

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Indian Pacing and Electrophysiology Journal

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/IPEJ



P-wave dispersion measurement: Methodological considerations



Keywords: P-wave dispersion Atrial fibrillation Measurement

Methodology

ABSTRACT

Well-known difficulties in defining P-wave onset and offset may restrict the accuracy and reproducibility of P-wave dispersion measurements. To achieve greater precision in measuring P-wave dispersion, simultaneous digital recording of all 12 ECG leads and onscreen measurement of P wave characteristics is mandatory to examine atrial fibrillation risk.

Copyright © 2017, Indian Heart Rhythm Society. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

To the Editor:

With great interest, we have read the important review of Pérez-Riera et al. [1] on P-wave dispersion and its various clinical applications. Although widespread use of this electrocardiographic (ECG) index is reported, the methodology for its measurement is not standardized. The first attempt to calculate a "P-wave dispersion index" was reported by Buxton and Josephson [2]. They introduced the isoelectric interval, which was derived by subtracting the longest Pwave duration in the standard limb lead from the total P-wave duration, measured from the earliest onset to the latest end of the P wave in any of the simultaneously recorded leads I, II, and III [2]. Our research group has introduced P-wave dispersion as a simple ECG predictor of paroxysmal lone atrial fibrillation (AF) [3]. Although acceptable intraobserver and interobserver error in the measurement of P-wave duration on 12-lead electrocardiography have been reported [3], well-known difficulties in defining P-wave onset and offset may restrict the accuracy and reproducibility of the measurements. To overcome some of these restrictions, averaging techniques used in advanced recording devices and magnified graticules on standard computer screens have proved useful in the accurate evaluation of P-wave dispersion [4]. In any case, simultaneous recording of all 12 ECG leads is mandatory to reduce the time-related well-known lability of P-wave features. The lability of P-wave characteristics as well as their circadian behavior may also induce possible imprecision in measurements [5]. To achieve greater precision in measuring P-wave dispersion, we believe that simultaneous digital recording of all 12 ECG leads and onscreen measurement of P wave characteristics is mandatory to examine AF risk.

Conflict of interest

The authors have no potential conflict of interest to declare.

Funding

None.

References

- [1] Pérez-Riera AR, de Abreu LC, Barbosa-Barros R, Grindler J, Fernandes-Cardoso A, Baranchuk A. P-wave dispersion: an update. Indian Pacing Electrophysiol J 2016 Jul- Aug;16(4):126–33.
- [2] Buxton AE, Josephson ME. The role of P wave duration as a predictor of postoperative atrial arrhythmias. Chest 1981;80:68-73.
- [3] Dilaveris PE, Gialafos EJ, Sideris SK, et al. Simple electrocardiographic markers for the prediction of paroxysmal idiopathic atrial fibrillation. Am Heart J 1998;135:733–8.
- [4] Dilaveris P, Batchvarov V, Gialafos J, Malik M. Comparison of different methods for manual P wave duration measurement in 12-lead electrocardiograms. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol 1999;22:1532–8.
- [5] Dilaveris PE, Färbom P, Batchvarov V, Ghuran A, Malik M. Circadian behavior of P-wave duration, P-wave area, and PR interval in healthy subjects. Ann Noninvas Electrocardiol 2001;6:92—7.

Polychronis Dilaveris*, Dimitris Tousoulis From the 1st Department of Cardiology, Medical School, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Hippokration Hospital, Athens, Greece

* Corresponding author. 22 Miltiadou Street, 155 61 Holargos, Athens, Greece.

E-mail address: hrodil1@yahoo.com (P. Dilaveris).

11 December 2016 Available online 18 March 2017

Peer review under responsibility of Indian Heart Rhythm Society.