Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Epilepsy & Behavior Case Reports



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ebcr

Case Report Bilateral cortical representation of orgasmic ecstasy localized by depth electrodes $\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\sim}$

Werner Surbeck ^{a,*}, Alain Bouthillier ^b, Dang Khoa Nguyen ^c

^a Section of Neurosurgery, Kantonsspital St. Gallen, Switzerland

^b Section of Neurosurgery, Notre-Dame Hospital, Montreal, Canada

^c Section of Neurology, Notre-Dame Hospital, Montreal, Canada

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article history: Received 14 February 2013 Received in revised form 28 February 2013 Accepted 6 March 2013 Available online 13 April 2013

Keywords: Orgasm Ecstasy Cortical representation Depth electrodes present the first case of isolated bihemispheric reproduction of OE by stimulation via depth electrode in a patient implanted for epilepsy. © 2013 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

While sexual arousal had been evoked during direct electrical stimulation (DES) of the right mesial temporal

lobe and basal forebrain, isolated orgasmic ecstasy (OE) evoked by DES is not reported in the literature. We

1. Introduction

As sexual sensations are subjective and intimate, they are difficult to evaluate in laboratory conditions. Traditional studies on the brain basis of human sexual arousal and orgasm are mainly based on neurological patients presenting epileptic seizures with sexual manifestations or patients presenting sexual symptoms as a consequence of focal or disseminated lesions [1]. Introduction and advances in functional neuroimaging techniques, such as single photon emission tomography, positron imaging (PET), functional magnetic resonance imaging, and magneto-encephalography, have opened a new window for the study of sexual sensations both in healthy subjects and in subjects with sexual disorders [2]. However, direct electrical stimulation (DES) of cortical regions remains the gold standard for localization of brain functions [3]. While sexual arousal, in one case resulting in an orgasm, had been evoked during depth electrode stimulation mapping of the right mesial temporal lobe [4,5], and varying degrees of sexual arousal were consistently elicited by electrical stimulation of basal forebrain structures [6], isolated orgasmic ecstasy (OE) as the first and only symptom of local cortical stimulation is not reported in the literature. We report a case of isolated reproduction of OE by stimulation via depth electrode in a patient implanted for epilepsy.

E-mail address: werner.surbeck@kssg.ch (W. Surbeck).

2. Case report

The patient was a 49-year-old, right-handed woman with no significant past medical history except for medically intractable epilepsy since age 15 years. Seizures were characterized by altered consciousness and oral automatisms followed by postictal confusion. While some seizures were not preceded by any aura, some may start with visual symptoms (flashing lights), déjà vu, or an orgasmic feeling. Secondarily tonic-clonic seizures were rare. Magnetic resonance imaging showed a very discrete atrophy of the left hippocampus. Video-scalp electroencephalography (EEG) monitoring disclosed bilateral temporal spikes. Several seizures were recorded with diffuse EEG changes and maximum build-up more frequently over the left than the right temporal region. FDG PET was normal. Suspecting a possible posterior focus with propagation to the temporal lobes, an invasive electrode study was subsequently performed. A total of 6 depth electrodes, 15 subdural strip electrodes and a small subdural grid electrode were inserted to sample the medial, lateral, inferior, and posterior temporal regions and the insular, occipital, parietal, and inferior frontal regions bilaterally (Fig. 1).

As part of the intracranial EEG monitoring study, electrical stimulation was performed for cortical mapping and for the identification of contacts which could reproduce the patient's auras. The parameters used were as follows: stimulus frequency of 50 Hz with a pulse width of 100 ms, a stimulus intensity of 1–10 mA, and a stimulus train duration of 5 s (Grass S88 Stimulator, Grass Instruments, Natick, MA). Stimuli were administered with an intervening rest interval of at least 1 min, and afterdischarges were assessed at each stimulation. The patient reported an OE following the stimulation of the left hippocampus at 3 mA. This stimulation was followed by an 18-second afterdischarge over the left hippocampus, the parahippocampal gyrus, and the anterior-inferior

 $[\]stackrel{\star}{}$ This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

^{*} Corresponding author at: Section of Neurosurgery, Kantonsspital St. Gallen, Rorschacher Strasse 95, 9007 St. Gallen, Switzerland. Fax: +41 71 494 2883.

