



Tuning the Cytokine Responses: An Update on Interleukin (IL)-4 and IL-13 Receptor Complexes

Ilkka S. Junttila^{1,2*}

¹ Cytokine Biology Research Group, Faculty of Medicine and Life Sciences, University of Tampere, Tampere, Finland, ² Department of Clinical Microbiology, Fimlab Laboratories, Tampere, Finland

Interleukin (IL)-4 and IL-13 are related cytokines that regulate many aspects of allergic inflammation. They play important roles in regulating the responses of lymphocytes, myeloid cells, and non-hematopoietic cells. In T-cells, IL-4 induces the differentiation of naïve CD4 T cells into Th2 cells, in B cells, IL-4 drives the immunoglobulin (lg) class switch to IgG1 and IgE, and in macrophages, IL-4 and IL-13 induce alternative macrophage activation. This review gives a short insight into the functional formation of these cytokine receptors. I will discuss both the binding kinetics of ligand/receptor interactions and the expression of the receptor chains for these cytokines in various cell types; both of which are crucial factors in explaining the efficiency by which these cytokines induce intracellular signaling and gene expression. Work initiated in part by William (Bill) E. Paul on IL-4 some 30 years ago has now grown into a major building block of our current understanding of basic immunology and the immune response. This knowledge on IL-4 has growing clinical importance, as therapeutic approaches targeting the cytokine and its signal transduction are becoming a part of the clinical practice in treating allergic diseases. Just by reading the reference list of this short review, one can appreciate the enormous input Bill has had on shaping our understanding of the pathophysiology of allergic inflammation and in particular the role of IL-4 in this process.

Keywords: interleukin-4, signal transduction, STAT6, interleukin-4 receptor, cytokine signaling, allergic inflammation

INTRODUCTION

Allergic inflammation is an inappropriately controlled inflammatory response with characteristic hallmarks of eosinophilia, elevated immunoglobulin (Ig)E-levels, increased mucus production, and typical cytokine/chemokine expression. Clinically, these basic pathophysiological mechanisms result in symptoms varying from mild skin rash (atopic dermatitis) and runny nose (allergic rhinitis) to life-threatening problems in breathing (allergic asthma). This inflammatory process from the very initiation is critically regulated by cytokines and chemokines. The cytokines regulate cellular responses on transcriptional level, while chemokines play a role in recruiting inflammatory cells to the sites on inflammation. One of the central cytokines regulating allergic inflammation is interleukin (IL)-4 and since its cloning, efforts targeting IL-4 have been made to decrease IL-4-induced inflammation. In part, these efforts have been slowed down by the receptor of IL-4, which is ubiquitously expressed and easily saturated by the ligand. In this minireview, I briefly discuss the receptor system of IL-4 that is also shared by IL-13, how it elicits signaling, and how it has been recently therapeutically

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Joshua Milner, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID), United States

Reviewed by:

Amit Awasthi, Translational Health Science and Technology Institute, India Paula M. Oliver, University of Pennsylvania, United States

*Correspondence:

llkka S. Junttila ilkka.junttila@uta.fi

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to T Cell Biology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

Received: 08 December 2017 Accepted: 10 April 2018 Published: 07 June 2018

Citation:

Junttila IS (2018) Tuning the Cytokine Responses: An Update on Interleukin (IL)-4 and IL-13 Receptor Complexes. Front. Immunol. 9:888. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.00888 targeted. I also highlight the enormous input of Bill Paul in this field; learning the story of IL-4 is not only about IL-4 but has also helped in unfolding more profound biological phenomenon in how T cells can dynamically respond to changes in environment to output an appropriate response.

IL-4 AND IL-13 PRODUCTION

Interleukin-4 and IL-13 are the signature cytokines of the type II inflammatory response. They are key players in the inflammatory response triggered either by an invading parasite or allergen. The cellular sources of IL-4 and IL-13 have been studied extensively and along with CD4 T cells, basophils, eosinophils, mast cells, and NK T cells, appropriately stimulated ILC2 cells have the ability to produce IL-4 and IL-13 (1–9).

The genomic locus, where IL-4 and IL-13 are produced (along with IL-5), is called the Th2 cytokine locus, which is located on chromosome 5 in humans and on chromosome 11 in mice and is under the control of the locus control region (LCR) of the Rad 50 gene (10, 11). The LCR in CD4 T-cells is indispensable for the production of IL-4 and IL-13 in vivo (12). The production of the two cytokines is not identical though: IL-4 production is calcineurin dependent, whereas IL-13 production is only partially dependent on calcineurin (13). Upon the appropriate stimulation of the cells, the LCR of the Th2 cytokine locus is epigenetically modified to allow the access of transcription factors to the DNA and the subsequent transcription of these cytokines. This complex regulation was recently reviewed in detail (10). Interestingly and in line with findings in mice, a polymorphism in the murine equivalent of the DNase I hypersensitive site (RHS)7 in humans affects DNA methylation and gene expression at 5q31 and subsequently IgE levels on a population level (14).

