49-331-241-6000; E-mail: gmaschmeyer@klinikumevb.de

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This manuscript does not refer to patients undergoing allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. These patients are subject to a separate AGIHO guideline [1].

Pathogens isolated from blood cultures, bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) or respiratory secretions are not always relevant for the etiology of pulmonary infiltrates and should therefore be interpreted critically. Laboratory tests for detecting *Aspergillus* galactomannan, β-D-glucan or DNA from blood, BAL or tissue samples may facilitate the diagnosis; however, most polymerase chain reaction assays are not yet standardized and validated. Apart from infectious agents, pulmonary side-effects from cytotoxic drugs, radiotherapy or pulmonary involvement by the underlying malignancy should be included into differential diagnosis and eventually be clarified by invasive diagnostic procedures. Pre-emptive treatment with mold-active systemic antifungal agents improves clinical outcome, while other microorganisms are preferably treated only when microbiologically documented. High-dose TMP/SMX is first choice for treatment of *Pneumocystis* pneumonia, while cytomegalovirus pneumonia is treated primarily with ganciclovir or foscarnet in most patients. In a considerable number of patients, clinical outcome may be favorable despite respiratory failure, so that intensive care should be unrestrictedly provided in patients whose prognosis is not desperate due to other reasons.

Key words: diagnosis, fever, lung infiltrates, neutropenia, pneumonia, treatment

#### introduction consensus process

See supplementary Material, available at *Annals of Oncology* online (Table 7).

### clinical baseline

See supplementary Material, available at *Annals of Oncology* online.

### diagnostic procedures

With respect to the critical prognosis of lung infiltrates (LI) in febrile neutropenic patients, diagnostic procedures are of major importance, but should not cause a substantial delay in the start of adequate antimicrobial therapy.

#### imaging

Conventional chest radiographs show abnormalities in <2% of febrile neutropenic patients without clinical findings indicating lower respiratory tract infection [2-4]. It is undetermined how many of these patients would have abnormalities on computed tomography (CT) scans, because no randomized head-to-head comparisons have been published so far. In patients persistently febrile after >48 h of broad-spectrum antibacterial therapy, ~10% of chest radiographs are abnormal, whereas highresolution CT scans at this time reveal pathological findings in ~50% of patients [5, 6]. Early detection of lesions indicating invasive mold infection or Pneumocystis pneumonia (PcP) is of utmost importance, facilitating targeted bronchoscopy and bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) and a prompt institution of preemptive antimicrobial treatment [7-9], enabling better survival of these patients. CT findings such as consolidation, 'halo sign' and 'air-crescent sign', obtained by high-resolution or multislice CT scans, may be important signs of filamentous fungal disease [8, 10]. While the 'halo sign' has been described typically in neutropenic patients, other CT findings indicative of IPA are comparable in neutropenic and in non-neutropenic patients [11]. A 'reversed halo sign', showing a focal rounded area of groundglass opacity surrounded by a crescent or complete ring of consolidation, has been reported as relatively specific for fungal pneumonia due to zygomycetes/mucorales [8]; however, it may represent a broad spectrum of other differential diagnoses

including tuberculosis, sarcoidosis or cryptogenic organizing pneumonia [12].

Beyond early identification of LI, CT findings may allow for distinguishing fungal from nonfungal LI [13-18]. Diffuse bilateral perihilar infiltrates, patchy areas of ground-glass attenuation (peripheral sparing), cysts and septal thickening, consolidation and centrilobular nodules may indicate PcP [19-21]. Nodular or cavitary lesions are suggestive of invasive filamentous fungal infection; however, differential diagnoses include pneumonia due to other microorganisms including mycobacteria [22] (which may be relevant in regions with high prevalence), Nocardia, Pneumocystis or Pseudomonas aeruginosa (P. aeruginosa) as well as lung involvement by underlying malignancies [23], so that comparison to previous CT scans in an individual patient is essential. Combination of CT scan with angiography has been found to increase the diagnostic specificity in some patients with pulmonary mold infections [24, 25]; however, this more labor intensive method has not yet become widely applied and is therefore not included into current clinical practice guidelines. In selected patients where pulmonary CT scan is not wanted or feasible, magnetic resonance tomography (MRI) is a valid alternative (B-II) [26, 27]. As yet, consensus definitions of invasive fungal diseases [10] have not included thoracic MRI findings. In selected patients with unexplained fever during neutropenia, [<sup>18</sup>F]2-fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose-positron emission tomography combined with computed tomography (PET-CT) may be helpful, particularly to rule out undetected infection [28].

Follow-up thoracic CT scans should in general not be ordered <7 days after start of treatment (A-II). In patients with IPA may show increasing volume of pulmonary infiltrates during the first week despite effective antifungal therapy [29]. This finding alone should not give reason to assess the treatment course as refractory (A-II). Reduction of the 'halo' and the development of an 'aircrescent' sign, however, typically indicate favorable response [30].

#### microbiology and histopathology

In the majority of febrile neutropenic patients with LI, no proving microbiological finding is available, so that the therapeutic management is based upon clinical and imaging findings (see below). In microbiologically documented cases, pathogens typically are isolated from blood cultures, bronchial secretions or BAL fluid. It often means a challenge to assess the diagnostic relevance of culture results [31–34], because unselected

bronchial samples from these patients grow colonizing and contaminating microorganisms with no etiological significance [35], or blood cultures may show isolates not etiologically related to pneumonias. At the same time, if autopsies show invasive fungal infections, 75% of them have not been detected ante mortem [36, 37]. Therefore, in contrast to the majority of other microbiological findings, isolation of *Aspergillus* spp. or other filamentous fungi from upper respiratory tract specimens of severely immunocompromised patients typically indicates a respiratory tract mycosis [38].

The diagnostic yield and the outcome of clinical management in critically ill, febrile cancer patients with severe pulmonary infiltrates have not been improved by invasive diagnostic procedures including BAL [39]. The detection rate of potential pathogens from BAL samples has been described to be 25%-50% or even higher [11, 40-42], depending on the risk profile of patients included. A retrospective analysis of microbiological findings from BAL samples in cancer patients with LI showed 34% bacteria, 22% cytomegalovirus (CMV), 15% Pneumocystis jirovecii (P. jirovecii) and 2% Aspergillus spp. [43], and another report of 246 bronchoscopies in 199 febrile patients with hematological malignancies described pathogens with possible etiological significance in 48% of samples, of which 70 samples grew only bacteria, 13 showed both fungi and bacteria, 15 samples Aspergillus spp., 16 samples Candida species and 2 samples both Aspergillus and Candida spp. [33]. Many LI in severely immunocompromised patients may also have polymicrobial etiology [34], with molds (predominantly Aspergillus spp.) plus bacteria in 12% and multiple fungal species in 22% of samples. Although the etiological relevance of BAL findings may be questionable in many cases, the results trigger the change of antimicrobial treatment in up to 50% of patients [33, 44, 45]. As a diagnostic 'gold standard' is lacking, the number of false-positive and false-negative findings are unknown, and the rates of success or failure of 'pathogen-directed' antimicrobial treatment therefore remain undetermined. A proposal for the assessment of the etiological significance of microbiological findings in febrile neutropenic patients with LI is given in antimicrobial treatment in patients with documented pathogens section.

While for the proven diagnosis of IPA, cultural isolation of fungi and histological proof from lung tissue are regarded as diagnostic 'gold standard' [10], quality standards for diagnostic procedures are not available and patients undergoing biopsy are highly selected. Histological proof alone has an accuracy for *Aspergillus* of around 78%, so that histology should always be combined with fungal culture and with a culture independent method, e.g. nucleic acid based [46]. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) may be helpful especially in patients who already receive antifungal treatment and for difficult-to-culture pathogens such as Mucorales.

Transbronchial biopsy is not recommended in severely thrombocytopenic patients with lung infiltrates [45]. Open-lung biopsy (OLB), mini-thoracotomy or video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery may be safely carried out in patients with treatment-refractory LI not cleared-up by other diagnostic approaches, primarily in order to rule out noninfectious origin [42, 47–50]. OLB is a relatively safe procedure with a complication rate of ~6% [51], including the risk of hemorrhage [49, 52] even in thrombocytopenic patients [51, 53]. Histologically, no infection or malignancy, but nonspecific inflammation is detected in the majority of patients [31, 51, 53]. Notably, findings from OLB and BAL obtained simultaneously may show different microbiological results [31].

CT-guided percutaneous side-cut core needle biopsy may provide informative results in ~80% of cases, allowing for species identification using molecular methods for tissue workup [54–58]. Percutaneous biopsy requires platelet counts >50 000/µl plus sufficient coagulation indices, e.g. an aPTT ratio of  $\leq$ 1.4 [59], and should be limited to patients without an obvious risk of respiratory failure in case of complications such as a pneumothorax. As yet, there are no reports from prospective studies comparing different methods for invasive approaches to identify the causes of LI in febrile neutropenic patients.

#### nonculture-based diagnostic methods

*cytomegalovirus and respiratory viruses.* In patients with profound cellular immunosuppression, respiratory viruses may be the cause of LI, so that diagnostic programs used for workup of BAL or oro-/nasopharyngeal swabs should include CMV as well as *Influenza, Parainfluenza, Respiratory Syncytial Virus*, Coronavirus, Rhinovirus and *Human Metapneumovirus* [60–63]. In febrile neutropenic patients with LI, CMV PCR applied on BAL samples has a high negative, but low positive predictive value [64], while positive rapid culture, immediate early antigen, direct fluorescent antibody tests, DNA hybridization or cytology from BAL cultures are required to confirm the diagnosis of CMV pneumonia [65, 66].

