



# Article In Vitro Alpha-Glucosidase Inhibitory Activity and the Isolation of Luteolin from the Flower of *Gymnanthemum amygdalinum* (Delile) Sch. Bip ex Walp.

Sheppriola Vonia, Rika Hartati 🗈 and Muhamad Insanu \*🕩

Pharmaceutical Biology Department, School of Pharmacy, Institut Teknologi Bandung, Ganesha 10, Bandung 40132, Indonesia; vonia.she@gmail.com (S.V.); rika@fa.itb.ac.id (R.H.) \* Correspondence: insanu@fa.itb.ac.id; Tel.: +62-222-504-852

Abstract: Diabetes mellitus is a major health issue that has posed a significant challenge over the years. Gymnanthemum amygdalinum is a well-known plant that can be potentially used to treat this disease. Therefore, this study aimed to evaluate the inhibitory effect of its root, stem bark, leaves, and flower extracts on alpha-glucosidase using an in vitro inhibition assay to isolate the bioactive compounds and determine their levels in the samples. The air-dried plant parts were extracted by maceration using methanol. The results showed that the flower extract had the greatest inhibitory effect (IC50 47.29  $\pm$  1.12 µg/mL), followed by the leaves, roots, and stem bark. The methanolic flower extract was further fractionated with different solvents, and the ethyl acetate fraction showed the strongest activity (IC50 19.24  $\pm$  0.12  $\mu$ g/mL). Meanwhile, acarbose was used as a positive control (IC50 73.36  $\pm$  3.05  $\mu g/mL$ ). Characterization based on UV, 1H-, and 13C-NMR established that the ethyl acetate fraction yielded two flavonoid compounds, namely, luteolin and 2-(3,4-dihydroxyphenyl)-5,7-dihydroxy-3-methoxy-4H-chromen-4-on, which had IC50 values of  $6.53 \pm 0.16 \ \mu g/mL$  and  $39.95 \pm 1.59 \ \mu g/mL$ , respectively. The luteolin levels in the crude drug, methanolic extract, and ethyl acetate fraction were  $3.4 \pm 0.2$  mg (0.3%),  $32.4 \pm 0.8$  mg (3.2%), and  $68.9 \pm 3.4$  mg (6.9%) per 1 g samples, respectively. These results indicated that the *G. amygdalinum* flower extract exerted potent inhibitory alpha-glucosidase activity.

Keywords: asteraceae; diabetes; Gymnanthemum amygdalinum; luteolin; Vernonia amygdalina

# 1. Introduction

As a metabolic disease, type 2 diabetes mellitus is reported in more than 90% of diabetes cases worldwide [1] and is characterized by elevated postprandial blood glucose levels [2]. Therefore, one effective strategy to control postprandial hyperglycemia is delaying glucose absorption using alpha-glucosidase inhibitors (AGIs). Examples of these drugs include acarbose, miglitol, and voglibose [3]. Since AGIs cause side effects such as gastrointestinal disorders [4,5], an effective alternative treatment with fewer side effects and proven safety is needed [6]. Consequently, searching for new AGI sources is very important for therapeutic applications. Traditionally, several plants are used as potential alpha-glucosidase inhibitors [7]. One of these is *Gymnanthemum amygdalinum*, also known as Vernonia amygdalina, which belongs to the Asteraceae family. It possesses various pharmacological activities. It is for constipation, diarrhea, skin wounds, scabies, ascariasis, tonsillitis, fever, and malaria [8–10]. Several studies reported that G. amygdalinum was traditionally used for managing diabetes mellitus in Nigeria [11,12]. Some secondary metabolites can be isolated from G. amygdalinum leaves, such as vernonioside A1, vernonioside A2, vernonioside B1, vernonioside B2, vernodalin, vernolepin, vernomygdin, vernodalol, vernodalinol, vernoamyoside, luteolin, luteolin 7-O-β-glucoside, and luteolin 7-O-glucuronide [10]. Furthermore, the flavonoid-rich fraction of G. amygdalinum leaf extracts show a significant antidiabetic effect [9]. Luteolin is a flavonoid compound that is



Citation: Vonia, S.; Hartati, R.; Insanu, M. In Vitro Alpha-Glucosidase Inhibitory Activity and the Isolation of Luteolin from the Flower of *Gymnanthemum amygdalinum* (Delile) Sch. Bip ex Walp. *Molecules* **2022**, *27*, 2132. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules 27072132

