

## G OPEN ACCESS

**Citation:** Harun-Or-Roshid M., Ali M.B, Jesmin , Mollah M.NH (2022) Association of hypoxia inducible factor 1-Alpha gene polymorphisms with multiple disease risks: A comprehensive metaanalysis. PLoS ONE 17(8): e0273042. https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone.0273042

Editor: Jianhong Zhou, UNITED STATES

Received: September 30, 2021

Accepted: August 1, 2022

Published: August 16, 2022

**Copyright:** © 2022 Harun-Or-Roshid et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All data used in this manuscript are provided in the supplementary files.

**Funding:** The authors received no specific funding for this work.

**Competing interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

**RESEARCH ARTICLE** 

# Association of hypoxia inducible factor 1-Alpha gene polymorphisms with multiple disease risks: A comprehensive meta-analysis

## Md. Harun-Or-Roshid<sup>1</sup>, Md. Borqat Ali<sup>1</sup>, Jesmin<sup>2</sup>\*, Md. Nurul Haque Mollah<sup>1</sup>\*

1 Bioinformatics Laboratory, Department of Statistics, University of Rajshahi, Rajshahi, Bangladesh,

2 Department of Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology, University of Dhaka, Dhaka, Bangladesh

\* jesmin@du.ac.bd (J); mollah.stat.bio@ru.ac.bd (NHM)

## Abstract

HIF1A gene polymorphisms have been confirmed the association with cancer risk through the statistical meta-analysis based on single genetic association (SGA) studies. A good number SGA studies also investigated the association of HIF1A gene with several other diseases, but no researcher yet performed statistical meta-analysis to confirm this association more accurately. Therefore, in this paper, we performed a statistical meta-analysis to draw a consensus decision about the association of HIF1A gene polymorphisms with several diseases except cancers giving the weight on large sample size. This meta-analysis was performed based on 41 SGA study's findings, where the polymorphisms rs11549465 (1772 C/ T) and rs11549467 (1790 G/A) of HIF1A gene were analyzed based on 11544 and 7426 cases and 11494 and 7063 control samples, respectively. Our results showed that the 1772 C/T polymorphism is not significantly associated with overall disease risks. The 1790 G/A polymorphism was significantly associated with overall diseases under recessive model (AA vs. AG + GG, which indicates that the A allele is responsible for overall diseases though it is recessive. The subgroup analysis based on ethnicity showed the significant association of 1772 C/T polymorphism with overall disease for Caucasian population under the all genetic models, which indicates that the C allele controls overall diseases. The ethnicity subgroup showed the significant association of 1790 G/A polymorphism with overall disease for Asian population under the recessive model (AA vs. AG + GG), which indicates that the A allele is responsible for overall diseases. The subgroup analysis based on disease types showed that 1772 C/T is significantly associated with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) under two genetic models (C vs. T and CC vs. CT + TT), skin disease under two genetic models (CC vs. TT and CC + CT vs. TT), and diabetic complications under three genetic models (Cvs. T, CTvs. TT and CC + CTvs. TT), where C allele is high risk factor for skin disease and diabetic complications (since, ORs > 1), but low risk factor for COPD (since, ORs < 1). Also the 1790 G/A variant significantly associated with the subgroup of cardiovascular disease (CVD) under homozygote model, diabetic complications under allelic and homozygote models, and other disease under four genetic models, where the A is high risk factor for diabetic complications and low risk factor for CVD. Thus, this study provided more evidence that the HIF1A gene is significantly associated with COPD, CVD, skin

disease and diabetic complications. These might be the severe comorbidities and risk factors for multiple cancers due to the effect of *HIF1A* gene and need further investigations accumulating large number of studies.

## Introduction

In the scientific community, hypoxia-inducible factor 1a (HIF1A), a transcription factor, has been a research focus to explain its role in oxygen sensing under normal and hypoxic conditions. Many aspects of Human physiology need to match oxygen supply to cellular metabolism and presumably regulate gene expression by sensing oxygen [1]. HIF1A regulates the expression of hundreds of genes [2, 3] involved in many biological processes, including neovascularization, angiogenesis, cytoskeletal structure, apoptosis, adhesion, migration, invasion, metastasis, glycolysis, and metabolic bioenergetics [4-6]. Low oxygen levels or hypoxia represent an important microenvironment condition to affect the pathology of many human diseases, including cancer, diabetes, aging, and stroke/ ischemia [7, 8]. HIF1A 1772 C/T (rs11549465) and 1790 G/A (rs11549467) single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) have been identified in association with different types of cancers [9-14]. In recent years, a study also reviewed the association of HIF1A 1772 C/T and 1790 G/A polymorphisms with different types of cancers and found that both polymorphisms are significantly associated with overall cancers [15]. The subgroup analyses indicated 1772 C/T polymorphism in association with decreasing the risk of renal cell carcinoma and the 1790 G/A polymorphism with significantly increased cancer risk in the Asian and Caucasian population [15]. However, a good number of single genetic association (SGA) studies also reported the association of these two polymorphisms with other diseases, including type 2 diabetes (T2D), cardiovascular diseases (CVD), lung disease, autoimmune diseases, inflammatory diseases, preeclampsia, osteoarthritis, lumbar disc degeneration, high altitude polycythemia, age-related macular degeneration and many more [16–54]. The SGA study of Hernández-Molina et al. [18] reported that HIF1A 1772 C/T is a significant genetic factor for autoimmune disease, whereas some other studies [25, 31] found its insignificant association. Similarly, some authors showed the significant association of HIF1A (1772 C/T and 1790 G/A) with cardiovascular diseases (CVD) [21, 40], though some authors did not find the significant effect in the same question [22, 26]. Again for inflammatory diseases, a significant association was claimed by [20, 38], and an insignificant association by [27, 32, 41]. For Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), Yu et al. [17] and Putra et al. [39] claimed the significant and insignificant association with HIF1A gene polymorphisms, respectively. Wei et al. [37] showed significant association of 1772 C/T and insignificant association of 1790 G/A polymorphisms of HIF1A with COPD. The both SNPs of HIF1A gene were significantly associated with preeclampsia [16, 24], but another study found their insignificant association [34]. Likewise, Geza et al. [29] reported the significant association of diabetes (type 1 & 2) with HIF1A 1772 C/T polymorphism, and Yamada et al. [35] also suggested that HIF1A 1772 C/T is significantly associated with type 2 diabetes (T2D) and HIF1A 1790 G/A is not. Another two studies claimed the insignificant association between *HIF1A* gene polymorphisms and type 2 diabetes [45, 50]. Ekberg et al. [51], and Bi et al. [52] both found the significant association of HIF1A gene polymorphisms with diabetic complication diseases, but Liu et al. (a) [45] and Pichu et al. (b) [50] found no relation. Also, Lin et al. [33] reported that the HIF1A 1790 G/A might be played a protecting role significantly to develop the lumbar disc degeneration (LDD), and HIF1A 1772 C/T did not play any role with the severity of LDD. Some authors also checked the association of the HIF1A gene with

cellulite [28], hemodialysis patients [30], high-altitude polycythemia (HAPC) [36], and agerelated macular degeneration (AMD) [23]. They found the significant association of *HIF1A* with cellulite, and insignificant association of *HIF1A* with hemodialysis patients, HAPC and AMD risk.

Thus, we observed that different SGA studies produce inconsistent results about the association of *HIF1A* gene polymorphisms with multiple disease risks beyond cancers. This type of inconsistent results may be produced due to the small sample size and/or heterogeneous population in each of the individual SGA studies. Therefore, a consensus decision about the association of *HIF1A* gene polymorphisms with multiple disease risk is required to make a treatment plan against this genetic effect. To make a consensus decision about the contradictory findings of different studies more accurately, researchers usually consider statistical meta-analysis [15, 55–60]. The meta-analysis makes a decision about the association more accurately compared to SGA studies. Therefore, in this study, we considered statistical meta-analysis to make a consensus decision about the association of *HIF1A* gene (1772 C/T and 1790 G/A) polymorphisms with several disease risks excluding cancers, giving the weight on large sample size and appropriate statistical modeling.

## Material and methods

## Search strategy

PubMed, PubMed Central and Google Scholar were searched to retrieve relevant articles published between 2001 to October 2021 in the English language for this Meta-analysis. For searching the following terminologies were considered: (i) HIF1A, (ii) genetic association, (iii) SNPs, (iv) HIF1A, polymorphisms, (v) rs11549465 or 1772 C/T or P582S, (vi) rs11549467 or 1790 A/G or A588T, (vii) case-control study, (viii) disease, (ix) HIF1A, diseases (x) HIF1A, disorders.

## **Eligibility criteria**

The title and abstract of the primarily selected relevant studies were independently investigated by two authors. For the final review some important inclusion-exclusion criteria were used to extract data and only included if the studies were (i) designed to examine the association between *HIF1A* gene polymorphisms (C1772T, A1790G) and disease/ disorder risk; (ii) Human case-control studies; (iii) sufficient to provide significant data of genotype frequency.

## **Data extraction**

For the final review, the following information from each of the included studies was extracted, like; first author, year of publication, country of origin, ethnicity of the study subject, number of cases and control, disease type, allelic and genotypic distribution, and so on according to the PRISMA statement [61]. To confirm the validity of a selected SGA study for inclusion in the meta-analysis, the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) test was performed using the Chi-square statistic. A study was considered suitable for meta-analysis only if  $Pr{\chi^2_{obs} \le \chi^2} \ge .05$  exist (Table 1).

## Quality assessment

Two authors independently checked the assessment of individual study quality by using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) [62]. The total Nine point NOS score was generated through the categories of selection (4 points), comparability (2 points), and exposure (3 points). The

Author	Year	Country	Ethnicity	Diseases	Case/ Control	P <sub>HWE</sub>
rs11549465		-				
Harati-Sadegh et al. [16]	2018	Iran	Mixed	Preeclampsia	203/202	0.038
Yu et al. [ <u>17</u> ]	2017	China	Asian	Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)	164/161	0.025
Hernandez-Molina et al. [18]	2017	Mexico	Mixed	Primary Sjogren syndrome	106/135	0.038
Fernandez-Torres et al. [20]	2015	Mexico	Mixed	Osteoarthritis	70/66	0.230
Hlatky et al. [21]	2007	USA	Caucasian	Coronary artery disease (CAD)	909/466	0.157
Duran et al. [22]	2015	Spain	Caucasian	Coronary artery disease (CAD)	518/112	0.994
Okur et al. [23]	2014	Turkey	Caucasian	Age-related macular degeneration (AMD)	87/80	0.779
Andraweera et al. [24]	2014	Sri Lanka	Asian	Preeclampsia	174/168	0.262
Feng et al. [25]	2014	China	Asian	Systemic lupus erythematosus	1495/2294	0.397
Torres et al. [27]	2010	Spain	Caucasian	Gaint cell arteritis	215/470	0.064
Emanuele et al. [28]	2010	Italy	Caucasian	Cellulitis	200/200	0.000
Geza et al. (a) [29]	2009	Hungary	Caucasian	Type 1 diabetes	166/354	0.203
Geza et al. (b) [29]	2009	Hungary	Caucasian	Type 2 diabetes	370/354	0.203
Zheng et al. [ <u>30</u> ]	2009	Korea	Asian	Hemodialysis	14/360	0.257
Wipff et al. [31]	2009	France	Caucasian	Systemic sclerosis	640/463	0.730
Chachami et al. [32]	2013	Greek	Caucasian	Osteoarthritis	134/63	0.777
Lin et al. [33]	2013	China	Asian	Lumbar disc degeneration (LDD)	274/301	0.193
Nava-Salazar et al. [34]	2011	Mexico	Mixed	Preeclampsia	150/105	0.608
Yamada et al. [35]	2005	Japan	Asian	Type 2 diabetes	440/572	0.084
Chen et al. [ <u>36</u> ]	2016	China	Asian	High altitude polycythemia (HAPC)	234/250	0.446
Wei et al. [37]	2015	China	Asian	Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)	120/112	0.733
de Carvalho Fraga et al. [38]	2013	Brazil	Mixed	Oral lichen planus (OLP)	32/88	0.000
Putra et al. [39]	2013	Japan	Asian	Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)	48/110	0.545
Q. Liu et al. [40]	2013	China	Asian	Coronary artery disease (CAD)	356/213	0.862
Zafar et al. [42]	2021	Pakistan	Asian	Metabolic syndrome	200/200	0.031
Sheng et al. [43]	2019	China	Asian	Left ventricular hypertrophy	198/385	0.097
Urganci et al. [44]	2019	Turkey	Asian	Psoriasisÿ	150/150	0.576
Liu et al. (a) [45]	2021	China	Asian	Type 2 diabetes	150/144	0.397
Liu et al. (b) [ <u>45</u> ]	2021	China	Asian	Diabetic retinopathy	149/144	0.397
Takagi et al. [46]	2020	Japan	Asian	Systemic sclerosis	182/178	0.468
Saravani et al. [47]	2019	Iran	Asian	Multiple sclerosis	150/150	0.014
Qin et al. [ <u>48</u> ]	2020	China	Asian	Parkinson's disease	1692/1419	0.483
Tsukatani et al. [49]	2021	Japan	Asian	Pressure injury	130/48	0.883
Pichu et al. (a) [50]	2015	India	Asian	Type 2 diabetes	79/66	0.000
Pichu et al. (b) [ <u>50</u> ]	2015	India	Asian	Diabetic food ulcer	79/66	0.000
Ekberg et al. [51]	2019	Sweden	Caucasian	Diabetic retinopathy	555/148	0.000
Bi et al. [52]	2015	China	Asian	Diabetic nephropathy	140/104	0.395
Gu et al. [ <u>53</u> ]	2013	USA	Caucasian	Diabetic nephropathy	571/594	0.159
rs11549467						
Harati-Sadegh et al. [16]	2018	Iran	Mixed	Preeclampsia	203/202	0.637
Senhaji et al. [ <u>41</u> ]	2017	Morocco	Mixed	Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD)	199/308	0.810
Yu et al. [17]	2017	China	Asian	Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)	164/161	0.000
Hernandez-Molina et al. [18]	2017	Mexico	Mixed	Primary Sjogren syndrome	108/91	0.958
Fernandez-Torres et al. [20]	2015	Mexico	Mixed	Osteoarthritis	70/66	0.951
Hlatky et al. [21]	2007	USA	Caucasian	Coronary artery disease (CAD)	909/466	0.815
Bahadori et al. [26]	2010	Austria	Caucasian	Peripheral artery disease (PAD)	917/969	0.613

#### Table 1. Characteristic of 38 and 24 studies included in the meta-analysis of HIF1A 1772 C/T and 1790 G/A polymorphisms, respectively.