IL-4 RECEPTOR SYSTEM

When IL-4 or IL-13 is released from T cells, cells carrying the receptors for these cytokines will respond. For IL-4 and IL-13, the unique utilization of the STAT6 transcription factor in the signaling they elicit allows them to execute specific functions on different cell types; IL-4 is the regulator of lymphocyte functions (Th2 differentiation and B-cell IgG1 and IgE class switch), whereas IL-13 is an effector cytokine, regulating smooth cell muscle contraction and mucus production in the airway epithelium, for example, in allergic asthma (15). In addition to IL-4 and IL-13, one report has shown that at least in human cells, thymic stromal lymphopoietin (TSLP) can induce the tyrosine phosphorylation of STAT6 (16), TSLP signaling will be discussed in detail below.

The cytokine-binding receptor chain for IL-4 is IL-4R α . This receptor chain is widely expressed, most cells carry at least low numbers of this receptor chain. Upon IL-4 binding to IL-4R α , the IL-4/IL-4R α -complex will bind a secondary receptor chain, either IL-2R γ c (γ c) or IL-13R α 1 (**Figure 1**). The expression of these secondary chains varies among different cell types. In non-hematopoietic cells, γ c expression is low or absent, whereas higher amounts of IL-13R α 1 are expressed in these cells. By contrast, lymphocytes express only low levels of IL-13R α 1 and relatively large amounts of γ c. Finally, myeloid cells fall in between



FIGURE 1 | Type I and type II interleukin (IL)-4 receptor components and cellular distribution. Type I IL-4 receptor is mainly expressed in hematopoietic cells, and specifically in lymphocytes (left part) very little or no expression of type II receptor is observed. In non-hematopoietic cells, such as epithelial cells (right part), very little or no expression of type I IL-4 receptor is observed. Instead, type II IL-4 receptor is readily expressed and subsequently these cells are also responsive to IL-13 that utilizes type II IL-4 receptor, but "drives" it into opposite direction than IL-4. Myeloid cells (not pictured) fall in between these two cell types as they express both type I and type II IL-4 receptors.

non-hematopoietic cells and lymphocytes, as they express of both IL-13R α 1 and γ c.

Interleukin-4 and IL-13 regulate cellular functions and activate transcriptional machinery *via* cell surface receptors. For IL-4, binding of the cytokine to a single cell surface receptor chain (IL-4R α) generates a ligand/receptor complex that requires the recruitment of a third receptor chain to form a functional receptor complex. The receptor formed by IL-4/IL-4R α with γc is a type I IL-4 receptor and the IL-4/IL-4R α complex binding IL-13R α 1 is a type II IL-4 receptor (17). Thus, based on their tissue distribution, the type I IL-4 receptor is found in lymphocytes and myeloid cells, and the type II IL-4 receptor is expressed in myeloid cells and all non-hematopoietic cells. The binding of IL-4 to IL-4R α occurs with high affinity (Kd in the order of 10·10 M⁻¹). This effectively means that at very low concentrations of IL-4 it can maximally occupy the receptor chains at a given cell surface.

It was originally assumed that the secondary recruitment of either γc or IL-13R α 1 into the IL-4/IL-4R α dimer would occur with substantially lower affinity than the primary binding of IL-4 to IL-4R α (18, 19). The expression levels of the secondary receptor chain would then become important. As the primary receptor chain for IL-4 is saturated easily, the formation of a

functional receptor complex could be dictated by the availability of the second receptor chain (20). However, the initial binding measurements for the IL-4/IL-4Ra complex binding to yc or IL-13Rα1 were carried out in free solution. Cell membranebound yc and IL-13Ra1 behave differently in recruiting the IL-4/IL-4Rα complex under conditions of maximal ligand occupancy (21). While the recruitment of membrane-bound γc is relatively inefficient, the recruitment of IL-13Ra1 takes place roughly with the same efficiency as does the IL-13 driven IL-13/ IL-13R α 1 binding to IL-4R α (21). The authors suggested that early endosomes concentrated the receptor chains underneath the plasma membrane. However, if this is the case, it still remains unclear how IL-4 and IL-13 induce the phosphorylation of STAT6 differently in type I IL-4R-deficient macrophages from different locations, namely, BMDM and peritoneal cavity macrophages (20). If it is not the differential expression of IL-13R α 1 that explains the difference in the cytokine response between these macrophage populations, then a more profound difference in the IL-4Rα-induced STAT6 signaling pathway must be involved which remains uncharacterized. One plausible explanation might be differences in receptor endocytosis between the cells. For IL-13-induced type II IL-4 receptor signaling, IL-13 variants showing decreased IL-4Rα recruitment to the complex indicate that STAT6 signaling is regulated by receptor endocytosis (22). Quite recently, the role of the receptor transmembrane domain in regulating the recruitment of the type II IL-4 receptor has also become appreciated, and the cell type specific actin-dependent membrane microcompartments may participate in dictating the signaling potency of the type II IL-4R (23).

