#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Stefania Rizzo, University of Italian Switzerland, Switzerland

REVIEWED BY Rubina Manuela Trimboli, IRCCS San Donato Polyclinic, Italy Alexandra Athanasiou, Mitera Hospital, Greece

\*CORRESPONDENCE Endi Kripa endi.kripa@uniroma1.it

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Cancer Imaging and Image-directed Interventions, a section of the journal Frontiers in Oncology

RECEIVED 05 July 2022 ACCEPTED 31 August 2022 PUBLISHED 23 September 2022

#### CITATION

Kripa E, Rizzo V, Galati F, Moffa G, Cicciarelli F, Catalano C and Pediconi F (2022) Do body composition parameters correlate with response to targeted therapy in ER+/HER2- metastatic breast cancer patients? Role of sarcopenia and obesity. *Front. Oncol.* 12:987012. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2022.987012

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Kripa, Rizzo, Galati, Moffa, Cicciarelli, Catalano and Pediconi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Do body composition parameters correlate with response to targeted therapy in ER+/HER2- metastatic breast cancer patients? Role of sarcopenia and obesity

Endi Kripa\*, Veronica Rizzo, Francesca Galati, Giuliana Moffa, Federica Cicciarelli, Carlo Catalano and Federica Pediconi

Department of Radiological, Oncological and Pathological Sciences, Sapienza University of Rome, Rome, Italy

**Purpose:** To investigate the association between body composition parameters, sarcopenia, obesity and prognosis in patients with metastatic ER+/HER2- breast cancer under therapy with cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) 4/6 inhibitors.

**Methods:** 92 patients with biopsy-proven metastatic ER+/HER2- breast cancer, treated with CDK 4/6 inhibitors between 2018 and 2021 at our center, were included in this retrospective analysis. Visceral Adipose Tissue (VAT), Subcutaneous Adipose Tissue (SAT) and Skeletal Muscle Index (SMI) were measured before starting therapy with CDK 4/6 inhibitors (Palbociclib, Abemaciclib or Ribociclib). Measurements were performed on a computed tomography-derived abdominal image at third lumbar vertebra (L3) level by an automatic dedicated software (Quantib body composition<sup>®</sup>, Rotterdam, Netherlands). Visceral obesity was defined as a VAT area > 130 cm<sup>2</sup>. Sarcopenia was defined as SMI < 40 cm<sup>2</sup>/m<sup>2</sup>. Changes in breast lesion size were evaluated after 6 months of treatment. Response to therapy was assessed according to RECIST 1.1 criteria. Spearman's correlation and  $\chi^2$  analyses were performed.

**Results:** Out of 92 patients, 30 were included in the evaluation. Of the 30 patients (mean age 53  $\pm$  12 years), 7 patients were sarcopenic, 16 were obese, while 7 patients were neither sarcopenic nor obese. Statistical analyses showed that good response to therapy was correlated to higher SMI values (p < 0.001), higher VAT values (p = 0.008) and obesity (p = 0.007); poor response to therapy was correlated to sarcopenia (p < 0.001). Moreover, there was a significant association between sarcopenia and menopause (p = 0.021) and between sarcopenia and the persistence of axillary lymphadenopathies after treatment (p = 0.003), while the disappearance of axillary lymphadenopathies was associated with obesity (p = 0.028).

**Conclusions:** There is a growing interest in body composition, especially in the field of breast cancer. Our results showed an interesting correlation between sarcopenia and progression of disease, and demonstrated that VAT can positively influence the response to targeted therapy with CDK 4/6 inhibitors. Larger-scale studies are needed to confirm these preliminary results.

**Clinical Relevance:** Sarcopenia and obesity seem to predict negative outcomes in many oncologic entities. Their prevalence and impact in current breast cancer care are promising but still controversial.

KEYWORDS

body composition, sarcopenia, obesity, metastatic breast cancer, CDK 4/6 inhibitors, automatic segmentation, computed-tomography, visceral adipose tissue

#### Introduction

Breast cancer (BC) is the most commonly occurring cancer and the leading cause of cancer death, in women worldwide (1, 2). Nowadays, BC is a complex and heterogeneous disease, which includes several histological subtypes (3).

Therefore, histological and immunohistochemical examination of the biopsy or surgical samples of malignant breast lesions detected on ultrasound, mammography or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), is fundamental for diagnosis, characterization and treatment choice (4). BC has five recognized molecular subtypes, primarily defined by the presence or the absence of hormone receptors (HR), as summarized in Table 1 (5).

To date, BC therapy is decided in a multidisciplinary setting. The Eighth Edition of the AJCC classification, currently in use, takes into account the anatomical extension of BC, in terms of local and systemic extent of disease, and a prognostic classification (Prognostic Stage Group) which includes tumor grade, HR status, and HER2 status. Therapy involves the combination of neoadjuvant therapy (in locally advanced and

TABLE 1 Breast cancer molecular subtypes.

