Original Article Biotechnology

Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

Received: May 10, 2019 Revised: Aug 26, 2019 Accepted: Oct 4, 2019

*Corresponding author: Sang-Kee Kang

Institute of Green-Bio Science & Technology, Seoul National University Graduate School of International Agricultural Technology, 1447 Pyeongchang-daero, Daehwa-myeon, Pyeongchang 25354, Korea. E-mail: kangsk01@snu.ac.kr

© 2019 The Korean Society of Veterinary Science

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ORCID iDs

Seo-ho Oh https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3120-5781 Sang-Kee Kang https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4751-9841 Enhancement of antigen-specific humoral immune responses and protein solubility through conjugation of bacterial flagellin, *Vibrio vulnificus* FlaB, to the N-terminus of porcine epidemic diarrhea virus surface protein antigen SO

Seo-ho Oh 💿 ¹, Young-Saeng Kim Cho¹, Ho-Bin Lee², Sang-Mok Lee¹, Whee-Soo Kim¹, Liang Hong¹, Chong-Su Cho¹, Yun-Jaie Choi¹, Sang-Kee Kang 💿 ².*

¹Department of Agricultural Biotechnology and Research Institute of Agriculture and Life Science, Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, Korea

²Institute of Green-Bio Science & Technology, Seoul National University Graduate School of International Agricultural Technology, Pyeongchang 25354, Korea

ABSTRACT

Porcine epidemic diarrhea (PED) is a highly contagious enteric swine disease. The large economic impact of PED on the swine industry worldwide has made the development of an effective PED vaccine a necessity. SO, a truncated region of the porcine epidemic diarrhea virus (PEDV) spike protein, has been suggested as a candidate antigen for PED subunit vaccines; however, poor solubility problems when the protein is expressed in Escherichia coli, and the inherent problems of subunit vaccines, such as low immunogenicity, remain. Flagellin has been widely used as a fusion partner to enhance the immunogenicity and solubility of many difficult-to-express proteins; however, the conjugation effect of flagellin varies depending on the target antigen or the position of the fusion placement. Here, we conjugated flagellin, Vibrio vulnificus FlaB, to the N- and C-termini of SO and evaluated the ability of the fusion to enhance the solubility and immunogenicity of SO. Flagellin conjugation in the presence of the trigger factor chaperone *tig* greatly improved the solubility of the fusion protein (up to 99%) regardless of its conjugation position. Of importance, flagellin conjugated to the N-terminus of SO significantly enhanced SO-specific humoral immune responses compared to other recombinant antigens in Balb/c mice. The mechanism of this phenomenon was investigated through in vitro and in vivo studies. These findings provide important information for the development of a novel PED vaccine and flagellinbased immunotherapeutics.

Keywords: Porcine epidemic diarrhea; subunit vaccine; flagellin; fusion adjuvant; solubility



Funding

This research was funded by the Academic Research Affairs Division, Ministry of Education, Republic of Korea (Grant No. 2016R1D1A1B03933491). Funding was used for all of the experiments, including recombinant protein production, in vitro, and in vivo studies. YSKC, WSK and LH were supported by the BK21 Plus Program of the Department of Agricultural Biotechnology, Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea. Funding was obtained based on the proposed study, and the funding bodies had no further role in the design of the study, collection, analysis, interpretation or manuscript preparation.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Oh SH; Data curation: Oh SH; Formal analysis: Oh SH, Kang SK, Cho CS, Choi YJ; Funding acquisition: Choi YJ; Investigation: Oh SH; Methodology: Oh SH, Lee SM, Kim WS, Hong L; Project administration: Oh SH, Choi YJ; Resources: Lee HB; Software: Oh SH; Supervision: Choi YJ, Cho CS, Kang SK; Validation: Kim Cho YS; Visualization: Oh SH; Writing - original draft: Oh SH; Writing - review & editing: Kim Cho YS, Kang SK.

INTRODUCTION

Porcine epidemic diarrhea (PED) is one of the most catastrophic swine diseases and is characterized by severe diarrhea, vomiting, dehydration and even death [1]. The mortality rate of PED is up to 80-100%, especially in neonatal piglets [2,3], resulting in large economic losses for swine farms across the globe, including Europe, Asia and the USA for the past three decades [1,4,5], and making the development of an effective PED vaccine a necessity.

The currently used PED vaccines are live attenuated vaccines (LAV) or inactivated vaccines, which have inherent problems related to safety and cost-effectiveness [1,2,6]. In particular, the effectiveness of some of these vaccines has become controversial after an outbreak of PED in the United States [1], which consequently stressed the need for the development of a novel PED vaccine such as subunit vaccine. Subunit vaccines involve the use of an antigenic component of pathogenic bacteria or viruses that could be used as a vaccine instead of the entire pathogen. Despite relatively low immunogenicity, subunit vaccines have many advantages over traditional LAVs, including safety, simplicity and the ability to be mass produced through E. coli systems [7]. In particular, the cost-effectiveness of subunit vaccines is an important advantage in association with the economic objectives of the livestock industry. However, candidate antigens of the PED subunit vaccine, such as SO, are expressed as insoluble aggregates in *E. coli* that are not suitable for use as a vaccine [8,9]. Although SO possesses enriched neutralization epitopes with a potential in cross-reactivity to various PEDV strains [10]. To overcome the low solubility and low immunogenicity of the PED subunit vaccine, the introduction of a molecular fusion adjuvant, such as flagellin protein, would be necessary.