Once completely assembled, the IL-4 receptor complexes will induce intracellular signaling. The binding of IL-4 to the ectodomain of the IL-4R α and subsequently to γc or IL-13R α 1, induces a conformational change in the intracellular receptor domains allowing the activation of intracellular signaling molecules. The Jak kinases, associated with yc (Jak3), IL-4Ra (Jak1), or IL-13Ra1 (Tyk2, Jak2), will auto- and cross-phosphorylate each other, resulting in their activation and the subsequent tyrosine (Y) phosphorylation of critical Y residues in IL-4R α chain. Upon phosphorylation, the Y residues in the intracellular domains of IL-4R α serve as docking sites for SH domains of intracellular signaling molecules (17). STAT6 and IRS molecules, in particular, become activated on these tyrosine residues in response to the activation of the type I IL-4 receptor. By contrast, the type II IL-4 receptor is unable to activate IRS significantly, whereas the activation of STAT6 occurs quite efficiently, which also means that IL-4 (via type I IL-4 receptor) activates IRS2 efficiently while IL-13 does not (24). Once activated, STAT6 molecules homodimerize and translocate to the nucleus where they bind specific accessible DNA sequences, for example, on the CD23 promoter in human B-cells and on the arginase1 enhancer in mouse macrophages (25, 26). IRS molecules do not translocate to the nucleus, but rather, they activate signaling pathways independent of STAT6 including PI3K, Akt, PKBE, and mTOR [reviewed in Ref. (27)].

In addition to signaling events that elicit transcriptional changes, pathways that negatively regulate activated signaling pathways are also upregulated by IL-4. Phosphatases, SOCS, and PIAS proteins all participate in the downregulation of the elicited signal, for detailed reviews on these inhibitory mechanisms, see Ref. (28, 29).

IL-13 RECEPTOR SYSTEM

Like IL-4, IL-13 also has two receptors, but unlike IL-4, IL-13 utilizes two separate binding chains, namely, IL-13R α 1 and IL-13R α 2. Thus, the decision of whether a type I or a type II IL-4 receptor is formed occurs after the IL-4/IL-4Ra complex is formed, whereas IL-13 binding upon either IL-13Ra1 or IL-13Ra2 determines which receptor IL-13 utilizes. IL-13Ra2 binds IL-13 with higher affinity than IL-13Rα1. The role of IL-13Rα2 in IL-13 biology has been somewhat elusive, and it has been considered merely a decoy receptor that binds free IL-13 strongly, without eliciting signaling, and thus would serve as a "neutralizer" of IL-13, by efficiently internalizing IL-13 from extracellular spaces. Further studies on IL-13R α 2 have shown that the receptor chain is not only a decoy receptor. Indeed, Fichtner-Feigl and colleagues showed a role for IL-13Rα2-mediated signaling that required the cytoplasmic tail of IL-13R α 2 in the production of TGF- β 1 providing evidence for IL-13R α 2-mediated signaling (30).

The IL-13R α 1-bound IL-13 "drives" the type II IL-4 receptor into the opposite direction, as does IL-4 (**Figure 1**). Thus, IL-13 binds IL-13R α 1, and the IL-13/IL-13R α 1 complex then recruits IL-4R α into the functional receptor complex. The fully assembled receptor complex then activates the STAT6 transcription factor, but like IL-4 *via* the type II IL-4 receptor, IL-13 is a poor inducer of IRS activation through this receptor (24). The binding of IL-13 to IL-13R α 1 is relatively inefficient, indicating that once IL-13/ IL-13R α 1 binding occurs, the ensuing formation of the functional receptor complex is likely. However, lowering the IL-13/ IL-13R α 1-binding capability to IL-4R α requires a substantial decrease in the second binding step to result in lowered STAT6 activation (22).

IL-4- AND IL-13-INDUCED SIGNALING: A COMPARISON OF SIGNALING INDUCED BY THE TWO CYTOKINES

Depending on the cell type, IL-4 and IL-13 both can activate STAT6 (**Figure 1**). As IRS2 is only weakly induced by type II IL-4 receptor [and thus IL-13; (24)], intracellular signaling elicited by the two cytokines is somewhat different. By inducing IRS2, IL-4 subsequently activates various pathways including Sos/Ras, PI3K/Akt, PKB/mTOR, or PKC [reviewed in Ref. (31)]. Of these pathways, mTOR has recently been linked to CD4 Th2 cell differentiation as well as alternative macrophage activation these results were recently thoroughly reviewed (32). Unfortunately, experimental therapeutic efforts targeting mTOR in murine allergic disease models have failed (33). Here, it is of note though that mTOR-based approaches target type I IL-4 receptor (i.e., IRS2 signaling), while many disadvantageous IL-4 effects, such as compromised epithelial barrier function, arise from IL-4 signaling *via* type II IL-4 receptor (34).

