# Exploring the Use of Complementary and Alternative Medicine in Cancer Patients

Integrative Cancer Therapies Volume 18: 1–9 © The Author(s) 2019 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/1534735419854134 journals.sagepub.com/home/ict

**\$**SAGE

Ellen Jones, PhD candidate D, Lisa Nissen, PhD, Alexandra McCarthy, PhD, Kathryn Steadman, PhD, and Carol Windsor, PhD, and Ca

#### **Abstract**

In Australia, it is estimated that around 17% to 87% of cancer patients have used one form of complementary therapy during their cancer treatment. There are numerous reasons and contributing factors for cancer patients to consider using complementary and alternative medicine (CAM). CAM information and products are readily available. However, the level of evidence to support the benefits of use in the cancer setting is limited, and the associated adverse effects and interactions with conventional medicine may not be fully studied. Besides, not all health professionals favor the concept of integrative health approaches, or have the confidence in dealing with CAM due to a lack of knowledge and standardization of practices. A thematic review of the literature was performed on the main contributing factors to cancer patients' use of CAM, as well as the current issues that may be encountered by the patients and health professionals.

#### **Keywords**

complementary and alternative medicine, cancer, contributing factors, gender differences, disease-related factors, socioeconomic factors, cultural-related factors

Submitted November 6, 2018; revised March 17, 2019; accepted March 22, 2019

#### Introduction

An upward trend in the use of complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) has been recognized globally as a phenomenon in the general population<sup>1-7</sup> and among specific groups such as the cancer population.<sup>8-11</sup> In 2005, in Australia, a national population-based study showed around 68.9% of the general population had used at least one form of CAM in the previous 12 months for health enhancement, disease prevention, and as a nutritional supplement.<sup>1</sup>

Australia is a country that places great value on health and well-being. 12 In 2016-2017, \$69 billion was spent on hospitals and \$62 billion was dedicated to primary health care. 12 However, expenditure on personal activities not directly related to maintaining or improving personal health, such as the taking of vitamins and minerals, herbal and other complementary medicines, is excluded. 12 Although the figures quoted do not precisely indicate the level of individual expenditure on CAM, the increase of around 7% in growth over the period of 2006/2007 to 2016/2017 aligns with the expected increase in revenue reported by the CAM industry. 12

This article aims to explore the scope of evidence on factors that may influence the decisions of cancer patients with regard to CAM uptake in Australia. The term CAM used in this article refers to the use of products that have the potential to contain biologically active compounds (eg, products for oral/systemic use), rather than more general therapies (eg, exercise), given the potential interactions that CAM may have with conventional cancer treatments when used concurrently.

#### **Methods**

This narrative review study was performed by evaluating articles using the electronic databases Google Scholar, Scopus, and PubMed. The search terms "Australia," "cancer," "complementary and alternative medicine," "attitudes and belief," "gender," "contributing factors," and "health literacy" were used to provide insight into cancer patients'

Redcliffe Hospital, Redcliffe, Queensland, Australia

<sup>2</sup>Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia

<sup>3</sup>The University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

<sup>4</sup>The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia

#### Corresponding Author:

Lisa Nissen, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, Queensland 4001, Australia.

Email: I.nissen@qut.edu.au

CAM use from different perspectives. The retrieved articles were reviewed and considered if they were relevant, peer-reviewed, full-text, and English.

# Prevalence of CAM Use Among the General and Cancer Population

In Australia, it is estimated that around 17% to 87% of cancer patients have used at least one form of complementary therapy during their cancer treatment.<sup>13</sup> While the disparity in findings on cancer patients' CAM use is primarily due to differences in study designs and diverse cancer population sampling, <sup>14,15</sup> a lack of universally recognized terminology <sup>13,14,16,17</sup> and patients' willingness to disclose their use also play a role. <sup>18,19</sup>

The terms "conventional medicine," "biomedicine," or "allopathic," "mainstream," "orthodox," and "Western medicine" are used interchangeably. These conventional treatments are based on normative scientific examination of efficacy and safety, together with recognized levels of evidence before they are applied in clinical practice. This model is regarded in the developed world as the key to optimization of patient management. <sup>23</sup>

Unlike conventional treatment, "complementary and alternative medicine" cannot be distinctly defined. It is broadly used to describe any health care practice that deviates from the fundamental principles of medicine instilled from established institutions. <sup>24</sup> It also varies between countries, cultures, and individuals due to different cultural and spiritual values. <sup>25</sup> In some cases, effectiveness of treatment may even be based on anecdotal evidence, misperception, biased opinions, and unproven claims. <sup>21</sup> Where an unconventional practice is used along with conventional medicine, it is considered as "complementary"; where an unconventional practice is used to replace conventional medicine, it is considered as "alternative." <sup>13,26</sup>

Early studies highlighted that general patients' considerations of CAM were based on personal preferences, perception of poorer heath, and distrust of conventional treatment due to adverse effects or unmet personal needs. <sup>27,28</sup> Some of these themes are recurring to date and found to be consistent not only across Western countries <sup>29-31</sup> but also non-Western countries. <sup>32-34</sup>

The predicted incidence of all cancers combined in 2018 was over 140 000 new cases in Australia<sup>35</sup> and a substantial increase in CAM use nationally was identified in 2010 with cancer patients' CAM use around 65%.<sup>36</sup> This combination may be significant to patients' concurrent use of medications and appropriateness of treatment management.

