

Received: 29 April 2019 Accepted: 4 September 2019

Published online: 20 September 2019

OPEN Glucagon-like peptide 1 and Glucagon-like peptide 2 in relation to osteoporosis in non-diabetic postmenopausal women

María Cristina Montes Castillo 1,7, María José Martínez Ramírez 1,2, Rubén Soriano Arroyo 1,8, Isabel Prieto Gomez 3, Ana Belén Segarra Robles 3, Macarena Garrido-Martínez 4, Piedad Santiago-Fernández 1 & Miguel Delgado Rodríguez 5,6

Osteoporosis results from an imbalance in bone remodeling, which is known to follow a circadian rhythm determined by a functional relationship between intestine and bone tissue. Specific intestinal peptides have been identified as mediators. Glucagon-like peptide 1 and glucagon-like peptide 2, have been associated with bone health. Our main objective was to determine whether postprandial plasma levels of glucagon-like peptide 1, glucagon-like peptide 2 and dipeptidyl-peptidase 4 activity, are associated with osteoporosis in non-diabetic postmenopausal women. We studied non-diabetic postmenopausal women with osteoporosis diagnosed by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (cases, n = 43) and age-matched (± 1 yr) controls without osteoporosis or a history of osteoporotic fracture (n = 43). We measured postprandial plasma levels of glucagon-like peptide 1, glucagon-like peptide 2, and dipeptidyl-peptidase 4 activity, bone mineral density, and baseline levels of bone remodeling markers and analyzed the food intake using a food-frequency questionnaire. Postprandial glucagonlike peptide 1 values were lower (p < 0.001) in cases, μ (SEM) = 116.25 (2.68), than in controls, μ (SEM) = 126.79 (2.68). Glucagon-like peptide 1 was associated with reduced osteoporosis risk in the crude logistic regression analysis [OR (95% CI) = 0.724 (0.53–0.97), p = 0.031] and adjusted analysis [OR = 0.603 (0.38-0.94), p = 0.027]. We found no association of glucagon-like peptide 2, or dipeptidylpeptidase 4 activity with osteoporosis. Postprandial glucagon-like peptide 1 levels are related to osteoporosis and osteoporosis risk in non-diabetic postmenopausal women. Further studies are required to verify these findings.

Glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP1) and glucagon-like peptide 2 (GLP2) are intestinal peptides produced in the digestive system that participate in regulating the different stages of digestion. These peptides have attracted increased research interest in recent years, mainly on GLP1 in relation to glucose metabolism and diabetes mellitus^{1,2} but also on their involvement in other intermediary metabolism pathways, including their effects at bone tissue level and their possible relationship with osteoporosis^{3,4}.

Osteoporosis is characterized by bone mass reduction and microarchitecture impairment due to an imbalance in bone remodeling (BR) between bone formation and resorption, increasing the risk of fractures⁵. Under normal circumstances, resorption and formation processes are closely matched to avoid net changes in bone mass⁵.

BR follows a circadian rhythm, with BR markers increasing at night and decreasing during the day, most strongly influencing affecting the resorption mechanism⁴. No relationship has been found between this circadian

¹Endocrinology and Nutrition, Jaen University Hospital, Av. Ejército Español, sn, Jaén, Spain. ²Department of Health Sciences, University of Jaen, Campus "Las Lagunillas", Building B3, Jaén, Spain. 3Area of Physiology, University of Jaen, Campus "Las Lagunillas", Building B3, Jaén, Spain. "University of Granada, School of Dentistry, Campus "La Cartuja", Granada, Spain. ⁵Department of Preventive Medicine and Public Health, University of Jaen, Campus "Las Lagunillas", Building B3, Jaén, Spain. 6CIBERESP, Carlos III Health Institute, Madrid, Spain. 7Present address: Endocrinology and Nutrition, La Paz University Hospital, Madrid, Spain. 8Present address: Emergency Department, La Paz University Hospital, Madrid, Spain. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to M.C.M. (email: cristinamontescastillo86@gmail.com)

variation in bone remodeling and the secretion of cortisol, parathormone⁶, or melatonin⁷. It has been proposed that the BR circadian rhythm is influenced by food intake variations. Thus, the rhythm of remodeling is affected by food intake and increases during nocturnal fasting, which mainly affects bone resorption^{8,9} rather than bone formation¹⁰, and bone resorption was found to be reduced by day-time food intake and increased by nocturnal fasting¹¹ independently of age, sex, or menopausal status¹². It has also been observed that the bone resorption response to glucose is much greater when administered orally *versus* intravenously¹³. Taken together, these data indicate a functional relationship between intestine and bone metabolism that may possibly be mediated by hormones responding to nutrient absorption^{14,15}.

Intestinal peptides have been described as key effectors of the acute response of bone metabolism to food consumption⁹. Preliminary data suggest that various intestinal peptides exert positive effects on bone resorption in response to food intake¹⁶.

A few minutes after food intake, GLP1 and GLP2 are segregated by endocrine L cells distributed throughout the intestinal tract, mainly in the ilium, and reach elevated levels from 30 min after intake¹³. GLP1 is part of the incretin system, which mainly comprises intestinal peptides associated with increased insulin secretion in response to food intake¹. Other molecules of interest include receptor analogs similar to glucagon-like peptide (GLP1-RA), and dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP4), the enzyme responsible for their metabolism^{17,1}. GLP2, which has no incretin effect, acts in the intestine to stimulate mucosal trophism and favor nutrient absorption³, and its potential involvement in bone tissue is under investigation¹⁶.