As pointed out earlier, lymphocytes respond poorly to IL-13. The expression of IL-4R α (i.e., type I IL-4 receptor) plays thus

a main role in lymphocyte responses to IL-4. The expression of IL-4R α in naïve lymphocyte is relatively low and *in vitro*, a STAT5-dependent, STAT6-independent signal likely enhances IL-4R α expression, which then in an autocrine manner, further upregulates IL-4R α expression (35). Th2 cells then express large amounts of IL-4R α and are further stimulated *via* IL-4. In case of Th1 or Th17 cells, the lack of IL-4-positive signal inhibits the upregulation of IL-4R α , but in the case of Th1 cells, for example, the differentiation does not ablate the ability of the cells to respond to IL-4 (36). Interestingly, Th17 cells do express IL-13R α 1 (37).

For ILCs, the expression of IL-4 and IL-13 receptor(s) is still unclear. Several reports have established the ILC2-derived IL-13 acting on target cells *via* type II IL-4 receptor as a mechanism for several physiological functions such as beige fat biogenesis (38) or hepatic fibrosis (39) but if ILC2-derived IL-13 can act on autocrine manner has not been established. Future experiments will also be warranted to reveal if IL-4R α is differently expressed between ILC subtypes to tune the cells either to IL-4 or IL-13.

THERAPEUTIC UTILIZATION OF THE IL-4 RECEPTOR SYSTEM

The road for IL-4- and IL-4R-based treatments from bench to bedside has been a long and winding one (40). IL-4 has been considered a therapeutic target for boosting and redirecting T and B cell functions, but the usage of IL-4 itself has been problematic, not least due to the harmful side effects of activating the type II IL-4 receptor in non-hematopoietic cells (34). Furthermore, in mice, IL-4, but not IL-13, induced weight loss and spontaneous erythrophagocytosis (41). Theoretically, in this sense, an IL-4 that could activate only the type I IL-4 receptor but not the type II receptor could be advantageous. Structural studies of human IL-4 receptor complexes (18) indicated that once IL-4 is bound to IL-4Rα, the D-helix of IL-4 faces the secondary receptor chain and forms the interacting surface of IL-4/IL-4R α to the second chain in question. This opened up opportunities to mutate the structure of the human IL-4 at the D-helix in a way that left the IL-4/IL-4R α interaction intact but allowed the binding efficiencies of the IL-4/IL-4Ra complexes toward either γc or IL-13R $\alpha 1$ to be altered. These studies indicated that a 1,000-fold induction in the recruitment of the IL-4/IL-4Ra complex to the secondary chain had surprisingly little effect on the immediate signaling induced by such an IL-4-mutant, as measured by STAT6 activation (42) and similar results were obtained with IL-13Ra1 bound IL-13 mutants with varying abilities to recruit IL-4Rα into the type II IL-4 receptor complex (22). However, in the case of the type I IL-4 receptor, when the availability of the second chain (γc) was decreased with a blocking antibody, the difference between the WT and the type I receptor-specific IL-4 mutant became more evident, suggesting that such IL-4 mutants could be used to redirect IL-4 responses into cells expressing small amounts of second chains for IL-4/IL-4Rα complexes (42).

When considering the harmful effects arising from excess IL-4 and IL-13, in for example allergies, knowledge of the

structural and functional characteristics of the IL-4 receptors and their unique signaling via STAT6 has been useful in efforts to therapeutically modify IL-4/IL-13 biology. As an example of some therapeutic approaches used are indicated in Table 1. A set of monoclonal antibodies for blocking different aspect of the early events of IL-4 and IL-13 signaling are being considered for wider clinical use: dupilumab (43)-a monoclonal blocking antibody for IL-4Ra-lebrikizumab (44), anrukinzumab (45), tralokinumab (46)-blocking antibodies for IL-13-and pascolizumab—a blocking antibody for IL-4 (47) among others. Furthermore, pitrakinra, an IL-4 receptor antagonist that upon binding IL-4R α , blocks both type I and type II IL-4 receptors has showed initial efficacy in clinical trials (48). The utilization of biological approaches to target IL-4/IL-13 pathways requires an understanding of the pathophysiological process underlying the inflammatory response. The cell type- and tissue-specific distribution of the IL-4/IL-13 receptor components adds to the complexity of the picture and probably in part explains this long and winding road of IL-4R system-based treatments from the initial cloning of the receptor and cytokines to the development of useful clinical applications. Interestingly, STAT6 inhibitor (AS1517499) has shown some potential in inhibiting prostate cancer cell growth [Table 1; (49)], which opens new possibilities in targeting the IL-4/IL-13 signaling therapeutically even beyond allergic diseases.

