REVIEW



Open Access

Messing up disorder: how do missense mutations in the tumor suppressor protein APC lead to cancer?

David P Minde¹, Zeinab Anvarian², Stefan GD Rüdiger^{1*} and Madelon M Maurice^{2*}

Summary: Mutations in the adenomatous polyposis coli (*APC*) tumor suppressor gene strongly predispose to development of gastro-intestinal tumors. Central to the tumorigenic events in *APC* mutant cells is the uncontrolled stabilization and transcriptional activation of the protein β -catenin. Many questions remain as to how APC controls β -catenin degradation. Remarkably, the large C-terminal region of APC, which spans over 2000 amino acids and includes critical regions in downregulating β -catenin, is predicted to be natively unfolded. Here we discuss how this uncommonly large disordered region may help to coordinate the multiple cellular functions of APC. Recently, a significant number of germline and somatic missense mutations in the central region of APC were linked to tumorigenesis in the colon as well as extra-intestinal tissues. We classify and localize all currently known missense mutations in the APC structure. The molecular basis by which these mutations interfere with the function of APC remains unresolved. We propose several mechanisms by which cancer-related missense mutations in the large disordered domain of APC may interfere with tumor suppressor activity. Insight in the underlying molecular events will be invaluable in the development of novel strategies to counter dysregulated Wnt signaling by APC mutations in cancer.

Introduction

Adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) is a key tumor suppressor gene that acts as a gatekeeper of intestinal epithelial homeostasis by restraining cytoplasmic cellular levels of β -catenin, the central activator of transcription in the Wnt signaling pathway. At the molecular level, APC coscaffolds a multiprotein destruction complex, composed of the tumor suppressor Axin and the serine-threonine kinases GSK3β and CK1, which promotes the phosphorylation and subsequent ubiquitin-mediated degradation of β -catenin [1]. A Wnt-induced signal at the cell surface impedes the function of the APC-Axin complex, leading to the stabilization and nuclear import of β -catenin, followed by the formation of nuclear β -catenin/TCF complexes that activate target gene transcription [2,3]. Besides regulating proliferation and differentiation through Wnt/β-catenin signaling, APC controls multiple

* Correspondence: s.g.d.rudiger@uu.nl; M.M.Maurice@umcutrecht.nl ¹Cellular Protein Chemistry, Bijvoet Center for Biomolecular Research, Utrecht University, Padualaan 8, 3584CH Utrecht, The Netherlands ²Dept. of Cell Biology, University Medical Center Utrecht (UMCU), Rm G02.525, Heidelberglaan 100, 3584CX Utrecht, The Netherlands Full list of author information is available at the end of the article β-catenin-independent fundamental cellular processes. These include cell adhesion and migration, organization of the cytoskeleton, spindle formation and chromosome segregation [4,5]. The crucial role of APC in fundamental developmental cellular processes is illustrated by the embryonic lethality of homozygous Apc-knock-out mutations [6-8]. In this review, we focus on how the remarkable lack of structure in the large central domain of APC may facilitate its tumor suppressor function in the Wnt/ β-catenin cascade. Furthermore, by classification and localization of known cancer-related APC missense mutations, we uncover different mutational spectra of germline and somatic missense mutations along the APC protein sequence, suggesting variation in functional relevance and mechanisms. We discuss how these missense mutations in the large unstructured region of APC may predispose to cancer.

The large central domain of APC contains multiple domains that control Wnt signaling

APC is a 312 kDa protein composed of 2843 amino acid residues. It carries multiple designated segments with



© 2011 Minde et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. which it coordinates its multiple cellular functions (Figure 1A). The large central region of APC, spanning residues L1021-D2059, has been implicated in the downregulation of β -catenin [9,10]. It contains four 15aa repeat and seven 20aa repeat segments involved in β -catenin binding [11-13]. The 15aa repeat region also binds the transcriptional co-repressor CtBP1 and CtBP2, which prevents nuclear β -catenin activity and facilitates APC oligomerization and [14-16]. Interspersed with the 20aa β -catenin binding repeats three short recognition

motifs, composed of the highly conserved LxECIxSAMP sequence (called SAMP motif), constitute binding sites for Axin [17-19]. The remarkably large number of β -catenin binding sites in the APC protein has instigated an area of intense research to search for the mechanistic role of the APC β -catenin binding repeats in the destruction complex.

Each 15aa repeat of APC binds to the structural groove formed by the armadillo repeats 5-10 on the surface of β -catenin in a phosphorylation-independent



crystallography (gray bars) [36].

manner [13]. The 20aa repeats require phosphorylation of a consensus SXXSSLSXLS motif to convert into tight binding sites for β -catenin [20,21]. In addition, two negatively charged residues in the N-terminal flanking regions of the core 20aa repeats make significant contact with β -catenin by forming 2 salt bridges with K435 and K312 of β -catenin [20,21]. Once bound, one single phosphorylated 20aa repeat plus N-terminal flanking sequence of APC occupies almost the entire groove that spans from armadillo repeats 1 to 12 on the β -catenin surface. The bound conformation of one extended 20aa repeat is nearly identical to that of other functional binding partners of β -catenin, TCF [22] and E-cadherin [23], indicating that these proteins cannot bind β -catenin simultaneously.

