



# Systematic Review Clinical Implication of Circulating Tumor Cells Expressing Epithelial Mesenchymal Transition (EMT) and Cancer Stem Cell (CSC) Markers and Their Perspective in HCC: A Systematic Review

Santhasiri Orrapin<sup>1</sup>, Sasimol Udomruk<sup>1,2</sup>, Worakitti Lapisatepun<sup>3</sup>, Sutpirat Moonmuang<sup>1</sup>, Areerak Phanphaisarn<sup>2</sup>, Phichayut Phinyo<sup>2,4,5</sup>, Dumnoensun Pruksakorn<sup>1,2</sup> and Parunya Chaiyawat<sup>1,2,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Center of Multidisciplinary Technology for Advanced Medicine (CMUTEAM), Faculty of Medicine, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai 50200, Thailand; santhasiri.or@cmu.ac.th (S.O.); sasimol.sc@gmail.com (S.U.); sutpirat\_m@cmu.ac.th (S.M.); dumnoensun.p@cmu.ac.th (D.P.)
- <sup>2</sup> Musculoskeletal Science and Translational Research (MSTR) Center, Faculty of Medicine, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai 50200, Thailand; aphanphaisarn@hotmail.com (A.P.); phichayut.phinyo@cmu.ac.th (P.P.)
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai 50200, Thailand; worakitti.l@cmu.ac.th
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Family Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai 50200, Thailand
- Center for Clinical Epidemiology and Clinical Statistics, Faculty of Medicine, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai 50200, Thailand
- Correspondence: parunya.chaiyawat@cmu.ac.th

**Simple Summary:** One of the major problems regarding hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the development of metastasis and recurrence, even in patients with an early stage. Recently, circulating tumor cells (CTCs) enumeration has been intensively studied as a diagnostic and prognostic biomarker in HCC. Nevertheless, increasing evidence suggests the role of metastasis-associated CTC phenotypes, including epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT)-CTCs and circulating cancer stem cells (CCSCs). We performed a systematic review to investigate the correlation of different CTC subtypes with HCC characteristics and their prognostic relevance to clinical outcomes. A preliminary meta-analysis found that CTC subtypes had prognostic power for predicting the probability of early recurrence. This study highlights the potential of CTC subtyping analysis as a biomarker for HCC management and provides information on metastasis-associated CTCs for a deeper molecular characterization of specific CTC subtypes.

Abstract: Circulating tumor cells (CTCs) play a key role in hematogenous metastasis and postsurgery recurrence. In hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), CTCs have emerged as a valuable source of therapeutically relevant information. Certain subsets or phenotypes of CTCs can survive in the bloodstream and induce metastasis. Here, we performed a systematic review on the importance of epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT)-CTCs and circulating cancer stem cells (CCSCs) in metastatic processes and their prognostic power in HCC management. PubMed, Scopus, and Embase databases were searched for relevant publications. PRISMA criteria were used to review all studies. Twenty publications were eligible, of which 14, 5, and 1 study reported EMT-CTCs, CCSCs, and both phenotypes, respectively. Most studies evaluated that mesenchymal CTCs and CCSCs positivity were statistically associated with extensive clinicopathological features, including larger size and multiple numbers of tumors, advanced stages, micro/macrovascular invasion, and metastatic/recurrent disease. A preliminary meta-analysis showed that the presence of mesenchymal CTCs in pre- and postoperative blood significantly increased the risk of early recurrence. Mesenchymal-CTCs positivity was the most reported association with inferior outcomes based on the prognosis of HCC recurrence. Our finding could be a step forward, conveying additional prognostic values of CTC subtypes as promising biomarkers in HCC management.



Citation: Orrapin, S.; Udomruk, S.; Lapisatepun, W.; Moonmuang, S.; Phanphaisarn, A.; Phinyo, P.; Pruksakorn, D.; Chaiyawat, P. Clinical Implication of Circulating Tumor Cells Expressing Epithelial Mesenchymal Transition (EMT) and Cancer Stem Cell (CSC) Markers and Their Perspective in HCC: A Systematic Review. *Cancers* **2022**, *14*, 3373. https://doi.org/10.3390/ cancers14143373 5

Academic Editor: Carlos S. Moreno

Received: 23 May 2022 Accepted: 7 July 2022 Published: 11 July 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). **Keywords:** liquid biopsy; circulating tumor cells; phenotypic subtype; liver cancer; prognostic biomarker; systematic review

#### 1. Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the major malignancy of the liver, causing morbidity and mortality, in which the incidence rate of HCC continually increases worldwide [1]. Over the last few decades, advances in locoregional and systemic treatments for HCC treatment have been made, both in the early and advanced stages of patients [2]. In 2017, the programmed death receptor-1 (PD-1) inhibitor, nivolumab, was authorized by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for use as a second-line treatment for advanced HCC [3]. Pembrolizumab is another anti-PD-1 agent that is approved for HCC patients who have been previously treated with sorafenib [4]. However, the use of these checkpoint inhibitors as a monotherapy does not significantly improve the clinical outcomes of patients. A combination regimen with checkpoint inhibitors has shown very promising results in the IMbrave150 trial, in which a longer median overall survival (OS) and median progressionfree survival (PFS) were observed in the atezolizumab–bevacizumab arm compared with sorafenib in unresectable HCC patients [5].

Despite recent improvements in the therapeutic approach of HCC, there has been no improvement in HCC biomarkers for prognosis, risk stratification, or therapeutic responses, all of which might have a clinical role. The role of biomarkers is clearly demonstrated in the biomarker used for predicting checkpoint inhibitor responses, which has been applied to select the patients who will benefit from the treatment. These biomarkers include PD-L1 expression, tumor mutational burden (TMB), specific gene mutations, and gut microbiota [6]. However, these biomarkers have not settled into clinical practice for HCC stratification.

Biomarkers associated with accurate prognostication are the key to improving the clinical decision-making process and, ultimately, patient outcomes. A large number of studies on the use of serum and tissue biomarkers have been investigated, but none of them can be applied in the clinic. These limitations are mainly due to their low sensitivity or different cutoffs with corresponding performances. Therefore, there is still a challenge in identifying new prognostic biomarkers for clinical implication.

Circulating tumor cells (CTCs) play a significant role in the progression of HCC since it is considered to disseminate by hematogenous spread via portal venous and systemic circulations [7]. CTCs released from primary HCC tumors initially disseminate in the portal branch of the hepatic lobule, then circulate to the central vein, connecting to the hepatic vein system before spreading systemically throughout the body. A study into CTCs characteristics, which have been described as a conduit for metastatic events, provided detailed biological information on intrahepatic and extrahepatic recurrence in HCC [8,9].

CTC analysis has been a research hotspot for several aspects of clinical biomarkers for the screening, diagnosis, prognosis, and monitoring of cancer progression. The presence of CTCs has been shown to be a major early indication of poor clinical outcomes in HCC [10]. Interestingly, a study of CTCs can provide more detailed tumor information, including the epithelial–mesenchymal (EMT) process and stemness, which contribute significantly to the metastasis of HCC. Furthermore, CTC subtypes represent many characteristics of disseminated tumor cells, including invasiveness, anoikis resistance, the ability to evade the host immune system, and a formation of secondary tumor at the distant site [11].

Two major key events in HCC metastasis include the EMT and the cancer stem cell (CSC). EMT is a process in which epithelial tumor cells lose their adhesion capacities due to the downregulation of the epithelial cell adhesion molecule (EpCAM) expression and acquire mesenchymal characteristics that promote cell motility and invasiveness [12,13].

Furthermore, the cancer stem cell theory proposes that a fraction of malignant cells with stem cell features might give rise to newly metastatic tumors [14,15]. CSC that intravasate into the bloodstream, called circulating cancer stem cells (CCSCs), have a high propensity to reside in distant organs or to recirculate to the primary site [16]. The diversity of phenotypes of CTCs in a patient's tumor may contribute to their tumor characteristics and treatment resistance. It is therefore necessary to give importance to CTCs with the phenotypic hallmark of EMT and CSC as paradigms of metastatic seed in individuals.

Much effort has been expended in developing CTC-based EMT and CSC markers for the best prognostic classification in HCC patients. Beyond the conventional epithelial markers of EpCAM and cytokeratin, other biomarkers and their expressions in CTCs were experimentally observed to identify subtypes of CTCs [17]. However, the extent to which those subtypes are clinically linked to clinical outcomes and recurrent HCC remained unclear. Through a systematic analysis of the heterogeneity of CTCs with a focus on EMT and CSC characteristics, we collected data on the clinical importance of those CTC subtypes as predictive biomarkers for HCC metastasis and recurrence.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

# 2.1. Literature Search and Data Sources

The PRISMA 2020 guidelines were applied to conduct a systematic literature review [18]. The present protocol was registered on PROSPERO: CRD42022291736. PubMed, Scopus, and Embase were systematically searched for the relevant clinical studies without time and region restrictions. Briefly, the articles reporting information about phenotypic subtypes of CTCs with EMT and cancer stem cell properties, liver cancer, metastasis, recurrence, and prognosis were included in our initial search. Both the eligibility assessment and the decision were made independently by two independent reviewers (S.O. and P.C.) who were blind to each other's decisions. The specific key search terms are detailed in Table S1.