# Contributing Factors for Patients' CAM Use

Most cancer patients are aware of the importance of adhering to conventional treatment. 19,37,38 However, making

decisions on treatment options may still be challenging due to the physical and emotional distress associated with the diagnosis and the seemingly limited treatment options<sup>27,39,40</sup> in the context of debilitating adverse effects from treatment<sup>41</sup> and the lack of substantial survival benefits in advanced cancers.<sup>42</sup> Decisions related to CAM use is a complex issue and may be influenced by a myriad of reasons such as attitudes and beliefs,<sup>43,44</sup> gender,<sup>45</sup> disease states,<sup>11</sup> socioeconomic status,<sup>45</sup> cultural backgrounds,<sup>45</sup> health literacy,<sup>46</sup> and even differences among localities.<sup>47</sup>

### Attitudes and Beliefs

The motivations of CAM use in cancer patients share some similarities with other chronic disease sufferers such as self-perceived ill health<sup>2</sup> and the desire of achieving holistic well-being and optimizing therapeutic benefits with conventional treatment. However, reasons for cancer patients' CAM use also extend to prolonging life, minimizing cancer symptoms or adverse effects from treatment, and enhancing general well-being, particularly in those with more noticeable declining health within a period of weeks or months. Some cancer patients believe that CAM provides a level of health benefit despite the lack of supporting scientific evidence, or an inability to identify exact benefits from use.

It is also possible that the media plays a part in planting ideas in peoples' mind. Many Australians learn about CAM from the media. <sup>51</sup> Topics targeting females, personal anecdotes on CAM and cancer, and perhaps misleading CAM information may be used to capture attention. <sup>52</sup> Some popular Australian women's magazines portray CAM as safe and as health enhancers. <sup>51</sup> The prevalence of the unverified stories and false claims related to CAM could easily mislead vulnerable individuals into making inappropriate decisions and causing potential drug interactions with their prescribed conventional treatment. <sup>52</sup>

### Gender Differences

Females. Generally, females have better health than males, lower mortality rates, and are more open to utilization of health services even when their biological differences are considered. Gender-related health seeking behaviors have been studied for decades. Early explanations to justify such behavioral differences between genders arise from traditional female roles, marital, and employment status. A snoted, females who are married, employed, and have young children were less likely to report morbidity. Interestingly, contemporary CAM users appear to share similar attributes as they are mostly younger (under 50 years), with tertiary education qualifications and earning higher incomes.

An Australian longitudinal study found that female CAM users were evaluated as more emotionally vulnerable and experiencing a higher level of distress than nonusers. 62

Although the CAM users in the study showed a decrease in perceived stress and depression over time, their actual health-related quality of life remained constant. <sup>62</sup> While the theoretical foundation of CAM use in female patients and level of distress may be linked, any objective and self-perceived benefits in managing negative emotions cannot be verified. <sup>62,63</sup> In another large Australian study, investigating the profile of women who consulted alternative health practitioners, female CAM users were more likely than nonusers to report ill health and were also found to have higher access to conventional health services than nonusers. <sup>63</sup>

Female patients' CAM use has also correlated with a form of behavior that seeks to address personal distress caused by unresolved health issues. <sup>64</sup> Such behavior is perceived more broadly as taking a confrontive, supportive, and optimistic approach in managing their personal health <sup>48</sup> through purposeful selection of treatments to best suit their needs. <sup>63</sup> These strategies are also consistent with the dynamic nature of modern society, which supports women's independence and personal transformation through self-reflection and self-discovery. <sup>65</sup>

*Males*. Males have been shown to hold different views on health. <sup>66</sup> When compared with females, males are less likely to seek help from health professionals when they are unwell <sup>67</sup> and less likely to report distress and psychosocial-related issues. <sup>68</sup> Hence, if CAM use is associated with a stronger desire for personal control, <sup>9,69,70</sup> this may provide insight into why more CAM use can be found among men suffering a high burden of health-related symptoms (eg, cancer). <sup>9,71</sup> Alternatively, a reluctance to disclose their need for help may also be an attempt to minimize distress to their families. <sup>9</sup>

Perlman et al argue that the discrepancies in CAM behavior between genders may actually emerge from inconsistencies in the definition of CAM that have been put forward in many studies.<sup>72</sup> Where the definition of CAM remains broad, females have a higher tendency (1.7 times more) than males to instigate CAM therapy following a cancer diagnosis.<sup>72</sup> However, once the CAM modalities were segregated, the utilization of CAM is mostly comparable between males and females in relation to commencing special diets, movement/physical therapy, spirituality, or dietary supplements after diagnosis. 72 Similar findings were noted by another study, which showed CAM use in male patients was common across all cancer types, from solid tumors to hematological-related malignancies.<sup>73</sup> This further emphasized the importance of obtaining specific information on patients' CAM use rather than making presumptions on use based on gender difference.

### Disease-Related Factors

It is difficult to provide meaningful comparisons of CAM use between patients in cancer treatment. 74-77 A patient's

decision on CAM usage can occur at any stage of their disease and is aligned strongly to individual goals and desires. This is demonstrated in a study investigating the initiation of CAM following cancer diagnosis. From the 604 patients involved, 327 (54%) patients commenced a median of 2 CAM approaches per patient (range 1-6). The CAM patients were also more likely than the nonusers to have a previous history of surgical interventions, experience with chemotherapy, and to have participated in clinical trials. These findings were consistent with previous studies where CAM users often claimed to have poor health, self-perceived low quality of life, and long-term suffering from fatigue and anxiety that were not alleviated by conventional treatment. 38,57,58,62

Weeks et al proposed a decision-making model to explain patient behavior in relation to CAM use that can be divided into 3 phases: early, mid, and late. 80 The early phase of CAM decision-making commences at diagnosis of cancer or disease progression<sup>80</sup> to explore alternatives beyond conventional therapy and adapt to new circumstances. 15,80-83 The subsequent transition to the mid phase reinforces the establishment of a tailored CAM regimen based on one's belief, needs, and unique experiences.<sup>80</sup> The late phase of decision-making begins when patients transform to either survivorship or palliative care. 80 The aims of CAM use during this phase extend from overcoming negative emotions (eg, a sense of loss, abandonment from the service, accepting their own mortality), to enhancing positive emotions (eg, maintaining health, prolonging life).80