Unlike their equal organization in binding motifs, individual 15aa and 20aa repeats in APC vary considerably in their binding affinities for β -catenin, with the tightest binding site being the phosphorylated third 20aa repeat (K_d 1.5 nM) [24]. Remarkably, the highly conserved second 20aa repeat completely lacks binding affinity for β catenin, even in the phosphorylated state, likely due to the absence of some of the conserved residues in the Nterminal region flanking the core 20aa sequence. Phosphorylated APC competes with Axin for binding to β catenin, whereas unphosphorylated does not [21,25]. Based on these findings, various models have been proposed on the mechanism by which phosphorylation of the 20aa repeats in APC may regulate β -catenin recruitment and turnover. In a first model, both the 15aa repeats of APC and the β -catenin binding domain in Axin bind β -catenin side by side to induce β -catenin phosphorylation by GSK3^β. As soon as the third 20aa repeat of APC is phosphorylated it will bind phospho-βcatenin thereby releasing Axin from the complex [21,24]. This would facilitate the discharge and degradation of phospho-β-catenin and allow a new phosphorylation cycle to occur. In a second model, β -catenin first binds phosphorylated APC with high affinity. Subsequent dephosphorylation of APC is then required to weaken the interaction between APC and β -catenin, allowing transfer of β-catenin to Axin and phosphorylation by Axin-associated GSK3ß [1]. This model is opposed by recent findings that demonstrate a crucial role of APC in protecting phosho- β -catenin from dephosphorylation by PP2A [26]. As a consequence, APC would stay tethered to phospho- β -catenin and directly deliver it to the E3 ligase β -TrCP for ubiquitination. In a third model, phosphorylation of APC accommodates the fluctuation in β -catenin levels in the cell in conditions of presence versus absence of a Wnt signal. During active Wnt signaling, abundant levels of β -catenin will be dealt with by rapid and transient interactions between β -catenin and nonphosphorylated APC. In the absence of a Wnt signal, low levels of β -catenin will be tightly bound and slowly released by phosphorylated APC [21,27].

Each of the above models were challenged by a recent study in which the roles of the 20aa and 15aa repeats were addressed systematically through the functional analysis of a large number of APC variants in human cells and flies [28]. Importantly, separate roles of APC in the cytoplasmic retention and destruction of β -catenin were uncovered, involving selective APC regions. The affinities of individual β-catenin binding repeats in APC were uncovered to be of lesser importance in the destruction of β -catenin than anticipated in previous models. Instead, the β -catenin binding repeats act in concert to mediate retention of the β -catenin protein in the cytoplasm, thus preventing its activity in the nucleus. Strikingly, the second 20aa repeat which lacks affinity for β -catenin, as well as the conserved sequence B/CID, located in between the second and third 20aa repeat (Figure 1A) [28,29], perform critical roles in the APC-mediated destruction of β -catenin. How these regions control APC activity and whether this involves binding of co-factors remains to be solved.

Further experiments are needed to demonstrate if the repeat regions act simultaneously or sequentially and determine how these events are regulated by APC phosphorylation as well as by the second 20aa repeat and sequence B in the process of β -catenin destruction.

The central domain of APC is intrinsically disordered

Strikingly, APC lacks sequence conservation outside the small repetitive β -catenin- and axin-binding regions and sequence B. This led us to investigate the structural properties of APC in more detail using nine established algorithms to predict secondary structure and disorder. Each of the algorithms consistently indicate the presence of an exceptionally large intrinsically disordered region from F800 to V2843 in APC (Figure 1B) [30-35], yielding a stretch of 2000 residues with an extended, flexible conformation (Figure 2). The reliability of those algorithms is well established as illustrated using β -catenin and Axin as example proteins, for which we can compare bioinformatics results with the experimentally verified structure (Figure 1D) [36,37]. The disorder prediction algorithms for β-catenin confirm unfolded Nand C-terminal segments flanking the folded, helical core of the protein. Indeed, for these regions crystallographic studies failed to detect regular structure [36]. For the Wnt pathway tumor suppressor Axin, we experimentally confirmed the intrinsically disordered nature of the functionally important central region, thus confirming the predictions derived of various algorithms [37]. The prediction data for APC are supported by Far-UV CD spectra and NMR studies on various purified





short APC fragments that include the β -catenin interacting 15aa and 20aa repeat regions in the unbound state [24]. In addition, the middle and C-terminal regions of APC, but not its N-terminus, were sensitive to proteolytic degradation, confirming a lack of globular domains in this part of the protein [38]. While short disordered sequences are common features in human proteins, such as e. g. activation loops in kinases, a continous stretch of 2000 amino acids length is rather unique in the human proteome.

Intrinsically disordered regions in proteins are rapidly gaining interest because of their intimate connection to signaling networks and their growing link to human disease [39]. Disordered regions of over 30 residues in length are 5- to 15-fold enriched in higher eukaryotic proteomes (30%) relative to eubacteria (6%) and archaea (2%), respectively [40,41], which is believed to reflect the use of disordered domains in higher order eukaryotic signaling networks. Moreover, 79% of cancer-associated proteins contain disordered regions of 30 residues or longer [42]. Among these are key tumor suppressor proteins like p53, Axin, BRCA1 and the pro-apoptotic protein ASPP2 [37,39,43].