#### 2.2. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

The titles and abstracts of relevant studies were screened for inclusion criteria as follows: (1) limited to literature published in English; (2) those studies available as full text; (3) conducted in humans with a peripheral blood sample. The results were combined and duplicates were removed. The full-text articles were retrieved with the exclusion criteria as follows: (1) short reports, letters, review articles, conference abstracts, and case reports; (2) no description of the type of CTC enrichment technique used; (3) in vitro and in vivo studies; (4) CTCs research without defining phenotypic subtypes of EMT and CSC; (5) not relevant to metastasis or recurrence and insufficient data to be extracted.

#### 2.3. Data Extraction

Two authors (S.O. and P.C.) extracted patient data from eligible studies. The following information was obtained: (1) general data: first author, year of publication, source of publication, cohort size, treatment modalities, blood volumes, blood sampling; (2) CTCs characteristics: enrichment platform, enrichment techniques, identification methods, marker; (3) patient characteristics: all significant data correlated with tumor extent, tumor stage, pathological data; (4) outcome data: metastasis or recurrence rate, survival analysis, univariate/multivariate analysis of relapse-free survival (RFS/DFS), progressionfree survival (PFS), overall survival (OS), and time to recurrence (TTR). Any conflicting cases or data were carefully reviewed and resolved through discussion or consultation by a minimum of two authors to reach a consensus.

#### 2.4. Quality Assessment

The quality of each eligible study was assessed by the Newcastle–Ottawa Scale (NOS) criteria for cohort studies. The NOS criteria use a rating system to evaluate the quality of methodologies based on three parameters, as follows: selection, comparability, and outcome. Each study was scored from zero to nine. A score equal to or greater than six indicates a good quality of study. The evidence of the certainty of the identified studies for meta-analysis was rated according to the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) guidelines.

#### 2.5. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed with Review Manager (RevMan-Version5.3.). The hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were pooled to evaluate the correlation between the positivity of CTC subtypes and the recurrence of HCC patients. HRs were derived from a multivariate analysis, followed by a univariate analysis. We examined heterogeneity using the Cochran's Q test and the I<sup>2</sup> index. The *p*-value < 0.05 or I<sup>2</sup>  $\geq$  50% indicated that there was significant heterogeneity among the included studies.

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Study Characteristics

Initially, the search yielded 214 relevant articles in PubMed, Scopus, and Embase. Of these, 100 duplicate studies were filtered out. We excluded 69 records after screening the titles and abstracts. The 43 studies reviewed in the full-text format were then considered for inclusion. Then, 20 studies were finally included in this study. The flowchart of this study, with the number of articles included and excluded, as well as the criteria for selection, is shown in the form of a PRISMA flow diagram (Figure 1). The overall number of eligible studies encompassed 1754 HCC patients and the mean HCC sample size was 87.7 (range 10–165), published between 2011 and 2021. Regarding countries, all eligible studies were conducted in China, except for two articles that were conducted in Germany and the United States of America, respectively. Blood samples were collected from peripheral veins in all studies, in which the volume ranged from 4–10 mL, though one study did not specify. In terms of CTC enrichment approaches, 15 research used label-free strategies to isolate CTCs based on size, density, and immuno-density negative selection. Five research projects, on the other hand, used label-dependent approaches, including cell-surface-based positive selection, immunomagnetic separation, and immunocapture microfluidics. The timing of blood samples is critical for interpreting the results of CTC subtyping analysis. Twenty records included 5 studies with longitudinal samples (pre- and postoperative samples), 14 studies with blood collections at the preoperative time, and 1 study with postoperative samples. We subdivided our results into two categories according to the investigated phenotypes of CTCs: EMT-CTCs and CCSCs, in which we will discuss the significance of CTC subtypes according to blood collection time (Figure 2). The baseline characteristics of included studies are summarized in Tables 1 and 2. The quality assessment was evaluated by the NOS scale as high quality, with at least six points, which suggested that all studies were relatively high quality (Table S2).



**Figure 1.** Flowchart of the literature search and selection process applied according to PRISMA statement.



**Figure 2.** Venn diagram and illustration representing the results of our systematical search according to blood collection time and CTC subtypes. (E: epithelial CTCs; H: hybrid CTCs; M: mesenchymal CTCs; CSC: cancer stem cell) [19–38]. Created with BioRender.com, accessed on 23 May 2022.

Author Year	Country	HCC Cohort	Blood (mL)	Time Collection	Treatment	Enrichment Platform	Category Technique	Downstream Methods	EMT Marker	Clinical Significances	Outcome
Li et al., 2013 [19]	China	60	10	Preoperative	-	DG-IM	Positive	IF	Twist, vimentin	PVTT, tumor size, TNM	-
Schulze et al., 2013 [20]	Germany	59	7.5	Preoperative	Any therapies	CellSearch	Positive	IF	ЕрСАМ	BCLC, MaVI, MiVI,	OS
Liu et al., 2016 [21]	China	33	5	-	-	CanPatrol	Negative, FT	FISH	EpCAM, CK8/18/19, twist, vimentin	Tumor number	MET
Chen et al., 2017 [22]	China	99	5	Preoperative	Surgical resection, radiochemical	CanPatrol	Negative, FT	FISH	EpCAM, CK8/18/19, twist, vimentin	BCLC stages, metastasis	
Court et al., 2018 [23]	USA	61	4	Preoperative	Any therapies	NanoVelcro	Positive, MF-IC	IF	Vimentin	Tumor stage PVI	PFS, OS, TTR
Ou et al., 2018 [24]	China	165	5	Preoperative	Surgical resection	CanPatrol	Negative, FT	FISH	EpCAM, CK8/18/19, twist, vimentin	Tumor number, TNM, BCLC	RFS
Qi et al., 2018 [25]	China	112	5	Preoperative, Postoperative	Surgical resection	CanPatrol	Negative, FT	FISH	EpCAM, CK8/18/19, twist, vimentin	BCLC	MET, ER
Wang et al., 2018 [26]	China	62	5	Postoperative	Surgical resection	CanPatrol	Negative, FT	FISH	EpCAM, CK8/18/19 twist, vimentin	-	ER
Yin et al., 2018 [27]	China	80	5	Preoperative	Surgical resection, TACE	CanPatrol	Negative, FT	FISH	EpCAM, CK8/18/19 twist, vimentin	Tumor number, tumor size, PVTT, TNM	MET, RECUR
Chen et al., 2019 [28]	China	143	5	Preoperative, Postoperative	Surgical resection, ablation	CanPatrol	Negative, FT	FISH	EpCAM, CK8/18/19, twist, vimentin	NS	TTR

Table 1. Summary of EMT-CTCs studies selected for rev	iew.
---	------

Zhang et al., 2021

[33]

China

105

5

Preoperative

	Т	Table 1. Cont.									
Author Year	Country	HCC Cohort	Blood (mL)	Time Collection	Treatment	Enrichment Platform	Category Technique	Downstream Methods	EMT Marker	Clinical Significances	Outcome
Bai et al., 2020 [29]	China	99	5	Preoperative	Surgical resection	CanPatrol	Negative, FT	FISH	EpCAM, CK8/18/19, twist, vimentin	BCLC, tumor size, PVTT	PFS
Qi et al., 2020 [30]	China	136	5	Preoperative	Surgical resection	CanPatrol	Negative, FT	FISH	EpCAM, CK8/18/19, twist, vimentin	-	TFS, INR, EXR, RECUR
Lei et al., 2021 [31]	China	160	15	Preoperative	Surgical resection	CanPatrol	Negative, FT	FISH	EpCAM, CK8/18/19, twist, bimentin	Tumor size, BCLC	ER
Xie et al., 2021 [32]	China	56	5	Preoperative, Postoperative	Liver transplant	CanPatrol	Negative, FT	FISH	EpCAM, CK8/18/19, twist_vimentin	-	RECUR ER

CanPatrol

Surgical

resection

Enrichment platform: DG, density gradient centrifugation; FACS, Fluorescent-activated cell sorting; IM, immunomagnetic (positive enrichment). Categories technique: FT, filtration; IC, immunocapture; MF, microfluidic; Downstream methods: FISH, fluorescence in situ hybridization; IF, immunofluorescent staining. Clinical significances: BCLC, Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer; MaVI, macroscopic vascular invasion; MiVI, microscopic vascular invasion; NS, not significant; PVI, portal vein invasion; PVTT, portal vein tumor thrombus. Outcome: DFS, disease-free survival; ER, early recurrence (risk factor); EXR, extrahepatic recurrence; INR, intrahepatic recurrence; MET, metastasis; OS, overall survival; PFS, progress-free survival; RECUR, recurrence; RFS, recurrence-free survival; TTR, time to recurrence.

