

Rheumatic & Musculoskeletal Diseases

Impact of intensive treatment and remission on health-related quality of life in early and established rheumatoid arthritis

I C Scott,^{1,2} F Ibrahim,³ C M Lewis,² D L Scott,³ V Strand⁴

ABSTRACT

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Objectives: To establish if using intensive treatment to reduce synovitis and attain remission in active rheumatoid arthritis (RA) improves all aspects of health-related quality of life (HRQoL).

Methods: A secondary analysis of two randomised clinical trials (CARDERA and TACIT) was undertaken. CARDERA randomised 467 patients with early active RA to different disease-modifying antirheumatic drug (DMARD) regimens, including high-dose tapering corticosteroids. TACIT randomised 205 established patients with active RA to combination DMARDs (cDMARDs) or tumour necrosis factor- α inhibitors (TNFis). Short-Form 36 (SF-36) measured HRQoL across eight domains, generating physical (PCS) and mental (MCS) component summary scores. Linear regression evaluated 6-month intensive treatment impacts. Mean SF-36 scores, stratified by end point disease activity category, were compared with age/ gender-matched population scores.

Results: In CARDERA, intensive corticosteroid treatment gave significantly greater improvements in PCS but not MCS scores relative to placebo. In TACIT, all eight SF-36 domains had improvements from baseline exceeding minimal clinically important differences with cDMARDs and TNFis. Significantly greater improvements with TNFi relative to cDMARDs were reported in PCS only (p=0.034), after adjusting for covariates. Remission provided the best SF-36 profiles, but scores in physical functioning, role physical and general health in both trials remained below normative values. Patient global assessment of disease activity had a greater association with HRQoL than other disease activity score (DAS28) components.

Conclusions: Intensive corticosteroid treatment in early RA improves physical but not mental health, relative to placebo. In established RA, cDMARDs and TNFi provide similar improvements in HRQoL. As remission optimises but fails to normalise HRQoL, a focus on treatment strategies targeting HRQoL is required.

Trial registration numbers: CARDERA was registered as ISRCTN 32484878. TACIT was registered as ISRCTN 37438295; pre-results.

Key messages

What is already known about this subject?

- An important treatment goal in rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is optimising health-related quality of life (HRQoL).
- ► It is uncertain if intensive treatment and remission improve all aspects of HRQoL, with previous placebo-controlled trials of tumour necrosis factor- α inhibitors (TNFis) combined with methotrexate suggesting greater benefits on physical than mental health.

What does this study add?

- This secondary analysis of two randomised clinical trials shows that in early active RA, intensive corticosteroid therapy improves physical but not mental HRQoL relative to placebo, and in established active RA, intensive treatment with combination disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs and TNFis has similar impacts on HRQoL.
- It also demonstrates that in patients with previously active RA, attaining remission substantially improves, but fails to normalise, HRQoL (especially in established disease), and that the patient global assessment (PtGA) of disease activity has a strong association with HRQoL.

How might this impact on clinical practice?

In order to optimise HRQoL in RA, it may be helpful to place an increased focus on improving PtGA scores; additional treatments based on reducing pain, fatigue and improving mood, which are likely to improve PtGA scores, may be of benefit in HRQoL.

INTRODUCTION

Treating rheumatoid arthritis (RA) with disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs), biologics and corticosteroids is primarily intended to reduce synovitis. Efficacy is assessed by improving composite measures such as European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) response criteria,^{1 2}

Lewis CM, *et al.* Impact of intensive treatment and remission on health-related quality of life in early and established rheumatoid arthritis. *RMD Open* 2016;**2**: e000270. doi:10.1136/ rmdopen-2016-000270

To cite: Scott IC, Ibrahim F,

Prepublication history and additional material is available. To view please visit the journal (http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1136/rmdopen-2016-000270).

Received 25 February 2016 Revised 13 July 2016 Accepted 18 July 2016



¹Academic Department of Rheumatology. Centre for Molecular and Cellular Biology of Inflammation, King's College London, London, UK ²Department of Medical and Molecular Genetics, King's College London, Guy's Hospital, London, UK ³Department of Rheumatology, Weston Education Centre, King's College Hospital, London, UK ⁴Division of Immunology/ Rheumatology, Stanford University School of Medicine, Palo Alto, California, USA

Correspondence to Dr I C Scott; ian.scott@kcl.ac.uk



which mainly reflect reduced joint counts and acute phase markers. A key secondary goal is improving health-related quality of life (HRQoL). The treat-to-target (T2T) approach is based on the concept that HRQoL is maximised when synovitis is minimised through escalating drug treatment until patients achieve remission.³

HRQoL is a broad, multidimensional concept spanning physical and mental health, function, social support and socioeconomic status.⁴ Patients with RA in remission have substantially improved HRQoL compared with patients in higher disease activity states.⁵ However, intensive treatment and remission may not improve all aspects of HRQoL equally. Placebo-controlled trials evaluating treatment with tumour necrosis factor- α inhibitors (TNFis) combined with methotrexate suggest greater benefits for physical than mental health in DMARD-inadequate responders (DMARD-IRs),⁶ with no impact on mental health in methotrexate-naïve patients.^{7 8} Focusing on minimising synovitis using intensive drug treatment risks overlooking aspects of HRQoL affected by pain, mood, anxiety, joint damage and deconditioning.

