Research Article

Aspects of Toxoplasma Infection on the Reproductive System of Experimentally Infected Rams (*Ovis Aries*)

Welber Daniel Zanetti Lopes, Alvimar José da Costa, Luis Fernando Santana, Ricardo Silva dos Santos, Walter Matheus Rossanese, Wilton Carlos Zanetti Lopes, Gustavo Henrique Nogueira Costa, Cláudio Alessandro Sakamoto, and Thais Rabelo dos Santos

Department of Preventive Medicine, Animal Health Research Center (CPPAR), Faculty of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary, São Paulo State University (UNESP), Via de acesso prof. Paulo Donatto Castellani, s/n CEP; 14884-900 Jaboticabal, SP, Brazil

Correspondence should be addressed to Welber Daniel Zanetti Lopes, wdzlopes@hotmail.com

Received 22 November 2008; Accepted 23 March 2009

Recommended by Takeshi Agatsuma

Eight reproductive rams with no prior reproductive disease were distributed into three groups of infection with *T. gondii*: GI, 3 rams, 2.0×10^5 P strain oocysts; GII, 3 rams, 1.0×10^6 RH strain tachyzoites; GIII, 2 control rams. Clinical parameters were measured and serological evaluations (IIF) were performed. Presence of the parasite in the semen was investigated by PCR and bioassay techniques. The rams presented clinical alterations (hyperthermia and apathy) related to toxoplasmosis in both groups infected with *Toxoplasma gondii*. All the inoculated rams responded to antigenic stimulus, producing antibodies against *T. gondii* from postinoculation day 5 onwards. In ovine groups I and II, the greatest titers observed were 1 : 4096 and 1 : 8192, respectively. In semen samples collected from these two groups, the presence of *T. gondii* was detected by bioassay and PCR. This coccidian was isolated (bioassay and PCR) in tissue pools (testicles, epididymis, seminal vesicle, and prostrate) from two rams infected presenting oocysts and in one presenting tachyzoites.

Copyright © 2009 Welber Daniel Zanetti Lopes et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

The *T. gondii* [1] is a feline intestinal coccidian with a variety of intermediate hosts among birds and mammals, including humans. In humans the disease was described by Junku (1923) and later detailed by [2]. Among others, [3] described the sexual cycle of *T. gondii* in felines.

These animals, specially domestic cats, play a fundamental role in the transmission of toxoplasmosis, eliminating in the feces oocysts resulting from the sexual phase specific to the intestinal epithelium. The remaining hosts, including humans, only maintain the asexual phase of the cycle and serve as intermediate hosts [4].

Toxoplasmosis in ovine was described for the first time by [5], in the United States, in an ewe presenting nervous symptomology, increased temperature, and muscular rigidity.

The high prevalence of toxoplasma infection in ovine could be linked to their low resistance to the parasite and

to the fact that sheep raising procedures expose them to a greater probability of contact with oocysts eliminated by felines [6]. Toxoplasmosis has regularly been indicated as one of the major causes of abortions in ovine in several countries, including UK, New Zealand, the former USSR, Australia, India, and Canada [7–11]. Reproductive disorders, such as abortions, stillborn, or weak neonates that result in death, cause considerable economic losses to sheep flocks [12]. Studies conducted in Uruguay indicated toxoplasmosis as an important problem in sheep flocks with annual losses from 1.4 to 1.7 US\$ million [13].

Another relevant aspect of this zoonose is the reporting of viable *T. gondii* cysts isolated from food tissue [10, 14]. Reference [15] recovered this coccidian from the brain and the diaphragm of 34 out of 40 sheep that were serologically positive by indirect immunofluorescence (IIF). The enormous losses caused by this disease, together with studies involving the isolation of viable parasites in food tissues, reveal the importance of ovine toxoplasmosis as a disease and as a zoonose.

Only three works in literature [16–18] report the isolation of *T. gondii* in ram semen. Complementing the research of those authors, the present study used two methods (bioassay and PCR) to isolate this coccidian in ram semen.