Negative,

FΤ

FISH

EpCAM,

CK8/18/19,

twist, vimentin

CK19

Author Year	Country	HCC Cohort	Blood (mL)	Time Collection	Treatment	Enrichment Platform	Category Technique	Downstream Methods	CCSC Marker	Clinical Significances	Outcome
Fan et al., 2011 [34]	China	82	10	Preoperative	Surgical resection	DG-FACS	Positive	-	CD90, CD44	-	INR, EXR, RFS, OS
Liu et al., 2013 [35]	China	60	-	-	-	FACS	Positive	-	ICAM	NS	DFS, OS
Guo et al., 2018 [36]	China	130	5	Preoperative, Postoperative	Surgical resection	RosetteSep	Negative	qRT-PCR	EpCAM, CD133, CD90, CK19	-	TTR, RECUR
Wan et al., 2019 [37]	China	42	10	Preoperative	-	Labyrinth	Negative, MF	IF	CD44	TNM	-
Yao et al., 2019 [38]	China	10	10	Preoperative, Postoperative	Surgical resection	RosetteSep	Negative, DG-IC	RT-LAMP	CD90, CD133	Vascular invasion	MET
Lei et al., 2021 [31]	China	160	15	Preoperative	Surgical resection	CanPatrol	Negative, FT	FISH	Nanog	Tumor size, BCLC	ER

 Table 2. Summary of CCSCs studies selected for review.

Enrichment platform: DG, density gradient centrifugation; FACS, Fluorescent-activated cell sorting. Categories technique: FT, filtration; IC, immunocapture. IM, immunomagnetic separation; MF, microfluidic. Downstream methods: FISH, fluorescence in situ hybridization; IF, immunofluorescent staining; RT-LAMP, reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification; qRT-PCR, quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction. Clinical significances: BCLC, Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer. Outcome: DFS, disease-free survival; ER, early recurrence (risk factor); EXR, extrahepatic recurrence; INR, intrahepatic recurrence; NS, not significant; PFS, progress-free survival; OS, overall survival; RFS, recurrence-free survival; TTR, time to recurrence.

#### 3.2. EMT-CTCs Phenotype

#### 3.2.1. Types of EMT Markers on CTCs in HCC

To investigate the EMT phenotypes of CTCs, they were further subclassified into three subtypes by categorical markers: epithelial CTCs, mesenchymal CTCs, and biphenotypic (hybrid) CTCs that express both epithelial and mesenchymal markers. In the fifteen EMT-CTC subtyping investigations reviewed here, EpCAM and cytokeratin (CK) were the most commonly used markers to identify epithelial CTC subtypes [20–22,24–33], whereas vimentin and twist were used to detect mesenchymal CTCs in most of the studies [19,21–33]. Eleven studies performed a CTC analysis of all EMT-CTC subtypes, including epithelial, mesenchymal, and hybrid phenotypes [21,22,24–26,28–33]. Intriguingly, one study specifically enumerated both hybrid and mesenchymal subtypes [22]. Four studies investigated individual EMT-CTC subtypes [19,20,23,27]. The summary is provided in Figure 2. Different techniques were applied for EMT-CTC subtype detection, including the fluorescence in situ hybridization of EMT-specific RNA sequence recognition (12/15 studies; 1250 cases, or 87.4%) and the immunofluorescent staining technique (3/15 studies; 180 cases, or 12.6%).

# 3.2.2. Association of EMT-CTC Subtypes with Clinicopathological Factors in Preoperative Studies

For preoperative studies, ten studies performed a CTC analysis of all EMT-CTC subtypes (epithelial, mesenchymal, and hybrid subtypes) [21,22,24,25,28–33]. The CTCs were enriched from blood samples through a filtration method with 8 µm diameter pores, and the CTC subtypes were identified by the RNA-ISH technique. Three studies determined an association of mesenchymal CTCs with clinical outcomes using different CTC enrichment and subtype identification techniques [19,23,27]. In addition, one study performed epithelial CTC analysis with the CellSearch method [20].

Most of the studies reported an association between the positive mesenchymal subtype detection and key clinicopathological factors, including tumor number [21,24,27,29], Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer (BCLC) stages [24,25,29,31], metastasis [21,25], TNM stages [19,24], tumor size [27,29,31], and portal vein tumor thrombus (PVTT) [19,29], while the hybrid subtype was mainly linked to BCLC stages [21,24,29,31]. In some studies, the hybrid subtype of CTCs was also found to have a correlation with tumor number [21], metastasis [21], as well as tumor size [29,31] and PVTT [29]. When hybrid and mesenchymal CTC percentages were combined, Chen et al. (2019) observed that a higher proportion of hybrid and mesenchymal CTCs relative to the epithelial subtype (H + M > C) was associated with aberrant AFP levels (>20 ng/mL), metastasis, and BCLC stages [22]. Furthermore, Court et al. (2018) revealed that quantifying mesenchymal CTCs effectively distinguished early stage HCC from locally advanced and metastatic HCC (p < 0.001). Individuals with radiographic evidence of portal vein invasion (PVI) were shown to have considerably higher vimentin-positive CTCs [23].

Besides the previously indicated clinical characteristics, Qi et al. (2018) discovered that a percentage of mesenchymal CTC >2% was significantly associated with early recurrence, multi-intrahepatic recurrence, and lung metastasis [25]. Moreover, they recently demonstrated the association between recurrence rate and CTC phenotypes based on recurrence types following resection. Patients with mesenchymal CTCs had a considerably higher risk of extrahepatic recurrence, multi-intrahepatic recurrence, and solitary intrahepatic recurrence, whereas patients with hybrid CTCs had a significantly higher rate of extrahepatic recurrence [30]. Zhang et al. (2021) discovered a link between the proportion of mesenchymal CTCs and CK19 expression, with higher mesenchymal CTCs reported in CK19-positive patients, which was linked with a worse prognosis in HCC patients [33]. In several investigations, epithelial CTCs were observed to be linked with AFP levels [20,29], the size of the tumor [21], and BCLC stage [20]. Furthermore, Schulze et al. (2013) studied the clinical importance of epithelial subtypes in terms of invasive tumoral patterns of HCC, the majority of patients with positive CTC had macroscopic and microscopic vascular invasion [20]. On the contrary, Chen et al. (2019) reported that none of the investigated clinicopathological variables, such as AFP concentration, tumor number, tumor size, vascular invasion, or BCLC stage, was substantially linked with any of the preoperative EMT-CTC subtypes [28].

3.2.3. Association of EMT-CTC Subtypes with Clinicopathological Factors in Longitudinal and Postoperative Studies

Three studies examined longitudinal blood sample collection (pre- and postoperative samples) [25,28,32]. As observed by Qi et al. (2018), the percentage of mesenchymal CTCs significantly increased in the 8–10 days after tumor resection, in which HCC patients with an increased percentage of mesenchymal CTCs had a worse tumor-free survival rate (p = 0.033, n = 112). Furthermore, postoperative CTC monitoring in 10 patients revealed that 8 of them had an elevated mesenchymal percentage 1 to 2 months before detectable recurrence or the emergence of metastatic tumors [25]. Xie et al. (2021) revealed a similar conclusion, which is that the proportion of mesenchymal CTCs (7–10 days after LT) with tumor recurrence was higher than that before surgery (p = 0.021). Additionally, an increase in mesenchymal CTCs was highly detected in patients with recurrent HCC (p = 0.029). The 1-, 2- and 3-year recurrence rates of patients with postoperative group (positive group: 21.7%, 37.5%, and 55.5% vs. negative group: 10.8%, 10.8%, and 10.8%), n = 56 (p = 0.044) [32].

Chen et al. (2019) on the other hand, found that the EMT phenotypes of CTCs in HCC patients before and after curative therapy were not predictive of recurrence. Furthermore, dynamic changes in EMT-CTC subtypes were unrelated to HCC recurrence following curative therapy [28]. Wang et al. (2018) explored the role of all EMT-CTC subtypes in postoperative blood analysis. The results showed that CTC subtypes may be used to monitor postoperative HCC, with patients with recurrence having a considerably higher frequency of mesenchymal and hybrid CTCs than patients with nonrecurrent HCC [26].

3.2.4. Pooled Data from All EMT-CTC Subtype Analysis Reporting on Prognostic Factors for Relapse after the Curative Resection and Meta-Analysis Results

The prognostic effect of all EMT-CTC subtype analysis was reported in ten studies, including eight studies with preoperative sample analysis [20,23–25,28–31] and two studies with postoperative sample analysis [26,32]. Individual studies reported a variety of prognostic outcomes, including OS [20,23], PFS [23,29], RFS [24], TFS [30], and TTR [23,28]. Six studies experimentally observed a univariate Cox regression analysis, by which only three studies further reported a multivariate Cox regression analysis [23–26,31,32]. All extracted data are summarized in Table 3.