What could be the functional advantage of such a long, extended, unstructured region for APC? Strikingly, intrinsically disordered regions are often strongly modified by e.g. phosphorylation or acetylation, which implies a relation between their dynamic appearance and regulation by posttranslational modification [44]. Indeed, such modifications can fine-tune protein-protein interactions and activity of the protein complex [24,45]. Another aspect of the extended nature of intrinsically disordered domains are simultaneous interactions with multiple binding partners with high specificity. For BRCA1, the breast cancer tumor suppressor protein, over 50 binding partners were reported, the majority of which bind to the unstructured central domain [42]. In remarkable contrast to BRCA1 and other disordered proteins, the unstructured central domain of APC mainly provides repetitive binding sites for two Wnt pathway binding partners, β -catenin and Axin.

A possible reason for this unusual property of APC might be related to the tight regulation of its activities. The main function of the central domain of APC is to downregulate cytoplasmic β -catenin levels with the assistance of Axin and its associated kinases CK1 and GSK3 β . We propose that the long, flexible conformation of APC helps to sift through the cytoplasm for β -catenin substrate. The possibility to capture a β -catenin molecule is enhanced by large contact surface created by the extended peptide repeats that may allow one APC molecule to bind up to ten β -catenin molecules in the phosphorylated state (Figure 1), using four 15aa and six functional 20aa repeats. The presence of several binding sites, some of which are regulated, controls affinity for β -catenin by an avidity effect. This effect is further increased by dimerization of APC.

Disordered proteins occupy a significantly larger volume than folded proteins [46]. Assuming that the conformational ensemble of APC was random, the 2000 disordered C-terminal APC residues would occupy the volume of a particle with a diameter of 45 nm. This can be calculated based on the experimentally determined radius of gyration for disordered proteins [47], multiplied with square root of 6 [48]. Disordered proteins, however, differ from folded proteins by being able to easily adapt their shape to external influence. The structural flexibility can be illustrated by a gedankenexperiment: assuming that the backbone of one amino acid covers 3 Å in a fully extended conformation, 2000 amino acids could potentially stretch to maximally 0.6 µm when forced to do so. Its extended structure would place APC among the longest non-polymeric proteins located to the cytosol. Stretching of APC can be enforced e.g. binding to cofactors. Indeed, β-catenin was found to significantly extend the part of APC that is bound to it [21]. Extrapolation from the conformation exhibited by the disordered APC fragment in complex with β -catenin as it was found by X-Ray crystallography [21], would stretch APC to around 0.2 µm. In comparison, the folded 510 amino acid long armadillo repeat region of β -catenin, which also exhibits an extended structure, is fixed at a defined length of just about 10 nm (Figure 2). The actual length of APC is most likely between those extremes and might be modulated by its interaction partners.

Disorder secures specificity of complex formation

In several reported cases, binding of interacting proteins induces (partial) folding or secondary structure formation of disordered regions [42,49,50]. Templates for folding include other proteins, nucleic acids, membranes, or small molecules. The conformation that is adopted by one intrinsically disordered region may differ significantly depending on the binding partner. Thus, disordered domains may provide significant binding plasticity. Therefore, disordered sequences are enriched in signaling proteins such as APC that are part of complex protein interaction networks [42].

Alternatively, the disordered regions of APC may not undergo significant conformational changes but instead remain in extended conformation to provide in abundant and transient contacts with their binding partners. As binding of a disordered protein to a partner restricts its dynamics, the interaction will involve an entropic penalty, which folded proteins do not pay [49]. As a result, disordered proteins can display highly specific interactions of relatively low affinity as compared to protein-protein interactions involving folded proteins [51]. These interactions frequently depend on electrostatic interactions tuned by posttranslational modifications (e.g. phosphorylation) that create changes in net charge of the binding regions [51,52]. Crystal structures obtained from short APC peptide fragments in complex with β -catenin [13] reveal that the phosphorylated 20aa peptide of APC does not acquire structure but remains largely extended while bound to β -catenin. The extended conformation allows merely 15 residues of APC (A1485-G1499) to bind to the entire groove on the β -catenin Arm repeat surface [21]. In contrast, the SAMP repeat segments, predicted to lack secondary structure in the unbound state, adopt a helical conformation upon binding to the Axin RGS domain [53]. Clearly, the central domain of APC uses different binding modes in its interaction with $\beta\mathchar`$ catenin and Axin.

How may APC exploit the properties of its unstructured domains and secure specificity of their function? Like many other scaffolding proteins, APC acts in multiple independent cellular pathways at different subcellular locations and within different protein complexes. If conformation of an unstructured domain depends on its binding partners the protein will mold to adjust to the pathway in which it functions together with signaling partners in the complex. Moreover, it can be envisioned that allosteric mechanisms propagate structure or signals to flanking domains [42,54,55], further enforcing this functionally adoptive mechanism by determining which distant binding partners are allowed to join in the protein complex. To what extend such molecular mechanisms apply for APC remains to be determined.