Subtype	ER	PR	HER2	Ki-67
Luminal A-like	+	≥ 20%	_	< 20%
Luminal B-like (HER2 negative)	+	-/< 20%*	-	≥ 20%*
Luminal B-like (HER2 positive)	+		+	
HER2-positive	-	-	+	
Triple-negative	-	-	-	

ER, Estrogen Receptor; PR, Progesterone Receptor.

\*Only one of these criteria must be met.

inoperable BC), surgery, radiotherapy and adjuvant chemotherapy and/or endocrine therapy (6–9).

There has been an important evolution in therapeutic strategies through the years, due to the identification of additional prognostic and predictive factors. Among these, recently body composition parameters, in particular muscle mass and adipose tissue distribution, have been identified as interesting prognostic markers (10). There is a growing interest in the role of body composition in BC management, as it has been suggested that it can influence the response to therapy and the progression of disease (11, 12).

The aim of our study was to evaluate whether sarcopenia and obesity could predict the response to therapy in patients with metastatic ER+/HER2- BC, treated with CDK 4/6 inhibitors.

The term sarcopenia indicates a reduction in muscle strength and mass (13). Obesity is defined as a body mass index (BMI) of  $\geq$  30 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (14). However, several studies have shown that BMI alone is not a sufficient and accurate parameter to assess obesity and to evaluate outcomes and prognosis in cancer patients, especially when compared with the degree of visceral obesity (15–17), since BMI lacks in distinguishing between fat and lean mass and between visceral and subcutaneous adipose tissue. As known, visceral fat is more metabolically active than subcutaneous one, and leads to chronic inflammation and tumorigenesis (18).

The ER+/HER2– BC subtype is commonly treated using hormone-based therapies in the adjuvant setting, with significant survival benefit associated with these therapies even in the metastatic setting (19). However, hormone resistance develops in most metastatic patients (20, 21). For this reason, the current standard of care for most patients with ER-positive metastatic BC consists in CDK 4/6 inhibitors as first-line therapy, in association with aromatase inhibitors or combined with Fulvestrant, as second-line therapy (22, 23). CDK 4/6 are cell cycle regulators that control the rate of growth and division of cells and check important metabolic processes (24, 25). In metastatic BC, these proteins can become overactive, causing uncontrollable cell growth and division. CDK 4/6 inhibitors suppress CDK 4/6 proteins, blocking the transition from the G1 to the S phase of the cell cycle, in order to slow down or even to stop cancer cells replication. Furthermore, recent preclinical studies have identified potential targets for diet-induced obesity in CDK 4 and 6, suggesting that the use of CDK 4/6 inhibitors could have a direct effect on body fat mass and muscle mass (26, 27). Finally, in recent years, muscle and adipose tissue measurements have received increasing attention as potential prognostic factors as well as predictors of treatment-related toxicity (28, 29). Thus, the ultimate aim of our research was to investigate if the amount of adipose tissue, skeletal muscle mass, obesity and sarcopenia are predictive of a positive or negative prognosis in metastatic ER+/HER2- BC patients.

# Materials and methods

#### Study design

Our research is a retrospective study on patients with a diagnosis of metastatic ER+/HER2- BC, treated with CDK 4/ 6 inhibitors.

The study was performed according to the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Institutional ethics committee. Written informed consent was waived because of the retrospective design of this study.

Between November 2018 and November 2021, 92 patients with metastatic ER+/HER- BC treated with CDK 4/6 inhibitors combined with endocrine therapy were included in the analysis.

Inclusion criteria were: female gender, age between 18 and 85 years, biopsy-proven (ultrasound-guided or stereotactic) metastatic ER+/HER2- invasive BC, availability of computed tomography (CT) images and breast MRI examination at baseline and after 6 months of treatment.

Patients unsuitable for treatment with CDK 4/6 inhibitors, previously treated with tamoxifen, aromatase inhibitors or neoadjuvant chemotherapy (within 30 days prior to enrollment), previously treated with radiotherapy or ablative therapy of the affected breast, pregnant, breastfeeding or in postnatal period, and patients who had any contraindication to perform CT and/or MRI examinations, were excluded.

#### Staging

Staging multiphasic CT was performed by using a multidetector CT scanner (Somatom Sensation 64; Siemens Healthineers, Erlangen, Germany). CT scan was performed using a fixed tube voltage of 120 kVp, with automatic exposure control, and an image slice thickness of 1–5 mm. CT

scan protocol included a non contrast phase, followed by a late arterial phase and a portal venous phase acquisition.

