openheart Clinical profile and prognostic factors of alcoholic cardiomyopathy in tribal and non-tribal population

Ajit Dungdung ^(b), Abhinav Kumar ^(b), Rishi Tuhin Guria, Mukul Preetam, Ruth K Tara, Bindey Kumar, Mohammad Kamal Saba

ABSTRACT

risk factors.

Objective Alcoholic cardiomyopathy (ACM) is a leading

cause of non-ischaemic dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM)

has been done depicting the correlation between clinical

population. This study also defines the long-term outcome

Methods We studied 290 patients with ACM who were

December 2016. The primary endpoint of the study was

Kaplan-Meier survival curves for the assessment of all-

Results After a median follow-up period of 3.75 years

among non-tribal population. Independent predictors of

all-cause mortality in ACM identified by Cox regression

were left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) (HR: 0.883;

Turcotte-Pugh (CTP) Scoring (HR: 12.332; 95% CI 6.999 to

21.728; p<0.001) at admission. The Kaplan-Meier survival

mortality was found to be higher in patients with QRS>120

QRS≤120 ms, LVEF >35% and CTP Score A, respectively

p<0.001; log-rank χ²=139.764, p<0.001, respectively).

Conclusion Our study indicated increased morbidity and

mortality in tribal population. LVEF, QRS duration and CTP

Scoring at the time of presentation were found to be the

independent prognostic markers of patients with ACM.

(log-rank χ^2 =55.088, p<0.001; log-rank χ^2 =32.953,

probability estimate was 95.1% at 1 year and all-cause

ms, LVEF ≤35%, CTP Grade B/C than patients with

95% CI 0.783 to 0.996; p=0.043), QRS duration (HR:

1.010: 95% CI 1.007 to 1.017: p=0.005) and Child-

(IQR: 3-4 years), 50 patients with ACM (37.3%) died

among tribal population while 14 patients (9%) died

all-cause mortality. Statistical analysis was done by using

cause mortality and Cox regression for the assessment of

evaluated in our institute between January 2013 and

in tribal and non-tribal population. However, no study

profile and prognosis of ACM in tribal and non-tribal

and prognostic markers of ACM.

To cite: Dungdung A, Kumar A, Guria RT, *et al.* Clinical profile and prognostic factors of alcoholic cardiomyopathy in tribal and non-tribal population. *Open Heart* 2020;**7**:e001335. doi:10.1136/ openhrt-2020-001335

Received 6 May 2020 Revised 25 September 2020 Accepted 23 October 2020



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2020. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

Medicine, Rajendra Institute of Medical Sciences, Ranchi, Jharkhand, India

Correspondence to

Dr Abhinav Kumar; drshanki. avi@gmail.com

INTRODUCTION

Alcoholic cardiomyopathy (ACM) is a condition of toxic origin that causes gradual changes in the structure and function of the heart, resembling those seen in idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM).¹ Individuals with alcohol consumption of more than 80 g per day over a period of at least 5 years are at risk of developing ACM and heart failure (HF).²⁻⁴ Developing countries like India

Key questions

What is already known about this subject?

- The literature suggests alcoholic cardiomyopathy as a leading cause of non-ischaemic dilated cardiomyopathy. However, there is a major paucity of data comparing clinical profile of alcoholic cardiomyopathy in tribal and non-tribal population.
- Many studies have discussed various prognostic markers of alcoholic cardiomyopathy, Child-Turcotte-Pugh (CTP) Scoring has been used as a prognostic marker in cirrhotic cardiomyopathy. However, none of the study has used CTP Scoring in alcoholic cardiomyopathy.

What does this study add?

- This study provides a deep insight of clinical profile of alcoholic cardiomyopathy with a special consideration of tribal population.
- This study deals with a detailed assessment of various prognostic markers of alcoholic cardiomyopathy. We have introduced CTP Score as a prognostic marker which has never been used before in any study.

How might this impact on clinical practice?

- This study provides a detailed clinical picture of alcoholic cardiomyopathy and by using basic tools like CTP Score, clinicians can make a rapid assessment of patients.
- This study will create an insight among primary caregivers, internists, physicians and cardiologists, helping them to make better decisions and thus leading to decreased morbidity and mortality of patients.

experience more problems with alcohol abuse than developed countries, despite equal amounts of drinking.⁵

A disproportionate level of alcohol misuse has been reported among indigenous people all over the world,⁶⁻⁸ and the burden of diseases associated with alcohol misuse is almost double among them.⁹ In India, indigenous people are known as scheduled tribes, or Adivasis, and live as a group with distinctive social, cultural, historical and geographical





circumstances. Evidence from India also supports a high prevalence of alcohol consumption among indigenous tribes, which is associated with a wide range of health problems, high morbidity and early mortality.^{9–11} The data derived from National Family Health Survey suggest alcohol use among 26% of the indigenous population, while the rate was just 9% among the non-indigenous population.¹⁰