Our goal was to establish if using intensive treatment to reduce synovitis and attain remission improves all aspects of HRQoL. We undertook secondary analyses of two randomised clinical trials of intensive treatment in patients with early and established active RA. HRQoL was captured using the Short-Form 36 (SF-36). We had three aims: (1) establishing how intensive treatment with high-dose tapering corticosteroids, TNFi and combination DMARDs (cDMARDs) affects SF-36 domains; (2) evaluating the impact of remission and other disease activity score (DAS28)-defined disease activity categories on SF-36 domains and (3) evaluating which DAS28 components have the strongest associations with HRQoL.

METHODS Patients

We studied patients in the Combination Anti-Rheumatic Drugs in Early RA (CARDERA) and TNFi versus combination intensive therapy with conventional DMARDs in established RA (TACIT) trials; their primary results have been reported.^{9 10}

CARDERA recruited 467 patients with active early RA (<2 years duration) from 42 English centres. Patients were randomised to receive methotrexate alongside high-dose rapidly reducing corticosteroids,¹¹ ciclosporin, placebo or both active treatments in a factorial design. Patients were assessed 6-monthly for 24 months.

TACIT recruited 205 patients with active established RA (>1 year duration) from 24 English centres. Patients were randomised to receive (a) TNFi (adalimumab, etanercept or infliximab), with a TNFi switch after 6 months if DAS28(ESR) reduction was <1.2; (b) intensive cDMARDs, with TNFi initiated after 6 months if DAS28(ESR) reduction was <1.2. Patients were assessed 6-monthly for 12 months.

Health-related quality of life Short-Form 36

SF-36 evaluated HROoL in both trials. It measures HRQoL across eight domains: physical functioning (PF) -ability to perform physical activities; role physical (RP) --interference with work and other daily activities; bodily pain (BP); general health (GH)-how individuals evaluate their health; vitality (VT)-fatigue, pep and energy; social functioning (SF)-interference with social activities; role emotional (RE)-interference with work/ daily activities due to emotional problems; general mental health (MH)-nervousness and depression.¹² These domains are scored 0-100; higher scores indicate better health. Domain scores can be normalised, z-transformed and combined into physical and mental component summary scores (PCS and MCS) providing summary measures of physical and mental health, relative to population means of 50 (SD 10).¹³ The PCS positively weights the physical domains and VT and negatively weights the other mental domains; the MCS positively weights the four mental domains and negatively weights the four physical domains. Weights used are factor score coefficients derived from the general population.¹³ Minimal clinically important differences (MCIDs) in SF-36 domain and component summary scores are 5.0 and 2.5 units, respectively.¹⁴

Short-Form 6D

SF-36 scores were converted into the Short-Form 6D (SF-6D), a health utility measure ranging from 0.290 (worst health) to 1.000 (perfect health), using the Ara and Brazier algorithm.¹⁵ ¹⁶ Its minimum important difference (MID) is 0.041.¹⁷

Analysis time point

We evaluated treatment effects on HRQoL over the first 6 months in CARDERA and TACIT for two reasons. First, the COBRA corticosteroid regimen in CARDERA (initially 60 mg/day, tapered to 7.5 mg/day by weeks 7–28 and stopped by week 36)¹¹ had maximal effects at 6 months. Second, in TACIT after 6-month cDMARD treatment, 41% of patients switched to TNFis; 6-month results specifically compared TNFi with cDMARDs. To ensure 6 months was not too early a time point to observe treatment effects on HRQoL, we undertook a sensitivity analysis looking at treatment effects on HRQoL over 12 months (see online supplementary table 1).

The impact of remission and DAS28 components on HRQoL was assessed at study end points (24 months in CARDERA; 12 months in TACIT) when remission rates were greatest.

Statistical analysis

Impact of treatment on SF-36

Treatment effects were evaluated using linear regression models including the 6-month changes in each SF-36 domain and summary score as the response variable. An unadjusted model included treatment (active vs placebo corticosteroids in CARDERA; TNFi vs cDMARD therapy in TACIT) as the explanatory variable. An adjusted model included treatment, baseline SF-36 domain/ summary score, age, sex and disease duration as explanatory variables.

Impact of remission and disease activity categories on SF-36

Mean SF-36 domain scores at the final time point in CARDERA and TACIT were plotted on spydergrams stratified by (a) DAS28(ESR) activity category: remission (DAS28(ESR) < 2.6), low disease activity $(LDA; \geq 2.6$ to <3.2), moderate disease activity (MDA; 3.2-5.1), high disease activity (HDA; >5.1) and (b) remission versus non-remission according to each DAS28 component: tender joint count (TJC) <1, swollen joint count (SJC) \leq 1, patient global assessment (PtGA) of disease activity on a 100 mm visual analogue scale <10, erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) $\leq 20 \text{ mm/hour.}$ These component cut-offs represent the preliminary American College of Rheumatology (ACR)/EULAR Boolean-based definition of RA remission for clinical trials.¹⁸ As C reactive protein (CRP) data were not available, a normal ESR level was considered indicative of acute phase response remission.

Associations between DAS28 components and SF-36 summary scores

To minimise type I error from multiple testing (4 DAS28 components; 8 health domains), associations between DAS28(ESR) components and PCS and MCS were tested. Linear regression models used final time point PCS and MCS scores as response variables, and SJC, TJC, ESR and PtGA as explanatory variables, adjusted for covariates (treatment, age, sex and disease duration). Model 1 tested each DAS28(ESR) component separately. Model 2 included all DAS28(ESR) components as explanatory variables. To ensure multicollinearity between DAS28(ESR) components was not an issue in model 2, variance inflation factors (VIFs) were calculated for each predictor; VIF was <2 for all explanatory variables.¹⁹ Standardised β values were calculated enabling direct comparison of effect sizes of each DAS28 (ESR) component on PCS and MCS.

