



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com





Journal of Sport and Health Science 10 (2021) 192-200

Review

Effects of medium- and long-distance running on cardiac damage markers in amateur runners: a systematic review, meta-analysis, and metaregression

Jacobo Á. Rubio-Arias^{a,b,*}, Luis Andreu^c, Luis Manuel Martínez-Aranda^{a,d}, Alejandro Martínez-Rodríguez^e, Pedro Manonelles^c, Domingo J. Ramos-Campo^a

^a Faculty of Sport, Catholic University of San Antonio (UCAM), Murcia 30107, Spain

^b LFE Research Group, Department of Health and Human Performance, Faculty of Physical Activity and Sport Science-INEF,

Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Madrid 28040, Spain

^c International Chair of Sports Medicine, Catholic University of San Antonio (UCAM), Murcia 30107, Spain

^d Neuroscience of Human Movement Research Group (Neuromove), Catholic University of San Antonio (UCAM), Murcia 30107, Spain

^e Faculty of Sciences, University of Alicante, Alicante 03690, Spain

Received 7 July 2019; revised 3 October 2019; accepted 28 October 2019 Available online 27 December 2019

2095-2546/© 2021 Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Shanghai University of Sport. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

Abstract

Background: To finish an endurance race, athletes perform a vigorous effort that induces the release of cardiac damage markers. There are several factors that can affect the total number of these markers, so the aim of this review was to analyze the effect of endurance running races on cardiac damage markers and to identify the factors that modify the levels of segregation of these cardiac damage markers.

Methods: A systematic search of PubMed, Web of Science, and the Cochrane Library databases was performed. This analysis included studies where the acute effects of running races on cardiac damage markers (troponin I and troponin T) were analyzed, assessing the levels of these markers before and after the races.

Results: The effects of running races on troponin I (mean difference = 0.0381 ng/mL) and troponin T (mean difference = 0.0256 ng/mL) levels were significant. The ages ($R^2 = 14.4\%$, p = 0.033) and body mass indexes ($R^2 = 14.5\%$, p = 0.045) of the athletes had a significant interaction with troponin I. In addition, gender, mean speed, time to finish the race, and type of race can affect the level of cardiac damage markers.

Conclusion: Endurance running races induce the release of cardiac-damage markers that remain elevated for at least 24 h after the races. In addition, young male athletes with high body mass indexes who perform races combining long duration and moderate intensity (i.e., marathons) release the highest levels of cardiac damage markers. Physicians should take into consideration these results in the diagnosis and treatment of patients admitted to the hospital days after finishing endurance running races.

Keywords: Endurance races; Troponin I; Troponin T; Ultra-endurance

1. Introduction

Light and moderate aerobic exercise has been promoted as a healthful physical activity (PA) associated with multiple benefits and a longer life expectancy. Although the controversy about its optimal dose continues, the scientific evidence suggests that the presence of any kind or level of PA is much better for an individual than a sedentary lifestyle.^{1,2} Likewise,

E-mail addresses: ja.rubio@upm.es, jacobo.rubio2@gmail.com (J.Á. Rubio-Arias).

aerobic exercise generates positive effects on the cardiovascular system,³ reducing, for example, events of coronary heart disease.⁴ In fact, a longitudinal study with a large sample showed reductions in cardiovascular disease mortality (45%) and an increase in cardiovascular disease life expectancy (4.1 years) for runners compared to nonrunners.⁵ Moreover, Arem et al.⁶ reported that performing PA \geq 10 times the minimum recommended by the official guidelines (\geq 75 metabolic equivalent task-h/week) is not associated with an increased mortality risk, but at the same time, this level of PA has a greater risk of all-cause mortality compared with moderate levels of PA.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jshs.2019.10.003

Cite this article: Rubio-Arias JÁ, Andreu L, Martínez-Aranda LM, Martínez-Rodríguez A, Manonelles P, Ramos-Campo DJ. Effects of medium- and long-distance running on cardiac damage markers in amateur runners: a systematic review, meta-analysis, and metaregression. *J Sport Health Sci* 2021;10:192–200.

Peer review under the responsibility of Shanghai University of Sport. * Corresponding author.

Accordingly, endurance training for higher cardiorespiratory fitness levels (measured during cardiopulmonary exercise testing and expressed as maximum rate of oxygen consumption (VO_{2max})) is associated with numerous health benefits (e.g., longer lifespan, better quality of life, lower declination of functional and aerobic capacity, and reduced risks of all-cause and cardiovascular mortality).^{7,8}

Partly for these reasons, endurance and ultraendurance races, as PA practices, have become very popular, and the number of participants has increased in recent times.⁹ However, extreme and competitive endurance events involve a considerably higher level of exercise than is recommended by the official guidelines, without being associated with the benefits that moderate PA could lead to in health status.¹⁰

Short-, medium-, and long-distance running races differ in terms of volume and intensity, can involve vigorous physical effort, and can generate changes in blood biomarkers caused by physiopathological events.¹¹ This type of PA can keep certain biomarkers elevated for days and damage an athlete's health due to the appearance of rhabdomyolysis,¹² acute muscle damage and inflammation,¹³ or cardiac damage.¹⁴ In addition, it may increase the risk of the acute and transient effect of sudden cardiac death and acute myocardial infarction in susceptible populations.⁴

Several studies have investigated acute changes in biomarkers related to heart damage.^{14,15} Troponin I (cTnI) and troponin T (cTnT) are highly specific "cardiac troponin" proteins (cTns) involved in myocardial cell damage and are key factors in the diagnosis of acute coronary syndromes and myocardial necrosis.¹⁶ Stress situations may lead to a cTns increase in professional and recreational athletes without coronary disease, but the prevalence,¹⁷ mechanisms, and clinical importance of exercise-induced cTns release are still not fully understood.

However, recent studies have shown that cTns increase after aerobic exercise,^{14,15} in which high cardiac output, elevated heart rate, and increased blood pressure are required for several hours, resulting in greater cardiac work through myocardial work.¹⁷ Some of the factors that can modify cardiac injury to a greater or lesser extent after this type of event may be associated with the characteristics of participants and running events. There is an individual variability in the cTnT response to acute exercise, depending on several potentially moderating factors (age, body mass, and VO_{2max}).¹⁸

However, from a clinical point of view, health care staff must be aware of what may cause the elevation of cTns and which factors associated with the race and the person may have generated an increase in those markers after the end of long-distance running in an endurance event on foot.