Body composition was quantitatively assessed using an automatic segmentation software named Quantib body composition<sup>®</sup> (Rotterdam, Netherlands) (30, 31). Quantib<sup>®</sup> evaluated the patient CT-derived image extracted from staging CT examinations (non-contrast or portal venous phase series) in our institutional picture archiving and communication system (PACS). The software performs an automatic segmentation at the level of L3 vertebral body to calculate subcutaneous adipose tissue (SAT), visceral adipose tissue (VAT), and skeletal muscle area (SMA). Lastly, the software automatically generates a form containing the values of interest, as in Figure 1.

Skeletal Muscle Index (SMI) was then calculated as the ratio of SMA to the patient's squared height. According to literature, a VAT area > 130 cm<sup>2</sup> indicates obesity and a SMI value < 40 cm<sup>2</sup>/m<sup>2</sup> is considered a cut-off value for sarcopenia (32, 33). Therefore, patients were divided into normal weighted, obese, non-sarcopenic and sarcopenic, accordingly.

All patients also underwent a first MRI examination at baseline, for loco-regional staging, and at least a second MRI examination 6 months after the beginning of targeted therapy, to evaluate the change in size of the target lesion during therapy. All breast MRI were performed on a 3T magnet (Discovery MR 750; GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL, USA) with a dedicated 8-channel breast coil compatible with parallel imaging, and patients in a prone position. Breast MRI protocol included: axial pre-contrast 2D FSE T2-weighted fat-suppressed sequence (repetition time [RT] = 9000-11,000 ms, echo time [ET] 119-120 ms, matrix =  $512 \times 224$ , slice thickness = 3–5 mm, field of view [FOV] =  $35 \times$ 35 cm, NEX = 1, scan time = 130 s), axial pre-contrast diffusionweighted echo-planar imaging (DWI-EPI) sequence (RT = 4983-5314 ms, ET = 58 ms, matrix =  $150 \times 150$ , slice thickness = 3-5 mm, FOV =  $350 \times 350$  mm, NEX = 2-2-4, scan time = 230 s), axial dynamic three-dimensional (3D) spoiled gradient-echo T1-weighted fat-suppressed sequences (flip angle =  $15^\circ$ , RT = 8 ms, ET = 4 ms, matrix =  $512 \times 256$ , slice thickness = 1.40 mm, FOV =  $380 \times 380 \text{ mm}$ , NEX = 1) and sagittal 3D spoiled gradient-echo post-contrast T1weighted sequence.

#### Follow-up

After 6 months of therapy, a re-stadiation CT and MRI were performed to evaluate distant metastases and local disease, respectively.

RECIST 1.1 criteria (34) were used to identify and classify the response to therapy. A Complete Response (CR) was defined by the disappearance of all target lesions and the reduction in short axis to < 10 mm of any pathological lymph nodes; Partial Response (PR), in case of at least a 30% decrease in the sum of diameters of target lesions; Progressive Disease (PD), in case of

Visceral	
163.0 cm²   29.1%   -92.2 HU	
Abdominal	Long spine
	Visceral   163.0 cm²   29.1%   -92.2 HU   Abdominal

at least a 20% increase in the sum of diameters of target lesions and an absolute increase of at least 5 mm; Stable Disease (SD), in

calculated as the ratio of SMA to the height squared of the patients.

P-values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

After 6 months of CDK 4/6 inhibitors therapy, we considered CR and PR as good response to therapy, while PD and SD as poor response.

## Statistical analysis

all other cases.

Statistical analysis was performed using IBM<sup>®</sup> SPSS Statistics, version 25. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov Z test was performed to assess the normality of the distribution for all continuous variables. A Spearman's analysis was carried out to verify the correlation between variables. A comparison of categorical variables was performed using the  $\chi^2$  test.

## Results

## Study population

From the 92 patients included in the analysis, 6 patients discontinued therapy due to the onset of toxic side effects; 14 patients could not perform or complete CT or MRI examinations because of allergy to contrast media or claustrophobia; 33 patients had incomplete CT or MRI imaging sets; 8 patients waived the follow-up; 1 patient died for other causes. Thus, 30 patients were suitable for the evaluation.

Of the 30 patients (mean age of 53  $\pm$  12 years) with metastatic ER+/HER2- BC included in the study and treated

with CDK 4/6 inhibitors, 19 patients (63.3%) were in menopause while 11 (36.7%) were not. Obesity was present in 16 patients (53.3%), normal weight in 14 (46.7%) and sarcopenia in 7 patients (23.3%). None of the patients were both sarcopenic and obese.

All patients had axillary lymph node metastases at baseline local staging MRI and CT. For what concerns distant metastases, 12 patients (40.0%) had liver metastases, 13 patients (43.3%) had skeletal metastases, 1 (3.3%) patient had lung metastases, and 4 patients (13.3%) had both bone and brain metastases. There were no differences regarding sites of metastases between sarcopenic and non-sarcopenic patients and between obese and normal weighted patients.