Academic Editor: Francesco Cacciola

Received: 18 February 2022 Accepted: 23 March 2022 Published: 25 March 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). well known to be an alpha-glucosidase inhibitor. Previous studies show that the number of phenolic hydroxyl groups on the B ring is correlated with inhibitory activity [13]. This property is possibly due to the alpha-glucosidase inhibitory activity found in the leaf extract, but this is yet to be confirmed for the plant's other parts. Therefore, this study aimed to evaluate the in vitro AGI activity of *G. amygdalinum* roots, stem barks, leaves, and flowers. The active compounds were isolated from the selected parts, and their levels were determined through thin-layer chromatography (TLC) densitometry.

# 2. Results

# 2.1. Phytochemical Screening

The phytochemical screening of methanolic flower extracts detected alkaloid, flavonoid, tannin, quinone, and triterpenoid.

# 2.2. In Vitro Alpha-Glucosidase Inhibitory Activity

The alpha-glucosidase inhibitory activities of the methanolic crude extracts of G. amygdalinum roots, stem bark, leaves, and flowers were determined using pNPG for the substrate (Table 1). Each extract's test results are shown by percentage inhibition values, while the data for the extract with the most significant inhibitory effect are also presented with IC<sub>50</sub> values. Based on the results, the flower extract had better activity than acarbose. The flower fractions' alpha-glucosidase inhibitory activity showed that ethyl acetate had the greatest inhibitory effect, followed by *n*-hexane and the water fraction (Table 2). The flower extract with an IC<sub>50</sub> value of 47.29  $\pm$  1.12 µg/mL (Table 3) had the greatest inhibitory effect, followed by the leaf, stem bark, and root extracts (Table 1). This value was lower compared to the positive control, acarbose, which recorded 72.99  $\pm$  2.77 µg/mL (Table 3).

**Table 1.** The extracts' alpha-glucosidase inhibitory activity assay.

Samples	Inhibition (%)	
	100 μg/mL	200 μg/mL
Root extract	$4.66 \pm 0.39$ *	$8.07 \pm 0.70$ *
Stem bark extract	$3.63 \pm 0.56$ *	$5.98 \pm 0.60$ *
Leaf extract	$6.02\pm1.38$ *	$8.18\pm0.85$ *
Flower extract	$59.34 \pm 1.26$ *	$73.57 \pm 0.83$ *
Acarbose	$42.13\pm0.12$	$66.03 \pm 1.11$

Note: Mean  $\pm$  SD, n = 3. The symbol \* represents a statistically significant difference from the acarbose of each concentration of p < 0.05, analyzed by the independent-samples *t*-test or Mann–Whitney U test.

Based on its activity, the flower's crude methanolic extract was further fractionated successively in different polar and non-polar solvents. The ethyl acetate fraction with an IC<sub>50</sub> value of  $19.24 \pm 0.12 \ \mu\text{g/mL}$  (Table 3) exerted a significant inhibitory effect on alpha-glucosidase. It yielded two flavonoids, with this being followed by *n*-hexane and water (Table 2). Further, the bioactive compounds' structures were analyzed using UV and NMR spectral analysis, as shown in Figure 1.

Table 2. The flower fractions' alpha-glucosidase inhibitory activity assay.

Samples –	Inhibition (in %)	
	100 μg/mL	200 μg/mL
Water fraction	$13.37\pm1.12$ *	$22.95 \pm 0.73$ *
Ethyl acetate fraction	$82.11 \pm 4.20$ *	$87.63 \pm 0.78$ *
<i>n</i> -Hexane fraction	$15.43\pm0.44$ *	$32.59 \pm 0.80$ *
Acarbose	$43.13\pm0.92$	$66.83 \pm 2.11$

Note: Mean  $\pm$  SD, *n* = 3. The symbol \* represents a statistically significant difference from the acarbose of each concentration sample of *p* < 0.05, analyzed by the independent-samples *t*-test or Mann–Whitney U test.



Figure 1. Structures of compounds 1 and 2.

