BMJ Paediatrics Open

Determinants of neonatal jaundice among neonates admitted to five referral hospitals in Amhara region, Northern Ethiopia: an unmatched casecontrol study

Asmamaw Demis Bizuneh ⁽¹⁾, ¹ Birhan Alemnew, ² Addisu Getie, ¹ Adam Wondmieneh, ¹ Getnet Gedefaw³

To cite: Bizuneh AD,

Alemnew B, Getie A, et al. Determinants of neonatal jaundice among neonates admitted to five referral hospitals in Amhara region, Northern Ethiopia: an unmatched case-control study. *BMJ Paediatrics Open* 2020;**4**:e000830. doi:10.1136/ bmjpo-2020-000830

Received 7 August 2020 Revised 18 August 2020 Accepted 23 August 2020

Check for updates

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2020. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

 ¹Nursing, Woldia University, Woldia, Amhara, Ethiopia
 ²Medical Laboratory Sciences, Woldia University, Woldia, Amhara, Ethiopia
 ³Midwifery, Woldia University, Woldia, Amhara, Ethiopia

Correspondence to

Asmamaw Demis Bizuneh; asmamawdemis@gmail.com ABSTRACT

Background Neonatal jaundice is associated with a significant risk of neonatal morbidity and mortality. It is a major cause of hospital neonatal intensive care unit admission and readmissions during the neonatal period. Hence, the study aimed to identify the determinant factors of neonatal jaundice among neonates admitted at five referral hospitals in Amhara region, Northern Ethiopia.

Method A hospital-based unmatched case-control study design was employed, on 447 neonates (149 cases and 298 controls) at referral hospitals in Amhara region, Northern Ethiopia, from 1 March to 30 July 2019. Consecutive sampling method was used to select both the cases and controls. The collected data were entered into Epi data V.4.2 and then exported into SPSS window V.24 for analysis. Bivariable and multivariable analysis were carried out by using binary logistic regression. A p value of <0.05 was considered as significant difference between cases and controls for the exposure variable of interest.

Results The median (\pm IQR) age of neonate at the time of admission and gestational age were 3 ± 2 days and 38 (± 3) weeks, respectively. Prolonged duration of labour (adjusted OR (AOR)=2.45, 95% Cl 1.34 to 4.47), being male sex (AOR=3.54, 95% Cl 1.99 to 6.29), low birth weight (AOR=5.06, 95% Cl 2.61 to 9.82), birth asphyxia (AOR=2.88, 95% Cl 1.38 to 5.99), sepsis (AOR=2.49, 95% Cl 1.22 to 5.11) and hypothermia (AOR=2.88, 95% Cl 2.63 to 14.02) were the determinant factors for neonatal jaundice.

Conclusions Prolonged duration of labour, hypothermia, sepsis, birth asphyxia, low birth weight and sex of neonate were independent determinants of neonatal jaundice. Early recognition and management of identified modifiable determinants are the recommended interventions.

BACKGROUND

Neonatal jaundice (NNJ) is a yellow-orange discolouration of the skin and sclera of neonates because of excessive bilirubin in the skin and mucous membranes.¹ In newborns, jaundice appears when total bilirubin (TB) is more than 120 μ mol/L.^{2 3} Hyperbilirubinaemia with a TB 428–513 μ mol/L is associated with an increased

What is known about the subject?

- Jaundice is a common clinical problem in neonates that occur due to bilirubin disposition.
- Previous studies done on the determinant of neonatal jaundice in Ethiopia were done using chart review identified the prevalence of neonatal jaundice using cross-sectional study design.
- Research data on the determinant of neonatal jaundice in the prospective study are not done in Ethiopia.

What this study adds?

- Prolonged duration of labour, hypothermia, sepsis, birth asphyxia, low birth weight and sex of neonate were the identified determinant factors for neonatal jaundice in Ethiopia.
- Prevention, early recognition and treatment of those identified modifiable risk factors should be considered to reduce neonatal jaundice.

risk for bilirubin-induced neurological dysfunction with a significant risk of neonatal mortality and long-term neurodevelopmental sequelae.⁴⁻⁷ Around three-fourth, of affected neonates, reside in sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia⁸⁻¹⁰ and surviving infants after severe NNJ may acquire long-term neurodevelopmental sequelae such as cerebral palsy, sensorineural hearing loss, intellectual difficulties, upward gaze palsy, seizure, gross dental dysplasia and developmental delays in the survivors and death.^{7 11 12} Hyperbilirubinaemia can be described in the form of pathological, physiological, jaundice secondary to breast milk or breastfeeding failure, and haemolytic jaundice due to glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency, ABO and Rh incompatibility.^{12–14}

Jaundice can be severe when it is seen anywhere on the body on the first day or the hands and feet in addition to the arms and legs on the next day. It can be managed by therapeutic interventions which include phototherapy, exchange transfusion and improving the frequency and efficacy of breast feeding or supplementing inadequate formula breast feeding.^{2 1415}

Globally, 2.6 million newborns died in 2016, out of this half of all these deaths occurred in India, Pakistan, Nigeria, the Democratic Republic of Congo and Ethiopia. More than 22% of neonatal deaths were associated with Rh disease and bilirubin encephalopathy in which sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia account for 35%, and 39% of the deaths.⁸ Severe NNJ accounted for 2.8% of neonatal deaths in the UK, 30.8% in India, 34% in Nigeria, 14% in Kenya and 6.7%in Egypt.¹⁷ NNJ is a major cause of hospital neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) admission and accounts for 75% of hospital readmissions in the first week of life, and is associated with significant mortality.^{18–20} It is a common condition worldwide occurring in up to 50%-60% of full-term newborn babies and 80% of preterm newborn babies in the first week of life and it has been recognised as a condition which deserves more global health attention.^{21 22} Different studies done reported that in developed countries fetomaternal blood group incompatibilities are the leading cause of NNJ, but in developing countries, the case is different as it is mostly prematurity, low birth weight, birth trauma, ABO incompatibility, sepsis as well as effects of herbal medications in pregnancy and application of dusting powder on the baby may result in G6PD deficiency which is one of the most important causes of NNJ in Africa and Asia.^{23 24} In studies conducted in Tikur Anbessa Specialized Hospital and Mekelle, Ethiopia showed that prolonged labour, maternal 'O' blood group, and sepsis were identified determinant factors for NNJs.^{25 2} Despite a remarkable reduction in the under-5 mortality in the past few years following important interventions like immunisation, early detection and treatment of infections and diarrhoea control programmes, the neonatal mortality in sub-Saharan Africa including Ethiopia is still alarmingly high which is $30/1000.^{27}$

The early identification of neonates who are at a greater risk of developing severe NNJ is of paramount importance to prevent brain damage.²⁸ Therefore, this study aimed to identify the determinants of NNJ among neonates admitted at referral hospitals in Amhara region Northern Ethiopia, 2019.

METHODS

Study setting, design and period

A hospital-based unmatched case-control study design was conducted from 1 March to 30 July at Amhara regional state referral hospitals. According to 2019 Amhara region, health bureau reports the region has a total of six referral hospitals. Namely: Felegehiwot referral hospital, University of Gondar referral hospital, Debre Markos referral hospital, Debre Birhan referral hospital, Dessie referral hospital and Tibebe Gion referral hospital. Of the total six hospitals, five of them except Tibebe Gion referral hospital (newly opened referral hospital) have had level-III (subspecialty) NICU rendering services with continuously available personnel (paediatricians and/or neonatologists, general practitioners and neonatal nurses) and equipment to provide life support for as long as needed. All referral hospitals provide general and specialised treatment, known to be open 24hours for emergency services and each of them assumed to serve more than 5 million peoples.

Inclusion criteria

All neonates who were present to the NICU ward of the hospital with NNJ (pathological) were included as cases and neonates without NNJ, healthy babies not on any medication, except nevirapine for the prevention of mother-tochild transmission with volunteer mothers were included as controls in the study.

