



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Schizophrenia Research

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/schres

Letter to the Editor

The DOSMD challenge and the COVID-19 conundrum



Dear Editor,

The recent article published in your journal on schizophrenia research during the novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic (Cowan, 2020) not only made a convincing and commendable case for continuing such research, but discussed the possibility of a biological link between human coronavirus infections and schizophrenia. In this connection, I wish to make some relevant supplementary observations.

The World Health Organization's international studies of schizophrenia – the International Pilot Study of Schizophrenia (IPSS) and the Determinants of Outcome of Severe Mental Disorders (DOSMeD) both found that the outcome of schizophrenia was significantly better in developing countries, particularly in terms of remission rates and periods of unimpaired functioning (Jablensky et al., 1992). Though these findings have been criticized on the basis of divergent results from low- and middle-income countries (Cohen et al., 2008), significant evidence remains for differential outcomes in developed and developing countries even when the follow-up period is extended (Jablensky and Sartorius, 2008). Possible explanations for this finding are likely to be complex, and may include genetic variation, cultural factors, gene-environment interactions and even methodological concerns; however, none of these has been conclusively proved (Edgerton and Cohen, 1994; Jablensky and Sartorius, 2008). Finding an explanation for this difference in outcomes, sometimes referred to as the “DOSMD challenge” (Edgerton and Cohen, 1994), remains an important unsolved problem in psychiatry.

Today, in the face of the COVID-19 pandemic, a similar paradoxical finding has emerged: patient outcomes, particularly in terms of case fatality, appear to be more favourable in some developing countries (Al-Tawfiq et al., 2020). Some of this variation may be more apparent than real, due to variations in the availability of testing facilities or personnel for contact tracing, or the presence of infected but asymptomatic individuals (Rajgor et al., 2020). However, even if these factors are taken into account, significant variability still exists, with higher fatality rates noted in certain developed countries (Khafaie and Rahim, 2020). Though social customs and political factors have been proposed as an explanation for variations in prevalence, they cannot explain the wide variability in mortality (Al-Tawfiq et al., 2020).

The link between these two puzzling questions may be closer than is immediately apparent. Historically, infectious disease burden has been an important driver of human culture-gene co-evolution. Higher pathogen prevalence exerts an evolutionary pressure that favours more collectivistic cultural practices (Fincher et al., 2008), at least some of which may be mediated through specific genetic polymorphisms (Chiao and Blizinsky, 2010; Way and Lieberman, 2010). Such practices, which are more commonly seen in developing countries, may have a positive influence on outcome in schizophrenia (Weisman, 1997) as

well as in minimizing the spread of infectious disease (Fincher et al., 2008; Al-Tawfiq et al., 2020).

More relevantly for the question at hand, pathogen prevalence has also been associated with population-level variations in genes regulating immune function, such as the interleukin-6 (IL-6) gene, and these variations have also been correlated with indices of cognition and economic development (Napolioni and MacMurray, 2016). IL-6 has been associated with a variety of negative outcomes in schizophrenia, including more severe positive and negative symptoms, greater cognitive impairment, and a poorer response to treatment (Montazmanesh et al., 2019). IL-6 and its receptor also play a key role in the inflammatory cascade, sometimes referred to as a “cytokine storm”, that has been associated with more severe lung disease as well as multiple organ dysfunction and death in patients with COVID-19 (Hirano and Murakami, 2020). This provides at least a preliminary and plausible explanation of how genetic variations in immune function, driven by pathogen pressure in different countries, could influence adverse outcomes both in schizophrenia and in COVID-19. Similar mechanisms could be involved in the link between coronavirus infection and schizophrenia itself. One candidate that could be investigated in this context is the rs1800795 (–174 G/C) polymorphism of the *IL6* gene. The G allele of this variant, more frequent in developing countries, is associated with an enhanced host immune response to pathogens (Napolioni and MacMurray, 2016), while the C allele, which is somewhat more frequent in developed countries, has been associated with celiac disease – an autoimmune disorder linked to schizophrenia (Dema et al., 2009; Kalaydjian et al., 2006). Similarly, the association between population frequencies of genetic variants that have been associated with social sensitivity and therefore with collectivist social norms – such as the serotonin transporter polymorphism 5-HTTLPR, the mu opioid receptor polymorphism OPRM1 A118G, and the MAOA-uVNTR variant of the monoamine oxidase A gene (Way and Lieberman, 2010) and the outcome of schizophrenia across nations and cultures could be examined. The latter approach would test the hypothesis of genetic variants positively influencing the outcome of schizophrenia via their effects on social and cultural practices which evolved primarily as a defence against infection.

In conclusion – and returning to the question posed by Cowan in his paper – not only is schizophrenia research relevant during the COVID-19 pandemic, but studying the complex links between pathogen prevalence, population genetics, culture and immune function may shed light not only on schizophrenia but on a variety of immune-related outcomes and diseases (Stringer et al., 2014), including some that may be of direct relevance to COVID-19 itself. The DOSMD challenge and the COVID-19 conundrum may, in fact, share at least some common biological explanations.

Contributors

Dr. Ravi Philip Rajkumar developed the concept of this study, extracted the data from the relevant sources, analyzed it and wrote the manuscript.

Funding sources

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Declaration of competing interest

The author reports no current or potential conflict of interest concerning the research presented in this paper.

Acknowledgements

The author wishes to thank all researchers and journal publishers who have made papers related to COVID-19 easily accessible.

References

- Al-Tawfiq, J.A., et al., 2020. Prevalence and fatality rates of COVID-19: what are the reasons for the wide variations worldwide? *Travel Med. Infect. Dis.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tmaid.2020.101711> Apr 29, 101711.
- Chiao, J.Y., Blizinsky, K.D., 2010. Culture-gene co-evolution of individualism-collectivism and the serotonin transporter gene. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 277, 529–537. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2009.1650>.
- Cohen, A., et al., 2008. Questioning an axiom: better prognosis for schizophrenia in the developing world? *Schizophr. Bull.* 34, 229–244. <https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbm105>.
- Cowan, H.R., 2020. Is schizophrenia research relevant during the COVID-19 pandemic? *Schizophr. Res.* S0920-9964 (20), 30209–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2020.04.002> Apr 2.
- Dema, B., et al., 2009. The IL6-174G/C polymorphism is associated with celiac disease susceptibility in girls. *Hum. Immunol.* 70, 191–194. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.humimm.2009.01.010>.
- Edgerton, R.B., Cohen, A., 1994. Culture and schizophrenia: the DOSMD challenge. *Br. J. Psychiatry* 164, 222–231.
- Fincher, C.L., et al., 2008. Pathogen prevalence predicts human cross-cultural variability in individualism/collectivism. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 275, 1279–1285. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2008.0094>.
- Hirano, T., Murakami, M., 2020. COVID-19: A New Virus, but a Familiar Receptor and Cytokine Release Syndrome.
- Jablensky, A., Sartorius, N., 2008. What did the WHO studies really find? *Schizophr. Bull.* 34, 253–255. <https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbm151>.
- Jablensky, A., et al., 1992. Schizophrenia: manifestations, incidence and course in different cultures. A World Health Organization ten-country study. *Psychol. Med. Monogr. Suppl.* 20, 1–97.
- Kalaydjian, A.E., et al., 2006. The gluten connection: the association between schizophrenia and celiac disease. *Acta Psychiatr. Scand.* 113, 82–90. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0447.2005.00687.x>.
- Khafaie, M.A., Rahim, F., 2020. Cross-country comparison of case fatality rates of COVID-19/SARS-COV-2. *Osong Public Health Res. Perspect.* 11, 74–80. <https://doi.org/10.24171/j.phrp.2020.11.2.03>.
- Momtazmanesh, S., Zare-Shahabadi, A., Rezaei, N., 2019. Cytokine alterations in schizophrenia: an updated review. *Front. Psychiatry.* 10, 892. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2019.00892>.
- Napolioni, V., MacMurray, J., 2016. Infectious diseases, IL6 -174G-C polymorphism, and human development. *Brain Behav. Immun.* 51, 196–203. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2015.08.016>.
- Rajgor, D.D., et al., 2020. The many estimates of the COVID-19 case fatality rate. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* Mar 27. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(20\)30244-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30244-9).
- Stringer, S., et al., 2014. Genetic liability for schizophrenia predicts risk of immune disorders. *Schizophr. Res.* 159, 347–352. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2014.09.004>.
- Way, B.M., Lieberman, M.D., 2010. Is there a genetic contribution to cultural differences? Collectivism, individualism and genetic markers of social sensitivity. *Soc. Cogn. Affect. Neurosci.* 5, 203–211. <https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nsq059>.
- Weisman, A.G., 1997. Understanding cross-cultural prognostic variability for schizophrenia. *Cult. Divers. Ment. Health* 3, 23–35.

Ravi Philip Rajkumar

Department of Psychiatry, Jawaharlal Institute of Postgraduate Medical Education and Research (JIPMER), Pondicherry, India

*Department of Psychiatry, Jawaharlal Institute of Postgraduate Medical Education and Research (JIPMER), Dhanvantari Nagar Post, Pondicherry 605 006, India.

E-mail address: ravi.psych@gmail.com.

20 May 2020