Excess alcohol consumption has been implicated in up to 40% of cases of DCM.^{3 12–14} ACM just like other causes of DCM is characterised by a reduced left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) and an increased left ventricular end diastolic diameter (LVEDD);¹⁵ however, no specific clinical or histological features have been defined for ACM and the diagnosis is usually made by exclusion in a patient with a long history of heavy alcohol abuse.^{3 12 13 15}

In spite of the fact that alcohol plays a major role in the causation of DCM, very few studies have been published on the long-term outcome of patients with ACM in India and there is a major paucity of data comparing ACM in tribal and non-tribal population. This study was undertaken to find out the long-term outcome and prognostic markers of ACM and to compare the different characteristics of the patients between the death and survival groups in tribal and non-tribal population, simultaneously.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design and population

From January 2013 to December 2016, we collected data of 290 patients with ACM referred for evaluation to the Department of Internal Medicine and Department of Cardiology in our institute RIMS, Ranchi. This study was a retrospective, observational study and a diagnosis of ACM was made in accordance to the definition provided in the European Society of Cardiology consensus document on the classification of cardiomyopathies.

A diagnosis of ACM was made if the consumption of alcohol was more than 80 g per day over a duration of at least 5 years, and this alcohol abuse must have been maintained until <3 months before establishing the diagnosis of DCM.^{3 16–18}

Patients having coronary heart disease, rheumatic heart disease, diabetes, hypertension, thyrotoxic heart disease, left ventricle non-compaction, moderate to severe chronic anaemia (haematoglobin <60 g/L), peripartum cardiomyopathy, systemic immune disease and congenital heart disease were excluded from this study.

Initial assessment of all patients included physical examination, blood tests, 12-lead electrocardiography (ECG) and 2D echocardiography. Additional studies including 24 hours ECG monitoring, coronary angiography and coronary artery CT were performed to rule out coronary heart disease.

Child-Turcotte-Pugh (CTP) scores were calculated on the basis of laboratory values obtained within 24 hours of admission. The CTP Score included two continuous variables (bilirubin and albumin) and three discrete variables (ascites, encephalopathy and international normalised ratio). This score was divided into three classes: class A (5–6), class B (7–9) and class C (10–15).

Indirect patient and public involvement

We did not directly include patient and public involvement (PPI) in this study, but the database used in the study was developed with PPI and is updated by a committee that includes patient representatives.

Follow-up

We did the follow-up until December 2019. Follow-up was done by outpatient department visit, telephonic conversation or hospital admission. All-cause mortality was the only endpoint of this study.

Statistical analysis

Categorical data were expressed as percentages and groups were compared using the chi-square (χ^2) test. Student's t test was applied to compare continuous data of two groups. Normally distributed variables were presented as the means and SD, whereas non-normally distributed variables were expressed as the medians and IQRs.

In order to identify independent predictors of all-cause mortality from baseline variables, an initial screening of all parameter values was done by univariate Cox regression at enrolment. Variables with a p<0.05 in univariate Cox regression were taken further for the forward/backward stepwise multivariable Cox proportional hazards analysis.

Continuous variables were categorised on the basis of multivariate Cox regression analysis, Kaplan-Meier method was used to calculate the survival curves, and the groups were compared using log rank test. The level of statistical significance was p<0.05. All hypothesis tests were two-tailed. SPSS software V.16.0 was used to perform the analysis.

RESULTS

Clinical profile

A total of 290 hospitalised patients with ACM participated in the study. Of the 290 patients, 134 (46.2%) belonged to tribal population and 156 (53.8%) belonged to nontribal population. During the median follow-up period of 3.75 (IQR: 3–4) years, 50 patients with ACM (37.3%) died among tribal population while 14 patients (9%) died among non-tribal population. The clinical, ECG and echocardiographic characteristics of the patients with ACM are depicted in table 1.

Among tribal population, no differences between the survival and death groups were observed at the baseline in terms of age, sex, chest pain, basal crepts, orthopnoea, PND (paroxysmal nocturnal dyspnoea), JVP (jugular venous pressure), oedema and New York Heart Association (NYHA) classification. The frequencies of atrial fibrillation (AF), atrioventricular block (AVB) and CTP (Score B, C) were higher in the death group and sinus