Exclusion criteria

Babies with or without NNJ, abandoned neonates, critically ill and mentally incompetent mothers were excluded from the study. Additionally, neonates with incomplete chart were excluded from the study.

Operational definitions

Neonatal jaundice: neonates diagnosed as jaundiced through history, clinical signs and symptoms and/or laboratory investigations (TB value more than 205 μ mol/L in term babies and more than 257 μ mol/L in preterm babies) by physicians (general practitioners, paediatricians and neonatologists).²⁹

Physiological jaundice: neonates in the presence of one more of the established Integrated Management of Newborn and Childhood Illness (IMNCI) criteria (only skin on the face or eyes yellow and infant aged 2–13 days old) along with TB value under 205 μ mol/L in term babies and under 257 μ mol/L in preterm babies.

Hyperthermia: an axillary temperature of >37.5°C.

Hypothermia: an axillary temperature of less than 36.5°C.

Hyperglycaemia: blood glucose level greater than 125 mg/dL.

Hypoglycaemia: blood glucose level less than $40 \, \text{mg}/\text{dL}$.

Neonatal sepsis: a clinical syndrome of bacteraemia with systemic signs and symptoms of infection in the first 4 weeks of life.

Meconium aspiration syndrome: is a breathing problem that a newborn baby may have due to the aspiration of stained amniotic fluid, which can occur before, during or immediately after birth.

Traditional medicine: taking any herbal traditional medicine during pregnancy to treat nausea and vomiting, reduce the risk of preeclampsia, shorten labour and treat the common cold and urinary tract infections.

Sample size determination and sampling procedures

The sample size was calculated using Open EPI INFO V.7 software with double population formula by assuming; CI: 95%, power: 80%, case to control ratio: 1:2, P1: per cent of outcome with an exposed group (neonates with jaundice)=80.6%, P2: per cent of outcome in the unexposed

group (neonates without jaundice)=14.5%.²⁶ In consideration of 10% of the non-response rate, the final sample was adjusted to 453 (151 cases and 302 controls).

Data collection tool and procedures

The data were collected after admission by diploma nurses and midwives working in the labour ward and NICU ward under the supervision of BSc holder neonatal nurses. Data were collected by interview with the mothers and review of case records of neonates in the hospital. A checklist consisting of demographic, neonatal, and maternal information were used for data collection. The questions are both open and close ended. The questionnaire addressed the women's sociodemographic characteristics, obstetrics and health-related characteristics and neonatal related characteristics.

Data quality control

Two days training was given for data collectors and supervisors on how to ask and fill the questions, and how to approach the respondents. The questionnaires were pretested in 5% of the total sample size at Woldia general hospital before the actual data collection time to see the accuracy of responses, language clarity and appropriateness of the tools. The necessary amendment was done based on the findings of the pretest and the amended tool was used for actual data collection at the selected public health facilities. Double data entry was done by two data clerks and compared with the original data to check the consistency.

Data processing and analysis

The data were coded, cleaned, edited and entered into Epi data V.4.2.0 to minimise logical errors and design skipping patterns. Then, the data were exported to SPSS window V.24 for analysis. The bivariable analysis was used to see the association between each determinant and the outcome variable by using binary logistic regression. All variables with $p \le 0.25$ in the bivariable analysis was included in the final model of multivariable analysis. Adjusted OR (AOR) along with 95% CI was estimated and p<0.05 was set as a cut-off point for the significant determinants of NNJ.

Patient and public involvement

In this study, neither patient nor public was involved in study proposal development, design and analysis of the study.

RESULTS

Sociodemographic characteristics

In this study, a total of 447 neonates with their mother (149 cases and 298 controls) were included making the overall response rate of 98.68%. The median ((\pm IQR) age of the mother was 26 \pm 7 years which ranged from 18 to

 Table 1
 Sociodemographic characteristics of mothers in five referral hospitals of Amhara region, Northern Ethiopia, 2019
 (cases=149, controls=298)

		Ne	onatal jaundice
Characteristics	Category	Yes (%)	No (%)
Mothers age (years)	<20	14 (9.4)	39 (13.1)
	20–35	127 (85.2)	244 (81.9)
	>35	8 (5.4)	15 (5.0)
Marital status	Married	142 (95.3)	281 (94.3)
	Divorced	4 (2.7)	14 (4.7)
	Others*	3 (2.0)	3 (1.0)
Mothers education	No formal education	41 (27.5)	48 (16.1)
	Read and write	23 (15.4)	39 (13.1)
	Primary education	35 (23.5)	68 (22.8)
	Secondary education	29 (19.5)	77 (25.8)
	College and above	21 (14.1)	66 (22.1)
Occupation of the mother	Government employee	19 (12.8)	57 (19.1)
	Housewife	96 (64.4)	137 (46.0)
	Merchant	10 (6.7)	21 (7.0)
	Student	2 (1.3)	31 (10.4)
	Farmer	13 (8.7)	22 (7.4)
	Daily workers	3 (2.0)	20 (6.7)
	Others†	6 (4.0)	10 (3.4)
Residence	Urban	98 (65.8)	215 (72.1)
	Rural	51 (34.2)	83 (27.9)

*Divorced. Single.

†Private employee.

 Table 2
 Obstetrics characteristics of mothers in five referral hospitals of Amhara region Northern Ethiopia, 2019 (cases=149, controls=298)

		Neonat	al jaundice
Characteristics	Category	Yes (%)	No (%)
Health institutions	University of Gondar referral hospital	31 (20.8)	71 (23.8)
	Debre Markos referral hospital	14 (9.4)	31 (10.4)
	Felege Hiwot referral hospital	53 (35.6)	67 (22.5)
	Debre Birhan referral hospital	28 (18.8)	65 (21.8)
	Dessie referral hospital	23 (15.4)	64 (21.5)
Number of delivery (parity)	Primiparous	100 (67.1)	244 (81.9)
	Multiparous	49 (32.9)	54 (18.1)
Previous child Hx of neonatal jaundice	Yes	21 (14.1)	19 (6.4)
	No	128 (85.9)	279 (93.6)
Antenatal care follow-up	Yes	145 (97.3)	272 (91.3)
	No	4 (2.7)	26 (8.7)
Number of antenatal care visit(n=417)	1	10 (6.7)	31 (10.4)
	2–4	116 (77.9)	204 (68.5)
	>4	23 (15.4)	63 (21.1)
Hx of using traditional medicine	Yes	3 (2.0)	11 (3.7)
	No	146 (98.0)	287 (96.3)
Obstetrics complication during pregnancy	Yes	56 (37.6)	83 (27.9)
	No	93 (62.4)	215 (72.1)
Type of obstetrics complication	HTN	24 (46.2)	28 (53.8)
	Obstructed labour	3 (37.5)	5 (62.5)
	Antepartum haemorrhage	12 (36.4)	21 (63.6)
	Anaemia	8 (47.1)	9 (52.9)
	Multiple pregnancy	6 (54.5)	5 (45.5)
	Gestational DM	3 (60.0)	2 (40.0)
	Intrauterine growth restriction	3 (50.0)	3 (50.0)
	Oligohaydraminous	7 (36.8)	12 (63.2)
Rh status	Positive	128 (85.9)	250 (83.9)
	Negative	21 (14.1)	48 (16.1)
Blood group	A	35 (23.5)	60 (20.1)
	В	33 (22.1)	61 (20.5)
	AB	19 (12.8)	44 (14.8)
	0	62 (41.6)	133 (44.6)
Gestational age at birth (in weeks)	Preterm	57 (38.3)	64 (21.5)
	Term	72 (48.3)	177 (59.4)
	Post term	9 (6.0)	19 (6.4)
	Unknown	11 (7.4)	38 (12.8)
Onset of labour	Spontaneous	132 (88.6)	240 (80.5)
	Induced	15 (10.1)	40 (13.4)
	Not in labour	2 (1.3)	18 (6.0)
Method of induction (n=55)	Oxytocin	13 (86.7)	40(100)
	Others	2 (13.3)	-
Presentation	Normal presentation	136 (91.3)	282 (94.6)
	Malpresentation	13 (8.7)	16 (5.4)
Premature rupture of membrane	Yes	53 (35.6)	54 (18.1)
	No	96 (64.4)	244 (81.9)