^{2213-3232/\$ –} see front matter © 2013 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ebcr.2013.03.002



Fig. 1. (a) Three-dimensional visualization of the right hemispheric subdural electrode arrangement. (b) Sagittal MRI view of the anterior and posterior insular electrodes on the right side. (c) Sagittal MRI view of the hippocampal electrode on the right side. (d) Three-dimensional visualization of the left hemispheric subdural electrode arrangement. (e) Sagittal MRI view of the anterior and posterior insular electrodes on the left side. (f) Sagittal MRI view of the hippocampal electrodes on the left side. (f) Sagittal MRI view of the hippocampal electrodes on the left side. (f) Sagittal MRI view of the hippocampal electrodes on the left side. (f) Sagittal MRI view of the hippocampal electrode on the left side.

insula (Fig. 2). The identical sensation was reproduced when stimulation was repeated a second time at 3 mA with a similar 17-second afterdischarge. Stimulation of the right hippocampus at 1 mA generated the same orgasmic sensation while triggering a 45-second seizure discharge over the right hippocampus, parahippocampal gyrus, temporal pole, and anterior insula (Fig. 3). In the end, no resection was performed as



Fig. 2. The patient reported orgasmic ecstasy following the stimulation of the left hippocampus (Hipp) at 3 mA. This stimulation was followed by an 18-second afterdischarge over the left hippocampus (Hipp), the parahippocampal gyrus (PHG), the temporal pole (T pole), and the anterior-inferior insula (aINS).

spontaneous seizures were found to originate from both right and left hippocampi as well as the left temporal neocortex and the left cuneus.

3. Discussion

Because of its intimate nature, the representation of sexual functions in the cerebral cortex has received little attention until the second half of the 20th century. Penfield and Rasmussen, who greatly enhanced the understanding of cortical localization by extensive and consequent use of DES during surgery, succeeded only in two patients to demonstrate sensory genital hallucinations from stimulation of the postcentral gyrus within the interhemispheric fissure [7]. They had never been able to evoke erotic sensations of any type. Such sensations, although rare, have been known for a long time in patients with epilepsy [8]. Ictal symptoms may range from erotic feelings to sexual arousal and even OE. All of them are referred to as sexual auras (SAs) [9]. It seems reasonable to assume that SAs are caused by epileptic activation of the same brain regions that produce the physiological correlates. According to the existing case reports with an identified epileptogenic focus, SAs are strongly associated with temporal lobe epilepsy (TLE) [10]. Sexual auras are exceptionally reported in patients with parietal lobe epilepsy. In these cases, activation of the primary sensory center of the genitalia leading to orgasm is assumed [11]. In patients with TLE and SAs, there is significant lateralization predominance of the epileptogenic region to the right hemisphere [10]. Furthermore, three out of four reported patients with SAs are female, suggesting that the neural organization of psychosexual behavior differs in human male and female brains [5,10]. This may be partly explained by the fact that orgasm is less tightly linked to perceived physiological cues in women than in men, but rather on a mental basis [12]. In contrast with the rare phenomena of sexual manifestations during temporal lobe seizures, alterations in interictal sexual behavior have been frequently reported in patients with epilepsy, particularly TLE. The most common interictal sexual dysfunction associated with TLE is hyposexuality [13]. This underscores the prominent role of the temporal lobes in human sexual function. Consistent with the above mentioned right hemispheric dominance of TLE with SAs, the few reports of sexual arousal and OE evoked by DES of the temporal lobe have been reported only from right-sided stimulations. During depth electrode stimulation mapping in two patients with complex partial seizures and SAs, right temporomesial stimulation during intraoperative electrocorticography triggered their habitual auras of sexual arousal [5]. In another patient implanted with invasive intracranial electrodes for complex partial seizures, habitual seizures with complex motor automatism followed by erotic feelings and OE were reproduced by stimulation of the right amygdala with the induction of seizures involving the ipsilateral amygdala, hippocampus, and parahippocampal gyrus [4]. Finally, Gloor [14] reported sexual arousal in a female patient with epilepsy during electrical stimulation of the right amygdala. The stimulation was followed by afterdischarges involving the amygdala and other limbic structures of the right temporal lobe. Limitations of these observations include limited electrode sampling and therefore restricted or no monitoring of stimulation-induced afterdischarges.