Court et al. (2018) demonstrated that CTCs expressing a mesenchymal marker (vimentin) was highly linked with poorer overall survival (OS) (HR: 2.21, 95% CI: 1.38–3.56, p = 0.001, n = 61 and shorter PFS (HR: 2.16, 95% CI: 1.33–4.42, p = 0.002), n = 23. The presence of this subtype was also strongly associated with earlier TTR (HR: 3.14, 95% CI: 1.50–6.57, p = 0.002) in curable individuals with no residual disease following therapy (n = 30) [23]. With a median follow-up period of 14.0 months, Ou et al. (2018) reported that the presence of mesenchymal CTCs predicted the shortest RFS (HR: 4.546, 95% CI: 2.203–9.381) followed by hybrid CTCs (HR: 2.368, 95% CI: 0.808–6.937), and epithelial CTCs (HR: 1.446, 95% CI: 0.667–1.133, *p* = 0.006), respectively [24]. Bai et al. (2020) revealed that patients with high mesenchymal CTCs had a significantly worse median PFS, n = 99. Mesenchymal subtype positivity in CTCs had shorter PFS than did that negativity (median months [95% CI]: 3.3 [9.1–17.4] vs. 5 [3.5–6.5], *p* < 0.05) [29]. Qi et al. (2020) observed similar findings, in which the presence of mesenchymal or hybrid CTCs was related to a worse prognosis and a shorter TFS than those of the negative subtype (median months (positive vs. negative); 7 vs. 24.5, hybrid CTCs and 5 vs. 17, mesenchymal CTCs, *n* = 136) [30]. By an OS analysis, Schulze et al. (2013) assessed the clinical relevance of the epithelial CTC subtype. The median OS of HCC patients with EpCAM+ CTCs was shorter than patients without CTCs (median months [95% CI]: 15.3 [2.3–28.3] vs. 24.9 [19.1–30.6], *p* = 0.017), n = 59 [20]. However, there was no significant difference in median TFS between the patients with and without the presence of epithelial CTCs subtype (median months (positive group vs. negative group); 10 vs. 8) [30]. Chen et al. (2019) found no substantial evidence from a representative cohort. There was no significant difference in mean TTR between CTCs-positive and CTCs-negative patients in any of the EMT-CTCs subgroups [28].

A pooled analysis of preoperative studies by Qi et al. (2018) and Lei et al. (2021) revealed that patients with mesenchymal CTCs had a higher risk of recurrence (HR = 1.02, 95% CI: 1.01–1.03; p < 0.00001) with no significant between-study heterogeneity (I<sup>2</sup> = 0%) (Figure 3a). A pooled analysis of studies by Qi et al. (2018) and Lei et al. (2021) on the relationship between CTC subtype positivity and HCC recurrence (within 6 months) revealed that the presence of epithelial CTCs was rarely associated with early recurrence (HR = 1.03, 95% CI: 0.88–1.20; p = 0.73) (Figure 3b), with no significant between-study heterogeneity (I<sup>2</sup> = 22%) [25,31]. Furthermore, Wang et al. (2018) and Xie et al. (2021) found that patients with mesenchymal CTCs had a considerable high risk of early recurrence (HR = 4.56, 95% CI: 2.19–9.48; p < 0.0001), with no significant between-study heterogeneity (I<sup>2</sup> = 0%) (Figure 3c). GRADE approach to rate the certainty of evidence was provided in Table S3.



**Figure 3.** Pooled HRs of preoperative mesenchymal CTCs (**a**), preoperative epithelial CTCs (**b**), and postoperative mesenchymal CTCs (**c**) subtype for the predictive risk factor of early recurrence [25,26,31,32].

Study						Postoperative Analysis						
		Outcomes	Schulze, 2013 [20]	Court, 2018 [23]	Ou, 2018 [24]	Qi, 2018 [25]	Chen, 2019 [28]	Bai, 2020 [29]	Qi, 2020 [30]	Lei, 2021 [31]	Wang, 2018 [26]	Xie, 2021 [32]
~						Epithelial-CTCs						
	Madian	pos							10			
TFS	Median —	neg							8			
	p-va	alue							0.6745			
	Н	IR				1.000				1.295		
ER	95%	6 CI				0.993-1.007				0.827-2.026		
-	p-va	alue				0.970				0.258		
OS	Median ——	pos	15.3									
		neg	24.9									
	p-va	alue	0.017									
	Н	IR			1.446							
	95%	6 CI			0.667–3.133							
TTR	p-va	<i>p</i> -value			0.006							
RFS	Means/SD	pos					$11.32\pm2.83$					
		neg					$12.7\pm3.1$					
	p-va	alue					0.523					
						Hybrid-CTCs						
	Modian —	pos						6	7			
TFS		neg						7	24.5			
	<i>p</i> -value							0.692	0.003			
	Н	IR								1.068	2.935	
ER	95%	6 CI								0.577-1.976	1.306-6.594	
	p-va	alue								0.835	0.009	

# **Table 3.** The relationship between EMT-CTCs subtypes and measuring outcomes. \* Multivariate analysis.

**Preoperative Analysis Postoperative Analysis** Study Schulze, 2013 Court, 2018 Ou, 2018 Qi, 2018 Chen, 2019 Bai, 2020 Qi, 2020 Lei, 2021 Wang, 2018 Xie, 2021 [23] [30] [20] [24] [25] [28] [29] [31] [26] [32] Outcomes HR 2.368 0.808-6.937 95% CI *p*-value 0.006 14 pos TTR Median RFS NR neg *p*-value 0.006  $12.14\pm2.29$ pos Means/SD  $10.82\pm4.42$ neg 0.638" *p*-value Mesenchymal-CTCs HR 2.16 \* 95% CI 1.38-4.42 PFS *p*-value 0.002 TFS 5 5 pos Median 13.3 17 neg 0.009 < 0.0001 *p*-value 1.019 4.740HR 1.182 4.039 1.019 \* 3.453 \* 1.010-1.027 2.041-11.01 ER 95% CI 0.764-1.83 0.921-17.703 1.393-8.559 \* 1.006-1.032 \* < 0.001 *p*-value 0.452 < 0.001 0.064 0.003 \* 2.21 \* HR 95% CI 1.38-3.56 OS *p*-value 0.001

Table 3. Cont.

Table 3. Cont.

Study **Preoperative Analysis Postoperative Analysis** Wang, 2018 [26] Schulze, 2013 Court, 2018 Ou, 2018 Qi, 2018 Chen, 2019 Bai, 2020 Qi, 2020 Lei, 2021 Xie, 2021 [23] [24] [25] [28] [29] [30] [31] [32] [20] Outcomes HR 3.14 4.546 95% CI 1.50-6.57 2.203-9.381 *p*-value 0.002 0.006 6.4 pos TTR RFS Median NR neg *p*-value < 0.006 pos  $9.21\pm3.16$ Means/ SD $13.8\pm2.6$ neg *p*-value 0.654

#### 3.3. CCSCs Phenotype

#### 3.3.1. Types of CSC Markers on CTCs in HCC

Herein, we identified six studies evaluating the stem cell-like properties of CTCs in HCC patients. The label-free method was the most commonly used for isolating CCSCs in HCC including density gradient centrifugation [34,35], size-based [31,37], immune-density negative selection [36,38]. The strategic methods to detect CCSCs in these observational studies were varied, including fluorescence-activated cell sorting: FACS [34,35], immunofluorescent staining technique [37], reverse-transcription PCR [36,38], and fluorescence in situ hybridization [31].

Several of the most often used cancer stem cell markers in the recruited studies, including ICAM-1 [35], CD133 [36,38], CD90 [34,36,38], CD44 [34,37], CK19 [36], and Nanog [31], were evaluated to determine whether CCSCs may possibly be employed for HCC prognostic prediction.

3.3.2. The Clinical Significance of CCSCs Subtype Associated with Clinicopathological Factors, Metastasis, and Recurrence

Cancer stem cell subtype characterization of CTCs has been performed in both preoperative and postoperative investigations. Despite the fact that the research used diverse CSC markers to define CSC in HCC samples, the majority of the studies identified a connection between high CSC and the worst clinical outcomes, including tumor stages [31,34,37], tumor size [31,34], tumor recurrence [31,34,36], as well as metastatic risk and vascular invasion [38].

Among these studies, we found that using CD90, CD44, CD133, and Nanog as CSC markers exhibited a comparable tendency in an association between CCSCs and clinical outcomes. Fan et al. (2011) showed that HCC patients with detectable CD90- and CD44-positive CTCs had strong correlations with tumor size and TNM stage [34]. Most HCC patients who were detected with CD90- and CD44-positive CTCs had higher recurrences comparing to the patients with negative CSC. Wan et al. (2019) also discovered that the positive incidence of CSC occurrence with the CD44 marker was significantly higher in HCC patients with more advanced TNM stages [37]. Furthermore, Lei et al. (2021) found a strong association between Nanog expression in CTC and poor clinical outcomes, including tumor size and BCLC stage [31]. In comparison to nonrecurrent HCC patients, the majority of patients with high Nanog (+) experienced early recurrence after resection (p = 0.001), n = 160. In contrast, utilizing ICAM-1 as a CSC-CTC marker, Liu et al. (2013) discovered that ICAM-1-positive CTCs were detected in 50% of all cases (n = 30/60), which was unrelated to any tumor characteristics or even patterns of tumor invasion [35].

In the longitudinal blood sample analysis, Guo et al. (2018) investigated the relationship between the rate of recurrence and their multi-CCSC markers panel. Based on the dynamic change in perioperative blood, patients with persistent positive CCSCs exhibited a significantly higher recurrence rate (78.9%) than patients with negative persistent CCSCs (11.1%) and those who had complete clearance (47.8%), n = 60 (p < 0.05) [36].

