Research Article

Molecular Identification of Aminoglycoside-Modifying Enzymes and Plasmid-Mediated Quinolone Resistance Genes among *Klebsiella pneumoniae* Clinical Isolates Recovered from Egyptian Patients

Mohamed F. El-Badawy,^{1,2} Wael M. Tawakol,¹ Shaymaa W. El-Far,³ Ibrahim A. Maghrabi,⁴ Saleh A. Al-Ghamdi,² Moselhy S. Mansy,⁵ Mohammed S. Ashour,⁵ and Mohamed M. Shohayeb^{2,6}

¹Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Misr University for Science and Technology, Cairo, Egypt

²Department of Pharmaceutical Microbiology, College of Pharmacy, Taif University, Taif, Saudi Arabia

³General Administration of Pharmaceutical Affairs, Ministry of Health, Alexandria, Egypt

⁴Department of Clinical Pharmacy, College of Pharmacy, Taif University, Taif, Saudi Arabia

⁵Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Al-Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt

⁶Department of Pharmaceutical Microbiology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Tanta University, Tanta, Egypt

Correspondence should be addressed to Mohamed F. El-Badawy; d_fouadab@yahoo.com

Received 20 January 2017; Revised 6 April 2017; Accepted 20 April 2017; Published 30 May 2017

Academic Editor: Karl Drlica

Copyright © 2017 Mohamed F. El-Badawy et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Inappropriate use of antibiotics in clinical settings is thought to have led to the global emergence and spread of multidrug-resistant pathogens. The goal of this study was to investigate the prevalence of genes encoding aminoglycoside resistance and plasmidmediated quinolone resistance among clinical isolates of Klebsiella pneumoniae. All K. pneumoniae isolates were phenotypically identified using API 20E and then confirmed genotypically through amplification of the specific K. pneumoniae phoE gene. All isolates were genotyped by the enterobacterial repetitive intergenic consensus polymerase chain reaction technique (ERIC-PCR). Antibiotic susceptibility testing was done by a modified Kirby-Bauer method and broth microdilution. All resistant or intermediateresistant isolates to either gentamicin or amikacin were screened for 7 different genes encoding aminoglycoside-modifying enzymes (AMEs). In addition, all resistant or intermediate-resistant isolates to either ciprofloxacin or levofloxacin were screened for 5 genes encoding the quinolone resistance protein (Qnr), 1 gene encoding quinolone-modifying enzyme, and 3 genes encoding quinolone efflux pumps. Biotyping using API 20E revealed 13 different biotypes. Genotyping demonstrated that all isolates were related to 2 main phylogenetic groups. Susceptibility testing revealed that carbapenems and tigecycline were the most effective agents. Investigation of genes encoding AMEs revealed that acc(6')-Ib was the most prevalent, followed by acc(3')-II, aph(3')-IV, and ant(3")-I. Examination of genes encoding Qnr proteins demonstrated that qnrB was the most prevalent, followed by qnrS, qnrD, and qnrC. It was found that 61%, 26%, and 12% of quinolone-resistant K. pneumoniae isolates harbored acc(6')-Ib-cr, oqxAB, and qebA, respectively. The current study demonstrated a high prevalence of aminoglycoside and quinolone resistance genes among clinical isolates of K. pneumoniae.

1. Introduction

Few studies have been performed in Egypt concerning the coexistence of genes encoding aminoglycoside-modifying enzymes (AMEs) and plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance (PMQR) among isolates of *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. The

present study investigated the prevalence and coexistence of seven genes encoding AMEs and nine genes encoding PMQR. Also, clonal relatedness between *K. pneumoniae* isolates was determined by the enterobacterial repetitive intergenic consensus polymerase chain reaction technique (ERIC-PCR). We found a high prevalence and coexistence of genes encoding quinolone and aminoglycosides resistance that were heterogenous and mostly clonally unrelated.

The most common mechanism of aminoglycoside resistance arises from enzymatic modification rendering aminoglycosides unable to bind with the aminoacyl site of 16S rRNA with a subsequent failure to inhibit protein synthesis [1]. Modification of aminoglycosides is mediated by AMEs, which catalyze the modification at -OH or -NH₂ groups of the 2-deoxystreptamine nucleus or of the sugar moieties of aminoglycoside molecules [2, 3], resulting in reduced or abolished binding of the aminoglycoside molecule to the ribosome. AMEs can be divided into three families: (i) aminoglycoside N-acetyltransferases (AACs), (ii) aminoglycoside O-phosphotransferases (APHs), and (iii) aminoglycoside O-nucleotidyltransferases (ANTs) [4]. Many of the AMEs result in clinically relevant resistance, but only APHs produce high-level resistance [2].

Regarding the quinolones, there are four known mechanisms of resistance that work separately or in combination, resulting in varying degrees of resistance that range from reduced susceptibility to clinically relevant resistance. These mechanisms may be chromosomal or plasmid-mediated [5]. The term "resistance" in the setting of PMQR refers to any increase in minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) rather than to an increase above a susceptibility breakpoint [6]. Three mechanisms are responsible for PMQR: (i) target alteration by Qnr, (ii) drug modification by the aminoglycoside acetyltransferase AAC(6')-Ib-cr, which can reduce ciprofloxacin activity, and (iii) efflux pump activation by two quinolone efflux pumps, which are known as OqxAB and QepA [6, 7].

Qnr proteins protect DNA gyrase and topoisomerase IV from the inhibitory activity of quinolones [8]. Currently, there are six different qnr genes: qnrA, qnrB, qnrC, qnrD, qnrS, and the most recently reported, qnrVC [6]. The sequences of qnr genes generally differ from each other and from qnrA by 35% [9]. Enzymatic inactivation of quinolones arises from aminoglycoside acetyltransferase [AAC (6')-Ib-cr], which is a bifunctional variant of a common AAC(6')-Ib. AAC(6')-Ib-cr acetylates fluoroquinolones, such as ciprofloxacin and norfloxacin, that have an amino nitrogen on the C7 of piperazinyl ring [10]. Finally, we consider PMQR attributed to efflux pumps that specifically extrude quinolones from bacterial cells. Plasmid-mediated quinolone efflux involves two types of pumps, the quinolone efflux pump (QepA) and the olaquindox (OqxAB) efflux pump. QepA belongs to the major facilitator (MFS) family that decreases susceptibility to hydrophilic fluoroquinolones, especially ciprofloxacin and norfloxacin [7]. The gepA gene is often located on plasmids that encode aminoglycoside ribosomal methylase (rmtB) [6]. The OqxAB pump belongs to the resistance-nodulationdivision (RND) family. The OqxAB pump was first detected on a conjugative plasmid (pOLA52) that was harbored by Escherichia coli strains isolated from swine manure [7, 11, 12]. The QqxAB efflux pump has wide substrate specificity that includes chloramphenicol, trimethoprim, and quinolones (ciprofloxacin, norfloxacin, and nalidixic acid [13]). oqxAB genes are located on plasmids in clinical isolates of E. coli and on both chromosomes and plasmids of Salmonella spp. and

K. pneumoniae. We found that *oqxAB* genes are commonly located on the chromosome of *K. pneumoniae* [6, 14].

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Bacterial Strains. A total of 114 nonduplicate clinical isolates of *K. pneumoniae* were selected from 301 randomly collected isolates of Gram-negative bacilli. The isolates of *K. pneumoniae* were collected from 84 cases (39 females and 45 males, age between 2 months and 85 years) who were admitted to or attended medical departments at Ain Shams University Hospital, Cairo, Egypt, over a period of one year (May 2012 to April 2013). Isolates of *K. pneumoniae* were recovered from ascitic fluid (n = 5), pus (n = 2), blood (n = 8), throat swab (n = 4), endotracheal tube (n = 1), sputum (n = 25), urinary catheter (n = 1), urine (n = 22), wound (n = 38), cerebrospinal fluid (n = 1), and nasal swab (n = 1).

2.2. Isolation and Identification of K. pneumoniae Isolates. All isolates of K. pneumoniae were initially isolated on Mac-Conkey's agar (Oxoid, UK) and then subcultured on eosin methylene blue (EMB) agar (Scharlau, Spain). The isolated strains were identified phenotypically using API 20E (Biomerieux, France) and then confirmed genotypically through amplification of the specific *phoE* gene using primers and cycling conditions listed in Table 1.

2.3. Genotyping of Clinical Isolates. Clonal relatedness between clinical isolates of *K. pneumoniae* was determined by ERIC-PCR. The primer was obtained from Macrogen (Korea, Geumcheon-gu, Seoul). Gene amplification was carried out according to cycling conditions as described in Table 1 using Mastercycler® personal (Eppendorf, California, USA).

2.4. Fingerprint Pattern Analysis. The banding pattern generated by ERIC-PCR was analyzed using BioNumerics 7.5 software (Applied Maths, Kortrijk, Belgium). The PCR fingerprint profile was analyzed using Dice (similarity) coefficient. Cluster analysis was performed based on the unweighted pair group method with arithmetic averages (UPGMA) at position tolerance at 0.15, as previously described [15].

2.5. Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. All K. pneumoniae isolates were tested for susceptibility to 23 different antibiotics of several classes. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing was by Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion using Mueller-Hinton agar (MHA) (Oxoid, UK) [16]. Broth microdilution [17] was performed using cation-modified Mueller-Hinton broth (Oxoid, UK) to determine the MIC for the tested antibiotics by the Kirby-Bauer disc-diffusion method. Results were interpreted according to guidelines of the Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) [18]. Both *E. coli* ATCC 25922 and *K. pneumoniae* ATCC 700603 were used as quality-control strains.

