ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Basic reproduction numbers of three strains of mouse hepatitis viruses in mice

Masataka Nakayama

Shigeru Kyuwa 💿

Laboratory of Biomedical Science, Department of Veterinary Medical Science, Graduate School of Agricultural and Life Sciences, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

Correspondence

Shigeru Kyuwa, Laboratory of Biomedical Science, Department of Veterinary Medical Science, Graduate School of Agricultural and Life Sciences, The University of Tokyo, 1-1-1 Yayoi, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8657, Japan.

Email: akyuwa1@g.ecc.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Present address Masataka Nakayama, Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries, Kanto Regional Agricultural Administration Office, Saitama, Japan.

Abstract

Mouse hepatitis virus (MHV) is a murine coronavirus and one of the most important pathogens in laboratory mice. Although various strains of MHV have been isolated, they are generally excreted in the feces and transmitted oronasally via aerosols and contaminated bedding. In this study, we attempted to determine the basic reproduction numbers (R_0) of three strains of MHV to improve our understanding of MHV infections in mice. Five-week-old female C57BL/6J mice were inoculated intranasally with either the Y, NuU, or JHM variant strain of MHV and housed with two naïve mice. After 4 weeks, the presence or absence of anti-MHV antibody in the mice was determined by ELISA. We also examined the distribution of MHV in the organs of Y, NuU, or JHM variant-infected mice. Our data suggest that the transmissibility of MHV is correlated with viral growth in the gastrointestinal tract of infected mice. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report to address the basic reproduction numbers among pathogens in laboratory animals.

KEYWORDS

basic reproduction number, gastrointestinal tract, mice, mouse hepatitis virus, transmission

INTRODUCTION

The microbiological control of laboratory animals is essential to obtain reproducible and stable experimental results, and mouse hepatitis virus (MHV) is a representative pathogen for microbiological monitoring.¹ MHV is a single-stranded plus-sense RNA virus with petal-like projections that belongs to the family Coronaviridae, and its natural host is mice.² MHV causes hepatitis, enteritis, and encephalitis in mice, and its pathogenesis varies depending on the viral strain; infectious dose; route of infection; and the genetic background, age, and immune status of the host.^{3–5} Experimental infection with MHV has been used to generate hepatitis^{6,7} and a demyelinating disease mouse model.⁸⁻¹¹ Studies have also been conducted to elucidate the replication and multiplication mechanisms of coronaviruses using MHV as a model.^{12,13} In recent years, the worldwide coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has led to

the use of MHV as a surrogate for SARS-CoV-2 in research on disinfectants. $^{\rm 14,15}$

In Europe and the United States, MHV has the third highest infection rate in laboratory animals after mouse norovirus and mouse parvovirus.¹⁶ The spread of MHV in animal research facilities causes wasting disease and death in immunocompromised mice such as nude mice and suckling mice,^{17,18} and subclinical infection in adult mice reportedly modifies experimental performance in many experimental models.¹⁹ MHV is generally excreted in the feces of infected mice and is transmitted oronasally, but it is also transmitted via aerosols and contaminated bedding.²⁰ Depending on the mouse strain and immune status, MHV-infected mice excrete infectious MHV for several days to several weeks.²¹ Contamination by MHV in a specific pathogen-free laboratory animal facility requires total culling of the infected mouse colony and disinfection of the facility, and the impact is not small.²² The basic principle of MHV infection control in

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes. © 2022 The Authors. *Microbiology and Immunology* published by The Societies and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd.

Abbreviations: B6, C57BL/6J; E-MEM, Eagle's minimal essential medium; MHV, mouse hepatitis virus; R₀, basic reproduction number; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2.

facilities housing laboratory animals is to prevent exposure of the animals to MHV. Adequate quarantine is necessary when introducing new animals from other facilities. In addition, wild mice infected with MHV,²³ pet mice,^{24,25} and biological materials obtained from infected mice are also sources of infection and should be handled with care.^{26,27} It is possible that the virus may be introduced into the facility unknowingly by scientists or caretakers who come into contact with MHV-contaminated animals or breeding equipment.