		Tribal				Non-tribal				Tribal vs non-
Death Aire <		n=134				n=156				tribal
Algoiners Indicate			Death	Alive			Death	Alive		
Reference $Z = 0.214$ $3.0.424$ $5.0.416$		All patients	(n=50)	(n=84)	P value	All patients	(n=14)	(n=142)	P value	P value
Alternation Alternation	Age (years)	52.6±21.9	43.9±24.2	57.8±18.8	0.544	53.6±16.1	37±17.8	55.1±15	0.765	0.674
	Sex									
Funde 45 (3.5%) 21 24 1	Male	89 (66.4%)	29	60	0.111	75 (48.1%)	ω	67	0.477	0.587
One transmotion < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017 < 0.017	Female	45 (33.6%)	21	24		81 (51.9%)	9	75		
Trenent 86 46.2% 14 5 64.64% 5 64.63% 5 64.63% 5 64.63% 5 64.63% 5 64.63% 5 64.63% 5 64.63% 5 64.63% 5 64.63% 5 64.63% 5 64.63% 5 64.63% 5 64.63% 5 64.63% 5 64.63% 5 64.63% 5 64.63% 5 64.63%<	Chest pain									<0.001
Abert $43(3.8)(6)$ 16 32 $122(66.3)(6)$ 5 97 -100 Freed $12(16.6)(6)$ 50 $22(1.5)(6)$ 50 $22(1.5)(6)$ 10 20 Freed $12(16.6)(6)$ 50 $22(1.5)(6)$ $21(1.5)(6)$ 10 100 Freed $21(15)(6)$ 10 $22(12,6)(6)$ 100 100 100 Freed $90(57.6)(6)$ $22(2)(6)(6)$ $22(2,6)(6)$ 100 100 Freed $100(20,6)(6)$ $100(20,6)(6)$ $100(20,6)(6)$ $100(20,6)(6)$ $100(20,6)(6)$ Mont $100(20,6)(6)$ $100(20,6)(6)$ $100(20,6)(6)$ $100(20,6)(6)$ $1000(20,6)(6) Mont$	Present	86 (64.2%)	34	52	0.477	54 (34.6%)	6	45	0.453	
Jeal Capitor Pleader Capitor Seal Capitor Sea Cap	Absent	48 (35.8%)	16	32		102 (65.4%)	5	97		
Trent 12 (96.5%) 50 82 0.27 137 (87.8%) 14 123 Aberin 2 (1.5%) 0 2 2 (1.5%) 0 2 90 (12.5%) 0 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 100 10 100	Basal crepts									<0.001
Absent $2(15\%)$ 0 2 1.5% 0 1.5%	Present	132 (98.5%)	50	82	0.272	137 (87.8%)	14	123	0.144	
	Absent	2 (1.5%)	0	2		19 (12.2%)	0	19		
Present 00 (672%) 38 52 0.127 58 (372%) 12 46 0.587 Absent 44 (32.9%) 12 32 9 62.8%) 2 9 0.587 No 91 (57.9%) 12 36 (43.7%) 17 2 0 0 Present 31 (52.1%) 10 31 (52.1%) 10 13 1 6 0.01 Absent 31 (32.1%) 10 31 1 1 1 1 0 0 Absent 112 (63.6%) 35 17 0.01 1 1 0	Orthopnoea									<0.001
Abent $44(328)$ 12 32 $98(6239)$ 2 96 ND Freent 1	Present	90 (67.2%)	38	52	0.127	58 (37.2%)	12	46	0.587	
ND (43) (42) (51) (61) (64) (7) (1) (5) (10) (20) Absent (43) (1) (51) (1) (5) (1) (5) (1) (2) (2) Absent (1) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) Absent (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) Absent (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) Absent (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) Absent (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) Absent (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) Absent (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) Absent (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) Absent (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) Absent (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) Absent (2) (2) <td< td=""><td>Absent</td><td>44 (32.8%)</td><td>12</td><td>32</td><td></td><td>98 (62.8%)</td><td>2</td><td>96</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Absent	44 (32.8%)	12	32		98 (62.8%)	2	96		
	PND									0.001
Absent $43 (32.1\%)$ 10 33 77 77 77 JP Present $112 (83.6\%)$ 35 77 0.071 $113 (72.4\%)$ 10 0.93 0.023 Present $112 (83.6\%)$ 35 77 0.071 $113 (72.4\%)$ 10 103 0.023 Absent $22 (16.4\%)$ 15 7 0.071 $113 (72.4\%)$ 10 103 Octoma $107 (79.9\%)$ 15 7 $43 (27.6\%)$ 10 10 103 Octoma $107 (79.9\%)$ 42 66 $90 (57.7\%)$ 10 10 102 0.037 Absent $27 (20.1\%)$ 8 19 $90 (57.7\%)$ 10 10 10 10 102 0.037 Absent $27 (20.1\%)$ 8 19 $90 (57.7\%)$ $110 (7.9\%)$ 10 10 10 10 100 Absent $27 (20.1\%)$ 8 10	Present	91 (67.9%)	40	51	0.061	76 (48.7%)	11	65	0.19	