2. Material and Methods

P [19] and RH *T. gondii* strains [20] kept at the *Centro de Pesquisas em Sanidade Animal*, CPPAR, *Faculdade de Ciências Agrárias e Veterinárias*, FCAV, Universidade Estadual Paulista, UNESP were used. The inoculates were obtained through periodic inoculations of brain cysts (P strain) and/or tachyzoites (RH strain) in albino mice. *T. gondii* oocysts were obtained using a technique similar to that described by [21].

In this study, all procedures using animals complied with the Ethical Principles in Animal Research adopted by the College of Animal Experimentation (COBEA) and were approved by the Ethical Committee for Animal Welfare, UNESP, Jaboticabal, São Paulo, (CEBEA).

Eight rams, 13 to 14 months old, serologically negative for *T. gondii*, were selected, identified, randomized, and inoculated according to the experimental outline described in Table 1. The rams were maintained in individual bays belonging to CPPAR, with water and feed provided ad libitum. Serological exams to detect antibodies against other infectious diseases that could provoke reproductive disorders (brucellosis, neosporosis, and leptospirosis) were conducted on all the experimental rams, before and after inoculation.

Antibodies against *T. gondii* were investigated by IIF. Serum samples were collected from all experimental rams two days before inoculation and on postinoculation days (PIDs) 3, 5, 7, 11, 14, and weekly thereafter until the end of the trial [22]. Concurrently, semen samples from all rams were obtained by use of an electroejaculator. Detection of *T. gondii* in the semen was carried out by bioassay [17] and by Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) [23].

In the bioassay, an aliquot of approximately 0.5 mL of semen (per ram) was inoculated in five mice, which were observed and examined according to the methodology adopted by [24]. The mice were considered positive for samples that showed a dilution >64 and the presence of *T. gondii* brain cysts of.

After the experimental period of semen collection (PID 70), all of the rams (inoculated groups and control) underwent postmortem examination in order to carry out further tissue parasitism evaluations by bioassay [25] and PCR [23].

DNA from semen samples, tissues, and positive controls (RH strain) was extracted for *T. gondii* detection [26]. Gene fragment $B_1(194 \text{ bp})$ from *T. gondii* was thus amplified using primers 5'GGAACTGCATCCGTTCATGAG-3' (B1₁) and 5'TCTTTAAAGCGTTCGTGGTC-3' (B1₂), according to [23]. PCR carried out by the addition of 500 ng of genomic DNA in a reaction medium containing 2 mM MgCl₂, 50 mM KCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 9, 0.01% Triton X-100, 0.2 mM

dNTPs, 10 pmoles of initiator, and 5.0 units of *Taq*DNA polymerase.

Analysis of the amplified products was done with the help of a 2% agarose gel containing restriction fragments separated by electrophoresis, stained with 0.5μ g/mL of ethidium bromide solution dissolved in water for 20 minutes and observed by UV transilluminator.

3. Results

Toxoplasma infection in the reproductive rams used in this research was confirmed by seroconversion of the inoculated animals (Table 2). The mean body temperature of the control group under normal atmospheric conditions was 38° C. Considering that normal temperature in ovine oscillates between 37.5° C and 39.5° C [27], rectal temperature measurements revealed hyperthermia and anorexia in all the rams inoculated with oocysts (PID 5 and 7) and tachyzoites (PID 3, 5, and 7).

IgG class antibodies were detected by IIF from PID 5 onwards and remained at high levels until PID 56, when they diminished; however, none of the infected rams presented seronegative reactions by the end of the experiment (Table 2). Maximum serological titers (1 : 8192) were detected in a tachyzoite inoculated ram on PID 28. In all three oocyst-inoculated rams, the reciprocal serological maximum of 1 : 4096 was diagnosed on PID 49 and 56. Differences in reciprocal serological titers (P < .05) between the two inoculated groups (oocysts and tachyzoites) occurred on PID 11 and 14 (Table 2). The presence of T. gondii (bioassay) was detected in 14 ejaculates of the infected rams between PID 5 and 70 (Table 3). Of the seminal samples that proved positive in the bioassay (Table 3), T. gondii was diagnosed by PCR technique on PIDs 11, 14, 21, 56, and 70 in tachyzoite-inoculated animals and on PIDs 14, 35, 42, 56, and 63 in oocyst-inoculated animals (Figure 1).