The action of GLP1 on bone tissue has mainly been investigated in experimental studies. Administration of GLP1 and its receptor-stimulating analog, exendin, was found to reverse bone mass loss in rats¹⁸, and a later study in rodents observed that exendin favors bone formation and reduces bone resorption¹⁹. More recently, Meng *et al.* showed that peptide receptor similar to glucagon-1 (GLP1-R) activation improves osteoporosis and promotes osteogenic differentiation into bone marrow stromal cells in an animal model of osteoporosis (tail-suspended rats)²⁰.

Studies in diabetic patients have demonstrated that various incretin-effect drugs used in diabetes mellitus may affect bone health. It has also been reported that both GLP1-RA^{21,22} and DPP4 inhibitors²³ may affect the risk of fracture, although findings have been inconclusive. In addition, a recent meta-analysis associated the administration of liraglutide or lixisenatide with a decreased risk of bone fracture in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus²⁴.

The relationship of GLP2 with bone health has been studied in humans, finding that the intake of mixed food causes a reduction in bone resorption and an associated increase in GLP2 and that GLP2 treatment significantly reduces bone resorption^{8,15} and improves bone mass¹⁰.

Although a relationship has been demonstrated between DPP4 and osteoporosis in non-diabetic postmenopausal women^{25,26} there is little evidence on the association of GLP1 with osteoporosis in humans with no glucose metabolism disorder. Confirmation of this relationship would be of interest, especially in relation to GLP1, because drugs based on these peptides are used in diabetes mellitus and may be of potential value in the treatment of osteoporosis. Therefore, the main objective of this study was to determine whether GLP1 and also GLP2 and the enzyme responsible for metabolism, DPP4, are related to the presence of osteoporosis diagnosed according to bone mass criteria in non-diabetic postmenopausal women.

Methods

Study design. We conducted a case-control study with non-diabetic postmenopausal women with and without osteoporosis, matched 1:1 by age $(\pm 1 \text{ yr.})$.

We estimated a sample size of 38 patients per group based on the next statistical assumptions: needed to detect a significant difference (alfa error of 5%) between two means (106.3 vs. 92.2) with a common standard deviation of 12 based on the paper by Wojcik *et al.*²⁷ and a statistical power of 90%. Finally, we enrolled 86 women: 43 cases and 43 controls. Cases were women diagnosed with osteoporosis and controls were women without osteoporosis or a history of fracture.

We recruited volunteers from among patients who attended outpatient clinics of different specialties at our hospital between January 2015 to January 2016 and who met study eligibility criteria (see below).

Inclusion criteria for cases were: (1) female with age $<70\,\mathrm{yrs}$, (2) diagnosis by bone mass measurement with dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) of osteoporosis, defined by bone mineral density (BMD) T score value ≤ -2.5 standard deviations measured at femoral or lumbar sites; (3) absence of diabetes mellitus or pre-diabetes status (based on glycosylated hemoglobin and baseline fasting glycemia according to the criteria of the American Diabetes Association)²⁸; and (4) postmenopausal status, defined by the presence of amenorrhea for more than one year.

Exclusion criteria were: (1) diagnosis of secondary osteoporosis²⁹; (2) presence of any endocrinal disease and/ or food behavior disorder; (3) pregnancy; (4) hospitalization during the previous six months; (5) diagnosis of severe cancer; (6) diagnosis of ileocolic disease (inflammatory bowel disease, intestinal malabsorption, or intestinal resection or fistulae); (7) diagnosis of stage IV chronic kidney disease: Modification of diet in renal disease-4 (MDRD-4) measured glomerular filtration rate (GFR) < 30 mL/min/1.73 m²³⁰; (8) active treatment with: biological factors; anti-diabetic drug, including DDP4 inhibitors, or GLP-1 or GLP-2 analogs; cholestyramine; anticonvulsants; rifampicin; antacids; antineoplastic; corticoids; or anti-osteoporotic drugs.

Controls were age-matched (± 1 yr.) non-diabetic postmenopausal women with DEXA-confirmed absence of osteoporosis and no history of low-energy fracture. Other exclusion criteria were the same as for cases.

We gathered data on: the participants' history of disease and drug consumption; their dietary intake, using a semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaire adapted to the Spanish population³¹; and their weight (kg), height (cm), and body mass index (BMI) (Kg/m²).

Bone mass determination. All participants underwent densitometry with LUNAR DPX GE HC densitometer in lumbar spine (vertebras L1-L4) and left femoral neck. We determined the T-scores and Z-scores. considering osteoporosis as a function of T-score when BMD values were ≤ -2.5 standard deviations (T-score ≤ -2.5) measured at one or both sites.

Analytical determinations. A blood sample was drawn from the antecubital vein at baseline after >8 h fasting for the measurement of bone metabolism and general biochemistry parameters³². A second sample was drawn on the same day at 30 min after^{13,33} the intake by participants of the same complete and chemically defined nutritional preparation of carbohydrates, proteins, and lipids (Resource HP/HC, NESTLE HEALTH SCIENCE) (see supplementary information) for peptide and DPP4 activity determinations, because intestinal peptide levels are very low under fasting conditions.