Missing data imputation

In CARDERA, missing data had been imputed at all time points using last observation carried forward (LOCF) analysis.¹⁰ Missing data were imputed in 19% of patients at 24 months; an observed case analysis had excluded a significant impact of the LOCF assumption on the study end points, which included PCS and MCS scores. For consistency across studies, we imputed missing TACIT data using LOCF (undertaken at 6 months in 5 and 18 patients for SF-36 domain scores and DAS28(ESR) components, respectively, and at 12 months in 15 and 16 patients for SF-36 domain scores and DAS28(ESR) components, respectively). We undertook an additional analysis using non-imputed TACIT data to ensure our findings were not biased by LOCF imputation (see online supplementary tables 2 and 3).

Normative SF-36 profiles

Age-matched and gender-matched US normative scores (A/G norms) were generated for CARDERA and TACIT protocol populations using data published in SF-36 manuals and updates.²⁰ It was not possible to use UK A/G norms as these data are not publicly available, although existing studies have highlighted similarities in mean SF-36 domain scores between UK and US populations.^{21 22}

Statistical software

Analyses were performed in R (V.3.1.3).

Ethics, consent and permissions

CARDERA (ISRCTN 32484878 and Research Ethics Committee (REC) reference: MREC (1) 99/04) and TACIT (ISRCTN 37438295 and REC reference: MREC Ref 07/Q0505/57) were approved by research ethics committees. All patients provided informed written consent.

RESULTS

Baseline characteristics

In both trials, most patients were female, with a mean age in the sixth decade (table 1). As expected from the inclusion criteria for each trial, mean disease duration in TACIT (8.2 years) was higher than CARDERA (0.3 years). TACIT patients also had higher baseline DAS28(ESR) (mean 6.26) compared with CARDERA (mean 5.78), attributable to higher TJC and PtGA scores. Baseline health assessment questionnaire (HAQ) levels were slightly higher in TACIT (mean 1.85) than CARDERA (mean 1.59). PCS scores were lower in TACIT (mean 26.0) than CARDERA (mean 29.6); MCS scores were similar in both trials (CARDERA mean 39.8; TACIT mean 39.2).

Within-trial comparisons of baseline patient characteristics between treatment arms showed patients were well matched (table 1). Significant differences were observed between treatment arms for some SF-36 domains: in CARDERA, SF, RE and MCS scores were significantly higher in the active steroid group; in TACIT, SF was significantly lower in the TNFi group.

Impact of corticosteroids versus placebo on SF-36 domains

In CARDERA, 6-month increases in mean scores exceeding MCID were reported in all eight SF-36 domains with active and placebo corticosteroids, with the exception of GH in the placebo arm (figure 1). Active corticosteroids resulted in significant improvements in all physical health domains, relative to placebo (table 2). Over 6-months increases in PF, RP, BP, GH and PCS scores were estimated to be 7.97 (p<0.001), 7.34 (p=0.047), 8.14 (p<0.001), 5.00 (p=0.003) and 3.78 (p<0.001) units

Table i Fallent baseline c						
	CARDERA (n=46	7)	TACIT (n=205)			
Characteristic	Placebo (n=236)	Steroids (n=231)	p Value	cDMARDs (n=104)	TNFi (n=101)	p Value
Demographic						
Number (%) female	157 (67)	166 (72)	0.251	73 (70)	79 (78)	0.249
Age (years)	53.8 (13.6)	54.5 (11.5)	0.567	58.0 (12.9)	56.7 (11.0)	0.459
RA specific				· ·		
Disease duration (years)	0.3 (0.4)	0.4 (0.5)	0.082	7.3 (8.4)	9.2 (9.2)	0.126
DAS28	5.84 (1.27)	5.71 (1.30)	0.266	6.21 (0.92)	6.30 (0.81)	0.461
SJC	10.0 (6.5)	9.7 (6.1)	0.577	10.5 (6.1)	10.8 (6.7)	0.753
ESR	40.9 (28.9)	40.8 (29.6)	0.979	33.1 (26.1)	30.1 (22.8)	0.379
TJC	12.3 (7.4)	11.3 (7.8)	0.130	16.4 (7.1)	17.5 (6.7)	0.259
PtGA	54.3 (26.7)	55.6 (26.0)	0.582	68.1 (19.7)	68.2 (21.3)	0.988
HAQ	1.59 (0.68)	1.59 (0.69)	0.984	1.80 (0.59)	1.90 (0.67)	0.251
HRQoL						
PF	33.6 (24.5)	34.3 (24.0)	0.754	30.1 (22.6)	24.6 (20.9)	0.067
RP	14.4 (29.5)	17.9 (32.8)	0.233	14.9 (30.1)	12.4 (26.1)	0.521
BP	33.2 (21.0)	34.4 (21.4)	0.541	28.1 (16.3)	26.3 (17.8)	0.435
GH	44.4 (21.1)	46.4 (19.9)	0.305	35.8 (18.2)	31.4 (16.8)	0.074
VT	31.2 (20.3)	34.7 (20.7)	0.063	30.3 (21.4)	26.6 (19.0)	0.185
SF	48.3 (31.2)	54.4 (29.7)	0.030	50.2 (25.2)	42.1 (25.3)	0.022
RE	38.3 (43.7)	46.5 (44.9)	0.046	43.9 (44.9)	35.3 (44.9)	0.172
MH	59.5 (20.0)	61.6 (19.0)	0.252	61.9 (20.2)	58.8 (23.1)	0.305
PCS	29.6 (8.5)	29.6 (9.5)	0.969	26.5 (7.5)	25.5 (7.8)	0.356
MCS	38.3 (15.2)	41.3 (14.3)	0.025	40.8 (14.8)	37.6 (14.6)	0.120

Data given as mean (SD) unless otherwise stated; p values for continuous and categorical variables derived from t-tests and χ^2 tests, respectively.