(Continued)

Author	Year	Country	Ethnicity	Diseases	Case/ Control	P <sub>HWE</sub>
Torres et al. [27]	2010	Spain	Caucasian	Gaint cell arteritis	215/470	0.908
Chachami et al. [32]	2013	Greek	Caucasian	Osteoarthritis	134/63	0.846
Lin et al. [ <u>33</u> ]	2013	China	Asian	Lumbar disc degeneration (LDD)	274/301	0.062
Nava-Salazar et al. [ <u>34</u> ]	2011	Mexico	Mixed	Preeclampsia	150/105	0.961
Yamada et al. [ <u>35]</u>	2005	Japan	Asian	Type 2 diabetes	440/572	0.364
Chen et al. [ <u>36</u> ]	2016	China	Asian	High altitude polycythemia (HAPC)	234/250	0.092
Wei et al. [ <u>37</u> ]	2015	China	Asian	Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)	120/112	0.585
Putra et al. [ <u>39</u> ]	2013	Japan	Asian	Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)	48/110	0.655
Q. Liu et al. [40]	2013	China	Asian	Coronary artery disease (CAD)	356/213	0.753
Sheng et al. [43]	2019	China	Asian	Left ventricular hypertrophy	198/385	0.058
Liu et al. (a) [ <u>45</u> ]	2021	China	Asian	Type 2 diabetes	150/144	0.765
Liu et al. (b) [ <u>45</u> ]	2021	China	Asian	Diabetic retinopathy	149/144	0.765
Takagi et al. [ <u>46]</u>	2020	Japan	Asian	Systemic sclerosis	182/174	0.409
Qin et al. [ <u>48</u> ]	2020	China	Asian	Parkinson's disease	1692/1419	0.173
Tsukatani et al. [49]	2021	Japan	Asian	Pressure injury	130/48	0.883
Pichu et al. (a) [54]	2018	India	Asian	Type 2 diabetes	185/145	0.000
Pichu et al. (b) [54]	2018	India	Asian	Diabetic food ulcer	199/145	0.000

#### Table 1. (Continued)

 $P_{\rm HWE}$  P-value of the chi-square goodness-of-fit test for Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium in control population;  $P_{\rm HWE} > 0.05$  means satisfied HWE, otherwise not

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0273042.t001

NOS score of an individual study is considered poor (0-3), fair (4-6) and excellent (7-9) quality. In our meta-datasets, 38 studies showed excellent quality and 3 were fair quality (S1 Table).

#### Statistical meta-analysis

To perform meta-data on SGA studies, we used the following statistical analysis. The HWE test was performed using the Chi-square statistic to confirm the suitability of a selected study for inclusion in the meta-analysis. The consistency of genotypic ratio under the control population was used as the null hypothesis (Ho) for the HWE test. The test statistic of Ho is defined as

$$\chi^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{\left(O_{i} - E_{i}\right)^{2}}{E_{i}} \sim \chi^{2}_{(1)}$$
(1)

which follows chi-square distribution with 1 degree of freedom, where  $O_i$  and  $E_i$  denote observe and expected frequency of the genotype, respectively. If p and q denote the probabilities of two alleles (e.g. C and T), respectively and  $O_i = obs(i)$  is observed frequency of *i*th genotype among the 3 genotypes CC, CT and TT. Then p and q are defined as follows

$$p = \frac{2 \times obs(CC) + obs(CT)}{2 \times (obs(CC) + obs(CT) + obs(TT))}; \text{ and } q = 1 - p$$
(2)

Then the expected frequency of *i*th genotype is  $E_i = E(i)$ , which defined as  $E(CC) = p^2 n$ , E(CT) = 2pqn,  $E(TT) = q^2 n$ , where *n* is total number of observations.

To investigate the association of SNPs with multiple diseases based on pooled odds ratios (ORs), the individual OR of *k*th SGA study was calculated as follows

$$OR_{k} = \frac{\frac{b_{1k}}{b_{2k}}}{\frac{b_{3k}}{b_{4k}}} = \frac{b_{1k}b_{4k}}{b_{2k}b_{3k}}$$
(3)

where  $b_{1k}$  and  $b_{2k}$  stands for exposures and  $b_{3k}$  and  $b_{4k}$  non-exposures frequencies, in casecontrol groups of *k*th study, respectively (for example, the genetic model *C* vs. *T*, where *C* is exposer and *T* is non-exposer). Then the pooled ORs under the each of five different genetic models (dominant model [*CC* + *CT* vs. *TT* or *AA* + *AG* vs. *GG*], homozygote model [*CC* vs. *TT* or *AA* vs. *GG*], heterozygote model [*CT* vs. *TT* or *AG* vs. *GG*], recessive model [*CC* vs. *CT* + *TT* or *AA* vs. *AG* + *GG*], and allelic contrast model [*C* vs. *T* or *A* vs. *G*]) was calculated by using the random effects model (REF) for the highly significant heterogeneity (*p*-value < 0.10) among SGA studies, otherwise, fixed effects model (FEM) was used as suggested by other researchers [63, 64]. This heterogeneity was tested using Cochran's *Q* statistic which will be introduced later. To calculate pooled ORs based on FEM, the Mentel-Haenszel (MH) method was used as follows.

The FEM for kth SGA study is defined as

$$\widehat{\beta}_k = \beta_F + \epsilon_k, \tag{4}$$

where,

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{F} = \widehat{OR}_{MH} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{K} \left(\frac{b_{1k}b_{4k}}{N_k}\right)}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} \left(\frac{b_{2k}b_{3k}}{N_k}\right)} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left(\frac{\frac{b_{2k}b_{3k}}{N_k}}{\sum_{i=1}^{K} \left(\frac{b_{2k}b_{3k}}{N_k}\right)}\right) \times OR_k,$$
(5)

$$Var\left(\widehat{\beta}_{F}\right) = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} \left(\frac{b_{2k}b_{3k}}{N_{k}}\right)},$$

 $\hat{\beta}_k = \ln(OR_k), N_k = b_{1k} + b_{2k} + b_{3k} + b_{4k}$  and the error term  $\epsilon_k \sim N(0, \hat{\sigma}_k^2)$ .

Again, to calculate pooled ORs based on REM, the inverse variance method was used as follows.

The REM for kth SGA study is defined as

$$\hat{\beta}_k = \beta_R + \nu_k + \epsilon_k, \tag{6}$$

where, 
$$v_k \sim N(0, \tau^2), \beta_R = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{K} w_{kR} \widehat{\beta}_k}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} w_{kR}}, \ se(\widehat{\beta}_R) = \sqrt{var(\widehat{\beta}_R)} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} w_{kR}}}, \ w_{kR} = \frac{1}{\sigma_k^2 + \tau^2},$$
  
$$\tau^2 = \frac{Q - (K - 1)}{\sum w_k - \left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} w_k^2\right)}, \ w_k = \frac{1}{\widehat{\sigma}_k^2}, \ \text{and}$$

$$\widehat{\sigma}_{k}^{2} = var(\ln(OR_{k})) = \frac{1}{b_{1k}} + \frac{1}{b_{2k}} + \frac{1}{b_{3k}} + \frac{1}{b_{4k}}$$
(7)

The 95% confidence interval (CI) for pooled ORs can be obtained based on z-statistic as follows

$$Pro\{\widehat{\beta}_{F} - 1.96\sqrt{Var(\widehat{\beta}_{F})} \le z \le \widehat{\beta}_{F} + 1.96\sqrt{Var(\widehat{\beta}_{F})}\} = 0.95, \quad \text{for FEM}$$

$$Pro\{\widehat{\beta}_{R} - 1.96\sqrt{Var(\widehat{\beta}_{R})} \le z \le \widehat{\beta}_{R} + 1.96\sqrt{Var(\widehat{\beta}_{R})}\} = 0.95, \text{ for REM}$$

where

$$z = \begin{cases} \frac{\sum_{k} w_{k} \widehat{\beta}_{k}}{\sqrt{\sum_{k} w_{k}}}, & \text{for FEM} \\ \frac{\sum_{k} w_{kR} \widehat{\beta}_{k}}{\sqrt{\sum_{k} w_{kR}}}, & \text{for REM} \end{cases}$$
(8)

Then the Cochran's Q statistic [65] is defined as

$$Q = \sum_{k=1}^{K} w_k \left( \widehat{\beta}_k - \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{K} w_k \widehat{\beta}_k}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} w_k} \right)^2 \sim \chi^2_{(K-1)}$$
(9)

and its extended Higgin's and Thompson  $I^2$ —statistic [66] was also used to check the heterogeneity of SGA studies. The  $I^2$ -statistic is defined as

$$I^{2} = \max\left\{0, \ \frac{Q - (K - 1)}{Q} \times 100\%\right\}$$
(10)

The  $I^2$  values >25%, >50% and >75% defined as low, moderate, and high heterogeneity, respectively.

Subgroup analyses were performed based on ethnicity and disease types. Sensitivity analysis was carried out using both the full data and reduced data, where the reduced dataset did not included the SGA studies that were rejected by the HWE validation test.

To investigate the publication bias on the included SGA studies in the meta-analysis, we constructed the funnel plot, where the standard error (se) of the estimated effect was plotted against the ORs [63, 64, 67]. Also, Egger's regression test and Begg's test [68, 69] was performed for quantitative evaluation (*p*-value < 0.05 indicates the existence of publication bias). The Egger regression test was performed under H<sub>0</sub>:  $\alpha = 0$  (absence of publication bias) and the test statistic follows as

$$T = \frac{\widehat{\theta}}{se(\widehat{\theta})} \sim t_{(K-2)} \tag{11}$$

where  $\hat{\theta}$  is estimated by the least square estimation with the respective following models

$$\beta_k \sqrt{w_k} = \theta + \mu \sqrt{w_k} + \varepsilon_k$$
, for FEM, and (12)

$$\widehat{\beta}_k \sqrt{w_{kR}} = \theta + \mu \sqrt{w_{kR}} + \varepsilon_k, \quad \text{for REM},$$
(13)

with  $\varepsilon_k \sim iid N(0, \sigma^2)$ . The Begg's test was performed under H<sub>0</sub>:  $\alpha = 0$  (absence of publication bias) and the test statistic follows

$$Z = \frac{C - D}{\sqrt{K(K - 1)(2K + 5)/18}} \sim N(0, 1)$$
(14)

where *C* and *D* represents concordant and discordant number, respectively, and obtained by using the Kendall's ranking of  $t_k^*$  and  $\hat{\sigma}_k^2$  or  $\hat{\sigma}_{kR}^2$ . Here

$$t_k^* = \frac{t_k - \bar{t}}{\sqrt{\vartheta_k^*}} \tag{15}$$

where,  $t_k = OR_k$  is denoted the OR of *k*th study, and

$$\bar{t} = \begin{cases} \frac{\sum_{k} w_{k} t_{k}}{\sqrt{\sum_{k} w_{k}}}, & \text{for FEM} \\ \frac{\sum_{k} w_{kR} t_{k}}{\sqrt{\sum_{k} w_{kR}}}, & \text{for REM} \end{cases}$$
(16)

$$\vartheta_{k}^{*} = \begin{cases} \left. \widehat{\sigma}_{k}^{2} - 1 \right/ \sum w_{k}, & \text{for FEM} \\ \left. \widehat{\sigma}_{kR}^{2} - 1 \right/ \sum w_{kR}, & \text{for REM} \end{cases}$$
(17)

Also, we studied a false positive report probability (FPRP) to verify whether the findings could be regarded as false positives or not [70]. We computed the statistical power and FPRP based on our significant ORs using the following mechanism,

$$FPRP = \alpha (1 - \pi) / [\alpha (1 - \pi) + (1 - \beta)\pi]$$
(18)

where,  $\alpha$  is the level of significance,  $\pi$  is the prior probability and (1-  $\beta$ ) is statistical power.

To implement all the statistical analysis, we used 'meta' package in R program (<u>http://meta-analysis-with-r.org/</u>).

#### Results

#### Study characteristics

Initially, 187 studies were selected through text mining that included the *HIF1A* gene and polymorphisms in their title or abstracts. After screening of the duplications, excluding the studies that did not match with the eligibility criteria or had incomplete information, a total of 41 studies were selected based on the PRISMA statement for the final review (Fig 1). In this study, 35 studies comprised 38 datasets of the *HIF1A* 1772 C/T polymorphism with a sample size of 23038 (comprising 11544 cases and 11494 controls), and 22 studies comprised 24 datasets for the *HIF1A* 1790 G/A polymorphism with a sample size of 14489 (comprising 7426 cases and 7063 controls) were incorporated. For Meta-analysis of *HIF1A* 1772 C/T and 1790 G/A polymorphisms, the types of diseases included (after excluding all types of cancer) were grouped as cardiovascular diseases (CVDs), type 2 diabetes (T2D), autoimmune diseases, inflammatory diseases, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), preeclampsia, skin disease, diabetic complications, and other (age-related macular degeneration (AMD), Hemodialysis, lumbar disc degeneration (LDD), high altitude polycythemia (HAPC), metabolic syndrome, pressure injury). The 'other' disease group was made in case of a single study of each disease to perform this meta-analysis. The subgroup of respective diseases was shown in S4 Table.

**Quantitative synthesis of** *HIF1A***1772C/T polymorphism.** Results generated through this meta-analysis indicated that the *HIF1A***17772C/T** polymorphism was insignificantly associated with the overall disease risk under all genetic models [C vs. T: OR = 1.12, 95% CI = 0.97–1.29, p-value = 0.113]; [CC vs. TT: OR = 1.16, 95% CI = 0.94–1.44, p-value = 0.154]; [CT vs. TT: OR = 1.15, 95% CI = 0.83–1.59, p-value = 0.395]; [CC + CT vs. TT: OR = 1.14, 95% CI = 0.86–1.51, p-value = 0.375]; and [CC vs. CT + TT: OR = 1.10, 95% CI = 0.93–1.31, p-value = 0.257;] (Table 2; Fig 2; S1-S5 Figs in S1 File).

The subgroup analyses results based on disease type showed that the *HIF1A* 1772 C/T polymorphism is significantly associated with increasing the risk of diabetic complications under three genetic models: [C vs. T: OR = 1.34, 95% CI = 1.12–1.61, p-value = 0.001]; [CT vs. TT:





#### https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0273042.g001

OR = 2.43, 95% CI = 1.41–4.18, *p*-value = 0.001]; [*CC* + *CT* vs. *TT*: OR = 2.11, 95% CI = 1.29– 3.43, *p*-value = 0.003]. For skin diseases group, this polymorphism was also significantly increasing the risk of disease under two genotypic models [*CC* vs. *TT*: OR = 3.01, 95% CI = 1.09–8.32, *p*value = 0.034] and [*CC* + *CT* vs. *TT*: OR = 2.71, 95% CI = 0.99–7.49, *p*-value = 0.055]. Interestingly, the polymorphism significantly decreasing the risk of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) under two genetic models [*C* vs. *T*: OR = 0.46, 95% CI = 0.30–0.71, *p*-value = 0.000] and [*CC* vs. *CT* + *TT*: OR = 0.43, 95% CI = 0.27–0.67, *p*-value = 0.000]. However, the subgroup analyses of autoimmune diseases, inflammation, preeclampsia, CVD, T2D and other showed insignificant association with the *HIF1A* 1772 C/T polymorphism.