3.3.3. Pooled Data from CCSC Subtype Analysis Reporting on Prognostic Factors for Relapse after the Curative Resection

The studies of CSC subtype analysis showed that the presence of CSC-positive CTCs was a significant prognostic marker predicting RFS [34], DFS [35], OS [34,35], and TTR [36] in HCC patients (Table 4). Fan et al. (2011) reported that the median RFS period of patients with CSC-positive CTCs was significantly shorter (6.0 months vs. >46.5 months), with a lower 2-year RFS rate than those CCSC-negative at 22.7% vs. 64.2% (p < 0.0001). As a result, the OS duration and 2-year survival rate were remarkedly shorter (30.0 months vs. >57.1 months and 58.5% vs. 94.1%, p = 0.0005). Furthermore, CSC-positivity was a significant predictor of RFS (relative risk: 4.175, 95% CI: 2.143–8.133, p < 0.0001) and OS (relative risk: 4.735, 95% CI 1.709–13.12, p = 0.003) [34]. Liu et al. (2013) revealed that HCC patients showing a large number of circulating ICAM-positive CTCs had a shorter DFS

(p < 0.0001) with a prognostic power (HR: 7.15, 95% CI: 2.99–17.09, p = 0.000) [35]. In particular, with an overall survival analysis, ICAM-positive CTCs exhibited a significantly inferior OS (p = 0.013). However, its prognostic power indicated no statistical significance (HR: 2.28, 95% CI: 0.95–7.82, p = 0.062) [35]. Guo et al. (2018) reported the prognostic significance of their stem-cell-like CTCs panel in predicting tumor recurrence (HR: 3.127, 95% CI: 1.360–7.190, p = 0.007) [36]. Additionally, Lei et al. (2021) introduced Nanog as a biomarker for identifying CCSCs, by which it could also indicate a poor outcome in HCC patients. The Nanog-positive CTCs was potentially a clinical indicator for early recurrence as an independent prognostic factor (HR: 2.33, 95% CI: 1.476–3.679, p = 0.000282) [31].

Study				Preoperative Analysis						
		Outcomes	Fan, 2011 [34]	Liu, 2013 [35]	Guo, 2018 [36]	Lei, 2021 [31]				
		HR		7.15	3.127					
RFS - DFS TTR -		95% CI		2.99–17.09	1.360-7.190					
		<i>p</i> -value		0.0001	0.007					
		RR	4.175							
		95% CI	2.143-8.133							
	<i>p</i> -value		< 0.0001							
		pos	6							
	Median	neg	46.5							
-		<i>p</i> -value	< 0.0001							
-		RR	4.175							
-	95% CI		2.143-8.133							
-	<i>p</i> value		< 0.0001							
	HR					2.33 *				
ER		95% CI				1.476-3.679				
-		<i>p</i> -value				0.000282				
	HR			2.28						
-		95% CI		0.95–7.82						
-		<i>p</i> -value		0.062						
-		RR	4.735							
OS		95% CI	1.709–13.12							
-		<i>p</i> -value	0.003							
-		pos	30							
	Median	neg	>57.1							
-	<i>p</i> -value		0.0005							

Table 4. The relationship between CCSCs subtypes and measuring outcome. \* Multivariate analysis.

# 4. Discussion

The current biomarkers used in the clinical setting of HCC are limited. Serum alphafetoprotein (AFP) is a well-established and valuable HCC marker for predicting prognosis. The significant association between AFP and progressively poorer HCC outcomes has been previously reported [39,40]. However, it is still controversial due to the prognostic efficacy of AFP being heavily influenced by patient gender, underlying liver disease, and the severity of HCC [41,42]. These limitations have been markedly considered, and the evaluation of more effective biomarkers might improve the prognostic assessment of HCC.

Various CTC enrichment platforms have been evaluated, with many attempting to broaden the clinical usage of CTCs. The enumeration of CTCs has been systematically validated for its prognostic value for predicting poor survival and high risk of recurrence in HCC patients [43–45]. Most of these reports use the EpCAM-enrichment approach to isolate

CTCs from blood samples, which might limit the detection of mesenchymal subtypes of the CTCs. With a growing number of studies, the results demonstrate a significant association between CTC subtypes and clinical outcomes that is not solely based on the quantity of CTCs but also the phenotypes of the CTCs.

In the present study, we systemically recruited and extracted data from publications to reveal the relationship between the phenotypic heterogeneity and the prognosis of HCC patients. The presence of mesenchymal CTCs and CCSCs was found to be associated with poor survival outcomes and tumor relapse in HCC patients, including multiple surrogate endpoints such as OS, DFS/RFS, or PFS and TTR. Furthermore, a meta-analysis revealed that the presence of mesenchymal CTCs in HCC patients' blood samples before and after surgery was strongly related to an increased risk of early recurrence of intrahepatic and extrahepatic metastases.

Hepatocellular carcinoma cells are epithelial in origin, in which cells are tightly held together via lateral cell–cell junctions, and anchor themselves to the basement membrane by hemidesmosomes [46]. Complex proteins of cell adhesion molecules, such as EpCAM, cytokeratin, E-cadherin, zonula occludens (ZO)-1, claudins, and occludins, regulate these cell junctions to maintain apical-basal polarity as an epithelial state [47]. Once the primary tumor becomes expansive, epithelial tumor cells can be forced by mechanical stimuli such as outward pushing of the tumor during rapid tumor proliferation, causing them to be squeezed through the basement membrane. Moreover, the cells might be pulled by the leakiness of tumor vessels during angiogenesis as well as the microtracks generated by other tumor cells [48,49]. As a result, passively shed epithelial CTCs can retain their original phenotype and reflect the proliferation rate of HCC cells [50]. The presence of epithelial CTCs with a large number of CTC enumerations would be a surrogate marker for more advanced disease progression that might not be linked to metastasis. In accordance with the conclusion from several reports included in this systematic review, levels of epithelial CTCs were substantially related to tumor size and BCLC stages, but not with recurrence or metastasis.

During the initiation of EMT, epithelial cancer cells adapt their phenotype to resemble motile cells that can spontaneously escape from the primary site [51]. The expression of EMT-transcription factors (twist, ZEB, Snail) is induced, whereas the expression of genes associated with epithelial state is suppressed, leading to an accumulation of specific proteins associated with mesenchymal characteristics such as vimentin, fibronectin, fibroblast specific protein 1 (FSP-1),  $\alpha$ -smooth muscle actin ( $\alpha$ -SMA), and N-cadherin. The progressive alteration results in the loss of epithelial cellular characteristics by (i) breaking apico-basolateral polarity, (ii) weakening cell-cell adhesion owing to junction protein downregulation, and (iii) actin cytoskeleton rearrangement and spindle-shaped morphology [52]. These mesenchymal cells infiltrate the extracellular matrix (ECM) by releasing proteolytic degradation enzymes and transmigrating the basement membrane. Once in the circulation, CTCs must overcome the anoikis mechanism, a mechanically stressful environment, and immune attack. These CTCs can eventually extravasate and initiate secondary micrometastases [53]. It shows that the mesenchymal characteristic is required in the multistep invasion-metastasis cascade process. Most studies agree with our observation that the presence of mesenchymal CTCs in patients correlates with advanced tumor stage, pathological vascular invasion, and a relatively poor prognosis with an elevated chance of recurrence in HCC.

Notably, EMT is frequently characterized by incomplete activation in the transitional axis. As an intermediate stage of the invasion–metastasis cascade, the subtype is well-known for its hybrid phenotype. Interestingly, with partially preserved cell–cell adhesion (epithelial), the phenotype can stimulate cells to travel collectively and survive in blood circulation (mesenchymal) [54]. As multicellular aggregation was observed in blood samples from breast, colon, lung, and prostate cancer patients, it was discovered that hybrid CTCs might facilitate cluster formation [55–57]. Nevertheless, the number of CTCs cluster is not examined in our eligible studies. The significant challenge of CTCs cluster separa-

tion is their possibility to dissociate during blood sample processing. Although there are numerous separation techniques and devices efficient for isolating CTCs, these platforms are rarely capable of capturing clusters intact. The technology designed for specifically capturing CTCs cluster needs to be further developed [58].

In the meantime, the intersection between EMT and stemness has also been suggested. CTCs bearing stemness can be found both in partial and complete EMT states [59,60]. Nonetheless, recent theoretical and experimental studies have reported that cells undergoing partial EMT are more likely to acquire stemness than cells undergoing complete EMT due to their high plasticity to switch between proliferative and invasive phenotypes [56,61,62]. The stemness is maintained somewhere within a window between fully epithelial and mesenchymal traits, or in other words, at the midway point of the EMT gradient. In line with one of our eligible studies, Lei et al. (2021) described that EMT-CTCs were concomitantly found with Nanog-cancer stem cell markers, especially in hybrid and mesenchymal CTC subtypes [31]. It is therefore believed that those features enable hybrid CTCs as the most effective subtype, having highly metastatic potential and thus being involved in the recurrence of HCC. The transition state along the epithelial-mesenchymal axis may be context-dependent according to the continuum of stress environment where the cells have been induced [63]. Not every hybrid CTC expands stemness, nor is it expected to be shed as a cluster. That may be some explanation of those contradictory results. Hence, studying the dynamic change of EMT-CTCs in HCC should be further extended.