		Neonat	al jaundice
Characteristics	Category	Yes (%)	No (%)
Meconium stained amniotic fluid (n=107)	Yes	15 (28.3)	17 (31.5)
	No	38 (71.7)	37 (68.5)
Meconium stained amniotic fluid Grade (n=32)	Grade I	4 (26.7)	7 (41.2)
	Grade II	8 (53.3)	8 (47.1)
	Grade III	3 (20.0)	2 (11.8)
Duration of labour	Normal	96 (64.4)	214 (71.8)
	Prolonged	53 (35.6)	84 (28.2)
Mode of delivery	Spontaneous vaginal delivery	99 (66.4)	207 (69.5)
	Caesarean section	39 (26.2)	63 (21.1)
	Instrumental delivery	11 (7.4)	28 (9.4)

DM, Diabetes Mellitus; HTN, Hypertension.

43 years. About 85% of mothers who have neonates with jaundice and more than four-fifths (81.9%) of mothers with neonates without jaundice were between the age group of 20–35 years (table 1).

Obstetric characteristics

The median (±IQR) gestational age of the baby at birth was 38 (±3) weeks. Regarding parity, 100 (67.1%) of cases and 244 (81.9%) controls were primiparous. Regarding utilisation of antenatal care, almost all 145 (97.3%) of cases and 272 (91.3%) of controls had ANC follow-up, of them 116 (77.9%) of cases and 204 (68.5%) controls had 2-4 ANC visit during their recent pregnancy. One hundred forty-six (98.0%) of cases and 287 (96.3%) of controls have no history of using traditional medicine. Concerning the mode of delivery, 99 (66.4%) of cases and 207 (69.5%) of controls were delivered through spontaneous vaginal delivery. A total of 39 (11 cases and 28 controls) were delivered through instrumental deliveries. Of these 4 cases and 8 controls were through forceps whereas 7 cases and 20 controls were delivered via vacuum (table 2).

Neonatal related characteristics

In this study, 87 (58.4%) of cases and 170 (57.0%) of controls were males. The median (\pm IQR) age of neonate at the time of admission were 3 ± 2 days ranging from less than 1 day to 24 days of whom 100 (67.1%) of cases and 199 (66.8%) of controls of neonates age lies within 2–7 days. Fifty-eight (38.9%) of cases and 8 (2.7%) of controls were hypothermic whereas 27 (18.1%) of cases and 4 (1.3%) of controls were hypoglycaemic (table 3).

Determinants of NNJ

In bivariable binary logistic regression, the covariates mothers education level, duration of labour, sex of neonates, birth weight, neonatal sepsis, APGAR score, gestational age, premature rupture of membrane (PROM), birth asphyxia, parity, hypothermia, feed breast milk, Meconium stained amniotic fluid (MSAF, obstetrics **Table 3**Neonatal characteristics distribution among
neonates in five referral Hospitals of Amhara region,
Northern Ethiopia, 2019 (cases=149, controls=298)

		Neonata	al jaundice
Characteristics	Category	Yes (%)	No (%)
Sex of neonates	Male	87 (58.4)	170 (57.0)
	Female	62 (41.6)	128 (43.0)
Age of neonates at	<2 days	30 (20.1)	83 (27.9)
admission (in days)	2–7 days	100 (67.1)	199 (66.8)
	>7 days	19 (12.8)	16 (5.4)
Birth weight (g)	<2500	59 (39.6)	46 (15.4)
	2500–4000	88 (59.1)	246 (82.6)
	≥4000	2 (1.3)	6 (2.0)
Five minute APGAR	≤6	13 (8.7)	10 (3.4)
score	7–10	136 (91.3)	288 (96.6)
Birth asphyxia	Yes	42 (28.2)	33 (11.1)
	No	107 (71.8)	265 (88.9)
Feed breast milk	Yes	138 (92.6)	283 (94.9)
	No	11 (7.4)	15 (5.1)
Use formula feeding	Yes	14 (9.4)	12 (4.0)
	No	135 (90.6)	286 (96.0)
MAS	Yes	20 (13.4)	16 (5.4)
	No	129 (86.6)	282 (94.6)
Hypothermia	Yes	58 (38.9)	8 (2.7)
	No	91 (61.1)	290 (97.3)
Hypoglycaemia	Yes	27 (18.1)	4 (1.3)
	No	122 (81.9)	294 (98.7)
Neonatal sepsis/	Yes	81 (54.4)	10 (3.4)
infections	No	68 (45.6)	288 (96.6)
Birth trauma	Yes	13 (8.7)	4 (1.3)
	No	136 (91.3)	294 (98.7)

APGAR, Appearance, Pulse, Grimace, Activity, and Respiration; MAS, Meconium aspiration syndrome.

complication and history of jaundice were candidates for the multivariable binary logistic regression model. Multivariable binary logistic regression analysis was done by taking variables showing significant association on bivariable analysis at a p value of ≤ 0.25 to control (adjust) the possible confounding factors.

Prolonged duration of labour, hypothermia, sex of neonate, sepsis, birth asphyxia and birth weight had a significant association with NNJ at p<0.05 in multivariate analysis.

The odds of NNJ were 2.45 times higher among neonates born from mothers whose labour was prolonged than neonates delivered from mothers whose labour was normal (AOR=2.45, 95% CI 1.34 to 4.47, p=0.004). The chance of developing NNJ among male neonates was 3.54 times higher than female neonates (AOR=3.54, 95% CI 1.99 to 6.29, p<0.001). The odds of NNJ were 5.06 times more likely among neonates with birth weight less than 2500 g than neonates with normal birth weight (AOR=5.06, 95% CI 2.61 to 9.82; p<0.001).

The odds of NNJ were 2.88 times more likely among neonates with birth asphyxia than neonates without birth asphyxia (AOR=2.88, 95% CI 1.38 to 5.99, p=0.012). Similarly, the odds of NNJ were 2.49 times more likely among neonates with neonatal sepsis than neonates without neonatal sepsis (AOR=2.49, 95% CI 1.22 to 5.11, p=0.005). The odds of NNJ were 6.07 times higher among neonates with hypothermia than neonates without hypothermia (AOR=2.88, 95% CI 2.63 to 14.02, p<0.001; table 4).

DISCUSSION

This study was employed using institution-based unmatched case-control study design among neonates in Amhara region referral hospitals, Northern Ethiopia to investigate the main determinants of NNJ. Thus, from the adjusted analysis, we found that prolonged duration of labour, hypothermia, parity, sex of neonate, birth asphyxia, sepsis and birth weight were independent determinants of NNJ.

This study revealed that prolonged duration of labour was found to be a determinant factor for NNJ. This finding was consistent with studies conducted in the USA,³⁰ Tehran Iran,³¹ Nepal,³² Ghana³³ and Mekelle Ethiopia.²⁵ This might be attributed to bruising and swelling of the scalp of newborns due to the excessive pressure applied by birth attendants as management for prolonged labour which in turn increases the risk of jaundice by increasing bilirubin level in the blood. It may also be due to the clinical relationship between longer labour with cephalohaematoma and subgaleal haemorrhage which is a known determinant factor for NNJ and/ or severe hyperbilirubinaemia.