Bone metabolism determination and general biochemistry parameters. The following metabolism and BR parameters were analyzed in the hospital laboratory after >8 h fasting³²: plasma calcium and phosphorus (mg/dL), 25-OH vitamin D (ng/mL), intact parathyroid hormone (PTHi) (pg/mL), osteocalcin (ng/mL), procollagen type I aminoterminal propeptide (PINP) (ng/mL), and type I collagen C-terminal telopeptide (CTX) (ng/mL), and usual biochemical values, including glycosylated hemoglobin (%), basal glucose (mg/dL), and serum albumin (g/dL).

GLP1 and GLP2 determination. GLP1 (pg/mL) and GLP2 (pg/mL) were measured in the physiology laboratory of the University of Jaen (Spain). Immediately before postprandial blood samples were drawn, $10\,\mu\text{L/mL}$ of a DPP4 inhibitor (DPP4/DPP4-010, Linco Research Inc, St Charles, Missouri, USA) were added to the tubes, following Hattori *et al.*³⁴. Plasma samples were then obtained by placing the tubes in ice and immediately centrifuging them at $4\,^{\circ}\text{C}$ and $3,000\,\times$ g for 30 min followed by their storage at $-80\,^{\circ}\text{C}$. Specific BIONOVA® commercial kits were used to determine total (cleaved and uncleaved) GLP-1 and GLP-2 levels using ELISA techniques.

DPP4 activity determination. DPP4 activity was determined at the above physiology laboratory in blood samples drawn at 30 min after consumption of the aforementioned nutritional preparation into tubes with no DPP4 inhibitor, using a fluorimetry assay (Sigma-Aldrich DPP4 Activity Assay Kit) based on hydrolysis by the enzyme of the H-Gly-Pro-4-methoxy-β-naphthylamide substrate, which releases β-naphthylamide, measuring its fluorescence at 345 nm excitation and 412 nm emission wavelengths after incubation at 37 °C. Values were expressed as pmol of β-naphthylamine released per minute of incubation and per mL of plasma.

Statistical analysis. The Student's t-test was used to compare means between cases and controls. Linear regression analysis was performed to evaluate the prediction by bone remodeling parameters of peptide levels separately in cases and controls. Conditional logistic regression analysis was used to assess associations between the different peptides and osteoporosis, adjusting for potential confounders. Program Stata 14 SE (College Station, TX, US) was used for data analyses.

Ethics statement. All enrolled patients signed informed consent to participation in the study, which was approved by the Research Ethics Committee and followed all recommendations of the Helsinki Convention.

The study was approved by local ethical committee "Comité de Ética de la Investigación de Jaén", (date: 10-30-2014).

Ethical approval. All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

This article does not contain any studies with animals performed by any of the authors.

Informed consent. Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

Results

Table 1 lists results of the descriptive analysis. The mean age (SEM) was 58.74 (0.63) yrs for cases and 58.76 (0.63) yrs for controls. No statistically significant difference was found between cases and controls in mean age, history of disease or pharmacological treatment (data not shown in table), glycosylated hemoglobin, alcohol, tobacco consumption, or dietary intake (energy and macronutrients). Weight and BMI values were significantly lower (p < 0.001) in cases than in controls.

Table 2 compares means values of blood variables between cases and controls, showing significantly lower (p < 0.001) GLP1 levels in cases (μ [SEM]) = 116.75 [2.68]) than in controls (μ [SEM] = 126.79 \pm 2.68). No statistically significant between-group differences were found in plasma GLP2, DPP4, 25-OH vitamin D, PTHi, calcium (albumin-corrected), or phosphorus levels. As expected, cases and controls significantly differed (p < 0.001) in densitometry and BR parameters (osteocalcin, PINP, and CTX).

Table 3 exhibits results of the linear regression analysis for GLP1, GLP2, and DPP4 levels and BR markers. We found a positive correlation between GLP1 and CTX that was significant in cases (p = 0.011) and close to significant in controls (p = 0.054), and a significant negative correlation between GLP1 and PINP in controls (p = 0.043). GLP2 was also positively correlated with osteocalcin in controls (p = 0.04). DPP4 was positively correlated with PINP (p < 0.001) and CTX (p = 0.022) in controls.

Table 4 displays results of the crude and adjusted conditional logistic regression analyses on the relationship with the presence of osteoporosis of intestinal peptides and DPP4, BR parameters, dietary intake, and BMI. GLP1 was associated with a significant reduction in osteoporosis risk after adjustment for BMI and CTX as confounders (OR [95% CI] = 0.724 [0.53-0.97]).