Despite the conceptual framework of Weeks et al, precise dynamic and kinetic interactions between CAM and conventional treatment are unclear with regard to the impact of CAM on conventional treatment and one's treatment process. 38,84-86 It is particularly concerning that some cancer patients consider delaying their curative treatment to pursue CAM due to fear of adverse effects from conventional treatment, 88 and others feel responsible for their inability to continue CAM due to financial and practical barriers such as time and energy. 89

#### Socioeconomic Factors

It is evident that there are strong links between CAM use and socioeconomic factors <sup>90-92</sup> and that health outcomes are determined by personal characteristics (eg, psychological, genetic, cultural, income, education level, and lifestyle) and external factors (eg, physical environment, accessibility to health services). <sup>93</sup> These factors shape decisions on healthy lifestyle choices. <sup>94,95</sup>

CAM users are generally health conscious people and prefer to take on a proactive approach to prevent ill health. 96,97 Some CAM users also believe that one's behaviors or lifestyle could contribute to a cancer diagnosis. 96

Hence, it is not surprising to find that CAM use is more prevalent among the better-educated cohorts. <sup>28,30,60,96,98,99</sup>

Presumably, the level of education has a positive association with health.<sup>100</sup> It is proposed that this is because highly educated people are more capable of learning, thinking, reasoning, and solving problems compared with the less-educated people.<sup>100</sup> However, level of education does not necessarily preclude an individual's struggle to understand accustomed vocabulary and concepts found in health-related material or instructions.<sup>101</sup>

If a portion of the well-educated population do not fully understand health-related information, some of the less-educated population may even pose a bigger challenge in medication management. Inappropriate use of CAM due to misinformation may cause potential drug interactions, adverse outcomes, and suboptimal health management. Some patients may also be more easily satisfied with the information obtained from social communications or word-of-mouth recommendations, 102 irrespective of the robustness and evidence. Assurance of better health may be enticing to someone who is unwell and logical thinking and reasoning might succumb to false hope at these moments. 103

#### **Cultural-Related Factors**

Cultural diversity plays a role in the CAM choices of cancer patients. 97,102 For example, Chinese patients are more prone to using herbal medicines, Latinos prefer dietary therapies and spiritual healing, African Americans often use spiritual healing, and Caucasians favor using a variety of methods ranging from physical, dietary to massage, and acupuncture. 97

Differences in cultural beliefs have been found to have a higher level of impact on individual health information seeking behavior than level of education <sup>102</sup> and willingness to disclose CAM use to health professionals. <sup>104</sup> For instance, Caucasian patients prefer unbiased, scientific information from more reputable sources (eg, medical journals or research institutions). <sup>102</sup> Japanese patients are more attracted to information from the media, commercial sources (eg, television, newspaper, CAM providers), and non-Japanese Asians and Pacific Islanders have been found to favor information from other people (eg, doctors, social groups, or other cancer patients). <sup>102</sup>

#### Health Literacy

Health literacy is defined by the World Health Organization as "the cognitive and social skills which determine the motivation and ability of individuals to gain access to, understand, and use information in ways which promote and maintain good health." A population-based study published in 2009 found around a quarter of the Australian population may have unsatisfactory health literacy. <sup>106</sup> In

2014, it was determined that approximately 60% of adult Australians have low health literacy. <sup>107</sup> This translates into a large proportion of the population that may actually struggle, at various levels, to make health-related choices or express opinions effectively. <sup>107</sup>

Although self-health management such as self-initiated CAM use or other medications may be perceived as self-care, or an aspect of health improvement from the patient point of view, 108-110 unfavorable effects caused by concurrent use of CAM and conventional therapies may be overlooked, especially when robust research is lacking to inform health providers and patients to ensure judicious use of CAM. 111-115 Furthermore, the CAM included in the clinical guidelines may not be exhaustive 116 or of varying quality. 117,118

This is of concern given that the sources of CAM information are generally family/friends, <sup>119</sup> CAM practitioners, and health food shops. <sup>120</sup> Potential issues related to interactions between CAM and conventional treatment <sup>36</sup> and a patient's thorough medical history/comorbidities may not be given due consideration. Moreover, CAM information is also available from discussion forums or seminars organized by companies focused on selling health or CAM products. <sup>115</sup> Information provided from these settings may potentially be biased, misleading, and driven by the profit imperative. <sup>115</sup>

# Location Differences

Studies have showed that the prevalence of CAM use is comparable in nonurban and urban localities in Australia. Australia. Interestingly, the CAM modalities preferred by nonurban residents are more associated with manual therapies such as chiropractic or massage service compared with those residing in urban areas. However, the preferred CAM modalities are also dependent on the characteristics of the townships and the businesses involved such as farming, tourism, or agriculture and forestry.

# **Current Issues Related to the CAM Landscape**

Apart from the Internet, health food stores, or other alternative practices, the gradual shift of retail pharmacies from a patient- to business-focused model has further compounded matters. This led to an agreement between Australian pharmacy owners and a private CAM company on the upselling CAM with conventional medicines, which attracted strong criticism. While some questioned the ethics of retail pharmacies in adopting an overt profit-making strategy, others defended the approach as merely an opportunity to promote patient health.

