# scientific reports



# **OPEN** Intercalation of Mn in graphene/ Cu(111) interface: insights to the electronic and magnetic properties from theory

Qilin Guo, Yuriy Dedkov<sup>⊠</sup> & Elena Voloshina<sup>⊠</sup>

The effect of Mn intercalation on the atomic, electronic and magnetic structure of the graphene/ Cu(111) interface is studied using state-of-the-art density functional theory calculations. Different structural models of the graphene-Mn-Cu(111) interface are investigated. While a Mn monolayer placed between graphene and Cu(111) (an unfavorable configuration) yields massive rearrangement of the graphene-derived  $\pi$  bands in the vicinity of the Fermi level, the possible formation of a Cu<sub>2</sub>Mn alloy at the interface (a favorable configuration) preserves the linear dispersion for these bands. The deep analysis of the electronic states around the Dirac point for the graphene/Cu<sub>2</sub>Mn/Cu(111) system allows to discriminate between contributions from three carbon sublattices of a graphene layer in this system and to explain the bands' as well as spins' topology of the electronic states around the Fermi level.

Since the discovery of the unique properties of graphene<sup>1</sup>, many fascinating phenomena in this material were demonstrated, exemplified by massless Dirac fermion physics<sup>2-4</sup>, an anomalous quantum Hall effect<sup>5-8</sup>, and superconductivity9. As an ideal 2D material, graphene has many possible attractive practical applications, such as protective coatings<sup>10</sup>, touch screens<sup>11,12</sup>, gas sensors<sup>13</sup> and many others. With respect to the graphene-metal systems, the synthesis of graphene on metals is considered as one of the most promising technological approach<sup>11</sup>, with further processing of the obtained graphene layers. Moreover, the graphene-metal interfaces, particularly graphene-ferromagnet, are proposed for the realization of the spintronics applications based on the transport of electrical charge or/and spin, such as the spin filters<sup>14</sup> and stable spin emitters<sup>15,16</sup>. Therefore, the understanding of the crystallographic structure and electronic properties of such graphene-metal interfaces<sup>17,18</sup> is an initial prerequisite for the further studies of these systems.

From the point of view of electronic structure, graphene adsorbed on metal surfaces shows two distinct cases<sup>19</sup>: (1) in the *weakly* bonded case, graphene is always doped (*n*-type or *p*-type), while the electronic structure characteristic for freestanding graphene remains almost intact [examples: graphene/Ir(111)<sup>20</sup>, graphene/ Pt(111)<sup>21</sup>, graphene/Al(111)<sup>22</sup>, and graphene/Cu(111)<sup>23</sup>] and (2) in the strongly bonded case, graphene overlayer demonstrates the significant distortion of the linear dispersion relation for the graphene  $\pi$  bands due to the strong overlap of the graphene states and valence band states of the underlying materials (space, energy, and k-vector overlapping), that leads to the complete disappearance of the Dirac point in graphene [examples: graphene/ Ni(111)<sup>24</sup>, graphene/Co(0001)<sup>25</sup>, graphene/Ru(0001)<sup>26</sup>].

The electronic structure of graphene on metals can be altered in different ways, like intercalation of different species in graphene/metal interfaces, adsorption of atoms or molecules on top of graphene, graphene's edge engineering, creation of the graphene nanostructures, etc. It is well known that the intercalation between graphene and metallic substrates can strongly affects the properties of the graphene-metal interface. There are several possible results of this process: (1) The intercalated species may decouple graphene from strongly interacting substrates, such as in the case of graphene/Al/Ni(111)<sup>27,28</sup>, graphene/Au/Ni(111)<sup>29</sup> and graphene/Cu/Ni(111)<sup>30</sup>; (2) Such intercalated layers lead to the change the carrier concentration in graphene, and even change the carrier type (from holes to electrons) such as in the case of Cu intercalation in graphene/ $Ir(111)^{31}$ ; (3) intercalated metals may also enhance the magnetic coupling between a ferromagnetic substrate and graphene, such as graphene/Fe/  $Ni(111)^{32}$  and graphene/Ni<sub>3</sub>Mn/Ni(111)<sup>33</sup>, which improves the possible spin-filtering properties of graphene; (4) the intercalated layer in itself may bring new properties to graphene, such as in the case of intercalated lithium, where superconductivity in graphene has been predicted to occur<sup>34</sup>.