Variables	Controls (n = 43)	Cases (n = 43)	p value	
Age (yrs), mean (SEM)	58. 8 (0.6)	58.7 (0.6)	0.98	
Work situation, n (%)			•	
Active	21 (48.8)	29 (67.4)	0.168	
Retired or unemployed	5 (11.6)	5 (11.6)		
Housewife	17 (39.5)	9 (20.9)	1	
Tobacco n (%)			•	
No	35 (81.4)	33 (76.3)		
<1-4 cigarettes/day	0 (0.0)	2 (4.7)	1	
5–10 cigarettes/day	3 (7.0)	3 (7.0)	0.702	
>10 cigarettes/day	4 (9.3)	4 (9.3)	1	
Not known/No response	1 (2.3)	1 (2.3)	1	
Alcohol				
No	37 (86.0)	32 (74.4)	0.152	
Occasional	2 (4.7)	8 (18.6)		
<10 g day	3 (7.0)	3 (7.0)	1	
Energy (Kcal/d), mean (SEM)	2723 (217.90)	2604 (166.60)	0.665	
Carbohydrates (g/d), mean (SEM)	295.5 (30.86)	263.3 (20.16)	0.387	
Proteins (g/day), mean (SEM)	123.5 (8.89)	123.3 (11.0)	0.99	
Total fat (g/day), mean (SEM)	114.1 (9.84)	111.5 (6.61)	0.832	
Weight (Kg), mean (SEM)	75.06 (2.13)	63.39 (1.84)	< 0.001	
Height (cm), mean (SEM)	157.6 (0.84)	156.19 (1.21)	0.336	
BMI (Kg/m²), mean (SEM)	30.29 (0.90)	26.04 (0.74)	< 0.001	
Glycosylated hemoglobin, mean (SEM)	5.53 (0.05)	5.51 (0.04)	0.85	

Table 1. Descriptive analysis. BMI: body mass index.

Variable	Controls (n = 43)	Cases (n = 43)	
Intestinal peptides	Mean (SEM)	Mean (SEM)	p value
GLP1 (pg/mL)	126.79 (2.68)	116.75 (2.68)	< 0.001
GLP2 (pg/mL)	308.91 (13.27)	310.49 (14.3)	0.933
DDP4 (pmol/min/mL)	7643.02 (223.2)	7711 (207.9)	0.822
Bone remodeling markers			
Albumin-corrected serum Ca (mg/dL)	9.26 (0.05)	9.31 (0.03)	0.373
Serum phosphorus (mg/dL)	3.24 (0.05)	3.36 (0.08)	0.234
25OHD (ng/mL)	18.63 (1.05)	19.3 (30)	0.652
PTHi (pg/mL)	58.51 (7.87)	47.88 (2.75)	0.206
Osteocalcin (ng/mL)	19.36 (1.07)	23.23 (1.11)	0.014
PINP (ng/mL)	39.04 (2.16)	51.24 (3.05)	0.001
CTX (ng/mL)	0.322 (0.02)	0.481 (0.03)	< 0.001
Bone mass			
Lumbar spine t-score	0.185 (0.10)	-2.823 (0.10)	< 0.001
Lumbar spine z-score	1.274 (0.11)	-1.344 (0.14)	< 0.001
Femur t-score	0.302 (0.12)	-1.92 (0.13)	< 0.001
Femur z-score	0.89 (0.11)	-1.11 (0.13)	< 0.001

Table 2. Comparison of means of main variables between cases and controls. GLP1: Glucagon-like 1. GLP2: Glucagon-like 2. DPP4: dipeptidyl peptidase 4 activity. 25OHD: 25-OH vitamin D. CTX: Type I collagen C-terminal telopeptide. PINP: Type I collagen I N-terminal propeptide. BMI: body mass index.

Discussion

The main finding of this study was the association between plasma GLP1 levels and osteoporosis in non-diabetic postmenopausal women. Postprandial GLP1 values were significantly lower in non-diabetic postmenopausal women with than without osteoporosis, and higher values were significantly associated with a reduction in osteoporosis risk in the crude and adjusted logistic regression analyses. The presence or risk of osteoporosis was not associated with GLP2 levels or DPP4 activity.

The existence of a relationship between bone tissue and intestinal peptides was also supported by the following findings: (1) a positive correlation of GLP1 with the BR marker CTX that was significant in cases and close to significant in controls, and a negative correlation of GLP1 with PINP in controls; (2) a positive and significant

	Controls (n=43)		Cases (n = 43)			
	β coefficient (SE)	p value	β Coefficient (SE)	p value		
Dependent variable: GLI	Dependent variable: GLP1 (pg/mL)					
Osteocalcin (ng/mL)	-0.123 (0.55)	0.82	0.070 (0.59)	0.905		
PINP (ng/mL)	-0.699 (0.33)	0.043	-0.365 (0.21)	0.098		
CTX (ng/mL)	58.59 (29.49)	0.054	49.27 (18.33)	0.011		
Dependent variable: GLP2 (pg/mL)						
Osteocalcin (ng/mL)	5.74 (2.69)	0.04	-2.53 (3.51)	0.476		
PINP (ng/mL)	-3.03 (2.69)	0.07	1.42 (1.29)	0.277		
CTX (ng/mL)	-80.30 (143.67)	0.579	-89.95 (109.9)	0.621		
Dependent variable DPP4 (pg/mL)						
Osteocalcin (ng/mL)	-69.93 (41.9)	0.104	-15.16 (47.55)	0.752		
PINP (ng/mL)	99.19 (25.3)	< 0.001	15.21 (17.46)	0.389		
CTX (ng/mL)	-534.2 (2237.6)	0.022	1689.03 (1486.4)	0.263		

Table 3. Association of GLP1, GLP2, DPP4 (postprandial levels) with bone remodeling parameters (fasting levels) in cases and in controls. *SE* (*Standard Error*). Linear regression analysis.

