



Reply to Yan and Muller, “Remdesivir for COVID-19: Why Not Dose Higher?”

Kavita Juneja,^a Rita Humeniuk,^a Danielle Porter,^a Huyen Cao,^a  Joy Feng^a

^aGilead Sciences, Inc., Foster City, California, USA

In their Letter to the Editor “Remdesivir for COVID-19: Why Not Dose Higher?” Yan and Muller assert that the clinical efficacy of remdesivir at its currently approved dose (200 mg intravenously [i.v.] on day 1 followed by 100 mg/day i.v. for up to 9 days) is questionable and advocate for higher dosing. However, the totality of the available data demonstrates that remdesivir, at its current dose, is a safe and efficacious treatment for patients hospitalized with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19).

In early 2020, Gilead and collaborators rapidly initiated multiple studies in parallel to address the urgent need for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) therapeutics. Selection of the RDV dosing regimen for the treatment of COVID-19 was based on the pharmacokinetics (PK) bridge from animal data to human doses and efficacy using (i) the results of *in vivo* efficacy studies conducted in SARS-CoV-2- and Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS-CoV)-infected rhesus monkeys, (ii) available PK data in healthy rhesus monkeys, and (iii) PK and safety data from phase 1 single- and multiple-dose first-in-human studies (1–5). The dosing regimen selected (200 mg i.v. loading dose, followed by 100 mg/day i.v. maintenance dose for up to 10 days) proved to be safe and efficacious in three pivotal phase 3 studies, including ACTT-1, a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study—the gold standard for evaluating the safety and effectiveness of investigational drugs.

ACTT-1 evaluated the safety and efficacy of a 10-day remdesivir regimen compared with placebo in hospitalized adults with COVID-19 ($n = 1,062$). Remdesivir was superior to placebo in shortening time to recovery ($P < 0.001$) and significantly improved odds of clinical improvement by day 15 compared with placebo ($P < 0.001$). The study also demonstrated safety, with a similar rate of adverse events in the remdesivir and placebo groups (6). Gilead-sponsored trials added to the evidence supporting remdesivir’s positive benefit-risk profile in hospitalized patients. SIMPLE-Severe, a phase 3 randomized, open-label, multicenter study in hospitalized patients with severe COVID-19, showed that a shorter 5-day course of remdesivir produced a similar outcome to the longer 10-day course ($P = 0.1563$) (7). SIMPLE-Moderate, a phase 3 randomized, open-label, multicenter study, demonstrated that a 5-day remdesivir regimen produced greater odds of clinical improvement at day 11 compared with standard of care ($P = 0.0174$) (8). Both groups in SIMPLE-Moderate experienced similar rates of adverse events. Based on these data, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration issued an Emergency Use Authorization on 1 May 2020. Since then, remdesivir has received full or conditional approval in numerous countries, and >1 million patients have been treated with remdesivir at the current dose and duration. Collectively the data show that the approved dose of remdesivir achieves a favorable benefit-safety profile for patients.

Virologic outcomes were not assessed in these studies in real time because of the need for rapid study execution and limited testing capacity during the early stages of the pandemic, but analyses from the ACTT-1 study are ongoing. A recent case report in an immunocompromised patient with prolonged SARS-CoV-2 shedding demonstrated

Citation Juneja K, Humeniuk R, Porter D, Cao H, Feng J. 2021. Reply to Yan and Muller, “Remdesivir for COVID-19: why not dose higher?” *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 65:e00085-21. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00085-21>.

Copyright © 2021 American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved.

This is a response to a letter by Yan and Muller <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02713-20>.

Accepted manuscript posted online
18 March 2021

Published 18 March 2021

that remdesivir treatment resulted in a direct antiviral effect with suppression of viral replication in lung secretions (9). Notably, recent evidence from monoclonal antibody studies shows that viral load in the upper respiratory tract detected by nasopharyngeal swabs may have limited ability to predict COVID-19 outcomes (10).

The results of the WHO Solidarity study do not diminish the positive findings of these three pivotal phase 3 studies, nor do results from the Wang et al. study in China (11, 12). The Solidarity study, large and ambitious in scope, was designed and powered to evaluate a broad clinical endpoint of mortality. However, it was not designed to evaluate subgroups or more nuanced endpoints, such as time to recovery and odds of clinical improvement, which are important outcomes for both patients and overburdened health care systems (13, 14). The double-blind, placebo-controlled study conducted by Wang et al., although laudable in its design, lacked adequate power (underpowered at 58%) to draw meaningful conclusions, although the observed efficacy signal was consistent with the ACTT-1 findings among individuals with a symptom duration of >10 days (15).

Although the favorable benefit-risk profile for the currently approved dose and duration of remdesivir treatment has been clearly established, we also recognize the acute need to further enhance patient outcomes. Additional clinical trials are ongoing and planned to evaluate remdesivir in combination with anti-inflammatory agents in subpopulations and in outpatient settings. We will continue to share emerging data with regulatory authorities and the scientific and medical communities as we work together to help address the needs of patients with this devastating disease around the world.