Compound 1 was obtained as a yellow crystal, eluted using *n*-hexane/ethyl acetate/formic acid (4:1:0.05), with this being followed by its visualization in a yellow spot form by spraying it with 10%  $H_2SO_4$  and citroborate on TLC silica gel  $F_{254}$ . This compound showed that UV maxima at 269 and 355 nm supported the presence of the flavonoid skeleton. Compound 1's 1H-NMR spectrum (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) showed a signal at  $\delta$  12.98 (1H, s), which served as evidence for the presence of 5-OH, with the chelated hydroxy group causing this. The singlet peak at  $\delta$  6.67 (1H, s, H-3) was identified as hydrogen at C-3 in the flavones. Furthermore, three aromatic proton signals were detected at δ 7.42 (1H, d, J = 2.35 Hz, H-2'), 6.89 (1H, d, J = 8.25 Hz, H-5'), and 7.40 (1H, dd, J = 2.35, 7.50 Hz, H-6'), respectively. The other aromatic proton signals were detected at  $\delta$  6.19 (1H, d, J = 2.15 Hz, H-6) and 6.44 (1H, d, J = 2.10 Hz, H-8). The presence of  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ -unsaturated ketone was evident from the appearance of carbonyl carbon signals at  $\delta$  181.7 (C-8). Additionally, the 13C-NMR spectrum (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) was observed at  $\delta$ : 93.8 (C-8), 98.8 (C-6), 102.9 (C-3), 103.7 (C-10), 113.4 (C-2'), 116.0 (C-5'), 119.0 (C-6'), 121.5 (C-1'), 145.7 (C-3'), 149.7 (C-4'), 157.3 (C-9), 161.5 (C-5), 163.9 (C-2), and 164.1 (C-7). The presence of 15 carbon resonances was shown by this expression, which strongly agrees with a previous report on 2-(3,4-dihydroxyphenyl)-5,7-dihydroxy-4H-chromen-4-one [(luteolin) (C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>10</sub>O<sub>6</sub>)] [14].

Compound 2 was obtained as a yellow crystal, eluted thrice using *n*-hexane/ethyl acetate/formic acid (35:15:0.5) as an eluent, and visualized as a dark spot under UV at  $\lambda$  366 nm in TLC silica gel F254. The dark spot changed to yellow after it was sprayed with citroborate. These results show that both compounds have a flavonoid structure but do not have a free OH group on C-3. Further, the absorption maxima at 269 and 355 nm confirmed the compound's flavonol nature. The proton NMR spectrum analysis detected three aromatic proton signals at  $\delta$  7.63 (1H, d, J = 2.20 Hz, H-2'), 6.91 (1H, d, J = 8.45 Hz, H-5'), and 7.54 (1H, dd, J = 2.20; 8.50 Hz, H-6'), with these signals being typical of flavonoids with 3',4'-disubstituted B rings. The other aromatic proton signals at  $\delta$  6.20 (1H, d, J = 2.10 Hz, H-6) and 6.40 (1H, d, J = 2.05 Hz, H-8) were apparently due to meta-coupled protons on the flavonoid's A ring, while one methoxy group was evident at  $\delta$  3.78 (3H, s). Other signals in the <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD) were observed at δ 94.7 (C-8), 99.7 (C-6), 105.8 (C-10), 116.4 (C-2'), 116.4 (C-5'), 122.3 (C-6'), 122.9 (C-1'), 139.5 (C-3), 146.5 (C-3'), 150.0 (C-4'), 158.0 (C-9), 158.4 (C-2), 163.1 (C-5), 166.0 (C-7), and 180.0 (C-4). The carbon resonance at δ 60.5 was due to the presence of the methoxy group. These data agree with literature reports on 2-(3,4-dihydroxyphenyl)-5,7-dihydroxy-3-methoxy-4H-chromen-4-one [(3-O-methyl quercetin)  $(C_{16}H_{12}O_7)$ ] [15,16]. They were also confirmed by <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>13</sup>C correlations in heteronuclear single quantum correlation spectroscopy (HSQC) measurement, which showed that three hydrogen atoms were directly attached to the carbon.

Samples	IC <sub>50</sub> (µg/mL)
Methanolic flower extract	$47.29\pm1.12$ *
Ethyl acetate fraction	$19.24\pm0.12$ *
Compound 1	$6.53\pm0.16$ *
Compound 2	$38.95 \pm 1.59$ *
Acarbose	$73.36\pm3.05$

**Table 3.** The IC<sub>50</sub> values of extract, fraction, and isolated compound.

Note: Mean  $\pm$  SD, *n* = 3. The symbol \* represents a statistically significant difference compared with the acarbose, analyzed by the ANOVA test and mean Duncan's multiple range test compared values; \* *p* < 0.05.