Pneumocystis jirovecii. Besides microscopic identification, which has been the classical reference method for detecting P. jirovecii, PCR has been introduced in the 1990s for early detection of this pathogen with a high sensitivity [67]. It is essential to distinguish between infection and colonization, which may be present in >50% of individuals without signs or symptoms of PcP [68]. A meta-analysis showed a very high sensitivity of 99% and a specificity of 90% [69], so that a negative Pneumocystis-PCR from a BAL sample at the time of diagnosis allows to put aside anti-Pneumocystis therapy [70]. More recently developed quantitative PCR assays appear to increase the specificity [71, 72]. A report on 71 non-HIV patients with proven PcP showed a positive predictive value of 98% when >1450 pathogens per ml were detected in BAL samples [73]. Determining  $\beta$ -D-glucan in serum may add to the differential diagnosis [74, 75], because a negative result of this test makes PcP highly unlikely [76].

filamentous fungi. Numerous methods have been developed for detecting fungal cell antigens such as *Aspergillus* galactomannan (GM), 1,3- $\beta$ -D-glucan or nuclear amplification assays to identify fungal DNA for early noninvasive detection of filamentous fungi in febrile neutropenic patients with LI of undetermined etiology [77–81]. A positive (i.e. >0.5 OD) GM test from blood or from BAL samples, where a cutoff of  $\geq$ 1.0 might be more appropriate [82], has been accepted as a significant finding indicating a probable invasive fungal infection in severely immunocompromised patients [83, 84]. It is questionable if *Aspergillus* GM in blood will become positive earlier than a chest CT scan [85]. Notably, the GM test may give false-positive results in patients treated with semisynthetic

β-lactam antibiotics such as amoxicillin–clavulanate, piperacillin– tazobactam, carbapenems, ceftriaxone or cefepime [86, 87] as well as in those given enteral nutrition [88], those with other fungal infections such as fusariosis [89] and in BAL samples obtained using specific lavage solutions such as Plasmalyte<sup>TM</sup> [90]. Falsepositive *Aspergillus* antigenemia may also be due to blood product conditioning fluids [91]. A significant decline in the galactomannan *Aspergillus* antigen signal was described during storage of serum samples, in contrast to BAL samples, so that the time from taking a blood sample to testing should be minimized [92].

Details on antigen testing for fungal infection, including those other than aspergillosis [93], have been reviewed in a separate evidence-based guideline of our group [94].

Studies on panfungal or Aspergillus-specific PCR assays indicate that the use of these techniques on BAL samples seems superior when compared with blood samples, particularly in patients undergoing systemic antifungal therapy [81, 84, 95–97]. On lung biopsy specimens, PCR added to histopathology and culture may improve specification of pathogens [58]. Since there is no widely accepted international standardization of these assays available as yet for blood and BAL samples [98], PCR results have not become part of definition criteria for invasive fungal infections by now [10, 94, 99]. PCR presumably will become part of a diagnostic program for LI, including thoracic CT scans, serology and conventional microbiology from blood and BAL samples [100]. The combination of Aspergillus PCR and GM in BAL samples enhances diagnostics since positive results for both GM and PCR make a pulmonary aspergillosis highly likely [100], as confirmed recently by meta-analyses [101, 102].

Previous exposure to antifungal therapy may reduce the sensitivity of the galactomannan as well as quantitative PCR assays [103, 104].

Legionella pneumophila *serogroup 1 antigen*. While nosocomial outbreaks of legionellosis among cancer and leukemia patients have become very rare, a single-center report from 2007 has indicated that this differential diagnosis should not be ignored [105]. Testing of *Legionella pneumophila* serogroup 1 in urine

helps to detect this diagnosis rapidly. Controlled clinical studies on the usefulness of routine testing for this antigen among febrile neutropenic patients with lung infiltrates are not available.

*biomarkers.* Nonspecific proinflammatory laboratory parameters like C-reactive protein, interleukin-6 [106], interleukin-8, tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$  or procalcitonin plasma levels [107] are frequently used to assess the severity of infections and the response to antimicrobial therapy. In febrile neutropenic patients with LI, the predictive value of these parameters has not been investigated in prospective studies as yet. In clinical practice, the repeated measurement of these parameters typically parallels clinical observation and should be used for therapeutic decisions only in the context with clinical and imaging findings. Persisting fever, progressive or newly emerged LI and rising proinflammatory parameters typically indicate the need for a change in the antimicrobial treatment regimen [108].

# algorithms for the clinical management of febrile neutropenic patients with LI

An algorithm for the clinical management of febrile neutropenic patients with LI is proposed in Figure 1. Synopses of recommendations for diagnostic measures are given in Tables 1–4. Recommendations for antimicrobial treatment and clinical management are summarized in Tables 5 and 6.

#### diagnostic procedures

In patients with acute myeloid leukemia or myelodysplastic syndrome undergoing aggressive myelosuppressive chemotherapy expecting severe neutropenia lasting  $\geq 10$  days, serial monitoring of *Aspergillus* galactomannan from blood samples is recommended (B-II). The place for 1,3- $\beta$ -D-glucan is not yet clearly defined, and PCR should be studied in the frame of clinical trials only. Serial panfungal PCR monitoring in patients with acute leukemia undergoing intensive myelosuppressive



Figure 1. Diagnostic procedures and treatment of neutropenic patients with fever and suspected or proven lung infiltrates.

Table 1. Recommendations for imaging diagnostic procedures		
Recommendation	Strength	
In febrile neutropenic patients with signs or symptoms of lower respiratory tract infection, multislice or high- resolution computed tomography (CT) scan of the lungs is the diagnostic method of choice	A-II	
Conventional chest radiographs are not recommended for the diagnosis of lung infiltrates in febrile neutropenic patients	E-II	
If a pulmonary CT scan is not feasible, MRI of the lungs is recommended	B-II	
In most cases, thoracic CT scan can be done without contrast media	B-II	
Multislice or high-resolution CT scan must be available at a maximum of 24 h after clinical indication has been established	A-II	
If infiltrates are detected on pulmonary CT scans, bronchoalveolar lavage should be carried out at a segmental bronchus supplying an area of radiographic abnormalities	B-III	
Whenever possible, thoracic CT showing abnormalities scans should be compared with previous scans	A-II	
CT or magnetic resonance angiography may be considered if feeding vessel sign, reversed halo sign or hemoptysis are observed in suspected fungal pneumonia	B-III	

### **Table 2.** Recommendations for bronchoscopy and bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL)

Recommendation	Strength
Bronchoscopy and bronchoalveolar lavage should be carried out using a standardized protocol	A-II
Transbronchial biopsies are not recommended in febrile neutropenic (and thrombocytopenic) patients	D-II
If a tissue sample for histological, microbiological and molecular workup is required, CT-guided side-cut percutaneous biopsy, video-assisted thoracoscopy or	B-II
open-lung biopsy should be used Microbiological workup of BAL samples should follow a	B-II
Bronchoscopy and BAL should be available within 24 h after clinical indication has been established	B-III
Urgent need to start or modify antimicrobial therapy should not be postponed by bronchoscopy and BAL	A-II
Bronchoscopy and BAL should only be carried out in patients without critical hypoxemia	B-II

chemotherapy has failed to identify patients with a particularly high risk of developing invasive fungal disease [109]. Importantly, diagnostic procedures aim at obtaining microbiological results that confirm or help to modify the antimicrobial therapy, which should be initiated without awaiting results from diagnostic procedures (A-II).

Patients with fever of unknown origin not responding to an appropriate first-line therapy after 72-96 h should undergo

**Table 3.** Diagnostic workup of bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL)samples from febrile neutropenic patients with lung infiltrates

Recommended diagnostic program	Evidence
	level
Cytospin preparations for distinguishing intracellular	В
from extracellular nathogens and identifying	D
infiltration by underlying malignancy	
Gram stain	В
Giemsa or May-Grünwald-Giemsa stain (assessment	B
of macrophages, ciliated epithelium, leukocytes)	D
<i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis (M. tuberculosis)</i>	А
polymerase chain reaction (PCR)	
PCR for Pneumocystis jirovecii (P. jirovecii);	А
quantitative if possible	
Calcofluor white or equivalent (assessment of fungi	А
and P. jirovecii)	
Direct immunofluorescence test for P. jirovecii	А
(confirmatory)	
Aspergillus antigen (Galactomannan Sandwich	А
ELISA)	
Bacteriological cultures (Quantitative or semi-	А
quantitative): dilutions of $10^{-2}$ and $10^{-4}$ ; culture	
media: blood agar, MacConkey/Endo, Levinthal/	
blood (bacterial culture), Legionella-BCYE or	
equivalent (Legionella spp.), for mycobacteria at	
least one solid and one liquid medium (e.g.	
Löwenstein-Jensen agar and Middlebrook 7H9	
broth or equivalent), Sabouraud/Kimmig or	
equivalent (fungal culture)	
Optional program	
Enrichment culture (Brain-Heart Infusion broth,	С
dextrose broth)	
Legionella PCR	В
PCR for cytomegalovirus (CMV), Respiratory	В
Syncytial Virus, influenza A/B, parainfluenza 1-3,	
metapneumovirus and adenovirus	
Quantitative PCR for Varicella Zoster Virus	В
Panfungal or Aspergillus PCR	В
Peripheral blood cultures 1 h after bronchoscopy to	С
detect transient bacteremia	
Throat swab to assess oral flora in comparison with	С
BAL	

thorough physical re-examination, imaging (Table 1) and microbiological diagnostics including a native thoracic CT scan and a CT scan of paranasal sinuses if symptoms or signs of sinusitis are present (A-II). A high-resolution or multislice thoracic CT scan must be available at a maximum of 24 h after clinical indication has been established (A-II). When LI are documented, noninvasive diagnostic tests should be repeated and bronchoscopy and BAL (Table 2) should be arranged within a maximum of 24 h (B-III). BAL samples must be sent immediately to the microbiological laboratory for workup, to be started within 4 h after sampling (A-III). Recommended microbiological procedures are listed in Table 3. A standardized procedure for bronchoscopy and BAL is recommended (A-II) [111].