2.6. Genotypic Detection of Genes Encoding Aminoglycoside and Quinolone Resistance. All K. pneumoniae isolates that International Journal of Microbiology

TABLE 1: Primer sets and PCR c	cycling conditions	used for genotyping	and amplification of s	genes encoding AMES.
	/ //	() ()		, ,

Primer	Sequence	Gene	Reference	Amplification conditions	Amplicon size (bp)
aac(3')-II	F: ATATCGCGATGCATACGCGG R: GACGGCCTCTAACCGGAAGG	aac(3')-II	[20]	<i>Initial denaturation</i> at 95°C for 15 min, then <i>30 cycles of</i> 95°C for 1 min, 55°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 5 minutes, and <i>one cycle of final elongation</i> at 72°C.	877
aac(6')-Ib	F: TTGCGATGCTCTATGAGTGGCTA R: CTCGAATGCCTGGCGTGTTT	aac(6')-Ib	[20]	<i>Initial denaturation</i> at 95°C for 15 min, then 30 cycles of 95°C for 1 min, 55°C	472
aac(6')-II	F: CGACCATTTCATGTCC R: GAAGGCTTGTCGTGTTT	aac(6')-II	[20]	for 1 min, and 72°C for 5 minutes, and <i>one cycle</i> of <i>final elongation</i> at 72°C.	542
ant(3")-I	F: CATCATGAGGGAAGCGGTG R: GACTACCTTGGTGATCTCG	ant(3")-I	[20]	<i>Initial denaturation</i> at 95°C for 15 min, then 30 cycles of 95°C for 1 min, 55°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 5 minutes, and one cycle of final elongation at 72°C.	787
aph(3')-VI	F: ATGGAATTGCCCAATATTATT R: TCAATTCAATTCATCAAGTTT	aph(3')-VI	[20]	<i>Initial denaturation</i> at 95°C for 15 min, then <i>30 cycles of</i> 95°C for 1 min, 55°C	780
armA	F: CCGAAATGACAGTTCCTATC R: GAAAATGAGTGCCTTGGAGG	armA	[20]	for 1 min, and 72°C for 5 minutes, and <i>one cycle</i> of <i>final elongation</i> at 72°C.	846
rmtB	F: ATGAACATCAACGATGCCCTC R: CCTTCTGATTGGCTTATCCA	rmtB	[20]	<i>Initial denaturation</i> at 95°C for 15 min, then <i>30 cycles of</i> 95°C for 1 min, 60°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 5 minutes, and <i>one cycle of final elongation</i> at 72°C.	769
phoE	F: TGGCCCGCGCCCAGGGTTCGAAA R: GATGTCGTCATCGTTGATGCCGAG	phoE	[21]	<i>Initial denaturation</i> at 95°C for 15 min, then <i>35 cycles of</i> 95°C for 1 min, 40°C	368
ERIC-1R	R: AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC	—	[22]	for 1 min, and 72°C for 5 minutes, and <i>one cycle</i> of <i>final elongation</i> at 72°C.	_

acc: aminoglycoside acetyl transferase, ant: aminoglycoside nucleotidyl transferase, aph: aminoglycoside phosphor transferase, arm: aminoglycoside ribosomal methylase, and rmt: ribosomal methylase, phoE; phosphoporin E, ERIC, enterobacterial repetitive intergenic consensus.

were resistant to amikacin and/or gentamicin were screened for 7 genes encoding AMEs, namely, aac(3)-II, aac(6')-Ib, aac(6')-II, ant(3'')-I, aph(3')-VI, armA, and rmtB, using primers and cycling conditions listed in Table 1.

2.7. Genotypic Detection of Genes Encoding Quinolone Resistance. All K. pneumoniae isolates that were resistant to ciprofloxacin and/or levofloxacin were screened for 5 quinolone resistance proteins (qnrA, qnrB, qnrC, qnrD, and qnrS) and one quinolone-modifying enzyme, acc(6')-Ib-cr. Also, 3 genes (oqxA, oqxB, and qebA) encoding quinolone efflux pump proteins were screened using primers and cycling conditions listed in Table 2.

2.8. Preparation of DNA Templates. DNA was extracted as previously described by Englen and Kelley [19]. Briefly, three to six colonies of bacterial isolates (depending on colony size) were picked from a nutrient agar plate and suspended in $100 \,\mu$ l of DNase-free water in a sterile 1.5 ml microfuge tube to obtain a bacterial suspension equivalent to $1-2 \times 10^9$ CFU/ml. The bacterial suspension was placed in a boiling water bath for 10 min to lyse the bacterial cells. The lysed bacterial suspension was centrifuged at maximum speed (13,000 ×g) for 3 min. The supernatant, which contains total genomic DNA, was transferred to a new sterile tube using DNase-free tips. DNA was stored in -20° C.

2.9. PCR Setup. The PCR reaction was performed at a final reaction volume of 20 μ l. The reaction mixture contained 4 μ l

of extracted DNA, $4 \mu l$ of 5x master mix (HOT FIREPol[®] Blend Master Mix, Solis BioDyne, Tartu, Estonia), 0.6 μl of forward primer (10 pmol/ μl), 0.6 μl of reverse primer (10 pmol/ μl), and 10.8 μl distilled water.

3. Result

3.1. Isolation and Identification. From 301 recovered isolates, 114 (37%) were *K. pneumoniae*, 3 (1%) were *Klebsiella oxytoca*, 61 (20%) were *E. coli*, 48 (16%) were *Proteus* spp., 38 (13%) were *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, 12 (4%) were *Acinetobacter baumannii*, 9 (3%) were *Serratia marcescens*, 6 (2%) were *Enterobacter cloacae*, and 3 (1%) were single isolates for each of *Providencia stuartii*, *Burkholderia cepacia*, and *Aeromonas hydrophilia*.

3.2. Phenotypic and Genotypic Identification of K. pneumoniae Isolates. Biotyping of *K. pneumoniae* clinical isolates using API 20E revealed 13 different biotypes. The most prevalent were 5215773 and 5205773, which occurred at a prevalence of 56% (64/114) and 29% (34/114), respectively. Other detected biotypes were 5005573, 5215573, and 5205573, which occurred at a prevalence of 3.5% (4/114), 1.8% (2/114), and 1.8% (2/114), respectively. The lowest detected biotypes were 1205773, 1215773, 5004573, 5204773, 5204553, 5215763, 5217773, and 5214773, which each occurred at a prevalence of 0.88% (1/114).

Genotypic confirmation of phenotypically identified isolates through amplification of the *K. pneumoniae phoE* gene revealed that these isolates were related to *K. pneumoniae*.

Prime	Sequence	Gene	Reference	Amplification conditions	Amplicon size (bp)
QnrA	F: AGAGGATTTCTCACGCCAGG R: TGCCAGGCACAGATCTTGAC	qnrA	[23]	<i>Initial denaturation</i> at 95°C for 15 min.	580
QnrB	F: GGMATHGAAATTCGCCACTG R: TTTGCYGYYCGCCAGTCGAA	qnrB	[23]	then <i>30 cycles of</i> 95°C for 1 min, 55°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 5 minutes, and	264
QnrC	F: GGGTTGTACATTTATTGAATCG R: CACCTACCCATTTATTTTCA	qnrC	[24]	one cycle of final elongation at 72°C.	307
QnrD	F: CGAGATCAATTTACGGGGAATA R: AACAAGCTGAAGCGCCTG	qnrD	[24]	<i>Initial denaturation</i> at 95°C for 15 min,	533
QnrS	F: GCAAGTTCATTGAACAGGGT R: TCTAAACCGTCGAGTTCGGCG	qnrS	[23]	then <i>30 cycles of</i> 95°C for 1 min, 56°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 5 minutes, and	428
ACC (6')-Ib-cr	F: TTGCGATGCTCTATGAGTGGCTA R: CTCGAATGC-CTGGCGTGTTT	acc (6')-Ib-cr	[25]	one cycle of final elongation at 72°C.	482
OqxA	F: CTCGGCGCGATGATGCT R: CCACTCTTCACGGGAGACGA	oqxA	[26]	<i>Initial denaturation</i> at 95°C for 15 min, then 30 cycles of 95°C for 1 min, 60°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 5 minutes, and one cycle of final elongation at 72°C.	392
OqxB	F: TTCTCCCCCGGCGGGAAGTAC R: CTCGGCCATTTTGGCGCGTA	oqxB	[26]	<i>Initial denaturation</i> at 95°C for 15 min, then 35 cycles of 95°C for 1 min, 58°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 5 minutes, and <i>one cycle of final elongation</i> at 72°C.	512
Qep	F: AACTGCTTGAGCCCGTAGAT R: GTCTACGCCATGGACCTCAC	qepA	[27]	<i>Initial denaturation</i> at 95°C for 15 min, then <i>30 cycles of</i> 95°C for 1 min, 55°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 5 minutes, and <i>one cycle</i> of <i>final elongation</i> at 72°C.	596

TABLE 2: Primer sets and PCR cycling conditions used for amplification of genes encoding quinolone resistance.

qnr: quinolone resistance protein, acc(6')-Ib-cr: aminoglycoside acetyl transferase-ciprofloxacin variant, Oqx: olaquindox, and Qep: quinolone efflux pump.

ERIC-PCR-based DNA fingerprinting identified only 95.6% (109/114) of the *K. pneumoniae* isolates, as 5 isolates gave no band following agarose gel electrophoresis. The 109 genotyped *K. pneumoniae* isolates displayed 85 different fingerprint patterns, as shown in Figure 1.

3.3. Fingerprint Pattern Analysis. A UPGMA dendrogram generated according to Dice (similarity) coefficient revealed that the 85 fingerprint profiles were related to 67 different profiles, including 67 isolates with 18 different combined profiles that included 42 isolates. All genotyped *K. pneumoniae* were classified into 2 major phylogenetic groups (group A and group B), as shown in Figure 2. Phylogenetic group A included 4 isolates (K184, K109, K162, and K161). Two isolates (K161 and K162) within phylogenetic group A had the same fingerprint pattern. Phylogenetic group B contained the remaining 105 isolates.