BP, bodily pain; cDMARDs, combination disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs; DAS28, disease activity score; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; GH, general health; HRQoL, health-related quality of life; MCS, mental component summary; MH, mental health; PCS, physical component summary; PF, physical functioning; PtGA, patient global assessment of disease activity; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; RE, role emotional; RP, role physical; SF, social functioning; SJC, swollen joint count; TJC, tender joint count; TNFi, tumour necrosis factor-α inhibitor; VT, vitality.

greater with corticosteroids than placebo, respectively, when evaluated using the adjusted linear regression model. In contrast, corticosteroids had no effect on any mental health domains when evaluated using unadjusted and adjusted linear regression models. Despite improving physical health, 6-month SF-36 profiles remained substantially lower than those of A/G norms (figure 1). A sensitivity analysis evaluating the impact of corticosteroids on changes in SF-36 domain scores over 12 months showed no treatment effect of corticosteroids compared with placebo, suggesting the benefits of high-dose tapering corticosteroids on physical HRQoL are not sustained over time (see online supplementary table S1).

Impact of TNFi versus cDMARDs on SF-36 domains

In TACIT, 6-month increases in mean scores exceeding MCID were reported in all eight SF-36 domains with cDMARDs and TNFi (figure 1). A total of 6 months of TNFi therapy provided a significantly greater improvement in PCS compared with cDMARD therapy (table 2); the increase in PCS was estimated to be 3.04 units greater (p=0.034) with TNFi compared with cDMARDs when evaluated using the adjusted model. Significantly greater improvements were reported in PF, GH and VT in the unadjusted model, although these domains lost

significance after adjusting for their baseline scores and other covariates. As in CARDERA, despite TNFi and cDMARD therapy improving SF-36 domains, HRQoL remained substantially below that of A/G norms in both treatment arms (figure 1). A sensitivity analysis evaluating the impact of TNFi versus cDMARDs on changes in SF-36 domain scores over 12 months showed no effect of TNFi versus cDMARDs (see online supplementary table S1).

Impact of remission and disease activity categories on SF-36 domains

SF-36 domains by DAS28 category

In both trials, increases in all SF-36 domains were observed with reducing DAS28(ESR) disease activity categories (figures 2 and 3). Remission provided the highest mean SF-36 domain scores in CARDERA and TACIT.

In CARDERA (figure 2), patients in remission reported mean scores 9.6, 2.9, 3.9 and 5.0 units lower than A/G norms for PF, RP, GH and RE, respectively. Mean scores in BP, VT, SF and MH were 7.9, 1.6, 3.1 and 4.8 units higher, respectively.

In TACIT (figure 3), patients in remission reported mean scores 19.6, 9.8, 3.3, 13.3, 5.7, 3.1 and 0.7 units lower than A/G norms for PF, RP, BP, GH, VT, SF and

A CARDERA - SF-36 at Baseline and 6 Months



B TACIT- SF-36 at Baseline and 6 Months



Figure 1 Mean Short-Form 36 (SF-36) domain scores at baseline and 6 months in patients receiving active or placebo corticosteroids, cDMARDs or TNFis. (A) Patients with early RA in the CARDERA trial randomised to receive either active or placebo high-dose tapering corticosteroids (baseline placebo and 6 months placebo=mean SF-36 domain scores at 0 and 6 months in patients receiving placebo corticosteroids: baseline active and 6 months active=mean SF-36 domain scores at 0 and 6 months in patients receiving active corticosteroids: A/G norms=mean SF-36 domain scores in an age-matched and gender-matched normative US population). (B) Patients with established RA in the TACIT trial randomised to receive either cDMARD or TNFi (baseline cDMARD and 6 months cDMARD=mean SF-36 domain scores at 0 and 6 months in patients receiving cDMARDs; baseline TNFi and 6 months TNFi=mean SF-36 domain scores at 0 and 6 months in patients receiving TNFi; A/G norms=mean SF-36 domain scores in an age-matched and gender-matched normative US population). BP, bodily pain; cDMARD, combination disease-modifying antirheumatic drug; GH, general health; MH, mental health; PF, physical functioning; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; RE, role emotional; RP, role physical; SF, social functioning; TNFi, tumour necrosis factor- α inhibitor; VT, vitality.

RE, respectively. Mean scores in MH were 2.7 units higher.

SF-36 domains by DAS28 component remission

In both CARDERA and TACIT, PtGA-defined remission resulted in the highest mean domain scores and ESR-defined remission resulted in the lowest mean domain scores, compared with remission defined by other DAS28(ESR) components (figures 2 and 3).

Association between DAS28 components and SF-36 summary scores

In CARDERA, all four DAS28(ESR) components had significant associations with PCS and MCS scores at 24 months in both models (model 1 testing each component separately; model 2 testing all components in same model) except TJC, which did not have a significant association with PCS in model 2 (p=0.977; table 3). PtGA had the largest effect on PCS (model 1 standardised β =-0.57) and MCS (model 1 standardised β =-0.44) scores.