Serological methods such as ELISA and indirect fluorescent antibody methods are commonly used to diagnose MHV in animal testing facilities, and RT-PCR²⁸ and RT-nested PCR methods have also been used in recent years.^{29,30}

Pathogenicity and organ affinity vary among the many strains of MHV,^{2,31} and MHV strains may be divided into two major biotypes: polytropic and enterotropic, based on general patterns of tropism.² In this study, we used the Y, NuU, and JHM variant strains. The Y strain was isolated from suckling mice with symptoms of acute cecal colitis,³² and is a representative enterotropic strain.² The NuU strain is a less pathogenic strain that was isolated from nude mice with wasting disease.³³ Although its biotype has not been defined,² it may be classified as a polytropic strain because mice inoculated intracerebrally with this strain died.³ The JHM strain was isolated from suckling mice with diarrhea.³⁴ The JHM strain is considered a polytropic strain² and used to produce a model of multiple sclerosis because it causes demyelinating encephalitis when inoculated into the brain of mice.³⁵ However, the JHM strain induces acute fatal encephalitis after intracerebral infection.^{3,36} Therefore, we used the JHM variant 2.2-V-1 in this study, which was selected with monoclonal antibody J.2.2, and it loses the ability to cause acute encephalitic illness after intracerebral inoculation.³⁶ When MHV is inoculated intranasally into mice, it is believed to multiply in nasal epithelial cells and spreads to other organs via the olfactory nerve, lymphatic system, and viremia.³

Although there have been reports comparing pathogenicity, physicochemical properties,³⁸ and gene sequences of MHV viral strains,^{39,40} no direct comparison of transmissibility has been conducted. To gain a better understanding of MHV epidemiology, we attempted to determine the basic reproduction numbers of three strains of MHV in mice. The basic reproduction number (R_0) is defined as the average number of secondary infections generated by one infected individual in a population in which all individuals are susceptible.^{41,42} We also examined viral distribution in mice infected with each MHV for a better understanding of the dynamics of MHV infection in mice. The results of this study suggest that viral growth in the gastrointestinal tract plays an important role in the transmissibility of MHV.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mice

Five-week-old, MHV-free, female C57BL/6J (B6) mice were purchased from Japan SLC. Some mice were inoculated

Microbiology and Immunology

intranasally with MHV and kept in cages in a negatively pressured isolator (KIS-145; Ishihara Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) in the animal room, which was maintained at a temperature of $23^{\circ}C \pm 5^{\circ}C$, humidity of $55\% \pm 5\%$, and 12 h illumination (light period: 8:00-20:00; dark period: 20:00-8:00). A plastic mouse breeding cage $(220 \times 160 \times$ 125 mm; Ishihara Corporation) with a stainless steel wire top was used. Each cage was filled with approximately 1000 mL bedding (ALPHA-dri; Shepherd Specialty Papers, Watertown, TN, USA). To avoid artificial transmission of MHV, the bedding was not changed during the experiment. Mice were fed y-ray-sterilized pellets (CRF-1; Oriental Yeast Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) and tap water ad libitum from a plastic bottle. The animal protocol was reviewed and approved (P14-974) by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the Graduate School of Agricultural and Life Sciences (University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan), and was conducted in accordance with the Animal Experiment Implementation Regulations and Animal Experiment Implementation Manual of the University of Tokyo.

Viruses and cells

Y,³² NuU,³³ and JHM variant $(2.2-V-1)^{36}$ strains were cultured with DBT cells, which are MHV sensitive.⁴³ The JHM variant 2.2-V-1 was a gift from Dr John O Fleming (University of Southern California School of Medicine, Los Angeles, CA then). DBT cells were cultured in Eagle's minimal essential medium (E-MEM, FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical Co., Osaka, Japan) containing 5% fetal bovine serum and 1% tryptose phosphate broth (Sigma-Aldrich Co., St. Louis, MO, USA) at 37°C in 5% CO₂ in humidified air.

Viral infection experiments

To determine the basic reproduction numbers of three strains of MHV in mice, the concentration of the Y, NuU, and JHM variant strains was adjusted to 1×10^4 PFU/ 0.02 mL saline (Otsuka Pharmaceutical Factory, Naruto, Japan) solution and inoculated intranasally using a micropipette into 5 week-old female B6 mice under isoflurane (Viatris, Canonsburg, PA, USA) inhalation anesthesia. Each inoculated mouse was maintained for 2 days in a cage. Then, one inoculated mouse was bred with two naïve 5 week-old, female B6 mice for 4 weeks. Four cages were prepared for the Y strain, and five cages for the NuU and JHM variant strains. Subsequently, all mice were killed. Serum was collected and frozen at -80°C until use. To determine viral growth in each organ in mice, B6 mice were inoculated intranasally with 1×10^4 PFU/0.02 mL each of the Y, NuU, and JHM variant strains. Four or five mice each were killed and subjected to necropsy on days 1, 3, and 5 after inoculation, and the brain, liver, jejunum, ileum, and colon were aseptically sampled and frozen at -80°C until use.