In addition to seroconversion (IIF) of the animals and semen that proved positive for *T. gondii* (bioassay and PCR), it was also possible to isolate the coccidian (bioassay and PCR) in tissue pools (testicles, epididymis, seminal vesicle, and prostrate) of two oocyst-inoculated rams and one tachyzoite-inoculated ram (Figure 2).

4. Discussion

The results of the clinical parameters obtained in this research are similar to those found by other authors [28, 29]. Early humoral immune response in rams experimentally infected with *T. gondii* was also observed by [7].

This study reports the first description of the isolation of *T. gondii* from semen of experimentally infected rams using two diagnostic techniques: bioassay and PCR. In descriptions related to different strains, literature shows disagreement regarding the period this parasite can be recovered. [16] inoculated *T. gondii* in two rams and obtained infected semen (bioassay) on PID 20 from the first and on PID 25 from the second one. In another experiment, those authors

Number of ovine	Group	T. gondii oocysts	T. gondii tachyzoites	Inoculation via	
2		2×10^{5}		Oral	
9	Ι	$2 imes 10^5$		Oral	
16		$2 imes 10^5$		Oral	
7			$1 imes 10^{6}$	Subcutaneous	
48	II		$1 imes 10^{6}$	Subcutaneous	
52			$1 imes 10^{6}$	Subcutaneous	
43	III	Placebo	Placebo		
44	111	Placebo	Placebo		

TABLE 1: Experimental outline of the rams inoculated with T. gondii.

TABLE 2: Results of multiple comparisons and analysis of variance of the serological titers of noninoculated (control) and *T. gondii* oocystinoculated (2.0×10^5) or tachyzoite-inoculated (1.0×10^6) animals.

Postinoculation day	Recip	rocal serological titers/mean* =	$\sum \log(x+1)/n$
rostinoculation day	Control	Oocysts	Tachyzoites
-1	0.00_{a}^{A}	$0.00^{\mathrm{A}}_{\mathrm{f}}$	0.00_{e}^{A}
3	0.00_{a}^{A}	$0.00^{\mathrm{A}}_{\mathrm{f}}$	$0.41^{\mathrm{A}}_{\mathrm{de}}$
5	$0.00^{\mathrm{B}}_{\mathrm{a}}$	$0.82_{ m ef}^{ m A}$	1.01_d^{AB}
7	$0.00^{\mathrm{B}}_{\mathrm{a}}$	1.01_{e}^{A}	2.01_{c}^{A}
11	$0.00^{\mathrm{C}}_{\mathrm{a}}$	1.01_{e}^{B}	$2.81^{\mathrm{A}}_{\mathrm{abc}}$
14	0.00_{a}^{C}	$1.71^{\mathrm{B}}_{\mathrm{de}}$	$2.61^{\mathrm{A}}_{\mathrm{bc}}$
21	0.00_{a}^{C}	$3.41^{\mathrm{A}}_{\mathrm{ab}}$	$3.01^{\mathrm{B}}_{\mathrm{ab}}$
28	0.00_{a}^{B}	$2.61^{\rm A}_{\rm bcd}$	$2.61^{\mathrm{A}}_{\mathrm{bc}}$
35	$0.00^{\mathrm{B}}_{\mathrm{a}}$	$2.71^{\rm A}_{\rm abc}$	0.00_{e}^{A}
42	$0.00^{\mathrm{B}}_{\mathrm{a}}$	3.21 ^A _{abc}	$3.21^{\mathrm{A}}_{\mathrm{ab}}$
49	$0.00^{\mathrm{B}}_{\mathrm{a}}$	3.61 ^A _a	3.31 ^A _{ab}
56	$0.00^{\mathrm{B}}_{\mathrm{a}}$	3.61_{a}^{A}	3.61_{a}^{A}
53	$0.00^{\mathrm{B}}_{\mathrm{a}}$	$2.40^{\mathrm{A}}_{\mathrm{cd}}$	$2.61^{\mathrm{A}}_{\mathrm{bc}}$
70	$0.00^{\mathrm{B}}_{\mathrm{a}}$	$2.51^{\rm A}_{\rm bcd}$	$2.71^{\text{A}}_{\text{abc}}$
		Análise de variância	
F value and significance	Groups		147.59**
r value alle significance	period		53.85**
	groups X period		15.09**