Mutations in APC cause cancer

The fact that mutations in APC strongly predispose to colon cancer is well established [4,56]. Individuals carrying a truncating APC allele suffer from familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP), an autosomal dominant disorder characterized by hundreds to thousands of colorectal adenomas, some of which progress to cancer [57-59]. Moreover, mutations in APC are found in around 80% of sporadic colonic tumors [60]. The molecular events in FAP patients are phenocopied in the small intestine of mouse models in which APC is truncated after the Nterminal Armadillo repeat region, thus lacking domains involved in β -catenin downregulation [56]. The high frequency of gastro-intestinal tumor formation in these mice results from loss of the wild-type allele (LOH) and the following stabilization and accumulation of transcriptionally active nuclear β -catenin [7,8].

APC does not, however, act as a classical tumor suppressor. Careful comparison of mutations in both *Apc* alleles in tumors, levels of Wnt signaling and severity of disease in both humans and mice has led to a model in which gene dosage effects generate a defined window of enhanced Wnt signaling which leads to polyp formation in the intestine. Combinations of 'milder' *Apc* mutations, associated with weaker enhancement of Wnt signaling, rather lead to tumors in extra-intestinal tissues [61,62]. In this model, the nature of the germline mutation in *Apc* determines the type of somatic mutation mutation that occurs in the second allele. As a consequence, the resulting Wnt pathway activity is 'just right' for tumor formation [56,63].

The main focus of research in this area has been on the effects of truncating mutations in Apc. In these cases, large portions of the protein, including defined regulatory domains, are lost. Recent studies, using optimized technology to identify base pair alterations, indicate that in a significant number of cases, however, germline as well as sporadic single amino acid substitutions (missense mutations) in Apc predispose to development of colorectal adenomas [64]. Notably, a significant number of APC missense mutations were reported in tumors originating from various tissues (listed in additional file 1, Table S1, including references therein). Moreover, missense mutations in APC were linked to worse disease outcome in invasive urothelial carcinomas [65], suggesting functional relevance of point mutated APC protein in the development of extra-intestinal tumors. Most of these mutations remain functionally uncharacterized although for some missense mutant APC proteins Wnt signaling activating properties were demonstrated [66]. The molecular basis by which these mutations interfere with the function of APC remains unresolved.

Molecular consequences of missense mutations in the disordered domain of APC

Long, unstructured regions are likely more apt to resist the effects of point mutations than a folded protein. In the large disordered region of APC, mutational resistance is expected to be further enhanced by multiplication of important interaction sites for partner proteins. A large number of germline and somatic missense mutations in APC however link to various forms of cancer and are identified in a scattered pattern throughout the APC protein (Figure 1C and additional file 1, Table S1). Moreover, the somatic missense mutation frequency is strongly enriched in the central Wnt regulatory MCR region that is also frequently hit by truncating mutations. Of note, the increased frequency in missense mutations could be the result of the sequencing bias of many studies in which the MCR region was selectively sequenced to identify mutations in APC. Remarkably, the majority of reported missense mutations in APC MCR are located outside the essential 15aa and 20aa repeat regions and their flanking N-terminal regions required for binding β catenin (Figure 3 and additional file 1, Table S1). How can these seemingly subtle changes in an unstructured domain have such dramatic consequences? We propose several mechanisms by which missense mutations can dysregulate APC function.



(i) Altered protein interaction surface. Many of the reported missense mutations change side chain charges which might have direct implications for the formation of protein-protein interaction interfaces (additional file 1, Table S1) [66]. The single known binding partner of the MCR region is β -catenin but, surprisingly, most of the cancer-related mutations are outside the β -catenin binding repeats. Possibly, the mutated residues belong to non-redundant, so far unknown protein-protein interaction sites, although the lower conservation of these regions would render this option less likely.

(ii) Changes in secondary structure formation. Missense mutations may alter the ability of APC to adopt structure when bound to its interaction partners in the destruction complex. This would be of importance for local helix formation of APC SAMP-repeat regions upon binding Axin. Indeed, missense mutations that locate to these regions and introduce residues that reduce helix stability (Gly, Val) were reported as germline mutations in cases of adenomatous polyposis coli (Figure 3 and additional file 1, Table S1) [66-68].

(iii) Posttranslational modifications. Point mutations may interfere with posttranslational modifications of APC. For instance, mutations in APC may alter recognition sites for responsible kinases such as CK1 and GSK3 β . These kinases do not directly dock onto APC but rather are positioned towards their substrate residues by binding to Axin in the complex [69]. Mutations within close proximity of target Ser residues in the 20aa repeats may interfere with phosphorylation of these motifs. Alternatively, mutations may hamper the protecting function of APC towards PP2A-mediated dephosphorylation of phospho- β -catenin and/or the delivery of β -catenin to β -TrCP [26]. Interference with this role of APC may lead to rapid dephosphorylation and stabilization of β -catenin. It is currently unknown what regions of APC are involved in these consecutive steps in β -catenin degradation and whether or not this requires extended or folded APC configuration. This information will be essential to determine whether missense mutations may interfere with this function of APC.