CAM is not entirely natural and safe in all cases. <sup>124</sup> The objective benefits of CAM may not be well established in

relation to their cost-effectiveness, survival benefits, and quality of life in the cancer setting. 125 Despite an abundance of studies on CAM, a portion of the research funding may have been contributed by the complementary medicine industry (36.6%) as opposed to independent governmentfunded research councils. 126 For instance, popular herbs such as St John's wort and products containing kava kava are easily accessible for symptoms related to depression and anxiety. 124 However, St John's wort may reduce the effects of certain anticancer treatment, whereas kava kava may cause liver impairment if not used appropriately.<sup>124</sup> Alternatively, high doses of vitamin C may be administered intravenously at alternative practices as an anticancer agent, but survival benefit and safety data are lacking either as a single agent<sup>127</sup> or when used concomitantly with conventional treatment.128

Despite this, not all health professionals are confident in dealing with CAM due to a lack of knowledge in seeking and evaluating CAM-related information. Some health providers may not fully favor the concept of integrative health approaches given their contrasting views on CAM. These ongoing CAM issues, expressed by health professionals, partly stem from a lack of regulation and standardization of CAM practitioners and their practice standards as well as the safety and efficacy of the CAM products. As a consequence, health professionals involved in cancer care support more scientific studies on safety and the efficacy of CAM, which has led to the appearance of position statements, clinical guidelines, and recommendations to guide appropriate use of CAM in this setting. As a consequence, beauth professionals involved in cancer care support more scientific studies on safety and the efficacy of CAM, which has led to the appearance of position statements, clinical guidelines, and recommendations to guide appropriate use of CAM in this setting.

In summary, CAM continues to present a challenge to health care professionals. Given that CAM use is on the rise in patients with cancer, it is important to ensure that use is appropriate to minimize untoward adverse effects between CAM and conventional cancer treatment.

Health behaviors associated with CAM use, or nonuse during cancer treatment, is a result of dynamic health decision-making processes by patients, which are influenced by a myriad of factors. It is possible that the patients' CAM use reflect a continuous urge to optimize their health in ways within their power, irrespective of the views and support of the health professionals with whom they interact.

Prospective research is required to determine if specific aspect(s) may trigger patient use of CAM at the point of commencing, or change of, cancer treatment due to disease progression. Moreover, it will be valuable to establish ways to optimize health professional interventions to support the seemingly volatile nature of patient CAM behaviors.

# **Declaration of Conflicting Interests**

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

#### **Funding**

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

#### **ORCID iDs**

Ellen Jones https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5521-8486

Kathryn Steadman https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7357-330X

#### References

- Xue CCL, Zhang AL, Lin V, Da Costa C, Story DF. Complementary and alternative medicine use in Australia: a national population-based survey. *J Altern Complement Med*. 2007;13:643-650.
- Reid R, Steel A, Wardle J, Trubody A, Adams J. Complementary medicine use by the Australian population: a critical mixed studies systematic review of utilisation, perceptions and factors associated with use. *BMC* Complement Altern Med. 2016;16:176.
- Su D, Li L. Trends in the use of complementary and alternative medicine in the United States: 2002-2007. *J Health Care Poor Underserved*. 2011;22:296-310.
- Hunt KJ, Coelho HF, Wider B, et al. Complementary and alternative medicine use in England: results from a national survey. *Int J Clin Pract*. 2010;64:1496-1502.
- Hussain S, Malik F, James H, Abdul H. Trends in the use of complementary and alternative medicine in Pakistan: a population-based survey. *J Altern Complement Med*. 2009;15:545-550.
- Esmail N. Complementary and alternative medicine in Canada: trends in use and public attitudes, 1997-2006. http://www.fraserinstitute.org/uploadedFiles/fraser-ca/ Content/research-news/research/publications/complementary-alternative-medicine-in-canada-2007.pdf. Published May 22, 2007. Accessed January 28, 2019.
- KemppainenLM,KemppainenTT,ReippainenJA,Salmenniemi ST, Vuolanto PH. Use of complementary and alternative medicine in Europe: health-related and sociodemographic determinants. Scand J Public Health. 2017;46:448-455.
- 8. Deng GE, Frenkel M, Cohen L, et al. Evidence-based clinical practice guidelines for integrative oncology: complementary therapies and botanicals. *J Soc Integr Oncol*. 2009;7:85-120.
- Hedderson MM, Patterson RE, Neuhouser ML, et al. Sex differences in motives for use of complementary and alternative medicine among cancer patients. *Altern Ther Health Med.* 2004;10:58-64.
- Smithson J, Paterson C, Britten N, Evans M, Lewith G. Cancer patients' experiences of using complementary therapies: polarization and integration. *J Health Serv Res Policy*. 2010;15(suppl 2):54-61.
- Pirri C. Integrating complementary and conventional medicine. Cancer Forum. 2011;35:31-39.
- Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. Health Expenditure Australia 2016-17. Health and Welfare Expenditure Series No. 64. Cat. No. HWE 74. Canberra, Australia: Australian Institute of Health and Welfare; 2018.
- Cancer Council Australia. New position statement on alternative and complementary therapies. http://www.cancer.