Our case report provides further insight into the generation of OE. For one, such a response can be evoked bilaterally. Second, activation of a larger network appears to be necessary in order to generate such sensations. On the left side, OE was only reported by the patient when stimulation of the hippocampus was accompanied by afterdischarges over the hippocampus, the parahippocampal gyrus, and the anteriorinferior insula of the same side. Stimulation of the right hippocampus generated the same orgasmic sensation by triggering a seizure discharge over the ipsilateral right hippocampus, parahippocampal gyrus, temporal pole, and anterior insula. These observations indicate bilateral representation of OE within the region of the hippocampus, parahippocampal gyrus, temporal pole, and anterior insula. Studies of ecstatic epileptic seizures have recently led to the proposal that ecstatic states are based on a hyperactivation of the anterior insula (although no electrode was in direct contact with the insula for any of these patients) [15–17]. Ecstatic epileptic seizures share many features with OE, such as intense positive



Fig. 3. Stimulation of the right hippocampus at 1 mA generated orgasmic ecstasy while triggering a 45-second seizure discharge over the right hippocampus (Hipp), the parahippocampal gyrus (PHG), the temporal pole (T pole), and the anterior insula (aINS).

feelings and subjective slowing of time. Our observation supports the participation of the anterior insular cortex in ecstatic states. The anterior insula could be a nodal point of diverse functional networks encoding ecstatic states. It remains unclear, however, why stimulation of the anterior insula, for this patient or in previous insular cortical stimulation studies, has failed to evoke OE [18–22]. Possible explanations include downsampling of the anterior-inferior portion of the insula during SEEG explorations due to the abundance of vascularization at that level limiting the implantation of orthogonal electrodes, failure to increase stimulus intensity to activate a larger network when obtaining visceromotor- or viscerosensory-evoked responses at lower intensity, or that the hippocampus is the main node of the network which must be activated maximally to generate OE.

One limitation of our case report is the lack of sampling of the basal forebrain, an area not usually sampled in studies of epilepsy for clinical purposes. In 1964, Heath reported varying degrees of sexual arousal in 54 patients elicited by electrical stimulation of the "septal region" [6]. However, sexual orgasm was never produced. Heath referred to the septal region in men as an anatomical site close to the midline and extending from the rostral tip of the frontal horn of the lateral ventricle to the level of the anterior commissure. This area includes several basal forebrain structures including the subcallosal cortex, medial parts of the ventral striatum, the diagonal band of Broca, parts of the medial preoptic area, and the septal nuclei [23]. Based on animal studies, the latter is known as a pleasure center. Rats, for example, would work to stimulate brain electrodes implanted in the septal nuclei [24]. There is some disagreement about whether these stimulations elicited pleasure or only craving to obtain more stimulation [25]. Even though the septal nuclei may be involved in sexual arousal, they seem to be insubstantial for OE.

4. Conclusion

Observation from this case study and previously reported cases suggests that OE involves the unilateral activation of a network comprising the amygdala, hippocampus, the parahippocampal gyrus, the temporal pole, and the anterior inferior insula.

References

- Baird AD, Wilson SJ, Bladin PF, Saling MM, Reutens DC. Neurological control of human sexual behaviour: insights from lesion studies. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2007;78(10):1042–9.
- [2] Stoléru S, Fonteille V, Cornélis C, Joyal C, Moulier V. Functional neuroimaging studies of sexual arousal and orgasm in healthy men and women: a review and meta-analysis. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 2012;36(6):1481–509.