(iv) Dynamics of conformational equilibrium. Natively unfolded sequences may adopt a specific three dimensional conformation upon binding of a partner protein [70]. This may include a specific spatial arrangement of the repeat regions in APC. Point mutations outside those regions could prevent the required formation of a specific three-dimensional structure and, thereby, inhibit APC's usual mode of action. Hypothetically, mutations may also influence long-range intramolecular signaling. Examples of allosteric regulation of protein signaling are rapidly emerging [71]. Binding to or modification of one end of an protein elicits a signal that is communicated through the protein to trigger a response at a remote site, although it would be less obvious how such signal transmission may work in an unfolded segment.

If and how these mechanisms may play a role in the tumor suppressor activity of APC remains to be determined. It is obvious that the classical paradigms obtained for folded proteins fail to explain the phenotype of APC cancer mutations. We consider it likely that the effect is related to the dynamic nature of the disordered regions of APC. In that respect the recent discovery of the conserved sequence B as a critical functional APC unit is of interest [28,29]. Obviously, sequence B activity may involve binding partners that remain to be discovered. Alternatively, alterations in sequence B may simply disturb the dynamic interplay of the β -catenin binding repeats or modulate the competition with Axin for β -catenin binding. The importance of disordered regions is a newly emerging field, and its unusually large disordered stretch make APC a key paradigm to understand the role of unfolded regions in general.

Conclusions and perspectives

Current mechanistic models of APC tumor suppressor function leave many questions as to how APC coordinates β -catenin degradation. Through its various domains, APC is able to interact with many different proteins. Multiple repeat regions for interaction with both β -catenin and Axin are implicated in its tumor suppressor activity. Structural information about how these proteins are positioned within the β -catenin destruction complex is lacking. Remarkably, the large central domain of APC, spanning over 2000 amino acids and carrying the repeat regions involved in β -catenin downregulation, is predicted to be entirely unstructured. This feature is rather unique in the human genome as only a few other proteins in the human proteome carry similarly sized unfolded domains. Combined structural and functional analysis of the unstructured domains of APC will be needed to reveal if structure is acquired upon binding to partner proteins. Answers as to how APC missense mutations contribute to tumorigenesis remains to be uncovered by studying how selected tumor-associated mutations interfere with essential tumor suppression mechanisms of APC.

Additional material

Additional file 1: Table S1. Germline and somatic missense mutations in APC reported in human cancer. List of APC missense mutations reported in human tumors. Mutated amino acid residue, affected codon, tumor type, germline or somatic nature of the mutations and corresponding references are indicated. Nucleotide numbering reflects cDNA numbering with +1 corresponding to the A of the ATG translation initiation codon in the reference sequence (Genbank NM_000038.4). The translation initiation codon is codon 1 (Genbank NP_000029). ND = Not described. *In these studies, healthy tissue was used as control.

Acknowledgements

We thank Maria Noutsou and Daniele Tauriello for critical reading of the manuscript and Tobias Madl and Andrei Petoukhov for discussions. S.G.D.R was supported by a High Potential grant by Utrecht University, a Marie-Curie Excellence Grant by the EU and a VIDI career development grant by the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research - Chemical Sciences, NWO-CW. M.M.M. was supported by a Dutch Cancer Society grant (UU 2006-3508), a High Potential grant by Utrecht University and a European Research Council (ERC) Starting grant.

Author details

¹Cellular Protein Chemistry, Bijvoet Center for Biomolecular Research, Utrecht University, Padualaan 8, 3584CH Utrecht, The Netherlands. ²Dept. of Cell Biology, University Medical Center Utrecht (UMCU), Rm G02.525, Heidelberglaan 100, 3584CX Utrecht, The Netherlands.

Authors' contributions

MM drafted and wrote the manuscript. SR contributed to writing of the manuscript and the generation of essential concepts. Both MM and SR supervised the project. MM, SR and DM generated the figures and contributed to the development of the concepts. DM and MM generated Table S1. ZA and DM collected and summarized essential literature and revised the manuscript critically for important and intellectual content. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 17 March 2011 Accepted: 22 August 2011 Published: 22 August 2011

References

- 1. McCartney BM, Nathke IS: Cell regulation by the Apc protein Apc as master regulator of epithelia. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 2008, 20:186-193.
- Logan CY, Nusse R: The Wnt signaling pathway in development and disease. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 2004, 20:781-810.
- Clevers H: Wnt/beta-catenin signaling in development and disease. *Cell* 2006, 127:469-480.
- Aoki K, Taketo MM: Adenomatous polyposis coli (APC): a multi-functional tumor suppressor gene. J Cell Sci 2007, 120:3327-3335.
- Okada K, Bartolini F, Deaconescu AM, Moseley JB, Dogic Z, Grigorieff N, Gundersen GG, Goode BL: Adenomatous polyposis coli protein nucleates actin assembly and synergizes with the formin mDia1. J Cell Biol 2010, 189:1087-1096.
- Ishikawa TO, Tamai Y, Li Q, Oshima M, Taketo MM: Requirement for tumor suppressor Apc in the morphogenesis of anterior and ventral mouse embryo. *Dev Biol* 2003, 253:230-246.
- Moser AR, Shoemaker AR, Connelly CS, Clipson L, Gould KA, Luongo C, Dove WF, Siggers PH, Gardner RL: Homozygosity for the Min allele of Apc results in disruption of mouse development prior to gastrulation. *Dev Dyn* 1995, 203:422-433.
- Oshima M, Oshima H, Kitagawa K, Kobayashi M, Itakura C, Taketo M: Loss of Apc heterozygosity and abnormal tissue building in nascent intestinal polyps in mice carrying a truncated Apc gene. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1995, 92:4482-4486.
- Rubinfeld B, Albert I, Porfiri E, Munemitsu S, Polakis P: Loss of beta-catenin regulation by the APC tumor suppressor protein correlates with loss of structure due to common somatic mutations of the gene. *Cancer Res* 1997, 57:4624-4630.
- Munemitsu S, Albert I, Souza B, Rubinfeld B, Polakis P: Regulation of intracellular beta-catenin levels by the adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) tumor-suppressor protein. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1995, 92:3046-3050.