Department of Physics, Shanghai University, Shangda Road 99, Shanghai 200444, China. 🖾 email: dedkov@shu. edu.cn; voloshina@shu.edu.cn

Considering that graphene can be synthesized on Cu foil and the electronic properties of *weakly*-bonded graphene on Cu(111) has been well studied, the intercalation of Mn atoms (which possess the high magnetic moment due to the half-filled *d*-shell, Mn  $3d^5$ ) in the graphene/Cu(111) system could be an interesting way to tailor the electronic and magnetic properties of graphene. Here, we present systematic structural and electronic properties studies of the system formed after intercalation of Mn in the graphene/Cu(111) interfaces using the density functional theory (DFT). It is found that the intercalation of a monolayer of Mn and formation of the sharp graphene/Mn/Cu(111) interface changes the electronic properties of graphene greatly, while the formation of the Cu<sub>2</sub>Mn interface alloy on Cu(111) only makes graphene *n*-doped and leaves the linear dispersion of the graphene-derived  $\pi$  states at the Fermi level ( $E_F$ ), which is similar to the case of graphene on Cu(111). The magnetic properties of the obtained interfaces and their possible application in graphene-based spin filtering devices are discussed in details.

### **Results and discussion**

We first discuss the structural and electronic properties of graphene grown on Cu(111) as a reference system. When studying the interface between graphene and close-packed metal surface, three "high-symmetry" structures, which preserve 3m symmetry, known as top-fcc (TF), top-hcp (TH), and fcc-hcp (FH) are usually considered<sup>19</sup> (for details, see Supplementary information, Fig. S1; Table S1). According to our calculations, the TF structure for graphene/Cu(111), schematically shown in Fig. 1a, was found to be the energetically most stable one. The results of our calculations for this structure are summarized in Table 1. Here, the graphene interaction energy of -92 meV/C-atom and the distance of 3.03 Å between graphene and top layer of the Cu(111) slab are indication of the *weak* interaction between graphene and the substrate. Nevertheless, as discussed below, it yields noticeable modifications of the graphene and copper band structures.

For the clean metal surface, Cu(111), the well-known *L*-gap surface state around the  $\Gamma$ -point is found in angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) experiments<sup>35</sup>. Using the graphene's lattice constant, the calculated binding energy of this state at the  $\Gamma$ -point is -909 meV. [Note: A strong deviation from the experimental binding energy is due to the compression of Cu(111) lattice parameters. Complete relaxation of coordinates leads to the in-plane lattice constant of Cu(111) of 2.5241 Å and to the significant improvement of agreement between theory (-471 meV) and experiment ( $-437 \text{ meV}^{35}$ )]. In our calculations for graphene/Cu(111) we detect the upward energy shift of the metal surface state by 274 meV compared to clean Cu(111), that is in agreement with the experimental observations<sup>36,37</sup>. This effect, observed earlier also for the adsorption of graphene on Ag(111) and Au(111) surfaces<sup>38-40</sup>, can be explained by the stronger localization of the metal surface state wavefunction upon physisorption of graphene on Cu(111) compared to the clean Cu surface. There is also a charge transfer from the Cu(111) surface to graphene (Fig. 1a). As a result, graphene became *n*-doped with the position of the Dirac point at  $E_D - E_F = -440$  meV (Fig. 2a), which is consistent with the previously published results for graphene/Cu(111)<sup>41,42</sup>. Closer look at the calculated band structure of graphene/Cu(111) allows to detect hybridization between the Cu 3*d* and graphene  $\pi$  states in the energy range of  $E - E_F \approx -2 \dots -4.5$  eV and consequent opening of the energy gap of 16 meV directly at  $E_D^{41}$ .