REFERENCES

1. Humeniuk R, Mathias A, Cao H, Osinusi A, Shen G, Chng E, Ling J, Vu A, German P. 2020. Safety, tolerability, and pharmacokinetics of remdesivir, an antiviral for treatment of COVID-19, in healthy subjects. *Clin Transl Sci* 13:896–906. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cts.12840>.
2. Warren TK, Jordan R, Lo MK, Ray AS, Mackman RL, Soloveva V, Siegel D, Perron M, Bannister R, Hui HC, Larson N, Strickley R, Wells J, Stuthman KS, Van Tongeren SA, Garza NL, Donnelly G, Shurtleff AC, Retterer CJ, Gharaibeh D, Zamani R, Kenny T, Eaton BP, Grimes E, Welch LS, Gomba L, Wilhelmsen CL, Nichols DK, Nuss JE, Nagle ER, Kugelman JR, Palacios G, Doerffler E, Neville S, Carra E, Clarke MO, Zhang L, Lew W, Ross B, Wang Q, Chun K, Wolfe L, Babusis D, Park Y, Stray KM, Trancheva I, Feng JY, Barauskas O, Xu Y, Wong P, Braun MR, Flint M, McMullan LK, Chen SS, Feams R, Swaminathan S, Mayers DL, Spiropoulou CF, Lee WA, Nichol ST, Cihlar T, Bavari S. 2016. Therapeutic efficacy of the small molecule GS-5734 against Ebola virus in rhesus monkeys. *Nature* 531:381–385. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature17180>.
3. Williamson BN, Feldmann F, Schwarz B, Meade-White K, Porter DP, Schulz J, van Doremalen N, Leighton I, Yinda CK, Pérez-Pérez L, Okumura A, Lovaglio J, Hanley PW, Saturday G, Bosio CM, Anzick S, Barbican K, Cihlar T, Martens C, Scott DP, Munster VJ, de Wit E. 2020. Clinical benefit of remdesivir in rhesus macaques infected with SARS-CoV-2. *Nature* 585:273–276. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2423-5>.
4. de Wit E, Feldmann F, Cronin J, Jordan R, Okumura A, Thomas T, Scott D, Cihlar T, Feldmann H. 2020. Prophylactic and therapeutic remdesivir (GS-5734) treatment in the rhesus macaque model of MERS-CoV infection. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 117:6771–6776. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1922083117>.
5. Humeniuk R, Mathias A, Kirby B, Lutz JD, Cao H, Osinusi A, Babusis D, Porter D, Wei X, Ling J, Reddy S, German P. Pharmacokinetic, pharmacodynamic, and drug-interaction profile of remdesivir, SARS-CoV-2 replication inhibitor. *Clin Pharmacokinetics*, in press.
6. Beigel JH, Tomashek KM, Dodd LE, Mehta AK, Zingman BS, Kalil AC, Hohmann E, Chu HY, Luetkemeyer A, Kline S, Lopez de Castilla D, Finberg RW, Dierberg K, Tapson V, Hsieh L, Patterson TF, Paredes R, Sweeney DA, Short WR, Touloumi G, Lye DC, Ohmagari N, Oh M-d, Ruiz-Palacios GM, Benfield T, Fätkenheuer G, Kortepeter MG, Atmar RL, Creech CB, Lundgren J, Babiker AG, Pett S, Neaton JD, Burgess TH, Bonnett T, Green M, Makowski M, Osinusi A, Nayak S, Lane HC. 2020. Remdesivir for the treatment of Covid-19—final report. *N Engl J Med* 383:1813–1826. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2007764>.
7. Goldman JD, Lye DCB, Hui DS, Marks KM, Bruno R, Montejano R, Spinner CD, Gallii M, Ahn M-Y, Nahass RG, Chen Y-S, SenGupta D, Hyland RH, Osinusi AO, Cao H, Blair C, Wei X, Gaggar A, Brainard DM, Towner WJ, Muñoz J, Mullane KM, Marty FM, Tashima KT, Diaz G, Subramanian A, GS-US-540–5773 Investigators. 2020. Remdesivir for 5 or 10 days in patients with severe Covid-19. *N Engl J Med* 383:1827–1837. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2015301>.
8. Spinner CD, Gottlieb RL, Criner GJ, Arribas López JR, Cattelan AM, Soriano Viladomiu A, Ogbuagu O, Malhotra P, Mullane KM, Castagna A, Chai LYA, Roestenberg M, Tsang OTY, Bernasconi E, Le Turnier P, Chang S-C, SenGupta D, Hyland RH, Osinusi AO, Cao H, Blair C, Wang H, Gaggar A, Brainard DM, McPhail MJ, Bhagani S, Ahn MY, Sanyal AJ, Huhn G, Marty FM, GS-US-540–5774 Investigators. 2020. Effect of remdesivir vs standard care on clinical status at 11 days in patients with moderate COVID-19: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 324:1048–1057. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.16349>.
9. Buckland MS, Galloway JB, Fhogartaigh CN, Meredith L, Provine NM, Bloor S, Ogbe A, Zelek WM, Smielewska A, Yakovleva A, Mann T, Bergamaschi L, Turner L, Mescia F, Toonen EJM, Hackstein C-P, Akther HD, Vieira C, Ceron-Gutierrez L, Periseleris J, Kiani-Alikhan S, Grigoriadou S, Vaghela D, Lear SE, Török ME, Hamilton WL, Stockton J, Quick J, Nelson P, Hunter M, Coulter TI, Devlin L, Bradley JR, Smith KGC, Ouwehand WH, Estcourt R, Harvala H, Roberts DJ, Wilkinson IB, Screation N, Loman N, Doffinger R, Lyons PA, Morgan BP, Goodfellow IG, Klenerman P, Lehner PJ, Matheson NJ, Thaventhiran JED, MRC-Toxicology Unit COVID-19 Consortium. 2020. Treatment of COVID-19 with remdesivir in the absence of humoral immunity: a case report. *Nat Commun* 11:6385. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-19761-2>.
10. Gottlieb RL, Nirula A, Chen P, Boscia J, Heller B, Morris J, Huhn G, Cardona J, Mocherla B, Stosor V, Shawa I, Kumar P, Adams AC, Van Naarden J, Custer KL, Durante M, Oakley G, Schade AE, Holzer TR, Ebert PJ, Higgs RE, Kallewaard NL, Sabo J, Patel DR, Klekotka P, Shen L, Skovronsky DM. 2021. Effect of bamlanivimab as monotherapy or in combination with etesevimab on viral load in patients with mild to moderate COVID-19: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*:e210202. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2021.0202>.
11. WHO Solidarity Trial Consortium, Pan H, Peto R, Henao-Restrepo AM, Preziosi MP, Sathiyamoorthy V, Abdool Karim Q, Alejandria MM, Hernández García C, Kieny MP, Malekzadeh R, Murthy S, Reddy KS, Roses Periago M, Abi Hanna P, Ader F, Al-Bader AM, Alhasawi A, Allum E, Alotaibi A, Alvarez-

