Minireview

Narrowing down the role of common variants in the genetic predisposition to obesity

Melissa A Calton and Christian Vaisse

Address: Diabetes Center, University of California San Francisco, 513 Parnassus Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94143, USA.

Correspondence: Christian Vaisse. Email: vaisse@medicine.ucsf.edu

Published: 11 March 2009

Genome Medicine 2009, I:N (doi:10.1186/gm31)

The electronic version of this article is the complete one and can be found online at http://genomemedicine.com/content/1/3/31

© 2009 BioMed Central Ltd

Abstract

The extent to which common variants contribute to common phenotypes and disease in humans has important consequences for the future of medical genomics. Two reports have recently clarified this issue for one of the most pressing public health concerns, obesity. These large and comprehensive genome-wide association studies find that common variants within at least 11 genes are associated with obesity. Interestingly, most of these genes are highly expressed in the central nervous system, further highlighting its role in the pathogenesis of obesity. However, the individual and combined effects of these variants explain only a small fraction of the inherited variability in obesity, suggesting that rare variants may contribute significantly to the genetic predisposition for this condition.

Genetic factors in human obesity

Obesity has become a major public health concern, as it increases mortality and the risk of morbidity from hypertension, dyslipidemia, diabetes mellitus and cardiovascular disease [1,2]. Body mass index (BMI; weight divided by the square of the height) is the most commonly used clinical measure of adiposity, and individuals with a BMI above 30 kg/m² are classified as obese. The most recent National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey shows that the prevalence of obesity among US adult men and women was 33.3% and 35.3%, respectively [3].

Both environmental and genetic factors are involved in the onset and progression of weight gain [4]. Excess caloric intake and the tendency towards a more sedentary lifestyle are certainly to blame for the increased prevalence of obesity, but individuals exposed to the same environmental pressures have different levels of vulnerability. Indeed, genetic epidemiologic studies, such as twin studies [5] and adoption studies [6], have implicated genetic factors in the

susceptibility to obesity. These studies have shown that genetic factors account for 40-70% of the population variation in BMI and that the heritability of obesity increases with its severity [7]. Emphasis has therefore now shifted from the question of whether human obesity has a genetic component to how many and which genetic variants underlie this susceptibility [8,9].

In theory, genetic susceptibility to obesity in humans could result from the additive effects of common genetic variants (minor allele frequency >5%), from different rare mutations in a large set of genes, or from a combination of both [8,9]. Several genes in which rare mutations cause severe monogenic or syndromic forms of obesity have been described, and these have furthered our knowledge of the molecular pathways involved in food intake regulation and the control of body weight [10]. The genes implicated in these rare human monogenic forms of obesity encode proteins that have a role in the central regulation of energy homeostasis, in particular at the level of the hypothalamus.

The common variant piece of the obesity pie

Hundreds of studies in the past 15 years have suggested a positive association of common variants in a large number of candidate genes with obesity or obesity-related phenotypes. As for several other common diseases, most of these studies failed to be replicated, being limited by their insufficient sample size and by stratification and multiple testing issues [9].

In 2008, reports of the first genome-wide association studies (GWASs) for obesity revealed previously unreported associations with common variants near the fat mass and obesityassociated gene FTO [11], a gene with a yet unknown function, and near the melanocortin 4 receptor (MC4R) gene [12], in which multiple rare variants had previously been shown to cause, in aggregate, 2-4% of severe obesity (BMI ≥40 kg/m²) cases in humans [13,14]. These findings were replicated across several populations, but the common alleles at these two loci had only a modest effect on BMI (0.2-0.4 kg/m²), suggesting that additional common variants could account for a significant fraction of the inherited BMI population variation.

By combining extremely large samples of GWASs, recent reports now provide us with a more comprehensive view of the extent to which common variants are associated with obesity [15,16]. Investigators of the Genetic Investigation of Anthropometric Traits (GIANT) consortium conducted a meta-analysis of GWASs from a total of 32,387 subjects of European ancestry in 15 cohorts for association with BMI [16]. The strongest signals from 35 variants were used for follow-up in 14 additional cohorts with over 59,000 subjects of European ancestry. In parallel, a group at deCODE Genetics performed a GWAS with single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) typed in over 30,000 individuals of mixed descent (predominantly Icelandic, but also Dutch, European American, African American and Scandinavian) in search of polymorphic variants that affect variation in two common measures of obesity, weight and BMI [15]. The strongest signals from 43 variants were then tested for association in 5,586 Danish individuals and compared in silico with the results of the GIANT consortium [15].