* Means followed by the same letter, capitals in the columns and small along the lines, do not differ between each other by the Tukey test (P > .05).

systematically isolated *T. gondii* in two rams from PIDs 7 to 32 and from PIDs 14 to 32, respectively.

Reference [17] isolated *T. gondii* (bioassay) from semen samples of three out of six inoculated rams, twice in each on PIDs 16 and 26. In Nigeria, using another strain (TS-I), [18] also isolated *T. gondii* in bioassay only from samples collected on PID 21 from all of the inoculated rams. The coccidian has also been isolated in seminal samples collected from goats [30], bovine [31], dogs [32], and swine [33].

In this study, a 194 bp fragment from the B_1 gene of *T*. *gondii* was amplified because this gene was found to be highly conserved in several isolates and present in at least 35 loci of *T. gondii* [23, 34]. Similar to the results found by [31] in bovine, by [32] in dogs, and by [33] in swine, it should be highlighted that in the present work, the presence of *T. gondii* was only detected by the PCR technique in 10 of the 14 semen

samples that were positive by bioassay. Given these results, it could be inferred that the bioassay (mouse inoculation) is more sensitive than PCR to the isolation of *T. gondii* in ram seminal samples (Table 3 and Figure 1). These inferences are reinforced by the results obtained by [35], who emphasized the superiority of the bioassay when compared to PCR for the isolation of *T. gondii*. [36] also confirmed this superiority, particularly regarding the isolation of this protozoan in ovine and bovine tissues.

The absence of parasitism by PCR, as detected in some semen and tissue samples (Figures 1 and 2), does not rule out the presence of the parasitic agent. It is possible that part of the false negative results could be due to the DNA extraction technique, as well as the fact that 500 ng of genomic DNA (host + parasite) per reaction could contain an insufficiently quantity of parasite DNA to visualize the 194 bp fragment on

TABLE 3: Investigation of *T. gondii* in seminal samples of noninoculated (control) and *T. gondii* oocyst-inoculated (2.0×10^5) or tachyzoite-inoculated (1.0×10^6) animals.

Ovine	Inoculate		Postinoculation day													
N°	moculate	-1	3	5	7	11	14	21	28	35	42	49	56	63	70	Total
43	Control	—		—		—		—		—	—	—	—			0
44		—		—		—		—			_	—	—		_	0
Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	Tachyzoites		_	Positive*	—	—		—	—			NR	—		Positive*	2
48		—	_	—	—	—	Positive*	—	—			—	—			1
52		—	_	_	_	Positive*		Positive	- :		_	Positive*	Positive*		_	4
Total		0	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	7
2		_	_	—	_	—	Positive*	—	_	—	Positive	—	—	Positive	—	3
9	Oocysts		_	_	_	_	_	_	—	Positive*			Positive*	Positive	_	3
16		—		—	—	—		—		_		Positive*	—		_	1
Total		0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	7

Positive = IIF for *T. gondii* (\geq 1 : 64) and presence of brain cysts in inoculated mice.

Positive^{*} = presence of brain cysts in inoculated mice and by PCR technique.

— = Negative serology (mice).

NR = Not realized (insufficient seminal sample).