When discussing intercalation of Mn under graphene on Cu(111), several scenarios are possible. Let us start with an assumption that Mn atoms form a 2D hexagonal layer commensurated with the  $(1 \times 1)$ -Cu(111) substrate. Here, Mn intercalant can occupy either fcc hollow or hcp hollow sites above Cu(111). Together with already mentioned three high-symmetry structures for graphene on Cu(111), this results in 6 structural possibilities (for details, see Supplementary information, Fig. S2, Table S2). Besides two possible magnetic orders for Mn atomsferromagnetic (FM) and row-wise antiferromagnetic (AFM), i. e. an alternation of rows of Mn-ions carrying +m and rows of Mn-ions carrying -m—have to be taken into account. The energetically most stable structure according to our calculations corresponds to the case where graphene keeps its TF arrangement with respect to Cu(111) and intercalated Mn occupies the fcc hollow sites above Cu(111) (Fig. 1b). The magnetic moments of Mn atoms ( $m = 2.07 \mu_B$ ) are coupled antiferromagnetically. The results of our calculations for this structure are summarized in Table 1. Intercalation of Mn yields the increase of the interaction energy between graphene and metal ( $E_{int} = -237 \text{ meV/C-atom}$ ). This is reflected by the decreased distance between graphene and Mn/ Cu(111) ( $d_0 = 2.01$  Å), which allows for a strong hybridization between graphene and Mn valence bands states resulting in destruction of the Dirac cone (Fig. 2b). The magnetic moment of Mn atoms are  $\pm 2.07 \mu_B$ , while the induced magnetic moments of carbon atoms in graphene overlayer are  $\pm 0.01 \,\mu_{\rm B}$  and  $\pm 0.03 \,\mu_{\rm B}$  (carbon atoms adsorbed above Mn atoms are coupled antiferromagnetically with respect to those of metal ions; for further details, see Supplementary information, Fig. S3a), which are detectable in magnetic spectroscopic experiments.

According to available experimental and theoretical data, epitaxial Mn films grown on Cu(111) form a surface alloy Cu<sub>2</sub>Mn having a  $(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})R30^\circ$  superstructure with respect to Cu(111)<sup>43,44</sup>. Graphene adsorbed on superstructured Mn–Cu(111) surface was previously investigated by means of DFT in the studies on the grain boundaries suppression during the graphene growth<sup>45</sup>. In this work the energetically most stable arrangement corresponds to the structure shown in Fig. 1c (model A). Here, both monolayers—the Cu<sub>2</sub>Mn alloy and the graphene layer—are rotated by 30° with respect to Cu(111). From experimental point of view, the existence of such a structure is hardly possible. Indeed, the preparation of graphene on Mn–Cu(111) is a two-step procedure. Firstly, graphene is synthesized on single crystal Cu(111) by thermal decomposition of, e.g., ethylene (C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) in an ultrahigh vacuum chamber. As a result, the lattices of graphene and Cu(111) are aligned in the same direction (with a possible formation of the moiré structure due to the lattice mismatch between two materials)<sup>42</sup>. On the second step, the annealing of the predeposited Mn leads to intercalation of Mn with a formation of system, which is supposed to be an ordered graphene/Cu<sub>2</sub>Mn/Cu(111) trilayer. In such a procedure, it is difficult to expect any change of the graphene orientation (rotation) with respect to the Cu(111) surface. Thus, in our studies, when considering the formation of a Cu<sub>2</sub>Mn surface alloy at the graphene/Cu(111) interface, the related orientation



**Figure 1.** Top and side views of different Mn-based intercalation structures: (a) parent graphene/Cu(111), (b) graphene/Mn/Cu(111), (c) graphene/Cu<sub>2</sub>Mn/Cu(111)-model A, and (d) graphene/Cu<sub>2</sub>Mn/Cu(111)-model B. Spheres of different size and colour represent atoms of different types. Side views for all structures are taken along the graphene arm-chair edge and they are overlaid with electron charge difference maps  $\Delta\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \rho_{gr/s}(\mathbf{r}) - \rho_{gr}(\mathbf{r}) - \rho_{s}(\mathbf{r})$  (gr: graphene; s: substrate).  $\Delta\rho$  is colour coded as blue (-0.001  $e/Å^3$ ), green (0), and red (0.001  $e/Å^3$ ) and blue (-0.01  $e/Å^3$ ), green (0), and red (0.01  $e/Å^3$ ), for (**a**,c,**d**) and (**b**), respectively.