- org.au/news/news-articles/new-statement-on-alternativeand-complementary-therapies/. Accessed February 2, 2019.
- Kremser T, Evans A, Moore A, et al. Use of complementary therapies by Australian women with breast cancer. *Breast*. 2008;17:387-394.
- Amichai T, Grossman M, Richard M. Lung cancer patients' beliefs about complementary and alternative medicine in the promotion of their wellness. *Eur J Oncol Nurs*. 2012;16:520-527.
- Horneber M, Bueschel G, Dennert G, Less D, Ritter E, Zwahlen M. How many cancer patients use complementary and alternative medicine: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Integr Cancer Ther.* 2012;11:187-203.
- 17. Ernst E, Cassileth BR. The prevalence of complementary/alternative medicine in cancer: a systematic review. *Cancer*. 1998;83:777-782.
- Davis EL, Oh B, Butow PN, Mullan BA, Clarke S. Cancer patient disclosure and patient-doctor communication of complementary and alternative medicine use: a systematic review. *Oncologist*. 2012;17:1475-1481.
- Richardson MA, Sanders T, Palmer JL, Greisinger A, Singletary SE. Complementary/alternative medicine use in a comprehensive cancer center and the implications for oncology. *J Clin Oncol*. 2000;18:2505-2514.
- National Cancer Institute. NCI dictionary of cancer terms: conventional medicine. https://www.cancer.gov/publications/dictionaries/cancer-terms/def/conventional-medicine. Accessed February 2, 2019.
- Fontanarosa PB, Lundberg GD. Alternative medicine meets science. *JAMA*. 1998;280:1618-1619.
- Chaudhury RR, Thatte U, Liu J. Clinical trial methodology. In: Bodeker G, Burford G, eds. *Traditional, Complementary and Alternative Medicine: Policy and Public Health Perspectives*. London, England: Imperial College Press; 2007:389-404.
- Guyatt GH, Haynes RB, Jaeschke RZ, et al. Users' guide to the medical literature: XXV. Evidence-based medicine: principles for applying the users' guides to patient care. Evidence-Based Medicine Working Group. *JAMA*. 2000;284:1290-1296.
- Zollman C, Vickers A. ABC of complementary medicine: what is complementary medicine? BMJ. 1999;319:693-696.
- 25. Bodeker G, Kronenberg F, Burford G. Policy and public health perspectives on traditional, complementary and alternative medicine: an overview. In: Bodeker G, Burford G, eds. *Traditional, Complementary and Alternative Medicine: Policy and Public Health Perspectives*. London, England: Imperial College Press; 2007:9-40.
- 26. National Center for Complementary and Integrative Health. Complementary, alternative, or integrative health: what's in a name? https://nccih.nih.gov/health/integrative-health. Accessed February 2, 2019.
- Vincent C, Furnham A. Why do patients turn to complementary medicine? An empirical study. *Br J Clin Psychol*. 1996;35(pt 1):37-48.
- Astin JA. Why patients use alternative medicine: results of a national study. *JAMA*. 1998;279:1548-1553.
- National Center for Complementary and Integrative Health.
   The use of complementary and alternative medicine in

- the United States. https://nccih.nih.gov/research/statis-tics/2007/camsurvey fs1.htm. Accessed February 2, 2019.
- Molassiotis A, Fernádez-Ortega P, Pud D, et al. Use of complementary and alternative medicine in cancer patients: a European survey. *Ann Oncol.* 2005;16:655-663.
- 31. Bishop FL, Lewith GT. Who uses CAM? A narrative review of demographic characteristics and health factors associated with CAM use. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med*. 2010;7:11-28.
- Yalcin S, Hurmuz P, McQuinn L, Naing A. Prevalence of complementary medicine use in patients with cancer: a Turkish comprehensive cancer center experience. http:// ascopubs.org/doi/pdfdirect/10.1200/JGO.2016.008896. Accessed May 27, 2018.
- Puataweepong P, Sutheechet N, Ratanamongkol P. A survey of complementary and alternative medicine use in cancer patients treated with radiotherapy in Thailand. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med.* 2012;2012:670408. doi:10.1155/2012/670408
- Abuelgasim KA, Alsharhan Y, Alenzi T, Alhazzani A, Ali YZ, Jazieh AR. The use of complementary and alternative medicine by patients with cancer: a cross-sectional survey in Saudi Arabia. *BMC Complement Altern Med*. 2018;18:88. doi:10.1186/s12906-018-2150-8
- Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. Cancer data in Australia. https://www.aihw.gov.au/reports/cancer/cancer-data-in-australia/contents/summary. Accessed January 22, 2019
- Oho B, Butow P, Mullan B, et al. The use and perceived benefits resulting from the use of complementary and alternative medicine by cancer patients in Australia. *Asia Pac J Clin Oncol*. 2010;6:342-349.
- Horne R, Weinman J. Patients' beliefs about prescribed medicines and their role in adherence to treatment in chronic physical illness. *J Psychosom Res.* 1999;47:555-567.
- 38. Tascilar M, De Jong FA, Verweij J, Mathijssen RHJ. Complementary and alternative medicine during cancer treatment: beyond innocence. *Oncologist*. 2006;11:732-741.
- Moschèn R, Kemmler G, Schweigkofler H, et al. Use of alternative/complementary therapy in breast cancer patients—a psychological perspective. Support Care Cancer. 2001;9:267-274.
- Burstein HJ, Gelber S, Guadagnoli E, Weeks JC. Use of alternative medicine by women with early-stage breast cancer. N Eng J Med. 1999;340:1733-1739.
- 41. Smith PJ. Complementary and Alternative Medicine Use by Cancer Patients Commencing Curative-Intent Chemotherapy: Survey and Educational Intervention [thesis]. Brisbane, Australia: University of Queensland; 2016.
- Rajagopal PS, Nipp RD, Selvaggi KJ. Chemotherapy for advanced cancers. Ann Palliat Med. 2014;3:203-228.
- Bauml JM, Chokshi S, Schapira MM, et al. Do attitudes and beliefs regarding complementary and alternative medicine impact its use among patients with cancer? A crosssectional survey. *Cancer*. 2015;121:2431-2438.
- McFadden KL, Hernández TD, Ito TA. Attitudes toward complementary and alternative medicine influence its use. *Explore (NY)*. 2010;6:380-388.

 Chao MT, Wade CM. Socioeconomic factors and women's use of complementary and alternative medicine in four racial/ethnic groups. *Ethn Dis*. 2008;18:65-71.