The subgroup analyses by ethnicity for the *HIF1A* 1772 C/T polymorphism exhibited that this polymorphism was strongly associated with overall disease risk in Caucasian populations under all genetic models [*C* vs. *T*: OR = 1.27, 95% CI = 1.05–1.54, *p*-value = 0.013]; [*CC* vs. *TT*: OR = 2.00, 95% CI = 1.40–2.87, *p*-value = 0.000]; [*CT* vs. *TT*: OR = 1.64, 95% CI = 1.12–2.40, *p*-value = 0.011]; [*CC* + *CT* vs. *TT*: OR = 1.93, 95% CI = 1.35–2.77, *p*-value = 0.000]; and [*CC* vs. *CT* + *TT*: OR = 1.24, 95% CI = 1.02–1.52, *p*-value = 0.032]. This polymorphism showed a low significant association with overall disease risk in mixed population under dominant

Subgroup	Study	C vs. T		CC vs. TT		CT vs. TT		CC + CT vs. TT		CC vs. CT + TT	
	number	OR (95% CI)	p-val	OR (95% CI)	p-val						
Overall	38	1.12 [0.97; 1.29]	0.113	1.16 [0.94; 1.44]	0.154	1.15 [0.83; 1.59]	0.395	1.14 [0.86; 1.51]	0.375	1.10 [0.93; 1.31]	0.257
Preeclampsia	3	1.03 [0.62; 1.70]	0.911	0.70 [0.26; 1.91]	0.483	0.78 [0.27; 2.29]	0.649	0.70 [0.26; 1.92]	0.491	1.07 [0.77; 1.48]	0.694
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)	3	0.46 [0.30; 0.71]	0.000	0.59 [0.13; 2.67]	0.492	1.52 [0.31; 7.43]	0.603	0.68 [0.15; 3.09]	0.620	0.43 [0.27; 0.67]	0.000
Autoimmune disease	7	1.08 [0.75; 1.56]	0.672	1.02 [0.62; 1.67]	0.938	1.15 [0.68; 1.95]	0.597	1.05 [0.64; 1.72]	0.842	1.08 [0.72; 1.64]	0.703
Inflammatory disease	5	1.19 [0.80; 1.77]	0.386	1.40 [0.60; 3.28]	0.437	0.41 [0.05; 3.06]	0.383	0.60 [0.15; 2.34]	0.458	2.95 [0.56; 15.54]	0.201
Cardiovascular disease (CVD)	4	1.03 [0.71; 1.48]	0.887	1.18 [0.46; 3.00]	0.733	1.09 [0.64; 1.85]	0.749	1.07 [0.64; 1.79]	0.799	0.99 [0.66; 1.50]	0.978
Skin disease	2	0.83 [0.11; 6.59]	0.863	3.01 [1.09; 8.32]	0.034	1.68 [0.56; 5.11]	0.357	2.71 [0.98; 7.49]	0.055	0.81 [0.09; 6.85]	0.844
Type 2 diabetes	4	1.31 [0.85; 2.00]	0.218	2.06 [0.47; 9.08]	0.340	1.65 [0.84; 3.26]	0.147	2.05 [0.53; 7.96]	0.301	1.33 [0.90; 1.95]	0.148
Diabetic complications	5	1.34 [1.12; 1.61]	0.001	1.59 [0.94; 2.69]	0.085	2.43 [1.41; 4.18]	0.001	2.11 [1.29; 3.43]	0.003	1.24 [0.88; 1.75]	0.216
Others	5	1.10 [0.91; 1.32]	0.309	0.95 [0.59; 1.52]	0.822	0.95 [0.61; 1.50]	0.841	0.96 [0.62; 1.48]	0.852	1.18 [0.93; 1.49]	0.179
Ethnicity											
Asian	22	0.97 [0.81; 1.17]	0.785	0.87 [0.66; 1.14]	0.305	1.19 [0.99; 1.45]	0.067	1.10 [0.92; 1.32]	0.300	0.92 [0.73; 1.15]	0.455
Caucasian	11	1.27 [1.05; 1.54]	0.013	2.00 [1.40; 2.87]	0.000	1.64 [1.12; 2.40]	0.011	1.93 [1.35; 2.77]	0.000	1.24 [1.02; 1.52]	0.032
Mixed	5	1.61 [0.83; 3.12]	0.160	0.92 [0.37; 2.30]	0.866	0.18 [0.03; 1.31]	0.091	0.24 [0.11; 0.54]	0.001	3.38 [0.79; 14.41]	0.100
HWE tested data											
Overall	28	1.11 [0.97; 1.27]	0.144	1.19 [0.99; 1.55]	0.201	1.19 [0.98; 1.43]	0.072	1.20 [0.99; 1.44]	0.058	1.10 [0.94; 1.28]	0.255

Table 2. Meta-analysis of the HIF1A rs11549465 C/T and T/C polymorphisms in association with different diseases.

OR (95% CI) is Odds Ratio (95% Confidence Interval); The bold results indicates the statistical significance.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0273042.t002

model [CC + CT vs. TT: OR = 0.24, 95% CI = 0.11–0.54, *p*-value = 0.001] and insignificant association for Asian patients.

**Sources of heterogeneity.** According to the results of heterogeneity analysis, we found the significant heterogeneity of *HIF1A* 1772 C/T polymorphism with overall disease risk under the four genetic models: [*C* vs. *T*: Q = 119.65, df = 37, *p*-value = 0.0001,  $I^2 = 69.1\%$ ]; [*CT* vs. *TT*: Q = 55.55, df = 37, *p*-value = 0.026,  $I^2 = 33.4\%$ ]; [*CC* + *CT* vs. *TT*: Q = 48.97, df = 37, *p*-value = 0.090,  $I^2 = 24.4\%$ ]; and [*CC* vs. *CT* + *TT*: Q = 128.09, df = 37, *p*-value = 0.0001,  $I^2 = 71.1\%$ ]. Also in subgroup analysis, some genetic model showed the significant heterogeneity in cases of autoimmune disease, inflammatory disease, CVD, skin disease, T2D disease group and Asian, Caucasian and mixed ethnic populations (S2 Table). That subgroup may be the main sources of heterogeneity for conducting the meta-analysis of *HIF1A* 1772 C/T polymorphism.

## Quantitative synthesis of HIF1A 1790 G/A polymorphism

The pooled estimate of *HIF1A* 1790 G/A polymorphism showed a significant association with decrease the risk of overall disease under recessive model [*AA* vs. GA + GG: OR = 0.78, 95% CI = 0.67–0.91, *p*-value = 0.002]. (Table 3; Fig 3; S6-S10 Figs in S1 File).