This study shows the sex of neonate was an important determinant factor for NNJ. Male sex was a determinant factor for NNJ. This finding is consistent with a study conducted in Nepal,³² Iran,²⁴ Pasir Malaysia,³⁴ Addis Ababa Ethiopia²⁶ and Mekelle Ethiopia.²⁵ This might

be due to male newborns have relatively immature liver which may not be able to process all the bilirubin formed from red blood cells in normal condition. Besides, a male has a higher concentration of bilirubin and hige risk of acute bilirubin encephalopathy as compared with females.^{12 35}

This study revealed that the birth weight of newborns was a determinant factor for NNJ. The odds of NNJ were 5.06 times more likely among neonates with birth weight less than 2500 g than neonates with normal birth weight. This finding was in line with studies conducted in Tehran Iran,³¹ Kerala India,³⁶ North India,³⁷ Nepal,³² South Nigeria³⁸ and Ghana.³³ This might be due to the fact that most of the time low birth weight is common in newborns with prematurity the presents with immature organs particularly immature liver which fails to conjugate normally produced bilirubin from red blood cell which results in jaundices.^{35 39}

Birth asphyxia was also an important determinant of NNJ. The odds of NNJ were 2.88 times more likely among neonates with birth asphyxia than neonates without birth asphyxia. Different studies conducted in Kerala India,³⁶ Southern Nigeria³⁸ and Southeastern Nigeria⁴⁰ supported that NNJs are influenced by birth asphyxia. This might be due to the fact that asphyxia is an insult to the newborn due to lack of oxygen, lack of perfusion to various organs which results in multiorgan system dysfunction due to hypoxic damage mainly on brain, lung, liver and intraventricular haemorrhage which affect the bilirubin conjugation ability of the liver that results in jaundice.⁴¹ Also, perinatal asphyxia with hypoxic-ischaemic encephalopathy can lead to disruption of the blood-brain barrier, thereby allowing free entry of the unconjugated bilirubin to the neurons resulting in acute bilirubin encephalopathy. Besides, kidney damage from PNA can lead to less excretion of the conjugated bilirubin, thereby causing conjugated hyperbilirubinaemia and jaundice.⁴²

This study revealed that neonatal sepsis was another determinant factor for NNJ. The odds of NNJ were 2.49 times more likely among neonates with neonatal sepsis than neonates without neonatal sepsis. This finding is in line with a study conducted in North India,³⁷ Kerala India,³⁶ Southeastern Nigeria,⁴⁰ Addis Ababa Ethiopia²⁶ and Mekelle Ethiopia.²⁵ This might be due to the fact that sepsis might cause haemolysis of red blood cells and hepatic dysfunction that leads to accumulation of serum bilirubin in the body.⁴³

This study revealed that hypothermia was an important determinant of NNJ. The odds of NNJ were 6.07 times more likely among neonates with hypothermia than neonates without hypothermia. This might be due to the fact that prolonged cold injury mainly moderate and severe hypothermia leads to oedema, general haemorrhage (especially pulmonary haemorrhage) which produces excess bilirubin that increases unconjugated serum bilirubin level.²⁹

 Table 4
 Association of sociodemographic, obstetric and neonatal risk factors with neonatal jaundice in referral hospitals of

 Amhara region Northern Ethiopia, 2019 (cases=149, controls=298)