Therefore, the purposes of the present systematic review and meta-analysis were to analyze: (1) the impact of medium- and long-distance running on the heart proteins, cTnI and cTnT, 24 h after the end of the race and (2) the moderating factors related to the race and to the runners' characteristics that could generate an increase in the final levels of cTnI and cTnT.

2. Methods

2.1. Study design

The methodological process was based on the recommendations indicated by the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) declaration.¹⁹ The study was preregistered in the PROSPERO with the following registered number: CRD42019129591.

2.2. Data sources and search profile

A comprehensive literature search was performed using PubMed-MEDLINE, Web of Science, and the Cochrane Library databases. The search was performed without date restriction and was completed on January 11, 2019. The database searches were performed independently by 2 different authors (LA and JÁRA). The following combination of search terms was used: ("troponin-I" OR "troponin I" OR "troponin-T" OR "troponin T" OR "troponin*" OR "cardiac biomarkers") AND ("marathon" OR "ultra-race" OR "ultra-distance" OR "ultra-marathon" OR "ultraendurance" OR "ultra-distance" OR "endurance").

2.3. Data extraction and inclusion and exclusion criteria

In this review article, the following inclusion criteria were considered: (1) studies analyzing the acute effect of foot races on cardiac damage markers (cTnI and cTnT); and (2) studies analyzing cardiac damage markers before and after the race. Studies were excluded if they included (1) populations with pathologies, (2) case studies, (3) studies where the training or the race was performed on a treadmill, (4) studies written in languages other than English or Spanish, (5) running events performed intermittently, and (6) runners who took supplements or medications.

2.4. Study variables

The levels of cTnT and cTnI were the study variables on which the effects of endurance racing (endurance race (10-20 km), mountain race, half marathon, marathon, ultramarathon, or mountain ultramarathon) were analyzed. These markers are considered to be indicators of acute coronary syndrome, and their elevation is usually associated with cardiac pathologies; however, they are also sensitive to stressful situations such as endurance races.^{20,21}

2.5. Evaluation of the methodology of the studies selected (risk of bias)

The methodological quality of the selected studies was assessed by the quality-assessment tool used for before-after (pre-post) studies with no control group (National Heart Lung and Blood Institute),²² which analyzes the following items: (1) study question; (2) eligibility criteria and study population; (3) representativeness of study participants from clinical populations of interest; (4) enrolment of all eligible participants; (5) sample size; (6) clear description of the intervention; (7) outcome measures clearly described, valid, and reliable; (8) blinding of outcome assessors; (9) follow-up rate; (10) statistical analysis; (11) multiple outcome measures; and (12) group-level interventions and individual-level outcome efforts. For all the studies, each item was described as having either a low risk of bias, an unclear risk of bias, or a high risk of bias. Two different authors (LA and DJRC) used the previously described risk-of-bias tool to assess the risk of bias independently.

2.6. Data synthesis and statistical analysis

The meta-analysis and the statistical analysis were conducted using Review Manager software (Version 5.2; RevMan, Cochrane Collaboration, Oxford, UK). A random-effects meta-analysis was conducted to determine the effects of endurance races on cardiac damage markers. The effects of these race events were expressed as mean differences (MDs) and their 95% confidence intervals (95%CIs). The heterogeneity between the studies was evaluated through the I^2 statistic, and the betweenstudy variance was evaluated using the Tau² (τ^2).²³ The I^2 values of 30%-60% represented a moderate level of heterogeneity. In addition, a τ^2 value > 1 suggests the presence of substantial statistical heterogeneity. The Egger's test was used to assess publication bias.²⁴ $p \leq 0.05$ was considered to be statistically significant.

2.7. Effects of moderator variables: metaregression and subanalysis

2.7.1. Moderator analysis for dichotomous variables

To explore the heterogeneity among the variance of the studies, a metaregression of the continuous variables was applied. Considered as moderating variables were age, body mass index (BMI), distance covered, time to complete the race, and speed. The metaregression was analyzed by a random-effects model using the Jamovi Project (Package for R (Version 1.0.0; R Development Core Team, Vienna, Austria)). A restricted maximum residual plausibility method was used to measure variance between studies (τ^2).

2.7.2. Subgroup analysis for dichotomous variables

Subgroup analysis was applied using Review Manager software, with gender and type of race used as dichotomous/politomous variables that could have an influence on the heterogeneity.

In addition, distance and time were analyzed under a dichotomous model (establishing the median as the cut-off point). In each study, the MDs of pre- and post-race, and 24 h after the race were calculated. Each difference in mean was pondered using the method of the inverse variance.²⁵

3. Results

3.1. General characteristics of the studies

To measure the impact of running on the level of cTnI, statistical analysis was performed on 25 studies with 32 groups; and to measure the level of cTnT, it was performed on 26 studies with 33 groups (Fig. 1). The mean age of the participants was 40.4 years (range: 15.7–63.4 years) in cTnI and 41.1 years (range: 15.7–63.0 years) in cTnT. Participants had a mean BMI of 23.4 \pm 1.5 kg/m² (mean \pm SD) in the cTnI groups and 22.8 \pm 1.9 kg/m² in the cTnT groups. The descriptive characteristics and references can be seen in Supplementary Table 1.

3.2. Risk of bias and heterogeneity

The score obtained (median) in the risk analysis was 7/9 (Supplementary Table 2). The funnel test showed heterogeneity of studies (Fig. 2). In addition, a significant bias was observed in the cTnI (Fig. 2A) for the 32 groups analyzed by using the Egger's test (Z=4.67, p < 0.001). However, the bias was not significant in the cTnT (Fig. 2B) for the 33 groups analyzed (Z=1.54, p=0.123).

3.3. Meta-analysis

The overall effect of running on cTnI (Fig. 3) was analyzed in 825 runners. The races generated a significant post-race increase in cTnI levels (MD = 0.0381 ng/mL, 95%CI: 0.0333-0.0428, p < 0.001). Likewise, the effect of races on cTnT for 1195 runners displayed a significant postrace increase (MD = 0.0256 ng/mL, 95%CI: 0.0231-0.0282, p < 0.001).

3.4. Metaregression results

The results of the metaregression are shown in Table 1 (cTnI) and Table 2 (cTnT). A negative interaction was observed among cTnI, age (adjusted $R^2 = 14.4\%$; $\tau^2 = 0.0022$), and BMI (adjusted $R^2 = 14.5\%$; $\tau^2 = 0.0018$).