3.4. Correlation between Phenotyping by API 20E and Genotyping by ERIC-PCR. No relationship was found between the phenotypes detected by API 20E and the genotypes detected by ERIC-PCR, as identical clones, such as KL169 and KL174, showed different biotypes (5005773 and 5215773). Other identical genotypes also revealed different biotypes, as shown in Figure 2.

3.5. Antimicrobial Susceptibility. Antimicrobial susceptibility tests revealed that carbapenems (imipenem and meropenem) were more effective than 3rd- and 4th-generation cephalosporins for which 75% (86/114) and 75% (85/114) of

the isolates were susceptible to imipenem and meropenem, respectively, as shown in Table 3. Regarding non- β -lactam antibiotics, tigecycline showed the lowest resistance, as 97% (111/114) of isolates were susceptible. As regarding quinolones and aminoglycosides, we found that 60% (68/114), 26% (30/114), 47% (54/114), and 43% (49/114) of isolates were resistant to gentamicin, amikacin, ciprofloxacin, and levofloxacin, respectively.

Apart from imipenem and meropenem, the MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀ values for other tested β -lactams ranged between 32 to 512 μ g/ml and 256 to >1024 μ g/ml, respectively. On the other hand, the MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀ for gentamicin and amikacin ranged from ≤ 0.5 to 8 μ g/ml and >1024 μ g/ml, respectively, while MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀ of ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin ranged from ≤ 0.5 to 1 μ g/ml and 64 to 128 μ g/ml, respectively.

3.6. Detection of Genes Encoding AMEs. Genotypic results for AMEs among the aminoglycoside-resistant isolates, as shown in Figure 3, revealed that acetyltransferases were the most prevalent type of AME. The acc(6')-Ib and acc(3')-II genes were detected among 88% (58/66) and 58% (38/66) of the investigated isolates (Table 4). In contrast, the acc(6')-II variant was not detected.

The second most common types of AME were phosphotransferases, followed by nucleotidyltransferases in which aph(3')-IV and ant(3')-I were detected among 50% (33/66) and 44% (29/66) of isolates, respectively. The lowest detected types of AMEs were ribosomal methylases in which armA



FIGURE 1: Representative DNA fingerprint pattern of K. pneumoniae clinical isolates genotyped by ERIC-PCR.



FIGURE 2: Generated UPGMA dendrogram based on Dice similarity coefficient for clustering of *K. pneumoniae* isolates using ERIC-PCR as DNA fingerprinting method. KL: *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, PGA: phylogenetic group A, and PGB: phylogenetic group B.

was detected among 14% (9/66) of tested isolates. A *rmtB* variant was not detected.

3.7. Detection of Genes Encoding Qnr Proteins. Screening of quinolone-resistant isolates for genes encoding Qnr proteins (Figure 4) revealed that *qnrB* was most prevalent (74% (42/57) tested positive). Other detected genes were *qnrS* and *qnrD*, which occurred at a prevalence of 49% (28/57) and 40% (23/57), respectively (Table 4). The gene encoding Qnr protein detected least often was *qnrC*: only one isolate tested positive; *qnrA* was not detected.

3.8. Detection of Genes Encoding Quinolone Efflux Pumps. The current study revealed that genes encoding *qebA*, *oqxA*, and *oqxB* efflux pumps were detected at a prevalence of 12% (7/57), 88% (50/58), and 30% (17/57), respectively, among the quinolone-resistant isolates. Only 26% (15/57) of the isolates harbored both *oqxA* and *oqxB*.

3.9. Detection of Gene Encoding Quinolone-Modifying Enzyme. Screening for a quinolone-modifying enzyme among the quinolone-resistant isolates revealed that 61% (35/57) of the tested isolates harbored acc(6')-Ib-cr.

3.10. Correlation between MIC for Quinolones and Aminoglycosides and Genetic Determinants of Resistance. K. pneumoniae isolates that showed elevated MIC (16 to 256 μ g/ml) for ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin mainly harbored *qnrB* and acc(6')-*Ib*-*cr*. Isolates that showed high MIC values (64 to \geq 1024 μ g/ml) for gentamicin and amikacin harbored *aph*(3')-*VI* and *ant*(3")-*I*.

4. Discussion

Aminoglycoside-modifying enzymes are the most important determinants of aminoglycoside resistance among *K*. *pneumoniae* isolates [28]. The current study revealed that

	K. pneumon	<i>iae</i> susceptibility pattern ((n = 114)	MIC ₅₀ an	d MIC ₉₀
Antibiotic	Sensitive Number (%)	Intermediate Number (%)	Resistant Number (%)	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀
Cefotaxime	15 (13)	1 (1)	98 (86)	256	>1024
Ceftazidime	26 (23)	14 (12)	74 (65)	32	>1024
Cefoperazone	17 (15)	3 (2)	94 (82)	512	>1024
Cefoperazone/sulbactam	51 (45)	12 (10)	51 (45)	32	1024
Ceftriaxone	18 (16)	_	96 (84)	256	>1024
Cefepime	21 (18)	24 (21)	69 (61)	32	256
Imipenem	86 (75)	5 (4)	23 (20)	≤0.5	4
Meropenem	85 (75)	2 (2)	27 (24)	≤0.5	16
Tetracycline	32 (28)	4 (3)	78 (68)	128	512
Tigecycline	111 (97)	_	3 (3.0)	≤0.5	≤0.5
Gentamicin	46 (40)	12 (11)	56 (49)	8	>1024
Amikacin	84 (74)	1 (1)	29 (25)	≤0.5	>1024
Thiamphenicol	7 (6.0)	2 (2)	105 (92)	1024	>1024
Ciprofloxacin	60 (53)	3 (2)	51 (45)	1	128
Levofloxacin	65 (57)	5 (4)	44 (39)	≤0.5	64

TABLE 3: Antibiotic susceptibility of K. pneumoniae clinical isolates.



FIGURE 3: Representative PCR products of detected genes encoding AMEs. Lanes: (1) ant (3'')-*I*; (L) 100 bp ladder; (2) armA; (3) acc(6')-*Ib*; (4) aph(3')-*VI*; (5) acc(3-)-*II*.

88% (58/66) and 58% (38/66) of aminoglycoside-resistant *K. pneumoniae* isolates tested positive for acc(6')-*Ib* and acc(3')-*IJ*, respectively. Similar high prevalence rates for acc(6')-*Ib* and acc(3')-*II* among *K. pneumoniae* isolates were reported by Lotfollahi et al. (74% (63/85) and 73% (62/85) for acc(6')*Ib* and acc(3')-*II*, resp., [29]). But lower rates have also been reported (20% (32/162) and 30% (49/162) for acc(6')-*Ib* and acc(3')-*II*, resp., [30]). The present study also found that 44% (29/66) of aminoglycoside-resistant *K. pneumoniae* isolates tested positive for ant(3'')-*I*, which is three times that seen previously (14% (22/162) [30]). For aph(3')-*IV* we found that 50% (33/66) of the aminoglycoside-resistant *K. pneumoniae* isolates harbored this gene, which was similar to the findings of Almaghrabi et al. and Gad et al. (56% (28/50) and 50% (4/8), resp., [28, 31]).

The Qnr proteins are considered as one of the three reported mechanisms of PMQR. The *qnr* genes encode proteins that protect DNA gyrase and topoisomerase IV from inhibition by quinolones and have recently been found worldwide [32]. The current study examined the prevalence of Qnr proteins among *K. pneumoniae* isolates that showed full or intermediate resistance to quinolones. The *qnrA* gene was not detected, which was consistent with Yang et al. [32] but differed from a Portuguese study (19% (4/21) of MDR *K. pneumoniae* isolates [33] and another Egyptian report (12% (14/121) of ESBL-producing *K. pneumoniae* [34]). Regarding *qnrB*, we found that 74% (42/57) of the isolates tested positive, which was slightly more than the 50% (11/22) seen in a Korean study [32]. In contrast, a relatively low prevalence rate was reported by Tunisian study (13% (21/165) [35]). We found

s.
ate
sol
ae i
nii
ш
пән
i Pi
X
ant
ista
res
de
osi
yc
ogl
in
am
pt
ar
ne
old
nin
ĥ,
io a
file
pro
ic
net
gei
pu
n a
eri
att
еŗ
anc
istá
kes.
Ξ
E 4
ABI
Ţ