ANOTHER SHARED CYTOKINE RECEPTOR SYSTEM: IL-7/TSLP

An analogous way of sharing cytokine receptor chains, as seen in the IL-4/IL-13 system, can be found in IL-7/TSLP receptor signaling. In this system, IL-7-bound-IL-7R α binds γ c and thus forms the complete IL-7 receptor, while TSLP binds TSLPR and then recruits IL-7R α to the complex [reviewed in Ref. (50)]. Thus, theoretically, the IL-7/IL-7R α / γ c complex resembles the type I IL-4 and TSLP/TSLPR/IL-7R α resembles the type II IL-4 receptor "driven" by TSLP. Furthermore, it is intriguing that TSLPR and γ c are closely related structurally, sharing 24% identity to the common γ receptor chain (γ c) (51, 52) with certain specific features associated with TSLPR as opposed to other type I cytokine receptors, including the PSxW(S/T) sequence cassette as opposed to WSxWS in the membrane proximal

TABLE 1 | Examples of various steps interleukin (IL)-4/IL-13 signaling could potentially be targeted.

Molecule name	Target molecule	Potential	Reference
Dupilumab	IL-4Ra	IL-4- and IL-13-mediated signaling	(43)
Pitrakinra	IL-4Ra	IL-4- and IL-13- mediated signaling	(48)
Leprikizumab	IL-13	IL-13-mediated signaling	(44)
Anrukinzumab	IL-13	IL-13-mediated signaling	(45)
Tralokinumab	IL-13	IL-13-mediated signaling	(46)
Pascolizumab	IL-4	IL-4-mediated signaling (trials aborted)	(47)
AS1517499	STAT6	IL-4- and IL-13-mediated signaling/ transcription/proliferation in prostate cancer cells	(49)

domain (53). However, this is where the analogy ends, as IL-7/ IL-7Rα does not recruit TSLPR, but only γc to the receptor complex. Functionally, it seems that the IL-4/IL-13 receptor is "tuned" for differential purposes than is the IL-7/TSLP system. IL-4Rα is expressed ubiquitously and the second receptor chain (either γc or IL-13R α 1) is also widely distributed. Thus, IL-4 has access to virtually all cell types, and it can saturate receptors at low concentrations due to the efficient primary binding of IL-4 to IL-4R α . For IL-13, the cytokine concentration required to saturate IL-13Ra1 needs to be higher as the binding efficiency of IL-13 binding to IL-13Rα1 is lower. In line with this, when PBMCs from atopic patients were stimulated with a mite allergen, the cells produced over 20 times more IL-13 than IL-4 (54). The notion of the "effector" function of IL-13 in, for example, parasite expulsion, combined with the known toxicity of IL-4, suggest that the system has evolved in a way that protects peripheral tissues from the toxicity of IL-4 by tuning the receptors in the periphery to be more responsive to IL-13 than to IL-4.

In the IL-7/TSLP system, the differential anatomical expression of the cytokines suggests that the sharing of the cytokine receptors might occur, because the cytokines are not expressed in same sites and thus would not limit the signaling of each other. Regulating the expression of just one receptor chain on the cell surface (IL-7R α), will affect both. However, there are likely further lessons to be learned from TSLP and its functional receptors. Recently, neutrophils in mice were found to respond to TSLP (55), whereas at least in humans, neutrophils do not likely express IL-7R α (56). It was recently also shown that dynamic IL-7R α expression on DCs was required for IL-7 and TSLP responses (57), so one possibility might be that IL-7R α is under very stringent regulation and is only upregulated in various cell types under very specific conditions.

REFERENCES

- 1. Mosmann TR, Cherwinski H, Bond MW, Giedlin MA, Coffman RL. Two types of murine helper T cell clone. I. Definition according to profiles of lymphokine activities and secreted proteins. *J Immunol* (1986) 136:2348–57.
- Yoshimoto T, Paul WE. CD4pos, NK1.1pos T cells promptly produce interleukin 4 in response to in vivo challenge with anti-CD3. *J Exp Med* (1994) 179:1285–95. doi:10.1084/jem.179.4.1285
- Seder RA, Paul WE, Dvorak AM, Sharkis SJ, Kagey-Sobotka A, Niv Y, et al. Mouse splenic and bone marrow cell populations that express high-affinity Fc epsilon receptors and produce interleukin 4 are highly enriched in basophils. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (1991) 88:2835–9. doi:10.1073/pnas.88.7. 2835
- Brown MA, Pierce JH, Watson CJ, Falco J, Ihle JN, Paul WE. B cell stimulatory factor-1/interleukin-4 mRNA is expressed by normal and transformed mast cells. *Cell* (1987) 50:809–18. doi:10.1016/0092-8674(87)90339-4
- Nonaka M, Nonaka R, Woolley K, Adelroth E, Miura K, Okhawara Y, et al. Distinct immunohistochemical localization of IL-4 in human inflamed airway tissues. IL-4 is localized to eosinophils in vivo and is released by peripheral blood eosinophils. *J Immunol* (1995) 155:3234–44.
- Moqbel R, Ying S, Barkans J, Newman TM, Kimmitt P, Wakelin M, et al. Identification of messenger RNA for IL-4 in human eosinophils with granule localization and release of the translated product. *J Immunol* (1995) 155:4939–47.
- Moro K, Yamada T, Tanabe M, Takeuchi T, Ikawa T, Kawamoto H, et al. Innate production of T(H)2 cytokines by adipose tissue-associated c-Kit(+)Sca-1(+) lymphoid cells. *Nature* (2010) 463:540–4. doi:10.1038/ nature08636