Microbiology and Immunology

Serological tests

The anti-MHV antibody titer in mouse serum was determined by ELISA using a commercially available ELISA kit (MONILISA MHV 96 well; Wakamoto Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan), and absorbance was measured using a plate reader. Transmission from MHV-inoculated mice to naïve mice was judged by seroconversion against MHV in naïve mice housed together with virus-inoculated mice.

Quantification of infectious virus

Quantification of infectious virus in organs was performed by the plaque assay.⁴⁴ Briefly, tissue samples from the brain, liver, jejunum, ileum, and colon were homogenized in chilled E-MEM to generate a 10% solution and then centrifuged at 1560 g for 10 min. Tenfold serial dilutions were prepared, and each dilution was assayed for infectious viruses in duplicate in DBT cells. For samples from the jejunum, ileum, and colon, 5 mg/mL gentamicin sulfate (FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical Co., Osaka, Japan) was added to E-MEM when generating the suspensions.

Calculation of basic reproduction numbers

In the mouse cohabitation experiment, the average number of antibody-positive mice derived from one infected mouse was calculated to be the basic reproduction number.

Statistical analyses

The data obtained in the experiments were subjected to statistical analyses by the Wilcoxon rank-sum test, with P < 0.05 considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Basic reproduction number of three MHV strains

To determine the basic reproduction numbers of three strains of MHV, naïve mice were cohabitated with mice inoculated with either the Y, NuU, or JHM variant strain for 4 weeks, and anti-MHV antibody production was examined by ELISA. In all four cages administered the Y strain, all naïve mice cohabitating with the mouse inoculated with the Y strain were seroconverted (Figure 1, Table S1). For the NuU strain, one naïve mouse out of two in two cages produced anti-MHV antibodies. All naïve mice in the remaining three cages were negative. Among the five cages administered the JHM variant strain, three inoculated mice showed a positive antibody response while the remaining two did not. Neither naïve mouse cohabitating with JHM variant-infected mice were



FIGURE 1 Transmission of three strains of MHV in mice by cohabitation in a cage. Four, five, and five B6 mice were inoculated intranasally with either the Y, NuU, or JHM variant of MHV, respectively. Two days later, each mouse was cohabitated with two naïve B6 mice in a cage and bred for 4 weeks. Sera were removed, and the anti-MHV antibody response was examined by ELISA. Gray and white colors indicate a positive and negative response, respectively. The squares and circles indicate the inoculated and naïve mice, respectively. Because two mice inoculated with the JHM variant had a negative antibody response (shown by dotted lines), data from these cages were excluded in the calculation of the basic reproduction number

TABLE 1 Basic reproduction number of three strains of MHV

Viral strain	Basic reproduction number
Y	≥2
NuU	0.4
JHM variant	0

seroconverted. The basic reproduction number was calculated after excluding the cages in which the inoculated mice did not show a positive antibody response. As shown in Table 1, the basic reproduction numbers of the Y, NuU, and JHM variant strains were determined to be ≥ 2 , 0.4, and 0, respectively.

Viral growth in organs in Y, NuU, or JHM variant-infected mice

To investigate the relationship between the basic reproduction number and viral growth in various organs in mice, viral growth in the brain, liver, jejunum, ileum, and colon was examined. Five-week-old, MHV-free female B6 mice (n = 4 or 5) were inoculated with 1×10^4 PFU of the Y, NuU, or JHM variant strains, and the brain, liver, jejunum, ileum, and colon were removed on days 1, 3, and 5 after inoculation. In mice inoculated with the Y and NuU strains, infectious virus was detected in all organs examined (Table 2, Figure 2, Table S2). By contrast, in mice inoculated with the JHM variant strain, infectious viruses in the jejunum, ileum, and colon were all below the detection limit. The amount of infectious virus detected in the brains of mice inoculated with the Y, NuU, and JHM variant strains tended to increase with each day of inoculation during the experiment (Figure 2). By contrast, there was no change in the mean amount of infectious virus detected in the liver of mice on days 3 and 5 after inoculation with the Y, NuU, and JHM variant strains. In mice inoculated with the Y and NuU strains, infectious virus was detected in the jejunum and ileum from day 1 after inoculation. In mice inoculated with the Y and NuU strains, the detection rate of infectious