	Experim	Total	Evento	Total	Weight	Weight (random)	OP 1050/	CII	Odds Ratio
	Evenus	TOLAT	Events	Total	(common)	(random)	OK [95%		
	207	200	200	240	0.00/	4 70/	4 40 10 47	0.571	E .
lava-Salazar et al2011	287	300	200	210	0.6%	1.7%	1.10 [0.47;	2.57]	
le Carvalho Fraga et al. 2013	36	64	85	1/6	1.2%	2.5%	1.38 [0.77;	2.45]	1
ern ndez-Torres et al2015	136	140	115	132	0.2%	1.1%	5.03 [1.64;	15.36]	
lern ndez-Molina et al2017	200	212	229	270	0.7%	2.2%	2.98 [1.53;	5.84]	
larati-Sadegh et al2018	356	406	368	404	2.7%	3.0%	0.70 [0.44;	1.10]	
Fotal (fixed effect, 95% CI)		1122		1192	5.3%		1.34 [1.02;	1.76	6] 🔶
Fotal (random effects, 95% CI)	1					10.4%	1.61 [0.83;	3.12	2] 📂
leterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.4324; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 19, c	df = 4 (P = 0	0.0008);	l <sup>2</sup> = 79%				-		-
est for overall effect (fixed effect): Z = 2. Test for overall effect (random effects): Z	13 (P = 0.0 = 1.40 (P =	33) 0.160)							
Ethnicity = Asian									
amada et al. 2005	844	880	1059	1144	2.2%	3.2%	1.88 [1.26]	2.811	
Zheng et al. 2009	27	28	683	720	0.1%	0.4%	1 46 [0 19:	11 061	
in st al. 2013	222	E 40	250	602	0.1%	2 90/	0.09 [0.13,	1 241	1 C
Lin et al2013	323	546	300	002	8.2%	3.8%	0.98 [0.77;	1.24]	l.
7utra et al2013	91	96	208	220	0.4%	1.2%	1.05 [0.36;	3.07]	
2. Liuetal2013	702	712	421	426	0.4%	1.2%	0.83 [0.28;	2.46]	
Andraweera et al2014	310	348	285	336	1.9%	3.0%	1.46 [0.93;	2.29]	_ <u>t</u>
eng et al2014	2835	2990	4389	4588	10.5%	3.9%	0.83 [0.67;	1.03]	
Nei et al2015	225	240	217	224	0.8%	1.5%	0.48 [0.19;	1.21]	
<sup>2</sup> ichu et al. (a)_2015	60	158	61	132	2.4%	2.9%	0.71 [0.45;	1.14]	- <b>=</b> {
Pichu et al. (b) 2015	78	158	61	132	2.0%	2.9%	1.13 [0.71;	1.80]	+
Bietal. 2015	270	280	192	208	0.5%	1.8%	2.25 [1.00:	5.071	L
Chen et al. 2016	445	468	477	500	1.3%	2.4%	0.93 [0.52]	1.691	<b>_</b> _
ruetal 2017	282	328	304	322	2.5%	2 5%	0.36 [0.21]	0.641	i
Shang et al. 2019	268	306	573	770	7 494	3 7%	0.72 [0.55]	0.041	
Image: et al. 2010	200	200	274	200	2.20/	3.170	0.72 [0.33,	1.021	
Jiganci et al2019	250	300	2/1	300	2.3%	2.0%	0.02 [0.36,	1.003	
saravani et al2019	224	300	232	300	3.4%	3.3%	0.86 [0.59;	1.26]	
akagi et al2020	348	364	335	354	0.9%	2.1%	1.23 [0.62;	2.44]	T I
2in et al2020	149	3384	105	2838	6.4%	3.8%	1.20 [0.93;	1.55]	
'afar et al2021	366	400	347	400	1.7%	3.0%	1.64 [1.04;	2.59]	-
iu et al. (a)_2021	285	300	269	288	0.8%	2.1%	1.34 [0.67;	2.69]	- <del>1</del>
iu et al. (b)_2021	279	298	269	288	1.0%	2.2%	1.04 [0.54;	2.00]	-
Tsukatani et al2021	240	260	94	96	0.6%	0.7%	0.26 [0.06;	1.11]	
Total (fixed effect, 95% CI)		13236		15188	57.7%		0.96 10.88:	1.05	51 🕴
Fotal (random effects, 95% CI)	1					54.5%	0.97 0.81:	1.17	vi 🕴
eterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.1044; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 56.5	df = 21 (P	< 0.000	1): $I^2 = 63$	%			•		
·····	1	111)	.,,						
est for overall effect (fixed effect): Z = -0	.82 (P = 0.4								<u> </u>
Fest for overall effect (fixed effect): Z = -0 Fest for overall effect (random effects): Z	1.82 (P = 0.4 = -0.27 (P =	= 0.785)							
Fest for overall effect (fixed effect): Z = -0 Test for overall effect (random effects): Z Ethnicity = Caucasian Ilatky et al. 2007	1.82 (P = 0.4 = -0.27 (P = 1645	1818	813	932	6.0%	3.8%	1.39 [1.09:	1.781	
Fest for overall effect (fixed effect): Z = -0 Fest for overall effect (random effects): Z <b>Ethnicity = Caucasian</b> flatky et al2007 >2ra et al. (a) 2009	1.82 (P = 0.4 = -0.27 (P = 1645 306	= 0.785) 1818 332	813	932 708	6.0%	3.8%	1.39 [1.09; 1 80 [1 14·	1.78]	
Fest for overall effect (fixed effect): Z = -0 Fest for overall effect (random effects): Z <b>Ethnicity = Caucasian</b> flatky et al2007 Jeza et al. (a) _2009 Jeza et al. (b) _2009	1.82 (P = 0.4 = -0.27 (P = 1645 306 673	1818 332 740	813 614	932 708 708	6.0% 1.8% 3.3%	3.8% 3.0% 3.5%	1.39 [1.09; 1.80 [1.14; 1.54 [1.10;	1.78] 2.84] 2.141	
Fest for overall effect (fixed effect): Z = -0 Fest for overall effect (random effects): Z Ethnicity = Caucasian Ilatky et al2007 Jeza et al. (a) _2009 Jeza et al. (b) _2009	1.82 (P = 0.4 = -0.27 (P = 1645 306 673	1818 332 740	813 614 614	932 708 708	6.0% 1.8% 3.3%	3.8% 3.0% 3.5%	1.39 [1.09; 1.80 [1.14; 1.54 [1.10;	1.78] 2.84] 2.14]	
Fest for overall effect (fixed effect): Z = -0 Fest for overall effect (random effects): Z <b>Ethnicity = Caucasian</b> Hatky et al2007 Jeza et al. (b) _2009 Jeza et al. (b) _2009 Vipff et al2009 Vipff et al2009	1.82 (P = 0.4 = -0.27 (P = 1645 306 673 1117	1818 332 740 1280	813 614 614 827	932 708 708 926	6.0% 1.8% 3.3% 7.1%	3.8% 3.0% 3.5% 3.7%	1.39 [1.09; 1.80 [1.14; 1.54 [1.10; 0.82 [0.63;	1.78] 2.84] 2.14] 1.07]	
Fest for overall effect (fixed effect): Z = -0 Fest for overall effect (random effects): Z <b>Ethnicity = Caucasian</b> Hatky et al2007 Jeza et al. (a) _2009 Seza et al. (b) _2009 Vipf et al2009 'orres et al2010	1.82 (P = 0.4 = -0.27 (P = 1645 306 673 1117 371	1818 332 740 1280 430	813 614 614 827 834	932 708 708 926 940	6.0% 1.8% 3.3% 7.1% 4.2%	3.8% 3.0% 3.5% 3.7% 3.4%	1.39 [1.09; 1.80 [1.14; 1.54 [1.10; 0.82 [0.63; 0.80 [0.57;	1.78] 2.84] 2.14] 1.07] 1.12]	■ = =
Fest for overall effect (fixed effect); Z = -0 Fest for overall effect (random effects); Z Ethnicity = Caucasian Ilatky et al2007 Jezz et al. (a) _2009 Jezz et al. (b) _2009 Wipff et al2009 'orres et al2010 :manuele et al2010	1.82 (P = 0.4 = -0.27 (P = 1645 306 673 1117 371 365	1818 332 740 1280 430 400	813 614 614 827 834 332	932 708 708 926 940 400	6.0% 1.8% 3.3% 7.1% 4.2% 1.7%	3.8% 3.0% 3.5% 3.7% 3.4% 3.0%	1.39 [1.09; 1.80 [1.14; 1.54 [1.10; 0.82 [0.63; 0.80 [0.57; 2.14 [1.38;	1.78] 2.84] 2.14] 1.07] 1.12] 3.30]	
Fest for overall effect (fixed effect): Z = -0 Fest for overall effect (random effects): Z <b>Ethnicity = Caucasian</b> Hatky et al2007 Seza et al. (a) _2009 Seza et al. (b) _2009 Wipff et al2010 Smanuele et al2010 Shachami et al2013	1.82 (P = 0.4 = -0.27 (P = 1645 306 673 1117 371 365 234	1818 332 740 1280 430 400 268	813 614 614 827 834 332 112	932 708 926 940 400 126	6.0% 1.8% 3.3% 7.1% 4.2% 1.7% 1.1%	3.8% 3.0% 3.5% 3.7% 3.4% 3.0% 2.2%	1.39 [1.09; 1.80 [1.14; 1.54 [1.10; 0.82 [0.63; 0.80 [0.57; 2.14 [1.38; 0.86 [0.44;	1.78] 2.84] 2.14] 1.07] 1.12] 3.30] 1.67]	
Fest for overall effect (fixed effect): Z = -0 Fest for overall effect (random effects): Z <b>Ethnicity = Caucasian</b> Hatky et al2007 Jeza et al. (a) _2009 Seza et al. (b) _2009 Nipff et al2009 Nipff et al2010 :manuele et al2010 :manuele et al2013 Su et al2013	1.82 (P = 0.4 = -0.27 (P = 1645 306 673 1117 371 365 234 1020	1818 332 740 1280 430 400 268 1142	813 614 614 827 834 332 112 1026	932 708 708 926 940 400 126 1188	6.0% 1.8% 3.3% 7.1% 4.2% 1.7% 1.1% 6.3%	3.8% 3.0% 3.5% 3.7% 3.4% 3.0% 2.2% 3.8%	1.39 [1.09; 1.80 [1.14; 1.54 [1.10; 0.82 [0.63; 0.80 [0.57; 2.14 [1.38; 0.86 [0.44; 1.32 [1.03;	1.78] 2.84] 2.14] 1.07] 1.12] 3.30] 1.67] 1.70]	
Fest for overall effect (fixed effect); Z = -0 Fest for overall effect (random effects); Z Ethnicity = Caucasian Ilatky et al2007 Jeza et al. (a) _2009 Jeza et al. (a) _2009 Vipff et al2009 Torres et al2010 Jmachami et al2013 Ju et al2014	1.82 (P = 0.4 = -0.27 (P = 1645 306 673 1117 371 365 234 1020 149	1818 332 740 1280 430 400 268 1142 174	813 614 614 827 834 332 112 1026 131	932 708 708 926 940 400 126 1188 160	6.0% 1.8% 3.3% 7.1% 4.2% 1.7% 6.3% 1.1%	3.8% 3.0% 3.5% 3.4% 3.0% 2.2% 3.8% 2.5%	1.39 [1.09; 1.80 [1.14; 1.54 [1.10; 0.82 [0.63; 0.80 [0.57; 2.14 [1.38; 0.86 [0.44; 1.32 [1.03; 1.32 [0.74;	1.78] 2.84] 2.14] 1.07] 1.12] 3.30] 1.67] 1.70] 2.37]	
Fest for overall effect (fixed effect): Z = -0 Fest for overall effect (random effects): Z <b>Ethnicity = Caucasian</b> Hatky et al2007 Seza et al. (a) _2009 Seza et al. (b) _2009 Wipff et al2019 Smanuele et al2010 Smanuele at al2010 Shachami et al2013 Su et al2013 Dkur et al2014 Duran et al2015	.82 (P = 0.4 = -0.27 (P = 1645 306 673 1117 371 365 234 1020 149 915	1818 332 740 1280 430 400 268 1142 174 1036	813 614 614 827 834 332 112 1026 131 194	932 708 708 926 940 400 126 1188 160 224	6.0% 1.8% 3.3% 7.1% 4.2% 1.7% 1.1% 6.3% 1.1% 2.2%	3.8% 3.0% 3.5% 3.4% 3.0% 2.2% 3.8% 2.5% 3.1%	1.39 [1.09; 1.80 [1.14; 1.54 [1.10; 0.82 [0.63; 0.80 [0.57; 2.14 [1.38; 0.86 [0.44; 1.32 [1.03; 1.32 [0.74; 1.17 [0.76;	1.78] 2.84] 2.14] 1.07] 1.12] 3.30] 1.67] 1.70] 2.37] 1.80]	
Fest for overall effect (fixed effect); Z = -0 Fest for overall effect (random effects); Z <b>Ethnicity = Caucasian</b> Ilatky et al2007 3eza et al. (a) _2009 3eza et al. (b) _2009 Wipff et al2009 Vipff et al2009 Chrash et al2010 Chachami et al2013 Dkur et al2013 Dkur et al2015 Skberg et al2019	.82 (P = 0.4 = -0.27 (P = 1645 306 673 1117 371 365 234 1020 149 915 1012	1818 332 740 1280 430 400 268 1142 174 1036 1110	813 614 614 827 834 332 112 1026 131 194 257	932 708 926 940 400 126 1188 160 224 296	6.0% 1.8% 3.3% 7.1% 4.2% 1.7% 1.1% 6.3% 1.1% 2.2% 2.1%	3.8% 3.0% 3.5% 3.7% 3.4% 2.2% 3.8% 2.5% 3.1% 3.1% 3.2%	1.39 [1.09; 1.80 [1.14; 1.54 [1.10; 0.82 [0.63; 0.80 [0.57; 2.14 [1.38; 0.86 [0.44; 1.32 [1.03; 1.32 [0.74; 1.17 [0.76; 1.57 [1.06;	1.78] 2.84] 2.14] 1.07] 1.12] 3.30] 1.67] 1.70] 2.37] 1.80] 2.33]	
Test for overall effect (fixed effect): Z = -0 Test for overall effect (random effects): Z Ethnicity = Caucasian Hatky et al2007 Seza et al. (a) _2009 Seza et al. (b) _2009 Vipff et al2019 Simanuele et al2010 Thachami et al2010 Xhachami et al2013 Su et al2013 Su et al2014 Juran et al2015 Sikberg et al2019 Total (fixed effect, 95% CI)	.82 (P = 0.4 = -0.27 (P = 1645 306 673 1117 365 234 1020 149 915 1012	1818 332 740 1280 400 268 1142 174 1036 1110 <b>8730</b>	813 614 614 827 834 332 112 1026 131 194 257	932 708 926 940 400 126 1188 160 224 296 <b>6608</b>	6.0% 1.8% 3.3% 7.1% 4.2% 1.7% 6.3% 1.1% 2.2% 2.1% <b>37.0%</b>	3.8% 3.0% 3.5% 3.7% 3.4% 2.2% 3.8% 2.5% 3.1% 3.2%	1.39 [1.09; 1.80 [1.14; 1.54 [1.10; 0.82 [0.63; 0.80 [0.57; 2.14 [1.38; 0.86 [0.44; 1.32 [1.03; 1.32 [0.74; 1.17 [0.76; 1.57 [1.06; 1.57 [1.06;	1.78] 2.84] 2.14] 1.07] 1.12] 3.30] 1.67] 1.70] 2.37] 1.80] 2.33] <b>1.38</b>	
Fest for overall effect (fixed effect): Z = -0 Fest for overall effect (random effects): Z <b>Ethnicity = Caucasian</b> Hatky et al2007 3eza et al. (a) _2009 3eza et al. (b) _2009 Wipff et al2019 Sources et al2010 Chachami et al2013 Su et al2013 Su et al2013 Divara et al2015 3kberg et al2019 Total (fixed effects, 95% CI) Total (fixed effects, 95% CI)	.82 (P = 0.4 1645 306 673 1117 371 365 234 1020 149 915 1012	1818 332 740 1280 430 400 268 1142 174 1036 1110 <b>8730</b>	813 614 614 827 834 332 112 1026 131 194 257	932 708 926 940 400 126 1188 160 224 296 <b>6608</b>	6.0% 1.8% 3.3% 7.1% 4.2% 1.7% 1.1% 6.3% 1.1% 2.2% 2.1% <b>37.0%</b>	3.8% 3.0% 3.5% 3.4% 3.0% 2.2% 3.8% 2.5% 3.1% 3.2% 3.2%	1.39 [1.09; 1.80 [1.14; 1.54 [1.10; 0.82 [0.63; 2.14 [1.38; 0.86 [0.44; 1.32 [1.03; 1.32 [0.74; 1.17 [0.76; 1.57 [1.06; 1.25 [1.12;	1.78] 2.84] 2.14] 1.07] 1.12] 3.30] 1.67] 1.70] 2.37] 1.80] 2.33] <b>1.38</b> <b>1.38</b> <b>1.54</b>	31 ***
Fest for overall effect (fixed effect): Z = -0 Fest for overall effect (random effects): Z Ethnicity = Caucasian Hatky et al2007 Seza et al. (b) _2009 Seza et al. (b) _2009 Wipff et al2019 Fromanuele et al2010 Thachami et al2010 Thachami et al2013 Su et al2013 Sucret al2014 Duran et al2015 Kiberg et al2019 Fotal (fixed effect, 95% CI) Fotal (fixed effect, 95% CI) Fotal (fixed effect, 95% CI) Fest for overall effect (fixed effect, 2 = 26. Fest for overall effect (fixed effect). Z = 4.	.82 (P = 0.4 = -0.27 (P : 1645 306 673 1117 371 365 234 1020 149 915 1012 1. df = 10 (( 1. df = 10 ()	1818 332 740 1280 430 268 1142 174 1036 1110 <b>8730</b> P = 0.000 01)	813 614 614 827 834 332 112 1026 131 194 257	932 708 926 940 126 1188 160 224 296 <b>6608</b> 6%	6.0% 1.8% 3.3% 7.1% 4.2% 1.7% 6.3% 1.1% 6.3% 1.1% 2.2% 2.1% <b>37.0%</b>	3.8% 3.0% 3.5% 3.4% 3.8% 2.2% 3.1% 3.8% 3.1% 3.8% 3.1% 3.5%	1.39 [1.09; 1.80 [1.14; 1.54 [1.10; 0.80 [0.57; 2.14 [1.38; 0.66 [0.44; 1.32 [1.03; 1.32 [0.74; 1.57 [1.06; 1.25 [1.12; 1.27 [1.05;	1.78] 2.84] 2.14] 1.07] 1.12] 3.30] 1.67] 1.70] 2.37] 1.80] 2.33] <b>1.38</b> <b>1.38</b>	3] 3]
Fest for overall effect (fixed effect): Z = -0 Fest for overall effect (random effects): Z Ethnicity = Caucasian Ilatky et al2007 Seza et al. (a) _2009 Seza et al. (b) _2009 Wipff et al2009 Torres et al2010 Smanuele et al2010 Shachami et al2013 Su et al2014 Juran et al2014 Juran et al2015 Ekberg et al2019 Total (fixed effect, 95% CI) Fotal (random effects, 95% CI) leterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.0653; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 29.6 Fest for overall effect (fixed effect): Z = 4. Fest for overall effect (random effects): Z	.82 (P = 0.4 1645 306 673 1117 371 365 234 1020 149 915 1012 1 1, df = 10 (1 17 (P < 0.0 = 2.49 (P =	1818 332 740 1280 430 268 1142 174 1036 1110 <b>8730</b> P = 0.00 01) 0.013)	813 614 614 827 834 332 1026 131 194 257 10); I <sup>2</sup> = 6	932 708 926 940 400 126 1188 160 224 296 <b>6608</b> 6%	6.0% 1.8% 3.3% 7.1% 4.2% 1.1% 6.3% 1.1% 2.2% 2.1% <b>37.0%</b>	3.8% 3.0% 3.7% 3.4% 2.2% 2.5% 3.1% 3.2% <b>3.1%</b> 3 <b>5.1%</b>	1.39 [1.09; 1.80 [1.14; 1.54 [1.10; 0.82 [0.63; 0.80 [0.57; 2.14 [1.38; 0.86 [0.44; 1.32 [1.03; 1.37 [1.06; 1.57 [1.06; 1.25 [1.12]	1.78] 2.84] 2.14] 1.07] 1.12] 3.30] 1.67] 1.70] 2.37] 1.80] 2.33] <b>1.38</b> <b>1.38</b> <b>1.54</b>	3] 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
Test for overall effect (fixed effect); $Z = -0$ Fest for overall effect (random effects); $Z$ <b>Ethnicity = Caucasian</b> Ilatky et al2007 Beza et al. (a) _2009 Beza et al. (b) _2009 Wipff et al2019 Sincres et al2010 Shachami et al2013 Diver et al2013 Diver et al2013 Diver et al2014 Duran et al2015 Skberg et al2019 Fotal (fixed effect, 95% CI) Fotal (random effects, 95% CI) eterogeneity: Tax <sup>2</sup> = 0.0653; Ch <sup>2</sup> = 2.6 iset for overall effect (fixed effect); $Z = 4$ . iest for overall effect (random effects); Z Fotal (fixed effect, 95% CI)	.82 (P = 0 = -0.27 (P = 1645 3066 673 1117 365 234 1020 149 915 1012 1. df = 10 ( 17 (P < 0.0 = 2.49 (P =	1818 332 740 1280 430 400 268 1142 174 1036 1110 <b>8730</b> P = 0.000 01) 0.013) <b>23088</b>	813 614 614 827 834 332 112 1026 131 194 257 10); I <sup>2</sup> = 6	932 708 926 940 400 126 1188 160 224 296 <b>6608</b> 6%	6.0% 1.8% 3.3% 4.2% 1.7% 6.3% 1.1% 2.2% 2.1% <b>37.0%</b>	3.8% 3.0% 3.7% 3.4% 2.2% 3.8% 2.5% 3.1%	1.39 [1.09; 1.80 [1.14; 1.54 [1.10; 0.82 [0.63; 2.14 [1.38; 0.86 [0.44; 1.32 [1.03; 1.32 [0.74; 1.37 [1.06; 1.25 [1.12; 1.27 [1.05; 1.25 [1.12;	1.78] 2.84] 2.14] 1.07] 1.12] 3.30] 1.67] 1.70] 2.37] 1.80] 2.33] <b>1.38</b> <b>1.54</b>	
Fest for overall effect (fixed effect): Z = -0 Test for overall effect (random effects): Z Ethnicity = Caucasian Hatky et al2007 Beza et al. (a) _2009 Beza et al. (b) _2009 Wipff et al2009 Torres et al2010 Shachami et al2013 Bu et al2014 Duran et al2014 Duran et al2014 Duran et al2019 Fotal (fixed effect, 95% CI) Fotal (random effects, 95% CI) Fetorogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.0653; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 29.6 Test for overall effect (fixed effect): Z = 4. Test for overall effect (random effects): Z Fotal (fixed effect, 95% CI) Fotal (fixed effect, 95% CI) Fotal (fixed effect, 95% CI) Fotal (fixed effect, 95% CI) Fotal (random effects, 95% CI)	.82 (P = 0 = -0.27 (P = 1645 306 673 1117 365 234 1020 149 915 1012 1, df = 10 ( 17 (P < 10 ( 17 (P < 10 (	1818 322 740 1280 430 268 1142 174 1036 1110 <b>8730</b> P = 0.00 01) 0.013) <b>23088</b>	813 614 614 827 834 332 112 1026 131 194 257 10); I <sup>2</sup> = 6	932 708 926 940 126 1188 160 224 296 <b>6608</b> 6% <b>22988</b>	6.0% 1.8% 3.3% 7.1% 4.2% 1.1% 6.3% 1.1% 2.2% 2.1% <b>37.0%</b>	3.8% 3.0% 3.5% 3.4% 3.8% 2.5% 3.1% 3.2% 3.1% 3.5.1%	1.39 [1.09; 1.80 [1.14; 1.54 [1.10; 0.82 [0.63; 0.80 [0.57; 2.14 [1.38; 0.86 [0.44; 1.32 [0.74; 1.32 [0.74; 1.37 [1.06; 1.57 [1.06; 1.27 [1.05; 1.27 [1.05] 1.27 [1.02; 1.27 [1.02;	1.78] 2.84] 2.14] 1.07] 1.12] 3.30] 1.67] 2.37] 1.80] 2.33] 1.54 1.54	
Fest for overall effect (fixed effect): Z = -0 Fest for overall effect (random effects): Z Ethnicity = Caucasian Hatky et al2007 Beza et al. (b) _2009 Wipff et al2019 Seza et al. (b) _2009 Wipff et al2019 Tomanuele et al2010 Chachami et al2013 But et al2013 But et al2014 Duran et al2014 Duran et al2015 Khorg et al2019 Fotal (fixed effect, 95% CI) Fotal (random effects, 95% CI) Fotal (random effects, 95% CI) Fotal (random effects, 95% CI)	.82 (P = 0 = -0.27 (P : 1645 306 673 1117 371 365 234 1020 149 915 1012 1, df = 10 ( 17 (P < 0.0 = 2.49 (P = ) 65, df = 37 )	1818 332 740 1280 430 430 430 430 430 430 430 430 1142 174 1036 1142 174 1036 1142 174 003 1030 1000 1000 001 0.003 ) <b>23088</b>	813 614 614 827 834 332 1026 131 194 257 10); I <sup>2</sup> = 6 001); I <sup>2</sup> =	932 708 708 926 940 400 1188 160 224 296 <b>6608</b> 6% <b>222988</b>	6.0% 1.8% 3.3% 7.1% 4.2% 1.7% 6.3% 1.1% 2.2% 2.1% <b>37.0%</b>	3.8% 3.0% 3.7% 3.4% 2.2% 3.8% 2.5% 3.1% 3.2% 35.1%	1.39 [1.09; 1.80 [1.14; 1.54 [1.10; 0.82 [0.63; 0.80 [0.57; 2.14 [1.38; 1.32 [1.03; 1.32 [0.44; 1.32 [1.03; 1.37 [1.06; 1.25 [1.12; 1.27 [1.05; 1.29 [1.02; 1.09 [1.02; 1.12 [0.97;	1.78] 2.84] 1.07] 1.12] 3.30] 1.67] 1.70] 2.37] 1.80] 2.33] <b>1.38</b> <b>1.54</b> <b>1.54</b>	
Test for overall effect (fixed effect); $Z = -0$ Fest for overall effect (random effects): Z Ethnicity = Caucasian Hatky et al2007 Beza et al. (a) _2009 Beza et al. (b) _2009 Wipff et al2019 Dirers et al2010 Dirense tal2010 Dirense tal2013 Diret al2013 Diret al2013 Diret al2013 Diret al2013 Diret al2015 Exberg et al2019 Fotal (fixed effect, 95% CI) Fotal (fixed effect, 95% CI) Fotal (fixed effect (fixed effect): Z = 4. "est for overall effect (fixed effect): Z = 4. "est for overall effect fixed effect): Z = 5% CI) Fotal (random effects, 95% CI) Fotal (random effect); Z = 2.	.82 (P = 0 = -0.27 (P = 1645 306 673 1117 365 234 1020 149 915 1012 1. df = 10 ( 17 (P < 0.0 = 2.49 (P = ) 65, df = 37 53 (P = 0.0	1818 332 740 1280 4300 268 1142 174 1036 <b>8730</b> 9 = 0.00 01) 0.013) <b>23088</b> (P < 0.0	813 614 617 834 332 112 1026 131 194 257 10); I <sup>2</sup> = 6	932 708 708 926 9400 126 1188 160 224 296 <b>6608</b> 6% <b>22988</b> 89%	6.0% 1.8% 3.3% 7.1% 4.2% 1.7% 6.3% 1.1% 2.1% <b>37.0%</b> <b></b>	3.8% 3.0% 3.7% 3.4% 2.2% 3.8% 2.5% 3.2% 3.2% 3.2%	1.39 [1.09; 1.80 [1.14; 1.54 [1.10; 0.82 [0.63; 0.80 [0.57; 2.14 [1.38; 0.86 [0.44; 1.32 [1.03; 1.32 [0.74; 1.37 [1.06; 1.25 [1.12; 1.27 [1.05; 1.29 [1.02; 1.12 [0.97;	1.78] 2.84] 2.14] 1.07] 1.12] 3.30] 1.67] 1.70] 2.37] 1.80] <b>1.38</b> <b>1.38</b> <b>1.54</b>	<b>3</b> <b>1</b> 0.1 0.51 2 510
Test for overall effect (fixed effect): $Z = -0$ Test for overall effect (random effects): Z Ethnicity = Caucasian Hatky et al2007 Seza et al. (a) _2009 Seza et al. (b) _2009 Wipff et al2009 Torres et al2010 The animi et al2013 Su et al2014 Juran et al2014 Juran et al2014 Skberg et al2019 Fotal (fixed effect, 95% CI) Fotal (fixed effect, 95% CI) Exterogeneily: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.1176; Ch <sup>2</sup> = 119; Test for overall effect (fixed effect): Z = 149; Test for over	.82 (P = 0 = -0.27 (P = 1645 3066 673 1117 361 365 234 1020 149 915 1012 1, df = 10 ( 17 (P < 0.0 2.49 (P = 65, df = 37 53 (P = 0.0 = 1.59 (P =	$\begin{array}{c} 1818\\ 332\\ 740\\ 1280\\ 400\\ 268\\ 1142\\ 0268\\ 1142\\ 1036\\ 1110\\ {\bf 8730}\\ {\bf 9}=0.00\\ 01\\ 0.013)\\ {\bf 23088}\\ (P<0.0\\ 11)\\ 0.113) \end{array}$	813 614 614 834 332 1026 131 194 257 10); I <sup>2</sup> = 6 001); I <sup>2</sup> =	932 708 708 926 940 400 126 1188 160 224 296 <b>6608</b> 6% <b>229888</b>	6.0% 1.8% 3.3% 7.1% 4.2% 1.7% 6.3% 1.1% 2.2% 2.1% <b>37.0%</b>	3.8% 3.0% 3.5% 3.7% 3.4% 2.2% 3.8% 2.5% 3.1% 3.2% 35.1%	1.39 [1.09; 1.80 [1.14; 1.54 [1.10; 0.82 [0.63; 0.80 [0.57; 2.14 [1.38; 1.32 [0.74; 1.32 [0.74; 1.37 [1.06; 1.25 [1.12; 1.27 [1.05; 1.27 [1.05; 1.29 [1.02; 1.12 [0.97;	1.78] 2.84] 2.14] 1.07] 1.12] 3.30] 1.67] 1.70] 2.37] 1.80] 1.38 <b>1.54</b> <b>1.16</b> <b>1.25</b>	3] 0.1 0.51 2 5 10 manufactorial contractions