In this respect, CTCs expressing CSC markers also had an association with overt clinical outcomes, including advanced tumor stages, early recurrence, metastatic risk, and vascular invasion as well as prognostic markers predicting RFS, DFS, OS, and TTR in HCC patients. This is consistent with the hypothesis that cancer stem cell existing in primary tumor are capable of forming metastatic spread and relapse. The origin of CSC and how they become circulated in the circulated bloodstream are yet to be elucidated. CTCs with stemness may arise by two nonexclusive mechanisms. Migrating CSCs from primary tumor cells might give rise to CCSCs by passive shedding. On the other hand, the mechanism engaged in CTCs (originally nonmetastatic) converting into CCSCs is proposed by the obligatory gain of an additional feature to survive in the bloodstream and subsequently form metastases [64]. As mentioned previously, compelling evidence shows that CSCs also bear cellular plasticity for transitional change between epithelial-like and mesenchymal-like states. Those cells might certainly be relevant sources of metastatic recurrence. However, a lack of uniform expression markers might restrict CCSCs characterization, and thus lead to a resulting discrepancy.

Due to the complex biological characteristics, HCC is highly heterogeneous in nature, which makes it more difficult to identify an accurate staging system to predict the prognosis of HCC [65]. With regard to HCC staging, radiological diagnosis has become standard, which sometimes underestimates HCC tumor grading by the performance of imaging techniques [66]. Performing tissue biopsy in HCC is rarely done due to the high-risk of severe complications [67]. There is a great prospect for CTCs to be used as an alternative to tissue biopsy or as a combinatorial biological marker with modalities. The baseline phenotypic detection of CTC subtype could support the establishment of HCC staging. The subclassification of mesenchymal CTCs in preoperative blood has tendency to be implicated in prognosis prediction, hence allowing more information in making decision on the therapy.

#### Expert Opinion

The presence of the CTC subtype can represent the progression and state of the disease and can be used as a prognostic marker. The metastatic potential and dissemination associated with early recurrence are represented by the mesenchymal CTC subtype. We postulated here that the presence of mesenchymal CTCs in resectable patients with early HCC may indicate an underlying factor of metastases for which surgical resection is not effective. Individual patients with intermediate-stage HCC (BCLC-B) who may

benefit from tumor excision may be reliably stratified using CTC subtyping. In this scenario, BCLC-B patients with detectable epithelial CTCs may be eligible for liver resection, but those with detectable mesenchymal CTC positivity may not have a satisfactory surgical outcome.

Considering recent advances in systemic treatment, neoadjuvant targeted molecular therapies might be used to downgrade the tumor, making it removable and eliminating micrometastasis. Sorafenib and lenvatinib are multikinase inhibitors that have been shown to slow the progression of advanced HCC and allow for curative intervention in such patients [68–70].

The combination of cabozantinib (VEGF-targeted treatment) and nivolumab (checkpoint inhibitor) efficiently turns locally progressed HCC to resectable disease while also inducing a robust immune response [71]. Recently, atezolizumab plus bevacizumab was shown to be an effective first-line treatment option for advanced HCC. This combined treatment enables unresectable patients to undergo hepatectomy while still achieving long-term remission [72].

Mesenchymal CTCs detected postoperatively would also be an excellent surrogate marker for predicting recurrent HCC. A combination of an immune-checkpoint inhibitor and a drug targeting blood vessels is being investigated in ongoing trials to prevent HCC recurrence following curative resection [73].

### 5. Conclusions

In conclusion, detecting the phenotype of CTCs is important in determining tumor prognosis, predicting metastatic recurrence, and assessing therapeutic outcomes in HCC patients. Differences in enrichment and identification methods utilized for the detection of EMT- and stem-cell-like CTCs, as well as small sample numbers due to inadequate extracted data, may result in inconsistencies between research works. Understanding the involvement of CTC subtypes in blood-borne dissemination may enable us to understand tumor progression behavior differences. For that purpose, additional phenotypic or genetic characterization of the identified CTCs may provide useful information for predicting HCC prognosis.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/cancers14143373/s1, Table S1: Search strategy in different databases based on EMT and CSC markers expression in CTCs, Table S2: Risk of bias assessment (Newcastle–Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale criteria), Table S3: GRADE evidence profiles for studies in the meta-analysis.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, S.O. and P.C.; methodology, S.O. and P.C.; validation, S.U., W.L., S.M., A.P. and D.P.; formal analysis, S.O., A.P., P.P. and P.C.; investigation, S.O.; data curation, S.O., S.U., S.M. and P.C.; writing—original draft preparation, S.O.; writing—review and editing, P.C.; visualization, W.L., P.P. and D.P.; supervision, W.L., P.P., D.P. and P.C.; project administration, P.C.; funding acquisition, S.O. and P.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This work was supported by the Faculty of Medicine, Chiang Mai University, grant no. 96–2565, and the Musculoskeletal Science and Translational Research Center.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

- Sung, H.; Ferlay, J.; Siegel, R.L.; Laversanne, M.; Soerjomataram, I.; Jemal, A.; Bray, F. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J. Clin.* 2021, 71, 209–249. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Heller, M.; Parikh, N.D.; Fidelman, N.; Owen, D. Frontiers of therapy for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Abdom. Radiol.* 2021, 46, 3648–3659. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- El-Khoueiry, A.B.; Sangro, B.; Yau, T.; Crocenzi, T.S.; Kudo, M.; Hsu, C.; Kim, T.-Y.; Choo, S.-P.; Trojan, J.; Welling, T.H.; et al. Nivolumab in patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (CheckMate 040): An open-label, non-comparative, phase 1/2 dose escalation and expansion trial. *Lancet* 2017, 389, 2492–2502. [CrossRef]
- 4. Zhu, A.X.; Finn, R.S.; Edeline, J.; Cattan, S.; Ogasawara, S.; Palmer, D.; Verslype, C.; Zagonel, V.; Fartoux, L.; Vogel, A.; et al. Pembrolizumab in patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma previously treated with sorafenib (KEYNOTE-224): A non-randomised, open-label phase 2 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* **2018**, *19*, 940–952. [CrossRef]
- 5. Finn, R.S.; Qin, S.; Ikeda, M.; Galle, P.R.; Ducreux, M.; Kim, T.-Y.; Kudo, M.; Breder, V.; Merle, P.; Kaseb, A.O.; et al. Atezolizumab plus bevacizumab in unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2020**, *382*, 1894–1905. [CrossRef]
- 6. Rizzo, A.; Ricci, A.D. PD-L1, TMB, and other potential predictors of response to immunotherapy for hepatocellular carcinoma: How can they assist drug clinical trials? *Expert Opin. Investig. Drugs* **2022**, *31*, 415–423. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zimmermann, A. Invasion patterns and metastatic patterns of hepatocellular carcinoma. In *Tumors and Tumor-Like Lesions* of the Hepatobiliary Tract: General and Surgical Pathology; Zimmermann, A., Ed.; Springer International Publishing: Cham, Switzerland, 2017; pp. 91–119.
- 8. Carissimi, F.; Barbaglia, M.N.; Salmi, L.; Ciulli, C.; Roccamatisi, L.; Cordaro, G.; Mallela, V.R.; Minisini, R.; Leone, B.E.; Donadon, M.; et al. Finding the seed of recurrence: Hepatocellular carcinoma circulating tumor cells and their potential to drive the surgical treatment. *World J. Gastrointest. Surg.* **2021**, *13*, 967–978. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Buscail, E.; Chiche, L.; Laurent, C.; Vendrely, V.; Denost, Q.; Denis, J.; Thumerel, M.; Lacorte, J.M.; Bedel, A.; Moreau-Gaudry, F.; et al. Tumor-proximal liquid biopsy to improve diagnostic and prognostic performances of circulating tumor cells. *Mol. Oncol.* 2019, *13*, 1811–1826. [CrossRef]
- 10. Wan, C.; Zhou, B. Research progress on circulating tumor cells of hepatocellular carcinoma. *J. Interv. Med.* **2021**, *4*, 181–183. [CrossRef]
- 11. Zhang, Q.; Rong, Y.; Yi, K.; Huang, L.; Chen, M.; Wang, F. Circulating tumor cells in hepatocellular carcinoma: Single-cell based analysis, preclinical models, and clinical applications. *Theranostics* **2020**, *10*, 12060–12071. [CrossRef]
- 12. Giannelli, G.; Koudelkova, P.; Dituri, F.; Mikulits, W. Role of epithelial to mesenchymal transition in hepatocellular carcinoma. *J. Hepatol.* **2016**, *65*, 798–808. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Liu, X.; Li, J.; Cadilha, B.L.; Markota, A.; Voigt, C.; Huang, Z.; Lin, P.P.; Wang, D.D.; Dai, J.; Kranz, G.; et al. Epithelial-type systemic breast carcinoma cells with a restricted mesenchymal transition are a major source of metastasis. *Sci. Adv.* **2019**, *5*, eaav4275. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Agnoletto, C.; Corrà, F.; Minotti, L.; Baldassari, F.; Crudele, F.; Cook, W.J.J.; Di Leva, G.; d'Adamo, A.P.; Gasparini, P.; Volinia, S. Heterogeneity in circulating tumor cells: The relevance of the stem-cell subset. *Cancers* **2019**, *11*, 483. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Tayoun, T.; Faugeroux, V.; Oulhen, M.; Aberlenc, A.; Pawlikowska, P.; Farace, F. CTC-derived models: A window into the seeding capacity of circulating tumor cells (CTCs). *Cells* **2019**, *8*, 1145. [CrossRef]
- 16. Li, F.; Tiede, B.; Massagué, J.; Kang, Y. Beyond tumorigenesis: Cancer stem cells in metastasis. Cell Res. 2007, 17, 3–14. [CrossRef]
- 17. Toss, A.; Mu, Z.; Fernandez, S.; Cristofanilli, M. CTC enumeration and characterization: Moving toward personalized medicine. *Ann. Transl. Med.* **2014**, *2*, 108. [CrossRef]
- Page, M.J.; McKenzie, J.E.; Bossuyt, P.M.; Boutron, I.; Hoffmann, T.C.; Mulrow, C.D.; Shamseer, L.; Tetzlaff, J.M.; Akl, E.A.; Brennan, S.E.; et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ* 2021, 372, n71. [CrossRef]
- Li, Y.-M.; Xu, S.-C.; Li, J.; Han, K.-Q.; Pi, H.-F.; Zheng, L.; Zuo, G.-H.; Huang, X.-B.; Li, H.-Y.; Zhao, H.-Z.; et al. Epithelial– mesenchymal transition markers expressed in circulating tumor cells in hepatocellular carcinoma patients with different stages of disease. *Cell Death Dis.* 2013, 4, e831. [CrossRef]
- Schulze, K.; Gasch, C.; Staufer, K.; Nashan, B.; Lohse, A.W.; Pantel, K.; Riethdorf, S.; Wege, H. Presence of EpCAM-positive circulating tumor cells as biomarker for systemic disease strongly correlates to survival in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *Int. J. Cancer* 2013, 133, 2165–2171. [CrossRef]
- 21. Liu, Y.-K.; Hu, B.-S.; Li, Z.-L.; He, X.; Li, Y.; Lu, L.-G. An improved strategy to detect the epithelial-mesenchymal transition process in circulating tumor cells in hepatocellular carcinoma patients. *Hepatol. Int.* **2016**, *10*, 640–646. [CrossRef]
- Chen, J.; Cao, S.-W.; Cai, Z.; Zheng, L.; Wang, Q. Epithelial-mesenchymal transition phenotypes of circulating tumor cells correlate with the clinical stages and cancer metastasis in hepatocellular carcinoma patients. *Cancer Biomark.* 2017, 20, 487–498. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Court, C.M.; Hou, S.; Winograd, P.; Segel, N.H.; Li, Q.W.; Zhu, Y.; Sadeghi, S.; Finn, R.S.; Ganapathy, E.; Song, M.; et al. A novel multimarker assay for the phenotypic profiling of circulating tumor cells in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Liver Transplant.* 2018, 24, 946–960. [CrossRef]

