

# Etiology and Anti-microbial Sensitivity of Organisms Causing Community Acquired Pneumonia: A Single Hospital Study

# Resmi U. Menon, Abraham P. George<sup>1</sup>, Unnikrishnan K. Menon<sup>2</sup>

Departments of Family Medicine, and <sup>1</sup>Internal Medicine, Lourdes Hospital, <sup>2</sup>Department of ENT, Amrita Institute of Medical Sciences, Kochi, Kerala, India

#### ABSTRACT

**Objective:** The objective of this study was to identify the common etiological pathogens causing community acquired pneumonia (CAP) in our hospital and sensitivity patterns to the common antibiotics used. **Materials and Methods:** This study was undertaken in a 750 bedded multi-specialty referral hospital in Kerala catering to both urban and semi-urban populations. It is a prospective study of patients who attended the medical out-patient department and those admitted with a clinical diagnosis of CAP, during the year 2009. Data were collected based on detailed patient interview, clinical examination and laboratory investigations. The latter included sputum culture and sensitivity pattern. These were tabulated and percentage incidence of etiological pathogens calculated. The antimicrobial sensitivity pattern was also classified by percentage and expressed as bar diagram. **Results:** The study showed *Streptococcus pneumoniae* to be the most common etiological agent for CAP, in our hospital setting. The other organisms isolated in order of frequency were *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, Alpha hemolytic *streptococci*, *Escherichia coli*, Beta hemolytic *streptococci* and atypical *coli*. *S. pneumoniae* was most sensitive to linezolid, followed by amoxicillin-clavulanate (augmentin), cloxacillin and ceftriaxone. Overall, the common pathogens causing CAP showed highest sensitivity rates were shown to amoxicillin and cefoperazone. **Conclusion:** In a hospital setting, empirical management for cases of CAP is not advisable. The present study has shown *S. pneumoniae* as the most likely pathogen and either linezolid or amikacin as the most likely effective antimicrobial in cases of CAP, in our setting.

Keywords: Community acquired, microbial sensitivity, pathogens, pneumonia

### Introduction

Community acquired pneumonia (CAP) is defined as an acute infection of the pulmonary parenchyma that is associated with at least some symptoms of acute infection, accompanied by the presence of an acute infiltrate on a chest radiograph or auscultatory findings consistent with pneumonia, in a patient not hospitalized or residing in a long-term care facility for 14 days before the onset of symptoms.<sup>[11]</sup> In the absence of a chest X-ray, the British Thoracic Society defines pneumonia as symptoms of an acute lower respiratory tract infection, including a cough and at least one other lower respiratory tract symptom, together with at least one systemic symptom and new focal signs on chest examination.<sup>[2]</sup>

| Access this article online |                                  |  |  |  |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Quick Response Code:       | Website:<br>www.jfmpc.com        |  |  |  |
|                            | DOI:<br>10.4103/2249-4863.120728 |  |  |  |

The incidence of CAP is lowest in the age group of 18-24 years and highest in the under-5 and over-65 groups. The mortality rates are disproportionately high in the those aged more than 65 years.<sup>[3]</sup> The average mortality for hospitalized patients with CAP is 14%.<sup>[4]</sup>

Given the emergence of antibiotic resistance and the potential hazards of antibiotic treatment failures, a definitive microbiological diagnosis is desirable. The common etiological agents causing CAP include *Streptococcus pneumoniae* (20-60%), *Hemophilus influenza* (3-10%), *Chlamydia pneumoniae* (4-6%), *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* (1-6%), *Legionella* (2-8%), *Staphylococcus aureus* (3-5%), Gram-negative bacilli (3-5%), viruses (2-13%). In 40-60% cases, no cause is identified and in 2-5% cases, two or more pathogens are identified.<sup>[5]</sup>

The main objectives of investigating patients with a clinical diagnosis of pneumonia are to obtain radiological confirmation of the

Address for correspondence: Dr. Resmi U. Menon, Department of Family Medicine, Lourdes Hospital, Kochi - 682 012, Kerala, India E-mail: drresmi@rediffmail.com diagnosis, to exclude other conditions that may mimic pneumonia, to obtain a microbiological diagnosis, to assess the severity of pneumonia and to identify the development of complications.