between graphene and Cu(111) was kept unchanged, while a  $(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})R30^{\circ}$  superstructure of Cu<sub>2</sub>Mn with respect to the both Cu(111) and graphene was preserved. For comparison reasons we have considered different high-symmetry arrangements of graphene above the Cu<sub>2</sub>Mn/Cu(111) substrate and two possible magnetic orders for Mn atoms (for details, see Supplementary information, Table S3, Fig. S4). The energetically most stable structure—TH—is shown in Fig. 1d (model B). Here the magnetic moments of Mn atoms are coupled ferromagnetically and the TH and TF arrangements of C-atoms are energetically degenerate with the difference in the total energy of only 2 meV (see Supplementary Table S3). The calculations for the previously reported structure<sup>45</sup> shown in Fig. 1c (model A) are also performed for comparison reasons. The results are summarized in Table 1.

For the both structures under consideration (model A and model B), the calculated interaction energy between graphene and Cu<sub>2</sub>Mn/Cu(111) as well as the equilibrium distance between graphene and substrate are close to the values obtained for graphene/Cu(111). In both cases graphene is strongly *n*-doped due to the charge transfer from the metal to graphene valence band states (Fig. 1c,d). In both structures, Mn atoms possess quite substantial magnetic moment— $m_{Mn} = 3.99 \,\mu_B$  and  $m_{Mn} = 3.58 \,\mu_B$  for model A and model B, respectively (Table 1). However, the induced magnetic moment in a graphene layer does not exceed the value of 0.01  $\mu_B$  for carbon atoms adsorbed above Mn atoms and they are coupled antiferromagnetically with respect to those of metal ions (for details, see Supplementary information, Fig. S3b).

			Graphene/Cu <sub>2</sub> Mn/Cu(111)	
System	Graphene/Cu(111)	Graphene/Mn/Cu(111)	Model A	Model B
Eint	-92	-237	-84	-89
$d_0$	3.03	2.01	2.99	3.05
<i>d</i> <sub>1</sub>	2.10	2.14	1.92	2.16
<i>d</i> <sub>2</sub>	2.09	2.10	1.88	2.09
m <sub>Mn</sub>	-	±2.07	3.99	3.58
mC	-	$\pm 0.01/\pm 0.03$	0.00	0.00/0.00/-0.01
$E_D - E_F$	-440	-	-650	-525/-660 <sup>a</sup>

**Table 1.** Results for the atomic structure of the graphene/metal interface models and for the clean metal surfaces:  $E_{int}$  (in meV/C-atom) is the interaction energy, defined as  $E_{int} = E_{gr/metal} - (E_{gr} + E_{metal})$ , where  $E_{gr/metal}$  is the total energy of the graphene/metal system, and  $E_{gr}$  and  $E_{metal}$  are the energies of the fragments at the same coordinates as in the graphene/metal system;  $d_0$  (in Å) is the mean distance between the graphene overlayer and the interface metal layer;  $d_1$  (in Å) is the mean distance between the interface metal layer and the second metal layer;  $d_2$  (in Å) is the mean distance between the second and third metal layer;  $m_{Mn}$  ( $\mu_B$ ) is the interface Mn spin magnetic moment;  $m_C$  (in  $\mu_B$ ) is the interface carbon spin magnetic moment (several values for the nonequivalent carbon atoms are indicated);  $E_D - E_F$  (in meV) is the position of the Dirac point with respect to the Fermi energy. <sup>a</sup>Two values are given for the spin-up and spin-down channels, respectively



**Figure 2.** Band structures and C- $p_z$  projected density of states calculated for graphene/Cu(111) (**a**), graphene/Mn/Cu(111) (**b**), graphene/Cu<sub>2</sub>Mn/Cu(111)—model A (**c**), and graphene/Cu<sub>2</sub>Mn/Cu(111)—model B (**d**) in their energetically most favourable structures. In (**b**–**d**) spin-resolved band structures obtained after unfolding procedure for the graphene (1 × 1) primitive cell are presented for spin-up channel. The color of the point (yellow–orange–violet color scale) gives the information about the number of primitive cell bands crossing particular (*k*, *E*) in the unfolded procedure, i.e., the partial density of states at (*k*, *E*).



Figure 3. Site-decomposition of the valence band states of graphene in the vicinity of the K point as obtained for the graphene/ $Cu_2Mn/Cu(111)$ —model B structure.