Invasive procedures such as open-lung or percutaneous core needle biopsy should be considered in patients with

## **Table 4.** Clinical assessment of microbiological findings in febrile neutropenic patients with lung infiltrates

The following findings 'indicate' pathogens causative for lung infiltrates

- P. jirovecii, Gram-negative aerobic pathogens, pneumococci, Nocardia, M. tuberculosis or Aspergillus spp. or Aspergillus galactomannan or Mucorales obtained from bronchoalveolar lavage or sputum samples; positive rapid culture for CMV, detection of CMV 'immediate early antigen'
- Isolation of pneumococci, alpha-hemolytic streptococci, *Bacillus cereus* or Gram-negative aerobic pathogens from blood culture
- Any detection of pathogens with invasive growth in biopsy material
- Positive Legionella pneumophila serogroup 1 antigen in urine

• Positive *Aspergillus* galactomannan in blood (threshold 0.5) or BAL samples (cutoff of ≥1.0 might be more appropriate)

- Positive quantitative *P. jirovecii* PCR with >1450 copies/ml
- Conversely, negative β-D-glucan in blood samples makes *Pneumocystis* pneumonia highly unlikely

The following findings 'do not' represent pathogens causative for lung infiltrates:

- Isolation of enterococci from blood culture, swabs, sputum or BAL
- Coagulase-negative staphylococci or *Corynebacterium* spp. obtained from any sample
- Isolation of *Candida* spp. from swabs, saliva, sputum or tracheal aspirates
- Findings from surveillance cultures, feces and urine cultures. 'Potentially relevant' findings include: common respiratory viruses, isolation of *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Legionella* spp. or atypical mycobacteria in respiratory secretions, positive CMV- or nonquantitative *Pneumocystis*-PCR (without confirmation by other methods) from BAL.

undetermined LI who urgently require histological identification while bronchoscopy and BAL have failed (B-II).

# antimicrobial therapy in patients without documented causative pathogens

Considering the dismal prognosis of febrile neutropenic patients with LI not treated promptly with an appropriate antimicrobial regimen, it is recommended to start therapy on the basis of clinical, imaging and/or laboratory findings indicative of a particular infection in patients at risk for, but without proof of this infection. The type of underlying malignancy or immunosuppression has an instrumental impact on the selection of antimicrobial agents suitable for systemic therapy. In patients without a conclusive microbiological finding (Table 4) and a lack of response to antimicrobial treatment, re-assessment including thoracic CT scan and eventually also bronchoscopy and BAL should be arranged after 7 days (A-II).

patients with severe neutropenia due to chemotherapy for acute leukemia or other aggressive hematologic malignancy. This subgroup of febrile neutropenic patients with LI should be treated with a broad-spectrum  $\beta$ -lactam with antipseudomonal activity, as used for empirical treatment of fever of unknown origin (A-II). Streptococci including cephalosporin-resistant

### **Table 5.** Recommendations for antimicrobial treatment and clinical management—I

Recommendation	Strength
Febrile neutropenic patients with LI not typical for <i>Pneumocystis</i> pneumonia (PcP) or lobar bacterial pneumonia should receive mold-active systemic	A-II
antifungal therapy	
Preferred first-line therapy in this setting is voriconazole or liposomal amphotericin B	A-II
-Patients under current oral posa- or voriconazole prophylaxis should be switched to liposomal amphotericin B	C-III
The dosage of antifungal drugs in this setting is equal to the dosage used for proven mold infection	B-III
In severely neutropenic, hospitalized patients addressed here, antiviral agents, macrolide antibiotics, aminoglycosides or fluoroquinolones should only be given based on a conclusive microbiological finding	D-II
If PcP is suspected because of the pattern of lung infiltrates and new LDH elevation, treatment should be initiated also before bronchoscopy and BAL	B-II
Positive quantitative PCR (>1450 copies/ml) for <i>P. jirovecii</i> from BAL should trigger the start of systemic <i>Pneumocystis</i> treatment	B-II
First choice for treatment of PcP is high-dose trimethoprim–sulfamethoxazole (TMP/SMX)	A-II
In PcP patients intolerant of or refractory to high-dose TMP/SMX, a combination of clindamycin plus primaquine is the preferred alternative	B-II
In (non-HIV) patients with critical respiratory insufficiency due to PcP, adjunctive administration of glucocorticosteroids is not generally recommended and should only be considered in individual patients	C-II
Patients who have been successfully treated for PcP should receive secondary oral prophylaxis to prevent PcP recurrence	A-II
Drugs of choice for secondary PcP prophylaxis are intermittent TMP/SMX or monthly aerosolized pentamidine	B-II

strains [112] must be included in the antimicrobial spectrum (B-II). Additionally, patients with LI not typical for PcP or lobar bacterial pneumonia should receive mold-active systemic antifungal therapy with voriconazole or liposomal amphotericin B (A-II) [113]. This high-risk subgroup of patients has a significant benefit from prompt when compared with delayed mold-active antifungal therapy [114]. It has been shown that patients with invasive aspergillosis treated with voriconazole or liposomal amphotericin B had superior response and survival rates when treated early versus later in the course of the disease (A-II) [115, 116]. In patients pretreated with voriconazole or posaconazole for systemic antifungal prophylaxis and in whom a breakthrough filamentous fungal pneumonia is suspected, measurement of antifungal drug levels and invasive diagnostic procedures should be taken into consideration (B-III) and treatment should be switched to liposomal amphotericin B (C-III). Particularly in patients in whom mucormycosis (zygomycosis) is suspected, liposomal amphotericin B is recommended (A-II).

Table 6. Recommendations for antimicrobial treatment and clinical           management—II		
Recommendation	Strength	
In patients with documented <i>P. aeruginosa</i> pneumonia, treatment with an antipseudomonal β-lactam plus an aminoglycoside is preferred when local <i>in vitro</i> resistance patterns indicate suboptimal activity of antipseudomonal β-lactam antibiotics	B-II	
Antipseudomonal β-lactams suitable for treatment of <i>P. aeruginosa</i> pneumonia are piperacillin (±tazobactam), ceftazidime, imipenem/cilstatin, meropenem or cefepime	A-I	
In patients who cannot be treated with an aminoglycoside, the antipseudomonal β-lactam should be combined with ciprofloxacin	B-II	
The preferred regimen for documented <i>S. maltophilia</i> pneumonia is TMP/SMX	A-II	
The dose of TMP/SMX for treatment of <i>S. maltophilia</i> pneumonia is similar to the treatment of <i>P. jirovecii</i> pneumonia	B-III	
Preferred treatment regimens for CMV pneumonia are i. v. ganciclovir or foscarnet	A-II	
The selection between ganciclovir and foscarnet should be based on the known toxicity profiles of these compounds and, if present, known resistance patterns	A-II	
Response to antimicrobial treatment should be clinically assessed on a daily basis	A-II	
Imaging studies to re-assess treatment response should generally not be ordered earlier than after 7 days of antimicrobial treatment	B-II	
In patients with lack of clinical improvement, CT scan should be repeated after 7 days of treatment	B-II	
Persisting fever, progressive or newly emerged LI and rising proinflammatory parameters after 7 days of treatment typically indicate the need for repeated microbiological diagnostics and a change in the antimicrobial treatment regimen	A-III	
Intensive care should unrestrictedly be provided to patients with respiratory failure unless their prognosis is desperate due to other reasons	A-II	
Multidisciplinary professionals should be involved in intensive care of cancer patients with respiratory failure caused by lung infiltrates	A-II	

Independent from unequivocal documentation of pulmonary fungal infection, systemic antifungal treatment should be continued until hematopoietic recovery and regression of clinical and radiological signs of infection (B-III).

In patients without a microbiologically proven indication, the addition of an aminoglycoside or 5-flucytosine is not recommended due to a lack of benefit (E-I) [117]. In patients who had not received routine anti-*Pneumocystis* prophylaxis, have a thoracic CT scan suggesting PcP, and who have a rapid and otherwise unexplained rise of serum lactate dehydrogenase, prompt start of high-dose trimethoprim–sulfamethoxazole (TMP/SMX) therapy should be considered before bronchoscopy and BAL (B-II) [118]. In case of PcP, BAL will remain positive for this pathogen over several days despite appropriate antimicrobial therapy [119].

Except from selected patients who also have a severe cellular immunosuppression, antiviral agents such as ganciclovir are not recommended for early pre-emptive therapy in febrile neutropenic patients with LI (E-II). In general, glycopeptides, fluoroquinolones or macrolide antibiotics without a specific pathogen documented from clinically significant samples should not be used as well (D-III).

other subgroups of febrile patients with hematological malignancies.

In individual patients undergoing high-dose chemotherapy and autologous hematopoietic stem-cell transplantation (AHSCT) with febrile neutropenia and LI of unknown origin, whose conditioning regimen included total body irradiation or who have been treated with alemtuzumab, antithymocyte globulin or fludarabine, bronchoscopy with BAL to check for CMV disease may be considered (B-III) [120]. A positive rapid culture or 'immediate early antigen' should prompt ganciclovir treatment (5 mg/kg every 12 h) (B-III), while foscarnet has not been investigated in this setting. Since patients after AHSCT have a very low risk of fungal pneumonia [121–123], pre-emptive antifungal therapy should not be given (D-II).

### antimicrobial treatment in patients with documented pathogens

The interpretation of microbiological findings in neutropenic patients with LI is difficult (Table 4). Isolates typically originate from blood cultures or BAL samples. They may represent nonpathogenic contaminants, colonizers, co-pathogens or microorganisms causing a separate infection. If etiologically significant pathogens are detected, particularly multidrug-resistant bacteria, critical reappraisal of antimicrobial treatment to avoid fatal outcome due to delayed effective therapy is recommended (A-II) [124].

antimicrobial treatment of complicated bacterial pneumonias.