Treatment is often empirical and based on the clinical and radiological diagnosis directed at the most common organisms. CAP remains an important public health problem. Hence, its antimicrobial treatment should be based on the distribution of etiological pathogens and their incidence in the community. Local and national resistance patterns and prior exposure should be taken into consideration. The decision to hospitalize is based on the prognostic criteria.

The present study is an attempt to identify and delineate the microbiological and antimicrobial sensitivity characteristics of CAP in a given community and hospital setting.

## **Materials and Methods**

The study was conducted for dissertation purpose at Lourdes Hospital, Ernakulam which is a 750 bedded multispecialty referral hospital catering to both urban and semi-urban population.

It was a prospective study of all patients who attended the medical out-patient department and were diagnosed with CAP. Furthermore, those patients admitted with a clinical diagnosis of CAP were included. There was no control group involved in this study.

The duration of the study was from January 2009 to December 2009.

Data collection was by detailed history, clinical examination and sputum culture and sensitivity pattern. For the latter, the zone of inhibition was calculated by the diameter in mm. Data analysis was by representation as tabulation and bar diagrams and calculation, in percentages, of etiological pathogens and sensitivity patterns.

The exclusion criteria were patients below 16 years of age, immunocompromised patients, hospital acquired pneumonia (onset after 4 days of hospitalization), aspiration pneumonia, patients with cystic fibrosis or tuberculosis and pregnant women.

Statistical analysis was out of the scope of this particular study.

#### Results

A total of 145 patients were included in the study. There were 90 males (62.07%) and 55 females (37.93%). Ages ranged from 18 to 90 years [Table 1]. The highest incidence was in the 51-60 years group.

Seven pathogens were isolated by sputum culture [detailed in Table 2 and Figure 1]. The rate of incidence of the common pathogens based on the distribution in different age groups varied as shown in Table 3. No pathogen was identified in 35 patients (24.14%).

The different antimicrobial agents included in this study are mentioned in Annexure 1.

| Table 1: Age and gender distribution of CAP, in the studied population |           |          |            |  |  |
|--|-----------|----------|------------|--|--|
| Age group  | Total (%) | Male (%) | Female (%) |  |  |
| 18-20  | 2.76      | 2.22     | 3.64       |  |  |
| 21-30  | 8.97      | 11.11    | 5.45       |  |  |
| 31-40  | 8.28      | 8.89     | 7.27       |  |  |
| 41-50  | 13.10     | 10       | 18.18      |  |  |
| 51-60  | 25.52     | 27.78    | 21.82      |  |  |
| 61-70  | 22.76     | 20       | 27.27      |  |  |
| 71-80  | 16.55     | 18.89    | 12.73      |  |  |
| 81-90  | 2.07      | 1.11     | 3.64       |  |  |
| CAP: Community acquired pneumonia                                      |           |          |            |  |  |

| Table 2: Incidence of etiological organisms of CAP, with   gender distribution |         |        |          |  |  |  |
|--|---------|--------|----------|--|--|--|
| Organism isolated  | Total % | Male % | Female % |  |  |  |
| Streptococcus pneumoniae   | 32.41   | 28.89  | 38.18    |  |  |  |
| Alpha hemolytic streptococci   | 6.21    | 5.56   | 7.27     |  |  |  |
| Pseudomonas aeruginosa   | 8.97    | 7.78   | 10.91    |  |  |  |
| Klebsiella pneumoniae  | 20      | 21.11  | 18.18    |  |  |  |
| Escherichia coli   | 6.21    | 5.56   | 7.27     |  |  |  |
| Beta hemolytic streptococci  | 1.38    | 0      | 3.64     |  |  |  |
| Atypical coli  | 0.69    | 1.11   | 0        |  |  |  |
| Normal flora   | 24.14   | 30     | 14.55    |  |  |  |

CAP: Community acquired pneumonia



Figure 1: Pie Diagram showing the aetiological pathogens isolated from the sputum of CAP patients in this study

The observed antimicrobial sensitivity patterns of individual pathogens are shown in Table 4.

Figure 2 depcits the the antimicrobial sensitivity of the most common pathogen in this study (Streptococcus pneuominae).

The rates of sensitivity to these antimicrobial agents shown by all the pathogens taken together are represented in terms of percentage [Figure 3]. Here, moderate sensitivity is not taken into consideration.