3.5. Sub-group results

The overall effect of running on cTnI remained high until 24 h, when the effect on markers became significantly reduced ($\chi^2 = 149.28$, p < 0.001). Similarly, the effect of the race on the cTnT remained high until 24 h); then it showed a significant decrease ($\chi^2 = 4.21$, p = 0.04) (Table 3). In addition, there was a greater increase in cTnI than in cTnT ($\chi^2 = 20.49$, p < 0.001) post-race. However, higher cTnT values were observed at 24 h ($\chi^2 = 4.87$, p = 0.03).

3.6. Gender

When studies were grouped by gender (Table 3), the differences of the increases in the observed cTnI values ($\chi^2 = 56.51$, p < 0.001) were higher in the studies involving men and women (n = 502 participants; MD = 0.071 ng/mL, 95%CI: 0.058-0.085, p < 0.001) and in the studies involving only men (n = 323 participants; MD = 0.018 ng/mL, 95%CI: 0.014-0.021, p < 0.001). However, no differences in cTnT values ($\chi^2 = 0.01$, p = 0.92) were observed in men or in studies involving men and women. Only 2 studies (3 groups) that analyzed the effect of endurance races in women were identified, and when they were added to the analysis, a significant increase in cTnT was observed (n = 108 participants; MD = 0.014 ng/mL, 95%CI: 0.008-0.020, p < 0.001). However, this increased response in women was lower compared to men ($\chi^2 = 15.55$, p < 0.001) and compared to studies involving men and women ($\chi^2 = 7.75$, p = 0.005).

3.7. Type of competition

Significant differences in cTnI were observed depending on the type of event ($\chi^2 = 96.19$, p < 0.001) (Table 3). The highest postcompetition cTnI values were observed for marathon (n = 627;



Fig. 1. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) flow diagram of included and excluded studies.

MD=0.062 ng/mL, 95%CI: 0.055–0.070, p < 0.001), followed by the half marathon (n=87; MD=0.046 ng/mL, 95%CI: 0.013–0.078, p=0.006), and the mountain ultramarathon (n=34; MD=0.023 ng/mL, 95%CI: 0.009–0.037, p=0.001). In addition, when speed and exposure time were analyzed under a dichotomous model, significant differences were observed in cTnI (Fig. 2), with higher values for runners running at lower speeds than for those running at higher speeds (χ^2 =14.58, p=0.0001) and for those completing the route in a longer time than for those completing it in a shorter time (χ^2 =6.32, p=0.01) (Table 3).

4. Discussion

4.1. Persistence over time

The obtained results showed a significant increase in posteffort cTns, which remained elevated until 24 h post effort. In line with this finding, previous studies have indicated that sustained efforts of long duration and intensity generate significant and reversible increases in the release of posteffort cTns, so the effect of cardiac necrosis is not ruled out due to repeated efforts over time.^{26,27} A transient increase in the membrane



Fig. 2. Assessment of risk of bias for included studies (funnel plot): (A) mean differences for troponin I and (B) mean differences for troponin T.

А

	P	ost-race	Pre-race					Mean difference	Mean difference		
Troponin I	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight 9	% IV,Random, 95%CI	IV,Random, 95%Cl		
Baker et al. 2014 G1	0.059	0.00003	57	0.05	0.001	57	6.0	0.0090 (0.0087, 0.0093)			
Baker et al. 2014 G3	0.058	0.0003	14	0.05	0.001	14	5.9	0.0080 (0.0075, 0.0085)	}		
Carmona et al. 2015	0.039	0.0018	7	0.0171	0.001	7	5.9	0.0219 (0.0204, 0.0234)			
Da Ponte et al. 2018	0.1	0.12	22	0.01	0.001	22	0.8	0.0900 (0.0399, 0.1401)			
Eijsvogels et al. 2014	0.094	0.102	82	0.014	0.012	82	2.6	0.0800 (0.0578, 0.1022)			
Hubble et al. 2009	0.14	0.23	92	0.01	0.01	92	0.9	0.1300 (0.0830, 0.1770)			
Kim et al. 2015	0.06	0.1	40	0.01	0.003	40	1.7	0.0500 (0.0190, 0.0810)			
Klinkenberg et al. 2016 G2	0.049	0.042	13	0.006	0.013	13	2.4	0.0430 (0.0191, 0.0669)			
Lara et al. 2018 G1	0.01	0.001	21	0.01	0.001	21	5.9	0.0000 (-0.0006, 0.0006)	1		
Lara et al. 2018 G2	0.06	0.004	21	0.01	0.001	21	5.9	0.0500 (0.0482, 0.0518)			
Lara et al. 2018 G3	0.18	0.07	21	0.01	0.001	21	1.8	0.1700 (0.1401, 0.1999)			
Legaz-Arrese et al. 2011	0.054	0.06	14	0.013	0.01	14	1.6	0.0410 (0.0091, 0.0729)			
Lippi et al. 2012	0.0192	0.0042	15	0.0052	0.0008	15	5.9	0.0140 (0.0118, 0.0162)			
Lucia et al. 1999	0.02	0.01	10	0.01	0.01	10	5.0	0.0100 (0.0012, 0.0188)	•		
Mooren et al. 2010	0.068	0.06	13	0.004	0.004	13	1.6	0.0640 (0.0313, 0.0967)			
Mooren et al. 2013	0.068	0.06	14	0.004	0.004	14	1.7	0.0640 (0.0325, 0.0955)			
O'Hanlon et al. 2010	0.075	0.024	17	0.0001	0.001	17	4.4	0.0749 (0.0635, 0.0863)	*		
Park et al. 2018 G2	0.01	0.004	11	0.006	0.001	11	5.9	0.0040 (0.0016, 0.0064)	1		
Ramos-Campo et al. 2016	0.05	0.04	11	0.01	0.03	11	1.8	0.0400 (0.0105, 0.0695)			
Rubio-Arias et al. 2018 G1	0.056	0.045	10	0.01	0.001	10	2.0	0.0460 (0.0181, 0.0739)			
Rubio-Arias et al. 2018 G2	0.015	0.012	6	0.01	0.001	6	4.8	0.0050 (-0.0046, 0.0146)	ł		
Siegel et al. 2001	0.144	0.024	82	0.022	0.005	82	5.5	0.1220 (0.1167, 0.1273)	•		
Tian et al. 2006	0.117	0.094	10	0.023	0.013	10	0.6	0.0940 (0.0352, 0.1528)			
Traiperm et al. 2012	0.212	0.105	40	0.013	0.005	40	1.6	0.1990 (0.1664, 0.2316)			
Trivax et al. 2010	0.2	0.3	25	0.03	0.003	25	0.2	0.1700 (0.0524, 0.2876)			
Vasaalle et al. 2018	0.007	0.001	18	0.006	0.001	18	5.9	0.0010 (0.0003, 0.0017)			
Vidotto et al. 2005	0.093	0.05	25	0.031	0.023	25	2.7	0.0620 (0.0404, 0.0836)			
White et al. 2005	0.13	0.22	52	0.01	0.001	52	0.6	0.1200 (0.0602, 0.1798)			
Wilson et al.2011	0.07	0.1	17	0.01	0.001	17	0.9	0.0600 (0.0125, 0.1075)			
Yoon et al. 2016 G1	0.05	0.06	15	0.01	0.001	15	1.7	0.0400 (0.0096, 0.0704)			
Yoon et al. 2016 G2	0.22	0.29	15	0.01	0.001	15	0.1	0.2100 (0.0632, 0.3568)			
Yoon et al. 2016 G3	0.01	0.001	15	0.01	0.001	15	5.9	$0.0000 \left(\!$	1		
Total (95%CI)			825			825	100.0	0.0381 (0.0333, 0.0428)	1		
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00$: γ^2	= 6512.55	5. df = 31 (p < 0.0	0001);/*:	= 100%						
Test for overall effect: $Z = 15.6$	87 (p < 0.	00001)							-0.5 -0.25 0 0.25 0.5 Favors (Pre-race) Favors (Post-race)		