- [3] Mandonnet E, Winkler PA, Duffau H. Direct electrical stimulation as an input gate into brain functional networks: principles, advantages and limitations. Acta Neurochir (Wien) 2010;152(2):185–93.
- [4] Bancaud J, Favel P, Bonis A, Bordas-Ferrer M, Miravet J, Talairach J. Paroxysmal sexual manifestations and temporal lobe epilepsy. Clinical, EEG and SEEG study of a case of epilepsy of tumoral origin. Rev Neurol (Paris) 1970;123(4):217–30.
- [5] Rémillard GM, Andermann F, Testa GF, et al. Sexual ictal manifestations predominate in women with temporal lobe epilepsy: a finding suggesting sexual dimorphism in the human brain. Neurology 1983;33(3):323–30.
- [6] Heath RG, editor. Pleasure response of human subjects to direct stimulation of the brain: physiological and psychodynamic considerations. The role of pleasure in behavior. New York: Hoeber Medical Division. Harper & Row: 1964.
- [7] Penfield W, Rasmussen T. The cerebral cortex of man: a clinical study of localization of function. New York: Macmillan; 1950.
- [8] Esquirol E. Des maladies mentales. Paris, 1; 1838. p. 300.
- [9] Aull-Watschinger S, Pataraia E, Baumgartner C. Sexual auras: predominance of epileptic activity within the mesial temporal lobe. Epilepsy Behav 2008;12(1): 124–7.
- [10] Suffren S, Braun CM, Guimond A, Devinsky O. Opposed hemispheric specializations for human hypersexuality and orgasm? Epilepsy Behav 2011;21(1): 12–9.
- [11] Janszky J, Szücs A, Halász P, et al. Orgasmic aura originates from the right hemisphere. Neurology 2002;58(2):302–4.
- Meston CM. The psychophysiological assessment of female sexual function. J Sex Educ Ther 2000;25:6–16.
- [13] Luef GJ. Epilepsy and sexuality. Seizure 2008;17(2):127-30.
- [14] Gloor P. Role of the human limbic system in perception, memory and affect: lessons from temporal lobe epilepsy. In: Doane BK, Livingston KE, editors. The limbic system. New York: Raven; 1986. p. 159–69.
- [15] Craig AD. How do you feel—now? The anterior insula and human awareness. Nat Rev Neurosci 2009;10(1):59–70.
- [16] Picard F, Craig AD. Ecstatic epileptic seizures: a potential window on the neural basis for human self-awareness. Epilepsy Behav 2009;16(3):539–46.
- [17] Landtblom AM, Lindehammar H, Karlsson H, Craig AD. Insular cortex activation in a patient with "sensed presence"/ecstatic seizures. Epilepsy Behav 2011;20(4): 714–8.
- [18] Penfield W, Faulk Jr ME. The insula; further observations on its function. Brain 1955;78(4):445–70.
- [19] Nguyen DK, Nguyen DB, Malak R, et al. Revisiting the role of the insula in refractory partial epilepsy. Epilepsia 2009;50(3):510–20.
- [20] Isnard J, Guénot M, Sindou M, Mauguière F. Clinical manifestations of insular lobe seizures: a stereo-electroencephalographic study. Epilepsia 2004;45(9): 1079–90.
- [21] Afif A, Minotti L, Kahane P, Hoffmann D. Anatomofunctional organization of the insular cortex: a study using intracerebral electrical stimulation in epileptic patients. Epilepsia 2010;51(11):2305–15.
- [22] Pugnaghi M, Meletti S, Castana L, et al. Features of somatosensory manifestations induced by intracranial electrical stimulations of the human insula. Clin Neurophysiol 2011;122(10):2049–58.
- [23] Heimer L, Van Hoesen GW, Trimble M, Zahm DS. Anatomy of neuropsychiatry: the new anatomy of the basal forebrain and its implications for neuropsychiatric illness. London: Academic; 200827–30.
- [24] Olds J, Milner P. Positive reinforcement produced by electrical stimulation of septal area and other regions of rat brain. J Comp Physiol Psychol 1954;47(6): 419–27.
- [25] Green AL, Pereira EA, Aziz TZ. Deep brain stimulation and pleasure. In: Kringelbach ML, Berridge KC, editors. Pleasures of the brain. New York, New York, USA: Oxford University Press; 2010. p. 302–19.