Characteristics Yes (%) No (%) COR (95% CI) ADR (95% CJ) Mothers education
Mothers education 41 (27.5) 48 (16.1) 2.68 (1.41 to 5.11) 0.83 (0.35 to 1.97) Read and write 23 (15.4) 39 (13.1) 1.85 (0.91 to 3.77) 1.05 (0.41 to 2.67) Primary education 25 (23.5) 66 (22.8) 1.61 (0.65 to 3.06) 1.22 (0.53 to 2.80) Secondary education 29 (19.5) 77 (25.8) 1.18 (0.61 to 2.26) 0.72 (0.31 to 1.66) College and above 21 (14.1) 66 (22.1) 1 1 Parity 1 1 1 Primary aduation 2.93 (23.9) 54 (18.1) 2.21 (1.41 to 3.47) 2.89 (0.86 to 5.59) Hx of neonatal jaundice 2.99 (0.86 to 5.50) 1 Yes 21 (14.1) 19 (6.4) 2.29 (1.18 to 4.44) 2.06 (0.84 to 5.06) No 128 (63.6) 23 (27.9) 1.56 (1.03 to 2.36) 0.79 (0.44 to 1.44) Obstetrics complication 1 1 Orstotics complication 31 (62.4) 2.19 (1.39 to 3.43) 1.08 (0.54 to 2.13) Term 72 (28.3) 177 (59.4)
No formal education 41 (27.5) 48 (16.1) 2.68 (1.41 to 5.11) 0.83 (0.35 to 1.97) Read and write 23 (15.4) 39 (13.1) 1.85 (0.91 to 3.77) 1.05 (0.41 to 2.67) Primary education 29 (19.5) 77 (25.8) 1.161 (0.85 to 3.06) 1.22 (0.53 to 2.80) Secondary education 29 (19.5) 77 (25.8) 1.18 (0.61 to 2.26) 0.72 (0.31 to 1.66) College and above 21 (14.1) 66 (22.1) 1 1 Parity 1 1 1 Parity 100 (67.1) 244 (81.9) 1 1 1 Waltiparous 49 (32.9) 54 (18.1) 2.21 (1.41 to 3.47) 2.89 (0.86 to 5.59) Hx of neonatal jaundice *** *** 2.66 (0.84 to 5.06) 1 1 Vs 56 (37.6) 83 (27.9) 1.56 (1.03 to 2.36) 0.79 (0.44 to 1.44) No 93 (62.4) 2.19 (1.39 to 3.43) 1.08 (0.54 to 2.13) 1 Preterm 57 (38.3) 64 (21.5) 2.19 (1.39 to 3.43) 1.08 (0.54 to 2.34) Term
Read and write 23 (15.4) 39 (13.1) 1.85 (0.91 to 3.77) 1.05 (0.41 to 2.67) Primary education 35 (23.5) 68 (22.8) 1.61 (0.85 to 3.06) 1.22 (0.53 to 2.80) Secondary education 29 (19.5) 77 (25.8) 1.18 (0.61 to 2.20) 0.72 (0.31 to 1.66) College and above 21 (14.1) 66 (22.1) 1 1 Parity 100 (67.1) 244 (81.9) 1 1 Multiparous 49 (32.9) 54 (18.1) 2.21 (1.41 to 3.47) 2.80 (0.86 to 5.59) Hx of neonatal jaundice 2.99 (1.18 to 4.44) 2.06 (0.84 to 5.06) No 128 (85.9) 2.79 (93.6) 1 1 Obstetrics complication 36 (27.9) 1.56 (1.03 to 2.36) 0.79 (0.44 to 1.44) No 93 (62.4) 215 (72.1) 1 1 Preterm 57 (38.3) 64 (21.5) 2.19 (1.39 to 3.43) 1.08 (0.54 to 2.13) Term 72 (48.3) 177 (59.4) 1 1
Primary education 35 (23.6) 68 (22.8) 1.61 (0.85 to 3.06) 1.22 (0.53 to 2.80) Scondary education 29 (19.5) 77 (25.8) 1.18 (0.61 to 2.26) 0.72 (0.31 to 1.66) Colleg and above 21 (14.1) 62 (21.) 1 1 Parity 1 1 Priniparous 100 (67.1) 244 (81.9) 1 1 Multiparous 49 (32.9) 54 (18.1) 2.21 (1.41 to 3.47) 2.89 (0.86 to 5.59) Hx of neonatal jaundice 2.89 (0.86 to 5.69) 1 Yes 21 (14.1) 19 (6.4) 2.29 (1.18 to 4.44) 2.06 (0.84 to 5.06) No 128 (63.7.6) 83 (27.9) 1.56 (1.03 to 2.35) 0.79 (0.44 to 1.44) Obstetrics complication Yes 56 (37.6) 83 (27.9) 1.56 (1.03 to 2.35) 0.79 (0.44 to 1.44) No 93 (62.4) 215 (72.1) 1 1 1 Preterm 77 (83.3) 64 (21.5) 2.19 (1.39 to 3.43) 1.08 (0.54 to 2.13) Iterm 72 (48.3) 177 (59.
Secondary education 29 (19.5) 77 (25.8) 1.18 (0.61 to 2.26) 0.72 (0.31 to 1.66) College and above 21 (14.1) 66 (22.1) 1 1 Parity Primiparous 100 (67.1) 244 (81.9) 1 1 Multiparous 49 (32.9) 54 (18.1) 2.21 (1.41 to 3.47) 2.89 (0.86 to 5.59) Hx of neonatal jaundice 2.89 (0.86 to 5.59) Yes 21 (14.1) 19 (6.4) 2.29 (1.18 to 4.44) 2.06 (0.84 to 5.06) No 128 (85.9) 279 (0.36) 1 1 Obstetrics complication Yes 56 (67.6) 83 (27.9) 1.56 (1.03 to 2.36) 0.79 (0.44 to 1.44) No 93 (62.4) 215 (72.1) 1 1 1 Gestational age at birth 1.56 (1.03 to 2.36) 0.79 (0.44 to 1.44) Preterm 72 (83.3) 64 (21.5) 2.19 (1.39 to 3.43) 1.08 (0.54 to 2.13) 1 Inconson 11 (7.4) 38 (12.8)
College and above 21 (14.1) 66 (22.1) 1 1 Parity Priniparous 100 (67.1) 244 (81.9) 1 1 Multiparous 49 (32.9) 624 (18.1) 2.21 (1.41 to 3.47) 2.89 (0.86 to 5.59) Hx of neonatal jaundice Yes 21 (14.1) 19 (6.4) 2.29 (1.18 to 4.44) 2.06 (0.84 to 5.06) No 128 (85.9) 279 (93.6) 1 1 Obstetrics complication 1 1 1 Ves 56 (37.6) 83 (27.9) 1.56 (1.03 to 2.36) 0.79 (0.44 to 1.44) Robesterics complication Yes 56 (37.6) 83 (27.9) 1.56 (1.03 to 2.36) 0.79 (0.44 to 1.44) Robesterics complication Yes 56 (37.6) 83 (27.9) 1.56 (1.03 to 2.36) 0.79 (0.44 to 1.44) No 9 (30.6) 15 (72.1) 1 1 1 Gestational age at birth Preterm 72 (48.3) 177 (59.4) 1 1 Post-term 9 (6.0) 19 (6.4) 1.16 (0.50 to 2.69) 1.07 (0.35 to 3.22)
Parity Priniparous 100 (67.1) 244 (81.9) 1 1 Multiparous 49 (32.9) 54 (18.1) 2.21 (1.41 to 3.47) 2.89 (0.86 to 5.59) Hx of neonatal jaundice ************************************
Primiparous 100 (67.1) 244 (81.9) 1 1 Multiparous 49 (32.9) 54 (18.1) 2.21 (1.41 to 3.47) 2.89 (0.86 to 5.59) Hx of neonatal jaundice
Multiparous 49 (32.9) 54 (18.1) 2.21 (1.41 to 3.47) 2.89 (0.86 to 5.59) Hx of neonatal jaundice Yes 21 (14.1) 19 (6.4) 2.29 (1.18 to 4.44) 2.06 (0.84 to 5.06) No 128 (85.9) 279 (9.3.6) 1 1 Obstetrics complication 1.56 (1.03 to 2.36) 0.79 (0.44 to 1.44) No 93 (82.4) 215 (72.1) 1.56 (1.03 to 2.36) 0.79 (0.44 to 1.44) No 93 (82.4) 215 (72.1) 1 1 Gestational age at birth 79 (0.44 to 1.44) 1 Preterm 57 (38.3) 64 (21.5) 2.19 (1.39 to 3.43) 1.08 (0.54 to 2.13) Term 72 (48.3) 177 (59.4) 1 1 Post-term 9 (6.0) 19 (6.4) 1.16 (0.50 to 2.69) 1.07 (0.35 to 3.22) Unknown 11 (7.4) 38 (12.8) 0.712 (0.34 to 1.46) 0.98 (0.41 to 2.34) PROM 1 1 1 Unknown 96 (64.4) 244 (81.9) 1 1 1
Hx of neonatal jaundice Yes 21 (14.1) 19 (6.4) 2.29 (1.18 to 4.44) 2.06 (0.84 to 5.06) No 128 (85.9) 279 (93.6) 1 1 Obstetrics complication 1 Yes 56 (37.6) 83 (27.9) 1.56 (1.03 to 2.36) 0.79 (0.44 to 1.44) No 93 (62.4) 251 (72.1) 1 1 Gestational age at birth 1 1 Gestational age at birth 72 (48.3) 177 (59.4) 1 1 Post-term 9 (6.0) 19 (6.4) 1.16 (0.50 to 2.69) 1.07 (0.35 to 3.22) Unknown 11 (7.4) 38 (12.8) 0.712 (0.34 to 1.46) 0.98 (0.41 to 2.34) PROM 1 1 1 Unknown 11 (7.4) 38 (12.8) 0.712 (0.34 to 1.46) 0.98 (0.41 to 2.34) PROM 1 1 1 Unknown 96 (64.4) 24.4 (81.9) 1 1 1 Duration of labour 24 hours o 3 (35.6) 34 (42.2) 1.96
Yes 21 (14.1) 19 (6.4) 2.29 (1.18 to 4.44) 2.06 (0.84 to 5.06) No 128 (85.9) 279 (93.6) 1 1 Obstetrics complication Yes 56 (37.6) 83 (27.9) 1.56 (1.03 to 2.36) 0.79 (0.44 to 1.44) No 93 (62.4) 215 (72.1) 1 1 Gestational age at birth 1 1 Preterm 57 (38.3) 64 (21.5) 2.19 (1.39 to 3.43) 1.08 (0.54 to 2.13) Term 72 (48.3) 177 (59.4) 1 1 Post-term 9 (6.0) 19 (6.4) 1.16 (0.50 to 2.69) 1.07 (0.35 to 3.22) Unknown 11 (7.4) 38 (12.8) 0.712 (0.34 to 1.46) 0.98 (0.41 to 2.34) PROM Yes 53 (35.6) 54 (18.1) 2.49 (1.59 to 3.89) 1.43 (0.73 to 2.77) No 96 (64.4) 244 (81.9) 1 1 1 Duration of labour 24hours 53 (35.6) 84 (28.2) 1.96 (1.29 to 2.99) 2.45 (1.34 to 4.47)* Sex of neona
No 128 (85.9) 279 (93.6) 1 1 Obstetrics complication Yes 56 (37.6) 83 (27.9) 1.56 (1.03 to 2.36) 0.79 (0.44 to 1.44) No 93 (62.4) 215 (72.1) 1 1 Gestational age at birth 1 1 Preterm 57 (38.3) 64 (21.5) 2.19 (1.39 to 3.43) 1.08 (0.54 to 2.13) Term 72 (48.3) 177 (59.4) 1 1 Post-term 9 (6.0) 19 (6.4) 1.16 (0.50 to 2.69) 1.07 (0.35 to 3.22) Unknown 11 (7.4) 38 (12.8) 0.712 (0.34 to 1.46) 0.98 (0.41 to 2.34) PROM Yes 53 (35.6) 54 (18.1) 2.49 (1.59 to 3.89) 1.43 (0.73 to 2.77) No 96 (64.4) 214 (71.8) 1 1 1 Duration of labour s24 hours 96 (64.4) 214 (71.8) 1 1 >24 hours 96 (64.4) 214 (71.8) 1 1 1 Sex of neconates 1.96 (1.29 to 2.99) </td