The alpha-glucosidase inhibition test of compounds **1** and **2** showed that compound **1** had a significant impact compared to compound **2**, with the compounds recording IC<sub>50</sub> values of  $6.53 \pm 0.16 \,\mu\text{g/mL}$  and  $39.95 \pm 1.59 \,\mu\text{g/mL}$ , respectively (Table 3).

# 2.3. Luteolin Determination

TLC-densitometry was one of the methods used to measure active substance amounts. It was also used to determine the luteolin levels, with silica F254 being used as the stationary phase. Each sample was eluted thrice at the same TLC plate using *n*-hexane/ethyl acetate/formic acid (35:15:0.5). The area under curve (AUC) values were determined by scanning the dried spot with a TLC scanner at a 360 nm wavelength. A linear regression equation (y = 48.534x - 27,148,  $R^2 = 0.99$ ) was derived by plotting the luteolin concentrations against the AUC values. The luteolin concentrations of 600, 700, 800, 900, and 1000 µg/mL produced AUC values of 2466.4, 6030.5, 12,330, 15,643, and 21,927 AU, respectively. These were used to calculate the luteolin levels in the crude drug, methanolic extract, and ethyl acetate fraction. In the triplicate test, the results showed that 20,000 µg/mL of the crude drug flower solution yielded values of 7481.1, 5810.5, and 4015.5, the methanolic flower extract yielded values of 3827.9, 5127.5, and 3793, and the acetate flower fraction yielded values of 4429.1, 7016.2, and 7456.4. Additionally, one gram of the crude drug, methanolic extract, and ethyl acetate fraction was found to contain  $3.4 \pm 0.2$  mg (0.3%),  $32.4 \pm 0.8$  mg (3.2%), and  $68.9 \pm 3.4$  mg (6.9%) of luteolin, respectively.

#### 3. Discussion

The alpha-glucosidase inhibitory activity of various parts of *G. amygdalinum* and its isolated compounds was investigated. A previous in vitro study indicated the leaves' hypoglycemic effect. The MeOH extract of the G. amygdalinum leaf was reported to have significantly inhibited alpha-glucosidase [17]. Despite numerous reports on the secondary metabolite profile of the leaf, no alpha-glucosidase inhibition activity is yet observed in *G. amygdalinum* flower extracts and fractions. The activity of these plant extracts can be attributed to the phytoconstituents present in them. In this study, the methanolic flower extract of G. amygdalinum showed outstanding inhibitory alpha-glucosidase activity. It was further fractionated in different polarity solvents [18]. Among its fractions, the ethyl acetate fraction showed the maximum inhibitory activity. The ethyl acetate fraction is known to be rich in flavonoids. Flavonoids are phenolic substances with many health properties that frequently exhibit inhibitory effects against alpha-glucosidase enzymes [19]. In general, although previous studies reported on luteolin and its pharmacological activity [20–22], more analyses are performed on the leaves than the flower. Furthermore, four compounds that are reported to be isolated from flower extracts are tricosane, vernolide, isorhamnetin, and luteolin [20]. Most of the content in the ethyl acetate fraction is known as luteolin. This flavone is commonly found in Asteraceae [23]. It was found to contribute to the effect of alpha-glucosidase inhibitory activity [13], but to date, there are still no reports on luteolin in G. amygdalinum's inhibitory effect against alpha-glucosidase. A previous report showed that luteolin has a non-competitive inhibition mechanism type [24]. Luteolin can also be found in Vernonia cinera, but its bioactivity is shown to be anti-inflammatory [12]. The OCH<sub>3</sub> group presence in 3-O-methyl quercetin seems to be closely associated with these

effects as well. Other plants that also contain luteolin are *Brassica oleracea*, *Capsicum annum*, *Capscium frustescens*, *Allium fistulosum*, *Averhoa bilimbi*, *Phaseolus vulgaris*, *Daucus carota*, *Raphanus sativus*, *Apium graveolens*, and *Garcinia atroviridus*. The luteolin content in those plants ranged between 9–1035.0 mg/kg; this fact explains why those edible plants have a lot of benefits for health maintenance [25].