#### Correlation of baseline body composition parameters and response to therapy

After 6 months of therapy, 4 patients (13.3%) had PD. All 4 patients had an increase in target lesion's size of at least 20% and 2 of them had new metastases on 6-month follow-up CT scan. 4 patients showed SD (13.3%). 22 (73.3%) patients had CR or PR to treatment.

The Kolmogorov-Smirnov Z test demonstrated a nonnormal distribution in the lesions size, both before and after therapy; the test showed a normal distribution of the variables SAT, VAT, SMA and SMI.

The median of lesions size assessed before and after chemotherapy is respectively 25,5 cm (5 - 100 cm) and 13,5 cm (0 - 58 cm).

At CT-scan analysis, BC patients showed a mean VAT of 104.8 cm<sup>2</sup> (SD 62.39), SAT of 213 cm<sup>2</sup> (SD 89.73), SMA of 128 cm<sup>2</sup> (SD 33.51) and SMI of 49.75 cm<sup>2</sup>/m<sup>2</sup> (SD 13.54).

Spearman's analysis demonstrated a statistically significant correlation between higher VAT values and a good response to therapy (p = 0.008) and also between higher SMI values and a

good response to therapy (p < 0.001). Furthermore, a direct correlation was found between VAT and SMI values (p = 0.04). Further details are included in Table 2.

 $\chi^2$  analysis showed a statistically significant association between sarcopenia and the persistence (no detectable modifications in terms of size and morphology at MRI) of axillary lymphadenopathies after therapy (p = 0.003), between sarcopenia and menopause (p = 0.021) and between sarcopenia and a worse response to therapy (p < 0.001).  $\chi^2$  also found that obesity was associated with a good response to therapy (p = 0.007) and with the absence of axillary lymphadenopathies after therapy (p = 0.028). No significant association was found between obesity and menopause (Table 3).

# Discussion

In recent years, there is a growing interest in the role of body composition parameters, sarcopenia and obesity status in several oncologic entities, including BC, colorectal cancer (35), prostate cancer (36) and leukemia (37).

It has been established that BMI cannot be sufficiently accurate as a stand-alone parameter to assess body composition (10, 33). An alternative method commonly used to quantify body composition is dual X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA), characterized by a relatively low radiation exposure, low costs and the ability to evaluate the whole body in a single scan. A further imaging modality in addition to DEXA is CT, that is able to provide details on specific muscles, visceral and subcutaneous adipose tissue while performing a whole body staging or follow-up in cancer patients. Moreover, CT combines clinical accessibility with high accuracy in the quantification of specific tissues and in the evaluation of body composition (38).

In patients with metastatic BC undergoing endocrine therapy alone, the relationship between BMI and response to therapy is still controversial. In particular, Zewenghiel et al. have described no differences in treatment efficacy between normal,

TABLE 2 Statistical correlation between response to therapy and body composition parameters.

Spearman's Rho		Response to therapy	SAT	VAT	SMA	SMI L3
Response to therapy	Correlation coefficient	1.000	0.100	0.476	0.643	0.687
	p-value		0.600	0.008	< 0.001	< 0.001
SAT	Correlation coefficient	0.100	1.000	0.507	0.051	0.049
	p-value	0.600		0.004	0.787	0.799
VAT	Correlation coefficient	0.476	0.507	1.000	0.413	0.376
	p-value	0.008	0.004		0.023	0.040
SMA	Correlation coefficient	0.643	0.051	0.413	1.000	0.937
	p-value	< 0.001	0.787	0.023		< 0.001
SMI	Correlation coefficient	0.687	0.049	0.376	0.937	1.000
	p-value	< 0.001	0.799	0.040	< 0.001	

Statistically significant results are bolded (p-value <0.05).

TABLE 3	Association	between	sarcopenia	and	obesity	and	clinical
paramete	rs.						

$\chi^2$ test	Sarcopenia (7/30)	<b>Obesity</b> (16/30	
Menopause			
Yes	7/7	10/16	
No	0/7	6/16	
p-value	0.021	0.92	
Response to therapy			
Good response	0/7	15/16	
Poor response	7/7	1/16	
p-value	<0.001	0.007	
Lymphadenopathies	after treatment		
Presence	7/7	5/16	
Absence	0/7	11/16	
p-value	0.003	0.028	

Statistically significant results are bolded (p-value <0.05).

overweight and obese patients with metastatic BC treated with Fulvestrant (39). On the contrary, Gevorgyan has reported a worse response in obese patients treated with Fulvestrant (40).