In TACIT, SJC, TJC and PtGA, but not ESR had significant associations with PCS and MCS scores in model 1 (table 3); as in CARDERA, the largest effect size was observed with PtGA (PCS and MCS model 1 standardised β values of -0.43 and -0.41, respectively). Only PtGA retained a significant association with PCS (p<0.001) and MCS (p<0.001) scores in model 2.

SF-6D utility scores

SF-6D scores improved in CARDERA and TACIT with all treatments. In CARDERA, SF-6D scores increased from 0.594 to 0.669 (change 0.076) over 6 months with active corticosteroids, and from 0.577 to 0.633 (change 0.057) with placebo. In TACIT, SF-6D scores increased from 0.548 to 0.629 (change 0.081) over 6 months with TNFi and 0.574 to 0.626 (change 0.052) with cDMARDs. Improvements in both treatment arms in both trials exceeded MID.

DISCUSSION

We have undertaken secondary analyses of two completed randomised clinical trials in early and established RA. Our first aim was to evaluate the effect of intensive treatments-high-dose corticosteroids versus placebo in early RA, and cDMARDs versus TNFis in established RA -on SF-36 domains during the first 6 months of treatment. With all active treatments, there were improvements in all eight SF-36 domains, which exceeded MCIDs (5 units). However, in early RA, corticosteroids only provided improvements beyond placebo in physical but not mental SF-36 domains. Furthermore, in established RA, there were similar improvements with cDMARDs and TNFis. These results show that in these two trials intensive treatments resulted in limited additional benefits on HRQoL compared with less intensive treatments.

	CARDERA				TACIT				
	Model 1: Unadjusted		Model 2: Adjusted		Model 1: Unadjusted		Model 2: Adjusted		
SF-36 domain	β (SE)	p Value	β (SE)	p Value	β (SE)	p Value	β (SE)	p Value	
PF	7.55 (2.27)	<0.001	7.97 (2.18)	<0.001	8.61 (3.83)	0.026	5.43 (3.62)	0.135	
RP	5.52 (3.94)	0.162	7.34 (3.69)	0.047	5.05 (6.28)	0.423	1.55 (5.78)	0.789	
BP	7.59 (2.34)	0.001	8.14 (2.20)	<0.001	5.93 (3.29)	0.073	3.97 (2.89)	0.170	
GH	4.52 (1.78)	0.011	5.00 (1.68)	0.003	7.38 (2.97)	0.014	4.16 (2.64)	0.117	
VT	1.39 (1.86)	0.454	2.60 (1.76)	0.140	7.46 (3.28)	0.024	4.72 (2.91)	0.107	
SF	1.86 (2.69)	0.488	4.57 (2.42)	0.060	6.01 (3.94)	0.129	0.11 (3.47)	0.975	
RE	-1.51 (4.52)	0.739	4.36 (3.82)	0.254	1.72 (7.72)	0.824	-6.93 (6.25)	0.269	
MH	-0.23 (1.77)	0.898	0.66 (1.61)	0.684	1.09 (3.45)	0.753	-2.25 (2.76)	0.415	
PCS	3.84 (1.02)	<0.001	3.78 (0.97)	<0.001	3.70 (1.51)	0.015	3.04 (1.42)	0.034	
MCS	-1.33 (1.32)	0.316	0.36 (1.16)	0.757	0.85 (2.29)	0.710	-1.99 (1.91)	0.301	

Adjusted model includes following covariates: age, gender, disease duration and baseline SF-36 domain/summary score; cDMARD used as treatment reference group in TACIT analysis.

BP, bodily pain; cDMARD, combination disease-modifying antirheumatic drug; GH, general health; MCS, mental component summary; MH, mental health; PCS, physical component summary; PF, physical functioning; RE, role emotional; RP, role physical; SF, social functioning; SF-36, Short-Form 36; VT, vitality.



Figure 2 Mean Short-Form 36 (SF-36) domain scores stratified by DAS28-defined disease activity category, and DAS28-component defined remission in CARDERA. (A) Mean SF-36 domain scores in patients in remission (DAS28<2.6), low disease activity (LDA; \geq 2.6–<3.2), moderate disease activity (MDA; 3.2–5.1) and high disease activity (HDA; >5.1). (B) Mean scores in patients meeting/not meeting tender joint count (TJC)-defined remission (TJC \leq 1). (C) Mean scores in patients meeting/not meeting patient global assessment (PtGA) of disease activity-defined remission (100 mm PtGA \leq 10). (E) Mean scores in patients meeting/not meeting erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR)-defined remission (ESR \leq 20 mm/hour). These DAS28-component cut-offs represent the preliminary American College of Rheumatology (ACR)/European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) Boolean-based definition of rheumatoid arthritis (RA) remission for clinical trials. A/G norms=mean SF-36 domain scores in age-matched and gender-matched individuals from the normative US population. Space between grid lines is 10 units, which represents twice minimal clinically important differences (MCIDs). BP, bodily pain; GH, general health; MH, mental health; PF, physical functioning; RE, role emotional; RP, role physical; SF, social functioning; VT, vitality.



