Review Article

Study of the Immune Response in the Elderly: Is It Necessary to Develop a Vaccine against *Neisseria meningitidis* for the Aged?

Gabriela Trzewikoswki de Lima^{1,2} and Elizabeth De Gaspari

¹Department of Immunology, Adolfo Lutz Institute, São Paulo, Brazil ²Interunits Post-Graduate Program in Biotechnology, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

Correspondence should be addressed to Elizabeth De Gaspari; elizabeth.gaspari@ial.sp.gov.br

Received 15 April 2019; Accepted 1 August 2019; Published 22 August 2019

Academic Editor: Carmela R. Balistreri

Copyright © 2019 Gabriela Trzewikoswki de Lima and Elizabeth De Gaspari. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Literature reports the association between aging and decline in the immune system function. The elderly have a higher risk of developing infectious diseases and are often less responsive to vaccines that are effective in the young. The case fatality rate of invasive meningococcal disease is higher in the elderly; therefore, vaccination for this population should be evaluated. Although new vaccines have been developed against *Neisseria meningitidis*, there is still a need to evaluate a vaccine for those older than 60 years, as the currently licensed vaccines are not indicated for this population.

1. Introduction

Immune responses are essential to promote the organism's defense. However, aging seems to be associated with the dysfunction of the immune response [1]. This process is called immunosenescence and is associated with increased susceptibility of the elderly to developing infections, cancer, and autoimmune diseases. Moreover, the responses to vaccines are usually reduced in the elderly [2].

Aging is associated with a paradox where a state of basal chronic inflammation, prevalent even in the absence of diseases, coexists with a state of immunodeficiency [3]. This persistent inflammation, commonly called inflammaging, is possibly associated with continuous exposure to antigens, combined with the increase in the secretion of proinflammatory cytokines by senescent cells and oxidative stress, which involves the production of free radicals and toxic products [4].

The process of aging affects both innate and adaptive immune responses; however, the first seems to be less affected [5]. Figure 1 shows the main changes associated with aging in cells of the innate and adaptive immune system.

The innate immune response is the body's first line of defense against pathogens. The innate immune cells

recognize and react to pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) and damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) through specific receptors and play a role in eliminating invasive pathogens. Besides, the innate immune system possess physical barriers, such as the epidermis [6].

Collectively, the main characteristics of the aging process regarding the innate system are the immune stimulation in the basal level on the one hand and immune paralysis when specific functions are needed, such as phagocytosis, chemotaxis, presentation of antigens, and production of cytokines and reactive oxygen species (ROS), on the other hand [7]. The expression of pattern-recognition receptors (PRRs) and costimulatory molecules also seems to be decreased in the innate immune cells [8].

The adaptive immune system is composed of cellular and humoral immune responses. T cells are the main components of the cellular response and are basically divided into two populations, CD4+ and CD8+, according to their functions. CD4+ T cells are also called helper T cells and regulate the function of other cells of the immune system. CD8+ T cells, also called cytotoxic T cells, are responsible for eliminating infected cells. B lymphocytes have the main function of producing antibodies and, therefore, are related to the humoral immune response. The adaptive immune

Innate immune cells	Age-associated changes		
	(i) ↓ Phagocytosis		
Neutrophils	(ii) ↓ Chemotaxis		
readoptilis	(iii) \downarrow Apoptosis function		
	(iv) \downarrow ROS production		
	(i) \downarrow Antigen presentation		
	(ii) \downarrow Nitric oxide and superoxide production		
Macrophages	(iii) \downarrow TLR expression and function		
	(iv) \downarrow Phagocytosis		
	(v) \downarrow Cytokine and chemokine production		
	(i) \downarrow Cytolytic activity		
Natural killer cells	(ii) ↓ Cytokine and chemokine production		
	(iii) \downarrow CD1 expression in NKT cells		
	(i) \downarrow IFN production		
Don dritio collo	(ii) \downarrow Antigen uptake and presentation		
Dendritic cells	(iii) ↓ Expression of MHC class II molecules		
	(iv) \downarrow Migration to lymph nodes		
Adaptive immune cells			
	(i) \downarrow Naive cell number		
	(ii) ↑ Memory cell number		
T cells	(iii) \downarrow CD8+ T cell response, cytotoxicity, and proliferation		
	(iv) \downarrow CD4+ T cell response and proliferation		
	(v) \downarrow TCR diversity		
	(i) \downarrow Naive cell number		
	(ii) ↓ Responses to new antigens		
D collo	(iii) \downarrow BCR diversity		
B cells	(iv) \downarrow Antibody affinity and isotype switching		
	(v) \downarrow Signal transduction		
	(vi) \downarrow Expression of MHC class II molecules		

FIGURE 1: Changes associated with aging in cells of innate and adaptive immunity.

response depends on the generation of a vast repertoire of antigen receptors in these cells and their subsequent activation and clonal expansion. The activation of the adaptive immune response depends not only on the recognition of antigens but also on secondary signs provided by the innate immune response [6].