UP 0.93 0.93 0.93 0.93 0.023 Present 112 (83.6%) 35 77 0.01 113 (72.4%) 10 103 Absent 22 (16.4%) 15 7 0.0356 90 (57.7%) 10 103 Oedema 107 (79.9%) 42 65 0.3556 90 (57.7%) 11 79 0.097 Present 107 (79.9%) 42 66 90 (57.7%) 11 79 0.097 Absent 27 (20.1%) 8 19 66 (42.3%) 3 63 0.097 Absent 27 (20.1%) 8 19 0 11 79 0.097 Absent 27 (20.1%) 8 19 0 11 79 0.097 Absent 27 (20.1%) 8 19 0 11 79 0.097 Mith 8 19 0 13 13 29 0.097 I 1 1 13 <td< td=""><td>Absent</td><td>43 (32.1%)</td><td>10</td><td>33</td><td></td><td>80 (51.3%)</td><td>co C</td><td>77</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Absent	43 (32.1%)	10	33		80 (51.3%)	co C	77		
	JVP								0.93	0.023
Absent $22 (16.4\%)$ 15 7 $43 (27.6\%)$ 4 39 Oedema $107 (79.9\%)$ 42 65 0.356 $90 (57.7\%)$ 11 79 $\mathbf{c001}$ Present $107 (79.9\%)$ 42 65 0.356 $90 (57.7\%)$ 11 79 $\mathbf{c001}$ Present $107 (79.9\%)$ 8 19 $66 (42.3\%)$ 3 63 $\mathbf{c001}$ Absent $27 (20.1\%)$ 8 19 $66 (42.3\%)$ 3 63 $\mathbf{c001}$ NHA 10.7% 10 $11 (12.9\%)$ 0.107 $13 (8.3\%)$ 0 $13 (92.\%)$ $\mathbf{c001}$ I $8 (6\%)$ 0 $11 (12.9\%)$ 0.107 $13 (8.3\%)$ 0 $\mathbf{c001}$ I $8 (6\%)$ 0 $11 (12.2\%)$ $0.11 (12.2\%)$ 0.107 $\mathbf{c001}$ I $8 (9.5\%)$ 0.107 $13 (23.0\%)$ 0 $44 (28.5\%)$ 0001 I $10 (71.4\%)$	Present	112 (83.6%)	35	77	0.071	113 (72.4%)	10	103		
determa Dedema -0.001 Present 107 (79.9%) 42 65 0.356 90 (57.7%) 11 79 0.097 Absent 27 (20.1%) 8 19 0.356 90 (57.7%) 11 79 0.097 Absent 27 (20.1%) 8 19 0 11 79 0.097 Absent 27 (20.1%) 8 19 0 11 27 (20.1%) 3 63.5% 90 (112%) 31 (32.2%) 0.017 13 (8.3%) 0 13 (32.9%) 0.001 II 31 (32.2%) 11 (22%) 20 (23.9%) 13 (32.9%) 0.001 0.001 III 31 (32.9%) 32 (33.9%) 0.001 0.001 0.001 III 31 (22%) 20 (23.9%) 66 (35.9%) 0 (11.4%) 30 ($32.3.9\%$) 0.001 III 31 (32.9%) 32 (32.9%) 0.01 0.001	Absent	22 (16.4%)	15	7		43 (27.6%)	4	39		
Present $107 (79.9\%)$ 42 65 0.356 $90 (57.7\%)$ 11 79 0.097 Absent $27 (20.1\%)$ 8 19 0.356 $90 (57.7\%)$ 11 79 0.097 Absent $27 (20.1\%)$ 8 19 0.017 $66 (42.3\%)$ 3 63 0.097 NYHA 1 $1 (0.7\%)$ 0 $1 (1.2\%)$ 0.107 $13 (8.3\%)$ 0 $13 (9.2\%)$ 0.001 I $8 (6\%)$ 0 $8 (9.5\%)$ 0.107 $13 (8.3\%)$ 0 $13 (9.2\%)$ 0.001 II $31 (23.2\%)$ $11 (22\%)$ $20 (23.9\%)$ $56 (35.9\%)$ $4 (28.6\%)$ 0 $4 (30.9\%)$ II $31 (23.2\%)$ $30 (78\%)$ $57 (65.4\%)$ $10 (71.4\%)$ $33 (23.3\%)$ 9.001	Oedema									<0.001
Absent $27 (20.1\%)$ 81966 (42.3%)363NYHAN110.7\%)011.12\%535353NI1110.7\%)0111.2\%)0.10713 (8.3%)013 (9.2%)0.001I8 (6%)08 (9.5%)0.10713 (8.3%)013 (9.2%)0.001II31 (23.2%)11 (22%)20 (23.9%)56 (35.9%)4 (28.2%)04 (30.9%)II31 (23.2%)11 (22%)20 (23.9%)56 (35.9%)4 (28.6%)52 (36.6%)V94 (70.1%)39 (78%)55 (65.4%)4 (32.76%)10 (71.4%)33 (23.3%)	Present	107 (79.9%)	42	65	0.356	90 (57.7%)	11	79	0.097	
NYHA -0.001 I 1 (0.7%) 0 1 (1.2%) 0.107 13 (8.3%) 0 13 (9.2%) 0.001 II 8 (6%) 0 8 (9.5%) 0.107 13 (8.3%) 0 13 (9.2%) 0.001 III 31 (23.2%) 11 (22%) 0 8 (9.5%) 44 (28.2%) 0 44 (30.9%) III 31 (23.2%) 11 (22%) 20 (23.9%) 56 (35.9%) 4 (28.6%) 52 (36.6%) IV 94 (70.1%) 33 (78%) 55 (65.4%) 43 (27.6%) 10 (71.4%) 33 (23.3%)	Absent	27 (20.1%)	8	19		66 (42.3%)	3	63		
I 1 1(0.7%) 0 1(1.2%) 0.107 13(8.3%) 0 13(9.2%) 0.001 II 8 (6%) 0 8 (9.5%) 0.107 13(8.2%) 0 14(30.9%) 0.001 III 31 (23.2%) 11 (22%) 20 (23.9%) 26 (35.9%) 44 (28.2%) 0 44 (30.9%) IV 94 (70.1%) 39 (78%) 55 (65.4%) 43 (27.6%) 10 (71.4%) 33 (23.3%)	NYHA									<0.001
II 8 (6%) 0 8 (9.5%) 44 (28.2%) 0 44 (30.9%) III 31 (23.2%) 11 (22%) 20 (23.9%) 56 (35.9%) 4 (28.6%) 52 (36.6%) IV 94 (70.1%) 39 (78%) 55 (65.4%) 43 (27.6%) 10 (71.4%) 33 (23.3%)	_	1 (0.7%)	0	1 (1.2%)	0.107	13 (8.3%)	0	13 (9.2%)	0.001	
III 31 (23.2%) 11 (22%) 20 (23.9%) 56 (35.9%) 4 (28.6%) 52 (36.6%) IV 94 (70.1%) 39 (78%) 55 (65.4%) 43 (27.6%) 10 (71.4%) 33 (23.3%)	=	8 (6%)	0	8 (9.5%)		44 (28.2%)	0	44 (30.9%)		
IV 94 (70.1%) 39 (78%) 55 (65.4%) 43 (27.6%) 10 (71.4%) 33 (23.3%)	=	31 (23.2%)	11 (22%)	20 (23.9%)		56 (35.9%)	4 (28.6%)	52 (36.6%)		
	IV	94 (70.1%)	39 (78%)	55 (65.4%)		43 (27.6%)	10 (71.4%)	33 (23.3%)		