Both studies confirmed the association of BMI with variants at the FTO and MC4R genes and identified six and nine novel loci, respectively, at which variants were associated with BMI and/or weight, four of which (NEGR1, TMEM18, SH2B1 and KCTD15) were common to both studies (Table 1).

Many of the new loci, in particular those found by the GIANT consortium, are located near genes that are highly expressed in the central nervous system, several in the hypothalamus, possibly emphasizing, as in rare monogenic forms of obesity, the role of the hypothalamus in the predisposition to obesity. Of course, this conclusion is preliminary, as the actual causative variants, which remain to be identified by fine mapping at each of these loci, may affect other genes in these regions.

In both studies, the allelic odds ratio for being obese (BMI >30 kg/m²) remained greatest with the FTO variant (Table 1), with odds ratios of 1.03-1.25 [16] and 1.07-1.27 [15]. All of the variants identified are relatively common, and their combined effect explains only a small percentage of the variation in weight and BMI. The GIANT consortium examined the combined impact of the associated variants on BMI. They weighted the number of BMI-increasing alleles by their relative effect size and calculated a genotype score for each individual. They found that individuals that have 13 or more BMI-increasing alleles (representing 1.2% of the sample) are only 0.59 kg/m² heavier than the average individual in their study. In addition, when the GIANT consortium removed the associated loci from the analysis, they no longer identified an excess of p-values smaller than what is expected by chance [16]. The authors rightly argue that common variants with even smaller effects may not have been detected and could still be found with even larger sample sizes. However, as these effects will be small, the data from both studies suggest that most of the heritability of BMI captured by common variants has been accounted for.

The future of obesity genetics: a common disease but many rare variants?

What then is the basis for the largest portion of BMI heritability? One possibility, not yet explored extensively for obesity, is a role for copy number variations. Such variations have recently been implicated in the genetic predisposition to common neurological diseases such as autism and schizophrenia [17,18]. The GIANT consortium looked at the contribution of copy number polymorphisms on BMI and found that the SNP that was most strongly associated with one of six loci, around the gene NEGR1, was linked to a 45-kb deletion polymorphism [16]. This suggests that this copy number polymorphism could be a candidate causal variant, and further copy number variant studies may be deemed fruitful.

Both the GIANT and deCODE studies also acknowledge that their results lend credence to the hypothesis that rare or unique alleles with strong or intermediate effects could account for the majority of one individual's predisposition to obesity. Testing this hypothesis, and finding genes for which this is the case, will require comparative sequencing to search for an increase in the aggregate number of rare variants at each locus in cases versus controls. The largest pioneering study yet to test this hypothesis sequenced the entire coding region of 58 candidate genes in 379 extremely obese and 378 extremely lean adults [19]. The authors found an increase in unique non-synonymous variations in severely obese individuals, specifically in a subset of genes expressed in the central nervous system and for which the corresponding mouse phenotype was suggestive of a role in obesity. Searching for such loci systematically at a genomewide level will require extremely large-scale sequencing, for which the technology is currently being developed and which

Table I Summary of loci associated with variation in adult BMI in two large GWASs

Chromosome	Genes	Odds ratio (95% CI) of obesity in adults		
		GIANT	deCODE	Relevant tissue expression and/or function
Ip3I	NEGR I	1.05 (1.01-1.11)	1.07 (1.02-1.12)	Adipose
1q25	SEC16B, RASAL2		1.11 (1.05-1.18)	Liver
2	TMEM18	1.19 (1.11-1.26)	1.20 (1.13-1.27)	
3	ETV5, SFRS10, DGKG		1.11 (1.05-1.17)	
4	GNPDA2	1.12 (1.07-1.17)		Adipose
6p21	NCR3, AIFI, BAT2		1.07 (1.02-1.12)	Hypothalamus; NCR3 also adipose
IIpI4	BDNF, LGR4, LIN7C		1.12 (1.06-1.19) 1.11 (1.05-1.16)	BDNF: hypothalamus; humans with BDNF deletion are obese and knockdown in mouse hypothalamus leads to obesity
llpll	MTCH2	1.03 (0.98-1.08)		Adipose, hypothalamus and liver
12	FAIM2, BCDIN3D		1.14 (1.09-1.19)	Adipose, hypothalamus and liver
16p11	SH2BI, ATP2AI	1.11 (1.06-1.17)	1.08 (1.03-1.13)	SH2B1: adipose and hypothalamus; null mice are obese
16q12	FTO, RPGRIPIL	1.25 (1.19-1.31)	1.27 (1.21-1.32) 1.16 (1.10-1.21)	FTO: adipose and hypothalamus
18q21	MC4R	1.15 (1.08-1.21)	1.12 (1.06-1.17)	Hypothalamus; associated with obesity in humans, and MC4R deficient mice are obese
19	KCTD15, CHST8	1.04 (0.98-1.10)	1.10 (1.04-1.15)	Adipose and hypothalamus