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Taken together, the organization and binding events of type I and type II IL-4 receptors have been reviewed here. The efficiency by which a functional IL-4/IL-13 receptor is formed appears to be a sum of three parameters. First, the binding efficiency of a cytokine to the cytokine-binding receptor chain dictates the concentration of the cytokine required for the saturation of the cytokinebinding receptor chain. Second, the binding efficiency of the cytokine/binding chain to the second receptor chain dictates the driving force for the completion of the receptor complex. Third, the expression level of the second receptor chain determines the availability of the second chains, at least in free fluid. All of these three parameters influence the efficiency of IL-4/IL-13 signaling and thereby tune the signal of the immune response in allergic inflammation.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

IJ planned and wrote the MS.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Dedicated to the memory of my mentor William E. Paul to whom we owe so much of our current understanding of IL-4 cytokine and to whom I owe so much of my achievements in science. IJ is funded by grants from Sigrid Juselius Foundation, Finnish Medical Foundation, Academy of Finland (projects 25013080481 and 25013142041), Tampere Children's Hospital Support Association (Tampereen Lastenklinikan Tuki ry), and Tampere Tuberculosis Foundation and Competitive State Research Funding for Fimlab Laboratories (Grant number: X51409). Dr. Helen Cooper is thanked for the language editing of the MS.

- Neill DR, Wong SH, Bellosi A, Flynn RJ, Daly M, Langford TK, et al. Nuocytes represent a new innate effector leukocyte that mediates type-2 immunity. *Nature* (2010) 464:1367–70. doi:10.1038/nature08900
- Saenz SA, Siracusa MC, Perrigoue JG, Spencer SP, Urban JF Jr, Tocker JE, et al. IL25 elicits a multipotent progenitor cell population that promotes T(H)2 cytokine responses. *Nature* (2010) 464:1362–6. doi:10.1038/nature08901
- Zhu J. T helper 2 (Th2) cell differentiation, type 2 innate lymphoid cell (ILC2) development and regulation of interleukin-4 (IL-4) and IL-13 production. *Cytokine* (2015) 75:14–24. doi:10.1016/j.cyto.2015.05.010
- Ansel KM, Djuretic I, Tanasa B, Rao A. Regulation of Th2 differentiation and IL4 locus accessibility. Annu Rev Immunol (2006) 24:607–56. doi:10.1146/ annurev.immunol.23.021704.115821
- Koh BH, Hwang SS, Kim JY, Lee W, Kang MJ, Lee CG, et al. Th2 LCR is essential for regulation of Th2 cytokine genes and for pathogenesis of allergic asthma. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (2010) 107:10614–9. doi:10.1073/pnas.1005383107
- Guo L, Urban JF, Zhu J, Paul WE. Elevating calcium in Th2 cells activates multiple pathways to induce IL-4 transcription and mRNA stabilization. *J Immunol* (2008) 181:3984–93. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.181.6.3984
- Schieck M, Sharma V, Michel S, Toncheva AA, Worth L, Potaczek DP, et al. A polymorphism in the TH 2 locus control region is associated with changes in DNA methylation and gene expression. *Allergy* (2014) 69:1171–80. doi:10.1111/all.12450
- Wills-Karp M, Luyimbazi J, Xu X, Schofield B, Neben TY, Karp CL, et al. Interleukin-13: central mediator of allergic asthma. *Science* (1998) 282:2258–61. doi:10.1126/science.282.5397.2258
- Arima K, Watanabe N, Hanabuchi S, Chang M, Sun SC, Liu YJ. Distinct signal codes generate dendritic cell functional plasticity. *Sci Signal* (2010) 3:ra4. doi:10.1126/scisignal.2000567