TABLE 2Detection rates of infectiousviruses in various organs of mice inoculatedintranasally with three strains of MHV

Viral strain	Days after infection	Brain	Liver	Jejunum	Ileum	Colon
Y	1	0/5 (0%) ^a	1/5 (20%)	4/5 (80%)	1/5 (20%)	0/5 (0%)
	3	3/4 (75%)	4/4 (100%)	4/4 (100%)	1/4 (25%)	2/4 (50%)
	5	4/4 (100%)	3/4 (75%)	4/4 (100%)	3/4 (75%)	1/4 (25%)
NuU	1	0/4 (0%)	0/4 (0%)	4/4 (100%)	1/4 (25%)	0/4 (0%)
	3	3/4 (75%)	4/4 (100%)	3/4 (75%)	1/4 (25%)	1/4 (25%)
	5	4/4 (100%)	4/4 (100%)	3/4 (75%)	4/4 (100%)	2/4 (50%)
JHM variant	1	0/4 (0%)	0/4 (0%)	0/4 (0%)	0/4 (0%)	0/4 (0%)
	3	2/4 (50%)	2/4 (50%)	0/4 (0%)	0/4 (0%)	0/4 (0%)
	5	4/4 (100%)	1/4 (25%)	0/4 (0%)	0/4 (0%)	0/4 (0%)

^aNumber of samples with infectious virus detected in plaque assay/total number of samples.

virus up to 3 days after inoculation was higher in the jejunum than in the ileum (Table 2). In mice inoculated with the Y and NuU strains, the detection rate of infectious virus in the colon by day 5 after inoculation was less than 50%, which was the lowest among the organs examined (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

Basic reproduction number is an important epidemiological parameter defined as the average number of secondary infections generated by one infected individual in a population in which all individuals are susceptible.^{41,42} Basic reproduction number is not simple and is affected by numerous biological, sociobehavioral, and environmental factors that govern pathogen transmission.⁴⁵ In this study, we attempted to determine the basic reproduction number of MHV in mice in laboratory animal facilities. The data suggest that the Y strain is the most transmissible, and the JHM variant is not transmissible by cohabitation. There have been some reports addressing how MHV spreads among mice in laboratory animal facilities,^{46,47} but to the best of our knowledge, no study has examined the basic reproduction number of MHV in mice.

The basic reproduction numbers of the three MHV strains we reported here were obtained using only 5 week-old female B6 mice. However, various strains of mice of different ages and sexes are kept in laboratory animal facilities, and the susceptibility of each mouse to MHV may be different. When considering the transmission of MHV in a laboratory animal facility, in addition to the basic reproduction number of MHV, many relevant factors should be considered, including the aforesaid mouse characteristics, and environmental factors such as airflow, trafficking of human and breeding items, and methods of breeding.

MHV is one of the more transmissible pathogens in mice,¹⁶ and the basic reproduction numbers obtained in this study were smaller than our prediction. One of the reasons for the low basic reproduction number might be that we did

not perform bedding exchange in this experiment to prevent the virus from being artificially transmitted to mice by changing the bedding, but instead used cage containing a large amount of bedding (1000 mL) to prevent deterioration of the breeding environment of the mice. Subsequent experiments have shown that the amount of bedding tends to be inversely proportional to the propagation of MHV (Nakayama and Kyuwa, unpublished data), and we expect that the basic reproduction number of MHV would be much higher in cages with normal amount of bedding.

Microbiology and Immunology

Infectious virus was detected in all organs of mice inoculated with Y and NuU strains, while infectious virus in the jejunum, ileum, and colon of mice inoculated with the JHM variant was below the detection limit. The difference in transmissibility between the Y and JHM variants may be because the JHM variant is less likely to increase in the gastrointestinal tract and be excreted in the feces compared with the Y strain in the case of intranasal inoculation. The quantitative results of infectious virus in organs did not explain the difference in transmissibility between the Y and NuU strains. For both strains, examination of the amount of virus excreted in feces and excretion period using another assay, for example, quantitative RT-PCR, is expected to provide evidence of a difference in transmissibility. In addition, considering the infection route of MHV, we could not exclude the possibility of physicochemical stability of each viral strain in the external environment where mouse feces are discharged.