Gene name abbreviations: AIFI, allograft inflammatory factor 1; ATP2AI, ATPase, Ca++ transporting, cardiac muscle, fast twitch 1; BAT2, HLA-B associated transcript 2; BCDIN3D, BCDIN3 domain containing; BDNF, brain-derived neurotrophic factor; CHST8, carbohydrate (N-acetylgalactosamine 4-0) sulfotransferase 8; DGKG, diacylglycerol kinase, gamma 90kDa; ETV5, ets variant 5; FAIM2, Fas apoptotic inhibitory molecule 2; FTO, fat mass and obesity associated; GNPDA2, glucosamine-6-phosphate deaminase 2; KCTD15, potassium channel tetramerisation domain containing 15; LGR4, leucinerich repeat-containing G protein-coupled receptor 4; LIN7C, in-7 homolog C; MC4R, melanocortin 4 receptor; MTCH2, mitochondrial carrier homolog 2; NCR3, natural cytotoxicity triggering receptor 3; NEGR1, neuronal growth regulator 1; RASAL2, RAS protein activator like 2; RPGRIP1L, RPGRinteracting protein I-like protein; SEC16B, SEC16 homolog B (Saccharomyces cerevisiae); SFRS10, splicing factor, arginine/serine-rich 10; SH2B1, SH2B adaptor protein 2; TMEM18, transmembrane protein 18. CI, confidence interval.

will present us with new statistical challenges and methodological difficulties pertaining, for example, to the functional study and classification of identified variants.

Ultimately, the success of these approaches will depend on the number of genes in which variations can predispose to obesity and the effect size of both the individual variants and their combined effect at each locus. We should now have learned not to be too optimistic and expect the possibility that the heritability of obesity may be accounted for by rare or unique variations at hundreds of different genes.

Abbreviations

BMI, body mass index; GIANT, Genetic Investigation of Anthropometric Traits consortium; GWAS, genome-wide association studies; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

Both authors contributed to the writing of the manuscript and have approved the final version.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge support by the National Institute of Health (DK60540, DK068152 to CV), the American Heart Association (Established Investigator Award to CV) and by the Endocrinology Training Grant to MAC. We thank Nadav Ahituv and Robert L Nussbaum for their critical reading of this manuscript and insightful comments.

References

- Finkelstein EA, Trogdon JG, Brown DS, Allaire BT, Dellea PS, Kamal-Bahl SJ: The lifetime medical cost burden of overweight and obesity: implications for obesity prevention. Obesity (Silver Spring) 2008, 16:1843-1848.
- Flegal KM, Graubard BI, Williamson DF, Gail MH: Cause-specific excess deaths associated with underweight, overweight, and obesity. IAMA 2007, 298:2028-2037.
- Ogden CL, Carroll MD, McDowell MA, Flegal KM: Obesity Among Adults in the United States - No Change Since 2003-2004. NCHS Data Brief no 1. Hyattsville: National Center for Health Statistics; 2007.