Isolate number	Quinolone and aminoglycoside resistance profile	Quinolone resistance genetic profile	Number of quinolone resistance genes	Aminoglycoside resistance genetic profile	Number of aminoglycoside resistance genes	Total number of detected genes
KLP2	CN, AK, CIP	anrB, anrD, oaxA, oaxB	4	ant(3'')-I, $aac(6')$ -Ib	2	6
KLP3	CN, CP, LEV	anrB, anrD, oaxA	3	aac(3)-II, $aac(6')$ -Ib	2	Ŀ
KLP5	CN	NA	NA	aac(3)-II, $aac(6')$ -Ib	2	2
KLP6	CN	NA	NA	aac(3)-II, $aac(6')$ -Ib	2	5
KLP13	CN, AK, CIP, LEV	qnrB, oqxA, oqxB	Э		I	3
KLP14	CN, AK, CIP, LEV	qnrB	1	aph(3')-VI, ant(3'')-I	2	3
KLP15	CN, AK, CIP	qnrB, oqxA, acc(6')-Ib-cr	3	ant(3'')-I, $aac(3)$ -II, $aac(6')$ -Ib, abh(3')-VI arm A	Ŋ	8
KLP19	CN, AK, CIP, LEV	anrB, oaxA, oaxB	ŝ	april 7- 1; units ant(3'')-I, aac(6')-Ib	2	IJ
KLP20	CN, AK, CIP, LEV	anrB, oaxA	2	aac(3)-II, $aac(6')$ -Ib	2	4
KLP23	CN, AK, CIP, LEV	qnrB, qnrD, qnrS, oqxA	4	aac(3)-II, aac(6')-Ib, aph(3')-VI	3	7
KLP27	CN, CIP, LEV	gurB, gurĎ, ogxÅ	ŝ	aac(3)-II, $aac(6')$ -Ib	2	5
KLP28	CN, CIP, LEV	qurB, oqxA	2	aac(6')-Ib, $aph(3')$ -VI, $armA$	3	5
KLP29	CN, AK, CIP	gnrB, ogxA	2	ant(3")-I, aac(3)-II, aac(6')-Ib, aph(3')-VI	4	9
KLP30	CN, AK, CIP, LEV	qnrB, qnrS, oqxA, oqxB, acc(6')-Ib-cr	Ŋ	ant(3")-I, aac(3)-II, aac(6')-Ib, aph(3')-VI	4	6
KLP33	CN, CIP, LEV	qnrB, qnrS, oqxA, acc(6')-Ib-cr	4	ant(3'')-I, aac(3)-II, aac(6')-Ib, aph(3')-VI	4	8
KLP34	CN	oqxA	1	aac(3)-II, aac(6')-Ib, aph(3')-VI	3	4
KLP35	CN, CIP	$acc(6^{i})$ -Ib-cr	1	aac(6')-Ib, aac(6')-Ib, aph(3')-VI	3	4
KLP36	CN, AK, CIP, LEV	oqxA	1	aac(3)-II, $aac(6')$ -Ib	2	3
KLP42	CN	NA	NA	aac(3)-II, $aac(6')$ -Ib	2	2
KLP43	CN	NA	NA	aac(3)-II, aac(6')-Ib, aph(3')-VI	3	3
KLP50	CN, LEV	qnrS, oqxA, acc(6')-Ib-cr	ю	ant(3'')-I, aac(3)-II, aac(6')-Ib	3	9
KLP58A	CIP, LEV	qnrB, qnrS, oqxA, acc(6')-Ib-cr	4	NA	NA	4
KLP58B	CN, CIP, LEV	qnrB, qnrS, oqxA, acc(6')-Ib-cr	4	ant(3'')-I, aac(3)-II, aac(6')-Ib, aph(3')-VI	4	8
KLP63	CN, AK, CIP, LEV	qnrB, oqxA, acc(6')-Ib-cr	б	ant(3'')-I, aac(3)-II, aac(6')-Ib, aph(3')-VI	4	7
KLP65	CN	NA	NA	aac(6')-Ib	1	1
KLP69	CN, AK, CIP, LEV	qnrB, qnrD, oqxA, oqxB, acc(6')-Ib-cr	Ŋ	I	I	IJ
KLP72	CN, AK, CIP, LEV	qnrB, qnrD, qnrS, oqxA, acc(6')-Ib-cr	Ŋ	ant(3'')-I, aac(6')-Ib, aph(3')-VI, armA	4	6
KLP73	CN	NA	NA	aac(6')- Ib	1	1
KLP78	CN, CIP, LEV	qnrB, qnrD, oqxA	б	aac(3)-II, $aac(6')$ -Ib	2	IJ
KLP80	CN, AK, CIP, LEV	qnrD, qnrS, oqxA, acc(6')-Ib-cr	4	ant(3'')-I, aac(6')-Ib, aph(3')-VI, armA	4	8
KLP81	CN, CIP	qnrB, oqxA, acc(6')-Ib-cr	б	aac(3)-II, aac(6')-Ib, aph(3')-VI	3	9
KLP88	CN	NA	NA	aac(3)-II, aac(6')-Ib, aph(3')-VI	3	3
680.TX	CN AK	NA	NA	ant(3'')-I, $aac(3)$ -II, $aac(6')$ -Ib,	4	4
					4	4
KLP93	CN	NA	NA	ant(3")-1, aac(3)-11, aac(6')-1b, aph(3')-VI	4	4
KLP96	CN, AK, CIP, LEV	qnrB, qnrD, qnrS, qebA, oqxA, oqxB, acc(6 ['])-Ib-cr	7	ant(3'')-I, aac(3)-II, aac(6')-Ib, aph(3')-VI, armA	ſŨ	12