Barthold and Smith⁴⁸ detected infectious virus in the gastrointestinal tract at 3 and 5 days after intranasal inoculation of BALB/cByJ mice with 10³ of the median tissue culture infectious dose of the JHM strain. However, in this study, no infectious virus was detected in the gastrointestinal tract of C57BL/6J mice (Japan SLC, Hamamatsu, Japan) intranasally inoculated with the JHM strain. There are many substrains of JHM with different antigenicities.⁴⁹ The JHM strain used in this study is a variant strain resistant to S protein–specific monoclonal antibody³⁶ and is not identical to the strain used by Barthold and Smith⁴⁸



FIGURE 2 Viral growth in the organs of mice inoculated with the Y, NuU, and JHM variants. Viral titers of the (a) brain, (b) liver, (c) jejunum, (d) ileum, and (e) colon in mice inoculated intranasally with the Y (closed squares), NuU (closed triangles), or JHM variant (closed diamonds) of MHV were measured on days 1, 3, and 5 after infection. The horizontal black bar indicates the average of four or five samples. The detection limit of MHV in the plaque assay is indicated by the orange line. *P < 0.05

The reason for the different results from those of Barthold and Smith⁴⁸ may be due to the difference in viral strain and host (BALB/cByJ vs. B6).

The cage and rack system have been developed and marketed for the purpose of better microbiological control of laboratory animals. MHV is a representative pathogen for mice and is used for the evaluation of system functions.^{50,51} Based on our results, it may be possible to evaluate the protective function of cages and racks against MHV infection in mice by using a strain with relatively high transmissibility, such as the Y strain.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dr John O. Fleming for providing a JHM variant (2.2-V-1). The authors gratefully acknowledge Drs Shigeru Kakuta and Yoshiyuki Ishii (The University of Tokyo) for their encourage and Tetsuro Ikarashi and Shizuka Hayashi (The University of Tokyo) for their assistance. This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

DISCLOSURE

The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The animal protocol was reviewed and approved (P14-974) by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the Graduate School of Agricultural and Life Sciences (University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan), and was conducted in accordance with the Animal Experiment Implementation Regulations and Animal Experiment Implementation Manual of the University of Tokyo.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article and its supporting information (Tables S1 and S2).

ORCID

Shigeru Kyuwa D http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1793-8882

REFERENCES

- Convenor MM, Berard M, Feinstein R, et al. FELASA recommendations for the health monitoring of mouse, rat, hamster, guinea pig and rabbit colonies in breeding and experimental units. Lab Anim. 2014;48:178–92.
- Weiss SR, Leibowitz JL. Coronavirus pathogenesis. Adv Virus Res. 2011;81:85–164.
- Hirano N, Murakami T, Taguchi F, Fujiwara K, Matumoto M. Comparison of mouse hepatitis virus strains for pathogenicity in weanling mice infected by various routes. Arch Virol. 1981;70:69–73.
- Barthold SW. Host age and genotypic effects on enterotropic mouse hepatitis virus infection. Lab Anim Sci. 1987;37:36–40.
- Kyuwa S, Tagawa Y, Shibata S, Doi K, Machii K, Iwakura Y. Murine coronavirus-induced subacute fatal peritonitis in C57BL/6 mice deficient in gamma interferon. J Virol. 1998;72:9286–90.