FIGURE 1: Electrophoresis in 2% agarose gel of PCR product extract from seminal samples of rams experimentally infected with *T. gondii.* (1) DNA Ladder, molecular weight marker (100 bp). (2) Ram 02 (PID 14). (3) Ram 09 (PID 35). (4) Ram 02 (PID 42). (5) Ram 16 (PID 49). (6) Ram 09 (PID 56). (7) Ram 02 (PID 63). (8) Ram 09 (PID 63). (9) Ram 07 (PID 5). (10) Ram 52 (PID 11). (11) Ram 48 (PID 14). (12) Ram 52 (PID 21). (13) Ram 52 (PID 49). (14) Ram 52 (PID 56). (15) Ram 07 (PID 70). (16) Positive control. (17) Negative control.

FIGURE 2: Electrophoresis in 2% agarose gel of PCR product extract from tissue pool samples (testicles, epididymis, seminal vesicle, and prostrate) of the experimental ram (1) DNA Ladder, molecular weight marker (100 bp). (2) Ram 02 (GI). (3) Ram 09 (GI). (4) Ram 16 (GI). (5) Ram 07 (GII). (6) Ram 48 (GII). (7) Ram 52 (GII). (8) Ram 43 (control). (9) Ram 44 (control). (10) Positive control. (11) Negative control.

2% agarose gel [36–38]. For these reasons, certain authors affirm that PCR is a favorable method only in association with another means of diagnosis [39, 40].

These findings suggest another mode of infection for

be needed to investigate whether *T. gondii* could be sexually transmitted in flock of sheep.

Acknowledgment

sheep thus perhaps contributing to the high prevalence of infection seen in this specie animal, once this infection immediately prior to could fuel a source of infection which might then lead to congenital infection or abortion [41, 42]. In summary this work showed the evidences in the aspects of infection by toxoplasmosis on the reproductive system of experimentally infected rams, however further study would

The authors would like to thank Professor Luiz Ricardo Orsini Tosi of the Parasites Molecular Biology Laboratory of the Riberão Preto Faculty of Medicine (FMRP/USP), São Paulo, Brazil, for his assistance in the execution of the PCR technique.

References

- C. Nicolle and L. Manceaux, "Sur un protozoaire nouveau du gondii," *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences*, vol. 148, pp. 369–372, 1909.
- [2] J. P. Dubey and C. P. Beatite, *Toxoplasmosis of Animal and Man*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Fla, USA, 1988.
- [3] J. P. Dubey, N. L. Miller, and J. K. A. Frenkel, "Characterization of the new fecal form of *Toxoplasma gondii*," *The Journal of Parasitology*, vol. 56, no. 3, pp. 447–450, 1970.
- [4] J. P. Dubey, "Duration of immunity to shedding of *Toxoplasma gondii* oocysts by cats," *The Journal of Parasitology*, vol. 81, no. 3, pp. 410–415, 1995.
- [5] P. Olafson and W. S. Monlux, "Toxoplasma infection in animals," *The Cornell Veterinarian*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 176–190, 1942.