Thymic involution is one of the most prominent characteristics of aging and is associated with the decline of naive T cells. During the last decades, it has become increasingly clear that, along the adulthood, the homeostasis of T cells is maintained primarily by the peripheral proliferation of naive and memory T cells and not by the production of new T cells [9].

When evaluated separately, T cell subsets show a reduction of two- to fivefold in the number of naive T cells in healthy elderly individuals [10]. Also, naive T cells of the elderly present decreased cytokine production, less clonal expansion, and decreased expression of activation markers after the primary antigen presentation by antigen-presenting cells (APCs) [11].

Despite that the number of effector and memory T cells increases with age, the vaccination response in the elderly is impaired, which is indicative of the gradual decline of functional response [12]. In fact, it is observed that senescent T cells present defects in activation, memory, signaling, clonal expansion, and development of antigen-specific effector cells and long-lived memory cells [5]. As cytokines modulate the immune response, it has been proposed that changes in the cytokine production may contribute to the functional defects of T cells. With aging, there is a change in the cytokine profile, for predominantly IL-4 and IL-10 [13].

Furthermore, the repertoire of T-cell receptors (TCRs) present in individuals between 70 and 85 years is significantly smaller than the one found in individuals between 20 and 35 years [10]. Senescent T lymphocytes also present reduced expression of cell surface receptors, such as CD28 (important in lymphocyte activation) and CD27 (associated with the proliferative capacity of T lymphocytes) [14, 15]. The expression of the costimulatory molecule CD40L can also be reduced in CD4+ T cells of elderly individuals, which can affect the response in the germinal centers [16].

The number of B-cell precursors in the bone marrow of the elderly remains relatively stable, but there is a significant reduction in the number of mature B cells in the peripheral blood [17]. Also, there are a limited diversity of B-cell receptors (BCRs) and a decrease in the population of naive B cells. The intrinsic defects of B cells related to aging include a decrease in the expression of activation-induced cytidine deaminase (AID) and a decreased number of switched memory B cells [1]. This leads to the production of shortlived, low-affinity antibodies that may have defects in isotype switching, reflecting a low ability to respond effectively against pathogens [11].

2. Vaccination in the Elderly

The decrease in birth rate and increase in life expectancy have caused the progressive rise of the elderly population worldwide [5]. The increasing population density of those aged more than 65 years requires new strategies to ensure that health and well-being remain with advancing age.

Infections are one of the leading causes of morbidity and mortality in the elderly and may present different clinical features of those observed in young adults, regarding signs, symptoms, and progression, which can hinder the early diagnosis and impair the treatment, making their prevention even more important [5].

The susceptibility to infections, such as influenza virus, meningococcus, group B streptococcus, pneumococcus, respiratory syncytial virus, and varicella-zoster virus, becomes higher in this age group. As such, they need more frequent booster vaccinations, in many cases with vaccines specifically designed to stimulate the immune system of the elderly to respond better to vaccination [18]. Therefore, vaccinating older people with existing vaccines or developing new improved vaccines against pathogens that affect this population is one of the main interventions to prevent infections and ensure the health of the elderly.

Few vaccines are recommended to the elderly, such as influenza, herpes zoster, diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis, and pneumococcal vaccines [11]; however, these vaccines are less effective in the aged population than in the young [19].

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) estimates that the efficacy of the influenza vaccine in those aged less than 65 years is 70–90%, whereas in those aged 65 years or older, the efficacy is 30–40% [20]. Likewise, the efficacy of the attenuated virus vaccine against herpes zoster (ZOSTAVAX®) decreases with aging: 69.8% in individuals between 50 and 59 years, 64% in people between 60 and 69 years, 41% in people between 70 and 79 years, and only 18% in individuals above 80 years [21].

The most common approaches to improve the effectiveness of vaccines in the elderly include increasing the antigenic content per dose, changing the route of administration, giving booster doses, and using adjuvants [22]. The use of adjuvants has the aim to potentialize activation of APCs, cytokine production, and stimulation of B and T cells. Different routes of administration may deliver the antigen to sites enriched with APCs, optimizing its activation and presentation of antigens. The increase in the antigenic dose has the purpose to improve their presentation and, therefore, the activation of T cells [23].