- Ou, H.; Huang, Y.; Xiang, L.; Chen, Z.; Fang, Y.; Lin, Y.; Cui, Z.; Yu, S.; Li, X.; Yang, D. Circulating tumor cell phenotype indicates poor survival and recurrence after surgery for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Am. J. Dig. Dis.* 2018, 63, 2373–2380. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Qi, L.-N.; Xiang, B.-D.; Wu, F.-X.; Ye, J.-Z.; Zhong, J.-H.; Wang, Y.-Y.; Chen, Y.-Y.; Chen, Z.-S.; Ma, L.; Chen, J.; et al. Circulating tumor cells undergoing EMT provide a metric for diagnosis and prognosis of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cancer Res.* 2018, 78, 4731–4744. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wang, Z.; Luo, L.; Cheng, Y.; He, G.; Peng, B.; Gao, Y.; Jiang, Z.-S.; Pan, M.X. Correlation between postoperative early recurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma and mesenchymal circulating tumor cells in peripheral blood. *J. Gastrointest. Surg.* 2018, 22, 633–639. [CrossRef]
- 27. Yin, L.-C.; Luo, Z.-C.; Gao, Y.-X.; Li, Y.; Peng, Q.; Gao, Y. Twist expression in circulating hepatocellular carcinoma cells predicts metastasis and prognoses. *BioMed Res. Int.* 2018, 2018, 3789613. [CrossRef]
- 28. Chen, Y.; Li, S.; Li, W.; Yang, R.; Zhang, X.; Ye, Y.; Yu, J.; Ye, L.; Tang, W. Circulating tumor cells undergoing EMT are poorly correlated with clinical stages or predictive of recurrence in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Sci. Rep.* **2019**, *9*, 7084. [CrossRef]
- 29. Bai, T.; Mai, R.; Ye, J.; Chen, J.; Qi, L.; Tang, J.; Wei, M.; Zhang, L.; Chen, Z.; Tang, Z.; et al. Circulating tumor cells and CXCR4 in the prognosis of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Transl. Cancer Res.* **2020**, *9*, 1384–1394. [CrossRef]
- Qi, L.-N.; Ma, L.; Chen, Y.-Y.; Chen, Z.-S.; Zhong, J.-H.; Gong, W.-F.; Lu, Y.; Xiang, B.-D.; Li, L.-Q. Outcomes of anatomical versus non-anatomical resection for hepatocellular carcinoma according to circulating tumour-cell status. *Ann. Med.* 2020, 52, 21–31. [CrossRef]
- 31. Lei, Y.; Wang, X.; Sun, H.; Fu, Y.; Tian, Y.; Yang, L.; Wang, J.; Xia, F. Association of preoperative NANOG-positive circulating tumor cell levels with recurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Front. Oncol.* **2021**, *11*, 730. [CrossRef]
- Xie, Y.-L.; Yang, Z.; Feng, X.; Yang, Q.; Ye, L.-S.; Li, X.-B.; Tang, H.; Zhang, Y.-C.; Liu, W.; Zhang, T.; et al. Association of phenotypic transformation of circulating tumor cells and early recurrence in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma following liver transplantation. *Asian J. Surg.* 2021, 45, 435–440. [CrossRef]
- 33. Zhang, Q.; Xing, W.; Zhang, J.; Hu, J.; Qi, L.; Xiang, B. Circulating tumor cells undergoing the epithelial–mesenchymal transition: Influence on prognosis in cytokeratin 19-positive hepatocellular carcinoma. *OncoTargets Ther.* **2021**, *14*, 1543–1552. [CrossRef]
- Fan, S.T.; Yang, Z.F.; Ho, D.W.Y.; Ng, M.N.P.; Yu, W.C.; Wong, J. Prediction of posthepatectomy recurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma by circulating cancer stem cells: A prospective study. *Ann. Surg.* 2011, 254, 569–576. [CrossRef]
- Liu, S.; Li, N.; Yu, X.; Xiao, X.; Cheng, K.; Hu, J.; Wang, J.; Zhang, D.; Cheng, S.; Liu, S. Expression of intercellular adhesion molecule 1 by hepatocellular carcinoma stem cells and circulating tumor cells. *Gastroenterology* 2013, 144, 1031–1041.e10. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Guo, W.; Sun, Y.-F.; Shen, M.-N.; Ma, X.-L.; Wu, J.; Zhang, C.-Y.; Zhou, Y.; Xu, Y.; Hu, B.; Zhang, M.; et al. Circulating tumor cells with stem-like phenotypes for diagnosis, prognosis, and therapeutic response evaluation in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2018, 24, 2203–2213. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wan, S.; Kim, T.H.; Smith, K.J.; Delaney, R.; Park, G.-S.; Guo, H.; Lin, E.; Plegue, T.; Kuo, N.; Steffes, J.; et al. New labyrinth microfluidic device detects circulating tumor cells expressing cancer stem cell marker and circulating tumor microemboli in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Sci. Rep.* 2019, *9*, 18575. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yao, Y.; Li, Y.; Liu, Q.; Zhou, K.; Zhao, W.; Liu, S.; Yang, J.; Jiang, Y.; Sui, G. Rapid detection of hepatocellular carcinoma metastasis using reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification. *Talanta* 2019, 208, 120402. [CrossRef]
- Chan, M.Y.; She, W.H.; Dai, W.C.; Tsang, S.H.Y.; Chok, K.S.H.; Chan, A.C.Y.; Fung, J.; Lo, C.M.; Cheung, T.T. Prognostic value of preoperative alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) level in patients receiving curative hepatectomy- an analysis of 1,182 patients in Hong Kong. *Transl. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 2019, 4, 52. [CrossRef]
- 40. Chen, H.-L.; Chen, Y.-H.; Du, L.; Song, Y.-P.; Zhu, B. Elevated serum alpha-fetoprotein levels are associated with poor prognosis of hepatocellular carcinoma after surgical resection: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Arab J. Gastroenterol.* 2021, 22, 12–22. [CrossRef]
- Li, T.; Qin, L.X.; Gong, X.; Zhou, J.; Sun, H.C.; Qiu, S.J.; Ye, Q.H.; Wang, L.; Fan, J. Hepatitis B virus surface antigen-negative and hepatitis C virus antibody-negative hepatocellular carcinoma: Clinical characteristics, outcome, and risk factors for early and late intrahepatic recurrence after resection. *Cancer* 2013, *119*, 126–135. [CrossRef]
- 42. Giannini, E.G.; Marenco, S.; Borgonovo, G.; Savarino, V.; Farinati, F.; Del Poggio, P.; Rapaccini, G.L.; Anna Di Nolfo, M.; Benvegnù, L.; Zoli, M.; et al. Alpha-fetoprotein has no prognostic role in small hepatocellular carcinoma identified during surveillance in compensated cirrhosis. *Hepatology* **2012**, *56*, 1371–1379. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 43. Fan, J.-L.; Yang, Y.-F.; Yuan, C.-H.; Chen, H.; Wang, F.-B. Circulating tumor cells for predicting the prognostic of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma: A meta analysis. *Cell. Physiol. Biochem.* **2015**, *37*, 629–640. [CrossRef]
- Chen, V.L.; Xu, D.; Wicha, M.S.; Lok, A.S.; Parikh, N.D. Utility of liquid biopsy analysis in detection of hepatocellular carcinoma, determination of prognosis, and disease monitoring: A systematic review. *Clin. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 2020, 18, 2879–2902.e9. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Cui, K.; Ou, Y.; Shen, Y.; Li, S.; Sun, Z. Clinical value of circulating tumor cells for the diagnosis and prognosis of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC): A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Medicine* **2020**, *99*, e22242. [CrossRef]
- Knights, A.J.; Funnell, A.P.W.; Crossley, M.; Pearson, R.C.M. Holding tight: Cell junctions and cancer spread. *Trends Cancer Res.* 2012, 8, 61–69.