	Crude analysis		Adjusted analysis ^a	
Variable	OR (95% CI)	p value	OR (95% CI)	p value
GLP1 (per 10) (pg/mL)	0.724 (0.53-0.97)	0.031	0.603 (0.38-0.94)	0.027
GLP2 (per 100) (pg/mL)	1.03 (0.55-1.96)	0.912	0.99 (0.30-3.23)	0.988
DPP4 (per 1000) (pmol/min/ml)	1.06 (0.71-1.57)	0.766	0.89 (0.42-1.90)	0.775
Albumin-corrected calcium (mg/dL)	2.03 (0.42-9.89)	0.380	3.47 (0.20-59.1)	0.389
25OHD (ng/mL)	1.01 (0.03)	0.635	1.01 (0.9-1.13)	0.807
Osteocalcin (ng/mL)	1.1 (1.02-1.19)	0.017	1.05 (0.90-1.23)	0.505
PINP (ng/mL)	1.05 (1.01-1.08)	0.005	1.02 (0.96-1.07)	0.486
CTX (ng/mL)	562.4 (12.93-24461	0.001	297.98 (4.32-20531)	0.008
Energy intake (Kcal/day)	0.99 (0.99-1.00)	0.718	0.99 (0.99-1.00)	0.723
Protein intake (g/day)	1.00 (0.99-1.00)	0.949	1.00 (0.99-1.01)	0.618
BMI (Kg/m²)	0.73 (0.59-0.88)	0.002	0.75 (0.61-0.91)	0.005

Table 4. Relationship of main variables with osteoporosis. ^aAdjusted for BMI and CTX. Logistic regression analysis.

association of GLP2 with osteocalcin in controls; and (3) a positive association of DPP4 activity with PINP and its negative association with CTX in controls.

The main study limitation is that we performed only one analytical determination of peptides and other bone metabolism markers. Besides the results obtained for GLP1, a strength of this study is that it appears to be the first to compare postprandial levels of GLP1 and GLP2 peptides between non-diabetic postmenopausal women with and without osteoporosis.

Our results for GLP1 are conclusive, observing a clear association with osteoporosis in the comparison of means and in the conditional logistic regression. The association between GLP1 and bone tissue was previously evidenced in preclinical studies.

GLP-1 must bind with its receptor to exert its metabolic effects¹⁴ and is rapidly inactivated by enzyme DPP4, resulting in inactive $GLP1_{9-36}$ with low affinity for $GLP1-R^{35}$. GLP1-R has been detected in pancreatic islets, lung, stomach, kidney, hypothalamus, and heart but not in liver, adipose tissue, or skeletal muscle³⁵, although Nuche-Berenguer *et al.* reported that GLP1 can act directly on cultured osteoblasts (MC3T3-E1 osteoblastic cells) *via* a membrane receptor³⁶. In addition, Pacheco-Pantoja *et al.* (2011) observed the expression of GLP1-R in different human osteoblast cell lines and demonstrated their influence on the secretion of osteocalcin, alkaline phosphatase, and PINP³⁷.

The molecular mechanisms underlying the effects of GLP1 on bone tissue have not yet been elucidated. GLP1 or GLP1-RA may act directly on bone *via* functional GLP1-R expressed by bone cells^{36,37} or indirectly through an increased production of calcitonin by thyroid C cells, inhibiting bone resorption³⁸.

The action of GLP1 and GLP1-RA on bone tissue has been investigated in animal and *in vitro* studies. GLP1-R knockout mice showed densitometry-measured osteopenia and bone fragility and increased bone histomorphometry-evaluated resorption and osteoclastic activity³⁹. In another study⁴⁰, GLP1-R *knockout* mice evidenced significantly reduced bone strength, rigidity, and quality in comparison to *wild-type* mice, with a less mature collagen matrix and inferior intrinsic bone properties, although no statistically significant difference in bone mineral quantity was observed; the authors described GLP1-R as likely responsible for bone tissue resistance and quality⁴⁰.

In 2011, Nuche-Berenguer et al. reported that the administration of GLP1 and exendin (receptor analog) improved lipid and glucose metabolism and increased the expression of genes encoding osteocalcin and

osteoprotegerin, reversing bone mass loss¹⁸. Ma *et al.* observed that exendin administration in ovariectomized rats exerted a protective effect against osteoporosis, modulating the balance between bone resorption and formation¹⁹. More recently, exendin was found to have an anabolic effect on bone tissue, suggesting that GLP1-R participates in bone marrow stromal cell differentiation into osteoblasts²⁰.

Our study confirms that GLP1 is related to osteoporosis in non-diabetic postmenopausal women, finding a lower release of GLP1 in response to food in cases than in controls and observing that GLP1 was associated with a significant reduction of around 27% in osteoporosis risk.

However, our findings on the relationship between GLP1 and BR markers were unexpected. We found that GLP1 was positively associated in cases with CTX, a bone resorption parameter, and was negatively associated in controls with PINP, a bone formation parameter. A recent study of overweight/obese men reported that GLP1 and gastric inhibitory polypeptide (GIP) reduced their CTX levels and that the co-infusion of both peptides had a synergistic effect on their CTX levels and bone resorption⁴¹. In another investigation, obese women who had lost weight after following a hypocaloric diet were four-fold less likely to lose bone mass if treated with liraglutide, showing an increase in PINP but no change in CTX in comparison to the women not treated with this GLP1 analog⁴².