Several strategies to improve the effectiveness of influenza vaccines in the elderly were addressed successfully, such as the use of adjuvant MF59 [24], the increase in the antigenic content from $15 \,\mu g$ to $60 \,\mu g$ per dose [25], and a vaccine administered via the intradermal route rather than the intramuscular route [26]. These vaccines stimulated a higher humoral response compared to those previously used, especially the MF59-adjuvanted vaccine. A study conducted in Italy showed that the risk of hospitalization for influenza or pneumonia was 25% lower in subjects vaccinated with the adjuvanted vaccine than in those vaccinated with the nonadjuvanted vaccine [27]. Another example is the novel herpes zoster subunit vaccine, which contains the varicella-zoster virus E glycoproteins and the AS01B adjuvant, yielding 97.9% efficacy, regardless of age, unlike the vaccine ZOSTAVAX[®] mentioned above, which presents reduced efficacy in the elderly [28].

3. Meningococcal Vaccines

Meningococcal disease can progress very rapidly, and death can occur within 24 to 48 hours after the initial symptoms. The response of B cells to meningococcal infection may not occur quickly enough since immune memory will mount an adequate defense against a known antigen in approximately 2 to 7 days, and the incubation period of meningococcal disease is 3 to 4 days. Therefore, the maintenance of protective levels of circulating antibodies by vaccination is important in prevention against meningococcal disease [29].

Meningococcal vaccines produced from capsular polysaccharides were introduced in the 70s decade; however, they are poorly immunogenic in toddlers and do not induce immunologic memory. These vaccines induce mainly IgM and short-lived bactericidal antibodies. Besides, repeated immunization with this vaccine may induce hyporesponsiveness [30, 31]. The conjugation of the capsular polysaccharide to a carrier protein improves its immunogenicity because it allows a T-cell-dependent response, inducing high-avidity antibodies, higher bactericidal activity, immunologic memory, and responsiveness to booster doses [32]. Nowadays, polysaccharides and conjugated vaccines against serogroups A, C, W-135, and Y are available [33]. Polysaccharide and conjugated vaccines to serogroup B were developed; however, these vaccines did not induce an effective humoral response [34, 35], and this might be due to the structural similarity of the capsular polysialic acid $\alpha 2 \longrightarrow 8$ and the embryonic neural cell adhesion molecules (N-CAMs) [36]. This similarity is a concern as it might lead to the development of autoimmunity. It has been reported the presence of IgM antibodies is directed against embryonic N-CAMs in the serum of patients suffering from group B meningitis [37]. The major concern in the administration of this vaccine would be the risk in women who become pregnant; if IgG antibodies against embryonic N-CAMs were developed after vaccination, there is a chance that these antibodies cross the placenta and cause damage to the fetal central nervous system [38]. Thus, many researchers concluded that developing a MenB polysaccharide vaccine presented more risks than benefits. So, MenB vaccine development was focused on subcapsular antigens, such as outer membrane proteins (OMPs) and outer membrane vesicles (OMVs) [39]. 4CMenB (Bexsero®) and rLP2086 (Trumenba®) are recently developed MenB vaccines, composed by OMPs; they are licensed in a few countries, and the initial studies suggest good immunogenicity and safety [30].

In the United States, between 1998 and 2007, 14.4% of invasive meningococcal disease (IMD) cases occurred in

individuals aged 65 years or more; at that same period, the case fatality rate (CFR) of this age group was 23.2%, the highest between any age groups [40]. Also, in the United States, between 2006 and 2015, IMD cases in subjects aged >65 years accounted for 17.3% of total cases, and the CFR in those older than 85 years was higher than in any other age groups [41]. In Australia, in 2015, 20.1% of laboratoryconfirmed cases of IMD occurred in people aged \geq 65 years [42]. In Japan, between 2013 and 2014, most cases of IMD occurred in adults older than 50 years [43]. Approximately 14.7% of IMD cases occurred in individuals older than 50 years in an analysis of 25 European countries between 2004 and 2014 [44]. In France, between 2006 and 2015, 11.3% of IMD cases occurred in people aged 60 years or more, besides this age group presented the highest CFR [45]. Despite that the incidence of IMD in the elderly is relatively low, the CFR is high, so we consider it is important to evaluate the use of meningococcal vaccines to prevent disease in the elderly.

Table 1 presents characteristics of currently licensed meningococcal conjugate and protein vaccines, and these vaccines are not indicated to individuals over 55 years of age, given the lack of studies. The only meningococcal vaccine licensed to subjects older than 55 years is the plain polysaccharide ACWY (Menomune®) [56]. In some countries, meningococcal vaccines are indicated for individuals over 55 years considered at high risk (those with certain medical, occupational, or lifestyle indications and travelers to areas with high endemic rates for the infection) [57].

Dbaibo et al. [58] evaluated the immunogenicity and safety of a meningococcal conjugate ACWY-TT and a polysaccharide ACWY vaccine in adults over 55 years. After a single dose of immunization, \geq 93.2% of the individuals that received the conjugated vaccine and \geq 93.9% of the individuals that received the polysaccharide vaccine presented serum bactericidal antibodies (rSBA) with titers \geq 1:128. The individuals over 65 years exhibited vaccine responses lower than those aged 56–65 years. Given these results, they concluded that these vaccines were immunogenic in the individuals evaluated.