The calculated band structures (only for spin-up channel) are shown in Fig. 2c,d for model A and model B, respectively (see also Fig. S5 for complete spin-resolved band structures). Figure 3a-c also shows the zoomed E - k region around the K point for the most energetically stable configuration of the graphene/Cu<sub>2</sub>Mn/Cu(111) system in model B for spin-up and spin-down channels, respectively. This figure represents the decomposition of the respective spin channels for graphene  $\pi$  states around the K point on the C-atoms projected weights corresponding to different carbon atoms in the unit cell of the graphene/Cu<sub>2</sub>Mn/Cu(111)-model B structure. From the presented data, it is clear that the existence of three different adsorption sites of carbon atoms in the unit cell for this structure leads to the respective splitting of the graphene  $\pi$  band around the K point in to three band's branches. For the first one, associated with the carbon atoms above the Cu-hcp atoms of the Cu(111) slab (Fig. 3a), the linear dispersion of the graphene  $\pi$  states is still conserved (although it is spin split) allowing to estimate the doping level of graphene and obtain the position of the Dirac points  $E_D - E_F = -0.525$  eV and  $E_D - E_F = -0.660$  eV for spin-up and spin-down channels, respectively. For carbon atoms adsorbed above the Cu-top atoms of the Cu<sub>2</sub>Mn layer (Fig. 3b), the linear dispersion is preserved only for spin-up channel and band gap at the Dirac point is opened for the spin-down channel. The most disturbed bands dispersions are found for the graphene bands branches associated with the carbon atoms above the Mn-top atoms of the Cu<sub>2</sub>Mn layer (Fig. 3c). The significant spin splitting of the bands of 0.215 eV in the later case can be assigned to the appearance of the corresponding magnetic moments of carbon atoms adsorbed above the Mn atoms, which have large magnetic moment. The respective energy splitting of the bands and formation of the band gap for these graphene bands branches can be associated with the hybridization of the graphene  $\pi$  and Mn 3*d* states ( $d_{xz}, d_{yz}, d_{z^2}$ ). The observed misbalance at the Fermi level of the respective weights for different spin channels of the graphene  $\pi$ states might lead to the different conductivity for spin-up and spin-down channels, which could be useful in future spintronics applications and can be a topic for further studies.

In Fig. 4 we also present calculated STM images of the graphene/Cu<sub>2</sub>Mn/ Cu(111)—model B system obtained in the framework of the Tersoff–Hamann approach for electronic states integrated between the Fermi level and energies of (a)  $E - E_F = -100$  meV and (b)  $E - E_F = +100$  meV. Both images demonstrate the appearance of the carbon rings in the STM experiment accompanied by the additional structure due to the symmetry of the Cu<sub>2</sub>Mn layer at the interface. These data can be used as a good reference in future studies of the structural and electronic properties of the graphene–Mn–Cu(111) system.

### Conclusions

Using state-of-the-art DFT calculations the electronic properties of different systems obtained via intercalation of Mn in graphene/Cu(111) were studied. Three models were considered—sharp graphene/Mn/Cu(111) interface and two configurations for the graphene/Cu<sub>2</sub>Mn/Cu(111) Cu–Mn alloy-based systems. In all cases graphene is found as strongly *n*-doped and in case of the sharp graphene/Mn/Cu(111) interface the Dirac cone is fully destroyed. For the most realistic case of the graphene–Mn–Cu(111) system, the formation of the ferromagnetic Cu<sub>2</sub>Mn alloy at the interface is found. In this case the strong magnetic moment of Mn atoms leads to the appearance of the induced magnetic moment of carbon atoms adsorbed on top of Mn. The formation of the Cu<sub>2</sub>Mn/Cu(111) slab below graphene also leads to the formation of the interesting band structure and spin topology of the graphene  $\pi$  states in the vicinity of the *K* point. Here, graphene-derived  $\pi$  bands are spin split and three branches are formed around the Dirac point, which can be assigned to different carbon sublattices in a graphene layer associated with different carbon atoms adsorption sites above the Cu<sub>2</sub>Mn/Cu(111) slab. Obtained spin configuration of the graphene  $\pi$  bands around the Fermi level could lead to different conductivity for spin-up and spin-down channels, which can be useful for the realization of the spintronics applications on the basis of graphene.