The discrepancy between these results may have various explanations: (1) our main objective was to associate GLP1 with osteoporosis, not directly with BR markers; (2) postmenopausal osteoporosis is a bone disorder with intense BR⁴³, increasing all BR markers, with a final predominance of bone resorption; and (3) we studied postprandial levels of peptides but fasting values of BR parameters, and GLP1 secretion likely changes in response to food intake. Furthermore, our results are in at least partial agreement with the study of Pacheco-Pantoja in osteoblast cell lines, which reported a reduction in PINP secretion after stimulation with GLP1³⁷. In addition, a polymorphism in GLP1-R has been found to influence osteoporosis risk⁴⁴ and may cause a dissociated response of bone tissue to GLP1 and its analogs. A meta-analysis in 2013 reported that different GLP1 analogs had opposite effects on the risk of osteoporotic fracture⁴⁵, and a more recent meta-analysis concluded that only two GLP1 analogs, liraglutide and lixisenatide, reduced bone fracture risk and that their effect depended on the treatment duration²⁴.

As in the case of GLP1, GLP2 has been shown by various researchers to exert beneficial effects on bone tissue⁴⁶. However, we found no association between GLP2 and osteoporosis, although we did observe a positive and significant association between GLP2 and osteocalcin in our control group. GLP1 and GLP2 are secreted in a 1:1 ratio by intestinal endocrine L cells, and a similar between-group difference in GLP-2 might therefore be expected³³. Fasting plasma levels of the active forms of these peptides are 5–10 pM for GLP1 and 15–20 pM for GLP2, and these values can be 2- to 5-fold higher after intake, with GLP2 being more stable than GLP1³³. These baseline differences may explain our finding of disparities in the levels of these peptides. Other studies have described a similar divergence in their values^{47,48}.

The effects of GLP2 on bone tissue have been widely studied in humans. The underlying molecular mechanisms of its action have yet to be elucidated⁴, but the presence of peptide receptor similar to glucagon-2 has been proposed in some osteoblast cell lines that showed increased osteocalcin synthesis in response to GLP2³⁷. In studies by Henriksen *et al.*, the intake of a mixture of nutrients by healthy volunteers reduced bone resorption and produced the parallel secretion of GLP1 and GLP2, and the intravenous injection of different doses of GLP2 in 60 postmenopausal women reduced bone resorption but had no effect on bone formation parameters¹⁵. In a later study by the same group, the administration of GLP2 for 14 days to healthy postmenopausal women was found to be a safe treatment that significantly reduced bone resorption and did not affect bone formation, with osteocalcin levels remaining stable⁸. A trial in which 160 postmenopausal women were treated with GLP2 described an increase in hip bone density and reduction in nocturnal CTX concentrations at day 120 post-injection, with no modification in osteocalcin⁴⁹.

In contrast, we found a significant relationship of osteoporosis with GLP1 but not with GLP2, which may be attributable to the difference in their actions. The principal actions of GLP2 are at intestinal level (trophic effect and stimulation of intestinal absorption), and its receptors are mainly present at intestinal and brain level^{50,51}, although their presence is suspected, with no clear evidence, in human bone tissue cells⁴⁶. For its part, GLP1 exerts its actions at pancreatic level, mainly with incretin effect, and its receptors are present in a larger number of tissues³³ and in osteoblasts³⁷, with direct effects on bone cells⁴⁶.

Our observation that GLP2 was positively associated with osteocalcin in the women without osteoporosis is consistent with the increase in osteocalcin previously observed in post-menopausal women after the subcutaneous administration of GLP2 under fasting conditions⁵² and also with the aforementioned report on increased osteocalcin in osteoblast cell lines after GLP2 treatment³⁷.

In the present study, DPP4 activity did not differ between participants with *versus* without osteoporosis, while it was positively associated with PINP and negatively associated with CTX but only among those without osteoporosis. Kim *et al.* recently observed an association between DPP4 activity levels and osteoporotic fracture risk in non-diabetic postmenopausal women, finding that high levels were associated with elevated BR markers; the authors indicated that bone formation and resorption was influenced by certain DPP4 substrates²⁵. Zheng *et al.* studied 744 postmenopausal women with no glucose metabolism disorder and found higher DPP4 activity in patients with osteoporosis and a positive association with osteocalcin and CTX. The discrepancy between these results may be attributable to differences in their sample sizes or to the determination of DPP4 activity after fasting by Zheng²⁶.

In conclusion, postprandial GLP1 levels are significantly reduced in non-diabetic postmenopausal women with osteoporosis, and higher postprandial GLP1 levels are associated with reduced osteoporosis risk in this population. This study contributes new data on the relationship between osteoporosis and intestinal peptides in humans and verifies the association between GLP1 and osteoporosis in non-diabetic postmenopausal women. These results suggest that GLP1 analog molecules, currently prescribed for diabetes mellitus, may potentially represent an alternative therapeutic approach to osteoporosis. No association was observed between osteoporosis and GLP2 levels or DPP4 activity, and the relationship of GLP1, GLP2, and DPP4 with bone remodeling markers remains unclear. Further research is warranted on the links between intestinal peptides and bone tissue.