Stamboulian et al. [56] assessed the immunogenicity of a meningococcal conjugate ACWY-CRM197 and a poly-saccharide ACWY vaccine in individuals aged 56–65 years. The conjugate vaccine was considered superior to the polysaccharide one, achieving a higher percentage of seroresponse for all serogroups. The immunogenicity of MenACWY-CRM was similar between the groups aged 19–55 and 56–65 years.

Hutchins et al. [59] described that elderly individuals show decreased levels of antibodies after immunization with the meningococcal ACWY polysaccharide vaccine than young subjects and that the level of these antibodies decreased more rapidly. Besides, bactericidal activity in those aged 60–88 years was significantly lower.

Lalwani et al. [60] assessed the immunogenicity of a meningococcal ACWY-CRM conjugate vaccine in healthy Indian subjects aged 2 to 75 years and concluded that it generated a robust immune response; however, the aged subjects were included in a group of people aged 19– 75 years, and so it was difficult to distinguish the specific response of the subjects older than 60 years in this study. The same occurred in the study of Ramasamy et al. [61], which compared the immunogenicity of a quadrivalent conjugate vaccine (MenACWY-CRM) with that of a quadrivalent polysaccharide vaccine (MenACWY-PS) in healthy adults aged 18–70 years; both vaccines were considered immunogenic, but again the older individuals were not evaluated separately.

The few studies about the effectiveness of meningococcal vaccines in the elderly [56, 58] suggest that it would be possible to adapt the currently available conjugate vaccines to older individuals, which would be more viable than developing new vaccines specifically for the elderly. As described earlier in this article, some vaccines, such as FLUAD[®], Fluzone High-Dose[®], Intanza[®] 15 μ g (influenza), and Shingrix[®] (varicella-zoster virus), have been specifically designed to improve the elderly's immune response. However, because of the relatively low incidence of meningococcal disease, it might not be interesting for the pharmaceutical industry to develop a new meningococcal vaccine targeting specifically the elderly.

The approach of expanding age indication to the already existing vaccines was used for the tetanus toxoid, diphtheria toxoid, and acellular pertussis (Tdap) and pneumococcal vaccines after studies indicated they were safe, well tolerated, and immunogenic in adults aged 65 years and older [62–65]. Furthermore, studies are being conducted to try to expand the age indication of other existing vaccines, such as the rotavirus [66].

Further studies are required to ensure the safety and efficacy of meningococcal vaccines in adults aged 65 years and older, as it has been described several changes in the immune system of the elderly may lead to diminished vaccine response. Furthermore, to our knowledge, there are no studies of meningococcal serogroup B vaccines such as 4CMenB (Bexsero®) and rLP2086 (Trumenba®) in individuals of this age group.

Perhaps, the vaccination of the elderly against *N. meningitidis* is not considered a priority because of the low incidence of the disease. Nevertheless, we believe that given the increasing proportion of older people in the population and the high CFR of meningococcal disease in the elderly, it would be interesting to evaluate the insertion of these vaccines in the immunization programs for this age group, especially in countries with high and intermediate endemic disease and during outbreaks. Also, vaccines can generate other benefits, such as a lower overall cost of healthcare.

Studies are required to evaluate if introducing meningococcal vaccines for adults aged 65 years and older into immunization programs is cost-effective, and in this way, health authorities can decide whether the benefit of vaccinating the elderly with these vaccines is worthwhile. Meningococcal disease is one of the several infections that can affect the elderly. Further studies about the burden of the infectious diseases in the elderly are necessary to define public health priorities and assess the need for vaccination against these pathogens.

Given the fact that the elderly are more likely to evolve to death when infected with *N. meningitidis* and the lack of

5

TABLE 1: Meningococcal conjugate and protein vaccines currently licensed and their composition, age indication, and immunization schemes.