				1 1			
mining/prodite quark criterion manual provide resistance genetic profile mining/proside mining/prosid mining/proside mini		Quinolone and		Number of aninolone		Number of	Total number
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		aminoglycoside resistance profile	Quinolone resistance genetic profile	resistance genes	Aminoglycoside resistance genetic profile	aminoglycoside resistance genes	of detected genes
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		CN, AK, CIP, LEV	qnrB, oqxA, oqxB, acc(6')-Ib-cr	4	aac(6')-Ib, aph(3')-VI	2	9
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		CN, AK, CIP, LEV	anrB, oqxA, oqxB, acc(6')-Ib-cr	4	aac(3)-II, aac(6')-Ib	2	9
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		CN, CIP, LEV	qnrB, oqxA, acc(6')-Ib-cr	3	aac(6')-Ib, $aph(3')$ -VI	2	IJ
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		CIP, LEV	qnrD, oqxA	2	NA	NA	2
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		CN, AK, CIP, LEV	qnrB, oqxA, oqxB	33	aac(6')-Ib, aph(3')-VI	2	Ŋ
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		CN, CIP, LEV	$qnrS, oqxA, acc(6^{i})-Ib-cr$	3	ant(3'')-I, $aac(6')$ -Ib	2	IJ
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		CN	NA	NA	aac(6')-Ib	1	1
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		CN, LEV	qnrB, qnrS, acc(6')-Ib-cr	3	aac(3)-II, $aac(6')$ -Ib	2	5
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	_	CN, CIP, LEV	qnrB, qnrD, qnrS, oqxA, acc(6')-Ib-cr	IJ	ant(3'')-I, aac(3)-II, aac(6')-Ib, aph(3')-VI	4	6
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		CN	NA	NA	ant(3'')-I, $aac(3)$ -II, $abh(3')$ -VI	ŝ	ŝ
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		CN, CIP, LEV	qnrB, qnrD, qnrS, oqxA, acc(6')-Ib-cr	Ŋ	ant(3")-I, aac(6')-Ib, aph(3')-VI	ŝ	8
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		CN, CIP, LEV	qnrB, qnrD, qnrS, oqxA, acc(6')-Ib-cr	5	aac(6')-Ib	1	9
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		CIP, LEV	qnrB, qnrD, qnrS, oqxA, acc(6')-Ib-cr	Ŋ	NA	NA	Ŋ
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		CN	NA	NA	aac(3)-II	1	1
CN, AK, CIP, LEVqmB, qebA, ogxA, ogxB, acc(6')-1b-cr5 $aac(6')-1b$ 16CN, AK, CIP, LEVqmB, qmB, qmB, ogxA, ogxB, acc(6')-1b-cr5 $aar(5')-1, aac(6)-1b$ 28CNCNAK, CIP, LEVqmB, qmS, qebA, oqxA, acc(6')-1b-cr5 $amt(3'')-1, aac(6')-1b, aph(3')-VI, armA49CN, AK, CIP, LEVqmB, qmS, qebA, oqxA, acc(6')-1b-cr5amt(3')-1, aac(6')-1b, aph(3')-VI, armA49CN, AK, CIP, LEVqmB, qmS, qebA, oqxA, acc(6')-1b-cr5amt(3')-1, aac(6')-1b, aph(3')-VI, armA49CN, AK, CIP, LEVqmS, qmS, oqxB, acc(6')-1b-cr5amt(3')-1, aac(6')-1b, aph(3')-VI49CN, LEVqmS, qmS, oqxA, acc(6')-1b-cr3aac(3)-1I, aac(6')-1b, art111CNCNNANANANANA34CN, LEVqmB, qmD, qmS, oqxA, acc(6')-1b-cr5ant(3'')-1, aac(6')-1b, art34CNCNNANANANANA34CNCNNANANANA334CNCNNANANANA34CNArk, CIP, LEVqmB, qmD, qmS, oqxAacc(6')-1b-cr34CNCNNANANANA34CNCNNANANANA34CNArk, CIP, LEVqmB, qmD, qmS, oqxAA47CNqmB, qmD, qmS, oqxA$		CIP, LEV	qnrB, qnrD, qnrS, oqxA, oqxB	IJ	NA	NA	IJ
CN, AK, CIP, LEV $qmB, qmD, qebA, oqxA, oqxB, oqxB, oqxA, oqxB, oqxB, oqxA, oqxB, oqxA, act(6')-lb-cr6ant(3'')-I, aac(6')-lb, aph(3')-VI act(6')-lb, aph(3')-VI act(3')-lb, adt(3')-lb, adt(3')-lf, adt(3')-lb, adt(3')-VI act(3')-lb, adt(3')-lb, adt(3')-lb, adt(3')-lb, adt(3')-lb, adt(3')-VI act(3')-lb, adt(3')-lb, adt(3')-l$		CN, AK, CIP, LEV	qnrB, qebA, oqxA, oqxB, acc(6 [†])-Ib-cr	IJ	aac(6')-Ib	1	9
CNNANANA CN , AK, CIB, LEV qmB , qmS , $qexA$, $acc(6')$ -1b-cr5 $amt(3'')$ -1, $aac(6')$ -1b, $aph(3')$ -VI, amA 49 CN , AK, CIB, LEV qmB , qmS , $aqxA$, $acc(6')$ -1b-cr5 $amt(3'')$ -1, $aac(6')$ -1b, $aph(3')$ -VI, amA 49 CN , AK, CIP, LEV qmS , $aqxA$, $acc(6')$ -1b-cr5 $amt(3'')$ -1, $aac(6')$ -1b, $aph(3')$ -VI, amA 49 CN , AK, CIP, LEV qmS , $aqxA$, $acc(6')$ -1b-cr3NANANANA CN , AK, CIB, LEV qmS , $acc(6')$ -1b-cr3 $ant(3'')$ -1, $aac(6')$ -1b, $aph(3')$ -VI34 CN , AK, CIB, LEV qmB , qmS , $acc(6')$ -1b-cr1 $aac(3)$ -1I111 CN , AK, CIB, LEV qmB , qmS , $acc(6')$ -1b-cr5 $aac(3)$ -1I, $aac(6')$ -1b, $aph(3')$ -VI34 CN , AK, CIB, LEV qmB , qmS , $acc(6')$ -1b-cr5 $aac(3)$ -1I, $aac(6')$ -1b, $aph(3')$ -VI33 CN , AK, CIB, LEV qmB , qmS , $acc(6')$ -1b-cr5 $aac(3)$ -1I, $aac(6')$ -1b, $aph(3')$ -VI33 CN , AK, CIB, LEV qmB , $arc(6')$ -1b-cr5 $aac(3)$ -1I, $aac(6')$ -1b, $aph(3')$ -VI33 CN , AK, CIB, LEV qmB , $arc(6')$ -1b-cr5 $aac(3)$ -1I, $aac(6')$ -1b, $aph(3')$ -VI33 CN , AK, CIB, LEV qmB , $arc(6')$ -1b-cr5 $aac(3)$ -1I, $aac(6')$ -1b, $aph(3')$ -VI37 CN , AK, CIB, LEV qmB , qrS , $ackA$, $ackB$ A $aac(3)$ -1I, $aac(6')$ -1b, $aph(3')$ -VI37 CN , AK, CIB, LEV	6	CN, AK, CIP, LEV	qnrB, qnrD, qebA, oqxA, oqxB, acc(6')-Ib-cr	9	ant(3'')-I, aac(6')-Ib	2	8
CN, AK, CIP, LEVqmB, grrS, qebA, oqxA, acc(6)-lb-cr5ant(3'')-l, acc(6)-lb, aph(3')-VI49CN, AK, CIP, LEVqrrB, qrrS, oqxB, acc(6')-lb-cr5ant(3'')-l, acc(6')-lb, aph(3')-VI49CIP, LEVqrrS, oqxB, acc(6')-lb-cr3 $art(3'')-l, acc(6')-lb, aph(3')-VI49CIP, LEVqrrS, oqxB, acc(6')-lb-cr3art(3'')-l, acc(6')-lb, aph(3')-VI49CIP, LEVqrrS, oqxA, acc(6')-lb-cr3art(3'')-l, acc(6')-lb, aph(3')-VI49CNNANANANANANACNAK, CIP, LEVNANAacc(6')-lb, aph(3')-VI34CNEVNANANANA7CNLEVqrrB, qrrS, oqxA, acc(6')-lb-cr5arc(3)-ll, arc(6')-lb, aph(3')-VI34CN, AK, CIP, LEVqrrB, qrrS, oqxA, acc(6')-lb-cr5arc(3)-ll, arc(6')-lb, aph(3')-VI33CN, AK, CIP, LEVqrrB, qrrB, qrrS, oqxA, acc(6')-lb-cr5arc(3)-ll, arc(6')-lb, aph(3')-VI33CN, AK, CIP, LEVqrrB, qrrB, qrrB, acc(6')-lb-cr3arc(3)-ll, arc(6')-lb, aph(3')-VI33CN, AK, CIP, LEVqrrB, qrrB, qrrB, acc(6')-lb-cr3arc(3)-ll, arc(6')-lb, aph(3')-VI33CN, AK, CIP, LEVqrrB, qrrB, qrrB, acc(6')-lb-cr3arc(3)-ll, arc(6')-lb, aph(3')-VI37CN, AK, CIP, LEVqrrB, qrrB, qrrB, qrrB, qrrB, qrrB, qrrB, qrrB, qrrB, arc(6')-lb-cr3arc(3)-ll, arc(6')-lb, arc(6')-lb, arc(6')-lb, $		CN	NA	NA	1	I	
CN, AK, CIB, LEVqmB, qmS, oqxB, acc(6')-Ib-cr5ant(3'')-I, aac(5')-Ib, aph(3')-VI49CIB, LEVqmS, oqxA, acc(6')-Ib-cr3 MA NA NA NA NA NA 3 CIB, LEVqmS, oqxA, acc(6')-Ib-cr3 NA NA NA NA 3 3 CIB, LEVqmS, oqxA, acc(6')-Ib-cr3 NA NA NA NA 3 CNKIBNA NA NA NA NA 3 CN, AK, CIB, LEVqmB, qmD, qmS, oqxA, acc(6')-Ib-cr1 $aac(3)-II$ 1 1 1 CN, AK, CIB, LEVqmB, qmD, qmS, oqxA, acc(6')-Ib-cr5 $aac(3)-II, aac(6')-Ib$ 2 7 CN, AK, CIB, LEVqmB, qmD, qmS, oqxA, acc(6')-Ib-cr5 $aac(3)-II, aac(6')-Ib$ 2 7 CN, AK, CIB, LEVqmB, qmD, qmS, oqxA, acc(6')-Ib-cr3 $anc(3)-II, aac(6')-Ib, abh(3')-VI$ 3 3 CN, AK, CIB, LEVqmB, qmD, qmS, oqxA, oqxB4 $anc(3'')-I, aac(6')-Ib, abh(3')-VI$ 3 7 CN, AK, CIB, LEVqmB, qmB, oqxB, acc(6')-Ib-cr3 $ant(3'')-I, aac(6')-Ib, abh(3')-VI$ 3 7 CN, AK, CIB, LEVqmB, qmD, qmS, oqxA, oqxB6 $ant(3'')-I, aac(6')-Ib, abh(3')-VI$ 3 7 CN, AK, CIB, LEVqmB, qmD, qmS, oqxA, oqxB6 $ant(3'')-I, aac(6')-Ib, abh(3')-VI$ 3 7 CN, AK, CIB, LEVqmB, qmD, qmS, oqxA, oqxB6 $ant(3'')-I, aac(6')-Ib, abh(3')-VI$ 3 7 CN, AK, CIB, LEV<	_	CN, AK, CIP, LEV	qnrB, qnrS, qebA, oqxA, acc(6')-Ib-cr	Ŋ	ant(3'')-I, aac(6')-Ib, aph(3')-VI, armA	4	6
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		CN, AK, CIP, LEV	qnrB, qnrS, oqxA, oqxB, acc(6')-Ib-cr	5	ant(3")-I, aac(3)-II, aac[6')-Ib, aph(3')-VI	4	6