3

Heart failure and cardiomyopathies

	Tribal				Non-tribal				Tribal vs non-
	n=134				n=156				tribal
		Death	Alive			Death	Alive		
	All patients	(n=50)	(n=84)	P value	All patients	(n=14)	(n=142)	P value	P value
CTP Scoring									<0.001
А	50 (37.3%)	0	50	<0.001	125 (80.1%)	0	125	<0.001	
В	56 (41.8%)	22	34		23 (14.7%)	9	17		
C	28 (20.9%)	28	0		8 (5.2%)	8	0		
ECG									<0.001
Sinus rhythm (%)	62	66	76	0.04	66	60	70	0.039	
AF (%)	38	34	24	0.03	34	40	30	0.027	
AVB (%)	24	20	16	0.01	22	23	17	0.034	
QRS (ms)	120.5 ± 31.3	130.1 ± 32.9	112.8±31.8	<0.001	118.5 ± 30.3	133.1 ± 33.9	110.4 ± 28.8	<0.001	
Echocardiographic finding									
LVEF (%)	30.6 ± 10.8	23.8 ± 4.5	29.3±5.7	<0.001	33.4±10.8	23.2±5.8	35.9±6.6	<0.001	<0.001
LVESD (cm)	4.9±0.7	5.3 ± 0.3	5±0.6	0.001	4.1 ± 0.4	5.3 ± 0.4	4.3±0.6	<0.001	<0.001
LVEDD (cm)	6.0±0.8	6.4 ± 0.4	6.1±0.6	0.006	5.8 ± 0.4	6.4 ± 0.4	5.9 ± 0.3	<0.001	<0.001
Mortality (%)		50 (37.3)	84 (62.7)			14 (9)	142 (91)		<0.001
Mortality (%) Data were expressed as mean± AF, atrial fibrillation; AVB, atriove	SD or as percentage intricular block; CTP,	50 (37.3) s. P values were Child-Turcotte-P	84 (62.7) calculated from in ough; JVP, jugular	idependent sam venous pressure	ples t tests or χ^2 tests , LVEDD, left ventric	14 (9) sts for categorical cular end diastolic	14 data	:2 (91) a. Bold data meter; LVEF,	(2 (91) a. Bold data indicate p<0.05. meter; LVEF, left ventricular e

rhythm was observed to be more in the survival group. The QRS duration, LVESD (left ventricular end-systolic dimension) and LVEDD were higher in the death group but the LVEF was lower in the patients of death group than those patients in the in the survival group.

Among non-tribal population, no differences in the death and survival groups were observed at the baseline in terms of age, sex, chest pain, basal crepts, orthopnoea, PND, JVP and oedema. The frequencies of CTP (Grade B, C), NYHA (class III/IV) classification, AF and AVB were higher in the death group and sinus rhythm was observed to be more in the patients of survival group. The QRS duration, LVESD and LVEDD were higher in the death group and LVEF was observed to be lower in the patients of death group than those in the survival group.

On comparing tribal with non-tribal population, no differences were observed at baseline in terms of age and sex. The frequencies of chest pain, basal crepts, orthopnoea, PND, JVP, oedema, NYHA (class III/IV) classification, CTP (Score B, C), AF and AVB were higher in tribal population but sinus rhythm was more in non-tribal population. The QRS duration, LVEDD and LVESD were higher but the LVEF was lower in the tribal population.