Obstetrics complication Yes 56 (37.6) 83 (27.9) 1.56 (1.03 to 2.36) 0.79 (0.44 to 1.44) No 93 (62.4) 215 (72.1) 1 1 Gestational age at birth 1 Preterm 57 (38.3) 64 (21.5) 2.19 (1.39 to 3.43) 1.08 (0.54 to 2.13) Term 72 (48.3) 177 (59.4) 1 1 Post-term 9 (6.0) 19 (6.4) 1.16 (0.50 to 2.69) 1.07 (0.35 to 3.22) Unknown 11 (7.4) 38 (12.8) 0.712 (0.34 to 1.46) 0.98 (0.41 to 2.34) PROM Yes 53 (35.6) 54 (18.1) 2.49 (1.59 to 3.89) 1.43 (0.73 to 2.77) No 96 (64.4) 244 (81.9) 1 1 1 Duration of labour 244 hours 53 (35.6) 84 (28.2) 1.96 (1.29 to 2.99) 2.45 (1.34 to 4.47)* Sex of neonates 1 1 1 >24 hours 53 (35.6) 84 (28.2) 1.96 (1.29 to 2.99) 2.45 (1.34 to 4.47)*
Yes 56 (37.6) 83 (27.9) 1.56 (1.03 to 2.36) 0.79 (0.44 to 1.44) No 93 (62.4) 215 (72.1) 1 1 Gestational age at birth Preterm 57 (38.3) 64 (21.5) 2.19 (1.39 to 3.43) 1.08 (0.54 to 2.13) Term 72 (48.3) 177 (59.4) 1 1 Post-term 9 (6.0) 19 (6.4) 1.16 (0.50 to 2.69) 1.07 (0.35 to 3.22) Unknown 11 (7.4) 38 (12.8) 0.712 (0.34 to 1.46) 0.98 (0.41 to 2.34) PROM Yes 53 (35.6) 54 (18.1) 2.49 (1.59 to 3.89) 1.43 (0.73 to 2.77) No 96 (64.4) 244 (81.9) 1 1 1 Duration of labour 244 hours 53 (35.6) 84 (28.2) 1.96 (1.29 to 2.99) 2.45 (1.34 to 4.47)* Sex of neonates 1 1 1 Male 87 (58.4) 170 (57.0) 2.4 (1.57 to 3.65) 3.54 (1.99 to 6.29)** Female 62 (41.6)
No 93 (62.4) 215 (72.1) 1 1 Gestational age at birth Freterm 57 (38.3) 64 (21.5) 2.19 (1.39 to 3.43) 1.08 (0.54 to 2.13) Term 72 (48.3) 177 (59.4) 1 1 Post-term 9 (6.0) 19 (6.4) 1.16 (0.50 to 2.69) 1.07 (0.35 to 3.22) Unknown 11 (7.4) 38 (12.8) 0.712 (0.34 to 1.46) 0.98 (0.41 to 2.34) PROM Yes 53 (35.6) 54 (18.1) 2.49 (1.59 to 3.89) 1.43 (0.73 to 2.77) No 96 (64.4) 244 (81.9) 1 1 Duration of labour S3 (35.6) 84 (28.2) 1.96 (1.29 to 2.99) 2.45 (1.34 to 4.47)* Sex of neonates S3 (35.6) 84 (28.2) 1.96 (1.29 to 2.99) 2.45 (1.34 to 4.47)* Male 87 (58.4) 170 (57.0) 2.4 (1.57 to 3.65) 3.54 (1.99 to 6.29)** Female 62 (41.6) 128 (43.0) 1 1 Birth weight (g) 246 (82.6) 1 1 2500 59 (39.6) 46
Gestational age at birth Preterm 57 (38.3) 64 (21.5) 2.19 (1.39 to 3.43) 1.08 (0.54 to 2.13) Term 72 (48.3) 177 (59.4) 1 1 Post-term 9 (6.0) 19 (6.4) 1.16 (0.50 to 2.69) 1.07 (0.35 to 3.22) Unknown 11 (7.4) 38 (12.8) 0.712 (0.34 to 1.46) 0.98 (0.41 to 2.34) PROM Yes 53 (35.6) 54 (18.1) 2.49 (1.59 to 3.89) 1.43 (0.73 to 2.77) No 96 (64.4) 244 (81.9) 1 1 Duration of labour 24 hours 96 (64.4) 214 (71.8) 1 1 >24 hours 96 (64.4) 214 (71.8) 1 1 1 >24 hours 96 (64.4) 214 (71.8) 1.96 (1.29 to 2.99) 2.45 (1.34 to 4.47)* Sex of neonates 3.54 (1.99 to 6.29)** 1 Male 87 (58.4) 170 (57.0) 2.4 (1.57 to 3.65) 3.54 (1.99 to 6.29)** Female 62 (41.6) 128 (43.0) 1 1
Preterm 57 (38.3) 64 (21.5) 2.19 (1.39 to 3.43) 1.08 (0.54 to 2.13) Term 72 (48.3) 177 (59.4) 1 1 Post-term 9 (6.0) 19 (6.4) 1.16 (0.50 to 2.69) 1.07 (0.35 to 3.22) Unknown 11 (7.4) 38 (12.8) 0.712 (0.34 to 1.46) 0.98 (0.41 to 2.34) PROM 53 (35.6) 54 (18.1) 2.49 (1.59 to 3.89) 1.43 (0.73 to 2.77) No 96 (64.4) 244 (81.9) 1 1 1 Duration of labour 53 (35.6) 84 (28.2) 1.96 (1.29 to 2.99) 2.45 (1.34 to 4.47)* Sex of neonates 170 (57.0) 2.4 (1.57 to 3.65) 3.54 (1.99 to 6.29)** Female 62 (41.6) 128 (43.0) 1 1 1 Birth weight (g) 5.06 (2.61 to 9.82)** 2500-4000 88 (59.1) 246 (82.6) 1 1
Term72 (48.3)177 (59.4)11Post-term9 (6.0)19 (6.4)1.16 (0.50 to 2.69)1.07 (0.35 to 3.22)Unknown11 (7.4)38 (12.8)0.712 (0.34 to 1.46)0.98 (0.41 to 2.34)PROMYes53 (35.6)54 (18.1)2.49 (1.59 to 3.89)1.43 (0.73 to 2.77)No96 (64.4)244 (81.9)11Duration of labour≤24 hours96 (64.4)214 (71.8)11>24 hours53 (35.6)84 (28.2)1.96 (1.29 to 2.99)2.45 (1.34 to 4.47)*Sex of neonatesMale87 (58.4)170 (57.0)2.4 (1.57 to 3.65)3.54 (1.99 to 6.29)**Female62 (41.6)128 (43.0)11Birth weight (g)<2500
Post-term9 (6.0)19 (6.4)1.16 (0.50 to 2.69)1.07 (0.35 to 3.22)Unknown11 (7.4)38 (12.8)0.712 (0.34 to 1.46)0.98 (0.41 to 2.34)PROMYes53 (35.6)54 (18.1)2.49 (1.59 to 3.89)1.43 (0.73 to 2.77)No96 (64.4)244 (81.9)11Duration of labour≤24 hours96 (64.4)214 (71.8)11>24 hours96 (64.4)214 (71.8)11Sex of neonatesMale87 (58.4)170 (57.0)2.4 (1.57 to 3.65)3.54 (1.99 to 6.29)**Female62 (41.6)128 (43.0)11Birth weight (g)<2500
Unknown 11 (7.4) 38 (12.8) 0.712 (0.34 to 1.46) 0.98 (0.41 to 2.34) PROM Yes 53 (35.6) 54 (18.1) 2.49 (1.59 to 3.89) 1.43 (0.73 to 2.77) No 96 (64.4) 244 (81.9) 1 1 Duration of labour <th< td=""></th<>
PROM Yes 53 (35.6) 54 (18.1) 2.49 (1.59 to 3.89) 1.43 (0.73 to 2.77) No 96 (64.4) 244 (81.9) 1 1 Duration of labour ≤24 hours 96 (64.4) 214 (71.8) 1 1 >24 hours 96 (64.4) 214 (71.8) 1 1 >24 hours 96 (64.4) 214 (71.8) 1.96 (1.29 to 2.99) 2.45 (1.34 to 4.47)* Sex of neonates 1.96 (1.29 to 2.99) 2.45 (1.34 to 4.47)* Male 87 (58.4) 170 (57.0) 2.4 (1.57 to 3.65) 3.54 (1.99 to 6.29)** Female 62 (41.6) 128 (43.0) 1 1 Birth weight (g) 5.06 (2.61 to 9.82)** <2500
Yes 53 (35.6) 54 (18.1) 2.49 (1.59 to 3.89) 1.43 (0.73 to 2.77) No 96 (64.4) 244 (81.9) 1 1 Duration of labour ≤24 hours 96 (64.4) 214 (71.8) 1 1 >24 hours 96 (64.4) 214 (71.8) 1.96 (1.29 to 2.99) 2.45 (1.34 to 4.47)* Sex of neonates
No 96 (64.4) 244 (81.9) 1 1 Duration of labour ≤24 hours 96 (64.4) 214 (71.8) 1 1 ≤24 hours 96 (64.4) 214 (71.8) 1 1 1 >24 hours 53 (35.6) 84 (28.2) 1.96 (1.29 to 2.99) 2.45 (1.34 to 4.47)* Sex of neonates <td< td=""></td<>
Duration of labour ≤24 hours 96 (64.4) 214 (71.8) 1 1 >24 hours 53 (35.6) 84 (28.2) 1.96 (1.29 to 2.99) 2.45 (1.34 to 4.47)* Sex of neonates Male 87 (58.4) 170 (57.0) 2.4 (1.57 to 3.65) 3.54 (1.99 to 6.29)** Female 62 (41.6) 128 (43.0) 1 1 Birth weight (g) 5.06 (2.61 to 9.82)** 2500–4000 88 (59.1) 246 (82.6) 1 1
<24 hours 96 (64.4) 214 (71.8) 1 1 >24 hours 53 (35.6) 84 (28.2) 1.96 (1.29 to 2.99) 2.45 (1.34 to 4.47)* Sex of neonates
>24 hours 53 (35.6) 84 (28.2) 1.96 (1.29 to 2.99) 2.45 (1.34 to 4.47)* Sex of neonates Male 87 (58.4) 170 (57.0) 2.4 (1.57 to 3.65) 3.54 (1.99 to 6.29)** Female 62 (41.6) 128 (43.0) 1 1 Birth weight (g) 5.06 (2.61 to 9.82)** 2500–4000 88 (59.1) 246 (82.6) 1 1
Sex of neonates Male 87 (58.4) 170 (57.0) 2.4 (1.57 to 3.65) 3.54 (1.99 to 6.29)** Female 62 (41.6) 128 (43.0) 1 1 Birth weight (g) - - 5.06 (2.61 to 9.82)** 2500–4000 88 (59.1) 246 (82.6) 1 1
Male 87 (58.4) 170 (57.0) 2.4 (1.57 to 3.65) 3.54 (1.99 to 6.29)** Female 62 (41.6) 128 (43.0) 1 1 Birth weight (g) - - - - <2500
Female 62 (41.6) 128 (43.0) 1 1 Birth weight (g) 59 (39.6) 46 (15.4) 6.67 (4.13 to 10.77) 5.06 (2.61 to 9.82)** 2500-4000 88 (59.1) 246 (82.6) 1 1
Sinth weight (g) 59 (39.6) 46 (15.4) 6.67 (4.13 to 10.77) 5.06 (2.61 to 9.82)** 2500-4000 88 (59.1) 246 (82.6) 1 1
<2500 59 (39.6) 46 (15.4) 6.67 (4.13 to 10.77) 5.06 (2.61 to 9.82)** 2500-4000 88 (59.1) 246 (82.6) 1 1
2500-4000 88 (59.1) 246 (82.6) 1 1
≥4000 2 (1.3) 6 (2.0) 1.11 (0.22 to 5.62) 1.69 (0.25 to 11.30)
Five minute APGAR score
≤6 13 (8.7) 10 (3.4) 2.75 (1.17 to 6.43) 1.48 (0.41 to 5.28)
7–10 136 (91.3) 288 (96.6) 1 1
Birth asphyxia
Yes 42 (28.2) 33 (11.1) 4.14 (2.47 to 6.95) 2.88 (1.38 to 5.99)***
No 107 (71.8) 265 (88.9) 1 1
Feed breast milk
Yes 138 (92.6) 283 (94.9) 1 1
No 11 (7.4) 15 (5.1) 3.09 (1.94 to 4.92) 1.46 (0.73 to 2.89)
Neonatal sepsis
Yes 81 (54.4) 10 (3.4) 8.09 (4.83 to 13.56) 2.49 (1.22 to 5.11)****