- Dişsiz G, Yilmaz M. Complementary and alternative therapies and health literacy in cancer patients. *Complement Ther Clin Pract*. 2016;23:34-39.
- Robinson A, Chesters J. Rural diversity in CAM usage: the relationship between rural diversity and the use of complementary and alternative medicine modalities. *Rural Soc.* 2008;18:64-75.
- Arthur K, Belliard J, Hardin S, Knecht K, Chen CS, Montgomery S. Practices, attitudes, and beliefs associated with complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) use among cancer patients. *Integr Cancer Ther*. 2012;11:232-242.
- Murray SA, Kendall M, Boyd K, Sheikh A. Illness trajectories and palliative care. BMJ. 2005;330:1007-1011.
- Humpel N, Jones SC. Gaining insight into the what, why and where of complementary and alternative medicine use by cancer patients and survivors. *Eur J Cancer Care (Engl)*. 2006;15:362-368.
- Dunne A, Phillips C. Complementary and alternative medicine—representations in popular magazines. *Aust Fam Physician*. 2010;39:671-674.
- Mercurio R, Eliott JA. Trick or treat? Australian newspaper portrayal of complementary and alternative medicine for the treatment of cancer. Support Care Cancer. 2011;19:67-80.
- Smith J, Braunack-Mayer A, Wittert G. What do we know about men's help-seeking and health service use? *Med J Aust*. 2006;184:81-83.
- 54. Nathanson CA. Illness and the feminine role: a theoretical review. *Soc Sci Med.* 1975;9:57-62.
- Hunt K, Adamson J, Hewitt C, Nazareth I. Do women consult more than men? A review of gender and consultation for back pain and headache. *J Health Serv Res Policy*. 2011;16:108-117.
- 56. Bertakis KD, Azari R, Helms LJ, Callahan EJ, Robbins JA. Gender differences in the utilization of health care services. *J Fam Pract*. 2000;49:147-152.
- 57. O'Callaghan V. Patients' perceptions of complementary and alternative medicine. *Cancer Forum*. 2011;35:44-47.
- 58. Koczwara B, Beatty L. Psychology of complementary care in cancer: motivators, barriers and outcomes. *Cancer Forum*. 2011;35:10-13.
- Harris PE, Cooper KL, Relton C, Thomas KJ. Prevalence of complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) use by the general population: a systematic review and update. *Int J Clin Pract*. 2012;66:924-939.
- 60. Adams M, Jewell A. The use of complementary and alternative medicine by cancer patients. *Int Semin Surg Oncol.* 2007;4:10. doi:10.1186/477-7800-4-10
- Kang DH, McArdle T, Suh Y. Changes in complementary and alternative medicine use across cancer treatment and relationship to stress, mood, and quality of life. *J Altern Complement Med.* 2014;20:853-859.
- Beatty LJ, Adams J, Sibbritt D, Wade TD. Evaluating the impact of cancer on complementary and alternative medicine use, distress and health related QoL among

- Australian women: a prospective longitudinal investigation. *Complement Ther Med.* 2012;20:61-69.
- Adams J, Sibbritt DW, Easthope G, Young AF. The profile of women who consult alternative health practitioners in Australia. *Med J Aust.* 2003;179:297-300.
- 64. Lo-Fo-Wong DNN, Ranchor AV, de Haes HCJM, Sprangers MAG, Henselmans I. Complementary and alternative medicine use of women with breast cancer: self-help CAM attracts other women than guided CAM therapies. Patient Educ Couns. 2012;89:529-536.
- Nissen N. Challenging perspectives: women, complementary and alternative medicine, and social change. *Interface*. 2011;3:187-212.
- Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. The health of Australia's males. https://www.aihw.gov.au/reports/ men-women/male-health/contents/how-healthy. Accessed February 2, 2019.
- Galdas PM, Cheater F, Marshall P. Men and health help-seeking behaviour: literature review. *J Adv Nurs*. 2005;49:616-623.
- Corney RH. Sex differences in general practice attendance and help seeking for minor illness. *J Psychosom Res*. 1990;34:525-534.
- Miller M, Boyer MJ, Butow PN, Gattellari M, Dunn SM, Childs A. The use of unproven methods of treatment by cancer patients: frequency, expectations and cost. *Support Care Cancer*. 1998;6:337-347.
- Wilkinson JM, Stevens MJ. Use of complementary and alternative medical therapies (CAM) by patients attending a regional comprehensive cancer care centre. *J Complement Integr Med*. 2014;11:139-145.
- Chan JM, Elkin EP, Silva SJ, Broering JM, Latini DM, Carroll PR. Total and specific complementary and alternative medicine use in a large cohort of men with prostate cancer. *Urology*. 2005;66:1223-1228.
- Perlman AI, Lontok O, Huhmann M, Parrott JS, Simmons LA, Patrick-Miller L. Prevalence and correlates of postdiagnosis initiation of complementary and alternative medicine among patients at a comprehensive cancer center. J Oncol Pract. 2013;9:34-41.
- 73. Klafke N, Eliott JA, Wittert GA, Olver IN. Prevalence and predictors of complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) use by men in Australian cancer outpatient services. *Ann Oncol.* 2012;23:1571-1578.
- Correa-Velez I, Clavarino A, Eastwood H. Surviving, relieving, repairing, and boosting up: reasons for using complementary/alternative medicine among patients with advancedcancer: athematic analysis. *JPalliat Med*. 2005;8: 953-961.
- Truant TL, Porcino AJ, Ross BC, Wong ME, Hilario CT. Complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) use in advanced cancer: a systematic review. *J Support Oncol*. 2013;11:105-113.
- Bell RM. A review of complementary and alternative medicine practices among cancer survivors. *Clin J Oncol Nurs*. 2010;14:365-370.
- 77. Mao JJ, Palmer JL, Healy K, Desai K, Amsterdam J. Complementary and alternative medicine use among cancer