Focusing on VAT, in agreement with Franzoi et al. (40), our results have shown a correlation between the absolute value of

VAT and the response to therapy with CDK 4/6 inhibitors. This indicates thata higher VAT value, and therefore the state of obesity, can predict a better response to treatment (Figure 2). We supposed that better outcomes of our patients with higher VAT could be due to the increased expression of CDK 4/6, since CDK 4/6 play an important role in adipogenesis (24). It has been demonstrated that CDK 4 influences adipocyte differentiation and function through the activation of peroxisome proliferatoractivated receptor gamma (PPARy) and that the disruption of CDK 4 or the presence of activating mutations in CDK 4 in primary mouse embryonic fibroblasts result in reduced and increased adipogenic potential of these cells, respectively (41). Nevertheless, Pizzuti et al. have shown a negative impact of obesity in terms of Progression Free Survival (PFS) in patients with endocrine resistant metastatic BC treated with Fulvestrant (42). Their results, apparently in contrast with ours, could depend on the different therapeutic line studied or on the assessment of the state of obesity based on BMI and not on VAT values. On the contrary, Franzoi et al. (43) have shown that patients with high visceral fat index had a longer PFS compared to patients with low visceral fat index.

Regarding low muscle mass and response to treatment, recently many authors have investigated the role of sarcopenia



#### FIGURE 2

Breast MRI of a 53-year-old obese woman (VAT =  $152.8 \text{ cm}^2$ ; VAT >  $130 \text{ cm}^2$  = visceral obesity) with a multifocal breast cancer of the right breast. Axial post-contrast T1-weighted images before (A) and after (B) 6 months of CDK 4/6 inhibitors show a good response to treatment, as documented by the reduction in size of all lesions in the upper-outer quadrant/axillary extension of the right breast.

in several oncologic entities, included BC, both in terms of response to therapy and in relation to toxic side effects associated with chemotherapy, suggesting that low muscle mass in cancer patients is an important prognostic factor in terms of treatment-induced toxicity and survival (44, 45).

Our study has shown that sarcopenia could be a negative prognostic factor in patients with metastatic ER+/HER2- BC treated with CDK 4/6 inhibitors (Figure 3), in accordance with the aforementioned recent study by Franzoi (43). Our analysis has also confirmed that CT is an important diagnostic tool in the evaluation of sarcopenia and adiposity, in agreement with a previous study by Cruz-Jentoft (46). Caan et al. also measured sarcopenia by CT among 3241 patients and has reported an increased risk of death in patients with early BC presenting this condition (33). On the contrary, Rier et al. (47) have shown that low muscle density was associated with worse overall survival (OS) or PFS in metastatic BC patients treated with 1st-line FAC (Fluorouracil, Doxorubicin and Cyclophosphamide) or Paclitaxel, unlike sarcopenia. Prado et al. have reported sarcopenia as a determinant factor for higher chemotherapyrelated toxicity and shorter time to tumor progression in 55 metastatic BC patients treated with Capecitabine after Taxane and/or Anthracycline progression (28).

A further notable finding was the significant correlation between sarcopenia and menopause. This could be explained by the drop in estradiol levels in menopause. As demonstrated by Geraci et al. (48), estradiol is an important factor related to the development of sarcopenia, as it can promote muscle regeneration, contributing to its health, and is also involved in the modulation of the local and systemic inflammatory responses (49, 50). Statistical analysis revealed a direct correlation between VAT and SMI values. To our knowledge, this finding is not supported by other studies in the literature. The result could be occasional and without clinical relevance. Further studies with a larger population could confirm or reject our conclusion.

Our study has some limitations. It is a retrospective, monocentric analysis with a limited number of patients. Even if our work concerns ER+/HER2- BC treated with CDK 4/6 inhibitors, it would be interesting to evaluate how body composition affects other BC subtypes and therapeutic lines.

The main originality of our study is due to the dedicated software we used (Quantib body composition<sup>®</sup>), which automatically segments VAT, SAT and SMA on CT images. The use of a full-automated segmentation software presents numerous advantages. First, the lack of bias for manual segmentation, such as the visual determination of the different anatomical compartments. Furthermore, the automatic detection of the soma of L3 avoids centering errors. Finally, the image analysis time is drastically reduced. Another strength of our study is the local staging performed with breast MRI, which is the most sensitive imaging modality in terms of BC detection and assessment of tumor size (51), providing accurate information on tumor extent, skin and nipple invasion, and nodal involvement.

## Conclusions

Our study confirms and corroborates the role of sarcopenia as a potential early predictor of poor prognosis in metastatic BC patients treated with CDK 4/6 inhibitors. Furthermore, this



#### FIGURE 3

Breast MRI of a 57-year-old sarcopenic woman (SMI =  $34.3 \text{ cm}^2/\text{m}^2$ ; SMI <  $40 \text{ cm}^2/\text{m}^2$  = sarcopenia) with invasive ductal carcinoma of the left breast. Sagittal post-contrast T1-weighted images, before (A) and after (B) 6 months of treatment shows a substantial stability in the size of the lesion located in the lower-outer guadrant of the left breast.

study highlights the value of VAT measurement as a more accurate indicator of obesity than BMI and demonstrates that an increase in VAT could be associated with a better prognosis in metastatic ER+/HER2- BC patients. Further large-scale studies are needed to validate the predictive role of sarcopenia and VAT during CDK 4/6 inhibition.

## Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

## Author contributions

Conceptualization: EK, VR and FG; Material preparation, data collection and analysis: EK, VR, FC. Writing: EK, GM. Supervision: VR, GM, and FG. Validation: FG, CC, FP. All

## References

1. Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin* (2021) 71(3):209–49. doi: 10.3322/caac.21660

2. Harbeck N, Gnant M. Breast cancer. Lancet (2017) 389(10074):1134–50. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(16)31891-8

3. Fisusi FA, Akala EO. Drug combinations in breast cancer therapy. *Pharm Nanotechnol* (2019) 7(1):3–23. doi: 10.2174/2211738507666190122111224

4. Budny A, Starosławska E, Budny B, Wójcik R, Hys M, Kozłowski P, et al. Epidemiologia oraz diagnostyka raka piersi [Epidemiology and diagnosis of breast cancer].. *Pol Merkur Lekarski* (2019) 46(275):195–204.

5. Goldhirsch A, Wood WC, Coates AS, Gelber RD, Thürlimann B, Senn HJ. Panel members. strategies for subtypes-dealing with the diversity of breast cancer: highlights of the st. gallen international expert consensus on the primary therapy of early breast cancer 2011. *Ann Oncol* (2011) 22(8):1736–47. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdr304

6. Chew HK. Adjuvant therapy for breast cancer: Who should get what? West J Med (2001) 174(4):284–7. doi: 10.1136/ewjm.174.4.284

7. De Matteis A, Nuzzo F, D'Aiuto G, Labonia V, Landi G, Rossi E, et al. Docetaxel plus epidoxorubicin as neoadjuvant treatment in patients with large operable or locally advanced carcinoma of the breast. *Cancer* (2002) 94(4):895–901.

8. Giordano SH. Update on locally advanced breast cancer. *Oncologist* (2003) 8 (6):521–30. doi: 10.1634/theoncologist.8-6-521

9. Wang M, Hou L, Chen M, Zhou Y, Liang Y, Wang S, et al. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy creates surgery opportunities for inoperable locally advanced breast cancer. *Sci Rep* (2017) 7:44673. doi: 10.1038/srep44673

10. Trestini I, Carbognin L, Monteverdi S, Zanelli S, De Toma A, Bonaiuto C, et al. Clinical implication of changes in body composition and weight in patients with early-stage and metastatic breast cancer. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol* (2018), 129:54–66. doi: 10.1016/j.critrevonc.2018.06.011

11. Trayes KP, Cokenakes SEH. Breast cancer Treatment. AmFam physician (2021) 104(2):171-8.

12. Deluche E, Leobon S, Desport JC, Venat-Bouvet L, Usseglio J, Tubiana-Mathieu N. Impact of body composition on outcome in patients with early breast cancer. *Support Care Cancer* (2018) 26(3):861–8. doi: 10.1007/s00520-017-3902-6

13. Dhillon RJ, Hasni S. Pathogenesis and management of sarcopenia. *ClinGeriatr Med* (2017) 33(1):17–26. doi: 10.1016/j.cger.2016.08.002

14. Clinical guidelines on the identification, evaluation, and treatment of overweight and obesity in adults-the evidence report. national institutes of health. *Obes Res* (1998) 6Suppl 2:51S-209S.

authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

15. Cecchini S, Cavazzini E, Marchesi F, Sarli L, Roncoroni L. Computed tomography volumetric fat parameters versus body mass index for predicting short-term outcomes of colon surgery. *World J Surg* (2011) 35(2):415–23. doi: 10.1007/s00268-010-0888-3

16. Moon HG, Ju YT, Jeong CY, Jung EJ, Lee YJ, Hong SC, et al. Visceral obesity may affect oncologic outcome in patients with colorectal cancer. *Ann SurgOncol* (2008) 15(7):1918–22. doi: 10.1245/s10434-008-9891-4

17. Clark W, Siegel EM, Chen YA, Zhao X, Parsons CM, Hernandez JM, et al. Quantitative measures of visceral adiposity and body mass index in predicting rectal cancer outcomes after neoadjuvantchemoradiation. *J Am Coll Surg* (2013) 216(6):1070–81. doi: 10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2013.01.007

18. Rickles AS, Iannuzzi JC, Mironov O, Deeb AP, Sharma A, Fleming FJ, et al. Visceral obesity and colorectal cancer: Are we missing the boat with BMI? J Gastrointest Surg (2013) 17(1):133–43. doi: 10.1007/s11605-012-2045-9