CIR LEVqmS, $oqxA, acc(\delta)$ -lb-cr3NANANASCNNANANANAaac(3)-II11CNCNNANANAaac(3)-II11CNAK, CIP, LEVqmB, qmD, qmS, oqxA, acc(6')-lb-cr5 $aac(3)$ -II, $aac(6')$ -lb, $qph(3')$ -VI34CN, AK, CIP, LEVqmB, qmD, qmS, oqxA, acc(6')-lb-cr5 $aac(3)$ -II, $aac(6')$ -lb, $aph(3')$ -VI34CNCNqmB, qmD, qmS, oqxA, acc(6')-lb-cr5 $aac(3)$ -II, $aac(6')$ -lb, $aph(3')$ -VI33CNAK, CIP, LEVqmB, qmS, oqxA, acc(6')-lb-cr3ant(3'')-II, $aac(6')$ -lb, $aph(3')$ -VI33CN, AK, CIP, LEVqmB, oqxA, acc(6')-lb-cr3ant(3'')-II, $aac(6')$ -lb, $aph(3')$ -VI33CN, AK, CIP, LEVqmB, oqxA, oqxB, acc(6')-lb-cr3ant(3'')-II, $aac(6')$ -lb, $aph(3')$ -VI37CN, AK, CIP, LEVqmB, qmS, oqxA, oqxB, acc(6')-lb-cr3ant(3'')-II, $aac(6')$ -lb, $aph(3')$ -VI37CN, AK, CIP, LEVqmB, qmD, qmS, oqxA, oqxB, acc(6')-lb-crCN, AK, CIP, LEVqmB, qmD, qmS, oqxA, oqxB6ant(3'')-I, $aac(6')$ -lb, $abh(3')$ -VI37CN, AK, CIP, LEVqmB, qmD, qmS, oqxA, oqxB6ant(3'')-I, $aac(6')$ -lb, $abh(3')$ -VICN, AK, CIP, LEVqmB, qmD, qmS, oqxA, oqxB6ant(3'')-I, $aac(6')$ -lb, $abh(3'')$ -VICN, AK, CIP, LEVqmS, oqxA, oqxB3NANA <td></td> <td>CIP, LEV</td> <td>qnrS, oqxB</td> <td>2</td> <td>aac(6')-Ib</td> <td>1</td> <td>Э</td>		CIP, LEV	qnrS, oqxB	2	aac(6')-Ib	1	Э
CNNANANAaac(3)-II11CNCNNANAaac(3)-II111CNAK, CIP, LEV $arc(6')$ -Ib-crNA $aac(3)$ -II111CN, LEV $qrrB, qrrD, qrrS, oqxA, acc(6')$ -Ib-cr5 $aac(3)$ -II27CN, LEV $qrrB, qrrD, qrrS, oqxA, acc(6')$ -Ib-cr5 $aac(3)$ -II27CNCN NA NANANA4CNAK, CIP, LEV $qrrB, qrrD, qrrS, oqxA$ 4NANACNAK, CIP, LEV $qrrB, qrrD, qrrS, oqxA$ 4NANACN, AK, CIP, LEV $qrrB, oqxA, acc(6')$ -Ib-cr3 $art(3'')$ -I, $aac(6')$ -Ib, $aph(3')$ -VI33CN, AK, CIP, LEV $qrrB, oqxA, oqxB, acc(6')$ -Ib-cr3 $art(3'')$ -I, $aac(6')$ -Ib, $aph(3')$ -VI37CN, AK, CIP, LEV $qrrB, oqxA, oqxB, acc(6')$ -Ib-cr4 $art(3'')$ -I, $aac(6')$ -Ib, $aph(3')$ -VI37CN, AK, CIP, LEV $qrrB, oqxA, oqxB, acc(6')$ -Ib-cr4 $art(3'')$ -I, $aac(6')$ -Ib, $aph(3')$ -VI37CN, AK, CIP, LEV $qrrB, oqxA, oqxB, acc(6')$ -Ib-cr4 $art(3'')$ -I, $aac(6')$ -Ib, $aph(3')$ -VI37CN, AK, CIP, LEV $qrrB, oqxA, oqxB, acc(6')$ -Ib-cr1 $art(3'')$ -I, $aac(6')$ -Ib, $aph(3')$ -VI37CN, AK, CIP, LEV $qrrB, oqxA, oqxB, acc(6')$ -Ib-cr1 $art(3'')$ -I, $aac(6')$ -Ib, $aph(3')$ -VI37CN, AK, CIP, LEV $qrrB, oqxA, oqxB, acc(6')$ -Ib-cr1 $art(3'')$		CIP, LEV	qnrS, oqxA, acc(6')-Ib-cr	3	NA	NA	3
CNCNNANANA $aac(3)$ -II1 $aac(3)$ -II11CN, AK, CIP, LEV $acc(6')$ -Ib-cr1 $am(3'')$ -I, $aac(6')$ -Ib, $aph(3')$ -VI34CN, LEV qmB , qmS , qmS , $qarS$, $acc(6')$ -Ib-cr5 $aac(3)$ -II, $aac(6')$ -Ib27CNCN mB , qmB , qmS , $qarS$, $acc(6')$ -Ib-cr5 $aac(3)$ -II, $aac(6')$ -Ib27CNCNMAA4NANAACNAK, CIP, LEV qmB , $qarS$, $acc(6')$ -Ib-cr3 $amt(3'')$ -I, $aac(6')$ -Ib, $aph(3')$ -VI3CN, AK, CIP, LEV qmB , $qarS$, $acc(6')$ -Ib-cr3 $amt(3'')$ -I, $aac(6')$ -Ib, $aph(3')$ -VI37CN, AK, CIP, LEV qmB , $qarS$, $acc(6')$ -Ib-cr4 $amt(3'')$ -I, $aac(6')$ -Ib, $aph(3')$ -VI37CN, AK, CIP, LEV qmB , qrA , $oqrB$, $acc(6')$ -Ib-cr477CN, AK, CIP, LEV qmB , qrA , $oqrB$, $acc(6')$ -Ib-cr47CN, AK, CIP, LEV qmB , qrA , $oqrB$, $acc(6')$ -Ib-cr5 $amt(3'')$ -I, $aac(6')$ -Ib, $aph(3')$ -VI3CN, AK, CIP, LEV qmB , qrA , $oqrB$, $acc(6')$ -Ib-crCN, AK, CIP, LEV qmB , qrA , $oqrB$, $acc(6')$ -Ib-cr28CN, AK, CIP, LEV qmB , qrS , $oqrA$, $oqrB$ 5 $mt(3'')$ -I, $aac(6')$ -Ib, $abh(3')$ -VI3CN, AK, CIP, LEV qmB , qrS , $oqrA$, $oqrB$ 5 $mt(3'')$ -I, $aac(6')$ -Ib, $ar(6')$ -Ib-CN, AK, CIP, LEV qmB , qrS , $oqrA$, $oqrB$ 3NA <td></td> <td>CN</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td> <td>aac(3)-II</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td>		CN	NA	NA	aac(3)-II	1	1
CN, AK, CIP, LEV $acc(6')-Ib-cr$ 1 $ant(3'')-I, aac(6')-Ib, aph(3')-VI$ 34CN, LEV $qmB, qmD, qmS, oqxA, acc(6')-Ib-cr$ 5 $aac(3)-II, aac(6')-Ib$ 27CN, LEV $qmB, qmD, qmS, oqxA, acc(6')-Ib-cr$ 5 $aac(3)-II, aac(6')-Ib$ 27CNCIP $qmB, qmD, qmS, oqxA, acc(6')-Ib-cr$ 5 $aac(3)-II, aac(6')-Ib, aph(3')-VI$ 33CNAK, CIP, LEV $qmB, oqxA, acc(6')-Ib-cr$ 3 $ant(3'')-I, aac(3)-II, aac(6')-Ib, aph(3')-VI$ 37CN, AK, CIP, LEV $qmB, oqxA, oqxB, acc(6')-Ib-cr$ 4 $ant(3'')-I, aac(3)-II, aac(6')-Ib, aph(3')-VI$ 37CN, AK, CIP, LEV $qmB, qmD, qmS, oqxA, oqxB, acc(6')-Ib-cr4ant(3'')-I, aac(6')-Ib, aph(3')-VI37CN, AK, CIP, LEVqmB, qmD, qmS, oqxA, oqxB, acc(6')-Ib-cr4ant(3'')-I, aac(6')-Ib, aph(3')-VI37CN, AK, CIP, LEVqmB, qmD, qmS, oqxA, oqxB, acc(6')-Ib-cr4ant(3'')-I, aac(6')-Ib, aph(3')-VI37CN, AK, CIP, LEVqmB, qmD, qmS, oqxA, oqxB, acc(6')-Ib-cr4ant(3'')-I, aac(6')-Ib, aph(3')-VI37CN, AK, CIP, LEVqmB, qmD, qmS, oqxA, oqxB, acc(6')-Ib-crant(3'')-I, aac(6')-Ib, aph(3')-VI37CN, AK, CIP, LEVqmS, qmS, oqxA, oqxB, acgant(3'')-I, aac(6')-Ib, aph(3')-VIabm(3'')-I, aac(6')-Ib, abm(3'')-IIabm(3'')-IICN, AK, CIP, LEVqmS, qmS, oqxA, oqxB, acgamt(3'')-I, aac(6')-Ib, abm(3'')-IIabm(3'')-IIabm(3'')-IIabm(3'')-IICN, AK, CIP, LEV$		CN	NA	NA	aac(3)-II	1	1
CN, LEVqrrB, qrrD, qrrS, oqxA, acc(6')-1b-cr5 $aac(3)-II, aac(6')-Ib$ 27CNCNNANANA $ -$ CNCNqrrB, qrrD, qrrS, oqxA, acc(6')-1b-crNANANA 4 4 $ -$		CN, AK, CIP, LEV	acc(6')-Ib-cr	1	ant(3'')-I, aac(6')-Ib, aph(3')-VI	3	4
CNNANANANACIP $qnrB, qnrB, qnrB, qnrS, oqxA4NANACIPqnrB, qnrB, qnrS, oqxA4NANACNKK CIP, LEVqnrB, qnrS, oqxA, acc(6')-lb-cr3ant(3'')-J, aac(3)-Ib, aph(3')-VI3CN, AK, CIP, LEVqnrB, oqxA, oqxB, acc(6')-lb-cr3ant(3'')-J, aac(6')-lb, aph(3')-VI47CN, AK, CIP, LEVqnrB, oqxA, oqxB, acc(6')-lb-cr4ant(3'')-J, aac(6')-lb, aph(3')-VI37CN, CIP, LEVqnrB, qnrD, qnrS, oqxA, oqxB, acc(6')-lb-crCN, AK, CIP, LEVqnrB, qnrD, qnrS, oqxA, oqxB, acc(6')-lb-cr4ant(3'')-J, aac(6')-lb, aph(3')-VI37CN, AK, CIP, LEVqnrB, qnrD, qnrS, oqxA, oqxB, acc(6')-lb-crCN, AK, CIP, LEVqnrS, oqxA, oqxB, acg(5')-lb-cr3ant(3'')-J, aac(6')-lb'28CN, AK, CIP, LEVqnrS, oqxA, oqxB3NANANA3$		CN, LEV	qnrB, qnrD, qnrS, oqxA, acc(6')-Ib-cr	5	aac(3)-II, $aac(6')$ -Ib	2	7
CIPqmB, qmD, qmS, oqxA4NANA4CNCNNANANA4CNAK, CIP, LEVqmB, oqxA, acc(6')-Ib-cr3ant(3'')-I, aac(6')-Ib, aph(3')-VI33CN, AK, CIP, LEVqmB, oqxA, oqxB, acc(6')-Ib-cr3ant(3'')-I, aac(6')-Ib, aph(3')-VI47CN, AK, CIP, LEVqmB, oqxA, oqxB, acc(6')-Ib-cr4ant(3'')-I, aac(6')-Ib, aph(3')-VI37CN, AK, CIP, LEVqmB, qmS, oqxA, oqxB, acc(6')-Ib-cr6ant(3'')-I, aac(6')-Ib, aph(3')-VI37CN, AK, CIP, LEVqmB, qmD, qmS, oqxA, oqxB, acg6ant(3'')-I, aac(6')-Ib28CN, AK, CIP, LEVqmS, oqxA, oqxB3NANANA3		CN	NA	NA	I		
CNNANAaac(3)-II, aac(6')-Ib, aph(3')-VI33CN, AK, CIP, LEVqnrB, oqxA, acc(6')-Ib-cr3ant(3")-I, aac(3)-Ib, aph(3')-VI47CN, AK, CIP, LEVqnrB, oqxA, oqxB, acc(6')-Ib-cr4ant(3")-I, aac(6')-Ib, aph(3')-VI37CN, AK, CIP, LEVqnrB, oqxA, oqxB, acc(6')-Ib-cr4ant(3")-I, aac(6')-Ib, aph(3')-VI37CN, CIP, LEVqnrB, qnrD, qnrS, oqxA, oqxB,6ant(3")-I, aac(6')-Ib, aac(6')-Ib-crCN, AK, CIP, LEVqnrB, qnrD, qnrS, oqxA, oqxB3NANANA3		CIP	qnrB, qnrD, qnrS, oqxA	4	NA	NA	4
CN, AK, CIP, LEV qnrB, oqxA, acc(6')-1b-cr 3 ant(3")-I, aac(3)-Ib, aph(3')-VI 4 7 CN, AK, CIP, LEV qnrB, oqxA, oqxB, acc(6')-Ib-cr 4 ant(3")-I, aac(6')-Ib, aph(3')-VI 3 7 CN, AK, CIP, LEV qnrB, oqxA, oqxB, acc(6')-Ib-cr 4 ant(3")-I, aac(6')-Ib, aph(3')-VI 3 7 CN, CIP, LEV qnrB, qnrD, qnrS, oqxB, oqxB, 6 ant(3")-I, aac(6')-Ib 2 8 CN, AK, CIP, LEV qnrS, oqxA, oqxB 3 NA NA NA 3 7		CN	NA	NA	aac(3)-11, aac(6')-1b, aph(3')-VI	Э	Э
CN, AK, CIP, LEV qnrB, oqxA, oqxB, acc(6')-Ib-cr 4 ant(3")-I, aac(6')-Ib, aph(3')-VI 3 7 CN, CIP, LEV — … N <td>_</td> <td>CN, AK, CIP, LEV</td> <td>qnrB, oqxA, acc(6')-Ib-cr</td> <td>ю</td> <td>ant(3")-I, aac(3)-II, aac(6')-Ib, aph(3')-VI</td> <td>4</td> <td>7</td>	_	CN, AK, CIP, LEV	qnrB, oqxA, acc(6')-Ib-cr	ю	ant(3")-I, aac(3)-II, aac(6')-Ib, aph(3')-VI	4	7
CN, CIP, LEV $=$ $ -$		CN, AK, CIP, LEV	qmrB, oqxA, oqxB, acc(6')-Ib-cr	4	ant(3'')-I, aac(6')-Ib, aph(3')-VI	3	7
CN, AK, CIP, LEV $qnrB, qnrD, qnrS, oqxA, oqxB$, 6 $ant(3'')$ -I, $aac(6')$ -Ib 2 8 acc(6')-Ib-cr 3 NA NA NA NA 3		CN, CIP, LEV		I	I	I	
CIP, LEV qurS, oqxA, oqxB 3 NA NA 3	_	CN, AK, CIP, LEV	qnrB, qnrD, qnrS, oqxA, oqxB, acc(6')-1b-cr	9	ant(3'')-I, aac(6')-Ib	2	8
		CIP, LEV	qnrS, oqxA, oqxB	$\tilde{\omega}$	NA	NA	Э