Overall, the common pathogens causing CAP showed highest sensitivity to amikacin (44.84%), followed by ofloxacin (43.45%), gentamicin (38.62%), gatifloxacin (37.93%), augmentin and

| Menon. | et al .: | Study | of ca | usative | organisms  | of         | community | v-acc | uired | pneumon   | ia |
|--------|----------|-------|-------|---------|------------|------------|-----------|-------|-------|-----------|----|
|        | o. u     | oraay | 0.00  | aoanvo  | organionio | <b>U</b> . | oonnanna  | , acc | anoa  | prioanion | 10 |

| Table 3: Incidence of etiological organisms of CAP, with age distribution |                    |                    |                    |                    |                    |                    |                    |                    |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Organism isolated   | 18-20 years<br>(%) | 21-30 years<br>(%) | 31-40 years<br>(%) | 41-50 years<br>(%) | 51-60 years<br>(%) | 61-70 years<br>(%) | 71-80 years<br>(%) | 81-90 years<br>(%) |
| Streptococcus pneumoniae  | 2.13               | 19.15              | 12.77              | 14.89              | 23.40              | 17.02              | 10.63              | 0                  |
| Alpha hemolytic <i>streptococci</i>                                       | 11.11              | 0                  | 0                  | 0                  | 44.44              | 22.22              | 22.22              | 0                  |
| Pseudomonas aeruginosa  | 0                  | 0                  | 7.69               | 15.38              | 38.46              | 38.46              | 0                  | 0                  |
| Klebsiella pneumoniae   | 6.90               | 3.45               | 6.90               | 10.34              | 17.24              | 17.24              | 31.03              | 6.90               |
| Escherichia coli  | 0                  | 0                  | 11.11              | 11.11              | 33.33              | 33.33              | 11.11              | 0                  |
| Beta hemolytic <i>streptococci</i>  | 0                  | 0                  | 0                  | 0                  | 50                 | 50                 | 0                  | 0                  |
| Atypical coli   | 0                  | 0                  | 0                  | 0                  | 100                | 0                  | 0                  | 0                  |
| Normal flora  | 0                  | 8.57               | 5.71               | 17.14              | 20.00              | 25.71              | 20.00              | 2.86               |

Table 4: Observed incidence and antimicrobial sensitivity patterns of individual pathogens in the studied population

| Organisms                    | Incidence | Most sensitive          |
|------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------|
|                              | (%)       | antimicrobials          |
| Atypical coli                | 0.7       | Amikacin, azithromycin, |
|                              |           | netilmycin              |
| Beat hemolytic streptococci  | 1.38      | Amikacin, gentamicin,   |
|                              |           | ofloxacin, linezolid    |
| Escherichia coli             | 6.2       | Amikacin                |
| Alpha hemolytic streptococci | 6.2       | Linezolid               |
| Pseudomonas aeruginosa       | 9         | Amikacin                |
| Klebsiella pneumoniae        | 20        | Amikacin                |
| Streptococcus pneumoniae     | 32.4      | Linezolid               |





ciprofloxacin (34.48%), ceftriaxone (33.79%) and linezolid (32.41%). The least sensitivity rates are shown to levofloxacin and penicillin G (0.69%), ampicillin and piperacillin-tazobactam (1.38%) and clarithromycin, amoxicillin and cefoperazone (2.07%).





### Discussion

A wide array of organisms can cause acute pneumonia and published reports vary in the organisms isolated due to differences in patient groups, presence of epidemic organisms and diligence of the investigation. If sputum is available and the patient has not had prior antibiotic treatment, then a gram stain is sufficient to identify the causative organism. Overnight culture will provide confirmation and the chance to perform susceptibility studies, allowing modification of empirical therapy. Culture is also helpful in establishing the pathogenicity of any isolates.<sup>[6,7]</sup> The role of sputum as a tool in the diagnostic work-up of patients with CAP remains controversial.