Prognostic factors assessment in ACM

Univariate analysis of the data suggested that ethnicity of belonging to tribal population, chest pain, orthopnoea, PND, NYHA, CTP Scoring, LVEF, LVEDD and LVESD were the prognostic predictors of ACM. Multiple Cox regression analysis revealed LVEF (HR: 0.883; 95% CI 0.783 to 0.996; p=0.043), QRS (HR: 1.010; 95% CI 1.007

to 1.017; p=0.005) and CTP Scoring (HR: 12.332; 95% CI 6.999 to 21.728; p<0.001) as the independent predictors of all-cause mortality at admission (table 2).

Correlation between independent predictors and all-cause mortality

The Kaplan-Meier survival probability estimate was 95.1% at 1 year. According to the clinical experience, the optimal cut-off value was taken as 120 ms for QRS duration and 35% for LVEF. The endpoint was reached by 130 patients with a QRS>120 ms and 160 patients with a QRSS120 ms; 204 patients with LVEF \leq 35% and 86 patients with LVEF >35% and 115 patients classified as CTP Score B/C and 175 patients classified as CTP Score A. In our study, patients with QRS>120 ms, LVEF \leq 35% and CTP Grade B/C had higher occurrence of all-cause mortality as compared with patients with a QRSS120 ms, LVEF >35% and CTP Score A, respectively (log-rank χ^2 =55.088, p<0.001; log-rank χ^2 =32.953, p<0.001; log-rank χ^2 =139.764, p<0.001; figure 1A–C).

DISCUSSION

As per our research, this is the first ever study conducted to compare ACM in tribal and non-tribal population. The study findings point towards clinically comparable groups. Patients from both the tribal and non-tribal groups had a similar age pattern and sex distribution. However, the groups differ on various sociodemographic characteristics. Non-tribals were better educated, from urban areas, involved in business or a professional job and were from

Table 2	Univariate and multivariate	analysis showing the in	dependent	predictors	of all-cause mortality	
	Univariate analysi	S		Multivari	ate analysis	
Variable	HR	95% CI	P value	HR	95% CI	P value
Age	0.965	0.952 to 0.978	0.673	1.002	0.979 to 1.025	0.867
Sex	0.928	0.565 to 1.524	0.768			
Ethnicity	0.209	0.116 to 0.379	<0.001	0.704	0.371 to 1.334	0.282
Chest pain	2.282	1.354 to 3.846	0.002	0.325	0.164 to 0.641	0.547
Basal crept	is 2.832	1.428 to 3.217	0.123			
Orthopnoea	a 4.087	2.215 to 7.541	<0.001	0.732	0.732 to 0.327	0.448
PND	0.315	0.171 to 0.579	<0.001			
NYHA	3.417	2.109 to 5.537	<0.001	1.208	0.564 to 2.586	0.627
CTP Scorin	g 14.925	9.537 to 23.356	<0.001	12.332	6.999 to 21.728	<0.001
QRS	1.008	1.006 to 1.015	0.003	1.01	1.007 to 1.017	0.005
JVP	0.638	0.373 to 1.090	0.1			
LVEF	0.787	0.746 to 0.830	<0.001	0.883	0.783 to 0.996	0.043
LVESD	7.137	3.820 to 13.334	<0.001	2.259	0.469 to 10.890	0.31
P value	6.562	3.599 to 11.965	<0.001	0.485	0.091 to 2.575	0.395

PND was not entered into multiple analysis because of its significant collinearity with orthopnoea (r=0.645, p<0.001). Bold data indicate p<0.05.

CTP, Child-Turcotte-Pugh; JVP, jugular venous pressure; LVEDD, left ventricular end diastolic diameter; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; LVESD, left ventricular end-systolic dimension; NYHA, New York Heart Association; PND, paroxysmal nocturnal dyspnoea; QRS, QRS duration.



Figure 1 Plots of Kaplan-Meier displaying the estimated survival probability according to three factors (A–C). (A) Kaplan-Meier plots displaying the estimated survival probability in groups categorised according to QRS duration. (B) Kaplan-Meier plots displaying the estimated survival probability in groups categorised according to LVEF. (C) Kaplan-Meier plots displaying the estimated survival probability in groups categorised according to CTP. CTP, Child-Turcotte-Pugh; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; QRS, QRS duration.

the middle economic status, whereas tribals are less educated, many of them were from rural areas and most were involved in agricultural activities, with a higher proportion of patients coming from the lower economic status. This reflects the prevailing deprived condition of the tribals.¹⁹ Due to all mentioned factors, tribals tend to seek hospital facility very late and they seek medical advice only when their symptoms flare up, as reflected in our study that the incidence of chest pain, basal crepts, orthopnoea, PND, oedema, raised JVP, NYHA grade III, IV and CTP Score B, C were significantly higher in tribal group. Even investigations revealed higher incidences of AF, AVB, increased QRS duration, reduced LVEF, increased LVESD and LVEDD in tribal group. All these findings point towards the fact that tribals are already in advanced stage of ACM by the time they seek medical advice, which ultimately leads to high mortality as substantiated in our study.