TABLE 4: Continued.

		1	TABLE 4: Continue	d.		
Isolate number	Quinolone and aminoglycoside resistance profile	Quinolone resistance genetic profile	Number of quinolone resistance genes	Aminoglycoside resistance genetic profile	Number of aminoglycoside resistance genes	Total number of detected genes
KLP186	CN, CIP	qnrC, qnrD, qnrS, oqxA	4	aac(6')-1b	1	υ
KLP187	CIP	qnrS, qnrD	2	NA	NA	2
KLP197	CN, AK, CIP, LEV	qnrS, qebA, oqxA, acc(6')-Ib-cr	4	ant(3'')-I, aac(6')-Ib, aph(3')-VI, armA	4	8
KLP198	CN, AK, CIP, LEV	qnrB, qnrD, qebA, oqxA, acc(6')-Ib-cr	IJ	aac(3)-II, $aac(6')$ -Ib	2	7
KLP199	CN	NA	NA	aac(3)-II, $aac(6')$ -Ib	2	2
KLP201	CN, CIP, LEV	qnrB, qnrD, oqxA	3	ant(3'')-I, aac(3)-II, aac(6')-Ib, aph(3')-VI, armA	IJ	ø
KLP207	CN, AK, CIP, LEV	qnrB, qnrS, qebA, oqxA, acc(6')-Ib-cr	IJ	$ant(3'^{\hat{l}})$ -I, $aac(6')$ -Ib, $armA$	3	8
KL: Klebsiella	neumoniae, CN: gentamicir	1, AK: amikacin, CIP: ciprofloxacin, LEV: levofloxaci	in, and NA: not appli	cable.		

NA: not applicable. n, and N: gel 3 etta pri

International Journal of Microbiology



FIGURE 4: Representative PCR products of detected quinolone resistance genes. Lanes: (1) qnrB; (2) qnrC; (3) qnrD; (4) qnrS; (L) 100 bp ladder; (5) acc(6')-*Ib*-*cr*; (6) oqxA; (7) oqxB; (8) qebA.

that *qnrC* was represented by only a single isolate, which was consistent with findings from the recent Turkish and Tunisian studies that failed to detect *qnrC* among quinolone-resistant *K. pneumoniae* isolates [35, 36]. The current study detected *qnrD* at a prevalence of 40% (23/57); previous work failed to detect this gene [35, 37]. The *qnrS* gene was seen in 49% (28/57) of the investigated *K. pneumoniae* isolates. Lower incidences (9% (2/22) and 2% (3/165)) had been reported in Korean [37] and Tunisian [35] studies, respectively. A much higher incidence (64%, 28/44) was reported in China [38]. We conclude that plasmids carrying *qnr* genes were highly spread in Egypt and China, probably due to misuse of quinolones in clinical settings.

The current work is the first Egyptian study to investigate the QepA and OqxAB efflux pumps among K. pneumoniae isolates. We found that 12% (7/57) tested positive for qepA, which is far higher than the 2% (5/247) reported previously [39] or the absence of qebA among K. pneumoniae isolates [40]. The prevalence of oqxA and oqxB was higher, 88% (50/57) and 30% (17/57), respectively. Previously Rodríguez-Martínez et al. reported values of 76% (87/114) and 75% (86/114), respectively [41]. Only 26% (15/57) of quinoloneresistant K. pneumoniae isolates were positive for both oqxA and oqxB, double that reported earlier (11% (11/102) [32]). Interestingly, Yuan et al. reported that 100% (154/154) of their K. pneumoniae isolates tested positive for both oqxA and oqxB, suggesting that in that case the genes encoding the OqxAB protein were located on the chromosome of K. *pneumoniae*, perhaps as a reservoir for these genes [42]. Thus, high resistance rates to quinolones may be expected among K. pneumoniae isolates recovered from clinical settings that frequently prescribe quinolones, since the chromosomal genes coding for OqxAB efflux pump proteins will be overexpressed.

Enzymatic modification of quinolones by the AAC(6')-Ib-cr enzyme is a third reported mechanism underlying PMQR [32]. The current study revealed that 61% (35/57) of quinolone-resistant *K. pneumoniae* isolates tested positive for aac(6')-*Ib-cr*, which is several times higher than seen by Jlili et al. and by Kim et al. (19% (8/42) and 13% (21/165), resp., [35, 43]).

Genotypic identification of *K. pneumoniae* isolates via amplification of *phoE* identified 81% (92/114) of the *K. pneumoniae* isolates; this finding contradicted Sun et al., who reported 100% [21]. This difference may be due to a mutation in the *phoE* gene of our isolates. Genotyping of *K. pneumoniae* isolates using the ERIC-PCR technique revealed that the majority of isolates had different origins; 32 isolates were related to 18 different single origins, indicating that the spread of *K. pneumoniae* among different hospital departments was due to poor infection control.

5. Conclusion

The current study demonstrated that ACCs were the most prevalent AMEs, followed by APHs and then ANTs. Screening of *qnr* genes revealed that *qnrB* was the most prevalent, followed by *qnrS*. This is the first Egyptian study to detect *qnrC* and *acc*(6')*-Ib-cr* among quinolone-resistant *K. pneumoniae* isolates. Genotypic identification of *K. pneumoniae* through amplification of the *phoE* gene was not 100%. Most *K. pneumoniae* isolates included in this study displayed different genetic and phenotypic profiles, indicating different origins of dissemination.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Mr. Youhana Ekladious Takyi, Mrs. Wafaa Ibrahim Noor, Mr. Khalid Kamel Abd-Elkhalek, and Mr. Yassin Farag Mahmoud, Department of Microbiology, Ain Shams University Educational Hospital, Cairo, Egypt, for their great help in collection of clinical specimens and clinical data.