- Nelms K, Keegan AD, Zamorano J, Ryan JJ, Paul WE. The IL-4 receptor: signaling mechanisms and biologic functions. *Annu Rev Immunol* (1999) 17:701–38. doi:10.1146/annurev.immunol.17.1.701
- LaPorte SL, Juo ZS, Vaclavikova J, Colf LA, Qi X, Heller NM, et al. Molecular and structural basis of cytokine receptor pleiotropy in the interleukin-4/13 system. *Cell* (2008) 132:259–72. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2007.12.030
- Zhang JL, Buehner M, Sebald W. Functional epitope of common gamma chain for interleukin-4 binding. *Eur J Biochem* (2002) 269:1490–9. doi:10.1046/j.1432-1033.2002.02796.x
- Junttila IS, Mizukami K, Dickensheets H, Meier-Schellersheim M, Yamane H, Donnelly RP, et al. Tuning sensitivity to IL-4 and IL-13: differential expression of IL-4Ralpha, IL-13Ralpha1, and gammac regulates relative cytokine sensitivity. J Exp Med (2008) 205:2595–608. doi:10.1084/jem.20080452
- Gandhi H, Worch R, Kurgonaite K, Hintersteiner M, Schwille P, Bokel C, et al. Dynamics and interaction of interleukin-4 receptor subunits in living cells. *Biophys J* (2014) 107:2515–27. doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2014.07.077
- Moraga I, Richter D, Wilmes S, Winkelmann H, Jude K, Thomas C, et al. Instructive roles for cytokine-receptor binding parameters in determining signaling and functional potency. *Sci Signal* (2015) 8:ra114. doi:10.1126/ scisignal.aab2677
- Richter D, Moraga I, Winkelmann H, Birkholz O, Wilmes S, Schulte M, et al. Ligand-induced type II interleukin-4 receptor dimers are sustained by rapid re-association within plasma membrane microcompartments. *Nat Commun* (2017) 8:15976. doi:10.1038/ncomms15976
- Heller NM, Qi X, Junttila IS, Shirey KA, Vogel SN, Paul WE, et al. Type I IL-4Rs selectively activate IRS-2 to induce target gene expression in macrophages. *Sci Signal* (2008) 1:ra17. doi:10.1126/scisignal.1164795
- Pauleau AL, Rutschman R, Lang R, Pernis A, Watowich SS, Murray PJ. Enhancer-mediated control of macrophage-specific arginase I expression. *J Immunol* (2004) 172:7565–73. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.172.12.7565
- Keegan AD, Conrad DH. The murine lymphocyte receptor for IgE. V. Biosynthesis, transport, and maturation of the B cell Fc epsilon receptor. *J Immunol* (1987) 139:1199–205.
- 27. Gadani SP, Cronk JC, Norris GT, Kipnis J. IL-4 in the brain: a cytokine to remember. *J Immunol* (2012) 189:4213–9. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1202246
- Rakesh K, Agrawal DK. Controlling cytokine signaling by constitutive inhibitors. *Biochem Pharmacol* (2005) 70:649–57. doi:10.1016/j.bcp.2005. 04.042
- Greenhalgh CJ, Hilton DJ. Negative regulation of cytokine signaling. J Leukoc Biol (2001) 70:348–56. doi:10.1189/jlb.70.3.348
- Fichtner-Feigl S, Strober W, Kawakami K, Puri RK, Kitani A. IL-13 signaling through the IL-13alpha2 receptor is involved in induction of TGF-beta1 production and fibrosis. *Nat Med* (2006) 12:99–106. doi:10.1038/nm1332
- Luzina IG, Keegan AD, Heller NM, Rook GA, Shea-Donohue T, Atamas SP. Regulation of inflammation by interleukin-4: a review of "alternatives". *J Leukoc Biol* (2012) 92:753–64. doi:10.1189/jlb.0412214
- McCormick SM, Heller NM. Commentary: IL-4 and IL-13 receptors and signaling. *Cytokine* (2015) 75:38–50. doi:10.1016/j.cyto.2015.05.023
- Fredriksson K, Fielhaber JA, Lam JK, Yao X, Meyer KS, Keeran KJ, et al. Paradoxical effects of rapamycin on experimental house dust mite-induced asthma. *PLoS One* (2012) 7:e33984. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0033984
- 34. Sosman JA, Fisher SG, Kefer C, Fisher RI, Ellis TM. A phase I trial of continuous infusion interleukin-4 (IL-4) alone and following interleukin-2 (IL-2) in cancer patients. *Ann Oncol* (1994) 5:447–52. doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals. annonc.a058878
- Renz H, Domenico J, Gelfand EW. IL-4-dependent up-regulation of IL-4 receptor expression in murine T and B cells. *J Immunol* (1991) 146:3049–55.
- Nakamura T, Kamogawa Y, Bottomly K, Flavell RA. Polarization of IL-4- and IFN-gamma-producing CD4+ T cells following activation of naive CD4+ T cells. *J Immunol* (1997) 158:1085–94.
- Newcomb DC, Zhou W, Moore ML, Goleniewska K, Hershey GK, Kolls JK, et al. A functional IL-13 receptor is expressed on polarized murine CD4+ Th17 cells and IL-13 signaling attenuates Th17 cytokine production. *J Immunol* (2009) 182:5317–21. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.0803868
- Lee MW, Odegaard JI, Mukundan L, Qiu Y, Molofsky AB, Nussbaum JC, et al. Activated type 2 innate lymphoid cells regulate beige fat biogenesis. *Cell* (2015) 160:74–87. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2014.12.011
- McHedlidze T, Waldner M, Zopf S, Walker J, Rankin AL, Schuchmann M, et al. Interleukin-33-dependent innate lymphoid cells mediate hepatic fibrosis. *Immunity* (2013) 39:357–71. doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2013.07.018