- [6] J. P. Dubey and A. N. Hamir, "Experimental toxoplasmosis in budgerigars (*Melopsittacus undulatus*)," *The Journal of Parasitology*, vol. 88, no. 3, pp. 514–519, 2002.
- [7] J. K. Beverley and W. A. Watson, "Prevention of experimental and of naturally occurring ovine abortion due to toxoplasmosis," *The Veterinary Record*, vol. 88, no. 2, pp. 39–41, 1971.
- [8] L. Jacobs, G. G. Moyle, and R. R. Ris, "The prevalence of toxoplasmosis in New Zealand sheep and cattle," *American Journal of Veterinary Research*, vol. 24, pp. 673–675, 1963.
- [9] J. P. Dubey, "Feline toxoplasmosis and its nematode transmission," *Veterinary Bulletin*, vol. 38, pp. 495–499, 1968.
- [10] W. J. Hartley and G. G. Moyle, "Further observations on the epidemiology of ovine *Toxoplasma* infection," *Australian Journal of Experimental Biology and Medical Science*, vol. 52, no. 4, pp. 647–653, 1974.
- [11] W. J. Underwood and J. S. Rook, "Toxoplasmosis infection in sheep," *The Compendium*, vol. 14, pp. 1543–1549, 1992.
- [12] J. Pereira-Bueno, A. Quintanilla-Gozalo, G. Pérez-Prérez, G. Alvarez-Garcia, E. Collantes-Frenandez, and L. M. Ortega-Mora, "Evolution of ovine abortion associated with *Toxoplasma gondii* in Spain by different diagnostic techniques," *Veterinary Parasitology*, vol. 121, no. 1-2, pp. 33–43, 2004.
- [13] A. Freyre, J. Bonino, J. Falcon, D. Castells, O. Correa, and A. Casaretto, "The incidence and economic significance of ovine toxoplasmosis in Uruguay," *Veterinary Parasitology*, vol. 81, no. 1, pp. 85–88, 1999.
- [14] J. P. Dubey, "Experimental toxoplasmosis in sheep fed Toxoplasma gondii oocysts," International Goat and Sheep Research, vol. 2, pp. 93–104, 1984.
- [15] A. V. Da Silva and H. Langoni, "The detection of *Toxoplasma gondii* by comparing cytology, histopathology, bioassay in mice, and the polymerase chain reaction (PCR)," *Veterinary Parasitology*, vol. 97, no. 3, pp. 191–198, 2001.
- [16] J. B. Spence, C. P. Beattie, J. Faulkner, L. Henry, and W. A. Watson, "*Toxoplasma gondii* in the semen of rams," *The Veterinary Record*, vol. 102, no. 2, pp. 38–39, 1978.
- [17] A. J. Teale, D. A. Blewett, and J. K. Miller, "Experimentally induced toxoplasmosis in young rams: the clinical syndrome and semen secretion of toxoplasma," *The Veterinary Record*, vol. 111, no. 3, pp. 53–55, 1982.
- [18] A. O. Aganga, J. U. Umoh, E. K. Kyewalabye, and C. C. Ekwempu, "Comparative experimental transmission studies with Nigerian isolates and TS-I strain of *Toxoplasma gondii* in sheep," *Journal of Animal Production Research*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 104–120, 1998.
- [19] L. M. Jamra and M. P. Vieira, "Isolamento do Toxopalsma gondii de exudato peritoneal e órgãos de camundongos