References

- A. D. Michalska, P. T. Sacha, K. Kaczyńska, and E. A. Tryniszewska, "The diversity of aminoglycoside-modifying enzymes among ESBL-positive proteus mirabilis clinical strains," *Medtube Science*, vol. 4, no. 16, pp. 16–20, 2014.
- [2] S. B. Vakulenko and S. Mobashery, "Versatility of aminoglycosides and prospects for their future," *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 430–450, 2003.
- [3] M. S. Ramirez and M. E. Tolmasky, "Aminoglycoside modifying enzymes," *Drug Resistance Updates*, vol. 13, no. 6, pp. 151–171, 2010.
- [4] N. Soleimani, M. Aganj, L. Ali, L. Shokoohizadeh, and T. Sakinc, "Frequency distribution of genes encoding aminoglycoside modifying enzymes in uropathogenic *E. coli* isolated from Iranian hospital," *BMC Research Notes*, vol. 7, no. 1, p. 842, 2014.
- [5] X. Guan, X. Xue, Y. Liu et al., "Plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance-current knowledge and future perspectives," *Journal* of International Medical Research, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 20–30, 2013.
- [6] J. Strahilevitz, G. A. Jacoby, D. C. Hooper, and A. Robicsek, "Plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance: a multifaceted threat," *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 664–689, 2009.
- [7] G. A. Jacoby, J. Strahilevitz, and D. C. Hooper, "Plasmidmediated quinolone resistance," *Microbiology Spectrum*, vol. 2, no. 5, Article ID PLAS-0006-2013, 2014.
- [8] L. Poirel, J.-M. Rodriguez-Martinez, H. Mammeri, A. Liard, and P. Nordmann, "Origin of plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance determinant QnrA," *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, vol. 49, no. 8, pp. 3523–3525, 2005.
- [9] D. C. Hooper and G. A. Jacoby, "Mechanisms of drug resistance: quinolone resistance," *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, vol. 1354, no. 1, pp. 12–31, 2015.
- [10] A. Robicsek, J. Strahilevitz, G. A. Jacoby et al., "Fluoroquinolone-modifying enzyme: a new adaptation of a common aminoglycoside acetyltransferase," *Nature Medicine*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 83–88, 2006.
- [11] A. H. Sørensen, L. H. Hansen, E. Johannesen, and S. J. Sørensen, "Conjugative plasmid conferring resistance to olaquindox," *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, vol. 47, no. 2, pp. 798-799, 2003.
- [12] L. H. Hansen, E. Johannesen, M. Burmølle, A. H. Sørensen, and S. J. Sørensen, "Plasmid-encoded multidrug efflux pump conferring resistance to olaquindox in Escherichia coli," *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, vol. 48, no. 9, pp. 3332–3337, 2004.
- [13] L. H. Hansen, L. B. Jensen, H. I. Sørensen, and S. J. Sørensen, "Substrate specificity of the OqxAB multidrug resistance pump in *Escherichia coli* and selected enteric bacteria," *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, vol. 60, no. 1, pp. 145–147, 2007.
- [14] M. H. Y. Wong and S. Chen, "First detection of oqxAB in Salmonella spp. isolated from food," Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, vol. 57, no. 1, pp. 658–660, 2013.
- [15] M. F. El-Badawy, W. M. Tawakol, I. A. Maghrabi, M. S. Mansy, M. M. Shohayeb, and M. S. Ashour, "Iodometric and molecular detection of ESBL production among clinical isolates of *E. coli* fingerprinted by ERIC-PCR: the first Egyptian report declares the emergence of *E. coli* O25b-ST131clone harboring bla_{GES}," *Microbial Drug Resistance*, 2017.

- [16] J. Vandepitte, J. Verhaegen, K. Engbaek, P. Rohner, P. Piot, and C. Heuck, "Basic laboratory procedures in clinical bacteriology," *World Health Organization*, 2003.
- [17] S. Qaiyumi, "Macro-and microdilution methods of antimicrobial susceptibility testing," in *Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing Protocols*, pp. 75–81, 2007.
- [18] J. Patel, F. Cockerill, J. Alder, P. Bradford, G. Eliopoulos, and D. Hardy, "Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; twenty-fourth informational supplement," *CLSI Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing*, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 1–226, 2014.
- [19] M. D. Englen and L. C. Kelley, "A rapid DNA isolation procedure for the identification of Campylobacter jejuni by the polymerase chain reaction," *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, vol. 31, no. 6, pp. 421–426, 2000.
- [20] X. Hu, B. Xu, Y. Yang et al., "A high throughput multiplex PCR assay for simultaneous detection of seven aminoglycosideresistance genes in Enterobacteriaceae," *BMC Microbiology*, vol. 13, no. 1, article no. 58, 2013.
- [21] F. Sun, S. Yang, and X. Chen, "Detection of intestinal pathogenic klebsiella pneumoniae from fecal samples of giant panda by polymerase chain reaction," *Journal of Biotech Research*, vol. 5, pp. 10–15, 2013.
- [22] K.-L. Thong, K.-T. Lim, R. Yasin, C.-C. Yeo, and S. Puthucheary, "Characterization of multidrug resistant ESBL-Producing escherichia coli isolates from hospitals in malaysia," *Journal of Biomedicine and Biotechnology*, vol. 2009, Article ID 165637, 2009.
- [23] V. Cattoir, L. Poirel, V. Rotimi, C.-J. Soussy, and P. Nordmann, "Multiplex PCR for detection of plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance qnr genes in ESBL-producing enterobacterial isolates," *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, vol. 60, no. 2, pp. 394–397, 2007.
- [24] B. R. Pribul, M. L. Festivo, M. M. S. de Souza, and D. dos Prazeres Rodrigues, "Characterization of quinolone resistance in Salmonella spp. isolates from food products and human samples in Brazil," *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology*, vol. 47, no. 1, pp. 196–201, 2016.
- [25] X. Chen, W. Zhang, W. Pan et al., "Prevalence of qnr, aac(6')-Ib-cr, qepA, and oqxAB in *Escherichia coli* isolates from humans, animals, and the environment," *Antimicrobial Agents* and Chemotherapy, vol. 56, no. 6, pp. 3423–3427, 2012.
- [26] B. K. Hong, M. Wang, H. P. Chi, E.-C. Kim, G. A. Jacoby, and D. C. Hooper, "oqxAB encoding a multidrug efflux pump in human clinical isolates of Enterobacteriaceae," *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, vol. 53, no. 8, pp. 3582–3584, 2009.
- [27] E. Hammad and R. Helal, "PMQR determinants among clinical isolates of ESBL and Amp C producing serratia marcescens in Mansoura University Hospitals: a six year study," *The International Arabic Journal of Antimicrobial Agents*, vol. 5, no. 3, 2015.
- [28] R. Almaghrabi, C. J. Clancy, Y. Doi et al., "Carbapenemresistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* strains exhibit diversity in aminoglycoside-modifying enzymes, which exert differing effects on plazomicin and other agents," *Antimicrobial Agents* and Chemotherapy, vol. 58, no. 8, pp. 4443–4451, 2014.
- [29] L. Lotfollahi, N. Samadi, H. Hosainzadegan, and M. A. Qomi, "Prevalence of *aac (3')-IIa* and *aac (6')-Ib* genes incidence involved in aminoglycoside resistance in *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolated from clinical samples in Urmia Hospitals, Iran," *American Journal of Pharmaceutical Research*, vol. 5, no. 5, pp. 326– 334, 2015.

- [30] C. Liang, B. Xing, X. Yang, Y. Fu, Y. Feng, and Y. Zhang, "Molecular epidemiology of aminoglycosides resistance on *Klebsiella pneumonia* in a hospital in China," *International Journal of Clinical and Experimental Medicine*, vol. 8, no. 1, 1381 pages, 2015.
- [31] G. F. Gad, H. A. Mohamed, and H. M. Ashour, "Aminoglycoside resistance rates, phenotypes, and mechanisms of gram-negative bacteria from infected patients in upper Egypt," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 6, no. 2, Article ID e17224, 2011.
- [32] H. Y. Yang, Y. S. Nam, and H. J. Lee, "Prevalence of plasmidmediated quinolone resistance genes among ciprofloxacinnonsusceptible Escherichia coli and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolated from blood cultures in Korea," *Canadian Journal of Infectious Diseases and Medical Microbiology*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 163–169, 2014.
- [33] S. Ferreira, M. Toleman, E. Ramalheira, G. J. Da Silva, T. Walsh, and S. Mendo, "First description of Klebsiella pneumoniae clinical isolates carrying both qnrA and qnrB genes in Portugal," *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents*, vol. 35, no. 6, pp. 584–586, 2010.
- [34] M. M. Esmat and H. H. Mohamed, "Quinolone resistance among extended-spectrum β-lactamases producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in Sohag University Hospital, Upper Egypt," *The Egyptian Journal of Medical Microbiology*, vol. 25, no. 1, 2016.
- [35] N. E.-H. Jlili, S. Réjiba, H. Smaoui et al., "Trend of plasmidmediated quinolone resistance genes at the Children's Hospital in Tunisia," *Journal of Medical Microbiology*, vol. 63, no. 2, pp. 195–202, 2014.
- [36] C. K. Buruk, H. Öztel Ocak, G. Bayramoğlu, and F. Aydin, "Investigation of plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance genes in quinolone-resistant Escherichia coli and klebsiella spp. Isolates from bloodstream infections," *Mikrobiyoloji Bulteni*, vol. 50, no. 2, pp. 186–195, 2016.
- [37] Q. Wang, B. Li, A. K. L. Tsang, Y. Yi, P. C. Y. Woo, and C. H. Liu, "Genotypic Analysis of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* Isolates in a Beijing Hospital Reveals High Genetic Diversity and Clonal Population Structure of Drug-Resistant Isolates," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 8, no. 2, Article ID e57091, 2013.
- [38] Y. Wen, X. Pu, W. Zheng, and G. Hu, "High prevalence of plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance and IncQ plasmids carrying qnrS2 gene in bacteria from rivers near hospitals and aquaculture in China," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 11, no. 7, Article ID e0159418, 2016.
- [39] M. Goudarzi, M. Azad, and S. S. Seyedjavadi, "Prevalence of plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance determinants and OqxAB efflux pumps among extended-spectrum β-lactamase producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolated from patients with nosocomial urinary tract infection in Tehran, Iran," *Scientifica*, vol. 2015, 7 pages, 2015.
- [40] E. Shams, F. Firoozeh, R. Moniri, and M. Zibaei, "Prevalence of plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance genes among extended-spectrum β-lactamase-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* human isolates in Iran," *Journal of Pathogens*, vol. 2015, 7 pages, 2015.
- [41] J. M. Rodríguez-Martínez, P. D. de Alba, A. Briales et al., "Contribution of OqxAB efflux pumps to quinolone resistance in extended-spectrum-β-lactamase-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae*," *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, vol. 68, no. 1, pp. 68–73, 2013.
- [42] J. Yuan, X. Xu, Q. Guo et al., "Prevalence of the oqxAB gene complex in *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Escherichia coli* clinical

isolates," *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, vol. 67, no. 7, Article ID dks086, pp. 1655–1659, 2012.

[43] S. K. Eun, J.-Y. Jeong, J.-B. Jun et al., "Prevalence of aac(61)-Ibcr encoding a ciprofloxacin-modifying enzyme among Enterobacteriaceae blood isolates in Korea," *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, vol. 53, no. 6, pp. 2643–2645, 2009.