Figure 3 Mean Short-Form 36 (SF-36) domain scores stratified by DAS28-defined disease activity category, and DAS28-component defined remission in TACIT. (A) Mean SF-36 domain scores in patients in remission (DAS28<2.6), low disease activity (LDA; \geq 2.6–<3.2), moderate disease activity (MDA; 3.2–5.1) and high disease activity (HDA; >5.1). (B) Mean scores in patients meeting/not meeting tender joint count (TJC)-defined remission (TJC \leq 1). (C) Mean scores in patients meeting/not meeting patient global assessment (PtGA) of disease activity-defined remission (100 mm PtGA \leq 10). (E) Mean scores in patients meeting/not meeting erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR)-defined remission (ESR \leq 20 mm/hour). These DAS28-component cut-offs represent the preliminary American College of Rheumatology (ACR)/European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) Boolean-based definition of rheumatoid arthritis (RA) remission for clinical trials. A/G norms=mean SF-36 domain scores in an age-matched and gender-matched normative US population. Space between grid lines is 10 units, which represents twice minimal clinically important differences (MCIDs). BP, bodily pain; GH, general health; MH, mental health; PF, physical functioning; RE, role emotional; RP, role physical; SF, social functioning; VT, vitality.

Our second aim was to evaluate the impact of remission and other DAS28-defined disease activity categories on SF-36 domains at trial end points. Patients in remission had better HRQoL profiles than patients in higher disease activity states. However, scores in PF, RP and GH in both trials remained below normative values, with large deficits observed in established RA patients enrolled to TACIT. The IMPROVED trial suggested that in patients with early inflammatory arthritis, attaining prompt remission normalises HRQoL.²³ Overall, these findings suggest that in patients with established RA and in some patients with early RA, attaining remission does not normalise HRQoL, but attaining early remission in patients with early inflammatory arthritis may be sufficient to achieve this goal.

Our third aim was to assess which DAS28 components had the strongest associations with HRQoL at trial end points. In CARDERA and TACIT, PtGA had the strongest association with PCS and MCS scores; associations with SJC and ESR levels were weaker. Although different types of patient-reported outcomes such as PtGA and SF-36 component summary scores may be expected to be inter-related, our finding that PtGA was most strongly associated with physical and mental HRQoL compared with other DAS28 components is of clinical importance. It suggests that in order to optimise HROoL in RA, it might be helpful to place an increased focus on improving PtGA scores. In routine practice, the PtGA is not a key driver of rheumatologists' treatment decisions, with Dutch²⁴ and Canadian²⁵ studies of RA patients demonstrating that SJC and physician global assessment of

Table 3 Associations between DAS28 components and SF-36 PCS and MCS at final trial time points

	CARDERA				TACIT				
	Model 1: DAS28 components tested individually		Model 2: DAS28 components tested in same model		Model 1: DAS28 components tested individually		Model 2: DAS28 components tested in same model		
DAS28 component	Standardised β (SE)	p Value	Standardised β (SE)	p Value	Standardised β (SE)	p Value	Standardised β (SE)	p Value	
PCS									
SJC	-0.45 (0.04)	<0.001	-0.19 (0.05)	<0.001	-0.24 (0.07)	<0.001	-0.06 (0.08)	0.412	
TJC	-0.33 (0.04)	<0.001	0.00 (0.05)	0.977	-0.33 (0.07)	<0.001	-0.08 (0.09)	0.370	
ESR	-0.13 (0.05)	0.005	-0.08 (0.04)	0.036	-0.02 (0.07)	0.804	0.03 (0.07)	0.676	
PtGA	-0.57 (0.04)	<0.001	-0.45 (0.05)	<0.001	-0.43 (0.06)	<0.001	-0.36 (0.08)	<0.001	
MCS									
SJC	-0.29 (0.04)	<0.001	-0.12 (0.06)	0.029	-0.16 (0.07)	0.024	0.05 (0.08)	0.527	
TJC	-0.13 (0.05)	0.004	0.15 (0.05)	0.003	-0.34 (0.07)	<0.001	-0.16 (0.09)	0.080	
ESR	-0.14 (0.05)	0.004	-0.12 (0.04)	0.008	-0.04 (0.07)	0.578	0.00 (0.07)	0.974	
PtGA	-0.44 (0.04)	<0.001	-0.43 (0.05)	<0.001	-0.41 (0.06)	<0.001	-0.33 (0.08)	<0.001	

All linear regression models include age, gender, disease duration and treatment as covariates; CARDERA model includes the 24-month PCS and MCS as the response variables; TACIT model includes the 12-month PCS and MCS as the response variables; the VIFs were <2 for all explanatory variables in model 2.

DAS28, disease activity score; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; MCS, mental component summary; PCS, physical component summary; PtGA, patient global assessment of disease activity; SF-36, Short-Form 36; SJC, swollen joint count; TJC, tender joint count.

disease activity to be central drivers of treatment escalation. As PtGA does not purely reflect how active a patient considers their disease to be—a previous study by Studenic *et al*²⁶ showed that 76% and 1.3% of the variability in PtGA was explained by pain and physical function, respectively—treatment intensity decisions should not be based on PtGA alone. However, there is likely to be a role for more holistic approaches to managing RA patients that move beyond purely targeting remission, and consider treating other disease impacts such as pain, fatigue and mood, which are likely to improve PtGA scores.