В

	Po	ost-race		Р	re-race			Mean difference	Mean difference
Troponin T	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight %	IV,Random, 95%Cl	IV,Random, 95%Cl
Baker et al. 2014	0.036	0.00004	57	0.01	0.001	57	4.4	0.0260 (0.0257, 0.0263)	
George et al. 2004	0.101	0.178	29	0.01	0.001	29	0.1	0.0910(0.0262, 0.1558)	
George et al. 2005	0.03	0.02	35	0.01	0.001	35	3.4	0.0200 (0.0134, 0.0266)	~
Keselman et al. 2017	0.0089	0.0088	17	0.001	0.001	17	3.9	0.0079(0.0037, 0.0121)	e
Klapinnska et al. 2013	0.008	0.008	7	0.004	0.002	7	3.5	0.0040 (-0.0021, 0.0101)	+
Klinkenberg et al. 2016 G2	0.042	0.031	13	0.006	0.003	13	1.5	0.0360(0.0191, 0.0529)	
Knebel et al. 2009 G1	0.02	0.01	28	0.001	0.0001	28	4.0	0.0190 (0.0153, 0.0227)	•
Knebel et al. 2009 G2	0.05	0.03	50	0.001	0.0001	50	3.0	0.0490 (0.0407, 0.0573)	
Knebel et al. 2014 G1	0.019	0.028	54	0.001	0.0001	54	3.2	0.0180 (0.0105, 0.0255)	
Knebel et al. 2014 G2	0.0146	0.0152	35	0.001	0.0001	35	3.8	0.0136(0.0086, 0.0186)	*
Kong et al. 2017 G1	0.021	0.025	19	0.005	0.033	19	1.3	0.0160 (-0.0026, 0.0346)	<u>+-</u>
Kong et al. 2017 G2	0.008	0.012	19	0.005	0.033	19	1.6	0.0030 (-0.0128, 0.0188)	+-
Legaz-Arrese et al. 2015	0.0199	0.009	15	0.00413	0.000146	15	3.9	0.0158(0.0112, 0.0203)	•
Lippi et al. 2008 G1	0.03	0.001	15	0.003	0.001	15	4.4	0.0270 (0.0263, 0.0277)	
Lippi et al. 2008 G2	0.03	0.001	17	0.003	0.001	17	4.4	0.0270 (0.0263, 0.0277)	
Melanson et al. 2006	0.03	0.02	24	0.001	0.0008	24	3.1	0.0290 (0.0210, 0.0370)	~
Mingels et al. 2010 G1	0.04	0.003	43	0.001	0.0001	43	4.4	0.0390 (0.0381, 0.0399)	
Mingels et al. 2010 G2	0.06	0.008	122	0.001	0.0001	122	4.4	0.0590 (0.0576, 0.0604)	
Mingels et al. 2010 G3	0.01	0.006	38	0.001	0.0001	38	4.3	0.0090 (0.0071, 0.0109)	•
Mingels et al. 2010 G4	0.014	0.0019	10	0.001	0.0001	10	4.4	0.0130 (0.0118, 0.0142)	
Mingels et al. 2010 G5	0.03	0.029	85	0.001	0.0001	85	3.5	0.0290 (0.0228, 0.0352)	~
Mooren et al. 2009	0.0285	0.0169	14	0.0022	0.0014	14	2.9	0.0263 (0.0174, 0.0352)	~
Mooren et al. 2010	0.028	0.016	13	0.0022	0.0014	13	2.9	0.0258 (0.0171, 0.0345)	
Musha et al. 1997	0.068	0.073	13	0.01	0.001	13	0.4	0.0580 (0.0183, 0.0977)	
Richardson et al. 2018	0.05051	0.012005	52	0.00526	0.000318	52	4.1	0.0452 (0.0420, 0.0485)	*
Saenz et al. 2006	0.031	0.025	30	0.001	0.0001	30	2.8	0.0300(0.0211,0.0389)	
Sahlen et al. 2008 G1	0.01	0.071	22	0.001	0.001	22	0.6	0.0090 (-0.0207, 0.0387)	- - -
Sahlen et al. 2008 G2	0.02	0.095	22	0.001	0.0001	22	0.4	0.0190 (-0.0207, 0.0587)	
Schwarz et al. 2018	0.03	0.001	109	0.001	0.0001	109	4.4	0.0290 (0.0288, 0.0292)	
Siegel et al. 2008	0.017	0.02	100	0.001	0.0001	100	4.0	0.0160(0.0121,0.0199)	•
Sierra et al. 2015	0.0726	0.0279	38	0.0016	0.007	38	2.8	0.0710(0.0619, 0.0801)	
Tian et al. 2006	0.075	0.083	10	0.005	0.001	10	0.2	0.0700(0.0186, 0.1214)	
Traiperm et al. 2012	0.013	0.011	40	0.004	0.002	40	4.1	0.0090 (0.0055, 0.0125)	Þ
Total (95%CI)			1195			1195	100.0	0.0256 (0.0231, 0.0282)	
Heterogeneity $\tau^2 = 0.00$, χ^2 -	- 4394 59	df= 32 (ne	0 000	$(11) \cdot l^2 = 00$	296			010200 010201, 010202	
Test for overall effect: $Z = 19.9$	0 (<i>p</i> < 0.0)	0001)	5.000	517,7 = 38					-0.2 -0.1 0 0.1 0.2 Favors (Pre-race) Favors (Post-race)

Fig. 3. Effects of running races on cardiac damage markers: (A) forest plot for troponin I and (B) forest plot for troponin T. The references of the studies included in the quantitative analysis are summarized in Supplementary Table 1. CI = confidence interval; G1 = Group 1; G2 = Group 2; G3 = Group 3; G4 = Group 4; G5 = Group 5; IV = inverse variance; SD = standard deviation.