References

- 1. Drucker, D. J. & Nauck, M. A. The incretin system: glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists and dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors in type 2 diabetes. *Lancet.* **368**, 1696–1705 (2006).
- 2. Luo, G., Liu, H. & Lu, H. Glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) receptor agonists: Potential to reduce fracture risk in diabetic patients? Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 81, 78–88 (2016).
- 3. Wong, I. P. L., Baldock, P. A. & Herzog, H. Gastrointestinal peptides and bone health. Curr Opin Endocrinol Diabetes Obes. 17, 44–50 (2010).
- 4. Yavropoulou, M. P. & Yovos, J. G. Incretins and bone: Evolving concepts in nutrient- dependent regulation of bone turnover. *Hormones.* 12, 214–223 (2013).
- 5. Kanis, J. A. *et al.* European guidance for the diagnosis and management of osteoporosis in postmenopausal women. *Osteoporos Int.* **24**, 23–57 (2013).
- Heshmati, H. M. et al. Effects of the circadian variation in serum cortisol on markers of bone turnover and calcium homeostasis in normal postmenopausal women. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 83, 751–756 (1998).
- 7. Kotlarczyk, M. P. et al. Melatonin osteoporosis prevention study (MOPS): A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study examining the effects of melatonin on bone health and quality of life in perimenopausal women. J Pineal Res. 52, 414–426 (2012).
- 8. Henriksen, D. B. et al. Disassociation of bone resorption and formation by GLP-2: a 14-day study in healthy postmenopausal women. Bone. 40, 723-9 (2007).
- 9. Walsh, J. S. & Henriksen, D. B. Feeding and bone. Arch Biochem Biophys. 503, 11-9 (2010).
- 10. Deal, C. Future therapeutic targets in osteoporosis. Curr Opin Rheumatol. 21, 380-5 (2009).
- 11. Bjarnason, N. H. et al. Mechanism of circadian variation in bone resorption. Bone. 30, 307-313 (2002).
- 12. Qvist, P. et al. Circadian variation in the serum concentration of C-terminal telopeptide of type I collagen (serum CTX): Effects of gender, age, menopausal status, posture, daylight, serum cortisol, and fasting. Bone. 31, 57–61 (2002).
- 13. Nauck, M. A. & Meier, J. J. The incretin effect in healthy individuals and those with type 2 diabetes: Physiology, pathophysiology, and response to therapeutic interventions. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 4, 525–536 (2016).
- Mabilleau, G., Pereira, M. & Chenu, C. Novel skeletal effects of glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) receptor agonists. J. Endocrinol. 236, 29–42 (2017).
- 15. Henriksen, D. B. *et al.* Role of Gastrointestinal Hormones in Postprandial Reduction of Bone Resorption. *J Bone Miner Res.* 18, 2180–2189 (2003).
- 16. Nauck, M. A. & Meier, J. J. Incretin hormones: Their role in health and disease. Diabetes, Obes. Metab. 20, 5-21 (2018).
- 17. Dicembrini, I., Mannucci, E. & Rotella, C. M. Bone: incretin hormones perceiver or receiver?. Exp Diabetes Res. 201, 519784 (2012).
- 18. Nuche-Berenguer, B. et al. GLP-1 and exendin-4 can reverse hyperlipidic-related osteopenia. J Endocrinol. 209, 203–210 (2011).
- 19. Ma, X. et al. Exendin-4, a glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonist, prevents osteopenia by promoting bone formation and suppressing bone resorption in aged ovariectomized rats. J Bone Miner Res. 28, 1641–1652 (2013).
- 20. Meng, J. et al. Activation of GLP-1 Receptor Promotes Bone Marrow Stromal Cell Osteogenic Differentiation through β-Catenin. Stem Cell Reports. 6, 579–591 (2016).
- 21. Driessen, J. H. M. et al. Use of Glucagon-Like-Peptide 1 Receptor Agonists and Risk of Fracture as Compared to Use of Other Antihyperglycemic Drugs. Calcif Tissue Int. 97, 506–515 (2015).
- 22. Meier, C. et al. Effects of diabetes drugs on the skeleton. Bone. 82, 93-100 (2016).
- 23. Monami, M. *et al.* Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors and bone fractures: A meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *Diabetes Care.* **34**, 2474–2476 (2011).
- 24. Cheng, L., et al. Glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists and risk of bone fracture in patients with type 2 diabetes: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Diabetes Metab Res Rev. 1–11 (2019).
- 25. Kim, H. et al. Association of circulating dipeptidyl-peptidase 4 levels with osteoporotic fracture in postmenopausal women. Osteoporos Int. 28, 1099–1108 (2017).
- 26. Zheng, T. et al. Plasma DPP4 Activities Are Associated With Osteoporosis in Postmenopausal Women With Normal Glucose Tolerance. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 100, 3862–3870 (2015).
- 27. Wojcik, M. H. *et al.* Reduced amylin levels are associated with low bone mineral density in women with anorexia nervosa. *Bone.* **46**, 796–800 (2010).
- 28. American Diabetes Association. Classification and Diagnosis of Diabetes: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes. *Diabetes Care.* 41, 13–27 (2018).
- Tarantino, U. et al. Clinical guidelines for the prevention and treatment of osteoporosis: summary statements and recommendations from the Italian Society for Orthopaedics and Traumatology. J Orthop Traumatol. 18, 3–36 (2017).
- 30. Salvador-González, B. et al. Estimación del filtrado glomerular según MDRD-4 IDMS y CKD-EPI en individuos de edad igual o superior a 60 años en atención primaria. Nefrologia. 33, 552–563 (2013).
- 31. Martínez-González, M. A. et al. Mediterranean diet and stroke: objectives and design of the SUN project. Seguimiento Universidad de Navarra. Nutr Neurosci. 5, 65–73 (2002).
- 32. Delmas, P. D. et al. The use of biochemical markets of bone turnover in osteoporosis. Osteoporos Int. 11, 2-17 (2000).
- 33. Baggio, L. L. & Drucker, D. J. Glucagon-like peptide-1 and glucagon-like peptide-2. Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab. 18, 531–554 (2004).
- 34. Hattori, A. et al. Elevated plasma GLP-1 levels and enhanced expression of cardiac GLP-1 receptors as markers of left ventricular systolic dysfunction: a cross-sectional study. BMJ Open. 1–9 (2013).
- 35. Cantini, G., Mannucci, E. & Luconi, M. Perspectives in GLP-1 Research: New Targets, New Receptors. *Trends Endocrinol Metab.* 27, 427–438 (2016).
- Nuche-Berenguer, B. et al. Presence of a functional receptor for GLP-1 in osteoblastic cells, independent of the cAMP-linked GLP-1 receptor. J Cell Physiol. 225, 585–592 (2010).
- 37. Pacheco-Pantoja, E. L. et al. Receptors and effects of gut hormones in three osteoblastic cell lines. BMC Physiol. 11, 1-14 (2011).
- 38. Zhao, C. *et al.* The impact of glucagon-like peptide-1 on bone metabolism and its possible mechanisms. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne).* **8**, 1–8 (2017).
- 39. Yamada, C. *et al.* The murine glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor is essential for control of bone resorption. *Endocrinology.* **149**, 574–579 (2008).
- 40. Mabilleau, G. et al. Optimal bone mechanical and material properties require a functional glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor. J Endocrinol. 219, 59–68 (2013).
- 41. Bergmann, N. C. et al. Separate and Combined Effects of GIP and GLP-1 Infusions on Bone Metabolism in Overweight Men without Diabetes. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 104, 2953–2960 (2019).
- 42. Iepsen, E. W. et al. GLP-1 receptor agonist treatment increases bone formation and prevents bone loss in weight-reduced obese women. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 100, 2909–2917 (2015).
- 43. Garnero, P. et al. Biochemical markers of bone turnover, endogenous hormones and the risk of fractures in postmenopausal women: the OFELY study. J Bone Miner Res. 15, 1526–1536 (2000).
- 44. Fu, S. *et al.* Polymorphism of glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor gene (rs1042044) is associated with bone mineral density in Chinese Han postmenopausal women. *African J Biotechnol.* **14**, 714–718 (2015).