Vaccine	Pharma	Composition	Age group indicated	Immunization scheme	Reference
Menjugate [®]	GlaxoSmithKline (GSK)	Meningococcal C oligosaccharide conjugated with CRM197 + aluminum hydroxide	>2 months of age, teenagers, and adults	2–12 months: two doses with an interval of at least 2 months between the doses >12 months, teenagers, and adults: a single dose	[46]
Menactra®	Sanofi Pasteur	Meningococcal A, C, Y, and W-135 polysaccharides conjugated with DT	9 months through 55 years of age	9–23 months: two doses with an interval of at least 3 months between the doses 2–55 years: a single dose	[47]
MenAfriVac®	Serum Institute of India	Meningococcal A polysaccharide conjugated with TT + aluminum phosphate	1 year of age, adolescents, and adults up to 29 years of age	A single dose	[48]
Meningitec [®]	Pfizer	Meningococcal C oligosaccharide conjugated with CRM197 + aluminum phosphate	>6 weeks of age, adolescents, and adults	<12 months: three doses with an interval of at least 1 month between the doses >12 months, adolescents, and adults: a single dose	[49]
Meninvact [®]	Sanofi Pasteur	Meningococcal C oligosaccharide conjugated with CRM197 + aluminum hydroxide	>2 months of age, teenagers, and adults	2–12 months: two doses with an interval of at least 2 months between the doses >12 months, teenagers, and adults: a single dose	[50]
Menveo®	GlaxoSmithKline (GSK)	Meningococcal A, C, Y, and W-135 oligosaccharides conjugated with CRM197	>2 months through 55 years of age	2-6 months: four doses, administrated at 2, 4, 6, and 12 months of age 7-23 months, nonvaccinated: two doses with an interval of at least 3 months between the doses >2 years, teenagers, and adults through 55 years: a single dose	[51]
Neisvac-C®	Baxter	Meningococcal C polysaccharide conjugated with TT + aluminum hydroxide	>2 months and adults	2–12 months: two doses with an interval of at least 2 months between the doses >12 months, teenagers, and adults: a single dose	[52]
Nimenrix®	Pfizer	Meningococcal A, C, Y, and W-135 polysaccharides conjugated with TT	>12 months and adults	A single dose	[53]
Bexsero®	GlaxoSmithKline (GSK)	NHBA + NadA + fHbp + OMVs from NZ98/254 + aluminum hydroxide	>2 months and adults	 2-5 months: three doses with an interval not less than 1 month; booster dose between 12 and 15 months 3-5 months: two doses with an interval of at least 2 months between the doses; booster dose between 12 and 15 months 6-11 months: two doses with an interval of at least 2 months between the doses; booster dose in the second year of life 12–23 months: two doses with an interval of at least 2 months between the doses; booster dose with an interval of at least 2 months between the doses; booster dose with an interval of at least 2 months between the doses; booster dose with an interval of at least 2 months between the doses; booster dose with an interval of at least 2 months between the doses; booster dose with an interval of 12 to 23 months between primary series 2 years and adults: two doses with an interval of at least 1 month between the doses 	[54]
Trumenba®	Wyeth Pharmaceuticals	fHbp + aluminum phosphate	10 to 25 years of age	Three-dose schedule: 0, 1–2, and 6 months Two-dose schedule: 0 and 6 months	[55]

CRM197: Corynebacterium diphtheriae cross-reactive material 197; DT: diphtheria toxoid; fHbp: factor H binding protein; NadA: Neisseria adhesin A; NHBA: Neisserial heparin binding antigen; OMVs: outer membrane vesicles; TT: tetanus toxoid.

studies of the meningococcal vaccines in the aged, we see a need to evaluate the current vaccines to assess their efficacy in this aged population and, if necessary, develop vaccines that are effective for them.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that this research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as potential conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by grants from Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP), Brasil (nos. 12/15568–0, 13/11147-2, 14/11172-0, 14/07182–0, 18/04202-0, and 18/17945-1), and Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), Brasil (no. 132743/2014–6). This study was financed in part by Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES), Brasil (Finance Code 001), which provided a scholarship to G. T. L.

References

- D. Frasca and B. B. Blomberg, "Inflammaging decreases adaptive and innate immune responses in mice and humans," *Biogerontology*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 7–19, 2016.
- [2] M. Maijó, S. J. Clements, K. Ivory, C. Nicoletti, and S. R. Carding, "Nutrition, diet and immunosenescence," *Mechanisms of Ageing and Development*, vol. 136-137, pp. 116–128, 2014.
- [3] E. Fuentes, M. Fuentes, M. Alarcón, and I. Palomo, "Immune system dysfunction in the elderly," *Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências*, vol. 89, no. 1, pp. 285–299, 2017.
- [4] M. T. Ventura, M. Casciaro, S. Gangemi, and R. Buquicchio, "Immunosenescence in aging: between immune cells depletion and cytokines up-regulation," *Clinical and Molecular Allergy*, vol. 15, no. 1, p. 21, 2017.
- [5] G. Del Giudice, J. J. Goronzy, B. Grubeck-Loebenstein et al., "Fighting against a protean enemy: immunosenescence, vaccines, and healthy aging," *npj Aging and Mechanisms of Disease*, vol. 4, no. 1, 2018.
- [6] M. A. Kennedy, "A brief review of the basics of immunology: the innate and adaptive response," *Veterinary Clinics of North America: Small Animal Practice*, vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 369–379, 2010.
- [7] T. Fulop, T. A. Larbi, G. Dupuis et al., "Immunosenescence and inflamm-aging as two sides of the same coin: friends or foes?," *Frontiers in Immunology*, vol. 8, article 1960, 2018.
- [8] S. Agarwal and P. J. Busse, "Innate and adaptive immunosenescence," Annals of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology, vol. 104, no. 3, pp. 183-190, 2010.
- [9] D. B. Palmer, "The effect of age on thymic function," *Frontiers in Immunollogy*, vol. 4, no. 316, 2013.
- [10] Q. Qi, Y. Liu, Y. Cheng et al., "Diversity and clonal selection in the human T-cell repertoire," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 111, no. 36, pp. 13139–13144, 2014.
- [11] D. Loukov, A. Naidoo, and D. Bowdish, "Immunosenescence: implications for vaccination programs in the elderly," *Vaccine: Development and Therapy*, vol. 2015, no. 5, pp. 17–29, 2015.