Our study indicated that the variables determining the prognosis of ACM were LVEF (%), QRS duration, CTP Scoring at admission. Various ACM studies have suggested other prognostic factors; however, those studies were having a drawback of small sample size and had conflicting conclusions. The first paper that determined the prognostic factors of ACM was published by McDonald *et al* and they found that the only factors responsible for poor outcome were excessive consumption of alcohol and the duration of HF symptoms before admission.²⁰ Other published articles revealed that alcohol abstinence and an increased left ventricular endsystolic diameter were the only independent poor prognostic markers of ACM.^{3 12}

It is a known fact that QRS duration increases with age,²¹ but it should be kept in mind that QRS duration increases in organic heart disease due to bundle branch blocks and the prolonged QRS on ECG has been used traditionally as a prognostic marker in a wide variety of clinical scenario.^{22 23} QRS prolongation has been proposed in several studies as a significant predictor of arrhythmias and mortality in HF and DCM.^{24 25} A study done by Lelakowski *et al* reported that a QRS complex of <118 ms provided an independent protective factor for sudden cardiac death in patients with DCM.²⁶ Another study done by Guzzo-Merello *et al* concluded that a shorter distance in the 6 min walking test, QRS complex duration >120 ms, AF, absence of treatment with beta-blockers and

the use of digoxin were the factors associated with the occurrence of poor cardiac outcome (heart transplantation or cardiovascular death) in patients with ACM.¹⁸ Our study revealed that prolonged QRS complex duration (>120 ms) had a higher occurrence of all-cause mortality and the QRS duration was found to be an independent prognostic predictors in patients with ACM.

Echocardiography is an indispensable tool in assessment of ACM; it is under Class I recommendation of updated 'Echocardiography Indication Guidelines' in accordance with the 'Standards for the Elaboration of Guidelines, Positions and Normations' sanctioned by the Brazilian Society of Cardiology in evaluation of a suspected DCM.²⁷ A study conducted by Fang *et al* reported LVEF as an independent significant predictor of mortality in univariate analysis of patients with ACM; however, in multivariate analysis, it was found to be insignificant.²⁸ In our study, patients with an LVEF \leq 35% had a higher occurrence all-cause mortality as compared with patients with LVEF >35%, and LVEF was found to be an independent prognostic predictor in patients with ACM.

Many studies were conducted on using CTP Score as a prognostic marker in cirrhotic cardiomyopathy. A study conducted by Baik *et al* proposed that the majority of cirrhotic patients in Child-Pugh class B and C presented at least one feature of cirrhotic cardiomyopathy namely QTc prolongation and diastolic dysfunction.²⁹ To our knowledge, no study used CTP Scoring in assessing mortality in patients with ACM. In our study, patients assessed as having CTP Score B, C had a higher mortality as compared with the patients having CTP Score A, and CTP Score was found to be one of the independent prognostic predictors in the multivariate Cox regression analysis.

CONCLUSION

Our study indicated increased morbidity and mortality of patients with ACM in tribal population; this study has direct implications on the prevention and treatment of ACM in the Indian context in general and the Jharkand state in particular. A comprehensive upbringing of tribals in the social, economic and educational aspects would show direct implications in the primary prevention of problems related to alcohol. Educating the people about alcohol, its consequences like ACM, symptoms of ACM, focusing especially on the rural areas is the need of hour. Timely visit to the medical facility and with proper management, morbidity and mortality of patients with ACM in tribal population would be reduced. Prolonged QRS, reduced LVEF, and CTP score were found to be significant mortality predictors for patients with ACM.

Contributors All authors contributed to the conception, design of the study or the acquisition, analysis or interpretation of data, funding acquisition, drafting the manuscript or revising it critically for important intellectual content and gave final approval of the final version of the manuscript.

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Ethics approval The study was approved by Institutional Ethics Committee, Rajendra Institute of Medical Sciences, Ranchi, Jharkhand, India and conformed to the principles of the Helsinki declaration.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Deidentified participant data are available from Dr Abhinav Kumar on reasonable request (email: drshanki.avi@gmail.com).

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

Correction notice Author name Ajit Dundung has been corrected to Ajit Dungdung.