**Fig 2. Forest plot of** *HIF1A***1772C/T polymorphism and overall disease risk for different ethnic populations under allelic model** [*C* vs. *T*]. In the forest plot, the square of the horizontal line represents the individual study-specific odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) and the black area of the squares represents the corresponding study weight. The black diamond reflects the pooled OR and the lateral points of the diamond represent the CI of the overall analyses. The solid vertical lines are the OR of 1 indicates no effect. The dashed vertical line shows the corresponding pooled OR of the analyses.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0273042.g002

The subgroup analyses based on disease type showed that the *HIF1A* 1790 G/A polymorphism is significantly associated with increasing the risk of diabetic complications under the allelic contrast model [A vs. G: OR = 1.71, 95% CI = 1.27–2.28, p-value = 0.000] and

Subgroup	Study	A vs. G		AA vs. GG		AG vs. GG		AA + AG vs. GG		AA vs. AG + GG	
	number	OR (95% CI)	p-val	OR (95% CI)	p-val	OR (95% CI)	p-val	OR (95% CI)	p-val	OR (95% CI)	p-val
Overall	24	1.03 [0.81; 1.31]	0.795	0.96 [0.75; 1.23]	0.753	1.18 [0.8; 1.72]	0.402	1.10 [0.79; 1.53]	0.572	0.78 [0.67; 0.91]	0.002
Preeclampsia	2	0.63 [0.27; 1.43]	0.269	NA [NA; NA]	NA	0.62 [0.27; 1.43]	0.263	0.62 [0.27; 1.43]	0.263	0.84 [0.05; 13.43]	0.900
Inflammatory disease	5	0.86 [0.70; 1.06]	0.166	0.99 [0.21; 4.63]	0.992	0.98 [0.65; 1.46]	0.904	0.98 [0.66; 1.44]	0.904	0.81 [0.62; 1.05]	0.106
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)	3	1.54 [0.32; 7.34]	0.588	2.61 [0.51; 13.29]	0.249	1.73 [0.29; 10.55]	0.550	1.67 [0.30; 9.41]	0.562	1.82 [0.43; 7.79]	0.417
Autoimmune disease	2	0.90 [0.47; 1.72]	0.742	0.13 [0.00; 6.57]	0.308	1.00 [0.50; 1.98]	0.996	0.94 [0.48; 1.86]	0.869	0.45 [0.04; 5.09]	0.522
Cardiovascular disease (CVD)	4	0.83 [0.67; 1.02]	0.080	0.46 [0.25; 0.84]	0.012	0.82 [0.50; 1.35]	0.441	0.79 [0.47; 1.34]	0.385	0.73 [0.52; 1.03]	0.076
Type 2 diabetes	3	1.26 [0.99; 1.60]	0.062	1.48 [0.89; 2.46]	0.128	1.86 [0.71; 4.85]	0.207	1.54 [0.85; 2.79]	0.155	0.79 [0.50; 1.23]	0.299
Diabetic complications	2	1.71 [1.27; 2.28]	0.000	2.34 [1.40; 3.89]	0.001	2.83 [0.65; 12.31]	0.166	2.30 [0.89; 5.96]	0.087	1.07 [0.69; 1.66]	0.759
Others	3	0.72 [0.58; 0.89]	0.003	0.50 [0.32; 0.78]	0.002	0.82 [0.58; 1.15]	0.246	0.72 [0.52; 0.99]	0.042	0.60 [0.41; 0.87]	0.008
Ethnicity											
Asian	15	1.13 [0.84; 1.52]	0.430	0.94 [0.49; 1.80]	0.850	1.47 [0.92; 2.37]	0.111	1.30 [0.86; 1.99]	0.217	0.78 [0.67; 0.91]	0.002
Caucasian	4	0.94 [0.63; 1.39]	0.749	4.27 [0.06; 294.6]	0.502	0.57 [0.21; 1.54]	0.268	0.63 [0.26; 1.52]	0.308	1.17 [0.19; 7.34]	0.867
Mixed	5	0.96 [0.68; 1.34]	0.795	0.79 [0.15; 4.15]	0.785	0.97 [0.67; 1.41]	0.869	0.96 [0.67; 1.39]	0.831	0.81 [0.22; 2.93]	0.747
HWE tested data											
Overall	21	0.83 [0.74; 0.93]	0.001	0.96 [0.75; 1.23]	0.753	0.92 [0.78; 1.08]	0.295	0.87 [0.74; 1.02]	0.091	0.73 [0.61; 0.87]	0.001

Table 3. Summary results of ORs and 95% CI of HIF1A rs11549467 G/A polymorphism association with diseases.

OR (95% CI) is Odds Ratio (95% Confidence Interval); The bold results indicate the statistical significance.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0273042.t003

homozygote model [*AA* vs. *GG*: OR = 2.34, 95% CI = 1.40–3.89, *p*-value = 0.001]. This polymorphism also significantly associated with decreasing the risk of CVD under homozygote model [*AA* vs. *GG*: OR = 0.46, 95% CI = 0.25–0.84, *p*-value = 0.012] and other' disease group under four genetic models [*A* vs. *G*: OR = 0.72, 95% CI = 0.58–0.89, *p*-value = 0.003]; [*AA* vs. *GG*: OR = 0.50, 95% CI = 0.32–0.78, *p*-value = 0.002]; [*AA* + *AG* vs. *GG*: OR = 0.72, 95% CI = 0.52–0.99, *p*-value = 0.042]; [*AA* vs. *AG* + *GG*: OR = 0.60, 95% CI = 0.41–0.87, *p*-value = 0.008] (Table 3).

The subgroup analyses by ethnicity of the *HIF1A* 1790 G/A polymorphism indicated that in the Asian population this polymorphism was significantly associated with decreasing overall disease risk under the recessive model [*AA* vs. *GA* + *GG*: OR = 0.78, 95% CI = 0.67–0.91, *p*-value = 0.002]. However, this polymorphism revealed an insignificant association with overall disease risk for the Caucasian and mixed populations (Table 3).

#### Sources of heterogeneity

In this Meta-analysis, significant heterogeneity was observed in different studies of *HIF1A* 1790 G/A polymorphism for overall analysis under three genetic models [*A* vs. *G*: Q = 75.04, df = 23, *p*-value = 0.0001,  $I^2 = 69.4\%$ ]; [*GA* vs. *GG*: Q = 99.55, df = 23, *p*-value = 0.0001,  $I^2 = 76.9\%$ ]; [*AA* + *GA* vs. *GG*: Q = 89.84, df = 23, *p*-value = 0.0001,  $I^2 = 74.4\%$ ]. The subgroup

Study or	Experin	nental	Co	ontrol	Weight	Weight			Odds Ratio
Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	(common) (	random)	OR [95%	CI] M	I (FEM) & IV (REM
Ethnicity = Mixed									
Nava-Salazar et al2011	0	300	1	210	0.2%	0.5%	0.23 [0.01;	5.73] -	
Fern ndez-Torres et al2015	2	140	1	132	0.1%	0.9%	1.90 [0.17; 2	21.19]	
Senhaji et al2017	50	398	74	616	6.3%	6.7%	1.05 [0.72;	1.54]	ŧ
Hern ndez-Molina et al2017	0	216	1	182	0.2%	0.5%	0.28 [0.01;	6.90] -	<del></del>
Harati-Sadegh et al2018	9	406	13	404	1.6%	3.9%	0.68 [0.29;	1.61]	
Total (fixed effect, 95% CI)		1460		1544	8.4%		0.96 10.68:	1.341	÷
Total (random effects, 95% C	:1)					12.5%	0.96 0.68;	1.36]	+
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 2,45, df	= 4 (P = 0.65	(28): $I^2 =$	0%				•		
Test for overall effect (fixed effect): Z =	-0.26 (P = 0.7	795)							
Test for overall effect (random effects):	Z = -0.22 (P =	= 0.827)							
Ethnicity = Asian									
Yamada et al2005	42	880	50	1144	5.1%	6.4%	1.10 [0.72;	1.67]	÷
Lin et al. 2013	237	548	316	602	21.1%	7.5%	0.69 [0.55:	0.871	<b>i</b>
Putra et al. 2013	7	96	9	220	0.6%	3.2%	1.84 [0.67:	5.111	
Q. Liu et al. 2013	16	712	9	426	1.4%	4.1%	1.07 [0.47	2.431	+
Wei et al. 2015	4	240	11	224	1.4%	2.8%	0.33 [0.10]	1.051	
Pichu et al. (a) 2015	195	370	130	290	8.5%	7 1%	1.37 [1.01]	1 871	
Pichu et al. (b) 2015	234	398	130	290	7.6%	7 1%	1 76 [1 29	2 381	
Chen et al. 2016	10	468	15	500	1.7%	4 2%	0 71 [0 31	1 591	_
Vuetal 2017	54	328	12	322	1.7%	5.0%	5 09 12 67	9 721	-
Sheng et al. 2019	245	306	528	770	16.9%	7 4%	0.74 [0.58	0.961	
Takagi at al. 2020	10	264	10	249	2 29%	1.470	0.04 [0.30,	1 961	1
Oin at al. 2020	2220	2204	2720	2020	15 70/	4.9%	0.95 [0.49,	1.00]	7
Qill et al2020	3239	3304	2139	2030	15.7%	7.3%	1.10 (0.20)	2.071	
Liu et al. (a)_2021	8	300	1	288	0.9%	3.2%	1.10 [0.39;	3.07]	
Liu et al. (b)_2021	9	298	/	288	0.9%	3.3%	1.25 [0.46;	3.40]	T.
I sukatani et al2021	13	260	2	96	0.3%	1.9%	2.47 [0.55;	11.17]	T
Total (fixed effect, 95% CI)		9042		8646	85.4%		1.01 [0.91;	1.12]	t
Total (random effects, 95% C	:1)		2			75.4%	1.13 [0.84;	1.52]	1
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.2303; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 66	.5, df = 14 (P	< 0.000	1); I <sup>2</sup> = 79	%					
Test for overall effect (fixed effect): Z =	0.11 (P = 0.9	11)							
Test for overall effect (random effects):	Z = 0.79 (P =	0.430)							
Ethnicity = Caucasian									
Hlatky et al2007	9	1818	10	932	1.6%	3.7%	0.46 [0.19;	1.13]	
Bahadori et al2010	38	1834	31	1938	3.6%	6.1%	1.30 [0.81;	2.10]	+
Torres et al2010	0	430	5	940	0.4%	0.6%	0.20 [0.01;	3.58] -	
Chachami et al2013	3	268	3	126	0.5%	1.7%	0.46 [0.09;	2.33]	<b>→</b> +
Total (fixed effect, 95% CI)		4350		3936	6.2%		0.94 [0.63;	1.39]	+
Total (random effects, 95% C	:1)		.2			12.1%	0.70 [0.32;	1.54]	+
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>-</sup> = 0.3027; Chi <sup>-</sup> = 6.0 Test for overall effect (fixed effect): Z =	J4, df = 3 (P = -0.32 (P = 0.1	= 0.1098) 749)	; 1 = 50%	D					
Test for overall effect (random effects):	Z = -0.90 (P =	= 0.370)							
Total (fixed effect, 95% CI)		14852		14126	100.0%		1.00 [0.91:	1.101	
Total (random effects. 95% C	:1)					100.0%	1.03 [0.81:	1.311	+
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.1823; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 75	.04, df = 23 (	P < 0.00	()); $ ^2 = 6$	9%				<b>г</b>	
Test for overall effect (fixed effect): Z =	-0.05 (P = 0.9	961)		100				0.0	1 0.1 0.5 2 10 50
Test for overall effect (random effects):	Z = 0.26 (P =	0.795)							Dist.
		'						Decrea	sing Risk Increasing
Test for subgroup differences (fixed effe	ct): $Chi^2 = 0.1$	18 df = 2	(P = 0.91)	38)					

Fig 3. Forest plot of *HIF1A* 1790 G/A polymorphism and overall disease risk for the different ethnic populations under allelic model [A vs. G].