In patients with a documented P. aeruginosa pneumonia, primary combination antibacterial therapy including an antipseudomonal β-lactam plus preferably an aminoglycoside or (if an aminoglycoside is contraindicated) ciprofloxacin is recommended by many authors [125-128]. However, meta-analyses have not unequivocally supported this recommendation [129-131], so that adequate  $\beta$ -lactam monotherapy may also be appropriate in this setting (B-II). Antipseudomonal β-lactams suitable for treatment of P. aeruginosa pneumonia are piperacillin (±tazobactam), ceftazidime, imipenem/cilastatin, meropenem and cefepime (A-I). Depending on their in vitro susceptibility pattern, multi-resistant Gram-negative aerobes such as extended-spectrum-\beta-lactamase-(ESBL-) producing E. coli, Enterobacter spp. or Klebsiella spp. as well as Acinetobacter spp. or P. aeruginosa require antimicrobial treatment selected appropriately according to this pattern (A-II). Pharmacokinetic aspects (penetration to lung tissue, possible inactivation by surfactant) must always be included in this selection (A-II). In individual patients with pneumonia caused by multi-resistant Gram-negative pathogens, aerosolized colistin has been successfully used as a part of the antimicrobial strategy [132]. Stenotrophomonas maltophilia (S. maltophilia) rarely causes pneumonia, while it is more frequently isolated from respiratory secretions representing selection of opportunistic microorganisms under broad-spectrum antibacterial treatment.

In patients with suspected or documented *S. maltophilia* pneumonia, early antimicrobial intervention with high-dose TMP/ SMX (15–20 mg/kg/day of trimethoprim) is recommended (B-II) [133, 134]. In individual patients, tigecycline-based treatment may be an appropriate alternative (C-II) [135]. It should be kept in mind that *in vitro* susceptibility may not predict clinical efficacy of antimicrobial agents in *S. maltophilia* infections [136].

While pneumonia caused by methicillin-susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*) should be treated with oxacillin or flucloxacillin, methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* should preferably be treated with vancomycin, if no serious renal insufficiency is present (B-II). Linezolid is a possible alternative for first-line treatment (B-II) [137–139]; however, the risk of severe thrombocytopenia or even pancytopenia associated with linezolid must be taken into consideration [140]. Daptomycin should not be used for treatment of pneumonia, because it is inactivated by surfactant (E-I) [141].

*treatment of CMV pneumonia.* CMV pneumonia typically affects allogeneic stem-cell transplant recipients, but is also relevant in patients treated with lymphocyte-depleting agents like alemtuzumab or fludarabine. First-choice antiviral treatment options are foscarnet or ganciclovir (A-II). Foscarnet is associated with less myelosuppression, which is a serious adverse effect of ganciclovir [142]. On the other hand, reversible nephrotoxicity is one of the typical side-effects of foscarnet [143].

treatment of documented fungal pneumonia. Detailed recommendations for treatment of documented fungal pneumonia are provided in evidence-based guidelines [113, 144, 145]. Intravenous voriconazole (6 mg/kg every 12 h day 1, 4 mg/kg every 12 h thereafter) (A-I) or liposomal amphotericin B (3 mg/ kg/day) (A-II) are recommended first-line choices for treatment of IPA. For mucormycosis (zygomycosis), liposomal amphotericin B is preferred (A-II), the recommended dose is  $\geq 5 \text{ mg/kg/day}$ (A-II). In patients with worsening LI and gas exchange within the first week of treatment, failure of antifungal therapy should only be considered if new LI emerge on control CT scans (B-III). At the same time, other causes such as a second infection, immune reconstitution syndrome, infiltrates caused by the underlying malignancy, toxicity from cancer treatment or yet insufficient duration of antifungal treatment should be ruled out (B-III) [146, 147]. Combination antifungal first-line treatment in patients with invasive mold infections is controversial. A prospective clinical study comparing voriconazole alone with the combination of voriconazole with anidulafungin in patients with proven and probable aspergillosis has not yet been published in detail [148]. For treatment of mucormycosis, a combination of liposomal amphotericin B and an echinocandin may be promising [149, 150]; however, randomized studies on this subject have not been conducted. A combination of liposomal amphotericin B and the iron chelator deferasirox for the treatment of mucormycoses has shown inferior clinical results for the combination when compared with the antifungal agent alone [151].

*treatment of documented* Pneumocystis *pneumonia*. If PcP is suspected, treatment with TMP/SMX (co-trimoxazole) at a dosage of TMP 15–20 mg/kg plus SMX 75–100 mg/kg daily (A-

II) should be initiated immediately after asservation of representative samples (e.g. induced sputum or BAL) (B-II), since treatment delay may enhance mortality [152, 153]. In mild-to-moderate cases (oxygen partial pressure  $pO_2 \ge 70$ mmHg or alveolar-arterial oxygen difference AaDO<sub>2</sub> <45 mmHg) an oral therapy can be discussed, otherwise it should be administered i.v. In patients with proven PcP, treatment with TMP/SMX should be continued for at least 2 weeks (A-II). Clinical improvement should develop within 8 days, otherwise a second infection should be considered and diagnostic procedures repeated. In individual patients with persistent PcP, mutations in the genes for dihydropteroate synthase or dihydrofolate reductase may be taken into consideration [154-157]. In case of treatment failure or TMP/SMX intolerance, atovaquone oral suspension (750 mg twice times daily with meal), i.v. pentamidine (4 mg/kg daily) or clindamycin (600 mg four times daily or 900 mg three times daily i.v.) plus primaquine (30 mg daily p.o.) may represent treatment alternatives [158], with clindamycin + primaquine presumably being the most effective option (C-III) [159]. Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency must be excluded before administration of dapsone or primaquine (A-I). Subsequently, patients should be given secondary prophylaxis (A-II) using oral TMP/SMX at a daily dosage of 160/800 mg given on 3 days per week (B-II) or with monthly pentamidine inhalation at a dose of 300 mg (B-II) [160, 161]. In patients with respiratory failure due to PcP, systemic corticosteroids may be beneficial in AIDS patients, but data are conflicting in non-HIV patients [162, 163]. Recent studies could not show a clinical benefit [164] and were even associated with increased mortality [165].

#### intensive care medicine

Reports on the outcome of cancer patients requiring intensive care have shown hospital survival rates of 60%–70% and higher [166– 168]. Neutropenic patients with respiratory failure due to LI may have a favorable outcome under appropriate intensive care including mechanical ventilation [169–171]. Even if respiratory failure is due to IPA, survival can be achieved in around one third of patients [172]. It is therefore not justified to reject cancer patients from intensive care only because of their underlying malignancy [173]. Multidisciplinary care involving hematology-oncology professionals should be provided during intensive care treatment of these patients (A-II). Intensive care should unrestrictedly be provided to patients with respiratory failure (A-II), except from those whose prognosis is desperate due to other reasons or who have given a personal directive in order to abstain from it.

### funding

None. Travel expenses and costs for group meetings were reimbursed by the German Society for Hematology and Medical Oncology.

### disclosure

GM: Consultations: Gilead; Sponsored research: Pfizer; Honoraria: Astellas, Gilead, MSD, Pfizer. DB: Consultations: Gilead; Sponsored research: Gilead, Pfizer; Honoraria: Astellas, Gilead, Pfizer, MSD; Travel grants: Astellas, MSD, Pfizer. AH: Sponsored research: Pfizer; Honoraria: Astellas, MSD. CPH: Consultations: MSD, Basilea, Astellas, Gilead; Sponsored research: Pfizer; Honoraria: Gilead, MSD, Pfizer. SN: Honoraria: MSD, MR: Consultations: Gilead; Honoraria: Gilead, Pfizer. EA: Consultations: Gilead; Sponsored research: MSD, Pfizer. All other co-authors: nothing to disclose.

### references

- Einsele H, Bertz H, Beyer J et al. Infectious complications after allogeneic stem cell transplantation: epidemiology and interventional therapy strategies guidelines of the Infectious Diseases Working Party (AGIHO) of the German Society of Hematology and Oncology (DGHO). Ann Hematol 2003; 82(Suppl 2): S175–S185.
- Korones DN. Is routine chest radiography necessary for the initial evaluation of fever in neutropenic children with cancer? Pediatr Blood Cancer 2004; 43: 715–717.
- Navigante AH, Cerchietti LC, Costantini P et al. Conventional chest radiography in the initial assessment of adult cancer patients with fever and neutropenia. Cancer Control 2002; 9: 346–351.
- Oude Nijhuis CS, Gietema JA, Vellenga E et al. Routine radiography does not have a role in the diagnostic evaluation of ambulatory adult febrile neutropenic cancer patients. Eur J Cancer 2003; 39: 2495–2498.
- Heussel CP, Kauczor HU, Heussel G et al. Early detection of pneumonia in febrile neutropenic patients: use of thin-section CT. Am J Roentgenol 1997; 169: 1347–1353.
- Heussel CP, Kauczor HU, Heussel GE et al. Pneumonia in febrile neutropenic patients and in bone marrow and blood stem-cell transplant recipients: use of high-resolution computed tomography. J Clin Oncol 1999; 17: 796–805.
- Caillot D, Mannone L, Cuisenier B, Couaillier JF. Role of early diagnosis and aggressive surgery in the management of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis in neutropenic patients. Clin Microbiol Infect 2001; 7(Suppl 2): 54–61.
- Georgiadou SP, Sipsas NV, Marom EM, Kontoyiannis DP. The diagnostic value of halo and reversed halo signs for invasive mold infections in compromised hosts. Clin Infect Dis 2011; 52: 1144–1155.
- Rámila E, Sureda A, Martino R et al. Bronchoscopy guided by high-resolution computed tomography for the diagnosis of pulmonary infections in patients with hematologic malignancies and normal plain chest X-ray. Haematologica 2000; 85: 961–966.
- De Pauw B, Walsh TJ, Donnelly JP et al. Revised definitions of invasive fungal disease from the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer/ Invasive Fungal Infections Cooperative Group and the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases Mycoses Study Group Consensus Group. Clin Infect Dis 2008; 46: 1813–1821.
- Cornillet A, Camus C, Nimubona S et al. Comparison of epidemiological, clinical, and biological features of invasive aspergillosis in neutropenic and nonneutropenic patients: a 6-year survey. Clin Infect Dis 2006; 43: 577–584.
- Godoy MC, Viswanathan C, Marchiori E et al. The reversed halo sign: update and differential diagnosis. Br J Radiol 2012; 85: 1226–1235.
- Suzuki HI, Asai T, Tamaki Z et al. Drug-induced hypersensitivity syndrome with rapid hematopoietic reconstitution during treatment for acute myeloid leukemia. Haematologica 2008; 93: 469–470.
- Caillot D, Latrabe V, Thiébaut A et al. Computer tomography in pulmonary invasive aspergillosis in hematological patients with neutropenia: a useful tool for diagnosis and assessment of outcome in clinical trials. Eur J Radiol 2010; 74: e172–e175.
- Escuissato DL, Gasparetto EL, Marchiori E et al. Pulmonary infections after bone marrow transplantation: high-resolution CT findings in 111 patients. Am J Roentgenol 2005; 185: 608–615.
- Gasparetto EL, Escuissato DL, Marchiori E et al. High-resolution CT findings of respiratory syncytial virus pneumonia after bone marrow transplantation. Am J Roentgenol 2004; 182: 1133–1137.
- 17. Hachem R, Sumoza D, Hanna H et al. Clinical and radiologic predictors of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis in cancer patients: should the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer/Mycosis Study Group criteria be revised? Cancer 2006; 106: 1581–1586.