- [12] A. Bektas, S. H. Schurman, R. Sen, and L. Ferrucci, "Human T cell immunosenescence and inflammation in aging," *Journal* of *Leukocyte Biology*, vol. 102, no. 4, pp. 977–988, 2017.
- [13] L. Rink, I. Cakman, and H. Kirchner, "Altered cytokine production in the elderly," *Mechanisms of Ageing Development*, vol. 102, no. 2-3, pp. 199–209, 1998.
- [14] A. N. Vallejo, "CD28 extinction in human T cells: altered functions and the program of T-cell senescence," *Immunological Reviews*, vol. 205, no. 1, pp. 158–169, 2005.
- [15] S. Ferrando-Martínez, E. Ruiz-Mateos, A. Hernández et al., "Age-related deregulation of naive T cell homeostasis in elderly humans," *Age*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 197–207, 2011.
- [16] S. M. Eaton, E. M. Burns, K. Kusser, T. D. Randall, and L. Haynes, "Age-related defects in CD4 T cell cognate helper function lead to reductions in humoral responses," *The Journal* of Experimental Medicine, vol. 200, no. 12, pp. 1613–1622, 2004.
- [17] M. I. D. Rossi, T. Yokota, K. L. Medina et al., "B lymphopoiesis is active throughout human life, but there are developmental age-related changes," *Blood*, vol. 101, no. 2, pp. 576–584, 2003.
- [18] R. Rappuoli, C. W. Mandl, S. Black, and E. De Gregorio, "Vaccines for the twenty-first century society," *Nature Reviews Immunology*, vol. 11, no. 12, pp. 865–872, 2011.
- [19] M. G. Dorrington and D. M. E. Bowdish, "Immunosenescence and novel vaccination strategies for the elderly," *Frontiers in Immunology*, vol. 4, article 171, 2013.
- [20] S. A. Harper, K. Fukuda, T. M. Uyeki, N. J. Cox, and C. B. Bridges, "Prevention and control of influenza: recommendations of the advisory committee on immunization practices (ACIP)," *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report: Recommendations and Reports*, vol. 54, no. 8, pp. 1–41, 2005.
- [21] Food and Drug Administration (FDA), "ZOSTAVAX® (zoster vaccine live) suspension for subcutaneous injection," 2018, https://www.fda.gov/downloads/biologicsbloodvaccines/vaccines/ approvedproducts/ucm132831.pdf.
- [22] B. Guy, "Strategies to improve the effect of vaccination in the elderly: the vaccine producer's perspective," *Journal of Comparative Pathology*, vol. 142, pp. S133–S137, 2010.
- [23] J. S. Lefebvre and L. Haynes, "Vaccine strategies to enhance immune responses in the aged," *Current Opinion in Immunology*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 523–528, 2013.
- [24] S. De Donato, D. Granoff, M. Minutello et al., "Safety and immunogenicity of MF59-adjuvanted influenza vaccine in the elderly," *Vaccine*, vol. 17, no. 23-24, pp. 3094–3101, 1999.
- [25] C. A. DiazGranados, A. J. Dunning, M. Kimmel et al., "Efficacy of high-dose versus standard-dose influenza vaccine in older adults," *New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 371, no. 7, pp. 635–645, 2014.
- [26] D. Holland, R. Booy, F. D. Looze et al., "Intradermal influenza vaccine administered using a new microinjection system produces superior immunogenicity in elderly adults: a randomized controlled trial," *The Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 198, no. 5, pp. 650–658, 2008.
- [27] S. Mannino, M. Villa, G. Apolone et al., "Effectiveness of adjuvanted influenza vaccination in elderly subjects in northern Italy," *American Journal of Epidemiology*, vol. 176, no. 6, pp. 527–533, 2012.
- [28] H. Lal, A. L. Cunningham, O. Godeaux et al., "Efficacy of an adjuvanted herpes zoster subunit vaccine in older adults," *New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 372, no. 22, pp. 2087–2096, 2015.
- [29] K. S. Erlich and B. L. Congeni, "Importance of circulating antibodies in protection against meningococcal disease," *Human Vaccines & Immunotherapeutics*, vol. 8, no. 8, pp. 1029–1035, 2012.