- 45. Su, B. et al. Risk of bone fractures associated with glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists' treatment: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Endocrine. 48, 107–115 (2015).
- 46. Schiellerup, S. P. et al. Gut Hormones and Their Effect on Bone Metabolism. Potential Drug Therapies in Future Osteoporosis Treatment. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 10, 1–13 (2019).
- 47. Trautvetter, U. & Jahreis, G. Effect of supplementary calcium phosphate on plasma gastrointestinal hormones in a double-blind, placebo-controlled, cross-over human study. *Br J Nutr.* **111**, 287–293 (2014).
- 48. Mutanen, A. & Pakarinen, M. P. Serum fasting GLP-1 and GLP-2 associate with intestinal adaptation in pediatric onset intestinal failure. Clin Nutr. 36, 1349–1354 (2017).
- 49. Henriksen, D. B. et al. Four-month treatment with GLP-2 significantly increases hip BMD. A randomized, placebo-controlled, doseranging study in postmenopausal women with low BMD. Bone. 45. 833–842 (2009).
- 50. Burrin, D. G. et al. Recent Advances in Nutritional Sciences Glucagon-Like Peptide 2: A Nutrient-Responsive Gut Growth. J nutr. 131, 709–712 (2001).
- 51. Dong, C. X. et al. The intestinal epithelial insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor links glucagon-like peptide-2 action to gut barrier function. Endocrinology. 155, 370–379 (2014).
- 52. Henriksen, D. B. et al. Reduction of nocturnal rise in bone resorption by subcutaneous GLP-2. Bone. 34, 140-147 (2004).

Acknowledgements

This study was funded by "Instituto de Salud Carlos III (ISCIII)" (Madrid, Spain) and "Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional (FEDER)" (PI14/01591).

Author Contributions

All authors have contributed: (1) the conception and design of the study (M.J.M.R., M.C.M.C., I.P.G., M.D.R.), acquisition of data (M.C.M.C., M.J.M.R., R.S.A., I.P.G., A.B.S.R., P.S.F., M.G.M.) or analysis and interpretation of data (M.J.M.R., M.C.M.C., P.S.F., M.D.R.), (2) drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content (M.C.M.C., M.J.M.R., R.S.A., I.P.G., A.B.S.R., P.S.F., M.G.M., M.D.R.), (3) final approval of the version to be submitted (M.C.M.C., M.J.M.R., R.S.A., I.P.G., A.B.S.R., P.S.F., M.G.M., M.D.R.).

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-50117-z.

Competing Interests: María Cristina Montes Castillo declares that she has no conflict of interest. María José Martínez Ramírez declares that she has no conflict of interest. Rubén Soriano Arroyo declares that he has no conflict of interest. Isabel Prieto Gomez declares that she has no conflict of interest. Ana Belén Segarra Robles declares that she has no conflict of interest. Macarena Garrido-Martínez declares that she has no conflict of interest. Piedad Santiago-Fernández declares that she has no conflict of interest. Miguel Delgado Rodríguez declares that he has no conflict of interest.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2019