- Heussel CP, Kauczor HU, Ullmann AJ. Pneumonia in neutropenic patients. Eur Radiol 2004; 14: 256–271.
- Kanne JP, Yandow DR, Meyer CA. *Pneumocystis jiroveci* pneumonia: highresolution CT findings in patients with and without HIV infection. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2012; 198: W555–W561.
- Tasaka S, Tokuda H, Sakai F et al. Comparison of clinical and radiological features of *Pneumocystis* pneumonia between malignancy cases and acquired immunodeficiency syndrome cases: a multicenter study. Intern Med 2010; 49: 273–281.
- Vogel MN, Vatlach M, Weissgerber P et al. HRCT-features of *Pneumocystis jiroveci* pneumonia and their evolution before and after treatment in non-HIV immunocompromised patients. Eur J Radiol 2012; 81: 1315–1320.
- Georgiadou SP, Kontoyiannis DP. Concurrent lung infections in patients with hematological malignancies and invasive pulmonary aspergillosis: how firm is the *Aspergillus* diagnosis? J Infect 2012; 65: 262–268.
- Shorr AF, Susla GM, O'Grady NP. Pulmonary infiltrates in the non-HIV-infected immunocompromised patient: etiologies, diagnostic strategies, and outcomes. Chest 2004; 125: 260–271.
- Sonnet S, Buitrago-Téllez CH, Tamm M et al. Direct detection of angioinvasive pulmonary aspergillosis in immunosuppressed patients: preliminary results with high-resolution 16-MDCT angiography. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2005; 184: 746–751.
- 25. Stanzani M, Battista G, Sassi C et al. Computed tomographic pulmonary angiography for diagnosis of invasive mold diseases in patients with hematological malignancies. Clin Infect Dis 2012; 54: 610–616.
- Attenberger UI, Morelli JN, Henzler T et al. 3Tesla proton MRI for the diagnosis of pneumonia/lung infiltrates in neutropenic patients with acute myeloid leukemia: initial results in comparison to HRCT. Eur J Radiol 2014; 83: e61–e66.
- Eibel R, Herzog P, Dietrich O et al. Pulmonary abnormalities in immunocompromised patients: comparative detection with parallel acquisition MR imaging and thin-section helical CT. Radiology 2006; 241: 880–891.
- Vos FJ, Donnelly JP, Oyen WJ et al. 18F-FDG PET/CT for diagnosing infectious complications in patients with severe neutropenia after intensive chemotherapy for haematological malignancy or stem cell transplantation. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2012; 39: 120–128.
- Caillot D, Couaillier JF, Bernard A et al. Increasing volume and changing characteristics of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis on sequential thoracic computed tomography scans in patients with neutropenia. J Clin Oncol 2001; 19: 253–259.
- Brodoefel H, Vogel M, Hebart H et al. Long-term CT follow-up in 40 non-HIV immunocompromised patients with invasive pulmonary aspergillosis. Am J Roentgenol 2006; 187: 404–413.
- Ellis ME, Spence D, Bouchama A et al. Open lung biopsy provides a higher and more specific diagnostic yield compared to bronchoalveolar lavage in immunocompromised patients. Scand J Infect Dis 1995; 27: 157–162.
- Azoulay E, Mokart D, Rabbat A et al. Diagnostic bronchoscopy in hematology and oncology patients with acute respiratory failure. Crit Care Med 2008; 36: 100–107.
- Hummel M, Rudert S, Hof H et al. Diagnostic yield of bronchoscopy with bronchoalveolar lavage in febrile patients with hematologic malignancies and pulmonary infiltrates. Ann Hematol 2008; 87: 291–297.
- Rolston KV, Bodey GP, Safdar A. Polymicrobial infection in patients with cancer: an underappreciated and underreported entity. Clin Infect Dis 2007; 45: 228–233.
- Dettenkofer M, Wenzler-Rottele S, Babikir R et al. Surveillance of nosocomial sepsis and pneumonia in patients with a bone marrow or peripheral blood stem cell transplant. Clin Infect Dis 2005; 40: 926–931.
- Chamilos G, Luna M, Lewis RE et al. Invasive fungal infections in patients with hematologic malignancies in a tertiary care cancer center: an autopsy study over a 15-year period (1989–2003). Haematologica 2006; 91: 986–989.
- Sharma S, Nadrous HF, Peters SG et al. Pulmonary complications in adult blood and marrow transplant recipients: autopsy findings. Chest 2005; 128: 1385–1392.
- Perfect JR, Cox GM, Lee JY et al. The impact of culture isolation of *Aspergillus* species: a hospital-based survey of aspergillosis. Clin Infect Dis 2001; 33: 1824–1833.
- Azoulay E, Mokart D, Lambert J et al. Diagnostic strategy for hematology and oncology patients with acute respiratory failure: randomized controlled trial. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2010; 182: 1038–1046.

- Boersma WG, Erjavec Z, van der Werf TS et al. Bronchoscopic diagnosis of pulmonary infiltrates in granulocytopenic patients with hematologic malignancies: BAL versus PSB and PBAL. Respir Med 2007; 101: 317–325.
- Jain P, Sandur S, Meli Y et al. Role of flexible bronchoscopy in immunocompromised patients with lung infiltrates. Chest 2004; 125: 712–722.
- 42. Zihlif M, Khanchandani G, Ahmed HP, Soubani AO. Surgical lung biopsy in patients with hematological malignancy or hematopoietic stem cell transplantation and unexplained pulmonary infiltrates: improved outcome with specific diagnosis. Am J Hematol 2005; 78: 94–99.
- 43. Mulabecirovic A, Gaulhofer P, Auner HW et al. Pulmonary infiltrates in patients with haematologic malignancies: transbronchial lung biopsy increases the diagnostic yield with respect to neoplastic infiltrates and toxic pneumonitis. Ann Hematol 2004; 83: 420–422.
- Patel NR, Lee PS, Kim JH et al. The influence of diagnostic bronchoscopy on clinical outcomes comparing adult autologous and allogeneic bone marrow transplant patients. Chest 2005; 127: 1388–1396.
- Peikert T, Rana S, Edell ES. Safety, diagnostic yield, and therapeutic implications of flexible bronchoscopy in patients with febrile neutropenia and pulmonary infiltrates. Mayo Clin Proc 2005; 80: 1414–1420.
- Shah AA, Hazen KC. Diagnostic accuracy of histopathologic and cytopathologic examination of *Aspergillus* species. Am J Clin Pathol 2013; 139: 55–61.
- Daniels CE, Myers JL, Utz JP et al. Organizing pneumonia in patients with hematologic malignancies: a steroid-responsive lesion. Respir Med 2007; 101: 162–168.
- Camus P, Costabel U. Drug-induced respiratory disease in patients with hematological diseases. Semin Respir Crit Care Med 2005; 26: 458–481.
- Armenian SH, La Via WV, Siegel SE, Mascarenhas L. Evaluation of persistent pulmonary infiltrates in pediatric oncology patients. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2007; 48: 165–172.
- Theodore S, Liava'a M, Antippa P et al. Surgical management of invasive pulmonary fungal infection in hematology patients. Ann Thorac Surg 2009; 87: 1532–1538.
- 51. Georgiadou SP, Sampsonas FL, Rice D et al. Open-lung biopsy in patients with undiagnosed lung lesions referred at a tertiary cancer center is safe and reveals noncancerous, noninfectious entities as the most common diagnoses. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2013; 32: 101–105.
- 52. White DA, Wong PW, Downey R. The utility of open lung biopsy in patients with hematologic malignancies. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2000; 161: 723–729.
- Hoffer FA, Gow K, Flynn PM, Davidoff A. Accuracy of percutaneous lung biopsy for invasive pulmonary aspergillosis. Pediatr Radiol 2001; 31: 144–152.
- Carrafiello G, Lagana D, Nosari AM et al. Utility of computed tomography and of fine needle aspiration biopsy in early diagnosis of fungal pulmonary infections. Radiol Med 2006; 111: 33–41.
- Gupta S, Sultenfuss M, Romaguera JE et al. CT-guided percutaneous lung biopsies in patients with haematologic malignancies and undiagnosed pulmonary lesions. Hematol Oncol 2010; 28: 75–81.
- 56. Lass-Flörl C, Resch G, Nachbaur D et al. The value of computed tomographyguided percutaneous lung biopsy for diagnosis of invasive fungal infection in immunocompromised patients. Clin Infect Dis 2007; 45: e101–e104.
- Reinwald M, Spiess B, Heinz WJ et al. Aspergillus PCR-based investigation of fresh tissue and effusion samples in patients with suspected invasive Aspergillosis enhances diagnostic capabilities. J Clin Microbiol 2013; 51: 4178–4185.
- Rickerts V, Mousset S, Lambrecht E et al. Comparison of histopathological analysis, culture, and polymerase chain reaction assays to detect invasive mold infections from biopsy specimens. Clin Infect Dis 2007; 44: 1078–1083.
- Manhire A, Charig M, Clelland C et al. Guidelines for radiologically guided lung biopsy. Thorax 2003; 58: 920–936.
- Chemaly RF, Hanmod SS, Rathod DB et al. The characteristics and outcomes of parainfluenza virus infections in 200 patients with leukemia or recipients of hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Blood 2012; 119: 2738–2745.
- Hirsch HH, Martino R, Ward KN et al. Fourth European Conference on Infections in Leukaemia (ECIL-4): guidelines for diagnosis and treatment of human respiratory syncytial virus, parainfluenza virus, metapneumovirus, rhinovirus, and coronavirus. Clin Infect Dis 2013; 56: 258–266.
- Debur MC, Vidal LR, Stroparo E et al. Human metapneumovirus infection in hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients. Transpl Infect Dis 2010; 12: 173–179.