- [30] M. Christodoulides and L. Heckels, "Novel approaches to *Neisseria meningitidis* vaccine design," *Pathogens and Disease*, vol. 75, no. 3, article ftx033, 2017.
- [31] L. H. Harrison, "Vaccines for prevention of group B meningococcal disease," *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, vol. 49, no. 6, pp. S345–S354, 2015.
- [32] P. Kuhdari, A. Stefanati, S. Lupi, N. Valente, and G. Gabutti, "Meningoccocal B vaccination: real-world experience and future perspectives," *Pathogens and Global Health*, vol. 110, no. 4-5, pp. 148–156, 2016.
- [33] P. C. Mccarthy, A. Sharyan, and L. S. Sheikhi, "Meningococcal vaccines: current status and emerging strategies," *Vaccines*, vol. 6, no. 1, p. 12, 2018.
- [34] F. A. Wyle, M. S. Artenstein, B. L. Brandt et al., "Immunologic response of man to group B meningococcal polysaccharide vaccines," *Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 126, no. 5, pp. 514–522, 1972.
- [35] H. J. Jennings and C. Lugowski, "Immunochemistry of groups A, B, and C meningococcal polysaccharide-tetanus toxoid conjugates," *The Journal of Immunology*, vol. 127, no. 3, pp. 1011–1018, 1981.
- [36] J. Finne, M. Leinonen, and P. H. Mäkelä, "Antigenic similarities between brain components and bacteria causing meningitis," *The Lancet*, vol. 322, no. 8346, pp. 355–357, 1983.
- [37] J. Nedelec, J. Bourcraut, J. M. Garnier, D. Bernard, and G. Rougon, "Evidence for autoimmune antibodies directed against embryonic neural cell adhesion molecules (N-CAM) in patients with group B meningitis," *Journal of Neuroimmunology*, vol. 29, no. 1–3, pp. 49–56, 1990.
- [38] V. Masignani, M. Pizza, and E. R. Moxon, "The development of a vaccine against meningococcus B using reverse vaccinology," *Frontiers in Immunology*, vol. 10, article 751, 2019.
- [39] D. Toneatto, M. Pizza, V. Masignani, and R. Rappuoli, "Emerging experience with meningococcal serogroup B protein vaccines," *Expert Review of Vaccines*, vol. 16, no. 5, pp. 433–451, 2017.
- [40] A. C. Cohn, J. R. MacNeil, L. H. Harrison et al., "Changes in *Neisseria meningitidis* disease epidemiology in the United States, 1998–2007: implications for prevention of meningococcal disease," *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, vol. 50, no. 2, pp. 184–191, 2010.
- [41] J. R. MacNeil, A. E. Blain, X. Wang, and A. C. Cohn, "Current epidemiology and trends in meningococcal disease-United States, 1996–2015," *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, vol. 66, no. 8, pp. 1276–1281, 2017.
- [42] M. M. Lahra and R. P. Enriquez, "Australian meningococcal surveillance programme annual report, 2015," *Communicable Diseases Intelligence Quarterly Report*, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. E503–E511, 2016.
- [43] M. Fukusumi, H. Kamiya, H. Takahashi et al., "National surveillance for meningococcal disease in Japan, 1999–2014," *Vaccine*, vol. 34, no. 34, pp. 4068–4071, 2016.
- [44] R. Whittaker, J. G. Dias, M. Ramliden et al., "The epidemiology of invasive meningococcal disease in EU/EEA countries, 2004–2014," *Vaccine*, vol. 35, no. 16, pp. 2034–2041, 2017.
- [45] I. P. Du Chatelet, A. E. Deghmane, D. Antona et al., "Characteristics and changes in invasive meningococcal disease epidemiology in France, 2006–2015," *Journal of Infection*, vol. 74, no. 6, pp. 564–574, 2017.
- [46] Menjugate[®] (Meningococcal Group C-CRM197 Conjugate Vaccine), *Product Monograph*, Novartis Vaccines and Diagnostics S.r.l., Siena, Italy, 2013.
- [47] Menactra[®] (Meningococcal (Groups A, C,Y and W-135) Polysaccharide Diphtheria Toxoid Conjugate Vaccine),

7

Highlights of Prescribing Information, Sanofi Pasteur Inc., Swiftwater, PA, USA, 2016.