- Paul WE. History of interleukin-4. Cytokine (2015) 75:3–7. doi:10.1016/j. cyto.2015.01.038
- Milner JD, Orekov T, Ward JM, Cheng L, Torres-Velez F, Junttila I, et al. Sustained IL-4 exposure leads to a novel pathway for hemophagocytosis, inflammation, and tissue macrophage accumulation. *Blood* (2010) 116:2476–83. doi:10.1182/blood-2009-11-255174
- Junttila IS, Creusot RJ, Moraga I, Bates DL, Wong MT, Alonso MN, et al. Redirecting cell-type specific cytokine responses with engineered interleukin-4 superkines. *Nat Chem Biol* (2012) 8:990–8. doi:10.1038/nchembio.1096
- Chang HY, Nadeau KC. IL-4Ralpha inhibitor for atopic disease. Cell (2017) 170:222. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2017.06.046
- Bujarski S, Parulekar AD, Hanania NA. Lebrikizumab in the treatment of asthma. *Expert Opin Biol Ther* (2016) 16:847–52. doi:10.1080/14712598.2016. 1182152
- Hua F, Ribbing J, Reinisch W, Cataldi F, Martin S. A pharmacokinetic comparison of anrukinzumab, an anti-IL-13 monoclonal antibody, among healthy volunteers, asthma and ulcerative colitis patients. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* (2015) 80:101–9. doi:10.1111/bcp.12589
- Piper E, Brightling C, Niven R, Oh C, Faggioni R, Poon K, et al. A phase II placebo-controlled study of tralokinumab in moderate-to-severe asthma. *Eur Respir J* (2013) 41:330–8. doi:10.1183/09031936.00223411
- Hart TK, Blackburn MN, Brigham-Burke M, Dede K, Al-Mahdi N, Zia-Amirhosseini P, et al. Preclinical efficacy and safety of pascolizumab (SB240683): a humanized anti-interleukin-4 antibody with therapeutic potential in asthma. *Clin Exp Immunol* (2002) 130:93–100. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2249.2002.01973.x
- Antoniu SA. Pitrakinra, a dual IL-4/IL-13 antagonist for the potential treatment of asthma and eczema. *Curr Opin Investig Drugs* (2010) 11:1286–94.
- Nappo G, Handle F, Santer FR, McNeill RV, Seed RI, Collins AT, et al. The immunosuppressive cytokine interleukin-4 increases the clonogenic potential of prostate stem-like cells by activation of STAT6 signalling. *Oncogenesis* (2017) 6:e342. doi:10.1038/oncsis.2017.23
- Ziegler SF, Roan F, Bell BD, Stoklasek TA, Kitajima M, Han H. The biology of thymic stromal lymphopoietin (TSLP). *Adv Pharmacol* (2013) 66:129–55. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-404717-4.00004-4
- Pandey A, Ozaki K, Baumann H, Levin SD, Puel A, Farr AG, et al. Cloning of a receptor subunit required for signaling by thymic stromal lymphopoietin. *Nat Immunol* (2000) 1:59–64. doi:10.1038/76923
- Park LS, Martin U, Garka K, Gliniak B, Di Santo JP, Muller W, et al. Cloning of the murine thymic stromal lymphopoietin (TSLP) receptor: formation of a functional heteromeric complex requires interleukin 7 receptor. *J Exp Med* (2000) 192:659–70. doi:10.1084/jem.192.5.659
- Verstraete K, van Schie L, Vyncke L, Bloch Y, Tavernier J, Pauwels E, et al. Structural basis of the proinflammatory signaling complex mediated by TSLP. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* (2014) 21:375–82. doi:10.1038/nsmb.2794
- Kimura M, Tsuruta S, Yoshida T. IL-4 production by PBMCs on stimulation with mite allergen is correlated with the level of serum IgE antibody against mite in children with bronchial asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* (2000) 105:327–32. doi:10.1016/S0091-6749(00)90083-6
- West EE, Spolski R, Kazemian M, Yu ZX, Kemper C, Leonard WJ. A TSLPcomplement axis mediates neutrophil killing of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus. Sci Immunol* (2016) 1:eaaf8471. doi:10.1126/sciimmunol.aaf8471
- Girard D, Beaulieu AD. Absence of the IL-7 receptor component CDw127 indicates that gamma(c) expression alone is insufficient for IL-7 to modulate human neutrophil responses. *Clin Immunol Immunopathol* (1997) 83:264–71. doi:10.1006/clin.1997.4341
- Kummola L, Ortutay Z, Chen X, Caucheteux S, Hamalainen S, Aittomaki S, et al. IL-7Ralpha expression regulates murine dendritic cell sensitivity to thymic stromal lymphopoietin. *J Immunol* (2017) 198:3909–18. doi:10.4049/ jimmunol.1600753

Conflict of Interest Statement: The author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2018 Junttila. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.