**Figure 4.** Simulated STM images of graphene/Cu<sub>2</sub>Mn/Cu(111)—model B (**a**) for occupied  $(E - E_F = -100 \text{ meV})$  and (**b**) unoccupied  $(E - E_F = +100 \text{ meV})$  states, respectively. The images correspond to a tunneling current of 1.2 nA<sup>46</sup>. The STM pictures are overlaid with the crystallographic structure of the studied system.

### Methods

Spin-polarized DFT calculations based on plane-wave basis sets of 500 eV cutoff energy were performed with the Vienna *ab initio* simulation package (VASP)<sup>47–49</sup>. The Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) exchange-correlation functional<sup>50</sup> was employed. The electron-ion interaction was described within the projector augmented wave (PAW) method<sup>51</sup> with C (2*s*,2*p*), Mn (3*p*,3*d*,4*s*), and Cu (3*d*,4*s*) states treated as valence states. The Brillouinzone integration was performed on  $\Gamma$ -centered symmetry reduced Monkhorst-Pack meshes using a Methfessel-Paxton smearing method of first order with  $\sigma = 0.15$  eV, except for the calculation of total energies. For these calculations, the tetrahedron method with Blöchl corrections<sup>52</sup> was employed. The *k* mesh for sampling the supercell Brillouin zone are chosen to be as dense as at least 24 × 24, when folded up to the simple graphene unit cell. Dispersion interactions were considered adding a 1/*r*<sup>6</sup> atom-atom term as parameterized by Grimme ("D2" parameterization)<sup>53</sup>. During structure optimization, the convergence criteria for energy was set equal to  $10^{-6}$  eV. The band structures calculated for the studied systems were unfolded (if necessary) to the graphene (1 × 1) primitive unit cell according to the procedure described in Refs.<sup>54,55</sup> with the code BandUP. The STM images are calculated using the Tersoff-Hamann formalism<sup>56</sup>.

The studied interfaces are modeled by a slab consisting of 10 Cu-layers, a graphene adsorbed on the top side of the slab, and a vacuum gap of at least 20 Å. The bottom layer of the slab is protected by H-atoms. In the case of the graphene–Mn–Cu(111) system, a Mn layer is added between graphene and Cu(111). In order to describe graphene as realistically as possible, the lattice constant of copper is set to be compatible with the optimized graphene lattice constant (a = 2.4636 Å). During the structural optimization, all the *x*, *y*, *z*-coordinates of carbon atoms as well as *z*-coordinates of the top three layers of metal atoms are relaxed until forces became smaller than  $2 \times 10^{-2}$  eV/Å.

Received: 18 August 2020; Accepted: 13 November 2020 Published online: 10 December 2020

#### References

- 1. Novoselov, K. S. et al. Electric field effect in atomically thin carbon films. Science 306, 666-669 (2004).
- 2. Geim, A. K. & Novoselov, K. S. The rise of graphene. Nat. Mater. 6, 183-191 (2007).
- 3. Katsnelson, M. I., Novoselov, K. S. & Geim, A. K. Chiral tunnelling and the Klein paradox in graphene. Nat. Phys. 2, 620–625 (2006).
- 4. Huard, B. et al. Transport measurements across a tunable potential barrier in graphene. Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 236803 (2007).
- 5. Novoselov, K. S. *et al.* Two-dimensional gas of massless Dirac fermions in graphene. *Nature* **438**, 197–200 (2005).
- Zhang, Y., Tan, Y.-W., Stormer, H. L. & Kim, P. Experimental observation of the quantum Hall effect and Berry's phase in graphene. *Nature* 438, 201–204 (2005).
- 7. Novoselov, K. S. *et al.* Unconventional quantum Hall effect and Berry's phase of  $2\pi$  in bilayer graphene. *Nat. Phys.* **2**, 177–180 (2006).
- 8. McCann, E. & Fal'ko, V. I. Landau-level degeneracy and quantum Hall effect in a graphite bilayer. Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 086805 (2006).
- Cao, Y. *et al.* Unconventional superconductivity in magic-angle graphene superlattices. *Nature* 556, 43–50 (2018).
  Weatherup, R. S. *et al.* Long-term passivation of strongly interacting metals with single-layer graphene. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 137,
- 14358–14366 (2015).
- Bae, S. *et al.* Roll-to-roll production of 30-inch graphene films for transparent electrodes. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 5, 574–578 (2010).
  Ryu, J. *et al.* Fast synthesis of high-performance graphene films by hydrogen-free rapid thermal chemical vapor deposition. *ACS Nano* 8, 950–956 (2014).
- 13. Schedin, F. et al. Detection of individual gas molecules adsorbed on graphene. Nat. Mater. 6, 652-655 (2007).
- 14. Karpan, V. M. et al. Graphite and graphene as perfect spin filters. Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 176602 (2007).
- Dedkov, Y. S., Fonin, M. & Laubschat, C. A possible source of spin-polarized electrons: The inert graphene/Ni(111) system. Appl. Phys. Lett. 92, 052506 (2008).