#### **Key findings**

*S. pneumoniae* was the most common etiological pathogen isolated in the present study. On the other hand, no pathogen was identified in almost 25% cases. Both these findings are in agreement with Indian and Western reports in the literature.<sup>[5,8]</sup> Almost as high as 50% normal flora has been reported in CAP. One study from Sher-i-Kashmir Institute of Medical Sciences,

Srinagar has emphasized the need for further studies including the serological tests for *Legionella*, *mycoplasma* and viruses to identify the microbial etiology of CAP.<sup>[9]</sup>

For low-risk patients who may be safely treated in an ambulatory setting, the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA)updated guidelines recommend doxycycline, a macrolide or an antipneumococcal fluoroquinolone as preferred agents because these agents have activity against the most likely pathogens in this setting (S. pneumoniae, M. pneumoniae and Chlamydophila pneumoniae).<sup>[9]</sup> The IDSA has adopted the new antipneumococcal fluoroquinolones, namely levofloxacin, sparfloxacin, moxifloxacin and gatifloxacin, as preferred agents for the treatment of both ambulatory and hospitalized patients with CAP and for penicillinresistant pneumococcal pneumonia.<sup>[10]</sup> For empiric treatment of the moderately ill-hospitalized patient, the IDSA recommends an extended-spectrum cephalosporin (cefotaxime, ceftriaxone) plus a macrolide or monotherapy with a fluoroquinolone.<sup>[11]</sup> For hospitalized patients admitted to the intensive care unit, the IDSA prefers agents that include combination therapy with an extendedspectrum cephalosporin plus a macrolide or a fluoroquinolone. Monotherapy with a fluoroquinolone is not recommended because data with seriously ill CAP patients are limited.

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) working group suggests that penicillin-resistant S. pneumoniae isolates are uncommon and activity against such organisms is unnecessary for empiric treatment.<sup>[12]</sup> Their recommendations for first-line therapy of CAP include a macrolide, doxycycline or an oral betalactam such as cefuroxime, amoxicillin or amoxicillin/clavulanate. The CDC recommends reserving the use of fluoroquinolones for treatment of Gram-negative pathogens, patients with betalactam allergy or treatment of penicillin-resistant pneumococcal pneumonia.<sup>[13,14]</sup> Differences exist among groups about the role of the fluoroquinolones. The major concern is the potential that extensive use may result in increased resistance. Although this argument may be raised regarding any antibiotic, the concern with the fluoroquinolones is that resistance to one agent affects all agents to some degree. Currently, pneumococcal resistance to the fluoroquinolones is low, but a report from Canada has demonstrated an association between increased fluoroquinolone use and resistance in S. pneumoniae.<sup>[15]</sup> In addition, the potential for development of resistance in other organisms (especially Pseudomonas aeruginosa) as a result of the indiscriminate use of fluoroquinolones poses a more ominous threat to this drug class.<sup>[16]</sup> Here, the common pathogens show an overall sensitivity of 43.45% to ofloxacin, 37.93% to gatifloxacin and 34.48% to ciprofloxacin.

The CDC working group recommends amoxicillin-clavulanate (augmentin) as one of the first-line antimicrobials. In our study, *S. pneumoniae*, which is the commonest etiological agent, showed nearly, 80% sensitivity to amoxicillin-clavulanate. Overall, the common pathogens showed 34.48% sensitivity to this drug. Pneumococcal susceptibility has changed significantly over the past decade. Despite four decades of using penicillin, only modest rates of reduced susceptibility to penicillin

were reported in the 1980s. Strains with minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) >0.1 mg/mL accounted for 3.8% of isolates in the 1980's; by 1994-1995, the rate was 24% and by 1997 it was 43.8%. Penicillin resistance is also associated with resistance to other antimicrobial classes, including cephalosporins, macrolides, tetracyclines and trimethoprim/ sulfamethoxazole. Antibiotics less affected by this broad-spectrum resistance include vancomycin, the fluoroquinolones, clindamycin, chloramphenicol and rifampin.<sup>[17-19]</sup> Penicillin susceptibility should be tested in all significant pneumococcal isolates. Strains are considered as sensitive if the MIC is <0.1 mg/mL.

It is observed from various studies that most penicillin resistance is "relative resistance" and is readily treatable with penicillin and/or beta-lactams. Most of the highly penicillin-resistant *S. pneumoniae* infections may also be treated with beta-lactams. Alternately, doxycycline or respiratory quinolones may be used. Vancomycin is rarely, if ever, needed. Very highly penicillinresistant *S. pneumoniae* (MIC 6  $\mu$ g/mL) strains are a rare cause of CAP, but remain susceptible to ceftriaxone. Studies suggest that empiric macrolide monotherapy should be avoided because approximately 25% of S. *pneumoniae* strains are naturally resistant to all macrolides.<sup>[20]</sup> In our study, the common pathogens showed low overall sensitivity rates to macrolides. The rates of sensitivity were 11.72% to erythromycin, 10.34% to azithromycin and 2.07% to clarithromycin.