- Arévalo AP, Pagotto R, Pórfido JL, et al. Ivermectin reduces *in vivo* coronavirus infection in a mouse experimental model. Sci Rep. 2021; 11:7132.
- Grabherr S, Ludewig B, Pikor NB. Insights into coronavirus immunity taught by the murine coronavirus. Eur J Immunol. 2021;51: 1062–70.
- Savarin C, Bergmann CC. Fine tuning the cytokine storm by IFN and IL-10 following neurotropic coronavirus encephalomyelitis. Front Immunol. 2018;9:3022.
- Sariol A, Mackin S, Allred MG, et al. Microglia depletion exacerbates demyelination and impairs remyelination in a neurotropic coronavirus infection. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2020;117:24464–74.
- Pan R, Zhang Q, Anthony SM, et al. Oligodendrocytes that survive acute coronavirus infection induce prolonged inflammatory responses in the CNS. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2020;117:15902–10.
- 11. Chakravarty D, Saadi F, Kundu S, et al. CD4 deficiency causes poliomyelitis and axonal blebbing in murine coronavirus-induced neuroinflammation. J Virol. 2020;94:e00548-20.
- 12. Gribble J, Stevens LJ, Agostini ML, et al. The coronavirus proofreading exoribonuclease mediates extensive viral recombination. PLoS Pathog. 2021;17:e1009226.
- Cong Y, Ulasli M, Schepers H, et al. Nucleocapsid protein recruitment to replication-transcription complexes plays a crucial role in coronaviral life cycle. J Virol. 2020;94:e01925–19.
- Ma B, Gundy PM, Gerba CP, Sobsey MD, Linden KG. UV Inactivation of SARS-CoV-2 across the UVC spectrum: KrCl* excimer, mercury-vapor, and LED sources. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2021;87:e0153221.
- 15. Pereira Oliveira G, Kroon EG. Mouse hepatitis virus: a betacoronavirus model to study the virucidal activity of air disinfection equipment on surface contamination. J Virol Methods. 2021;297: 114274.
- Pritchett-Corning KR, Cosentino J, Clifford CB. Contemporary prevalence of infectious agents in laboratory mice and rats. Lab Anim. 2009;43:165–73.
- 17. Ishida T, Fujiwara K. Pathology of diarrhea due to mouse hepatitis virus in the infant mouse. Jpn J Exp Med. 1979;49:33-41.
- Sebesteny A, Hill AC. Hepatitis and brain lesions due to mouse hepatitis virus accompanied by wasting in nude mice. Lab Anim. 1974;8: 317–26.
- Nicklas W, Homberger FR, Illgen-Wilcke B, et al. Implications of infectious agents on results of animal experiments. Report of the Working Group on Hygiene of the Gesellschaft für Versuchstierkunde-Society for Laboratory Animal Science (GV-SOLAS). Lab Anim. 1999; 33(Suppl 1):S39–87.
- de Bruin WC, van de Ven EM, Hooijmans CR. Efficacy of soiled bedding transfer for transmission of mouse and rat infections to sentinels: a systematic review. PLoS One. 2016;11:e0158410.
- Compton SR, Ball-Goodrich LJ, Paturzo FX, et al. Transmission of enterotropic mouse hepatitis virus from immunocompetent and immunodeficient mice. Comp Med. 2004;54:29–35.
- Shek WR, Smith Al, Pritchett-Corning TR. Microbiological quality control for laboratory rodents and lagomorphs. In: Fox, JG, Anderson, LC, Otto, GM et al., (editors). Laboratory animal medicine. 3rd ed. Amsterdam: Academic Press; 2015. p. 463–510
- Becker SD, Bennett M, Stewart JP, Hurst JL. Serological survey of virus infection among wild mouse mice (*Mus domesticus*) in the UK. Lab Anim. 2007;41:229–38.
- Dammann P, Hilken G, Hueber B, Köhl W, Bappert MT, Mähler M. Infectious microorganisms in mice (*Mus musculus*) purchased from commercial pet shops in Germany. Lab Anim. 2011;45:271–275.
- Hayashimoto N, Morita H, Ishida T, et al. Microbiological survey of mice (*Mus musculus*) purchased from commercial pet shops in Kanagawa and Tokyo, Japan. Exp Anim. 2015;64:155–60.