- 47. Dongre, A.; Weinberg, R.A. New insights into the mechanisms of epithelial-mesenchymal transition and implications for cancer. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **2019**, *20*, 69–84. [CrossRef]
- 48. McDonald, D.M.; Baluk, P. Significance of blood vessel leakiness in cancer. Cancer Res. 2002, 62, 5381–5385.
- 49. Leonard, F.; Godin, B. Unraveling bio-social hierarchy in cancer collective invasion: Symbiosis between leaders and followers. *Transl. Cancer Res.* **2017**, *6*, S1084–S1087. [CrossRef]
- Celià-Terrassa, T.; Meca-Cortés, O.; Mateo, F.; de Paz, A.M.; Rubio, N.; Arnal-Estapé, A.; Ell, B.J.; Bermudo, R.; Díaz, A.; Guerra-Rebollo, M.; et al. Epithelial-mesenchymal transition can suppress major attributes of human epithelial tumor-initiating cells. J. Clin. Investig. 2012, 122, 1849–1868. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 51. Banyard, J.; Bielenberg, D.R. The role of EMT and MET in cancer dissemination. Connect. Tissue Res. 2015, 56, 403–413. [CrossRef]
- Lamouille, S.; Xu, J.; Derynck, R. Molecular mechanisms of epithelial–mesenchymal transition. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 2014, 15, 178–196. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 53. Paizal, J.P.; Au, S.H.; Bakal, C. Squeezing through the microcirculation: Survival adaptations of circulating tumour cells to seed metastasis. *Br. J. Cancer* 2021, 124, 58–65. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 54. Quan, Q.; Wang, X.; Lu, C.; Ma, W.; Wang, Y.; Xia, G.; Wang, C.; Yang, G. Cancer stem-like cells with hybrid epithelial/mesenchymal phenotype leading the collective invasion. *Cancer Sci.* **2020**, *111*, 467–476. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 55. Lecharpentier, A.; Vielh, P.; Perez-Moreno, P.; Planchard, D.; Soria, J.C.; Farace, F. Detection of circulating tumour cells with a hybrid (epithelial/mesenchymal) phenotype in patients with metastatic non-small cell lung cancer. *Br. J. Cancer* **2011**, *105*, 1338–1341. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Armstrong, A.J.; Marengo, M.S.; Oltean, S.; Kemeny, G.; Bitting, R.L.; Turnbull, J.D.; Herold, C.I.; Marcom, P.K.; George, D.J.; Garcia-Blanco, M.A. Circulating tumor cells from patients with advanced prostate and breast cancer display both epithelial and mesenchymal markers. *Mol. Cancer Res.* 2011, *9*, 997–1007. [CrossRef]
- 57. Francescangeli, F.; Magri, V.; De Angelis, M.L.; De Renzi, G.; Gandini, O.; Zeuner, A.; Gazzaniga, P.; Nicolazzo, C. Sequential isolation and characterization of single CTCs and large CTC clusters in metastatic colorectal cancer patients. *Cancers* **2021**, *13*, 6362. [CrossRef]
- Rostami, P.; Kashaninejad, N.; Moshksayan, K.; Saidi, M.S.; Firoozabadi, B.; Nguyen, N.-T. Novel approaches in cancer management with circulating tumor cell clusters. J. Sci. Adv. Mater. Devices 2019, 4, 1–18. [CrossRef]
- Krawczyk, N.; Meier-Stiegen, F.; Banys, M.; Neubauer, H.; Ruckhaeberle, E.; Fehm, T. Expression of stem cell and epithelialmesenchymal transition markers in circulating tumor cells of breast cancer patients. *BioMed Res. Int.* 2014, 2014, 415721. [CrossRef]
- Ning, Y.; Zhang, W.; Hanna, D.L.; Yang, D.; Okazaki, S.; Berger, M.D.; Miyamoto, Y.; Suenaga, M.; Schirripa, M.; El-Khoueiry, A.; et al. Clinical relevance of EMT and stem-like gene expression in circulating tumor cells of metastatic colorectal cancer patients. *Pharm. J.* 2018, *18*, 29–34. [CrossRef]
- 61. Liao, T.-T.; Yang, M.-H. Hybrid epithelial/mesenchymal state in cancer metastasis: Clinical significance and regulatory mechanisms. *Cells* **2020**, *9*, 623. [CrossRef]
- Papadaki, M.A.; Stoupis, G.; Theodoropoulos, P.A.; Mavroudis, D.; Georgoulias, V.; Agelaki, S. Circulating tumor cells with stemness and epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition features are chemoresistant and predictive of poor outcome in metastatic breast cancer. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* 2019, *18*, 437–447. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 63. Jonckheere, S.; Adams, J.; De Groote, D.; Campbell, K.; Berx, G.; Goossens, S. Epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) as a therapeutic target. *Cells Tissues Organs* **2022**, *211*, 157–182. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yang, M.-H.; Imrali, A.; Heeschen, C. Circulating cancer stem cells: The importance to select. *Chin. J. Cancer Res.* 2015, 27, 437–449. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kinoshita, A.; Onoda, H.; Fushiya, N.; Koike, K.; Nishino, H.; Tajiri, H. Staging systems for hepatocellular carcinoma: Current status and future perspectives. World J. Hepatol. 2015, 7, 406–424. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 66. Choi, J.-Y.; Lee, J.-M.; Sirlin, C.B. CT and MR imaging diagnosis and staging of hepatocellular carcinoma: Part II. Extracellular agents, hepatobiliary agents, and ancillary imaging features. *Radiology* **2014**, 273, 30–50. [CrossRef]
- 67. Finn, R.S. The role of liver biopsy in hepatocellular carcinoma. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 2016, 12, 628–630.
- 68. Barbier, L.; Muscari, F.; Le Guellec, S.; Pariente, A.; Otal, P.; Suc, B. Liver resection after downstaging hepatocellular carcinoma with sorafenib. *Int. J. Hepatol.* **2011**, 2011, 791013. [CrossRef]
- Williet, N.; Dubreuil, O.; Boussaha, T.; Trouilloud, I.; Landi, B.; Housset, M.; Botti, M.; Rougier, P.; Belghiti, J.; Taieb, J. Neoadjuvant sorafenib combined with gemcitabine plus oxaliplatin in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma. *J. Hepatol.* 2011, 17, 2255–2258. [CrossRef]
- Tomonari, T.; Sato, Y.; Tanaka, H.; Tanaka, T.; Taniguchi, T.; Sogabe, M.; Okamoto, K.; Miyamoto, H.; Muguruma, N.; Saito, Y.; et al. Conversion therapy for unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma after lenvatinib: Three case reports. *Medicine* 2020, 99, e22782. [CrossRef]
- Ho, W.J.; Zhu, Q.; Durham, J.; Popovic, A.; Xavier, S.; Leatherman, J.; Mohan, A.; Mo, G.; Zhang, S.; Gross, N.; et al. Neoadjuvant cabozantinib and nivolumab converts locally advanced HCC into resectable disease with enhanced antitumor immunity. *Nat. Cancer* 2021, 2, 891–903. [CrossRef]

- 72. Wang, Y.; Lu, L.C.; Guan, Y.; Ho, M.C.; Lu, S.; Spahn, J.; Hsu, C.H. Atezolizumab plus bevacizumab combination enables an unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma resectable and links immune exclusion and tumor dedifferentiation to acquired resistance. *Exp. Hematol. Oncol.* **2021**, *10*, 45. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hack, S.P.; Spahn, J.; Chen, M.; Cheng, A.-L.; Kaseb, A.; Kudo, M.; Lee, H.C.; Yopp, A.; Chow, P.; Qin, S. IMbrave 050: A Phase III trial of atezolizumab plus bevacizumab in high-risk hepatocellular carcinoma after curative resection or ablation. *Future Oncol.* 2020, *16*, 975–989. [CrossRef] [PubMed]