Table 1			
Metaregression	analysis	of troponin l	Ι.

Model	Group (n)	Estimate	95%CI		n	Moderator coeff	95%CI		n	τ^2 (SF)	Adi R^2 (%)	$I^{2}(\%)$	п
		Listinute	Lower	Upper	P	inodelutor coeff.	Lower	Upper	P	t (5E)		1 (70)	P
Post-race													
No covariable	32	0.058	0.040	0.076	< 0.001	_	_	_	_	0.0025 (7e-04)	_	100.0	< 0.001
Age (year)	30	0.140	0.066	0.214	< 0.001	-0.002	-0.004	0.000	0.033	0.0022 (7e-04)	14.4	99.9	< 0.001
BMI (kg/m ²)	25	0.351	0.065	0.637	0.016	-0.012	-0.025	0.000	0.045	0.0018 (6e-04)	14.5	99.7	< 0.001
Cumulative	32	0.061	0.041	0.081	< 0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.426	0.0026 (7e-04)	0	100.0	< 0.001
elevation													
Distance (km)	32	0.071	0.045	0.097	< 0.001	0.000	-0.001	0.000	0.166	0.0024 (7e-04)	4.0	100.0	< 0.001
Time (min)	29	0.070	0.047	0.093	< 0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.18	0.0026 (8e-04)	2.8	100.0	< 0.001
Speed (km/h)	29	0.045	-0.057	0.147	0.391	0.002	-0.008	0.011	0.716	0.0027 (8e-04)	0	100.0	< 0.001
24 h													
No covariable	12	0.020	0.000	0.040	0.05	_	_	_	_	0.0012 (5e-04)	_	100.0	< 0.001
Age (year)	12	0.009	-0.054	0.072	0.778	0.000	-0.001	0.002	0.719	0.0013 (6e-04)	0	99.9	< 0.001
BMI (kg/m ²)	11	0.034	-0.032	0.101	0.313	-0.001	-0.004	0.002	0.409	0.0001 (0.0000)	0	99.0	< 0.001
Cumulative	12	0.024	0.001	0.046	0.038	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.394	0.0012 (6e-04)	0	99.9	< 0.001
elevation													
Distance (km)	12	0.039	-0.004	0.083	0.078	0.000	-0.001	0.000	0.324	0.0012 (6e-04)	0	99.9	< 0.001
Time (min)	11	0.030	0.002	0.057	0.034	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.342	0.0013 (7e-04)	0	99.9	< 0.001
Speed (km/h)	11	0.000	-0.060	0.060	1.000	0.145	-0.227	0.516	0.445	0.0014 (7e-04)	0	99.9	< 0.001

Abbreviations: Adj. = adjusted; BMI = body mass index; CI = confidence interval; coeff. = coefficient.

Table 2

Metaregression analysis of troponin T.

Model	Group (n)	Estimate	95%CI		n	Moderator coeff	95%CI		n	τ^2 (SE)	Adj $P^2(0/4)$	$I^{2}(0/2)$	<i>n</i>
	Group (<i>n</i>)	Lotinate	Lower	Upper	P	widdenator coerr.	Lower	Upper	P	t (5L)	7 uj r (70)	1 (70)	P
Post-race													
No covariable	33	0.026	0.021	0.032	< 0.001	_		_	_	2e-04 (1e-04)		99.9	< 0.001
Age (year)	29	0.017	-0.006	0.039	0.158	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.325	3e-04 (1e-04)	3.8	99.8	< 0.001
BMI (kg/m ²)	23	-0.071	-0.162	0.019	0.121	0.004	0.000	0.008	0.036	2e-04 (1e-04)	16.8	99.4	< 0.001
Cumulative	33	0.029	0.021	0.037	< 0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.305	2e-04 (1e-04)	1.2	99.9	< 0.001
Distance (km)	29	0.019	0.006	0.033	0.005	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.197	3e-04 (1e-04)	0.4	99.9	< 0.001
Time (min)	29	0.039	0.001	0.077	0.046	-0.063	-0.264	0.139	0.543	3e-04 (1e-04)	0	99.9	< 0.001
24 h													< 0.001
No covariable	9	0.016	0.003	0.028	0.01	_	_	_	_	3e-04 (2e-04)	_	99.9	
Age (year)	9	-0.003	-0.044	0.037	0.87	0.001	-0.001	0.002	0.328	3e-04 (2e-04)	0	99.8	< 0.001
BMI (kg/m ²)	6	-0.010	-0.212	0.193	0.927	0.001	-0.008	0.010	0.817	6e-04 (5e-04)	0	99.8	< 0.001
Cumulative elevation	9	0.030	0.009	0.051	0.006	0.000	-0.001	0.000	0.126	3e-04 (2e-04)	14.2	99.8	< 0.001
Distance (km)	8	0.025	0.003	0.047	0.026	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.234	4e-04 (2e-04)	4.7	99.7	< 0.001
Time (min)	8	-0.013	-0.091	0.064	0.735	0.141	-0.245	0.528	0.473	4e-04 (3e-04)	0	99.8	< 0.001

Abbreviations: Adj. = adjusted; BMI = body mass index; CI = confidence interval; coeff. = coefficient.

permeability has been proposed as an alternative hypothesis to necrosis.²⁸ However, the effect of continuous exposure to these markers is not yet known. Our results suggest a greater sensitivity of the cTnI to the effect of races and higher cTnT levels over time, with a reversible effect.