19. Kurosky SK, Mitra D, Zanotti G, Kaye JA. Treatment patterns and outcomes of patients with metastatic ER<sup>+</sup>/HER-2<sup>-</sup> breast cancer: A multicountry retrospective medical record review. *Clin Breast Cancer* (2018) 18(4):e529–38. doi: 10.1016/j.clbc.2017.10.008

20. Giuliano M, Schettini F, Rognoni C, Milani M, Jerusalem G, Bachelot T, et al. Endocrine treatment versus chemotherapy in postmenopausal women with hormone receptor-positive, HER2-negative, metastatic breast cancer: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Lancet Oncol* (2019) 20(10):1360–9. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(19)30420-6

21. Milani A, Geuna E, Mittica G, Valabrega G. Overcoming endocrine resistance in metastatic breast cancer: Current evidence and future directions. *World J ClinOncol* (2014) 5(5):990–1001. doi: 10.5306/wjco.v5.i5.990

22. Finn RS, Martin M, Rugo HS, Jones S, Im SA, Gelmon K, et al. Palbociclib and letrozole in advanced breast cancer. *N Engl J Med* (2016) 375(20):1925–36. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1607303

23. Slamon DJ, Neven P, Chia S, Fasching PA, De Laurentiis M, Im SA, et al. Phase III randomized study of ribociclib and fulvestrant in hormone receptor-positive, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-negative advanced breast cancer: MONALEESA-3. J ClinOncol (2018) 36(24):2465–72. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2018.78.9909

24. Aguilar V, Fajas L. Cycling through metabolism. *EMBO Mol Med* (2010) 2 (9):338–48. doi: 10.1002/emmm.201000089

25. Fajas L. Re-thinking cell cycle regulators: The cross-talk with metabolism. Front Oncol (2013) 3:4. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2013.00004

26. Hou X, Zhang Y, Li W, Hu AJ, Luo C, Zhou W, et al. CDK6 inhibits white to beige fat transition by suppressing RUNX1. *Nat Commun* (2018) 9(1):1023. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-03451-1

27. Iqbal NJ, Lu Z, Liu SM, Schwartz GJ, Chua SJr, Zhu L. Cyclin-dependent kinase 4 is a preclinical target for diet-induced obesity. *JCI Insight* (2018) 3(17): e123000. doi: 10.1172/jci.insight.123000

28. Prado CM, Baracos VE, McCargar LJ, Reiman T, Mourtzakis M, Tonkin K, et al. Sarcopenia as a determinant of chemotherapy toxicity and time to tumor progression in metastatic breast cancer patients receiving capecitabine treatment. *Clin Cancer Res* (2009) 15(8):2920–6. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-08-2242

29. Prado CM, Cushen SJ, Orsso CE, Ryan AM. Sarcopenia and cachexia in the era of obesity: clinical and nutritional impact. *Proc Nutr Soc* (2016) 75(2):188–98. doi: 10.1017/S0029665115004279

30. Moeskops P, de Vos B, Veldhuis WB, de Jong PA, Išgum I, Leiner T. Automatic quantification of body composition at L3 vertebra level with convolutional neural networks. *Eur Congress Radiol - ECR* (2020).

31. Van Erck D, Moeskops P, Schoufour JD, Weijs PJM, Scholte Op Reimer WJM, Van Mourik MS, et al. Evaluation of a fully automatic deep learning-based method for the measurement of psoas muscle area. *Front Nutr* (2022) 9:781860. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2022.781860

32. Murray TÉ, Williams D, Lee MJ. Osteoporosis, obesity, and sarcopeniaon abdominal CT: A review of epidemiology, diagnosticcriteria, and management strategies for the reporting radiologist. *AbdomRadiol (NY)* (2017) 42(9):2376–86. doi: 10.1007/s00261-017-1124-5

33. Caan BJ, Cespedes Feliciano EM, Prado CM, Alexeeff S, Kroenke CH, Bradshaw P, et al. Chen WY.Association of muscle and AdiposityMeasured by ComputedTomography with survival in patients with NonmetastaticBreastCancer. *JAMA Oncol* (2018) 4(6):798–804. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2018.0137

34. Eisenhauer EA, Therasse P, Bogaerts J, Schwartz LH, Sargent D, Ford R, et al. New response evaluation criteria in solid tumours: Revised RECIST guideline (version 1.1). *Eur J Cancer* (2009) 45(2):228–47. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2008.10.026

35. Charette N, Vandeputte C, Ameye L, Bogaert CV, Krygier J, Guiot T, et al. Prognosticvalue of adipose tissue and muscle mass in advancedcolorectalcancer: A *post hoc* analysis of two non-randomizedphase II trials. *BMC Cancer* (2019) 19 (1):134. doi: 10.1186/s12885-019-5319-8