Preferred monotherapy for CAP includes doxycycline or a respiratory quinolone. This is the most cost-effective way to optimally treat CAP. No increased resistance is noted with extensive use. It is well-tolerated in both oral and intravenous (IV) forms. It is ideal for IV-to-oral switch monotherapy in terms of patient compliance, safety and cost.<sup>[21,22]</sup>

The timing of initial antimicrobial administration may be an important predictor of outcome. Mortality gradually increased with progressive delays between the time a patient presented and the time the initial dose of an antibiotic was administered. This difference reached statistical significance when the delay exceeded 8 h. Many studies conclude that antibiotics should be initiated within 4 h after patient presentation.<sup>[23]</sup>

# Interpretation and implications in the context of the totality of evidence

A final conclusion about the superiority of one antibacterial regimen over another in hospitalized patients with CAP cannot be drawn on the basis of the limited data available. Monotherapy coverage of both typical and atypical pathogens in CAP is preferred over double-drug therapy. It is less expensive and as effective as double-drug regimens.

#### Strengths

Comprehensive inclusion of the entire possible patient group, in the given community setting.

#### Limitations

Serological tests to identify some rare organisms were not carried out in this study. Some of these may have been reported as normal flora.

Antimicrobials tested for sensitivity in this study have not included the newer, costlier drugs.

#### Conclusions

CAP remains an important public health problem. Hence, its appropriate antimicrobial treatment is a relevant issue. Ideally, this has to be culture and sensitivity based.

We have attempted to identify these in our community and study the pathogens and their sensitivity. The present study has shown *S. pneumoniae* as the most likely pathogen and either linezolid or amikacin as the most likely effective antimicrobial in cases of CAP, in our setting. Keeping the IDSA and CDC recommendations in mind, we suggest that the inferences from our study should be considered when initiating antimicrobial therapy in our local setting.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank our Microbiologist, Mr. Toby Antony, Medical Supdt., Lourdes Hospital, Dr. Paul Puthuran, and Biostatistician, Ms. Yamuna S.

|     | Annexure 1     |     |                         |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----|----------------|-----|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1.  | Augmentin      | 17. | Cefotaxime              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.  | Amikacin       | 18. | Cephalexin              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.  | Ampicillin     | 19. | Cefixime                |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.  | Amoxycillin    | 20. | Ceftazidime             |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.  | Cloxacillin    | 21. | Cefuroxime              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6.  | Penicillin G   | 22. | Cefoperazone            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7.  | Gentamycin     | 23. | Cotrimoxazole           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8.  | Ciprofloxacin  | 24. | Netilmycin              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9.  | Ofloxacin      | 25. | Colistin                |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. | Levofloxacin   | 26. | Polymyxin B             |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. | Gatifloxacin   | 27. | Linezolid               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12. | Clarithromycin | 28. | Imipenem                |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13. | Azithromycin   | 29. | Ampicillin-Sulbactam    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14. | Erythromycin   | 30. | Cefoperazone-Sulbactam  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15. | Tetracycline   | 31. | Piperacillin-Tazobactam |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16. | Ceftriaxone    |     |                         |  |  |  |  |  |

#### References

- 1. Mandell LA, Bartlett JG, Dowell SF, File TM Jr, Musher DM, Whitney C, *et al.* Update of practice guidelines for the management of community-acquired pneumonia in immunocompetent adults. Clin Infect Dis 2003;37:1405-33.
- Macfarlane J. British thoracic society standards of care committee. BTS guidelines for the management of community acquired pneumonia in adults. Thorax 2001;56 Suppl 4:IV1-64.
- 3. Bartlett JG, Breiman RF, Mandell LA, File TM Jr. Communityacquired pneumonia in adults: Guidelines for management. The infectious diseases society of America. Clin Infect Dis 1998;26:811-38.