- [48] MenAfriVac[®] (Meningococcal A conjugate vaccine), Package Insert, Serum Institute of India Ltd, Pune, India, 2011.
- [49] Meningitec[®] (Meningococcal Serogroup C Conjugate Vaccine), *Product Information*, Pfizer Australia Pty Ltd, West Ryde, Australia, 2011.
- [50] Meninvact[®] (Meningococcal C Conjugate Vaccine), Product Information, Sanofi Pasteur Inc., Swiftwater, PA, USA, 2016.
- [51] Menveo® (Meningococcal (Groups A, C, Y and W-135) Oligosaccharide Diphtheria CRM197 Conjugate Vaccine), *Highlights of Prescribing Information*, Novartis Vaccines and Diagnostics S.r.l., Siena, Italy, 2013.
- [52] NeisVac-C[®] (Meningococcal Group C-TT Conjugate Vaccine), Consumer Information, Pfizer Canada Inc., Ontario, Canada, 2015.
- [53] Nimenrix[®] (Meningococcal Polysaccharide Serogroups A, C, W.-135 and Y Conjugate Vaccine), *Consumer Medicine Information*, GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals, Rixensart, Belgium, 2014.
- [54] Bexsero® (Meningococcal Group B Vaccine), *Product Information*, GlaxoSmithKline, Siena, Italy, 2017.
- [55] Trumemba® (Meningococcal Group B Vaccine), Consumer Information, Wyeth Pharmaceuticals Inc., Philadelphia, PA, USA, 2018.
- [56] D. Stamboulian, G. Lopardo, P. Lopez et al., "Safety and immunogenicity of an investigational quadrivalent meningococcal CRM197 conjugate vaccine, MenACWY-CRM, compared with licensed vaccines in adults in Latin America," *International Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 14, no. 10, pp. e868–e875, 2010.
- [57] W. H. Chen, B. F. Kozlovsky, R. B. Effros, B. Grubeck-Loebenstein, R. Edelman, and M. B. Sztein, "Vaccination in the elderly: an immunological perspective," *Trends in Immunology*, vol. 30, no. 7, pp. 351–359, 2009.
- [58] G. Dbaibo, N. El-Ayoubi, S. Ghanem et al., "Immunogenicity and safety of a quadrivalent meningococcal serogroups A, C, W-135 and Y tetanus toxoid conjugate vaccine (MenACWY-TT) administered to adults aged 56 Years and older: results of an open-label, randomized, controlled trial," *Drugs & Aging*, vol. 30, no. 5, pp. 309–319, 2013.
- [59] W. A. Hutchins, G. M. Carlone, and M. J. Westerink, "Elderly immune response to a TI-2 antigen: heavy and light chain use and bactericidal activity to Neisseria meningitidis serogroup C polysaccharide," *The Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 179, no. 6, pp. 1433–1440, 1990.
- [60] S. Lalwani, S. Agarkhedkar, N. Gogtay et al., "Safety and immunogenicity of an investigational meningococcal ACWY conjugate vaccine (MenACWY-CRM) in healthy Indian subjects aged 2 to 75 years," *International Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 38, pp. 36–42, 2015.
- [61] M. N. Ramasamy, E. A. Clutterbuck, K. Haworth et al., "Randomized clinical trial to evaluate the immunogenicity of quadrivalent meningococcal conjugate and polysaccharide vaccines in adults in the United Kingdom," *Clinical and Vaccine Immunology*, vol. 21, no. 8, pp. 1164–1168, 2014.
- [62] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, "FDA approval of expanded age indication for a tetanus toxoid, reduced diphtheria toxoid and acellular pertussis vaccine," *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, vol. 60, no. 37, pp. 1279-1280, 2011.
- [63] W. M. Weston, L. R. Friedland, X. Wu, and B. Howe, "Vaccination of adults 65 years of age and older with tetanus toxoid, reduced diphtheria toxoid and acellular pertussis

vaccine (Boostrix): results of two randomized trials," *Vaccine*, vol. 30, no. 9, pp. 1721–1728, 2012.

- [64] S. Tomczyk, N. M. Bennett, C. Stoecker et al., "Use of 13valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine and 23-valent pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine among adults aged≥ 65 years: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP)," *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, vol. 63, no. 37, pp. 822–825, 2014.
- [65] A. Vila-Córcoles, O. Ochoa-Gondar, I. Hospital et al., "Protective effects of the 23-valent pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine in the elderly population: the EVAN-65 study," *Clinical infectious diseases*, vol. 43, no. 7, pp. 860–868, 2006.
- [66] J. Lawrence, S. He, J. Martin, F. Schödel, M. Ciarlet, and A. V. Murray, "Safety and immunogenicity of pentavalent rotavirus vaccine in a randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled study in healthy elderly subjects," *Human Vaccines* & Immunotherapeutics, vol. 10, no. 8, pp. 2247–2254, 2014.