- Hill |O: Genetic and environmental contributions to obesity. Am | Clin Nutr 1998, 68:991-992.
- Allison DB, Kaprio J, Korkeila M, Koskenvuo M, Neale MC, Hayakawa K: The heritability of body mass index among an international sample of monozygotic twins reared apart. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 1996, 20:501-506.
- Stunkard AJ, Sorensen TI, Hanis C, Teasdale TW, Chakraborty R, Schull WJ, Schulsinger F: **An adoption study of human obesity.** *N Engl* J Med 1986, **314:**193-198.
- Maes HH, Neale MC, Eaves LJ: Genetic and environmental factors in relative body weight and human adiposity. Behav Genet 1997, **27:**325-351.
- Comuzzie AG, Allison DB: The search for human obesity genes. Science 1998, **280**:1374-1377. 8.
- Swarbrick MM, Vaisse C: Emerging trends in the search for genetic variants predisposing to human obesity. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care 2003, 6:369-375.
- 10. Ranadive SA, Vaisse C: Lessons from extreme human obesity: monogenic disorders. Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am 2008, 37:733-751,
- 11. Frayling TM, Timpson NJ, Weedon MN, Zeggini E, Freathy RM, Lindgren CM, Perry JR, Elliott KS, Lango H, Rayner NW, Shields B, Harries LW, Barrett JC, Ellard S, Groves CJ, Knight B, Patch AM, Ness AR, Ebrahim S, Lawlor DA, Ring SM, Ben-Shlomo Y, Jarvelin MR, Sovio U, Bennett AJ, Melzer D, Ferrucci L, Loos RJ, Barroso I, Wareham NJ, et al.: A common variant in the FTO gene is associated with body mass index and predisposes to childhood and adult obesity. Science 2007, 316:889-894.
- Loos RJ, Lindgren CM, Li S, Wheeler E, Zhao JH, Prokopenko I, Inouye M, Freathy RM, Attwood AP, Beckmann JS, Berndt SI; Prostate, Lung, Colorectal, and Ovarian (PLCO) Cancer Screening Trial, Jacobs KB, Chanock SJ, Hayes RB, Bergmann S, Bennett AJ, Bingham SA, Bochud M, Brown M, Cauchi S, Connell JM, Cooper C, Smith GD, Day I, Dina C, De S, Dermitzakis ET, Doney AS, et al.: Common variants near MC4R are associated with fat mass, weight and risk of obesity. Nat Genet 2008, 40:768-775.
- Calton MA, Ersoy BA, Zhang S, Kane JP, Malloy MJ, Pullinger CR, Bromberg Y, Pennacchio LA, Dent R, McPherson R, Ahituv N, Vaisse C: Association of functionally significant Melanocortin-4 but not Melanocortin-3 receptor mutations with severe adult obesity in a large North-American case control study. Hum Mol Genet 2008, 18:1140-1147
- 14. Lubrano-Berthelier C, Dubern B, Lacorte JM, Picard F, Shapiro A, Zhang S, Bertrais S, Hercberg S, Basdevant A, Clement K, Vaisse C: Melanocortin 4 receptor mutations in a large cohort of severely obese adults: prevalence, functional classification, genotype-phenotype relationship, and lack of association with binge eating. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2006, 91:1811-1818.
- 15. Thorleifsson G, Walters GB, Gudbjartsson DF, Steinthorsdottir V, Sulem P, Helgadottir A, Styrkarsdottir U, Gretarsdottir S, Thorlacius S, Jonsdottir I, Jonsdottir T, Olafsdottir EJ, Olafsdottir GH, Jonsson T, Jonsson F, Borch-Johnsen K, Hansen T, Andersen G, Jorgensen T, Lauritzen T, Aben KK, Verbeek AL, Roeleveld N, Kampman E, Yanek LR, Becker LC, Tryggvadottir L, Rafnar T, Becker DM, Gulcher J, et al.: Genome-wide association yields new sequence variants at seven loci that associate with measures of obesity. Nat Genet 2009, 41:18-
- Willer CJ, Speliotes EK, Loos RJ, Li S, Lindgren CM, Heid IM, Berndt SI, Elliott AL, Jackson AU, Lamina C, Lettre G, Lim N, Lyon HN, McCarroll SA, Papadakis K, Qi L, Randall JC, Roccasecca RM, Sanna S, Scheet P, Weedon MN, Wheeler E, Zhao JH, Jacobs LC, Prokopenko I, Soranzo N, Tanaka T, Timpson NJ, Almgren P, Bennett A, et al.: Six new loci associated with body mass index highlight a neuronal influence on body weight regulation. Nat Genet 2009, 41:25-34.
- DeLisi LE: Searching for the true genetic vulnerability for schizophrenia. Genome Med 2009, 1:14.
- Sebat J, Lakshmi B, Malhotra D, Troge J, Lese-Martin C, Walsh T, Yamrom B, Yoon S, Krasnitz A, Kendall J, Leotta A, Pai D, Zhang R, Lee YH, Hicks J, Spence SJ, Lee AT, Puura K, Lehtimäki T, Ledbetter D, Gregersen PK, Bregman J, Sutcliffe JS, Jobanputra V, Chung W, Warburton D, King MC, Skuse D, Geschwind DH, Gilliam TC, et al.: Strong association of de novo copy number mutations with autism. Science 2007, 316:445-449.
- Ahituv N, Kavaslar N, Schackwitz W, Ustaszewska A, Martin J, Hebert S, Doelle H, Ersoy B, Kryukov G, Schmidt S, Yosef N, Ruppin E, Sharan R, Vaisse C, Sunyaev S, Dent R, Cohen J, McPherson R,

Pennacchio LA: Medical sequencing at the extremes of human body mass. Am J Hum Genet 2007, 80:779-791.