- 4. Fine MJ, Smith MA, Carson CA, Mutha SS, Sankey SS, Weissfeld LA, *et al.* Prognosis and outcomes of patients with community-acquired pneumonia. A meta-analysis. JAMA 1996;275:134-41.
- 5. Bartlett JG, Mundy LM. Community-acquired pneumonia. N Engl J Med 1995;333:1618-24.
- 6. Wilson MJ, Martin DE. Quantitative sputum culture as a means of excluding false positive reports in the routine microbiology laboratory. J Clin Pathol 1972;25:697-700.
- 7. Guckian JC, Christensen WD. Quantitative culture and gram stain of sputum in pneumonia. Am Rev Respir Dis 1978;118:997-1005.
- 8. Bansal S, Kashyap S, Pal LS, Goel A. Clinical and bacteriological profile of community acquired pneumonia in Shimla, Himachal Pradesh. Indian J Chest Dis Allied Sci 2004;46:17-22.
- 9. Shah BA, Singh G, Naik MA, Dhobi GN. Bacteriological and clinical profile of community acquired pneumonia in hospitalized patients. Lung India 2010;27:54-7.
- 10. Bartlett JG, Dowell SF, Mandell LA, File TM Jr, Musher DM, Fine MJ. Practice guidelines for the management of community-acquired pneumonia in adults. Infectious diseases society of America. Clin Infect Dis 2000;31:347-82.
- 11. Heffelfinger JD, Dowell SF, Jorgensen JH, Klugman KP, Mabry LR, Musher DM, *et al.* Management of communityacquired pneumonia in the era of pneumococcal resistance: A report from the drug-resistant *Streptococcus pneumoniae* Therapeutic working group. Arch Intern Med 2000;160:1399-408.
- 12. Stahl JE, Barza M, DesJardin J, Martin R, Eckman MH. Effect of macrolides as part of initial empiric therapy on length of stay in patients hospitalized with community-acquired pneumonia. Arch Intern Med 1999;159:2576-80.
- 13. Houck PM, Bratzler DW, Nsa W, Ma A, Bartlett JG. Timing of antibiotic administration and outcomes for medicare patients hospitalized with community-acquired pneumonia. Arch Intern Med 2004;164:637-44.
- 14. Westley BP, Chan PA. Questions remain regarding mandatory use of macrolides in community-acquired pneumonia. Intensive Care Med 2010;36:1787.
- 15. Restrepo MI, Frei CR. Health economics of use fluoroquinolones to treat patients with community-acquired pneumonia. Am J Med 2010;123:S39-46.
- 16. Ailani RK, Agastya G, Ailani RK, Mukunda BN, Shekar R. Doxycycline is a cost-effective therapy for hospitalized patients with community-acquired pneumonia. Arch Intern Med 1999;159:266-70.
- 17. Hooper DC. Expanding uses of fluoroquinolones: Opportunities and challenges. Ann Intern Med 1998;129:908-10.
- 18. Appelbaum PC, Klepser ME. Role of the newer fluoroquinolones against penicillin-resistant *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. Infect Dis Clin Pract 1999;8:374-82.
- 19. Chen DK, McGeer A, de Azavedo JC, Low DE. Decreased susceptibility of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* to fluoroquinolones in Canada. Canadian bacterial surveillance network. N Engl J Med 1999;341:233-9.
- 20. Low DE, Scheld WM. Strategies for stemming the tide of antimicrobial resistance. JAMA 1998;279:394-5.
- 21. Thornsberry C, Ogilvie PT, Holley HP, Sahm DF. Survey of susceptibilities of Streptococcus pneumoniae, Haemophilus influenzae, and Moraxella catarrhalis isolates to 26 antimicrobial agents: A prospective US study. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy. 1999;43:2612-23.

- 22. Doern GV, Pfaller MA, Kugler K, Freeman J, Jones RN. Prevalence of antimicrobial resistance among respiratory tract isolates of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* in North America: 1997 results from the SENTRY antimicrobial surveillance program. Clin Infect Dis 1998;27:764-70.
- 23. Mufson MA. Penicillin-resistant *Streptococcus pneumoniae* increasingly threatens the patient and challenges the

physician. Clin Infect Dis 1998;27:771-3.

How to cite this article: Menon RU, George AP, Menon UK. Etiology and anti-microbial sensitivity of organisms causing community acquired pneumonia: A single hospital study. J Fam Med Primary Care 2013;2:244-9.

Source of Support: Nil. Conflict of Interest: None declared.