The failure of TNFi to improve individual SF-36 domains beyond cDMARDs in TACIT was of interest. Although limited by a modest sample size, TACIT provided only little evidence that 6-months TNFi with DMARDs was superior to cDMARDs at improving HROoL. Previous trials of TNFi with methotrexate versus methotrexate monotherapy in DMARD-IR patients show significant improvements in PCS and MCS scores with biologics, although the effects are greater on physical than mental health.⁶ To our knowledge, only one other study has compared the effect of TNFi and cDMARDs on HRQoL. A secondary analysis of the BeST study showed 12-month EuroQol scores were similar with sequential DMARD monotherapy, step-up combination DMARDs, initial combination DMARDs with high-dose tapering prednisolone, and methotrexate with infliximab, although prompter improvements were seen in the latter two groups.²⁷ TACIT and BeST suggest TNFis have only limited benefits on HRQoL compared with cDMARDs. There is insufficient evidence, however, to know if this is correct in all clinical situations; further large trials are needed to confirm the relative benefits of different intensive treatment regimens.

In CARDERA and TACIT SF-36, health profiles were superior in patients attaining remission, compared with those attaining LDA. This replicates previous work by Radner *et al*,²⁸ who reported that in 356 RA patients with longstanding RA from Austria, remission gave superior SF-36 profiles to LDA. Interestingly, as in our analysis, Radner *et al* also found most SF-36 domains in RA patients in remission were below general population levels. Their findings therefore support our view that additional management strategies are needed to normalise HRQoL in addition to attaining remission.

Our study has several strengths. As a secondary analysis of two clinical trials, assessments were standardised. Its main findings were similar in early and established RA patients receiving different intensive treatments. Patients were recruited from many English centres, which followed consistent healthcare approaches. It also has several limitations. As a post-hoc analysis, it did not test a prespecified hypothesis. It was restricted to assessing treatment impacts in active RA, limiting its generalisability to all RA populations. It focused on 6-month and 12-month HRQoL changes; longer time frames could show greater benefits. It used a generic HRQoL assessment (SF-36); disease-specific measures like RAQoL²⁹ may better capture treatment effects. TACIT was a non-inferiority trial comparing cDMARDs with TNFi strategies; our analysis was underpowered to detect small improvements in SF-36.9 Finally, short-term highdose steroids are not widely used in current practice.

Our key finding that physical HRQoL remained impaired even when intensive treatment achieved

remission could reflect several underlying drivers. Loss of lean muscle mass, which is linked with RA disability,³⁰ may occur rapidly in active RA and will not be reversed with drug treatment. Joint damage, though less common in contemporary RA cohorts, has detrimental effects on physical function³¹ and cannot be reversed by drug treatment. Persistent pain may also impair physical health. Finally, clinical remission may be insensitive at detecting low-level synovitis and inflammation, which could affect physical HRQoL.

Patient surveys suggest current RA management does not fully address their needs and expectations.^{32 33} The 'RAISE patient needs' survey found few patient-physician consultations discussed HRQoL.³² The 'Good Days Fast' and 'Getting to Your Destination Faster' surveys found most patients rated 'having a good day' as their preferred target for RA management; being free of fatigue and pain often characterised 'good days'.³³ In these surveys, pain was a prevalent problem for patients with RA. Our findings suggest that attaining remission, though crucial to improving RA outcomes, is insufficient by itself to entirely normalise HRQoL in active RA, particularly in established disease. New ways are needed to identify and treat specifically impaired areas of HROoL -including pain and fatigue-as an adjunct to treatments that reduce synovitis assessed by DAS28. Potential options include using psychological approaches, increasing exercise and improving pain management.

Contributors ICS, DLS and FI conceived and designed the study. FI managed the trial data. ICS and VS performed the statistical analysis. ICS, VS, CML and DLS interpreted the data. ICS, VS and DLS drafted the manuscript. All authors revised the manuscript critically for important intellectual content. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding This work was supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) (Clinical Lectureship to ICS) and the NIHR Biomedical Research Centre based at Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London. It also presents research funded by the NIHR Programme Grants for Applied Research (http://www.ccf.nihr.ac.uk/PGfAR/ Pages/Home.aspx) on 'Treatment Intensities and Targets in Rheumatoid Arthritis Therapy: Integrating Patients' And Clinicians' Views—The TITRATE Programme (RP-PG-0610-10066)'.

Disclaimer This article presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health. The funders had no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, data interpretation, the writing of the manuscript or the decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Competing interests None declared.

Ethics approval Both trials had Research Ethical Committee approval (CARDERA reference MREC (1) 99/04; TACIT reference MREC Ref 07/Q0505/57).

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data sharing statement No additional data are available.

Open Access This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt and build upon this work, for commercial use, provided the original work is properly cited. See: http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