- 63. Williams JV, Martino R, Rabella N et al. A prospective study comparing human metapneumovirus with other respiratory viruses in adults with hematologic malignancies and respiratory tract infections. J Infect Dis 2005; 192: 1061–1065.
- Hohenthal U, Itälä M, Salonen J et al. Bronchoalveolar lavage in immunocompromised patients with haematological malignancy—value of new microbiological methods. Eur J Haematol 2005; 74: 203–211.
- Boeckh M. Complications, diagnosis, management, and prevention of CMV infections: current and future. Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program 2011; 2011: 305–309.
- Ljungman P, Griffiths P, Paya C. Definitions of cytomegalovirus infection and disease in transplant recipients. Clin Infect Dis 2002; 34: 1094–1097.
- Sing A, Trebesius K, Roggenkamp A et al. Evaluation of diagnostic value and epidemiological implications of PCR for *Pneumocystis carinii* in different immunosuppressed and immunocompetent patient groups. J Clin Microbiol 2000; 38: 1461–1467.
- Ponce CA, Gallo M, Bustamante R, Vargas SL. *Pneumocystis* colonization is highly prevalent in the autopsied lungs of the general population. Clin Infect Dis 2010; 50: 347–353.
- Lu Y, Ling G, Qiang C et al. PCR diagnosis of *Pneumocystis* pneumonia: a bivariate meta-analysis. J Clin Microbiol 2011; 49: 4361–4363.
- Azoulay E, Bergeron A, Chevret S et al. Polymerase chain reaction for diagnosing *Pneumocystis* pneumonia in non-HIV immunocompromised patients with pulmonary infiltrates. Chest 2009; 135: 655–661.
- Alanio A, Desoubeaux G, Sarfati C et al. Real-time PCR assay-based strategy for differentiation between active *Pneumocystis jirovecii* pneumonia and colonization in immunocompromised patients. Clin Microbiol Infect 2011; 17: 1531–1537.
- Hardak E, Neuberger A, Yigla M et al. Outcome of *Pneumocystis jirovecii* pneumonia diagnosed by polymerase chain reaction in patients without human immunodeficiency virus infection. Respirology 2012; 17: 681–686.
- Mühlethaler K, Bögli-Stuber K, Wasmer S et al. Quantitative PCR to diagnose *Pneumocystis* pneumonia in immunocompromised non-HIV patients. Eur Respir J 2012; 39: 971–978.
- 74. Onishi A, Sugiyama D, Kogata Y et al. Diagnostic accuracy of serum 1,3-β-D-glucan for *Pneumocystis jiroveci* pneumonia, invasive candidiasis, and invasive aspergillosis: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Clin Microbiol 2012; 50: 7–15.
- Theel ES, Jespersen DJ, Iqbal S et al. Detection of (1, 3)-β-D-glucan in bronchoalveolar lavage and serum samples collected from immunocompromised hosts. Mycopathologia 2013; 175: 33–41.
- Karageorgopoulos DE, Qu JM, Korbila IP et al. Accuracy of β-D-glucan for the diagnosis of *Pneumocystis jirovecii* pneumonia: a meta-analysis. Clin Microbiol Infect 2013; 19: 39–49.
- 77. Busca A, Locatelli F, Barbui A et al. Usefulness of sequential Aspergillus galactomannan antigen detection combined with early radiologic evaluation for diagnosis of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis in patients undergoing allogeneic stem cell transplantation. Transplant Proc 2006; 38: 1610–1613.
- Einsele H, Hebart H, Roller G et al. Detection and identification of fungal pathogens in blood by using molecular probes. J Clin Microbiol 1997; 35: 1353–1360.
- 79. Maertens J, Verhaegen J, Demuynck H et al. Autopsy-controlled prospective evaluation of serial screening for circulating galactomannan by a sandwich enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for hematological patients at risk for invasive aspergillosis. J Clin Microbiol 1999; 37: 3223–3228.
- Maertens JA, Klont R, Masson C et al. Optimization of the cutoff value for the Aspergillus double-sandwich enzyme immunoassay. Clin Infect Dis 2007; 44: 1329–1336.
- Spiess B, Buchheidt D, Baust C et al. Development of a LightCycler PCR assay for detection and quantification of *Aspergillus* fumigatus DNA in clinical samples from neutropenic patients. J Clin Microbiol 2003; 41: 1811–1818.
- Zou M, Tang L, Zhao S et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of detecting galactomannan in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid for diagnosing invasive aspergillosis. PLoS One 2012; 7: e43347.
- Becker MJ, Lugtenburg EJ, Cornelissen JJ et al. Galactomannan detection in computerized tomography-based broncho-alveolar lavage fluid and serum in haematological patients at risk for invasive pulmonary aspergillosis. Br J Haematol 2003; 121: 448–457.

- Buchheidt D, Baust C, Skladny H et al. Detection of *Aspergillus* species in blood and bronchoalveolar lavage samples from immunocompromised patients by means of 2-step polymerase chain reaction: clinical results. Clin Infect Dis 2001; 33: 428–435.
- Weisser M, Rausch C, Droll A et al. Galactomannan does not precede major signs on a pulmonary computerized tomographic scan suggestive of invasive aspergillosis in patients with hematological malignancies. Clin Infect Dis 2005; 41: 1143–1149.
- Aubry A, Porcher R, Bottero J et al. Occurrence and kinetics of false-positive *Aspergillus* galactomannan test results following treatment with beta-lactam antibiotics in patients with hematological disorders. J Clin Microbiol 2006; 44: 389–394.
- Boonsarngsuk V, Niyompattama A, Teosirimongkol C, Sriwanichrak K. Falsepositive serum and bronchoalveolar lavage *Aspergillus* galactomannan assays caused by different antibiotics. Scand J Infect Dis 2010; 42: 461–468.
- Girmenia C, Santilli S, Ballarò D et al. Enteral nutrition may cause false-positive results of *Aspergillus* galactomannan assay in absence of gastrointestinal diseases. Mycoses 2011; 54: e883–e884.
- Tortorano AM, Esposto MC, Prigitano A et al. Cross-reactivity of *Fusarium* spp. in the *Aspergillus* galactomannan enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. J Clin Microbiol 2012; 50: 1051–1053.
- Hage CA, Reynolds JM, Durkin M et al. Plasmalyte as a cause of false-positive results for *Aspergillus* galactomannan in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid. J Clin Microbiol 2007; 45: 676–677.
- 91. Martín-Rabadán P, Gijón P, Alonso Fernández R et al. False-positive *Aspergillus* antigenemia due to blood product conditioning fluids. Clin Infect Dis 2012; 55: e22–e27.
- Johnson GL, Sarker SJ, Hill K et al. Significant decline in galactomannan signal during storage of clinical serum samples. Int J Mol Sci 2013; 14: 12970–7.
- Ostrosky-Zeichner L, Alexander BD, Kett DH et al. Multicenter clinical evaluation of the (1,3) beta-D-glucan assay as an aid to diagnosis of fungal infections in humans. Clin Infect Dis 2005; 41: 654–659.
- 94. Ruhnke M, Böhme A, Buchheidt D et al. Diagnosis of invasive fungal infections in hematology and oncology guidelines from the Infectious Diseases Working Party in Haematology and Oncology of the German Society for Haematology and Oncology (AGIHO). Ann Oncol 2012; 23: 823–833.
- Lass-Flörl C, Gunsilius E, Gastl G et al. Diagnosing invasive aspergillosis during antifungal therapy by PCR analysis of blood samples. J Clin Microbiol 2004; 42: 4154–4157.
- Ljungman P, von Dobeln L, Ringholm L et al. The value of CMV and fungal PCR for monitoring for acute leukaemia and autologous stem cell transplant patients. Scand J Infect Dis 2005; 37: 121–127.
- Musher B, Fredricks D, Leisenring W et al. *Aspergillus* galactomannan enzyme immunoassay and quantitative PCR for diagnosis of invasive aspergillosis with bronchoalveolar lavage fluid. J Clin Microbiol 2004; 42: 5517–5522.
- White PL, Bretagne S, Klingspor L et al. *Aspergillus* PCR: one step closer to standardization. J Clin Microbiol 2010; 48: 1231–1240.
- Mengoli C, Cruciani M, Barnes RA et al. Use of PCR for diagnosis of invasive aspergillosis: systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Infect Dis 2009; 9: 89–96.
- 100. White PL, Linton CJ, Perry MD et al. The evolution and evaluation of a whole blood polymerase chain reaction assay for the detection of invasive aspergillosis in hematology patients in a routine clinical setting. Clin Infect Dis 2006; 42: 479–486.
- 101. Avni T, Levy I, Sprecher H et al. Diagnostic accuracy of PCR alone compared to galactomannan in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid for diagnosis of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis: a systematic review. J Clin Microbiol 2012; 50: 3652–3658.
- 102. Heng SC, Morrissey O, Chen SC et al. Utility of bronchoalveolar lavage fluid galactomannan alone or in combination with PCR for the diagnosis of invasive aspergillosis in adult hematology patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Crit Rev Microbiol 2013 June 25 [epub ahead of print], doi: 10.3109/ 1040841X.2013.804033.
- Marr KA, Laverdiere M, Gugel A, Leisenring W. Antifungal therapy decreases sensitivity of the *Aspergillus* galactomannan enzyme immunoassay. Clin Infect Dis 2005; 40: 1762–1769.
- McCulloch E, Ramage G, Rajendran R et al. Antifungal treatment affects the laboratory diagnosis of invasive aspergillosis. J Clin Pathol 2012; 65: 83–86.