Microbiology and Immunology

- Kyuwa S. Replication of murine coronaviruses in mouse embryonic stem cell lines *in vitro*. Exp Anim. 1997;46:311–313.
- 27. Maherbir E, Bauer B, Schmidt J. Rodent and germplasm trafficking: risks of microbial contamination in a high-tech biomedical world. ILAR J. 2008;49:347–55.
- Casebolt DB, Qian B, Stephensen CB. Detection of enterotropic mouse hepatitis virus fecal excretion by polymerase chain reaction. Lab Anim Sci. 1997;47:6–10.
- Yamada YK, Yabe M, Takimoto K, Nakayama K, Saitoh M. Application of nested polymerase chain reaction to detection of mouse hepatitis virus in fecal specimens during a natural outbreak in an immunodeficient mouse colony. Exp Anim. 1998;47: 261–264.
- Hanaki KI, Ike F, Hatakeyama R, Hirano N. Reverse transcriptionloop-mediated isothermal amplification for the detection of rodent coronaviruses. J Virol Methods. 2013;187:222–227.
- 31. Barthold SW, Smith AL. Mouse hepatitis virus strain-related patterns of tissue tropism in suckling mice. Arch Virol. 1984;81:103–12.
- Barthold SW, Smith AL, Lord PF, et al. Epizootic coronaviral typhlocolitis in suckling mice. Lab Anim Sci. 1982;32:376–83.
- Hirano N, Tamura T, Taguchi F, et al. Isolation of low-virulent mouse hepatitis virus from nude mice with wasting syndrome and hepatitis. Jpn J Exp Med. 1975;45:429–32.
- Cheever FS, Mueller JH. Epidemic diarrheal disease of suckling mice: I. manifestations, epidemiology, and attempts to transmit the disease. J Exp Med. 1947;85:405–16.
- Cheever FS, Daniels JB, Pappenheimer AM, Bailey OT. A murine virus (JHM) causing disseminated encephalomyelitis with extensive destruction of myelin. J Exp Med. 1949;90:181–210.
- Fleming JO, Trousdale MD, el-Zaatari FA, Stohlman SA, Weiner LP. Pathogenicity of antigenic variants of murine coronavirus JHM selected with monoclonal antibodies. J Virol. 1986;58:869–75.
- 37. Perlman S, Sun N, Barnett EM. Spread of MHV-JHM from nasal cavity to white matter of spinal cord. Transneuronal movement and involvement of astrocytes. Adv Exp Med Biol. 1995;380:73–8.
- Hirano N, Ono K, Matumoto M. Comparison of physicochemical properties of mouse hepatitis virus strains. Nihon Juigaku Zasshi. 1989;51:665–667.
- Homberger FR. Sequence analysis of the nucleoprotein genes of three enterotropic strains of murine coronavirus. Arch Virol. 1995;140: 571–579.
- 40. Yamada YK, Yabe M. Sequence analysis of major structural proteins of newly isolated mouse hepatitis virus. Exp Anim. 2000;49:61–6.
- 41. Diekmann O, Heesterbeek JAP, Metz JAJ. On the definition and the computation of the basic reproduction ratio R_0 in models for infectious diseases in heterogeneous populations. J Math Biol. 1990;28: 365–82.
- 42. Fraser C, Donnelly CA, Cauchemez S, et al. Pandemic potential of a strain of influenza A (H1N1): early findings. Science. 2009;324: 1557–61.
- Hirano N, Fujiwara K, Matumoto M. Mouse hepatitis virus (MHV-2). Plaque assay and propagation in mouse cell line DBT cells. Jpn J Microbiol. 1976;20:219–25.
- Hirano N, Fujiwara K, Hino S, Matumoto M. Replication and plaque formation of mouse hepatitis virus (MHV-2) in mouse cell line DBT culture. Arch Gesamte Virusforsch. 1974;44:298–302.
- 45. Delamater PL, Street EJ, Leslie TF, Yang YT, Jacobsen KH. Complexity of the basic reproduction number (R_0) . Emerg Infect Dis. 2019;25:1–4.
- Rehg JE, Toth LA. Rodent quarantine programs: purpose, principles, and practice. Lab Anim Sci. 1998;48:438–47.
- 47. Compton SR, Ball-Goodrich LJ, Paturzo FX, et al. Transmission of enterotropic mouse hepatitis virus from immunocompetent and immunodeficient mice. Comp Med. 2004;54:29–35.

172

Microbiology and Immunology

- Barthold SW, Smith AL. Response of genetically susceptible and resistant mice to intranasal inoculation with mouse hepatitis virus JHM. Virus Res. 1987;7:225–39.
- Taguchi F, Fleming JO. Comparison of six different murine coronavirus JHM variants by monoclonal antibodies against the E2 glycoprotein. Virology. 1989;169:233–235.
- Lipman NS, Corning BF, Saifuddin M. Evaluation of isolator caging systems for protection of mice against challenge with mouse hepatitis virus. Lab Anim. 1993;27:134–40.
- 51. Macy JD, Cameron GA, Ellis SL, et al. Assessment of static isolator cages with automatic watering when used with conventional husbandry techniques as a factor in the transmission of mouse hepatitis virus. Contemp Top Lab Anim Sci. 2002;41:30–5.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of the article at the publisher's website.

How to cite this article: Nakayama M and Kyuwa S. Basic reproduction numbers of three strains of mouse hepatitis viruses in mice. Microbiol Immunol. 2022; 66:166–172. https://doi.org/10.1111/1348-0421.12961