#### 4. Materials and Methods

# 4.1. Chemicals

Bovine serum albumin (EC Number 232-936-2, A8806),  $\alpha$ -glucosidase (EC Number 3.2.1.20 Saccharomyces cerevisiae, G5003), and p-nitrophenyl- $\alpha$ -D-glucopyranoside (pNPG, N1377) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA), while acarbose was purchased from TCI Chemicals (Hydrabad, India). A specific spray reagent, citroborate (5 g citric acid, 5 g boric acid, and 100 mL ethanol), and 10% (v/v) H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> in a MeOH solution were used to identify flavonoids and other compounds. Additionally, during extraction and separation, the entire solvents were distilled before use, making them of technical grade.

#### 4.2. Plant Materials

*G. amygdalinum* parts, namely roots, stem barks, leaves, and flowers, were collected on 30 October 2019, from Bandung, located in West Java, Indonesia. The plant specimens were identified and authenticated at the Herbarium Bogoriense of the Indonesian Institute of Sciences Research Center for Biology. The specimen number is B-139/2021.

## 4.3. Phytochemical Screening

The phytochemical screening was performed in methanolic flower extracts using the standard procedure [26].

#### 4.4. Plant Extraction

The powder of *G. amygdalinum* dried roots (600 g), stem barks (600 g), leaves (1.6 kg), and flowers (400 g) were separated and extracted by maceration at room temperature in absolute MeOH (5 L  $\times$  3 times). Each crude extract was evaporated with a rotary evaporator, then filtered and concentrated to yield 52 g, 100 g, 273 g, and 80 g, respectively.

#### 4.5. Inhibitory Assay of Alpha-Glucosidase

Alpha-glucosidase inhibition was assessed using the adopted method with slight modifications [27]. Phosphate buffer solution (36  $\mu$ L), a sample solution with different concentrations (30 mL), and pNPG substrate with 6 mM concentration (17  $\mu$ L) were successively added to 96 microplate wells. The incubation of this mixture was performed at 37 °C for 5 min. In each well, 17  $\mu$ L of alpha-glucosidase solution at 0.2 U/mL was added to obtain a total volume of 100 mL, which was followed by incubation at 37 °C for 15 min. Furthermore, the initial reaction was brought to completion by the addition of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> solution (100  $\mu$ L) at 200 mM. At 400 nm, the entire absorbances were measured in triplicate with a Microplate Reader (Tecan<sup>®</sup>), while the positive control was utilized as acarbose. Percentage inhibition was used to express the inhibitory activity of alpha-glucosidase, while the calculation followed the formula below:

Inhibition percentage (%) =  $(B1-B2)/B1 \times 100\%$ .

B1 = Blank absorbance \* – control of blank absorbance \*\*

B2 = Sample absorbance - control of sample absorbance \*\*\*

\* Blank contains PBS + pNPG + enzyme + Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>

\*\* Control of blank contains PBS + Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, without enzyme

\*\*\* Control of sample contains extract + PBS + Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, without enzyme

The IC50 was calculated using a linear regression equation (y = a + bx) in which the sample concentration was represented on the x-axis and the percentage inhibition was represented on the y-axis.

# 4.6. Isolation and Structure Determination of Active Compounds

The dried flowers of *G. amygdalinum* were extracted through maceration with MeOH (5 L × 3 times). The total extraction (80 g) of MeOH was suspended in 20% MeOH in 200 mL of water and partitioned sequentially with *n*-hexane and ethyl acetate, yielding *n*-Hexane (A 10g), ethyl acetate (B 25 g), and water (C 35 g). The ethyl acetate fraction (24 g) was subjected to silica gel vacuum column chromatography with a gradient of *n*-hexane (100%), *n*-hexane–ethyl acetate (9:1; 8:2; 7:3; 6:4; 5:5; 4:6; 3:7; 2:8; 1:9 for each step), and MeOH (100%) to produce 20 fractions. The compound profiles were analyzed using TLC under UV at  $\lambda$  254 and 366 nm by spraying the compounds with citroborate. Fractions 5, 6, and 7 were combined and isolated with a gradient of *n*-hexane (100%), *n*-hexane–chloroform (9:1; 8:2; 7:3; 6:4; 5:5; 4:6; 3:7; 2:8; 1:9 for each step). Subfraction B40 was separated using silica gel column chromatography with an isocratic of *n*-hexane–ethyl acetate (4:1) to produce compound **1** and compound **2**.