- 16. Dlubak, B. et al. Graphene-passivated nickel as an oxidation-resistant electrode for spintronics. ACS Nano 6, 10930–10934 (2012).
- 17. Dedkov, Y. & Voloshina, E. Graphene growth and properties on metal substrates. J. Phys. Condens. Matter 27, 303002 (2015).
  - Yang, M., Liu, Y., Fan, T. & Zhang, D. Metal-graphene interfaces in epitaxial and bulk systems: A review. Prog. Mater. Sci. 110, 100652 (2020).
  - Voloshina, E. & Dedkov, Y. Graphene on metallic surfaces: Problems and perspectives. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 14, 13502–13514 (2012).
- 20. Pletikosic, I. et al. Dirac cones and minigaps for graphene on Ir(111). Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 056808 (2009).
- 21. Klimovskikh, I. *et al.* Spin–orbit coupling induced gap in graphene on Pt(111) with intercalated Pb monolayer. *ACS Nano* **11**, 368–374 (2017).
- 22. Khomyakov, P. A. *et al.* First-principles study of the interaction and charge transfer between graphene and metals. *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 195425 (2009).
- 23. Gottardi, S. et al. Comparing graphene growth on Cu(111) versus oxidized Cu(111). Nano Lett. 15, 917-922 (2015).
- Bertoni, G., Calmels, L., Altibelli, A. & Serin, V. First-principles calculation of the electronic structure and EELS spectra at the graphene/Ni(111) interface. *Phys. Rev. B* 71, 075402 (2005).
- 25. Eom, D. et al. Structure and electronic properties of graphene nanoislands on Co(0001). Nano Lett. 9, 2844 (2009).
- 26. Marchini, S., Günther, S. & Wintterlin, J. Scanning tunneling microscopy of graphene on Ru(0001). Phys. Rev. B 76, 075429 (2007).
- Voloshina, E. N. et al. Structural and electronic properties of the graphene/Al/Ni(111) intercalation system. New J. Phys. 13, 113028 (2011).
- Generalov, A. V., Voloshina, E. N. & Dedkov, Y. S. Structural and electronic properties of graphene-based junctions for spinfiltering: The graphene/Al/Ni(111) intercalation-like system. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 267, 8–11 (2013).
- 29. Voloshina, E. & Dedkov, Y. Realistic large-scale modeling of Rashba and induced spin-orbit effects in graphene/high-Z-metal systems. *Adv. Theory Simul.* **1**, 1800063 (2018).
- 30. Dedkov, Y. S. et al. Intercalation of copper underneath a monolayer of graphite on Ni(111). Phys. Rev. B 64, 035405 (2001).
- 31. Vita, H. *et al.* Understanding the origin of band gap formation in graphene on metals: Graphene on Cu/Ir(111). *Sci. Rep.* **4**, 5704 (2014).
- Weser, M., Voloshina, E. N., Horn, K. & Dedkov, Y. S. Electronic structure and magnetic properties of the graphene/Fe/Ni(111) intercalation-like system. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 13, 7534–7539 (2011).
- 33. Voloshina, E. *et al.* Electronic structure and magnetic properties of graphene/Ni<sub>3</sub>Mn/Ni(111) trilayer. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 123, 4994–5002 (2019).
- Profeta, G., Calandra, M. & Mauri, F. Phonon-mediated superconductivity in graphene by lithium deposition. Nat. Phys. 8, 131–134 (2012).
- 35. Tamai, A. et al. Spin-orbit splitting of the Shockley surface state on Cu(111). Phys. Rev. B 87, 075113 (2013).
- Martínez-Galera, A. J., Brihuega, I. & Gómes-Rodríguez, J. M. Ethylene irradiation: A new route to grow graphene on low reactivity metals. Nano Lett. 11, 3576–3580 (2011).
- 37. Walter, A. L. et al. Electronic structure of graphene on single-crystal copper substrates. Phys. Rev. B 84, 195443 (2011).