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0273042.g003

analysis suggested that some genetic model showed significant heterogeneity in the cases of COPD, CVD, T2D, Diabetic complications, Asian, and Caucasian populations. (S2 Table).

## **Publication bias checking**

The funnel plot was used to check publication bias of *HIF1A* gene 1772 C/T, and 1790 G/A polymorphisms with allelic model *C* vs. *T*, and *A* vs. *G*, respectively. The conventionally constructed plots confirmed the symmetric distribution of ORs based on standard error and suggested no evidence of publication bias (Fig 4). Also, the Begg's test and Egger's linear regression test analysis data confirmed no significant publication bias under the allelic model of *HIF1A* 1772 C/T polymorphism [*C* vs. *T* allele; *p*-value = 0.9900 and 0.5052 respectively], and for the 1790 G/A [*A* vs. *G* allele; *p*-value = 0.7284 and 0.8537 respectively] polymorphisms (S3 Table).



Fig 4. Funnel plot for publication bias checking of HIF1A variants (a) 1772 C/T for C allele vs. T allele (b) 1790 G/A for A allele vs. G allele.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0273042.g004

#### Sensitivity analysis

In this study, sensitivity analysis was performed to increase the reliability of meta-analysis results. Studies that do not qualify HWE were excluded to investigate the existence of the attained results. The statistical associations of the results were not altered after excluding the respective studies, which confirmed the reliability of this meta-analysis (Tables 2 and 3).

#### False positive report probability (FPRP) and power analyses

We performed false-positive report probability (FPRP) to assess whether associations reported previously were false positives. We preset FPRP at 0.2 as the threshold for biological importance and a prior probability ' $\pi$ ' at 0.01 to detect the significant OR [71]. We computed the statistical power and FPRP by fixing the odds ratio at 1.5 (or, 1/1.5 for protective effect) for identifying important biologic effects [70]. It should be mentioned here that an OR value at 1.5 is considered as a plausible value for a significant biologic effects [72, 73]. The association was considered significant, when the FPRP value was less than 0.2 [74]. Based on the above discussion, the rs11549465 SNP significantly increased the overall disease risk in Caucasian patients. Also, the rs11549465 SNP significantly increased the risk of diabetic complications and decreasing the risk of COPD (Table 4). The rs11549467 SNP significantly decreased the overall disease risk for Asian patients and subgroup of CVD risk (Table 4).

## **Discussion and conclusion**

We performed a statistical meta-analysis to investigate the association of *HIF1A* gene polymorphisms with multiple diseases risks more accurately compare to SGA studies. This analysis was performed based on 41 SGA study's findings, where the polymorphisms rs11549465 (1772 C/T) and rs11549467 (1790 G/A) of *HIF1A* gene were analyzed based on 11544 and 7426 cases and 11494 and 7063 control samples, respectively. This study included different types of diseases (i.e. CVD, T2D, autoimmune diseases, inflammatory diseases, COPD, preeclampsia,

Genotype and Variables	OR (95% CI)	Statistical Power <sup>a</sup>	FPRP values for prior probabilities at								
			0.25	0.1	0.01	0.001	0.0001	0.00001			
rs11549465 and Caucasian											
C vs. T	1.27 [1.05; 1.54]	0.955	0.045 <sup>b</sup>	0.125 <sup>b</sup>	0.610	0.940	0.994	0.999			
CC vs. TT	2.00 [1.40; 2.87]	0.986	0.001 <sup>b</sup>	0.002 <sup>b</sup>	0.017 <sup>b</sup>	0.146 <sup>b</sup>	0.631	0.945			
CT vs. TT	1.64 [1.12; 2.40]	0.323	0.092 <sup>b</sup>	0.233	0.769	0.971	0.997	1.000			
CC + CT vs. TT	1.93 [1.35; 2.77]	0.992	0.001 <sup>b</sup>	0.003 <sup>b</sup>	0.035 <sup>b</sup>	0.267	0.785	0.973			
CC vs. CT + TT	1.24 [1.02; 1.52]	0.967	0.106 <sup>b</sup>	0.263	0.797	0.975	0.997	1.000			
rs11549465 and Chronic obs	tructive pulmonary dise	ease (COPD)	·	·				·			
C vs. T	0.46 [0.30; 0.71]	0.933	0.001 <sup>b</sup>	0.004 <sup>b</sup>	0.046 <sup>b</sup>	0.327	0.829	0.980			
CC vs. CT + TT	0.43 [0.27; 0.67]	0.879	0.001 <sup>b</sup>	0.002 <sup>b</sup>	0.021 <sup>b</sup>	0.179 <sup>b</sup>	0.685	0.956			
rs11549465 and Skin disease											
CC vs. TT	3.01 [1.09; 8.32]	0.497	0.163 <sup>b</sup>	0.378	0.870	0.985	0.999	1.000			
CC + CT vs. TT	2.71 [0.98; 7.49]	0.578	0.221	0.460	0.903	0.990	0.999	1.000			
rs11549465 and Diabetic cor	nplications										
C vs. T	1.34 [1.12; 1.61]	0.886	0.006 <sup>b</sup>	0.018 <sup>b</sup>	0.166 <sup>b</sup>	0.667	0.953	0.995			
CT vs. TT	2.43 [1.41; 4.18]	0.777	0.005 <sup>b</sup>	0.015 <sup>b</sup>	0.145 <sup>b</sup>	0.632	0.945	0.994			
CC + CT vs. TT	2.11 [1.29; 3.43]	0.922	0.008 <sup>b</sup>	0.025 <sup>b</sup>	0.218	0.738	0.966	0.996			
rs11549467 and Overall											
AA vs. AG + GG	0.78 [0.67; 0.91]	0.973	0.005 <sup>b</sup>	0.014 <sup>b</sup>	0.139 <sup>b</sup>	0.619	0.942	0.994			
rs11549467 and Asian											
AA vs. AG + GG	0.78 [0.67; 0.91]	0.973	0.005 <sup>b</sup>	0.014 <sup>b</sup>	0.139 <sup>b</sup>	0.619	0.942	0.994			
rs11549467 and Cardiovascu	llar disease (CVD)										
AA vs. GG	0.46 [0.25; 0.84]	0.860	0.039 <sup>b</sup>	0.107 <sup>b</sup>	0.569	0.930	0.993	0.999			
rs11549467 and Diabetic con	nplications										
A vs. G	1.71 [1.27; 2.28]	0.857	0.001 <sup>b</sup>	0.003 <sup>b</sup>	0.029 <sup>b</sup>	0.231	0.750	0.968			
AA vs. GG	2.34 [1.40; 3.89]	0.831	0.004 <sup>b</sup>	0.011 <sup>b</sup>	0.111 <sup>b</sup>	0.557	0.926	0.992			
rs11549467 and Others			· ·					·			
A vs. G	0.72 [0.58; 0.89]	0.747	0.009 <sup>b</sup>	0.028 <sup>b</sup>	0.240	0.761	0.970	0.997			
AA vs. GG	0.50 [0.32; 0.78]										
AA + AG vs. GG	0.72 [0.52; 0.99]	0.671	0.162 <sup>b</sup>	0.367	0.864	0.985	0.998	1.000			
AA vs. AG + GG	0.60 [0.41; 0.87]	0.280	0.070 <sup>b</sup>	0.185 <sup>b</sup>	0.713	0.962	0.996	1.000			

#### Table 4. Results of false positive report probability analysis for significant findings.

<sup>a</sup>Statistical power was calculated using the number of observations in each subgroup and the corresponding ORs nad *P* values in this table. <sup>b</sup>The level of false-positive report probability threshold was set at 0.2 and noteworthy findings are presented

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0273042.t004

parkinson disease, diabetic complications, AMD, Hemodialysis, LDD, HAPC, metabolic syndrome, and pressure injury) and ethnic groups (i.e. Asian, Caucasian and mixed) were considered in this meta-analysis. The allelic alterations in different ethnic population and their association with diseases were carefully evaluated using five different genetic models (i) dominant models: CC + CT vs. TT or AA + AG vs. GG, (ii) homozygote models: CC vs. TT or AA vs. GG, (iii) heterozygote models: CT vs. TT or AG vs. GG, (iv) recessive models: CC vs. CT + TT or AA vs. AG + GG, and (v) allelic contrast models: C vs. T or A vs. G, for each of 1772 C/T and 1790 G/A polymorphisms. The results of this study suggested that the *HIF1A* 1772 C/T polymorphism is insignificantly associated with overall diseases. The *HIF1A* 1790 G/A polymorphism showed a significant association with overall disease under the recessive model (AA vs. AG + GG), which indicates that the A allele is associated with overall diseases.

though A was recessive. The subgroup analysis based on ethnicity showed significant association between the HIF1A 1772 C/T polymorphism and overall disease for the Caucasian population under the all genetic models, which indicates that the C allele is associated with overall diseases. Again, the ethnicity subgroup showed a significant association between HIF1A 1790 G/A polymorphism and overall disease for the Asian population under recessive model only (AA vs. AG + GG), which indicates that the A allele is associated with overall diseases. The subgroup analysis based on disease type showed that HIF1A 1772 C/T is significantly associated with COPD, skin and diabetic complications diseases, where C is high risk factor for skin and diabetic complications (since, ORs > 1), but low risk factor for COPD (since, ORs < 1). This subgroup analysis results goes in favor of Ekberg et al. [65], and Bi et al. [66] for diabetic complications and Yu et al. [17] and Wei et al. [37] for COPD. The association of diabetic complication risk was also supported by a previous meta-analysis report [75]. Also the subgroup analysis results of HIF1A 1772 C/T polymorphism showed insignificant association with autoimmune diseases, inflammatory diseases, and preeclampsia under all five genetic models which goes in favor of Wipff et al. [31] and Feng et al. [25] for autoimmune disease, Torres et al. [27], Chachami et al. [32] and Senhaji et al. [41] for inflammatory disease, and Nava-Salazar et al. [34] for preeclampsia. The subgroup analysis results of HIF1A 1790 G/A polymorphism showed significant association with CVD under homozygote model (AA vs. GG) and diabetic complications under allelic (A vs. G) and homozygote (AA vs. GG) models. Also, the HIF1A 1790 G/A polymorphism showed significantly decreasing the risk of other (LDD, HAPC, Pressure injury) disease group under four genetic models (A vs. G, AA vs. GG, AA + GA vs. GG, AA vs. GA + GG) and insignificant association with inflammatory disease, COPD, autoimmune disease and preeclampsia under all genetic models. The association of diabetic complications contradicted the reports by Ren et al. [75]. The insignificant result of HIF1A 1790 G/A were supported by Bahadori et al. [26] for CVD, Torres et al. [27], Chachami et al. [32], Senhaji et al. [41], and Fernández-Torres et al. [20] for inflammatory disease, Putra et al. [39] for lung and Nava-Salazar et al. [34] for preeclampsia.

Thus the above discussion provided the significant evidence that the *HIF1A* gene is a risk factor for the development of COPD, CVD, skin disease and diabetic complications. Now it is required to explore the causality of HIF1A gene SNPs (1772 C/T and 1790 G/A) in the development of those disease by their expression analysis. Recently, some researchers studied single or multiple disease causing genes or SNPs by using network analysis or Mendelian randomization [76–82]. These SNPs can act as biological markers to locate the disease-causing genes that are regulated either directly or indirectly by those SNPs [83]. When SNPs occur within a gene or in a regulatory region near a gene, they are known as *cis*-acting factors, and they may play a more direct role in disease development by affecting the gene's function. When SNPs occur far away from the disease causing genes, they are known as trans-acting factors. The cis- and trans-acting factors are usually considered as the causal and non-causal risk factors of disease development, respectively. SNPs can be silent due to its occurrence within the noncoding regions or may change the encoded amino acids due to its occurrence within the coding region. They may influence promoter or enhancer activities, messenger RNA (mRNA) stability, and subcellular localization of mRNAs and/or proteins and hence may develop disease. A post-transcriptional modification (PTM) in mRNA, known as N4-acetylcytidine (ac4C) that occurs on cytidine, plays a vital role in the stability and regulation of mRNA translation. There are at least 15 nucleotide modifications found in mRNA of which m6A and N1-methyladenosine (m1A) are similar in function to ac4C. They play a significant role in the translation process of mRNA and its stability that leads to the progression of several human diseases [84-87].

If SNPs (1772 C/T and 1790 G/A) of *HIF1A* gene data are available for COPD, CVD, skin disease and diabetic complications, and control samples, an effective disease prediction model

may be developed by using a suitable machine learning technique including logistic classifier. For example, some recent studies developed SNPs based diseases prediction model [88, 89].

However, there were some limitations in this study, such as (i) the heterogeneity factors such as gender, age, smoking, drinking, blood pressure, family history, etc was not considered to estimate the combined effect for overall or subgroup analysis like as [56–60]. Because the dataset was generated through multiple diseases excluding cancer, so we cannot focus on specific behavior factor due to the insufficient information of GWAS studies. (ii) the metadata was collected considering the English language only, (iii) some subgroup analysis may be affected due to the small subgroup sample size and unavailable data due to limited GWAS studies.