com infecção experimental," *Revista do Instituto de Medicina Tropical de Sao Paulo*, vol. 33, no. 6, pp. 435–441, 1991.

- [20] A. B. Sabin, "Toxoplasmic encephalitis in children," The Journal of the American Medical Association, vol. 116, pp. 801– 807, 1941.
- [21] J. P. Dubey, G. V. Swan, and J. K. A. Frenkel, "Simplified method for isolation of *T. gondii* from the feces of cats," *The Journal of Parasitology*, vol. 58, no. 5, pp. 1055–1056, 1972.
- [22] M. E. Camargo, "Improved technique of indirect immunofluorescence for serological diagnosis of toxoplasmosis," *Revista do Instituto de Medicina Tropical de São Paulo*, vol. 12, pp. 117– 118, 1964.
- [23] S. I. Fuents, M. Rodriguez, C. J. Domingo, C. C. Fernando, T. Juncosa, and J. Alvar, "Urine sample used for congenital toxoplasmosis diagnosis by PCR," *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, vol. 34, no. 10, pp. 2368–2372, 1996.
- [24] A. J. Costa, F. G. Araújo, J. O. Costa, J. D. Lima, and E. Nascimento, "Experimental infection of bovines with oocysts of *Toxoplasma gondii*," *The Journal of Parasitology*, vol. 63, no. 2, pp. 212–218, 1977.
- [25] J. P. Dubey, "Refinement of pepsin digestion method for isolation of *Toxoplasma gondii* from infected tissues," *Veterinary Parasitology*, vol. 74, no. 1, pp. 75–77, 1998.
- [26] J. Sambrook and D. W. Russell, *Molecular Cloning*, Cold Spring Habor Press, New York, NY, USA, 3rd edition, 2001.
- [27] M. Garcia, A. M. P. D. Libera, and I. R. B. Filho, *Manual de Semiologia e Clínica de Ruminantes*, Varela, São Paulo, Brazil, 1996.
- [28] C. R. Cole, V. L. Sanger, R. L. Farrel, and J. D. Kornder, "The present status of toxoplasmosis in veterinary medicine," *North American Veterinarian*, vol. 35, pp. 265–270, 1954.
- [29] J. P. Dubey and A. Towle, *Toxoplasmosis in Sheep*, Commonwealth Institute of Parasitology, St. Albans, UK, 1986.
- [30] J. P. Dubey and S. P. Sharma, "Parasitemia and tissue infection in sheep fed *Toxoplasma gondii* oocysts," *The Journal of Parasitology*, vol. 66, no. 1, pp. 111–114, 1980.
- [31] L. C. Scarpelli, W. D. Z. Lopes, M. Migani, K. D. S. Bresciani, and A. J. Costa, "Toxoplasma gondii in experimentally infected Bos taurus and Bos indicus semen and tissues," Pesquisa Veterinária Brasileira, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 59–64, 2009.
- [32] T. P. Arantes, *Transmissão sexual do* Toxoplasma gondii *em cães (Canis familiares)*, Dissertação de Mestrado, FCAVJ-Universidade Estadual Paulista, Jaboticabal, Brazil, 2005.
- [33] A. B. Moura, A. J. Costa, S. Jordaão Filho, B. B. Paim, F. R. Pinto, and D. C. Di Mauro, "*Toxoplasma gondii* in semen of experimentally infected swine," *Pesquisa Veterinária Brasileira*, vol. 27, no. 10, pp. 430–434, 2007.
- [34] J. L. Burg, C. M. Grover, P. Pouletty, and J. C. Boothroyd, "Direct and sensitive detection of a pathogenic protozoan, *Toxoplasma gondii*, by polymerase chain reaction," *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, vol. 27, no. 8, pp. 1787–1792, 1989.
- [35] J. A. Hitt and G. A. Filice, "Detection of *Toxoplasma gondii* parasitemia by gene amplification, cell culture, and mouse inoculation," *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, vol. 30, no. 12, pp. 3181–3184, 1992.
- [36] I. Esteban-Redondo, S. W. Maley, K. Thomson, S. Nicoll, S. Wright, D. Buxton, and E. A. Innes, "Detection of *T. gondii* in tissues of sheep and cattle following oral infection," *Veterinary Parasitology*, vol. 86, no. 3, pp. 155–171, 1999.
- [37] F. Aouizerate, J. Cazenave, L. Poirier, et al., "Detection of *Toxoplasma gondii* in aqueous humour by the polymerase chain reaction," *British Journal of Ophthalmology*, vol. 77, no. 2, pp. 107–109, 1993.

- [38] J. P. Dubey and P. Thulliez, "Persistence of tissue cysts in edible tissues of cattle fed *Toxoplasma gondii* oocysts," *American Journal of Veterinary Research*, vol. 54, no. 2, pp. 270–273, 1993.
- [39] S. Steuber, A. Niu, C. Bauer, J. Reetz, A. Roth, and K. Janitschke, "The detection of *Toxoplasma gondii* in abortion tissues of sheep using the polymerase chain reaction," *Deutsche Tierärztliche Wochenschrift*, vol. 102, no. 2, pp. 91–93, 1995.
- [40] J. T. Ellis, "Polymerase chain reaction approaches for the detection of *Neospora caninum* and *Toxoplasma gondii*," *International Journal for Parasitology*, vol. 28, no. 7, pp. 1053– 1060, 1998.
- [41] D. Buxton, S. M. Rodger, S. W. Maley, and S. E. Wright, "Toxoplasmosis: the possibility of vertical transmission," *Small Ruminant Research*, vol. 62, no. 1-2, pp. 43–46, 2006.
- [42] A. J. Trees and D. J. L. Williams, "Endogenous and exogenous transplacental infection in *Neospora caninum* and *Toxoplasma* gondii," *Trends in Parasitology*, vol. 21, no. 12, pp. 558–561, 2005.