# 4.7. Determination of Luteolin by TLC-Densitometric Method

About 3  $\mu$ L of each calibration sample was spotted on TLC silica gel F<sub>254</sub>. Further, various luteolin concentrations were prepared for a calibration curve. Then, each stock solution of the crude drug (50 g in 50 mL MeOH), methanolic extract (100 mg in 5 mL MeOH), and ethyl acetate fraction (100 mg in 5 mL MeOH) was prepared, and the experiments were performed in triplicate. Furthermore, the TLC-densitometry by Camag TLC Scanner 3<sup>®</sup> was used.

## 4.8. Statistical Analysis

The alpha-glucosidase inhibitory activity data of plant extracts and isolated compounds were presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (S.D.). The data were further analyzed using the independent-samples *t*-test or the Mann–Whitney U test and the oneway analysis of variances (ANOVA) using SPSS version 25.0 software. *p*-values less than 0.05 were indicated as significant.

# 5. Conclusions

The activity assays showed that the *G. amygdalinum* extracts, fractions, and isolated compounds exerted alpha-glucosidase inhibitory activities compared to the positive control, acarbose. The flower extract had a significant inhibitory effect, while the bioactive compounds included luteolin and 3-O-methyl quercetin. This is the first report on the AGI activity and 3-O-methyl quercetin isolation of the *G. amygdalinum* flower.

Author Contributions: Data investigation, writing—original draft preparation, S.V.; project administration and funding acquisition, R.H.; conceptualization and supervision, M.I. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by PPMI-ITB.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank the School of Pharmacy-ITB for its support.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Sample Availability: Samples of the compounds are not available from the authors.

# References

- 1. Liu, S.; Yu, Z.; Zhu, H.; Zhang, W.; Chen, Y. In vitro *α*-glucosidase inhibitory activity of isolated fractions from water extract of Qingzhuan dark tea. *BMC Complement. Altern. Med.* **2016**, *16*, 378. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. Shori, A.B. Screening of antidiabetic and antioxidant activities of medicinal plants. J. Integr. Med. 2015, 13, 297–305. [CrossRef]