Continued

Table 4 Continued Neonatal jaundice Characteristics Yes (%) No (%) COR (95% CI) AOR (95% CI) 68 (45.6) 288 (96.6) No 1 MAS 2.73 (1.37 to 5.44) 2.39 (0.88 to 6.48) Yes 20 (13.4) 16 (5.4) No 129 (86.6) 282 (94.6) 1 1 Hypothermia 6.76 (3.79 to 12.07) 6.07 (2.63 to 14.02)** Yes 58 (38.9) 8 (2.7) No 91 (61.1) 290 (97.3) 1 1

Significant at: *p=004, **p<0.001, ***p=012, ****p=0.005, 1=constant.

CONCLUSION

This study showed that maternal/obstetrics and neonatal characteristics were risk factors for NNJs in the study area. Prolonged duration of labour, hypothermia, low birth weight sepsis, birth asphyxia and sex of neonate were independent determinants of NNJ. Therefore, health providers should provide client-centred and meticulous antenatal care for high-risk pregnancies and intrapartum and postpartum care which help to identify high-risk newborns before discharge during routine postnatal care that would reduce the short-term and long-term complication that arise from late diagnosis. Furthermore, the ministry of health should formulate and evolve strategies to identify high-risk cases and optimise early recognition and management strategies for the identified modifiable determinants to reduce the incidence of NNJ.

Contributors ADB was the principal investigator who initiated the research, wrote the research proposal, conducted the fieldwork, supervised data entry, analysed the data and wrote the manuscript. All authors critically reviewed, provided substantive feedback and contributed to the intellectual content of this paper and made substantial contributions to the conception, conceptualisation and manuscript preparation of this study. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding The research was funded by Woldia University (wdu/530/05/rcs/11).

Competing interests None declared.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Ethics approval The ethical clearance was obtained from Woldia University, College of Health Sciences, institutional health research ethics review committee (IHRERC) with Ref No. (Wdu/rcs/aca/fhs/34/2019). A formal letter for permission and support from Woldia University wrote to Amhara regional health bureau (ARHB) and finally to selected health facilities. All the study participants were informed about the purpose of the study, their right to refuse. Written and signed voluntary consent was obtained from mothers before distributing the questionnaire. The respondents were also be told that the information obtained from them was treated with complete confidentiality and do not cause any harm to them.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data are available upon reasonable request. All data relevant to the study are included in the article or uploaded as supplementary information. All data relevant to the study are included in the article.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is

properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID iD

Asmamaw Demis Bizuneh http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4127-8642