- 105. Gudiol C, Verdaguer R, Angeles Domínguez M et al. Outbreak of Legionnaires' disease in immunosuppressed patients at a cancer centre: usefulness of universal urine antigen testing and early levofloxacin therapy. Clin Microbiol Infect 2007; 13: 1125–1128.
- 106. von Lilienfeld-Toal M, Dietrich MP, Glasmacher A et al. Markers of bacteremia in febrile neutropenic patients with haematological malignancies: procalcitonin and IL-6 are more reliable than C-reactive protein. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2004; 23: 539–544.
- 107. Monneret G, Doche C, Durand DV et al. Procalcitonin as a specific marker of bacterial infection in adults. Clin Chem Lab Med 1998; 36: 67–68.
- Robinson JO, Lamoth F, Bally F et al. Monitoring procalcitonin in febrile neutropenia: what is its utility for initial diagnosis of infection and reassessment in persistent fever? PLoS One 2011; 6: e18886.
- 109. Maschmeyer G, Heinz WJ, Hertenstein B et al. Immediate versus deferred empirical antifungal (IDEA) therapy in high-risk patients with febrile neutropenia: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter study. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2013; 32: 679–689.
- 110. Kish MA, Infectious Diseases Society of America. Guide to development of practice guidelines. Clin Infect Dis 2001; 32: 851–854.
- Sampsonas F, Kontoyiannis DP, Dickey BF, Evans SE. Performance of a standardized bronchoalveolar lavage protocol in a comprehensive cancer center: a prospective 2-year study. Cancer 2011; 117: 3424–3433.
- 112. Carratalà J, Rosón B, Fernández-Sevilla A et al. Bacteremic pneumonia in neutropenic patients with cancer: causes, empirical antibiotic therapy, and outcome. Arch Intern Med 1998; 158: 868–872.
- 113. Walsh TJ, Anaissie EJ, Denning DW et al. Treatment of aspergillosis: clinical practice guidelines of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. Clin Infect Dis 2008; 46: 327–360.
- Schiel X, Link H, Maschmeyer G et al. A prospective, randomized multicenter trial of the empirical addition of antifungal therapy for febrile neutropenic cancer patients. Infection 2006; 34: 118–126.
- 115. Cornely OA, Maertens J, Bresnik M et al. Efficacy outcomes in a randomised trial of liposomal amphotericin B based on revised EORTC/MSG 2008 definitions of invasive mould disease. Mycoses 2011; 54: e449–e455.
- Greene RE, Schlamm HT, Oestmann JW et al. Imaging findings in acute invasive pulmonary aspergillosis: clinical significance of the halo sign. Clin Infect Dis 2007; 44: 373–379.
- 117. Paul M, Soares-Weiser K, Leibovici L. Beta lactam monotherapy versus beta lactam-aminoglycoside combination therapy for fever with neutropenia: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ 2003; 326: 1111–1119.
- 118. Ainoda Y, Hirai Y, Fujita T et al. Analysis of clinical features of non-HIV *Pneumocystis jirovecii* pneumonia. J Infect Chemother 2012; 18: 722–728.
- 119. Roger PM, Vandenbos F, Pugliese P et al. Persistence of *Pneumocystis carinii* after effective treatment of *P. carinii* pneumonia is not related to relapse or survival among patients infected with human immunodeficiency virus. Clin Infect Dis 1998; 26: 509–510.
- 120. Fassas AB, Bolaños-Meade J, Buddharaju LN et al. Cytomegalovirus infection and non-neutropenic fever after autologous stem cell transplantation: high rates of reactivation in patients with multiple myeloma and lymphoma. Br J Haematol 2001; 112: 237–241.
- Pagano L, Caira M, Nosari A et al. Fungal infections in recipients of hematopoietic stem cell transplants: results of the SEIFEM B-2004 study. Clin Infect Dis 2007; 45: 1161–1170.
- Post MJ, Lass-Floerl C, Gastl G, Nachbaur D. Invasive fungal infections in allogeneic and autologous stem cell transplant recipients. Transpl Infect Dis 2007; 9: 189–195.
- Reich G, Mapara MY, Reichardt P et al. Infectious complications after high-dose chemotherapy and autologous stem cell transplantation. Bone Marrow Transplant 2001; 27: 525–529.
- 124. Hyle EP, Lipworth AD, Zaoutis TE et al. Impact of inadequate initial antimicrobial therapy on mortality in infections due to extended-spectrum beta-lactamaseproducing enterobacteriaceae: variability by site of infection. Arch Intern Med 2005; 165: 1375–1380.
- 125. Garnacho-Montero J, Sa-Borges M, Sole-Violan J et al. Optimal management therapy for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ventilator-associated pneumonia: an

observational, multicenter study comparing monotherapy with combination antibiotic therapy. Crit Care Med 2007; 35: 1888–1895.

- Park SY, Park HJ, Moon SM et al. Impact of adequate empirical combination therapy on mortality from bacteremic *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* pneumonia. BMC Infect Dis 2012; 12: 308.
- Safdar N, Handelsman J, Maki DG. Does combination antimicrobial therapy reduce mortality in Gram-negative bacteraemia? A meta-analysis. Lancet Infect Dis 2004; 4: 519–527.
- Vidaur L, Sirgo G, Rodríguez AH, Rello J. Clinical approach to the patient with suspected ventilator-associated pneumonia. Respir Care 2005; 50: 965–974.
- Chatzinikolaou I, Abi-Said D, Bodey GP et al. Recent experience with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* bacteremia in patients with cancer: retrospective analysis of 245 episodes. Arch Intern Med 2000; 160: 501–509.
- 130. Peña C, Suarez C, Ocampo-Sosa A et al. Effect of adequate single-drug vs combination antimicrobial therapy on mortality in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* bloodstream infections: a post hoc analysis of a prospective cohort. Clin Infect Dis 2013; 57: 208–216.
- Vardakas KZ, Tansarli GS, Bliziotis IA, Falagas ME. β-Lactam plus aminoglycoside or fluoroquinolone combination versus β-lactam monotherapy for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infections: a meta-analysis. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2013; 41: 301–310.
- 132. Michalopoulos A, Kasiakou SK, Mastora Z et al. Aerosolized colistin for the treatment of nosocomial pneumonia due to multidrug-resistant Gram-negative bacteria in patients without cystic fibrosis. Crit Care 2005; 9: R53–R59.
- Aisenberg G, Rolston KV, Dickey BF et al. Stenotrophomonas maltophilia pneumonia in cancer patients without traditional risk factors for infection, 1997– 2004. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2007; 26: 13–20.
- Tada K, Kurosawa S, Hiramoto N et al. *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* infection in hematopoietic SCT recipients: high mortality due to pulmonary hemorrhage. Bone Marrow Transplant 2013; 48: 74–79.
- 135. Tekçe YT, Erbay A, Cabadak H, Sen S. Tigecycline as a therapeutic option in *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* infections. J Chemother 2012; 24: 150–154.
- Carroll KC, Cohen S, Nelson R et al. Comparison of various in vitro susceptibility methods for testing *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 1998; 32: 229–235.
- Kalil AC, Klompas M, Haynatzki G, Rupp ME. Treatment of hospital-acquired pneumonia with linezolid or vancomycin: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ Open 2013; 3: e003912.
- Walkey AJ, O'Donnell MR, Wiener RS. Linezolid vs glycopeptide antibiotics for the treatment of suspected methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* nosocomial pneumonia: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Chest 2011; 139: 1148–1155.
- Wunderink RG, Rello J, Cammarata SK et al. Linezolid vs vancomycin: analysis of two double-blind studies of patients with methicillin- resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* nosocomial pneumonia. Chest 2003; 124: 1789–1797.
- Faguer S, Kamar N, Fillola G et al. Linezolid-related pancytopenia in organtransplant patients: report of two cases. Infection 2007; 35: 275–277.
- Silverman JA, Mortin LI, Vanpraagh AD et al. Inhibition of daptomycin by pulmonary surfactant: in vitro modeling and clinical impact. J Infect Dis 2005; 191: 2149–2152.
- Mori T, Kato J. Cytomegalovirus infection/disease after hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Int J Hematol 2010; 91: 588–595.
- Wagstaff AJ, Bryson HM. Foscarnet. A reappraisal of its antiviral activity, pharmacokinetic properties and therapeutic use in immunocompromised patients with viral infections. Drugs 1994; 48: 199–226.
- 144. Limper AH, Knox KS, Sarosi GA et al. American Thoracic Society Fungal Working Group. An official American Thoracic Society statement: treatment of fungal infections in adult pulmonary and critical care patients. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2011; 183: 96–128.
- 145. Mousset S, Buchheidt D, Heinz W et al. Treatment of invasive fungal infections in cancer patients-updated recommendations of the Infectious Diseases Working Party (AGIHO) of the German Society of Hematology and Oncology (DGHO). Ann Hematol 2014; 93: 13–32.
- 146. Maschmeyer G, Haas A. Defining clinical failure for salvage studies. Med Mycol 2006; 44: S315–S318.