- Moreno CA, Appadoo S, Asiri A, Aukrust P, Barratt-Due A, Bellani S, Branca M, Cappel-Porter HBC, Cerrato N, Chow TS, Como N, Eustace J, García PJ, Godbole S, Gotuzzo E, Griskevicius L, Hamra R, Hassan M, Hassany M, Hutton D, Irmansyah I, Jancoriene L, Kirwan J, Kumar S, Lennon P, Lopardo G, Lydon P, Magrini N, Maguire T, Manevska S, Manuel O, McGinty S, Medina MT, Mesa Rubio ML, Miranda-Montoya MC, Nel J, Nunes EP, Perola M, Portolés A, Rasmin MR, Raza A, Rees H, Reges PPS, Rogers CA, Salami K, Salvadori MI, Sinani N, Sterne JAC, Stevanovikj M, Tacconelli E, Tikkinen KAO, Trelle S, Zaid H, Røttingen JA, Swaminathan S. Repurposed antiviral drugs for Covid-19—interim WHO Solidarity trial results. *N Engl J Med*:NEJ-Moa2023184. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2023184>.
12. Wang Y, Zhang D, Du G, Du R, Zhao J, Jin Y, Fu S, Gao L, Cheng Z, Lu Q, Hu Y, Luo G, Wang K, Lu Y, Li H, Wang S, Ruan S, Yang C, Mei C, Wang Y, Ding D, Wu F, Tang X, Ye X, Ye Y, Liu B, Yang J, Yin W, Wang A, Fan G, Zhou F, Liu Z, Gu X, Xu J, Shang L, Zhang Y, Cao L, Guo T, Wan Y, Qin H, Jiang Y, Jaki T, Hayden FG, Horby PW, Cao B, Wang C. 2020. Remdesivir in adults with severe COVID-19: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicentre trial. *Lancet* 395:1569–1578. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)31022-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31022-9).
 13. Rubin D, Chan-Tack K, Farley J, Sherwat A. FDA approval of remdesivir—a step in the right direction. *N Engl J Med* 383:2598–2600. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp2032369>.
 14. Harrington DP, Baden LR, Hogan JW. 2020. A large, simple trial leading to complex questions. *N Engl J Med*:NEJMe2034294. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMe2034294>.
 15. Norrie JD. 2020. Remdesivir for COVID-19: challenges of underpowered studies. *Lancet* 395:1525–1527. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)31023-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31023-0).