- survivors: a population-based study. *J Cancer Surviv.* 2011;5: 8-17
- Vapiwala N, Mick R, Hampshire MK, Metz JM, DeNittis AS. Patient initiation of complementary and alternative medical therapies (CAM) following cancer diagnosis. Cancer J. 2006;12:467-474.
- Wyatt G, Sikorskii A, Willis CE, Su H. Complementary and alternative medicine use, spending, and quality of life in early stage breast cancer. *Nurs Res.* 2010;59:58-66.
- Weeks L, Balneaves L, Paterson C, Verhoef M. Decisionmaking about complementary and alternative medicine by cancer patients: integrative literature review. *Open Med*. 2014;8:e54-e66.
- Montbriand MJ. Decision tree model describing alternate health care choices made by oncology patients. *Cancer Nurs.* 1995;18:104-117.
- Balneaves L, Truant TL, Kelly M, Verhoef MJ, Davison BJ. Bridging the gap: decision-making processes of women with breast cancer using complementary and alternative medicine (CAM). Support Care Cancer. 2007;15:973-983.
- Boon H, Brown JB, Gavin A, Westlake K. Men with prostate cancer: making decisions about complementary/alternative medicine. *Med Decis Making*. 2003;23:471-479.
- 84. Yates JS, Mustian KM, Morrow GR, et al. Prevalence of complementary and alternative medicine use in cancer patients during treatment. *Support Care Cancer*. 2005;13:806-811.
- Moses GM, McGuire TM. Drug interactions with complementary medicines. *Aust Prescr.* 2010;33:177-180.
- 86. Werneke U, Earl J, Seydel C, Horn O, Crichton P, Fannon D. Potential health risks of complementary alternative medicines in cancer patients. *Br J Cancer*. 2004;90:408-413.
- Greenlee H, Neugut AI, Falci L, et al. Association between complementary and alternative medicine use and breast cancer chemotherapy initiation: the Breast Cancer Quality of Care (BQUAL) study. *JAMA Oncol*. 2016;2:1170-1176. doi:10.1001/jamaoncol.2016.0685
- Citrin DL, Bloom DL, Grutsch JF, Mortensen SJ, Lis CG. Beliefs and perceptions of women with newly diagnosed breast cancer who refused conventional treatment in favor of alternative therapies. *Oncologist*. 2012;17:607-612.
- 89. Eliott JA, Kealey CP, Olver IN. (Using) complementary and alternative medicine: the perceptions of palliative patients with cancer. *J Palliat Med*. 2008;11:58-67.
- Thomas K, Coleman P. Use of complementary or alternative medicine in a general population in Great Britain.
   Results from the National Omnibus survey. *J Public Health* (Oxf). 2004;26:152-157.
- 91. Barnes PM, Powell-Griner E, McFann K, Nahin RL. Complementary and alternative medicine use among adults: United States, 2002. *Adv Data*. 2004;(343):1-19.
- Bodeker G, Kronenberg F. A public health agenda for traditional, complementary, and alternative medicine. Am J Public Health. 2002;92:1582-1591.
- 93. World Health Organization. Health Impact Assessment (HIA). The determinants of health. http://www.who.int/hia/evidence/doh/en/. Accessed February 2, 2019.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Factors that contribute to health disparities in cancer. https://www.cdc.

- gov/cancer/healthdisparities/basic\_info/challenges.htm. Accessed February 2, 2019.
- Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. Australia's Health 2018: 5.1. Socioeconomic groups. https:// www.aihw.gov.au/reports/australias-health/australias-health-2018/contents/table-of-contents. Accessed February 2, 2019.
- Chan MY, Huang H, Mei H. Socioeconomic status, attitudes on use of health information, preventive behaviours, and complementary and alternative medical therapies: using a US national representative sample. *Nat Appl Sci.* 2012;3: 15-23.
- Lee MM, Lin SS, Wrensch MR, Adler SR, Eisenberg D. Alternative therapiesused by women with breast cacner in four breast cancer in four ethnic populations. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2000;92:42-47.
- MacLennan AH, Wilson DH, Taylor AW. Prevalence and cost of alternative medicine in Australia. *Lancet*. 1996;347:569-573.
- von Conrady DM, Bonney A. Patterns of complementary and alternative medicine use and health literacy in general practice patients in urban and regional Australia. *Aust Fam Physician*. 2017;46:316-320.
- Ross CE, Wu CL. The links between education and health. *Am Sociol Rev.* 1995;60:719-745.
- Beagley L. Educating patients: understanding barriers, learning styles, and teaching techniques. *J Perianesth Nurs*. 2011;26:331-337.
- 102. Kakai H, Maskarinec G, Shumay DM, Tatsumura Y, Tasaki K. Ethnic differences in choices of health information by cancer patients using complementary and alternative medicine: an exploratory study with correspondence analysis. Soc Sci Med. 2003;56:851-862.
- Beyerstein BL. Alternative medicine and common errors of reasoning. Acad Med. 2001;76:230-237.
- 104. George M. Health beliefs, treatment preferences and complementary and alternative medicine for asthma, smoking and lung cancer self-management in diverse black communities. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2012;89:489-500.
- World Health Organization. Health promotion. Track 2: health literacy and health behaviour. http://www.who.int/ healthpromotion/conferences/7gchp/track2/en/. Accessed February 1, 2019.
- 106. Barber MN, Staples M, Osborne RH, Clereehan R, Elder C, Buchbinder R. Up to a quarter of the Australian population may have suboptimal health literacy depending upon the measurement tool: results from a population-based survey. *Health Promot Int.* 2009;24:252-261.
- 107. Australian Commission on Safety and Quality of Health Care. Health literacy: taking action to improve safety and quality. http://www.safetyandquality.gov.au/wp-content/ uploads/2014/08/Health-Literacy-Taking-action-toimprove-safety-and-quality.pdf. Published August 2014. Accessed February 1, 2019.
- 108. Chapter 9: Discovering the hidden depths of health care: lay beliefs, social support and informal care. In: Segall A, Fries CJ, eds. *Pursuing Health and Wellness: Healthy Societies, Healthy People*. Toronto, Canada: Oxford University Press; 2011:233-272.