4.2. Age

Regarding the ages of participants, our results revealed a significant and negative interaction for age on cTnI (adjusted $R^2 = 14.4\%$), with no interaction on cTnT. This significant negative interaction is in line with findings in previous studies.²⁹ In a similar meta-analysis,²⁶ the authors did not find any relationship between the release of cTnT and the ages and genders of participants, which is in agreement with the results obtained in our study. However, Fortescue et al.³⁰ observed that troponin values were higher in younger and less well-trained runners. The athletes included in the meta-analysis had a mean age of 40.4 years, ranging between 15.7 years and 63.4 years for cTnI; and of 41.1 years, ranging between 15.7 years and 63.0 years for cTnT, with a greater number of studies at greater age intervals. One possible explanation for these results is based on the increase in the cardiac output and, in addition, the relationship between age and the cardiac remodeling due to exercise. It is known that amateur athletes may suffer from both effects in response to aerobic exercise.^{31–33} These factors could generate less myocardial stress during acute efforts. Another possible explanation could be the number of athletic competitions included in the studies. Several studies suggest that with repeated exercise,^{30,34} stress-sensitive muscle fibers can be eliminated through cell necrosis followed by regeneration. Regeneration of muscle fibers and changes in connective tissue may protect against exercise-related aggressions and the release of myofibrillary enzymes. If such remodelling occurred in the heart, this conditioning could explain the tendency of more experienced and older runners to be relatively less likely to exhibit cTns release.

4.3. BMI

BMI showed a significant and negative interaction with cTnI (adjusted $R^2 = 14.5\%$). However, no interactions were observed for the total amount of released cTnT. Similarly, Shave et al.²⁶ observed that in long-term events, the amount of released cTnT was related to body weight. To our knowledge, few studies have attempted to relate BMI values to the level of released cTns. As previously shown, anthropometric characteristics are related to

Variables	Group(n)	participant (n)	Mean difference (95%CI)	n	$I^{2}(0/2)$	Sub-group difference		
variables	Group (n)	participant (n)	Wear difference (5576Cf)	P	1 (70)	χ ²	р	
Troponin I								
Time point								
Pre vs. post	32	825	0.038 (0.033, 0.043)	< 0.001	100	149.28	< 0.001	
Pre vs. 24 h	12	246	0.005 (0.003, 0.007)	< 0.001	95			
Gender								
Men	19	323	0.018 (0.014, 0.021)	< 0.001	100	56.51	< 0.001	
Both men and women	13	502	0.071 (0.058, 0.085)	< 0.001	95			
Type of event								
Marathon	18	627	0.062 (0.055, 0.070)	< 0.001	99	96.19	< 0.001	
Half marathon	5	87	0.046 (0.013, 0.078)	0.006	100			
Ultra-marathon	4	56	0.007 (-0.002, 0.016)	0.140	98			
Ultra-marathon-mountain	4	34	0.023 (0.009, 0.037)	0.001	81			
Speed (km/h)								
≤10.3	15	419	0.067 (0.051, 0.082)	< 0.001	100	14.58	0.0001	
>10.3	16	388	0.034 (0.028, 0.040)	< 0.001	100			
Duration (min)								
≤245	16	435	0.037 (0.031, 0.044)	< 0.001	100	6.32	0.01	
>245	15	372	0.057 (0.043, 0.070)	< 0.001	100			
Troponin T								
Time point								
Pre vs. post	33	1195	0.003 (0.023, 0.028)	< 0.001	99	4.21	0.04	
Prerace vs. 24 h	9	175	0.002 (0.006, 0.025)	< 0.001	100			
Gender								
Men	14	318	0.026 (0.024, 0.028)	< 0.001	94	15.87	0.0004	
Women	3	108	0.014 (0.008, 0.020)	< 0.001	33			
Both men and women	16	769	0.026 (0.020, 0.033)	< 0.001	100			
Type of event								
Marathon	17	793	0.027 (0.025, 0.029)	< 0.001	98	4.42	0.35	
Half marathon	6	90	0.021 (0.014, 0.027)	< 0.001	99			
Race	6	248	0.028 (0.011, 0.044)	0.001	100			
Race-mountain	2	44	0.013(-0.011, 0.036)	0.300	0			
Ultra-marathon	2	20	0.027(-0.025, 0.080)	0.310	86			
Speed (km/h)								
≤14	15	571	0.026 (0.023, 0.028)	< 0.001	98	0.32	0.57	
14	16	459	0.029 (0.019, 0.038)	< 0.001	100			
Duration (min)			· · · /					
215	15	380	0.024 (0.014, 0.034)	< 0.001	100	0.55	046	
>216	16	650	0.028 (0.026, 0.030)	< 0.001	98			

Notes: In these analyses, not all studies show all variables. Therefore, some variables are calculated with fewer studies (groups). Abbreviation: CI = confidence interval.

aerobic performance in endurance runners.³⁵ Therefore, this association could be due to the fact that BMI is associated with weight. Moreover, in amateur athletes, the BMI value is associated with a higher percentage of fat mass, which could be related to a lower running speed, leading to a lower intensity of effort during the race and resulting in a lower myocardial effort.

4.4. Gender

A significant interaction was shown between 2 subgroups in the studies that included men only and those that included both men and women. For cTnT, only 2 studies analyzed the effect of endurance races for women,^{36,37} where the cTnT released in women was lower than that in men. These results are consistent with previous studies showing that cardiac function in exertion depends on several factors, for example, gender.³⁸

A putative explanation for these results could be that a lower diastolic capacity is related to a poorer sports performance, and other factors, such as age and gender, may be related to lower systolic capacity.³⁹ The difference in ventricular capacity may lead to a decrease in ventricular stress and, therefore, less heart damage. Another explanation for the differences related to gender could be the differing estrogen levels in men and women

which, in this case, act as a protector of the myocardium.⁴⁰ Yet another explanation may be the difference in heart size; it is known that after puberty, there are differences in heart size between the genders.^{37,41} In addition, healthy women show better diastolic and systolic function compared to men of the same age,⁴² which may be conditioning the effect on stress during exercise. It has also been shown that coping strategies may weaken with age, depending on gender.³⁹

However, cTnI values in men were significantly lower than in combined groups of men and women. This inconsistency with previous results could be explained by the fact that men's groups completed races of an average duration of 929 min (range: 80-3758 min), whereas groups consisting of both genders completed races of an average duration of 205 min (range: 58-293 min), with an average race distance of 69.2 km (range: 21.1-308.0 km) for men and 33.9 km (range: 10.0-42.2 km) for women. Therefore, the intensities at which the events took place were higher, which may affect the results.⁴³

4.5. Distance, intensity, and type of event

According to the metaregression, our results revealed that there is no interaction between the total level of released cTns

and the distance, time, and speed variables. However, when the cTnI levels were analyzed as a function of the cut-off point (median), a significant interaction was observed between velocity and time. Participants who covered longer distances (who, therefore, had more time of exposure to effort) had greater and significant increases ($\chi^2 = 6.32$, p = 0.01) than did those who were exposed for less time (and who, therefore, covered shorter distances). In addition, when analyzing the types of events, we observed that intensity was a key factor in the release of cTnI. The runners who covered the marathon distance released the largest amounts of cTnI, followed by those who completed the half marathon. In addition, nonsignificant differences for the endurance race (10-20 km) and the ultramarathon were found, and significant differences were reported for the ultramarathonmountain. These results suggest that a minimum time of highintensity exposure is necessary to generate a significant release of cTnI. If the race is not long enough (>half-marathon distance)¹⁵ or is not performed at a certain intensity, no significant levels of cTns will be generated. Our results are consistent with the results previously found in other studies.^{15,43,44}