- Rubinfeld B, Souza B, Albert I, Muller O, Chamberlain SH, Masiarz FR, Munemitsu S, Polakis P: Association of the APC gene product with betacatenin. *Science* 1993, 262:1731-1734.
- 12. Su LK, Vogelstein B, Kinzler KW: Association of the APC tumor suppressor protein with catenins. *Science* 1993, 262:1734-1737.
- Eklof Spink K, Fridman SG, Weis WI: Molecular mechanisms of betacatenin recognition by adenomatous polyposis coli revealed by the structure of an APC-beta-catenin complex. *EMBO J* 2001, 20:6203-6212.
- Hamada F, Bienz M: The APC tumor suppressor binds to C-terminal binding protein to divert nuclear beta-catenin from TCF. *Dev Cell* 2004, 7:677-685.
- Sierra J, Yoshida T, Joazeiro CA, Jones KA: The APC tumor suppressor counteracts beta-catenin activation and H3K4 methylation at Wnt target genes. *Genes Dev* 2006, 20:586-600.
- Schneikert J, Brauburger K, Behrens J: APC mutations in colorectal tumours from FAP patients are selected for CtBP-mediated oligomerization of truncated APC. *Hum Mol Genet* 2011.
- Behrens J, Jerchow BA, Wurtele M, Grimm J, Asbrand C, Wirtz R, Kuhl M, Wedlich D, Birchmeier W: Functional interaction of an axin homolog, conductin, with beta-catenin, APC, and GSK3 beta. *Science* 1998, 280:596-599.
- Kishida S, Yamamoto H, Ikeda S, Kishida M, Sakamoto I, Koyama S, Kikuchi A: Axin, a negative regulator of the wnt signaling pathway, directly interacts with adenomatous polyposis coli and regulates the stabilization of beta-catenin. J Biol Chem 1998, 273:10823-10826.
- Nakamura T, Hamada F, Ishidate T, Anai K, Kawahara K, Toyoshima K, Akiyama T: Axin, an inhibitor of the Wnt signalling pathway, interacts with beta-catenin, GSK-3 beta and APC and reduces the beta-catenin level. *Genes Cells* 1998, 3:395-403.
- Xing Y, Clements WK, Le Trong I, Hinds TR, Stenkamp R, Kimelman D, Xu W: Crystal structure of a beta-catenin/APC complex reveals a critical role for APC phosphorylation in APC function. *Mol Cell* 2004, 15:523-533.
- Ha NC, Tonozuka T, Stamos JL, Choi HJ, Weis WI: Mechanism of phosphorylation-dependent binding of APC to beta-catenin and its role in beta-catenin degradation. *Mol Cell* 2004, 15:511-521.
- 22. Graham TA, Weaver C, Mao F, Kimelman D, Xu W: Crystal structure of a beta-catenin/Tcf complex. *Cell* 2000, **103**:885-896.
- Huber AH, Weis WI: The structure of the beta-catenin/E-cadherin complex and the molecular basis of diverse ligand recognition by betacatenin. *Cell* 2001, 105:391-402.
- Liu J, Xing Y, Hinds TR, Zheng J, Xu W: The Third 20 Amino Acid Repeat Is the Tightest Binding Site of APC for β-Catenin. *Journal of Molecular Biology* 2006, 360:133-144.
- Xing Y, Clements WK, Kimelman D, Xu W: Crystal structure of a β-catenin/ Axin complex suggests a mechanism for the β-catenin destruction complex. Genes & Development 2003, 17:2753-2764.
- Su Y, Fu C, Ishikawa S, Stella A, Kojima M, Shitoh K, Schreiber EM, Day BW, Liu B: APC is essential for targeting phosphorylated beta-catenin to the SCFbeta-TrCP ubiquitin ligase. *Mol Cell* 2008, 32:652-661.
- Seo E, Jho E-h: Axin-independent phosphorylation of APC controls [beta]-catenin signaling via cytoplasmic retention of [beta]-catenin. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications 2007, 357:81-86.
- Roberts DM, Pronobis MI, Poulton JS, Waldmann JD, Stephenson EM, Hanna S, Peifer M: Deconstructing the sscatenin destruction complex: mechanistic roles for the tumor suppressor APC in regulating Wnt signaling. *Mol Biol Cell* 2011, 22:1845-1863.
- Kohler EM, Chandra SH, Behrens J, Schneikert J: Beta-catenin degradation mediated by the CID domain of APC provides a model for the selection of APC mutations in colorectal, desmoid and duodenal tumours. *Hurn Mol Genet* 2009, 18:213-226.
- Rost B, Yachdav G, Liu J: The PredictProtein server. Nucleic Acids Res 2004, 32:W321-326.
- Obradovic Z, Peng K, Vucetic S, Radivojac P, Dunker AK: Exploiting heterogeneous sequence properties improves prediction of protein disorder. *Proteins* 2005, 61(Suppl 7):176-182.
- Coeytaux K, Poupon A: Prediction of unfolded segments in a protein sequence based on amino acid composition. *Bioinformatics* 2005, 21:1891-1900.
- Linding R, Russell RB, Neduva V, Gibson TJ: GlobPlot: Exploring protein sequences for globularity and disorder. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2003, 31:3701-3708.