 Fries CJ. Self-care and complementary and alternative medicine as care for the self: an embodied basis for distinction. *Health Sociol Rev.* 2013;22:37-51.

- Votova K, Wister AV. Self-care dimensions of complementary and alternative medicine use among older adults. *Gerontology*. 2007;53:21-27.
- 111. Meijerman I, Beijnen JH, Schellens JHM. Herb-drug Interactions in oncology: focus on mechanisms of Induction. *Oncologist.* 2006;11:742-752.
- Thakerar A, Sanders J, Moloney M, Alexander M, Kirsa S. Pharmacist advice on the safety of complementary and alternative medicines during conventional anticancer treatment. *J Pharm Pract Res.* 2014;44:231-237.
- 113. Block KI, Koch AC, Mead MN, Tothy PK, Newman RA, Gyllenhaal C. Impact of antioxidant supplementation on chemotherapeutic efficacy: a systematic review of the evidence from randomized controlled trials. *Cancer Treat Rev*. 2007;33:407-418.
- 114. Block KI, Koch AC, Mead MN, Tothy PK, Newman RA, Gyllenhaal C. Impact of antioxidant supplementation on chemotherapeutic toxicity: a systematic review of the evidence from randomized controlled trials. *Int J Cancer*. 2008;123:1227-1239.
- Shih V, Chiang JYL, Chan A. Complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) usage in Singaporean adult cancer patients. *Ann Oncol*. 2009;20:752-757.
- 116. Reeve TS, Sabesan S; Cancer Council Australia Advanced Prostate Cancer Guidelines Working Party. Complementary and alternative (unproven) therapies. https://wiki.cancer. org.au/australia/Guidelines:Prostate\_cancer/Management/ Locally\_advanced\_and\_metastatic/Complementary\_and\_ alternative therapies. Accessed January 30, 2019.
- 117. Ng JY, Liang L, Gagliardi AR. The quantity and quality of complementary and alternative medicine clinical practice guidelines on herbal medicines, acupuncture and spinal manipulation: systematic review and assessment using AGREE II. BMC Complement Altern Med. 2016;16:425.
- Ernst E. Assessments of complementary and alternative medicine: the clinical guidelines from NICE. *Int J Clin Pract*. 2010;64:1350-1358.
- Eliott JA, Klafke N. Family and complementary and alternative medicine. *Cancer Forum*. 2011;35:40-43.
- Robinson A, Cooper S. Trusted information sources: the preferred option for complementary and alternative medicine users. *J Evid Based Integr Med*. 2007;12:120-38.
- 121. Sullivan A, Gilbar P, Curtain C. Complementary and alternative medicine use in cancer patients in rural Australia. *Integr Cancer Ther.* 2015;14:350-358.
- 122. Adams S. A critical look at pharmacies that promote complementary and alternative medicines. http://www.issuesmagazine.com.au/article/issue-september-2008/critical-look-pharmacies-promote-complementary-and-alternative-medicine. Accessed February 1, 2019.

- 123. Harvey K. Pharmacies to push supplements as "fries and Coke" to prescriptions. http://theconversation.com/pharmacies-to-push-supplements-as-fries-and-coke-to-prescriptions-3578. Published September 27, 2011. Accessed January 15, 2019.
- National Cancer Institute. Complementary and alternative medicine. https://www.cancer.gov/about-cancer/treatment/ cam. Accessed January 15, 2019.
- 125. Huebner J, Prott FJ, Muecke R, et al; Prevention and Integrative Oncology of the German Cancer Society Working Group. Economic evaluation of complementary and alternative medicine in oncology: is there a difference compared to conventional medicine? *Med Princ Pract*. 2017;26:41-49.
- 126. Bensoussan A. Complementary medicine research activity and capacity: researcher audit update. http://nicm.edu.au/\_\_data/assets/pdf\_file/0008/537407/2007-2008\_activity\_and\_capacity\_audit.pdf. Published September 2008. Accessed January 16, 2019.
- 127. Duconge J, Miranda-Massari JR, Gonzalez MJ, Jackson JA, Warnock W, Riordan NH. Pharmacokinetics of vitamin C: insights into the oral and intravenous administration of ascorbate. *P R Health Sci J.* 2008;27:7-19.
- 128. Wilson MK, Baguley BC, Wall C, Jameson MB, Findlay MP. Review of high-dose intravenous vitamin C as an anticancer agent. Asia Pac J Clin Oncol. 2014;10: 22-37.
- 129. King N, Balneaves LG, Levin GT, et al. Surveys of cancer patients and cancer health care providers regarding complementary therapy use, communication and information needs. *Integr Cancer Ther*. 2015;14:515-524.
- 130. Bausell RB. Snake Oil Science: The Truth About Complementary and Alternative Medicine. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 2007.
- World Health Organization. WHO traditional medicine strategy 2014-2023. http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/92455/1/9789241506090\_eng.pdf. Published 2013. Accessed January 16, 2019.
- 132. Braun L, Harris J, Katris P, et al. Clinical Oncology Society of Australia position statement on the use of complementary and alternative medicine by cancer patients. *Asia Pac J Clin Oncol*. 2014;10:289-296.
- 133. Greenlee H, Balneaves LG, Carlson LE, et al; Society for Integrative Oncology. Clinical practice guidelines on the use of integrative therapies as supportive care in patients treated for breast cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst Monogr. 2014;2014:346-358.
- 134. Australian Government National Health and Medical Research Council. Talking with your patients about complementary medicine—a resource for clinicians. https://nhmrc.gov.au/about-us/publications/talking-yourpatients-about-complementary-medicine-resource-clinicians. Accessed January 16, 2019.