- 147. Segal BH, Herbrecht R, Stevens DA et al. Defining responses to therapy and study outcomes in clinical trials of invasive fungal diseases: Mycoses Study Group and European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer consensus criteria. Clin Infect Dis 2008; 47: 674–683.
- 148. Marr KA, Schlamm H, Rottinghaus ST et al. A Randomised, Double-Blind Study of Combination Antifungal Therapy with Voriconazole and Anidulafungin Versus Voriconazole Monotherapy for Primary Treatment of Invasive Aspergillosis. In: 22nd European Congress of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, London, UK, 31 March–3 April 2012, abstr #LB2812.
- Reed C, Bryant R, Ibrahim AS et al. Combination polyene-caspofungin treatment of rhino-orbital-cerebral mucormycosis. Clin Infect Dis 2008; 47: 364–371.
- 150. Spellberg B, Ibrahim A, Roilides E et al. Combination therapy for mucormycosis: why, what, and how? Clin Infect Dis 2012; 54(Suppl 1): S73–S78.
- 151. Spellberg B, Ibrahim AS, Chin-Hong PV et al. The Deferasirox-AmBisome Therapy for Mucormycosis (DEFEAT Mucor) study: a randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled trial. J Antimicrob Chemother 2012; 67: 715–722.
- 152. Asai N, Motojima S, Ohkuni Y et al. Early diagnosis and treatment are crucial for the survival of *Pneumocystis* pneumonia patients without human immunodeficiency virus infection. J Infect Chemother 2012; 18: 898–905.
- 153. Li MC, Lee NY, Lee CC et al. *Pneumocystis jiroveci* pneumonia in immunocompromised patients: delayed diagnosis and poor outcomes in non-HIVinfected individuals. J Microbiol Immunol Infect 2014; 47: 42–47.
- 154. Dini L, du Plessis M, Frean J, Fernandez V. High prevalence of dihydropteroate synthase mutations in *Pneumocystis jirovecii* isolated from patients with *Pneumocystis* pneumonia in South Africa. J Clin Microbiol 2010; 48: 2016–2021.
- Le Gal S, Damiani C, Perrot M et al. Circulation of *Pneumocystis* dihydropteroate synthase mutants in France. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 2012; 74: 119–124.
- 156. Nahimana A, Rabodonirina M, Zanetti G et al. Association between a specific *Pneumocystis jiroveci* dihydropteroate synthase mutation and failure of pyrimethamine/sulfadoxine prophylaxis in human immunodeficiency viruspositive and -negative patients. J Infect Dis 2003; 188: 1017–1023.
- 157. Queener SF, Cody V, Pace J et al. Trimethoprim resistance of dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) variants from clinical isolates of *Pneumocystis jirovecii*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2013; 57: 4990–4998.
- 158. Thomas CF, Jr, Limper AH. *Pneumocystis* pneumonia. N Engl J Med 2004; 350: 2487–2498.
- 159. Smego RA, Jr, Nagar S, Maloba B, Popara M. A meta-analysis of salvage therapy for *Pneumocystis carinii* pneumonia. Arch Intern Med 2001; 161: 1529–1533.
- Hughes WT, Rivera GK, Schell MJ et al. Successful intermittent chemoprophylaxis for *Pneumocystis carinii* pneumonitis. N Engl J Med 1987; 316: 1627–1632.
- 161. Schneider MM, Hoepelman AI, Eeftinck Schattenkerk JK et al. A controlled trial of aerosolized pentamidine or trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole as primary prophylaxis against *Pneumocystis carinii* pneumonia in patients with human immunodeficiency virus infection. The Dutch AIDS Treatment Group. N Engl J Med 1992; 327: 1836–1841.
- 162. Delclaux C, Zahar JR, Amraoui G et al. Corticosteroids as adjunctive therapy for severe *Pneumocystis carinii* pneumonia in non-human immunodeficiency virusinfected patients. Clin Infect Dis 1999; 29: 670–672.
- Pareja JG, Garland R, Koziel H. Use of adjunctive corticosteroids in severe adult non-HIV *Pneumocystis carinii* pneumonia. Chest 1998; 113: 1215–1224.
- 164. Moon SM, Kim T, Sung H et al. Outcomes of moderate-to-severe *Pneumocystis* pneumonia treated with adjunctive steroid in non-HIV-infected patients. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2011; 55: 4613–4618.
- Lemiale V, Debrumetz A, Delannoy A et al. Adjunctive steroid in HIV-negative patients with severe *Pneumocystis* pneumonia. Respir Res 2013; 14: 87.
- 166. Azoulay E, Mokart D, Pène F et al. Outcomes of critically ill patients with hematologic malignancies: prospective multicenter data from France and Belgium—a groupe de recherche respiratoire en reanimation oncohematologique study. J Clin Oncol 2013; 31: 2810–2818.
- 167. Bird GT, Farquhar-Smith P, Wigmore T et al. Outcomes and prognostic factors in patients with haematological malignancy admitted to a specialist cancer intensive care unit: a 5 yr study. Br J Anaesth 2012; 108: 452–459.
- Taccone FS, Artigas AA, Sprung CL et al. Characteristics and outcomes of cancer patients in European ICUs. Crit Care 2009; 13: R15.

- 169. Cherif H, Martling CR, Hansen J et al. Predictors of short and long-term outcome in patients with hematological disorders admitted to the intensive care unit for a life-threatening complication. Support Care Cancer 2007; 15: 1393–1398.
- 170. Maschmeyer G, Bertschat FL, Moesta KT et al. Outcome analysis of 189 consecutive cancer patients referred to the intensive care unit as emergencies during a 2-year period. Eur J Cancer 2003; 39: 783–792.
- 171. Owczuk R, Wujtewicz MA, Sawicka W et al. Patients with haematological malignancies requiring invasive mechanical ventilation: differences between survivors and non-survivors in intensive care unit. Support Care Cancer 2005; 13: 332–338.
- 172. Burghi G, Lemiale V, Seguin A et al. Outcomes of mechanically ventilated hematology patients with invasive pulmonary aspergillosis. Intensive Care Med 2011; 37: 1605–1612.
- 173. Sculier JP. Intensive care and oncology. Support Care Cancer 1995; 3: 93–105.

Annals of Oncology 26: 33–39, 2015 doi:10.1093/annonc/mdu207 Published online 6 June 2014

### **Circulating tumour cells as tumour biomarkers in melanoma: detection methods and clinical relevance**

L. Khoja<sup>1</sup>, P. Lorigan<sup>1</sup>, C. Dive<sup>2</sup>, U. Keilholz<sup>3</sup> & A. Fusi<sup>1,3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medical Oncology, The Christie NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester; <sup>2</sup>Clinical and Experimental Pharmacology, The Paterson Institute for Cancer Research, Manchester, UK; <sup>3</sup>Department of Medical Oncology, Charité Comprehensive Cancer Center, Berlin, Germany

Received 1 February 2014; revised 24 April 2014; accepted 5 May 2014

Circulating tumour cells (CTCs) are cells of solid tumour origin detectable in the peripheral blood. Their occurrence is considered a prerequisite step for establishing distant metastases. Metastatic melanoma was the first malignancy in which CTCs were detected and numerous studies have been published on CTC detection in melanoma at various stages of disease. In spite of this, there is no general consensus as to the clinical utility of CTCs in melanoma, largely due to conflicting results from heterogeneous studies and discrepancies in methods of detection between studies. In this review, we examine the possible clinical significance of CTCs in cutaneous, mucosal and ocular melanoma, focusing on detection methods and prognostic value of CTC detection.

Key words: circulating tumour cells, melanoma, review, RT-PCR, ISET, immunomagnetic enrichment

### introduction

Circulating tumour cells (CTCs) are cells of solid tumour origin detectable in the peripheral blood. They are considered a prerequisite step in establishing distant metastases. CTC number has been shown to correlate with clinical outcome in several cancers including breast, prostate, colon and lung cancer [1–5]. In 2011, the US Food and Drug Administration approved the semi-automated and robust immunomagnetic enrichment and staining system; CellSearch<sup>™</sup> (Veridex, Raritan, NJ), as an aid for monitoring metastatic breast, colorectal and prostatic carcinomas.

Metastatic melanoma was the first malignancy in which CTCs were detected. Smith et al. [6] reported the presence of melanoma cells in the peripheral blood of patients with metastatic cutaneous melanoma by identifying melanoma CTCs through mRNA transcript detection of specific markers. Several studies have been published on CTC detection in melanoma at various stages of disease. The studies reported vary considerably with respect to patient populations, timing of sampling, method of CTC detection, and assay quality control measures. There is no general consensus as to the clinical utility of CTCs in melanoma, largely due to conflicting results in studies using differing approaches, the heterogeneity of melanoma CTCs and the scarcity of CTC analyses within prospective clinical trials.

In this review, we examine the clinical significance of CTCs in cutaneous, mucosal and ocular melanoma, focusing on detection methods, prognostic, and predictive value of CTC detection.

### materials and methods

We carried out a literature search using PubMed and ISI Web of Knowledge. The key words variably combined included 'circulating tumour cells', 'CTC', 'melanoma', 'circulating melanoma cells', 'CMC' and 'prognosis'. Only studies published in English from January 2000 till December 2013 in peer reviewed journals were considered. For cutaneous melanoma, only studies with >100 patients were reviewed for the assessment of CTC clinical significance.

© The Author 2014. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the European Society for Medical Oncology. All rights reserved. For permissions, please email: journals.permissions@oup.com.

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence to: Dr Alberto Fusi, Department of Medical Oncology, The Christie NHS Foundation Trust, Wilmslow Road, Manchester M20 4BX, UK. Tel: +44-161-4463000; E-mail: alberto.fusi@christie.nhs.uk