- Prilusky J, Felder CE, Zeev-Ben-Mordehai T, Rydberg EH, Man O, Beckmann JS, Silman I, Sussman JL: FoldIndex: a simple tool to predict whether a given protein sequence is intrinsically unfolded. *Bioinformatics* 2005, 21:3435-3438.
- Yang ZR, Thomson R, McNeil P, Esnouf RM: RONN: the bio-basis function neural network technique applied to the detection of natively disordered regions in proteins. *Bioinformatics* 2005, 21:3369-3376.
- 36. Xing Y, Takemaru K, Liu J, Berndt JD, Zheng JJ, Moon RT, Xu W: Crystal structure of a full-length beta-catenin. *Structure* 2008, 16:478-487.
- Noutsou M, Duarte AM, Anvarian Z, Didenko T, Minde DP, Kuper I, de Ridder I, Oikonomou C, Friedler A, Boelens R, Rüdiger SG, Maurice MM: Critical Scaffolding Regions of the Tumor Suppressor Axin1 Are Natively Unfolded. J Mol Biol 2010.
- Li Z, Nathke IS: Tumor-associated NH2-terminal fragments are the most stable part of the adenomatous polyposis coli protein and can be regulated by interactions with COOH-terminal domains. *Cancer Res* 2005, 65:5195-5204.
- Uversky VN, Oldfield CJ, Dunker AK: Intrinsically disordered proteins in human diseases: introducing the D2 concept. Annu Rev Biophys 2008, 37:215-246.
- Ward JJ, Sodhi JS, McGuffin LJ, Buxton BF, Jones DT: Prediction and Functional Analysis of Native Disorder in Proteins from the Three Kingdoms of Life. Journal of Molecular Biology 2004, 337:635-645.
- Oldfield CJ, Cheng Y, Cortese MS, Brown CJ, Uversky VN, Dunker AK: Comparing and combining predictors of mostly disordered proteins. *Biochemistry* 2005, 44:1989-2000.
- Cortese M^S, Uversky VN, Keith Dunker A: Intrinsic disorder in scaffold proteins: Getting more from less. Progress in Biophysics and Molecular Biology 2008, 98:85-106.
- Rotem S, Katz C, Benyamini H, Lebendiker M, Veprintsev D, Rudiger S, Danieli T, Friedler A: The structure and interactions of the proline-rich domain of ASPP2. J Biol Chem 2008, 283:18990-18999.
- Dunker AK, Oldfield CJ, Meng J, Romero P, Yang JY, Chen JW, Vacic V, Obradovic Z, Uversky VN: The unfoldomics decade: an update on intrinsically disordered proteins. *BMC Genomics* 2008, 9(Suppl 2):S1.
- Dahlberg CL, Nguyen EZ, Goodlett D, Kimelman D: Interactions between Casein kinase lepsilon (CKlepsilon) and two substrates from disparate signaling pathways reveal mechanisms for substrate-kinase specificity. *PLoS ONE* 2009, 4:e4766.
- Uversky VN, Fink AL: The chicken-egg scenario of protein folding revisited. FEBS Lett 2002, 515:79-83.
- Kohn JE, Millett IS, Jacob J, Zagrovic B, Dillon TM, Cingel N, Dothager RS, Seifert S, Thiyagarajan P, Sosnick TR, Hasan MZ, Pande VS, Ruczinski I, Doniach S, Plaxco KW: Random-coil behavior and the dimensions of chemically unfolded proteins. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2004, 101:12491-12496.
- 48. Cantor CR, Schimmel PR, (Eds.): The Behavior of Biological Macromolecules. 1980.
- Dyson HJ, Wright PE: Intrinsically unstructured proteins and their functions. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2005, 6:197-208.
- Marsh JA, Dancheck B, Ragusa MJ, Allaire M, Forman-Kay JD, Peti W: Structural diversity in free and bound states of intrinsically disordered protein phosphatase 1 regulators. *Structure* 2010, 18:1094-1103.
- Mittag T, Kay LE, Forman-Kay JD: Protein dynamics and conformational disorder in molecular recognition. J Mol Recognit 2010, 23:105-116.
- 52. Serber Z, Ferrell JE Jr: Tuning bulk electrostatics to regulate protein function. *Cell* 2007, **128**:441-444.
- 53. Spink KE, Polakis P, Weis WI: Structural basis of the Axin-adenomatous polyposis coli interaction. *EMBO Journal* 2000, **19**:2270-2279.
- Keramisanou D, Biris N, Gelis I, Sianidis G, Karamanou S, Economou A, Kalodimos CG: Disorder-order folding transitions underlie catalysis in the helicase motor of SecA. Nat Struct Mol Biol 2006, 13:594-602.
- Bruschweiler S, Schanda P, Kloiber K, Brutscher B, Kontaxis G, Konrat R, Tollinger M: Direct Observation of the Dynamic Process Underlying Allosteric Signal Transmission. J Am Chem Soc 2009, 131:3063-3068.
- Segditsas S, Tomlinson I: Colorectal cancer and genetic alterations in the Wnt pathway. Oncogene 2006, 25:7531-7537.
- 57. Groden J: Identification and characterization of the familial adenomatous polyposis coli gene. *Cell* 1991, **66**:589-600.
- Kinzler KW, Nilbert MC, Su LK, Vogelstein B, Bryan TM, Levy DB, Smith KJ, Preisinger AC, Hedge P, McKechnie D, Finniear R, Markham A, Grotten J,