Legaz-Arrese et al.⁴⁵ observed that a certain intensity must be maintained over a certain period of time to generate a significant release of cTnI, indicating that the specific release kinetics are not known to be exponential. This fact coincides with the cardiac adaptations that could be produced in the long term and be harmful to health.⁴⁶

One of the limitations of our analysis is that it did not consider the methods of obtaining the cTns levels (due to missing information in the articles), and there could have been a loss in the sensitivity when obtaining the preanalysis values.⁴⁷ Another limitation is that there was little information available in the included studies that mentioned the athletes' experience, and runners' experience is one of the limiting factors for the determination of the cTns levels.⁴⁸ However, the level of the participants' experience identified in the studies included in our analysis was too vague to establish it as a categorical factor in our analysis. In addition, the collection time for blood samples was immediately after the race in many of the analyzed studies, and it has been observed that the cTns level peaks at approximately 4 h following a race.²¹ Finally, it is important to highlight the fact that an elevated degree of heterogeneity was shown in the analysis, which was probably due to the factors mentioned above.

5. Conclusion

A few studies have shown the effect of endurance running on cardiac damage markers. It is known that there can be an interaction between a study population's characteristics and a running event's characteristics on the measured cTns levels, but thus far, relevant studies have been limited and have had small sample sizes.

To our knowledge, this is the first meta-analysis that analyzed the effects of foot races and the factors that generate greater cTns release in amateur athletes. The main findings in our analysis were that endurance races generate an increase of cTnI and cTnT releases, which remain at high levels for at least 24 h. In addition, several moderating variables that affect cTns levels after long-distance running were identified.

Endurance foot races generate increased markers of heart damage and persist at high levels for a minimum of 24 h afterward. Gender, duration of effort, speed, and type of race are moderating factors related to levels of cTnI release.

It is recommended that runners be adequately prepared for certain types of competitions, especially those that combine long duration and moderate intensity (i.e., marathons). This is true especially for men and inexperienced or young participants who have high fat percentages or high BMIs.

As a clinical application, previous studies suggest that caution should be taken with those people who show high values in cardiac damage markers after running⁴⁹ because these markers could be the result of exposure to vigorous exercise.

Amateur runners who have these characteristics should be warned about the risk of performing aerobic events at vigorous intensity. In addition, it has been observed that cTnI is more responsive to the effect of certain moderating variables; this may be because it is a more sensitive marker in relation to the effects of running. However, this difference could be due to the lack of initial heterogeneity of the cTnT results. Finally, we must point out that our message is not about running being harmful to health but, rather, that the acute effects caused by endurance races with certain features may increase in people with certain characteristics. From a clinical point of view, this information is relevant for health care professionals because it provides information about how an acute situation can be exacerbated when the aforementioned characteristics are present. For this reason, it is recommended that runners be adequately trained and prepared in order to fully benefit from the positive effects of PA and to minimize the acute effects of running.

Acknowledgment

The authors thank G. Sanz for proofreading.

Authors' contributions

JARA performed the study design, literature search, and statistical analysis and drafted the manuscript; LA performed the literature search, helped with the data interpretation and the analysis of the results; LMMA participated in the design and coordination of the study and performed the draft, writing-review, and editing of the manuscript; AMR supervised the study design and statistical analysis and revised the manuscript; PM interpreted the data and revised the manuscript; DJRC conceived and designed the study, provided advice with data processing, and revised the manuscript draft. All authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript, and agree with the order of the presentation of authors.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Supplementary materials

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found in the online version at doi:10.1016/j.jshs.2019.10.003.

References

- Zubin Maslov P, Schulman A, Lavie CJ, Narula J. Personalized exercise dose prescription. *Eur Heart J* 2018;39:2346–55.
- Lavie CJ, Ozemek C, Carbone S, Katzmarzyk PT, Blair SN. Sedentary behavior, exercise, and cardiovascular health. *Circ Res* 2019;124:799–815.
- Menafoglio A, Di Valentino M, Porretta AP, et al. Cardiovascular evaluation of middle-aged individuals engaged in high-intensity sport activities: implications for workload, yield, and economic costs. *Br J Sports Med* 2015;49:757–61.
- 4. Thompson PD, Franklin BA, Balady GJ, et al. Exercise and acute cardio-vascular events: placing the risks into perspective a scientific statement from the American Heart Association Council on Nutrition, Physical Activity, and Metabolism and the Council on Clinical Cardiology. *Circulation* 2007;115:2358–68.
- Lee DC, Pate RR, Lavie CJ, Sui X, Church TS, Blair SN. Leisure-time running reduces all-cause and cardiovascular mortality risk. J Am Coll Cardiol 2014;64:472–81.
- Arem H, Moore SC, Patel A, et al. Leisure time physical activity and mortality: a detailed pooled analysis of the dose–response relationship. *JAMA Intern Med* 2015;175:959–67.
- Gremeaux V, Gayda M, Lepers R, Sosner P, Juneau M, Nigam A. Exercise and longevity. *Maturitas* 2012;73:312–7.
- Strasser B, Burtscher M. Survival of the fittest: VO_{2max}, a key predictor of longevity? *Front Biosci (Landmark Ed)* 2018;23:1505–16.
- 9. Hoffman MD, Ong JC, Wang G. Historical analysis of participation in 161 km ultramarathons in North America. *Int J Hist Sport* 2010;**27**:1877–91.
- O'Keefe JH, Lavie CJ, Guazzi M. Part 1: potential dangers of extreme endurance exercise: how much is too much? Part 2: screening of schoolage athletes. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis* 2015;57:396–405.
- Bird SR, Linden M, Hawley JA. Acute changes to biomarkers as a consequence of prolonged strenuous running. *Ann Clin Biochem* 2014;51:137– 50.
- Skenderi KP, Kavouras SA, Anastasiou CA, Yiannakouris N, Matalas AL. Exertional rhabdomyolysis during a 246-km continuous running race. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2006;38:1054–7.
- Ramos-Campo J, Ávila-Gandía V, Alacid F, et al. Muscle damage, physiological changes, and energy balance in ultra-endurance mountain-event athletes. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab* 2016;41:872–8.
- 14. Rubio-Arias J, Ávila-Gandía V, López-Román FJ, Soto-Méndez F, Alcaraz PE, Ramos-Campo DJ. Muscle damage and inflammation biomarkers after two ultra-endurance mountain races of different distances: 54 km vs. 111 km. *Physiol Behav* 2018;205:51–7.
- Lara B, Salinero JJ, Gallo-Salazar C, et al. Elevation of cardiac troponins after endurance running competitions. *Circulation* 2019;139:709–11.
- Collinson PO, Boa FG, Gaze DC. Measurement of cardiac troponins. *Ann Clin Biochem* 2001;**38**:423–49.
- Shave R, Baggish A, George K, et al. Exercise-induced cardiac troponin elevation: evidence, mechanisms, and implications. J Am Coll Cardiol 2010;56:169–76.
- Eijsvogels TMH, Hoogerwerf MD, Maessen MF, et al. Predictors of cardiac troponin release after a marathon. J Sci Med Sport 2015;18:88–92.
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman D. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and metaanalyses: the prisma statement. *PLoS Med* 2009;6: e1000097. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097.
- Gaze DC. Cardiac troponin in endurance exercise—fragments of the imagination or clinical significance? J Appl Lab Med 2018;3:760–3.
- Shave R, Ross P, Low D, George K, Gaze D. Cardiac troponin I is released following high-intensity short-duration exercise in healthy humans. *Int J Cardiol* 2010;145:337–9.
- National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. Quality assessment tool for before-after (pre-post) studies with no control group. Available at: https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health-topics/study-quality-assessmenttools;2007. [accessed 20.02.2019].
- Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ* 2003;327:557–60.
- 24. Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ* 1997;**315**:629–34.