- Tesch, J. *et al.* Structural and electronic properties of gaphene nanoflakes on Au(111) and Ag(111). *Sci. Rep.* 6, 23439 (2016).
  Tesch, J., Voloshina, E., Jubitz, M., Dedkov, Y. & Fonin, M. Local electronic properties of the graphene-protected giant Rashba-split BiAg, surface. *Phys. Rev. B* 95, 155428 (2017).
- 40. Leicht, P. et al. In situ fabrication of quasi-free-standing epitaxial graphene nanoflakes on gold. ASC Nano 8, 3735-3742 (2014).
- Voloshina, E. N. & Dedkov, Y. S. General approach to understanding the electronic structure of graphene on metals. *Mater. Res. Express* 1, 035603 (2014).
- 42. Gao, L., Guest, J. R. & Guisinger, N. P. Epitaxial graphene on Cu(111). Nano Lett. 10, 3512-3516 (2010).
- Schneider, J., Rosenhahn, A. & Wandelt, K. STM measurements on alloy formation during submonolayer growth of Mn on Cu(111). Appl. Surf. Sci. 142, 68–74 (1999).
- 44. Bihlmayer, G., Kurz, Ph. & Blügel, S. Overlayers, interlayers, and surface alloys of Mn on the Cu(111) surface. *Phys. Rev. B* 62, 4726–4732 (2000).
- 45. Chen, W. et al. Suppression of grain boundaries in graphene gowth on superstructured Mn-Cu(111) surface. Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 265507 (2012).
- 46. Hofer, W. A., Foster, A. S. & Shluger, A. L. Theories of scanning probe microscopes at the atomic scale. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 75, 1287–1331 (2003).
- 47. Kresse, G. & Furthmüller, J. Efficiency of ab-Initio total energy calculations for metals and semiconductors using a plane-wave basis set. *Comput. Mater. Sci.* **6**, 15–50 (1996).
- Kresse, G. & Hafner, J. Norm-conserving and ultrasoft pseudopotentials for first-row and transition elements. J. Phys. Condens. Matter 6, 8245–8257 (1994).
- 49. Kresse, G. & Hafner, J. Ab initio molecular dynamics for liquid metals. Phys. Rev. B 47, 558-561 (1993).
- 50. Perdew, J. P., Burke, K. & Ernzerhof, M. Generalized gradient approximation made simple. Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 1396-1396 (1997).
- 51. Blöchl, P. E. Projector augmented-wave method. Phys. Rev. B 50, 17953-17979 (1994).
- Blöchl, P. E., Jepsen, O. & Andersen, O. K. Improved tetrahedron method for Brillouin-zone integrations. *Phys. Rev. B* 49, 16223– 16233 (1994).
- Grimme, S. Semiempirical GGA-type density functional constructed with a long-range dispersion correction. J. Comput. Chem. 27, 1787–1799 (2006).
- Medeiros, P. V. C., Stafström, S. & Björk, J. Effects of extrinsic and intrinsic perturbations on the electronic structure of graphene: Retaining an effective primitive cell band structure by band unfolding. *Phys. Rev. B* 89, 041407 (2014).
- Medeiros, P. V. C., Tsirkin, S. S., Stafström, S. & Björk, J. Unfolding spinor wave functions and expectation values of general operators: Introducing the unfolding-density operator. *Phys. Rev. B* 91, 041116 (2015).
- 56. Tersoff, J. & Hamann, D. R. Theory of the scanning tunneling microscope. Phys. Rev. B 31, 805-813 (1985).

### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant no. 21973059).

### Author contributions

Y.D. proposed the study. Q.G. and E.V. performed DFT calculations. All authors contribute in the analysis of data and writing of manuscript.

### Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

## Additional information

**Supplementary Information** The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-78583-w.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Y.D. or E.V.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2020