ORCID iDs

Ajit Dungdung http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6785-7277 Abhinav Kumar http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5921-4359

REFERENCES

- Piano MR. Alcoholic cardiomyopathy: incidence, clinical characteristics, and pathophysiology. Chest 2002;121:1638–50.
- 2 Rehm J, Mathers C, Popova S, et al. Global burden of disease and injury and economic cost attributable to alcohol use and alcohol-use disorders. Lancet 2009;373:2223–33.
- 3 Gavazzi A, De Maria R, Parolini M, *et al*. Alcohol abuse and dilated cardiomyopathy in men. *Am J Cardiol* 2000;85:1114–8.
- 4 Urbano-Marquez A, Estruch R, Navarro-Lopez F, et al. The effects of alcoholism on skeletal and cardiac muscle. N Engl J Med 1989;320:409–15.
- 5 Saxena S. Country profile on alcohol in India. In: Riley L, Marshall M, eds. Alcohol and public health in 8 developing countries. Geneva: World Health Organization, 1995: 38–60.
- 6 Muhunthan J, Angell B, Hackett ML, *et al.* Global systematic review of Indigenous community-led legal interventions to control alcohol. *BMJ Open* 2017;7:e013932–e.
- 7 Lehti V, Niemelä S, Hoven C, et al. Mental health, substance use and suicidal behaviour among young Indigenous people in the Arctic: a systematic review. Soc Sci Med 2009;69:1194–203.
- 8 Seale JP, Seale JD, Alvarado M, et al. Prevalence of problem drinking in a Venezuelan Native American population. Alcohol Alcohol 2002;37:198–204.
- Wilson A, Stearne A, Gray D, et al. The harmful use of alcohol amongst Indigenous Australians. *Aus Indigenous Health Bull* 2010.
 Subramanian SV, Davey Smith G, Subramanyam M. Indigenous
- health and socioeconomic status in India. *PLoS Med* 2006;3:e421–e.
- 11 Kumar RK, Tiwari R. A cross sectional study of alcohol consumption among tribal and non-tribal adults of Narayanganj block in Mandla district of Madhya Pradesh, India. Int J Community Med Public Health 2017;3:791–5.
- 12 Fauchier L, Babuty D, Poret P, et al. Comparison of long-term outcome of alcoholic and idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy. Eur Heart J 2000;21:306–14.
- 13 Prazak P, Pfisterer M, Osswald S, et al. Differences of disease progression in congestive heart failure due to alcoholic as compared to idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy. *Eur Heart J* 1996;17:251–7.
- 14 McKenna CJ, Codd MB, McCann HA, et al. Alcohol consumption and idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy: a case control study. Am Heart J 1998;135:833–7.
- 15 Piano MR. Alcoholic cardiomyopathy: incidence, clinical characteristics, and pathophysiology. Chest 2002;121:1638–50.
- 16 Elliott P, Andersson B, Arbustini E, et al. Classification of the cardiomyopathies: a position statement from the European Society of cardiology Working group on myocardial and pericardial diseases. Eur Heart J 2008;29:270–6.
- 17 Haissaguerre M, Fleury B, Gueguen A, et al. [Mortality of dilated myocardiopathies as a function of continuation of alcohol drinking. Multivariate analysis concerning 236 patients]. *Presse Med* 1989;18:711–4.
- 18 Guzzo-Merello G, Segovia J, Dominguez F, et al. Natural history and prognostic factors in alcoholic cardiomyopathy. JACC Heart Fail 2015;3:78–86.

Open Heart

- 19 Suddendorf RF. Research on alcohol metabolism among Asians and its implications for understanding causes of alcoholism. *Public Health Rep* 1989;104:615–20.
- McDonald CD, Burch GE, Walsh JJ. Alcoholic cardiomyopathy managed with prolonged bed rest. *Ann Intern Med* 1971;74:681–91.
- 21 Cheng S, Larson MG, Keyes MJ, *et al.* Relation of QRS width in healthy persons to risk of future permanent pacemaker implantation. *Am J Cardiol* 2010;106:668–72.
- 22 Sandhu R, Bahler RC. Prevalence of QRS prolongation in a community hospital cohort of patients with heart failure and its relation to left ventricular systolic dysfunction. *Am J Cardiol* 2004;93:244–6.
- 23 Take Y, Morita H. Fragmented QRS: what is the meaning? *Indian Pacing Electrophysiol J* 2012;12:213–25.
- 24 Rautaharju PM, Ge S, Nelson JC, et al. Comparison of mortality risk for electrocardiographic abnormalities in men and women with and without coronary heart disease (from the Cardiovascular Health Study). Am J Cardiol 2006;97:309–15.

- 25 Lund LH, Jurga J, Edner M, et al. Prevalence, correlates, and prognostic significance of QRS prolongation in heart failure with reduced and preserved ejection fraction. *Eur Heart J* 2013;34:529–39.
- 26 Lelakowski J, Piekarz J, Rydlewska A, et al. Determinants of patient survival rate after implantation of a cardioverterdefibrillator without resynchronisation capability. *Kardiol Pol* 2012;70:1099–110.
- 27 Barberato SH. Minna Moreira Dias Romano, Adenalva Lima de Souza Beck, Ana Clara Tude Rodrigues, André Luiz Cerqueira de Almeida, et al. Position statement on indications of echocardiography in adults – 2019. Arq Bras Cardiol 2019;113:135–81.
- 28 Fang W, Luo R, Tang Y, et al. The prognostic factors of alcoholic cardiomyopathy: a single-center cohort study. *Medicine* 2018;97:e11744.
- 29 Baik SK, Fouad TR, Lee SS. Cirrhotic cardiomyopathy. Orphanet J Rare Dis 2007;2:15.