- Higgins JP, Green S. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions: Cochrane book series. New York, NY: Wiley; 2008.
- 26. Shave R, George KP, Atkinson G, et al. Exercise-induced cardiac troponin T release: a meta-analysis. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2007;39:2099–106.
- Jaffe AS, Ordonez-Llanos J. Ultra-sensitive cardiac troponin: from theory to clinical practice (Troponina cardiaca ultrasensible: de la teoría a la práctica clínica). *Revista Española de Cardiología* 2013;66:687–91. [in Spanish].
- Scharhag J, Herrmann M, Urhausen A, Haschke M, Herrmann W, Kindermann W. Independent elevations of N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide and cardiac troponins in endurance athletes after prolonged strenuous exercise. *Am Heart J* 2005;150:1128–34.
- 29. Tian Y, Nie J, Huang C, George KP. The kinetics of highly sensitive cardiac troponin T release after prolonged treadmill exercise in adolescent and adult athletes. *J Appl Physiol (1985)* 2012;**113**:418–25.
- Fortescue EB, Shin AY, Greenes DS, et al. Cardiac troponin increases among runners in the boston marathon. *Ann Emerg Med* 2007;49:137–43.
- George K, Whyte GP, Green DJ, et al. The endurance athletes heart: acute stress and chronic adaptation. Br J Sports Med 2012;46(Suppl. 1):S29–36.
- O'Keefe JH, Patil HR, Lavie CJ, Magalski A, Vogel RA, McCullough PA. Potential adverse cardiovascular effects from excessive endurance exercise. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2012;87:587–95.
- Montero D, Díaz-Cañestro C. Endurance training and maximal oxygen consumption with ageing: role of maximal cardiac output and oxygen extraction. *Eur J Prev Cardiol* 2015;23:733–43.
- Ebbeling CB, Clarkson PM. Exercise-induced muscle damage and adaptation. Sports Med 1989;7:207–34.
- Knechtle B. Relationship of anthropometric and training characteristics with race performance in endurance and ultra-endurance athletes. *Asian J* Sports Med 2014;5:73–90.
- 36. Knebel F, Spethmann S, Schattke S, et al. Exercise-induced changes of left ventricular diastolic function in postmenopausal amateur marathon runners: assessment by echocardiography and cardiac biomarkers. *Eur J Prev Cardiol* 2014;21:782–90.
- Kong Z, Nie J, Lin H, et al. Sex differences in release of cardiac troponin T after endurance exercise. *Biomarkers* 2017;22:345–50.
- Ogawa T, Spina RJ, Martin 3rd WH, et al. Effects of aging, sex, and physical training on cardiovascular responses to exercise. *Circulation* 1992;86:494– 503.
- Grewal J, McCully RB, Kane GC, Lam C, Pellikka PA. Left ventricular function and exercise capacity. *JAMA* 2009;301:286–94.
- Mendelsohn ME, Karas RH. The protective effects of estrogen on the cardiovascular system. N Engl J Med 1999;340:1801–11.
- Leinwand LA. Sex is a potent modifier of the cardiovascular system. J Clin Invest 2003;112:302–7.
- 42. Grandi AM, Venco A, Barzizza F, Scalise F, Pantaleo P, Finardi G. Influence of age and sex on left ventricular anatomy and function in normals. *Cardiology* 1992;81:8–13.
- Gresslien T, Agewall S. Troponin and exercise. Int J Cardiol 2016;221:609–21.
- 44. Carranza-García LE, George K, Serrano-Ostáriz E, Casado-Arroyo R, Caballero-Navarro AL, Legaz-Arrese A. Cardiac biomarker response to intermittent exercise bouts. *Int J Sports Med* 2011;**32**:327–31.
- 45. Legaz-Arrese A, George K, Carranza-García LE, Munguía-Izquierdo D, Moros-García T, Serrano-Ostáriz E. The impact of exercise intensity on the release of cardiac biomarkers in marathon runners. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2011;111:2961–7.
- Eijsvogels TMH, Thompson PD, Franklin BA. The "extreme exercise hypothesis": recent findings and cardiovascular health implications. *Curr Treat Options Cardiovasc Med* 2018;20:84. doi:10.1007/s11936-018-0674-3.
- 47. Guzmán DAM, Quiroga GT. Troponin in the diagnosis of myocardial infarction: considerations from the clinical laboratory (Troponina en el diagnóstico de infarto al miocardio: consideraciones desde el laboratorio clínico). *Revista Medica de Chile* 2010;138:379–82. [in Spanish].
- Nie JP, George KK, Tong T, et al. The influence of a half-marathon race upon cardiac troponin T release in adolescent runners. *Curr Med Chem* 2011;18:3452–6.
- Kleiven Ø, Ørn S. Endurance exercise and myocardial injury. *Eur J Prev Cardiol* 2019;26:316–7.