In conclusion, this study made a consensus decision about the association of *HIF1A* gene polymorphisms with multiple diseases risks excluding cancers. The meta-analysis results showed that the HIF1A 1772 C/T polymorphism is not significantly associated with overall disease risks The HIF1A 1790 G/A polymorphism was associated with overall diseases under recessive model, where the allele A controls the diseases though it is recessive. The ethnicity subgroup analysis showed the significant association of HIF1A 1772 C/T polymorphism with overall disease for Caucasian population under all genetic models, where C allele controls the diseases, while HIF1A 1790 G/A polymorphism was significantly associated with overall disease for Asian population under a genetic model due to the influence of A allele. The subgroup analysis based on disease types showed that HIF1A 1772 C/T is significantly associated with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), skin and diabetic complications diseases, where *C* allele is the high risk factor for skin and diabetic complications diseases, and low risk factor for COPD. The HIF1A 1790 G/A polymorphism showed significant association with CVD under homozygote model and diabetic complications under allelic and homozygote models. The rest of diseases showed insignificant association with HIF1A gene under all of five genetic models by the subgroup analysis. Taken together, the results of this study suggest that HIF1A could be a useful prognostic biomarker for COPD, CVD, skin disease and diabetic complication diseases. In future, availability of more SGA studies on the different ethnic populations might shed more lights to unveil and confirm the association of the HIF1A gene polymorphisms with different diseases.

## Supporting information

**S1 Checklist. PlosOne-meta-analysis-on-genetic-association-studies-checklist.** (DOCX)

**S2 Checklist. PRISMA checklist.** (DOCX)

**S1 Data.** The dataset of *HIF1A* gene rs11549465 polymorphism. (XLSX)

**S2** Data. The dataset of *HIF1A* gene rs11549467 polymorphism. (XLSX)

**S1** Table. Quality assessment for included study in the meta-analysis. (DOCX)

**S2** Table. Heterogeneity analysis of *HIF1A* gene polymorphisms. (DOCX)

S3 Table. Publication bias checking by using Egger's linear regression and Begg's test of *HIF1A* gene polymorphisms.

(DOCX)

**S4 Table.** Group of disease conducting this meta-analysis. (DOCX)

S1 File. Forest plot of *HIF1A* gene polymorphisms (rs11549465, rs11549467) for four genetic models. (DOCX)

## **Author Contributions**

Conceptualization: Jesmin, Md. Nurul Haque Mollah.

Data curation: Md. Harun-Or-Roshid, Md. Borqat Ali.

Formal analysis: Md. Harun-Or-Roshid, Md. Borqat Ali.

Funding acquisition: Md. Nurul Haque Mollah.

Investigation: Md. Harun-Or-Roshid, Jesmin, Md. Nurul Haque Mollah.

Methodology: Md. Harun-Or-Roshid, Md. Nurul Haque Mollah.

Project administration: Md. Nurul Haque Mollah.

Resources: Md. Harun-Or-Roshid, Jesmin.

Software: Md. Harun-Or-Roshid.

Supervision: Md. Nurul Haque Mollah.

Validation: Md. Harun-Or-Roshid, Jesmin, Md. Nurul Haque Mollah.

Visualization: Md. Harun-Or-Roshid.

Writing - original draft: Md. Harun-Or-Roshid.

Writing - review & editing: Jesmin, Md. Nurul Haque Mollah.

#### References

- Iglesias P.; Penas C.; Barral-Cagiao L.; Pazos E.; Costoya J.A. A Bio-Inspired Hypoxia Sensor Using HIF1a-Oxygen-Dependent Degradation Domain. *Sci. Rep.* 2019, 9, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-43618-4 PMID: 31068630
- Wenger R.H.; Stiehl D.P.; Camenisch G. Integration of Oxygen Signaling at the Consensus HRE. Sci. STKE 2005, 2005. https://doi.org/10.1126/stke.3062005re12 PMID: 16234508
- Semenza G.L. Oxygen-Dependent Regulation of Mitochondrial Respiration by Hypoxia-Inducible Factor 1. Biochem. J. 2007, 405. https://doi.org/10.1042/BJ20070389 PMID: 17555402
- CieSzczyk P.; Kalinski M.; Ostanek M.; Jascaniene N.; Krupecki K.; Ficek K.; et al. Variation in the Hif1a Gene in Elite Rowers. J. Strength Cond. Res. 2012, 26, <u>https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.</u> 0b013e31824b876d PMID: 22476163
- Semenza G.L. Targeting HIF-1 for Cancer Therapy. Nat. Rev. Cancer 2003, 3. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nrc1187 PMID: 13130303
- Pouysségur J.; Dayan F.; Mazure N.M. Hypoxia Signalling in Cancer and Approaches to Enforce Tumour Regression. Nature 2006, 441. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04400 PMID: 16437109
- Jia X.; Hong Q.; Lei L.; Li D.; Li J.; Mo M.; et al. Basal and Therapy-Driven Hypoxia-Inducible Factor-1α Confers Resistance to Endocrine Therapy in Estrogen Receptor-Positive Breast Cancer. *Oncotarget* 2015, 6, https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.3257 PMID: 25929338

- Jiang Y.Z.; Liu Y.R.; Xu X.E.; Jin X.; Hu X.; Yu K.; et al. Transcriptome Analysis of Triple-Negative Breast Cancer Reveals an Integrated MRNA-LncRNA Signature with Predictive and Prognostic Value. *Cancer Res.* 2016, 76. https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-15-3284 PMID: 26921339
- Clifford S.C.; Astuti D.; Hooper L.; Maxwell P.H.; Ratcliffe P.J.; Maher E.R. The PVHL-Associated SCF Ubiquitin Ligase Complex: Molecular Genetic Analysis of Elongin B and C, Rbx1 and HIF-1α in Renal Cell Carcinoma. *Oncogene* 2001, 20, https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.onc.1204602 PMID: 11526493
- Tanimoto K.; Yoshiga K.; Eguchi H.; Kaneyasu M.; Ukon K.; Kumazaki T.; et al. Hypoxia-Inducible Factor-1α Polymorphisms Associated with Enhanced Transactivation Capacity, Implying Clinical Significance. *Carcinogenesis* 2003, 24, https://doi.org/10.1093/carcin/bgg132 PMID: 12919954
- Yan Q.; Chen P.; Wang S.; Liu N.; Zhao P.; Gu A. Association between HIF-1α C1772T/G1790A Polymorphisms and Cancer Susceptibility: An Updated Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Based on 40 Case-Control Studies. *BMC Cancer* 2014, 14, https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2407-14-950 PMID: 25496056
- Yang X.; Zhu H.C.; Zhang C.; Qin Q.; Liu J.; Xu L.P.; et al. HIF-1α 1772 C/T and 1790 G/A Polymorphisms Are Significantly Associated with Higher Cancer Risk: An Updated Meta-Analysis from 34 Case-Control Studies. *PLoS One* 2013, 8, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0080396 PMID: 24260383
- Wong B.W.; Marsch E.; Treps L.; Baes M.; Carmeliet P. Endothelial Cell Metabolism in Health and Disease: Impact of Hypoxia. *EMBO J.* 2017, 36, https://doi.org/10.15252/embj.201696150 PMID: 28637793
- LaGory E.L.; Giaccia A.J. The Ever-Expanding Role of HIF in Tumour and Stromal Biology. Nat. Cell Biol. 2016, 18. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb3330 PMID: 27027486
- Anam M.T.; Ishika A.; Hossain M.B.; Jesmin A Meta-Analysis of Hypoxia Inducible Factor 1-Alpha (HIF1A) Gene Polymorphisms: Association with Cancers. *Biomark. Res.* 2015, 3, https://doi.org/10. 1186/s40364-015-0054-z PMID: 26715988
- Harati-Sadegh M.; Kohan L.; Teimoori B.; Mehrabani M.; Salimi S. The Association of the Placental Hypoxia-Inducible Factor1-α Polymorphisms and HIF1-α MRNA Expression with Preeclampsia. *Placenta* 2018, 67, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.placenta.2018.05.005 PMID: 29941171
- Yu Z.G.; Wang B.Z.; Cheng Z.Z. The Association of Genetic Polymorphisms of Hypoxia Inducible Factor-1 Alpha and Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor with Increased Risk of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease: A Case–Control Study. *Kaohsiung J. Med. Sci.* 2017, 33, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.kjms.</u> 2017.05.014 PMID: 28865600
- Hernández-Molina G.; Rodríguez-Pérez J.M.; Fernández-Torres J.; Lima G.; Pérez-Hernández N.; López-Reyes A.; et al. HIF1A (Rs11549465) and AKNA (Rs10817595) Gene Polymorphisms Are Associated with Primary Sjögren's Syndrome. *Biomed Res. Int.* 2017, 2017, <u>https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/</u> 5845849 PMID: 28484714
- Vodolazkaia A.; Yesilyurt B.T.; Kyama C.M.; Bokor A.; Schols D.; Huskens D.; et al. Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Pathway in Endometriosis: Genetic Variants and Plasma Biomarkers. *Fertil. Steril.* 2016, 105, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2015.12.016 PMID: 26773192
- Fernández-Torres J.; Hernández-Díaz C.; Espinosa-Morales R.; Camacho-Galindo J.; Galindo-Sevilla N.D.C.; López-Macay Á.; et al. Polymorphic Variation of Hypoxia Inducible Factor-1 a (HIF1A) Gene Might Contribute to the Development of Knee Osteoarthritis: A Pilot Study. *BMC Musculoskelet. Disord.* 2015, 16, https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-015-0678-z PMID: 26293784
- Hlatky M.A.; Quertermous T.; Boothroyd D.B.; Priest J.R.; Glassford A.J.; Myers R.M.; et al. Polymorphisms in Hypoxia Inducible Factor 1 and the Initial Clinical Presentation of Coronary Disease. *Am. Heart J.* 2007, 154, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ahj.2007.07.042 PMID: 18035072
- 22. Duran J.; Olavarría P.S.; Mola M.; Götzens V.; Carballo J.; Pelegrina E.M.; et al. Genetic Association Study of Coronary Collateral Circulation in Patients with Coronary Artery Disease Using 22 Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms Corresponding to 10 Genes Involved in Postischemic Neovascularization. BMC Cardiovasc. Disord. 2015, 15, https://doi.org/10.1186/s12872-015-0027-z PMID: 25959001
- Okur V.; Cetin O.; Cetin E.; Tepeli E.; Bulgu Y.; Yildirim C. HIF1A as a Major Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Regulator: Do Its Polymorphisms Have an Association with Age-Related Macular Degeneration? *Clin. Exp. Ophthalmol.* 2015, 43, https://doi.org/10.1111/ceo.12376 PMID: 24995509
- Andraweera P.H.; Dekker G.A.; Thompson S.D.; Dissanayake V.H.W.; Jayasekara R.W.; Roberts C.T. Hypoxia-Inducible Factor-1α Gene Polymorphisms in Early and Late Onset Preeclampsia in Sinhalese Women. *Placenta* 2014, 35, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.placenta.2014.04.008 PMID: 24819156
- Feng C.C.; Ye Q.L.; Zhu Y.; Leng R.X.; Chen G.M.; Yang J.; et al. Lack of Association between the Polymorphisms of Hypoxia-Inducible Factor 1A (HIF1A) Gene and SLE Susceptibility in a Chinese Population. *Immunogenetics* 2014, 66, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00251-013-0743-4 PMID: 24232601

- Bahadori B.; Uitz E.; Mayer A.; Harauer J.; Dam K.; Truschnig-Wilders M.; et al. Polymorphisms of the Hypoxia-Inducible Factor 1 Gene and Peripheral Artery Disease. *Vasc. Med.* 2010, 15, https://doi.org/ 10.1177/1358863X10379674 PMID: 20926496
- Torres O.; Palomino-Morales R.; Vazquez-Rodriguez T.R.; Gamallo C.; Morado I.C.; Miranda-Filloy J. A.; et al. Lack of Association between Hypoxia Inducible Factor-1 Alpha Gene Polymorphisms and Biopsy-Proven Giant Cell Arteritis. *Clin. Exp. Rheumatol.* 2010, 28. PMID: 20412701
- Emanuele E.; Bertona M.; Geroldi D. A Multilocus Candidate Approach Identifies ACE and HIF1A as Susceptibility Genes for Cellulite. J. Eur. Acad. Dermatology Venereol. 2010, 24, https://doi.org/10. 1111/j.1468-3083.2009.03556.x PMID: 20059631
- Geza N.; Reka K.N.; Kereszturi E.; Somogyi A.; Szekely A.; Nemeth N.; et al. Association of Hypoxia Inducible Factor-1 Alpha Gene Polymorphism with Both Type 1 and Type 2 Diabetes in a Caucasian (Hungarian) Sample. *BMC Med. Genet.* 2009, 10, https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2350-10-79 PMID: 19691832
- Zheng Z.L.; Hwang Y.H.; Kim S.K.; Kim S.; Son M.J.; Ro H.; et al. Genetic Polymorphisms of Hypoxia-Inducible Factor-1 Alpha and Cardiovascular Disease in Hemodialysis Patients. *Nephron—Clin. Pract.* 2009, 113, https://doi.org/10.1159/000228542 PMID: 19602906
- Wipff J.; Dieude P.; Avouac J.; Tiev K.; Hachulla E.; Granel B.; et al. Association of Hypoxia-Inducible Factor 1A (HIF1A) Gene Polymorphisms with Systemic Sclerosis in a French European Caucasian Population. *Scand. J. Rheumatol.* 2009, 38, https://doi.org/10.1080/03009740802629432 PMID: 19306159
- 32. Chachami G.; Kalousi A.; Papatheodorou L.; Lyberopoulou A.; Nasikas V.; Tanimoto K.; et al. An Association Study between Hypoxia Inducible Factor-1alpha (HIF-1α) Polymorphisms and Osteonecrosis. PLoS One 2013, 8, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0079647 PMID: 24260273
- **33.** Lin W.P.; Wang X.J.; Wang C.R.; Zhang L.Q.; Li N.; Wang F.S.; et al. Polymorphism in the Hypoxia-Inducible Factor 1alpha Gene May Confer Susceptibility to LDD in Chinese Cohort. *PLoS One* 2013, 8, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0073158 PMID: 23991178
- Nava-Salazar S.; Snchez-Rodríguez E.N.; Mendoza-Rodríguez C.A.; Moran C.; Romero-Arauz J.F.; Cerbán M.A. Polymorphisms in the Hypoxia-Inducible Factor 1 Alpha Gene in Mexican Patients with Preeclampsia: A Case-Control Study. *BMC Res. Notes* 2011, 4, https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-0500-4-68 PMID: 21414224
- 35. Yamada N.; Horikawa Y.; Oda N.; Iizuka K.; Shihara N.; Kishi S.; et al. Genetic Variation in the Hypoxia-Inducible Factor-1α Gene Is Associated with Type 2 Diabetes in Japanese. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 2005, 90, https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2005-0991 PMID: 16046581
- Chen Y.; Jiang C.; Luo Y.; Liu F.; Gao Y. Interaction of CARD14, SENP1 and VEGFA Polymorphisms on Susceptibility to High Altitude Polycythemia in the Han Chinese Population at the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau. *Blood Cells, Mol. Dis.* 2016, 57, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcmd.2015.11.005 PMID: 26852650
- Wei W.T.; Li B.; Chen M.; Jia H.R.; Zhang H.X. Associations between HIF-1α Polymorphisms C1772T and G1790A and Susceptibility to Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. *Genet. Mol. Res.* 2015, 14, https://doi.org/10.4238/2015.December.21.2 PMID: 26782374
- de Carvalho Fraga C.A.; Alves L.R.; Marques-Silva L.; de Sousa A.A.; Jorge A.S.B.; de Jesus S.F.; et al. High HIF-1α Expression Genotypes in Oral Lichen Planus. *Clin. Oral Investig.* 2013, 17, https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00784-013-0920-8 PMID: 23334242
- 39. Putra A.C.; Tanimoto K.; Arifin M.; Antariksa B.; Hiyama K. Genetic Variations in Detoxification Enzymes and HIF-1α in Japanese Patients with COPD. *Clin. Respir. J.* 2013, 7, <u>https://doi.org/10. 1111/j.1752-699X.2011.00255.x PMID: 21651746</u>
- Liu Q.; Liang Y.; Zou P.; Ni W.X.; Li Y.G.; Chen S.M. Hypoxia-Inducible Factor-1α Polymorphisms Link to Coronary Artery Collateral Development and Clinical Presentation of Coronary Artery Disease. *Biomed. Pap.* 2013, 157, https://doi.org/10.5507/bp.2013.061 PMID: 24089028
- Senhaji N.; Nadifi S.; Zaid Y.; Serrano A.; Leon Rodriguez D.A.; Serbati N.; et al. Polymorphisms in Oxidative Pathway Related Genes and Susceptibility to Inflammatory Bowel Disease. World J. Gastroenterol. 2017, 23, https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v23.i47.8300 PMID: 29307990
- Zafar U.; Ali Z.; Khaliq S.; Lone K. Association between Hypoxia-Inducible Factor-1 Alpha Rs11549465 (1772 C>T) Polymorphism and Metabolic Syndrome. J. Pak. Med. Assoc. 2021, 71, 1832–1837, https://doi.org/10.47391/JPMA.03-434 PMID: 34410257
- Sheng Z.L.; Ju C.W.; Yan G.L.; Chen Z.P.; Pan X.D.; Lu W.B.; et al. The Relevance of HIF1A Gene Polymorphisms and Primary Hypertensive Left Ventricular Hypertrophy in Chinese Han Population. *Eur. Rev. Med. Pharmacol. Sci.* 2019, 23, 8095–8100, <u>https://doi.org/10.26355/eurrev\_201909\_19027</u> PMID: 31599436
- Urganci B.; Acikbas I.; Rezzan Er F. Investigation of Immunovascular Polymorphisms and Intersections in Psoriasis. Indian J. Dermatol. 2019, 64, https://doi.org/10.4103/ijd.IJD\_422\_18 PMID: 31148856