REFERENCES

- Felson DT, Anderson JJ, Boers M, *et al.* American College of Rheumatology. Preliminary definition of improvement in rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 1995;38:727–35.
- 2. Fransen J, van Riel PL. The Disease Activity Score and the EULAR response criteria. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2005;23:S93–9.
- Smolen JS, Aletaha D, Bijlsma JW, et al. Treating rheumatoid arthritis to target: recommendations of an international task force. Ann Rheum Dis 2010;69:631–7.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Health-Related Quality of Life (HRQOL). 2011 (cited 16 July 2015). http://www.cdc. gov/hrqol/concept.htm
- Linde L, Sorensen J, Ostergaard M, *et al.* Does clinical remission lead to normalization of EQ-5D in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and is selection of remission criteria important? *J Rheumatol* 2010;37:285–90.
- Strand V, Singh JA. Newer biological agents in rheumatoid arthritis: impact on health-related quality of life and productivity. *Drugs* 2010;70:121–45.
- Strand V, Rentz AM, Cifaldi MA, *et al.* Health-related quality of life outcomes of adalimumab for patients with early rheumatoid arthritis: results from a randomized multicenter study. *J Rheumatol* 2012;39:63–72.
- Kekow J, Moots RJ, Emery P, *et al.* Patient-reported outcomes improve with etanercept plus methotrexate in active early rheumatoid arthritis and the improvement is strongly associated with remission: the COMET trial. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2010;69:222–5.
- Scott DL, Ibrahim F, Farewell V, et al. Tumour necrosis factor inhibitors versus combination intensive therapy with conventional disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs in established rheumatoid arthritis: TACIT non-inferiority randomised controlled trial. BMJ 2015;350:h1046.
- Choy EHS, Smith CM, Farewell V, et al. Factorial randomised controlled trial of glucocorticoids and combination disease modifying drugs in early rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2008;67:656–63.
- Boers M, Verhoeven AC, Markusse HM, et al. Randomised comparison of combined step-down prednisolone, methotrexate and sulphasalazine with sulphasalazine alone in early rheumatoid arthritis. Lancet 1997;350:309–18.
- Ware JE Jr, Sherbourne CD. The MOS 36-item short-form health survey (SF-36). I. Conceptual framework and item selection. *Med Care* 1992;30:473–83.
- Ware JE Jr, Kosinski M, Dewey J. How to score version 2 of the SF-36 Health Survey (standard and acute forms). Lincoln, RI: QualityMetric, Inc., 2005.
- Lubeck DP. Patient-reported outcomes and their role in the assessment of rheumatoid arthritis. *Pharmacoeconomics* 2004;22:27–38.
- Ara R, Brazier J. Deriving an algorithm to convert the eight mean SF-36 dimension scores into a mean EQ-5D preference-based score from published studies (where patient level data are not available). *Value Health* 2008;11:1131–43.
- Ara R, Brazier J. Predicting the short form-6D preference-based index using the eight mean short form-36 health dimension scores: estimating preference-based health-related utilities when patient level data are not available. *Value Health* 2009;12:346–53.
- Walters SJ, Brazier JE. Comparison of the minimally important difference for two health state utility measures: EQ-5D and SF-6D. *Qual Life Res* 2005;14:1523–32.
- Felson DT, Smolen JS, Wells G, *et al.* American College of Rheumatology/European League Against Rheumatism provisional definition of remission in rheumatoid arthritis for clinical trials. *Arthritis Rheum* 2011;63:573–86.
- Zuur AF, Ieno EN, Elphick CS. A protocol for data exploration to avoid common statistical problems. *Methods Ecol Evol* 2010;1:3–14.
- 20. Ware JE, Snow KK, Kosinski M, *et al. SF-36 health survey manual and interpretation guide*. Boston, MA: The Health Institute, 1993.
- Jenkinson C, Layte R, Lawrence K. Development and testing of the Medical Outcomes Study 36-Item Short Form Health Survey summary scale scores in the United Kingdom. Results from a large-scale survey and a clinical trial. *Med Care* 1997;35:410–16.
- Jenkinson C. Comparison of UK and US methods for weighting and scoring the SF-36 summary measures. J Public Health Med 1999;21:372–6.
- 23. Heimans L, Wevers-de Boer KV, Koudijs KK, *et al.* Health-related quality of life and functional ability in patients with early arthritis during remission steered treatment: results of the IMPROVED study. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2013;15:R173.
- van Hulst LT, Kievit W, van Bommel R, *et al.* Rheumatoid arthritis patients and rheumatologists approach the decision to escalate care differently: results of a maximum difference scaling experiment. *Arthritis Care Res* 2011;63:1407–14.

RMD Open

- Pyne L, Bykerk VP, Boire G, et al. Increasing treatment in early rheumatoid arthritis is not determined by the disease activity score but by physician global assessment: results from the CATCH study. J Rheumatol 2012;39:2081–7.
- Studenic P, Radner H, Smolen JS, *et al.* Discrepancies between patients and physicians in their perceptions of rheumatoid arthritis disease activity. *Arthritis Rheum* 2012;64:2814–23.
- Allaart CF, Breedveld FC, Dijkmans BA. Treatment of recent-onset rheumatoid arthritis: lessons from the BeSt study. *J Rheumatol* 2007;80:25–33.
- Radner H, Smolen JS, Aletaha D. Remission in rheumatoid arthritis: benefit over low disease activity in patient-reported outcomes and costs. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2014;16:R56.
- Tijhuis GJ, de Jong Z, Zwinderman AH, *et al.* The validity of the Rheumatoid Arthritis Quality of Life (RAQoL) questionnaire. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2001;40:1112–19.

- Giles JT, Bartlett SJ, Andersen RE, *et al.* Association of body composition with disability in rheumatoid arthritis: impact of appendicular fat and lean tissue mass. *Arthritis Rheum* 2008;59:1407–15.
- Drossaers-Bakker KW, de Buck M, van Zeben D, et al. Long-term course and outcome of functional capacity in rheumatoid arthritis: the effect of disease activity and radiologic damage over time. *Arthritis Rheum* 1999;42:1854–60.
- McInnes IB, Combe B, Burmester G. Understanding the patient perspective—results of the Rheumatoid Arthritis: Insights, Strategies & Expectations (RAISE) patient needs survey. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2013;31:350–7.
- Strand V, Wright GC, Bergman MJ, et al. Patient expectations and perceptions of goal-setting strategies for disease management in rheumatoid arthritis. J Rheumatol 2015; 42:2046–54.