Boguski MS, Altschul SF, Horii A, Ando H, Miyoshi Y, Miki Y, Nishisho I, Nakamura Y: **Identification of FAP locus genes from chromosome 5q21**. 1991, **253**:661-665.

- Fearnhead NS, Winney B, Bodmer WF: Rare Variant Hypothesis for Multifactorial Inheritance: Susceptibility to Colorectal Adenomas as a Model. *Cell Cycle* 2005, 4:521-525.
- Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B: Lessons from hereditary colorectal cancer. *Cell* 1996, 87:159-170.
- Lamlum H, Ilyas M, Rowan A, Clark S, Johnson V, Bell J, Frayling I, Efstathiou J, Pack K, Payne S, Roylance R, Gorman P, Sheer D, Neale K, Phillips R, Talbot I, Bodmer W, Tomlinson I: The type of somatic mutation at APC in familial adenomatous polyposis is determined by the site of the germline mutation: a new facet to Knudson's 'two-hit' hypothesis. Nat Med 1999, 5:1071-1075.
- 62. Fodde R, Smits R: Disease model: familial adenomatous polyposis. Trends in Molecular Medicine 2001, 7:369-373.
- 63. Gaspar C, Fodde R: APC dosage effects in tumorigenesis and stem cell differentiation. *Int J Dev Biol* 2004, **48**:377-386.
- Bougatef K, Ouerhani S, Moussa A, Kourda N, Coulet F, Colas C, Lahely YB, Najjar T, Ben Jilani S, Benammar-Elgaaied A, Soubrier F, Marrakchi R: Prevalence of mutations in APC, CTNNB1, and BRAF in Tunisian patients with sporadic colorectal cancer. *Cancer Genet Cytogenet* 2008, 187:12-18.
- 65. Kastritis E, Murray S, Kyriakou F, Horti M, Tamvakis N, Kavantzas N, Patsouris ES, Noni A, Legaki S, Dimopoulos MA, Bamias A: Somatic mutations of adenomatous polyposis coli gene and nuclear b-catenin accumulation have prognostic significance in invasive urothelial carcinomas: evidence for Wnt pathway implication. *Int J Cancer* 2009, 124:103-108.
- Azzopardi D, Dallosso AR, Eliason K, Hendrickson BC, Jones N, Rawstorne E, Colley J, Moskvina V, Frye C, Sampson JR, Wenstrup R, Scholl T, Cheadle JP: Multiple Rare Nonsynonymous Variants in the Adenomatous Polyposis Coli Gene Predispose to Colorectal Adenomas. 2008, 68:358-363.
- Nimura Y, Furuwatari C, Fujimori M, Fujimori Y, Nakata S, Ito K, Hama Y, Shingu K, Adachi W, Ogiso Y, Furihata K, Katsuyama T, Amano J: Germline mutations of the APC gene in two Japanese adenomatous polyposis patients. Jpn J Hum Genet 1997, 42:433-439.
- Munoz V, Serrano L: Helix design, prediction and stability. Curr Opin Biotechnol 1995, 6:382-386.
- Ferrarese A, Marin O, Bustos VH, Venerando A, Antonelli M, Allende JE, Pinna LA: Chemical dissection of the APC Repeat 3 multistep phosphorylation by the concerted action of protein kinases CK1 and GSK3. *Biochemistry* 2007, 46:11902-11910.
- Sugase K, Dyson HJ, Wright PE: Mechanism of coupled folding and binding of an intrinsically disordered protein. *Nature* 2007, 447:1021-1025.
- Tsai CJ, Del Sol A, Nussinov R: Protein allostery, signal transmission and dynamics: a classification scheme of allosteric mechanisms. *Mol Biosyst* 2009, 5:207-216.

doi:10.1186/1476-4598-10-101

Cite this article as: Minde *et al*:: Messing up disorder: how do missense mutations in the tumor suppressor protein APC lead to cancer? *Molecular Cancer* 2011 **10**:101.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit