DOI: 10.1111/acel.12756

SHORT TAKE

The mitochondrial unfolded protein response is activated upon hematopoietic stem cell exit from quiescence

Mary Mohrin† | Andrew Widjaja† | Yufei Liu | Hanzhi Luo | Danica Chen

Program in Metabolic Biology, Nutritional Sciences & Toxicology, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA

Correspondence

Danica Chen, Program in Metabolic Biology, Nutritional Sciences & Toxicology, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA. Email: danicac@berkeley.edu

Current address: Mary Mohrin, Calico Life Sciences, South San Francisco, California, USA.

Funding information

NIH, Grant/Award Number: R01 AG040990, R01DK101885, T32AG000266, T32GM098218; National Institute of Food and Agriculture; PackerWentz Endowment; Chau Hoi Shuen Foundation; Siebel Stem Cell Institute; Glenn/AFAR Scholarship

Summary

The mitochondrial unfolded protein response (UPR^{mt}), a cellular protective program that ensures proteostasis in the mitochondria, has recently emerged as a regulatory mechanism for adult stem cell maintenance that is conserved across tissues. Despite the emerging genetic evidence implicating the UPR mt in stem cell maintenance, the</sup> underlying molecular mechanism is unknown. While it has been speculated that the UPR m ^t is activated upon stem cell transition from quiescence to proliferation, the direct evidence is lacking. In this study, we devised three experimental approaches that enable us to monitor quiescent and proliferating hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) and provided the direct evidence that the UPR^{mt} is activated upon HSC transition from quiescence to proliferation, and more broadly, mitochondrial integrity is actively monitored at the restriction point to ensure metabolic fitness before stem cells are committed to proliferation.

KEYWORDS

aging, hematopoietic stem cells, mitochondria, mitochondrial unfolded protein response, SIRT7, stem cell quiescence, stem cells

1 | INTRODUCTION

Adult stem cells persist throughout the entire lifespan of an organism to repair tissue damage and maintain tissue homeostasis. Among their evolved adaptations are elaborate cellular protective programs that ensure stem cell integrity, tissue homeostasis, and organismal survival (Biteau, Hochmuth & Jasper, 2008; Brown et al., 2013; Ito et al., 2004; Rando, 2006; Renault et al., 2009; Rossi, Jamieson & Weissman, 2008; Rossi et al., 2007; Sahin & Depinho, 2010; Sperka, Wang & Rudolph, 2012; Walter et al., 2015). The mitochondrial unfolded protein response (UPR^{mt}), a cellular protective program that ensures proteostasis in the mitochondria, has recently emerged as a regulatory mechanism for adult stem cell maintenance that is conserved across tissues (Berger et al., 2016; Mohrin et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2016). This protective program is dysregulated during

physiological aging, contributing to the functional deterioration of stem cells, tissue degeneration, and shortened organismal lifespan (Mohrin et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2016). In addition to the UPR mt , deregulation of compensatory mitochondrial protective programs such as mitophagy and mitochondrial dynamics leads to compromised stem cells, further underscoring the importance of mitochondrial integrity in stem cell maintenance (Ho et al., 2017; Ito et al., 2016; Luchsinger, de Almeida, Corrigan, Mumau & Snoeck, 2016; Vannini et al., 2016).

Despite the emerging genetic evidence implicating the UPR^{mt} in stem cell maintenance, the underlying molecular mechanism is unknown. The UPR m ^t is a nascent cellular pathway that is activated when cells experience mitochondrial protein folding stress and retrograde signaling from the mitochondria to the nucleus triggers transcriptional activation of nuclear-encoded mitochondrial chaperones and proteases as well as repression of translation to reestablish

† Equal contribution.

--- --------------------------------------- This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2018 The Authors. Aging Cell published by the Anatomical Society and John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

2065 \blacksquare $\$

proteostasis (Haynes, Fiorese & Lin, 2013; Haynes & Ron, 2010; Mohrin et al., 2015; Munch & Harper, 2016; Zhao et al., 2002). Primarily characterized in C. elegans, the UPR m ^t is activated during a developmental stage when there is a burst of mitochondrial biogenesis (Houtkooper et al., 2013; Lin et al., 2016; Merkwirth et al., 2016; Nargund, Pellegrino, Fiorese, Baker & Haynes, 2012; Pellegrino et al., 2014; Tian et al., 2016). It is therefore speculated that in stem cells, the UPR m ^t is activated under a physiological condition when mitochondrial biogenesis is induced. Adult stem cells frequently exit the cell cycle and are predominantly found in the quiescent (G0) state, where the number of mitochondria is low and glycolysis is the primary metabolic pathway to support energy production (Folmes, Dzeja, Nelson & Terzic, 2012; Takubo et al., 2013; Warr & Passegue, 2013; Yu et al., 2013). As stem cells transit from quiescence to proliferation, mitochondrial biogenesis is induced to enable metabolic reprogramming from glycolysis to oxidative phosphorylation to meet increasing energy demands. Because a major event during the transition from quiescence to proliferation is mitochondrial biogenesis, it raises the possibility that the UPR m ^t is activated during this transition. However, the direct evidence is lacking. In this study, we devised three experimental approaches that enable us to monitor quiescent and proliferating stem cells and directly test this hypothesis.

We tested this hypothesis in hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs), immunophenotypically defined as Lin⁻c-Kit⁺Sca1⁺CD150⁺CD48⁻. About 90% of HSCs reside in a quiescent state under homeostatic conditions (Pietras, Warr & Passegue, 2011). We isolated HSCs from mouse bone marrow and stimulated them to exit quiescence ex vivo upon culture with cytokines. We first confirmed that HSCs stimulated with cytokines were actively proliferating (Figure 1a) and that mitochondrial mass was increased in HSCs upon proliferation (Figure 1b). Compared to freshly isolated quiescent HSCs, proliferating HSCs stimulated with cytokines exhibited increased expression of mitochondrial chaperones and proteases at the transcriptional level (Figure 1c). Because mitochondrial biogenesis upon HSC transition from quiescence to proliferation is regulated at the translational level mediated by mTOR (Chen et al., 2008; Gan et al., 2010; Gurumurthy et al., 2010; Morita et al., 2013; Nakada, Saunders & Morrison, 2010), increased expression of mitochondrial chaperones and proteases at the transcriptional level reflects de novo activation of the UPRmt.

We further validated these results by stimulating HSCs to exit quiescence in vivo upon transplantation. Compared to HSCs isolated from untransplanted mice, donor HSCs isolated from transplanted recipient mice 2 weeks post-transplant were actively proliferating (Figure 2a), had increased mitochondrial mass (Figure 2b,c), and increased expression of mitochondrial chaperones and proteases at the transcriptional level (Figure 2d), indicative of activation of the UPRmt.

An alternative approach to model HSC proliferation in vivo is to treat mice with polyinosinic:polycytidylic acid (pIpC), a synthetic double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) mimetic that stimulates the multiple immune signaling pathways that are activated during a viral infection (Walter et al., 2015). Compared to HSCs isolated from untreated mice, HSCs isolated from mice 24 hr after the pIpC treatment showed increased proliferation (Figure 2e) and mitochondrial mass (Figure 2f,g), and induction of the expression of oxidative phosphorylation genes (Figure 2h) and mitochondrial chaperones and proteases (Figure 2i). In contrast, the expression of glycolysis genes (Figure 2h) and ER stress response genes (Figure 2i) was unchanged. These data are consistent with the notion that proliferative HSCs have increased mitochondrial number, experience a metabolic switch from glycolysis to oxidative phosphorylation, and induce the UPR^{mt} to maintain the mitochondrial homeostasis.

Collectively, these results provide direct evidence that the UPR^{mt} is activated upon HSC transition from quiescence to proliferation (Figures 1 and 2), and more broadly, mitochondrial integrity is actively monitored at the restriction point to ensure metabolic fitness before stem cells are committed to proliferation. Stem cell quiescence is a protective mechanism that prevents cell death and the

FIGURE 1 The UPR^{mt} is activated upon HSC transition from quiescence to proliferation ex vivo. (a) Cell cycle analysis of HSCs using Ki-67 staining showing increased proliferation in HSCs stimulated by ex vivo culture with cytokines compared to quiescent HSCs freshly isolated from mouse bone marrow. (b) MitoTracker Green staining showing increased mitochondrial mass in HSCs stimulated to proliferate via ex vivo culture with cytokines compared to quiescent HSCs freshly isolated from mouse bone marrow. (c) qPCR showing increased transcription of mitochondrial chaperones and proteases in HSCs stimulated to proliferate via ex vivo culture with cytokines compared to quiescent HSCs freshly isolated from mouse bone marrow. $n = 3$

FIGURE 2 The UPR^{mt} is activated upon HSC transition from quiescence to proliferation in vivo. (a) Cell cycle analysis of HSCs using Ki-67 staining showing increased proliferation in HSCs stimulated by in vivo transplantation (2 week post-transplantation) compared to quiescent HSCs freshly isolated from mouse bone marrow. (b, c) MitoTracker Green staining (b) and quantification of the mitochondrial to nuclear DNA ratio (c) showing increased mitochondrial mass in HSCs stimulated to proliferate via in vivo transplantation compared to quiescent HSCs freshly isolated from mouse bone marrow. $n = 3$. (d) qPCR showing increased transcription of mitochondrial chaperones and proteases in HSCs stimulated to proliferate via in vivo transplantation compared to quiescent HSCs freshly isolated from mouse bone marrow. $n = 3$. (e) Cell cycle analysis of HSCs using Ki-67 staining showing increased proliferation in HSCs stimulated by pIpC treatment (24 hr after treatment) compared to quiescent HSCs isolated from untreated mouse bone marrow. (f, g) MitoTracker Green staining (f) and quantification of the mitochondrial to nuclear DNA ratio (g) showing increased mitochondrial mass in HSCs stimulated to proliferate via pIpC compared to quiescent HSCs isolated from untreated mouse bone marrow. $n = 3$. (h, i) qPCR showing increased transcription of oxidative phosphorylation genes and mitochondrial chaperones and proteases, but not glycolysis genes and ER stress response genes in HSCs stimulated to proliferate via pIpC treatment compared to quiescent HSCs isolated from untreated mouse bone marrow. $n = 3$

depletion of the stem cell pool (Cheung & Rando, 2013). Consistent with the activation of the UPR m ^t at the transition from quiescence to proliferation, dysregulation of the UPR^{mt} results in stem cell death, a reduced stem cell pool, and compromised stem cell selfrenewal (Berger et al., 2016; Mohrin et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2016). Among the induction of the UPR^{mt} is increased expression of SIRT7 (Figure 2i), which alleviates mitochondrial protein folding stress by repressing NRF1 activity and mitochondrial translation, reduces mitochondrial activity and proliferation, and gives cells more time to recover from stress (Mohrin et al., 2015). Failure to do so leads to HSC death. With aging, SIRT7 becomes inactivated, resulting in increased mitochondrial protein folding stress and functional

decline (Mohrin et al., 2015). Identifying molecular regulators of the UPR^{mt} in stem cells opens the door for novel therapeutic opportunities for improving stem cell maintenance, enhancing tissue regeneration, and extending lifespan and healthspan.

2 | EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

2.1 | Mice

C57BL/6 mice were housed on a 12:12 hr light:dark cycle at 25°C. All animal procedures were in accordance with the animal care committee at the University of California, Berkeley.

ADDRES ADDRES ADDRESS ADDRESS ADDRESS CONTRIGERAL

2.2 | Flow cytometry and cell sorting

Bone marrow cells were obtained by crushing the long bones with sterile PBS without calcium and magnesium supplemented with 2% FBS. Lineage staining contained a cocktail of biotinylated antimouse antibodies to Mac-1 (CD11b), Gr-1 (Ly-6G/C), Ter119 (Ly-76), CD3, CD4, CD8a (Ly-2), and B220 (CD45R) (BioLegend). For detection or sorting, we used streptavidin conjugated to APC-Cy7, c-Kit-APC, Sca-1-Pacific blue, CD48-FITC, and CD150-PE (BioLegend). For congenic strain discrimination, anti-CD45.1 PerCP and anti-CD45.2 PE-Cy7 antibodies (BioLegend) were used. For assessment of cell cycle analysis, Ki-67 (BioLegend) staining was performed according to the manufacturer's recommendation after cell surface staining. The gates were drawn based on the fluorescence minus one (FMO) control. For mitochondrial mass, bone marrow cells were incubated with 100 nM MitoTracker Green (Invitrogen) for 30 min at 37°C in the dark after cell surface staining. All data were collected on a Fortessa (Becton Dickinson), and data analysis was performed with FlowJo (TreeStar). For cell sorting, lineage depletion or c-kit enrichment was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions (Miltenyi Biotec). Cells were sorted using a Cytopeia INFLUX Sorter (Becton Dickinson). Antibody details are provided in Table S1.

To stimulate HSCs to exit quiescence, freshly isolated HSCs were cultured ex vivo in IMDM (Invitrogen) supplemented with 5% stem cell FBS (Stem Cell Technologies), 1% penicillin/streptomycin, sodium pyruvate, NEAA, L-glutamine (Invitrogen), and cytokines (IL-3 (10 ng/ml), GMCSF (10 ng/ml), SCF (25 ng/ml), IL-11 (25 ng/ml), Flt3L (25 ng/ml), TPO (25 ng/ml) (PeproTech), and EPO (4 U/ml) (R&D)) for 48 hr. Alternatively, to stimulate HSCs to exit quiescence in vivo, 1×10^6 bone marrow cells were transplanted into lethally irradiated recipient mice. Two weeks post-transplantation, donor HSCs were isolated via sorting. To induce in vivo cycling of HSCs, mice were injected intraperitoneally (i.p.) with 5 mg/kg polyinosinic:polycytidylic acid (Sigma) 24 hr prior to analysis.

2.3 | mRNA analysis

RNA was isolated from cells using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen). cDNA was generated using qScript™ cDNA SuperMix (Quanta Biosciences). Gene expression was determined by real-time PCR using Eva qPCR SuperMix Kit (BioChain Institute) on an ABI StepOnePlus system. All data were normalized to β -actin expression. PCR primer details are provided in Table S2.

2.4 | mtDNA/nDNA

The mitochondrial DNA/nuclear DNA (mtDNA/nDNA) ratio was determined by isolating DNA from cells with TRIzol (Invitrogen), as described previously (Lai et al., 2008). The ratio of mtDNA/nDNA was calculated as previously described (Venegas et al., 2011).

2.5 | Statistical analysis

The number of mice chosen for each experiment is based on the minimum number of mice necessary to have sufficient statistical power and is comparable to published literature for the same assays performed. Mice were randomized to groups, and analysis of mice and tissue samples was performed by investigators blinded to the treatment of the animals. Statistical analysis was performed with Excel (Microsoft). Means between two groups were compared with Student's t test. Error bars represent standard errors. In all corresponding figures, * represents $p < .05$, ** represents $p < .01$, *** represents $p < .001$, and ns represents $p > .05$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank H. Nolla, A. Valeros, and K. Heydari for cell sorting. This research was supported by NIH R01 AG040990 (D.C.), R01DK101885 (D.C.), National Institute of Food and Agriculture (D.C.), PackerWentz Endowment (D.C.), Chau Hoi Shuen Foundation (Chen), Siebel Stem Cell Institute (M.M., D.C.), NIH T32AG000266 (M.M.), NIH T32GM098218 (A.W.), and Glenn/AFAR Scholarship $(H.L.).$

REFERENCES

- Berger, E., Rath, E., Yuan, D., Waldschmitt, N., Khaloian, S., Allgauer, M., ... Haller, D. (2016). Mitochondrial function controls intestinal epithelial stemness and proliferation. Nature Communications, 7, 13171.
- Biteau, B., Hochmuth, C. E., & Jasper, H. (2008). JNK activity in somatic stem cells causes loss of tissue homeostasis in the aging Drosophila gut. Cell Stem Cell, 3, 442–455.
- Brown, K., Xie, S., Qiu, X., Mohrin, M., Shin, J., Liu, Y., ... Chen, D. (2013). SIRT3 reverses aging-associated degeneration. Cell Reports, 3, 319–327.
- Chen, C., Liu, Y., Liu, R., Ikenoue, T., Guan, K. L., Liu, Y., & Zheng, P. (2008). TSC-mTOR maintains quiescence and function of hematopoietic stem cells by repressing mitochondrial biogenesis and reactive oxygen species. Journal of Experimental Medicine, 205, 2397–2408.
- Cheung, T. H., & Rando, T. A. (2013). Molecular regulation of stem cell quiescence. Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology, 14, 329–340.
- Folmes, C. D., Dzeja, P. P., Nelson, T. J., & Terzic, A. (2012). Metabolic plasticity in stem cell homeostasis and differentiation. Cell Stem Cell, 11, 596–606.
- Gan, B., Hu, J., Jiang, S., Liu, Y., Sahin, E., Zhuang, L., ... Depinho, R. A. (2010). Lkb1 regulates quiescence and metabolic homeostasis of haematopoietic stem cells. Nature, 468, 701–704.
- Gurumurthy, S., Xie, S. Z., Alagesan, B., Kim, J., Yusuf, R. Z., Saez, B., ... Bardeesy, N. (2010). The Lkb1 metabolic sensor maintains haematopoietic stem cell survival. Nature, 468, 659–663.
- Haynes, C. M., Fiorese, C. J., & Lin, Y. F. (2013). Evaluating and responding to mitochondrial dysfunction: The mitochondrial unfolded-protein response and beyond. Trends in Cell Biology, 23, 311–318.
- Haynes, C. M., & Ron, D. (2010). The mitochondrial UPR protecting organelle protein homeostasis. Journal of Cell Science, 123, 3849– 3855.
- Ho, T. T., Warr, M. R., Adelman, E. R., Lansinger, O. M., Flach, J., Verovskaya, E. V., ... Passegue, E. (2017). Autophagy maintains the metabolism and function of young and old stem cells. Nature, 543, 205–210.
- Houtkooper, R. H., Mouchiroud, L., Ryu, D., Moullan, N., Katsyuba, E., Knott, G., ... Auwerx, J. (2013). Mitonuclear protein imbalance as a conserved longevity mechanism. Nature, 497, 451–457.
- Ito, K., Hirao, A., Arai, F., Matsuoka, S., Takubo, K., Hamaguchi, I., ... Suda, T. (2004). Regulation of oxidative stress by ATM is required for self-renewal of haematopoietic stem cells. Nature, 431, 997–1002.
- Ito, K., Turcotte, R., Cui, J., Zimmerman, S. E., Pinho, S., Mizoguchi, T., ... Ito, K. (2016). Self-renewal of a purified Tie2 $+$ hematopoietic stem cell population relies on mitochondrial clearance. Science, 354, 1156– 1160.
- Lai, L., Leone, T. C., Zechner, C., Schaeffer, P. J., Kelly, S. M., Flanagan, D. P., Medeiros, D. M., Kovacs, A., & Kelly, D. P. (2008). Transcriptional coactivators PGC-1 α and PGC-I β control overlapping programs required for perinatal maturation of the heart. Genes Dev., 22, 1948– 1961.<https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1661708 pmid:18628400>
- Lin, Y. F., Schulz, A. M., Pellegrino, M. W., Lu, Y., Shaham, S., & Haynes, C. M. (2016). Maintenance and propagation of a deleterious mitochondrial genome by the mitochondrial unfolded protein response. Nature, 533, 416–419.
- Luchsinger, L. L., de Almeida, M. J., Corrigan, D. J., Mumau, M., & Snoeck, H. W. (2016). Mitofusin 2 maintains haematopoietic stem cells with extensive lymphoid potential. Nature, 529, 528–531.
- Merkwirth, C., Jovaisaite, V., Durieux, J., Matilainen, O., Jordan, S. D., Quiros, P. M., ... Dillin, A. (2016). Two conserved histone demethylases regulate mitochondrial stress-induced longevity. Cell, 165, 1209–1223.
- Mohrin, M., Shin, J., Liu, Y., Brown, K., Luo, H., Xi, Y., ... Chen, D. (2015). Stem cell aging. A mitochondrial UPR-mediated metabolic checkpoint regulates hematopoietic stem cell aging. Science, 347, 1374–1377.
- Morita, M., Gravel, S. P., Chenard, V., Sikstrom, K., Zheng, L., Alain, T., ... Sonenberg, N. (2013). mTORC1 controls mitochondrial activity and biogenesis through 4E-BP-dependent translational regulation. Cell Metabolism, 18, 698–711.