REFERENCES

- American Academy of Pediatrics Subcommittee on Hyperbilirubinemia. Management of hyperbilirubinemia in the newborn infant 35 or more weeks of gestation. *Pediatrics* 2004;114:297–316.
- 2 Women's, NCCf and Cs Health. Neonatal jaundice; NICE clinical guideline n° 98. Londres: Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologist, 2010.
- 3 Practice parameter: management of hyperbilirubinemia in the healthy term newborn. American Academy of pediatrics. provisional Committee for quality improvement and Subcommittee on hyperbilirubinemia. *Pediatrics* 1994;94:558–65.
- 4 Maisels MJ. Managing the jaundiced newborn: a persistent challenge. *CMAJ* 2015;187:335–43.
- 5 Hameed NN, Na' Ma Alaa' Muhamed, Vilms R, et al. Severe neonatal hyperbilirubinemia and adverse short-term consequences in Baghdad, Iraq. *Neonatology* 2011;100:57–63.
- 6 English M, Ngama M, Musumba C, et al. Causes and outcome of young infant admissions to a Kenyan district hospital. Arch Dis Child 2003;88:438–43.
- 7 Mwaniki MK, Atieno M, Lawn JE, et al. Long-term neurodevelopmental outcomes after intrauterine and neonatal insults: a systematic review. *Lancet* 2012;379:445–52.
- 8 Bhutani VK, Zipursky A, Blencowe H, *et al.* Neonatal hyperbilirubinemia and rhesus disease of the newborn: incidence and impairment estimates for 2010 at regional and global levels. *Pediatr Res* 2013;74:86–100.
- 9 Lawn JE, Blencowe H, Oza S, et al. Every newborn: progress, priorities, and potential beyond survival. Lancet 2014;384:189–205.
- 10 Blencowe H, Vos T, Lee ACC, et al. Estimates of neonatal morbidities and disabilities at regional and global levels for 2010: introduction, methods overview, and relevant findings from the global burden of disease study. *Pediatr Res* 2013;74:4–16.
- 11 Maulik PK, Darmstadt GL. Childhood disability in low- and middleincome countries: overview of screening, prevention, services, legislation, and epidemiology. *Pediatrics* 2007;120:S1–55.
- 12 Erdeve O, Okulu E, Olukman O, et al. The Turkish neonatal jaundice online registry: a national root cause analysis. PLoS One 2018;13:e0193108.
- 13 Mishra S, Agarwal R, Deorari AK, et al. Jaundice in the newborns. Indian J Pediatr 2008;75:157–63.
- 14 Ullah S, Rahman K, Hedayati M. Hyperbilirubinemia in neonates: types, causes, clinical examinations, preventive measures and treatments: a narrative review article. *Iran J Public Health* 2016;45:558.
- 15 UNICEF, WHO, The World Bank Group. *Managing newborn* problems: a guide for doctors, nurses and midwives. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2003.
- 16 Hug L, Sharrow D, You D. *Levels and trends in child mortality: report.* The World Bank, 2017.
- 17 Slusher TM, Zamora TG, Appiah D, *et al*. Burden of severe neonatal jaundice: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Paediatr Open* 2017;1:e000105.

<u>d</u>

- 18 Ogunfowora OB, Adefuye PO, Fetuga MB. What do expectant mothers know about neonatal jaundice? Int Electron J Health Educ 2006;9:134–40.
- 19 Roba A, Diro D. Morbidities, rate and time trends of neonatal mortality in Dilchora referral Hospital, dire Dawa, Ethiopia, 2012-2017. Austin Med Sci 2017;2.
- 20 Tekleab AM, Amaru GM, Tefera YA. Reasons for admission and neonatal outcome in the neonatal care unit of a tertiary care hospital in Addis Ababa: a prospective study. *Res Rep Neonatol* 2016;6:17.
- 21 Slusher TM, Angyo IA, Bode-Thomas F, et al. Transcutaneous bilirubin measurements and serum total bilirubin levels in Indigenous African infants. *Pediatrics* 2004;113:1636–41.
- 22 Olusanya BO, Osibanjo FB, Slusher TM. Risk factors for severe neonatal hyperbilirubinemia in low and middle-income countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2015:10:e0117229.
- systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2015;10:e0117229.
 23 Engle WA, Tomashek KM, Wallman C, *et al.* "Late-preterm" infants: a population at risk. *Pediatrics* 2007;120:1390–401.
- 24 Garosi E, Mohammadi F, Ranjkesh F. The relationship between neonatal jaundice and maternal and neonatal factors. *Iran J Neonatology* 2016;7:37–40.
- 25 Lake EA, Abera GB, Azeze GA, et al. Magnitude of neonatal jaundice and its associated factor in neonatal intensive care units of Mekelle city public hospitals, Northern Ethiopia. Int J Pediatr 2019;2019:1–9.
- 26 Kassa RT, Gudeta H, Assen ZM, et al. Neonatal hyperbilirubinemia: magnitude and associated etiologic factors among neonates admitted at Tikur Anbessa specialized Hospital, Ethiopia. J Pregnancy Child Health 2018;05.
- 27 EPHI, ICF. Ethiopia mini demographic and health survey 2019: key indicators, 2019. Available: ; https://dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/ PR120/PR120.pdfaccessed [Accessed 11 Mar 2020].
- 28 Cheng S-W, Chiu Y-W, Weng Y-H. Etiological analyses of marked neonatal hyperbilirubinemia in a single institution in Taiwan. *Chang Gung Med J* 2012;35:148–54.
- 29 Kleigman B. Nelson text book of pediatrics. Philadelphia, Pa, USA: Elsevier, 2008.
- 30 Torbenson VE, Tolcher MC, Nesbitt KM, et al. Intrapartum factors associated with neonatal hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy: a casecontrolled study. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2017;17:415.

- 31 Tavakolizadeh R, Izadi A, Seirafi G, et al. Maternal risk factors for neonatal jaundice: a hospital-based cross-sectional study in Tehran. Eur J Transl Myol 2018;28:7618.
- 32 Scrafford CG, Mullany LC, Katz J, *et al.* Incidence of and risk factors for neonatal jaundice among newborns in southern Nepal. *Trop Med Int Health* 2013;18:1317–28.
- 33 Adoba P, Ephraim RKD, Kontor KA, et al. Knowledge level and determinants of neonatal jaundice: a cross-sectional study in the effutu municipality of Ghana. Int J Pediatr 2018;2018:1–9.
- 34 Awang Het al. Determinants of neonatal jaundice among newborns in Pasir Puteh district, Kelantan.. Int J Public Health clinic sci 2020;6:109–22.
- 35 Linn S, Schoenbaum SC, Monson RR, et al. Epidemiology of neonatal hyperbilirubinemia. *Pediatrics* 1985;75:770–4.
- 36 Devi DS, Vijaykumar B. Risk factors for neonatal hyperbilirubinemia: a case control study. Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol 2017;6:198–202.
- 37 Kumar M, Tripathi S, Singh SN, *et al.* Outcome of neonates with severe hyperbilirubinemia in a tertiary level neonatal unit of North India. *Clin Epidemiol Glob Health* 2016;4:51–6.
- 38 Omekwe DE, Duke George M, Kennis BT, et al. Survey and management outcome of neonatal jaundice from a developing tertiary health centre, southern Nigeria. IOSRJDMS 2014;13:35–9.
- 39 Watchko JF, Maisels MJ. Jaundice in low birthweight infants: pathobiology and outcome. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2003;88:455F–8.
- 40 Kolawole SE, Obueh HO, Okandeji Barry OR. Prevalence of neonatal jaundice in Eku Baptist community hospital in delta state Nigeria. J Public Health Epidemiol 2016;8:87–90.
- 41 Islam MT, Hoque SA, Nazir F, et al. Status of serum bilirubin, serum proteins and prothrombin time in babies with perinatal asphyxia. J Dhaka Natl Med Coll Hosp 2012;18:43–6.
- 42 Fekete M, Horváth M, Vincellér M. Perinatal asphyxia and jaundice in newborn infants. *Acta Paediatr Acad Sci Hung* 1978;19:17.
- 43 Dawodu AH, Owa JA, Familusi JB. A prospective study of the role of bacterial infection and G6PD deficiency in severe neonatal jaundice in Nigeria. *Trop Geogr Med* 1984;36:127.