- Meena, S.N.; Kumar, U.; Naik, M.M.; Ghadi, S.C.; Tilve, S.G. α-Glucosidase inhibition activity and in silico study of 2-(benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)-4H-chromen-4-one, a synthetic derivative of flavone. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2019, 27, 2340–2344. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kadouh, H.C.; Sun, S.; Zhu, W.; Zhou, K. α-Glucosidase inhibiting activity and bioactive compounds of six red wine grape pomace extracts. J. Funct. Foods 2016, 26, 577–584. [CrossRef]
- Hu, X.J.; Wang, X.B.; Kong, L.Y. α-Glucosidase inhibitors via green pathway: Biotransformation for bicoumarins catalyzed by Momordica charantia peroxidase. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2013, 61, 1501–1508. [CrossRef]
- Alongi, M.; Anese, M. Effect of coffee roasting on in vitro *α*-glucosidase activity: Inhibition and mechanism of action. *Food Res. Int.* 2018, *111*, 480–487.
- Kumar, S.; Narwal, S.; Kumar, V.; Prakash, O. α-glucosidase inhibitors from plants: A natural approach to treat diabetes. *Pharm. Rev.* 2011, 5, 19–29. [CrossRef]
- Swamy, J.; Prabhakar, G.; Rasingam, L.; Kamalakar, P. Gymnanthemum amygdalinum (Asteraceae)—A New Addition to the Flora of Peninsular India. Int. J. Adv. Res. Sci. Technol. 2015, 4, 449–451.
- Kaur, D.; Kaur, N.; Chopra, A. A comprehensive review on phytochemistry and pharmacological activities of *Vernonia amygdalina*. J. Pharm. Phytochem. 2019, 8, 2629–2636.
- 10. Alara, O.R.; Abdurahman, N.H.; Abdul, M.S.K.; Olalere, O.A. Phytochemical and pharmacological properties of *Vernonia amygdalina*: A review. J. Chem. Eng. Ind. Biotechnol. **2017**, 2, 80–96. [CrossRef]
- 11. Ijeh, I.I.; Ejike, C.E. Current perspectives on the medicinal potentials of Vernonia amygdalina Del. J. Med. Plants. Res. 2011, 5, 1051–1061.
- Toyang, N.J.; Verpoorte, R. A review of the medicinal potentials of plants of the genus Vernonia (Asteraceae). J. Ethnopharmacol. 2013, 146, 681–723. [CrossRef]
- Yan, J.; Zhang, G.; Pan, J.; Wang, Y. α-Glucosidase by Luteolin: Kinetics, interaction and molecular docking. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 2014, 64, 213–223. [CrossRef]
- 14. Cuong, D.T.D.; Dat, H.T.; Duan, N.T.; Thuong, P.D. Isolation and characterization of six flavonoids from the leaves of *Sterculia foetida* Linn. *Vietnam J. Chem.* **2019**, *57*, 438–442. [CrossRef]
- Schwingel, L.C.; Schwingel, G.O.; Storch, N.; Barreto, F.; Bassani, V.L. 3-O-Methylquercetin from organic *Nicotiana tabacum* L. trichomes: Influence of the variety, cultivation and extraction parameters. *Ind. Crops Prod.* 2014, 55, 56–62. [CrossRef]
- Kumar, A.D.N.; Bevara, G.B.; Kaja, L.K.; Badana, A.K.; Malla, R.R. Protective effect of 3-O-methyl quercetin and kaempferol from Semecarpus anacardium against H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> induced cytotoxicity in lung and liver cells. BMC Complement. Altern. Med. 2016, 16, 376. [CrossRef]
- 17. Anh, H.L.T.; Vinh, L.B.; Lien, L.T.; Cuong, P.V.; Arai, M.; Ha, T.P. In vitro study on *α*-amylase inhibitory and *α*-glucosidase of a new stigmastane-type steroid saponin from the leaves of *Vernonia amygdalina*. *Nat. Prod. Res.* **2021**, *35*, 873–879. [CrossRef]
- Khan, S.; Khan, T.; Shah, A.J. Total phenolic and flavonoid contents and antihypertensive effect of the crude extract and fractions of *Calamintha vulgaris*. *Phytomedicine* 2018, 47, 174–183. [CrossRef]
- Proença, C.; Freitas, M.; Ribeiro, D.; Oliveira, E.F.T.; Sousa, J.L.C.; Tomé, S.M. α-Glucosidase inhibition by flavonoids: An in vitro and in silico structure-activity relationship study. *J. Enzym. Inhib. Med. Chem.* 2017, *32*, 1216–1228. [CrossRef]
- Hlila, M.B.; Majouli, K.; Jannet, H.B.; Mastouri, M.; Aouni, M.B.S. Antioxidant and anti α-glucosidase of luteolin and luteolin 7-O-glucoside isolated from *Scabiosa arenaria* Forssk. *J. Coast Life Med.* **2017**, *5*, 317–320. [CrossRef]
- Habtamu, A.; Melaku, Y. Antibacterial and antioxidant compounds from the flower extracts of *Vernonia amygdalina*. *Adv. Pharmacol. Sci.* 2018, 2018, 4083736. [CrossRef]
- 22. Erukainure, O.L.; Chukwuma, C.I.; Sanni, O.; Matsabisa, M.G.; Islam, M.S. Histochemistry, phenolic content, antioxidant, and anti-diabetic activities of *Vernonia amygdalina* leaf extract. *J. Food Biochem.* **2019**, *43*, e12737. [CrossRef]
- 23. Panda, S.K.; Luyten, W. Antiparasitic activity in asteraceae with special attention to ethnobotanical use by the tribes of Odisha India. *Parasite* **2018**, *25*, 10. [CrossRef]
- 24. Djeujo, F.M.; Raggazi, E.; Urettini, M.; Sauro, B.; Chicero, E.; Tonelli, M.; Froldi, G. Magnolol and luteolin inhibition of αglucosidase activity: Kinetics and type of interaction detected by in vitro and in silico studies. *Pharmaceuticals* **2022**, *15*, 205.
- Miean, K.H.; Mohamed, S. Flavonoid (myrisetin, quersetin, kaempferol, luteolin and apigenin) content of edible tropical plants. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2001, 49, 3106–3112. [CrossRef]
- Harborne, J.B. Phytochemical methods. In *Applied Phytochemistry Method*; Kosasih, P., Soediro, I., Eds.; ITB Press: Bandung, Indonesia, 1987.
- Triadisti, N.; Sauriasari, R.; Elya, B. Fractionation and α-glucosidase Inhibitory Activity of Fractions from *Garcinia hombroniana* Pierre Leaves Extracts. *Pharm. J.* 2017, 9, 488–492. [CrossRef]