36. Wright ME, Chang SC, Schatzkin A, Albanes D, Kipnis V, Mouw T, et al. Prospectivestudy of adiposity and weightchange in relation to prostate cancerincidence and mortality. *Cancer* (2007) 109(4):675–84. doi: 10.1002/cncr.22443

37. Chiu BC, Gapstur SM, Greenland P, Wang R, Dyer A. Body mass index, abnormalglucosemetabolism, and mortality from hematopoieticcancer. *CancerEpidemiolBiomarkersPrev* (2006) 15(12):2348–54. doi: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-06-0007

38. Mourtzakis M, Prado CM, Lieffers JR, Reiman T, McCargar LJ, Baracos VE. A practical and precise approach to quantification of body composition in cancer patients using computed tomography images acquired during routine care. *Appl PhysiolNutrMetab* (2008) 33(5):997–1006. doi: 10.1139/H08-075

39. Zewenghiel L, Lindman H, Valachis A. Impact of body mass index on the efficacy of endocrine therapy in patients with metastatic breast cancer - a

retrospective two-center cohort study. Breast (2018) 40:136-40. doi: 10.1016/j.breast.2018.05.005

40. Gevorgyan A, Bregni G, Galli G, Ganzinelli M, Martinetti A, Lo Vullo S, et al. Body mass index and clinical benefit of fulvestrant in postmenopausal women with advanced breast cancer. *Tumori* (2016) 102(4):e11–4. doi: 10.5301/tj.5000515

41. Abella A, Dubus P, Malumbres M, Rane SG, Kiyokawa H, Sicard A, et al. Cdk4 promotes adipogenesis through PPARgamma activation. *Cell Metab* (2005) 2 (4):239–49. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2005.09.003

42. Pizzuti L, Natoli C, Gamucci T, Mauri M, Sergi D, Di Lauro L, et al. Anthropometric, clinical and molecular determinants of treatment outcomes in postmenopausal, hormone receptor positive metastatic breast cancer patients treated with fulvestrant: Results from a real word setting. *Oncotarget* (2017) 8 (40):69025–37. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.16982

43. Franzoi MA, Vandeputte C, Eiger D, Caparica R, Brandão M, De Angelis C, et al. Computed tomography-based analyses of baseline body composition parameters and changes in breast cancer patients under treatment with CDK 4/6 inhibitors. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* (2020) 181(1):199–209. doi: 10.1007/s10549-020-05617-2

44. Rier HN, Jager A, Sleijfer S, Maier AB, Levin MD. The prevalence and prognostic value of low muscle mass in cancer patients: A review of the literature. *Oncologist* (2016) 21(11):1396–409. doi: 10.1634/theoncologist.2016-0066

45. Prado CM, Maia YL, Ormsbee M, Sawyer MB, Baracos VE. Assessment of nutritional status in cancer-the relationship between body composition and pharmacokinetics. *Anticancer Agents Med Chem* (2013) 13(8):1197–203. doi: 10.2174/18715206113139990322

46. Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Baeyens JP, Bauer JM, Boirie Y, Cederholm T, Landi F, et al. European Working group on sarcopenia in older people. sarcopenia: European consensus on definition and diagnosis: Report of the European working group on sarcopenia in older people. *Age Ageing* (2010) 39(4):412–23. doi: 10.1093/ageing/afq034

47. Rier HN, Jager A, Sleijfer S, van Rosmalen J, Kock MCJM, Levin MD. Low muscle attenuation is a prognostic factor for survival in metastatic breast cancer patients treated with first line palliative chemotherapy. *Breast* (2017) 31:9–15. doi: 10.1016/j.breast.2016.10.014

48. Geraci A, Calvani R, Ferri E, Marzetti E, Arosio B, Cesari M. Sarcopenia and menopause: The role of estradiol. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)* (2021) 12:682012. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2021.682012

49. Collins BC, Arpke RW, Larson AA, Baumann CW, Xie N, Cabelka CA, et al. Estrogen regulates the satellite cell compartment in females. *Cell Rep* (2019) 28 (2):368–381.e6. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2019.06.025

50. Juppi HK, Sipilä S, Cronin NJ, Karvinen S, Karppinen JE, Tammelin TH, et al. Role of menopausal transition and physical activity in loss of lean and muscle mass: A follow-up study in middle-aged Finnish women. *J Clin Med* (2020) 9 (5):1588. doi: 10.3390/jcm9051588

51. Sardanelli F, Boetes C, Borisch B, Decker T, Federico M, Gilbert FJ, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging of the breast: recommendations from the EUSOMA working group. *Eur J Cancer* (2010) 46(8):1296–316. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2010.02.015