- 45. Liu Y.-H.; Guo C.; Sun Y.-Q.; Li Q. Polymorphisms in HIF-1a Gene Are Not Associated with Diabetic Retinopathy in China. World J. Diabetes 2021, 12, 1304–1311, https://doi.org/10.4239/wjd.v12.i8.1304 PMID: 34512895
- 46. Takagi K.; Kawamoto M.; Higuchi T.; Tochimoto A.; Harigai M.; Kawaguchi Y. Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms of the HIF1A Gene Are Associated with Susceptibility to Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension in Systemic Sclerosis and Contribute to SSc-PAH Disease Severity. Int. J. Rheum. Dis. 2020, 23, 674–680, https://doi.org/10.1111/1756-185X.13822 PMID: 32144871
- 47. Saravani M.; Rokni M.; Mehrbani M.; Amirkhosravi A.; Faramarz S.; Fatemi I.; et al. The Evaluation of VEGF and HIF-1α Gene Polymorphisms and Multiple Sclerosis Susceptibility. J. Gene Med. 2019, 21, 1–7, https://doi.org/10.1002/jgm.3132 PMID: 31652374
- Qin L.; Shu L.; Zhong J.; Pan H.; Guo J.; Sun Q.; et al. Association of HIF1A and Parkinson's Disease in a Han Chinese Population Demonstrated by Molecular Inversion Probe Analysis. *Neurol. Sci.* 2019, 40, 1927–1931, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10072-019-03905-4 PMID: 31025220
- 49. Tsukatani T.; Minematsu T.; Dai M.; Tamai N.; Nakagami G.; Sugama J.; et al. Polymorphism Analysis of Candidate Risk Genes for Pressure Injuries in Older Japanese Patients: A Cross-Sectional Study at a Long-Term Care Hospital. *Wound Repair Regen*. 2021, 29, 741–751, <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/wrr.12912 PMID: 33819344</u>
- 50. Pichu S.; Sathiyamoorthy J.; Krishnamoorthy E.; Umapathy D.; Viswanathan V. Impact of the Hypoxia Inducible Factor-1α (HIF-1α) Pro582ser Polymorphism and Its Gene Expression on Diabetic Foot Ulcers. *Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract.* 2015, 109, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2015.05.014 PMID: 26113285
- Ekberg N.R.; Eliasson S.; Li Y.W.; Zheng X.; Chatzidionysiou K.; Falhammar H.; et al. Protective Effect of the HIF-1A Pro582Ser Polymorphism on Severe Diabetic Retinopathy. J. Diabetes Res. 2019, 2019, https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/2936962 PMID: 31214621
- 52. Bi Y.X.; Yu L.; Jin G.X. Correlation between Polymorphisms of Hypoxia-Inducible Factor-1α Pro582Ser and Type 2 Diabetic Nephropathy. *Genet. Mol. Res.* 2015, 14, 14503–14509, <u>https://doi.org/10.4238/</u> 2015.November.18.13 PMID: 26600509
- 53. Gu H.F.; Zheng X.; Seman N.A.; Gu T.; Botusan I.R.; Sunkari V.G.; et al. Impact of the Hypoxia-Inducible Factor-1 α (HIF1A) Pro582Ser Polymorphism on Diabetes Nephropathy. *Diabetes Care* 2013, 36, 415–421, https://doi.org/10.2337/dc12-1125 PMID: 22991450
- Pichu S.; Vimalraj S.; Sathiyamoorthy J.; Viswanathan V. Association of Hypoxia Inducible Factor-1 Alpha Exon 12 Mutation in Diabetic Patients with and without Diabetic Foot Ulcer. Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 2018, 119, 833–837, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2018.08.011 PMID: 30086330
- Harun-Or-Roshid M.; Ali M.B.; Jesmin; Mollah M.N.H. Statistical Meta-Analysis to Investigate the Association between the Interleukin-6 (IL-6) Gene Polymorphisms and Cancer Risk. *PLoS One* 2021, 16, 1–26, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0247055 PMID: 33684135
- Xu M.Q.; Ye Z.; Hu F.B.; He L. Quantitative Assessment of the Effect of Angiotensinogen Gene Polymorphisms on the Risk of Coronary Heart Disease. *Circulation* 2007, 116, 1356–1366, <u>https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.107.728857</u> PMID: 17846284
- 57. Xu M.; Sham P.; Ye Z.; Lindpaintner K.; He L. A1166C Genetic Variation of the Angiotensin II Type I Receptor Gene and Susceptibility to Coronary Heart Disease: Collaborative of 53 Studies with 20,435 Cases and 23,674 Controls. *Atherosclerosis* 2010, 213, 191–199, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. atherosclerosis.2010.07.046 PMID: 20732682
- Xu M.; Lin Z. Genetic Influences of Dopamine Transport Gene on Alcohol Dependence: A Pooled Analysis of 13 Studies with 2483 Cases and 1753 Controls. *Prog. Neuro-Psychopharmacology Biol. Psychi*atry 2011, 35, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnpbp.2010.11.001 PMID: 21078357
- 59. Wu Y.; Cao H.; Baranova A.; Huang H.; Li S.; Cai L.; et al. Multi-Trait Analysis for Genome-Wide Association Study of Five Psychiatric Disorders. *Transl. Psychiatry* 2020, 10, <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41398-020-00902-6</u> PMID: 32606422
- Jiang L.; Wang K.; Lo K.; Zhong Y.; Yang A.; Fang X.; et al. Sex-Specific Association of Circulating Ferritin Level and Risk of Type 2 Diabetes: A Dose-Response Meta-Analysis of Prospective Studies. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* 2019, 104, 4539–4551, https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2019-00495 PMID: 31074789
- Liberati A.; Altman D.G.; Tetzlaff J.; Mulrow C.; Gøtzsche P.C.; Ioannidis J.P.A.; et al. The PRISMA Statement for Reporting Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses of Studies That Evaluate Health Care Interventions: Explanation and Elaboration. *Ann. Intern. Med.* 2009, 151.
- Stang A. Critical Evaluation of the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale for the Assessment of the Quality of Nonrandomized Studies in Meta-Analyses. *Eur. J. Epidemiol.* 2010, 25. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10654-010-9491-z PMID: 20652370

- Liu I.-M.; Agresti A. Mantel-Haenszel-Type Inference for Cumulative Odds Ratios with a Stratified Ordinal Response. *Biometrics* 1996, 52, https://doi.org/10.2307/2532838 PMID: 8962452
- Ravi S. Book Review: Methods for Meta-Analysis in Medical Research. Stat. Methods Med. Res. 2005, 14, https://doi.org/10.1191/0962280205sm401xx
- Cochran W.G. The Combination of Estimates from Different Experiments. *Biometrics* 1954, 10, <u>https://</u> doi.org/10.2307/3001666
- Higgins J.P.T.; Thompson S.G. Quantifying Heterogeneity in a Meta-Analysis. *Stat. Med.* 2002, 21, https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.1186 PMID: 12111919
- 67. Valenzuela C. 2 Solutions for Estimating Odds Ratios with Zeros. *Rev. Med. Chil.* 1993, 121. PMID: 8085071
- Egger M.; Smith G.D.; Schneider M.; Minder C. Bias in Meta-Analysis Detected by a Simple, Graphical Test. Br. Med. J. 1997, 315, https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.315.7109.629 PMID: 9310563
- Begg C.B.; Mazumdar M. Operating Characteristics of a Rank Correlation Test for Publication Bias. *Biometrics* 1994, 50, https://doi.org/10.2307/2533446
- 70. Wacholder S.; Chanock S.; Garcia-Closas M.; El Ghormli L.; Rothman N. Assessing the Probability That a Positive Report Is False: An Approach for Molecular Epidemiology Studies. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 2004, 96, 434–442, https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djh075 PMID: 15026468
- Zhou L.; Zheng Y.; Tian T.; Liu K.; Wang M.; Lin S.; et al. Associations of Interleukin-6 Gene Polymorphisms with Cancer Risk: Evidence Based on 49,408 Cancer Cases and 61,790 Controls. *Gene* 2018, 670, 136–147, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2018.05.104 PMID: 29842912
- 72. Marcus P.M.; Vineis P.; Rothman N. NAT2 Slow Acetylation and Bladder Cancer Risk: A Meta-Analysis of 22 Case-Control Studies Conducted in the General Population. *Pharmacogenetics* 2000, 10, <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/00008571-200003000-00003 PMID</u>: 10761999
- Engel L.S.; Taioli E.; Pfeiffer R.; Garcia-Closas M.; Marcus P.M.; Lan Q.; et al. Pooled Analysis and Meta-Analysis of Glutathione S-Transferase M1 and Bladder Cancer: A HuGE Review. Am. J. Epidemiol. 2002, 156. https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwf018 PMID: 12117698
- 74. He J.; Zou Y.; Liu X.; Zhu J.; Zhang J.; Zhang R.; et al. Association of Common Genetic Variants in Pre-MicroRNAs and Neuroblastoma Susceptibility: A Two-Center Study in Chinese Children. *Mol. Ther.*— *Nucleic Acids* 2018, 11, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtn.2018.01.003 PMID: 29858046
- Ren H.; Luo J.Q.; Gao Y.C.; Chen M.Y.; Chen X.P.; Zhou H.H.; et al. Genetic Association of Hypoxia Inducible Factor 1-Alpha (HIF1A) Pro582Ser Polymorphism with Risk of Diabetes and Diabetic Complications. *Aging (Albany. NY)*. 2020, 12, 12783–12798, https://doi.org/10.18632/aging.103213 PMID: 32658866
- 76. Zhang F.; Baranova A.; Zhou C.; Cao H.; Chen J.; Zhang X.; et al. Causal Influences of Neuroticism on Mental Health and Cardiovascular Disease. *Hum. Genet.* 2021, 140, <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00439-021-02288-x</u> PMID: 33973063
- 77. Zhang F.; Rao S.; Cao H.; Zhang X.; Wang Q.; Xu Y.; et al. Genetic Evidence Suggests Posttraumatic Stress Disorder as a Subtype of Major Depressive Disorder. J. Clin. Invest. 2021, https://doi.org/10. 1172/jci145942 PMID: 33905376
- Wang X.; Fang X.; Zheng W.; Zhou J.; Song Z.; Xu M.; et al. Genetic Support of A Causal Relationship Between Iron Status and Type 2 Diabetes: A Mendelian Randomization Study. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 2021, 106, https://doi.org/10.1210/clinem/dgab454 PMID: 34147035
- 79. Hou L.; Xu M.; Yu Y.; Sun X.; Liu X.; Liu L.; et al. Exploring the Causal Pathway from Ischemic Stroke to Atrial Fibrillation: A Network Mendelian Randomization Study. *Mol. Med.* 2020, 26, https://doi.org/10. 1186/s10020-019-0133-y PMID: 31941463
- Zhang F.; Baranova A. Smoking Quantitatively Increases Risk for COVID-19. Eur. Respir. J. 2021, https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.01273-2021 PMID: 34326191
- Hu P.; Jiao R.; Jin L.; Xiong M. Application of Causal Inference to Genomic Analysis: Advances in Methodology. *Front. Genet.* 2018, 9. https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2018.00238 PMID: 30042787
- Kou N.; Zhou W.; He Y.; Ying X.; Chai S.; Fei T.; et al. A Mendelian Randomization Analysis to Expose the Causal Effect of IL-18 on Osteoporosis Based on Genome-Wide Association Study Data. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* 2020, 8, https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2020.00201 PMID: 32266232
- Gray I.C.; Campbell D.A.; Spurr N.K. Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms as Tools in Human Genetics. Hum. Mol. Genet. 2000, 9. https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/9.16.2403 PMID: 11005795
- Zhou X.; Li Q.; Xu J.; Zhang X.; Zhang H.; Xiang Y.; et al. The Aberrantly Expressed MiR-193b-3p Contributes to Preeclampsia through Regulating Transforming Growth Factor-β Signaling. *Sci. Rep.* 2016, 6, https://doi.org/10.1038/srep19910 PMID: 26822621

- Yan X.; Zhao X.; Li J.; He L.; Xu M. Effects of Early-Life Malnutrition on Neurodevelopment and Neuropsychiatric Disorders and the Potential Mechanisms. *Prog. Neuro-Psychopharmacology Biol. Psychiatry* 2018, 83. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnpbp.2017.12.016 PMID: 29287829
- Jin G.; Xu M.; Zou M.; Duan S. The Processing, Gene Regulation, Biological Functions, and Clinical Relevance of N4-Acetylcytidine on RNA: A Systematic Review. *Mol. Ther.—Nucleic Acids* 2020, 20.
- Zheng S.; Zhao T.; Yuan S.; Yang L.; Ding J.; Cui L.; et al. Immunodeficiency Promotes Adaptive Alterations of Host Gut Microbiome: An Observational Metagenomic Study in Mice. *Front. Microbiol.* 2019, 10, https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.02415 PMID: 31781050
- Liu M.; Li F.; Yan H.; Wang K.; Ma Y.; Shen L.; et al. A Multi-Model Deep Convolutional Neural Network for Automatic Hippocampus Segmentation and Classification in Alzheimer's Disease. *Neuroimage* 2020, 208, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.116459 PMID: 31837471
- Yu H.; Pan R.; Qi Y.; Zheng Z.; Li J.; Li H.; et al. LEPR Hypomethylation Was Significantly Associated with Gastric Cancer in Males. *Exp. Mol. Pathol.* 2020, 116, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yexmp.2020.</u> 104493 PMID: 32659237