- Munch, C., & Harper, J. W. (2016). Mitochondrial unfolded protein response controls matrix pre-RNA processing and translation. Nature, 534, 710–713.
- Nakada, D., Saunders, T. L., & Morrison, S. J. (2010). Lkb1 regulates cell cycle and energy metabolism in haematopoietic stem cells. Nature, 468, 653–658.
- Nargund, A. M., Pellegrino, M. W., Fiorese, C. J., Baker, B. M., & Haynes, C. M. (2012). Mitochondrial import efficiency of ATFS-1 regulates mitochondrial UPR activation. Science, 337, 587–590.
- Pellegrino, M. W., Nargund, A. M., Kirienko, N. V., Gillis, R., Fiorese, C. J., & Haynes, C. M. (2014). Mitochondrial UPR-regulated innate immunity provides resistance to pathogen infection. Nature, 516, 414–417.
- Pietras, E. M., Warr, M. R., & Passegue, E. (2011). Cell cycle regulation in hematopoietic stem cells. The Journal of Cell Biology, 195, 709–720.
- Rando, T. A. (2006). Stem cells, ageing and the quest for immortality. Nature, 441, 1080–1086.
- Renault, V. M., Rafalski, V. A., Morgan, A. A., Salih, D. A., Brett, J. O., Webb, A. E., ... Brunet, A. (2009). FoxO3 regulates neural stem cell homeostasis. Cell Stem Cell, 5, 527–539.
- Rossi, D. J., Bryder, D., Seita, J., Nussenzweig, A., Hoeijmakers, J., & Weissman, I. L. (2007). Deficiencies in DNA damage repair limit the function of haematopoietic stem cells with age. Nature, 447, 725– 729.
- Rossi, D. J., Jamieson, C. H., & Weissman, I. L. (2008). Stems cells and the pathways to aging and cancer. Cell, 132, 681–696.
- Sahin, E., & Depinho, R. A. (2010). Linking functional decline of telomeres, mitochondria and stem cells during ageing. Nature, 464, 520– 528.
- Sperka, T., Wang, J., & Rudolph, K. L. (2012). DNA damage checkpoints in stem cells, ageing and cancer. Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology, 13, 579–590.
- Takubo, K., Nagamatsu, G., Kobayashi, C. I., Nakamura-Ishizu, A., Kobayashi, H., Ikeda, E., ... Suda, T. (2013). Regulation of glycolysis by Pdk functions as a metabolic checkpoint for cell cycle quiescence in hematopoietic stem cells. Cell Stem Cell, 12, 49–61.
- Tian, Y., Garcia, G., Bian, Q., Steffen, K. K., Joe, L., Wolff, S., ... Dillin, A. (2016). Mitochondrial stress induces chromatin reorganization to promote longevity and UPR(mt). Cell, 165, 1197–1208.
- Vannini, N., Girotra, M., Naveiras, O., Nikitin, G., Campos, V., Giger, S., ... Lutolf, M. P. (2016). Specification of haematopoietic stem cell fate via modulation of mitochondrial activity. Nature Communications, 7, 13125.
- Venegas, V., Wang, J., Dimmock, D., & Wong, L. J. (2011). Real-time quantitative PCR analysis of mitochondrial DNA content. Curr. Protoc. Hum. Genet., Chapter 19, Unit 19.7. [https://doi.org/10.1002/04711](https://doi.org/10.1002/0471142905.hg1907s68) [42905.hg1907s68](https://doi.org/10.1002/0471142905.hg1907s68)
- Walter, D., Lier, A., Geiselhart, A., Thalheimer, F. B., Huntscha, S., Sobotta, M. C., ... Milsom, M. D. (2015). Exit from dormancy provokes DNA-damage-induced attrition in haematopoietic stem cells. Nature, 520, 549–552.
- Warr, M. R., & Passegue, E. (2013). Metabolic makeover for HSCs. Cell Stem Cell, 12, 1–3.
- Yu, W. M., Liu, X., Shen, J., Jovanovic, O., Pohl, E. E., Gerson, S. L., ... Qu, C. K. (2013). Metabolic regulation by the mitochondrial phosphatase PTPMT1 is required for hematopoietic stem cell differentiation. Cell Stem Cell, 12, 62–74.
- Zhang, H., Ryu, D., Wu, Y., Gariani, K., Wang, X., Luan, P., ... Auwerx, J. (2016). NAD(+) repletion improves mitochondrial and stem cell function and enhances life span in mice. Science, 352, 1436–1443.
- Zhao, Q., Wang, J., Levichkin, I. V., Stasinopoulos, S., Ryan, M. T., & Hoogenraad, N. J. (2002). A mitochondrial specific stress response in mammalian cells. The EMBO Journal, 21, 4411–4419.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the supporting information tab for this article.

How to cite this article: Mohrin M, Widjaja A, Liu Y, Luo H, Chen D. The mitochondrial unfolded protein response is activated upon hematopoietic stem cell exit from quiescence. Aging Cell. 2018;17:e12756. [https://doi.org/10.1111/](https://doi.org/10.1111/acel.12756) [acel.12756](https://doi.org/10.1111/acel.12756)