Flagellin, a monomeric subunit of bacterial flagella, is one of many pathogen-associated molecular pattern (PAMP) proteins that are recognized by two germline-encoded receptors, TLR5 and NLRC4/NAIP5, on a number of innate and adaptive immune cells. Flagellin has been frequently used as a molecular fusion adjuvant in recent decades [11-14], and both receptors are thought to be involved in its adjuvant activity. Flagellin has also been used as a fusion partner to enhance the solubility of many hard-to-express proteins [15]. However, the conjugation effect of flagellin varies depending on the target antigen or the direction of its conjugation [13,16]. Therefore, the conjugation of flagellin to different terminals (the N- or C-terminus) of SO could influence both solubility and immunogenicity.

In our study, we conjugated flagellin from *Vibrio vulnificus*, FlaB, to the C- or N-terminus of S0 to generate S0-F and F-S0, respectively. We tested the effect of flagellin conjugation to S0 on the enhancement of solubility. To further improve the solubility of each recombinant protein, trigger factor chaperone *tig* was used, and the solubility of each recombinant protein was assessed in the presence of *tig*. We also evaluated the ability of the conjugated flagellin to enhance the S0-specific humoral immune response in Balb/c mice and identified different outcomes depending on the direction of fusion. The underlying mechanisms of the consequent results were deduced through *in vitro* and *in vivo* experiments. These observations provide crucial information for the development of a novel PED vaccine and flagellin-based immunotherapeutics.



MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals

Six-week-old female naïve BALB/c mice (17-19 g) were purchased from Samtako (Korea). The mice were maintained under standard pathogen-free conditions on a 12:12 h light/dark cycle (5 mice per cage), monitored three times weekly and provided with free access to food and water during experimentation. All mice were divided randomly into different groups before immunization and adapted for 1 week before any treatments. Animal experiments were performed in accordance with the guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals under the approval of the animal ethics committee at Seoul National University (No. SNU-161114-4). After the final serum collection of each experiment, the mice were euthanized with CO₂ inhalation.

Vector construction

The nucleotide sequence of the spike protein from the PEDV K14JB01 strain (GenBank accession No. KJ623926) was used as a reference to synthesize a partial protein from the S0 (amino acids 25-229) gene. The whole genome sequence from Vibrio vulnificus YJ016 (GenBank accession No. BA000037) was used as a reference to synthesize FlaB. Each sequence was codon-optimized using the table of codon usage in E. coli before synthesis (Genescript, USA; Bioneer, Korea) and PCR-amplified using various primer pairs provided in Table 1.

The nucleotide sequence of SO was amplified by PCR using the primers NSO-F/XSO-R and cloned into the vector pET28a(+) (Novagen, USA) using Nhel and Xhol restriction enzyme sites (pS0). The FlaB DNA fragment was amplified by PCR using BFlaB-F/XFlaB-R primers and was cloned into pET28a(+). The resulting construct was digested using Nhel and BamHI restriction enzymes and ligated with the SO DNA fragment that was previously PCR-amplified using NS0-F/BS0-R primers (pS0-F). The FlaB DNA fragment, which was PCR-amplified using SFlaB-F/NFlaB-R primers, was cloned into pET28a(+) pretreated with rSAP. The resulting construct, which contained the correct FlaB direction, was digested using Nhel restriction enzymes and ligated with the PCR-amplified S0 DNA fragment using NS0-F/XS0-R primers (pF-SO). To express FlaB alone, the FlaB DNA fragment PCR-amplified using NFlaB-F/ XFlaB-R primers was cloned into pET28a(+) using Nhel and Xhol restriction enzyme sites (pF). As pET28a(+) supports 6His-tag nucleotide sequences on both ends of the multiple cloning site, the resulting recombinant proteins contained an additional 6His-tag on both the C- and N-terminal regions. DNA sequences of the resulting expression vectors were verified by the dideoxy-chain termination method.

Protein expression and purification of recombinant SO, SO-F, F-SO and F

Each expression plasmid (pS0, pS0-F, pF-S0 and pF) was transformed into *E. coli* BL21(DE3) (Invitrogen, USA). A seed culture was prepared with a single colony of recombinant E. coli

Table 1. Primer sequences			
Names		Sequences	
NSO-F	5'- <u>GCTAGC</u> CGTTGCAGCGCGAACAC		
XSO-R	5'-CTCGAG CGGTTGGTAGCTAATGCC		
BSO-R	5'- <u>GGATCC</u> CGGTTGGTAGCTAATGCC		
SFlaB-F	5'-ACTAGT ATGGCAGTGAATGTAAA		
NFlaB-R	5'- <u>GCTAGC</u> GCCAAGCAGGCTTAACG		
BFlaB-F	5'- <u>GGATCC</u> ATGGCAGTGAATGTAAA		
XFlaB-R	5'- <u>CTCGAG</u> GCCAAGCAGGCTTAACG		
NFlaB-F	5'- <u>GCTAGC</u> GCAGTGAATGTAAA		



and grown overnight in LB broth containing 50 µg/mL kanamycin. One percent of the seed culture was inoculated into LB broth containing 50 µg/mL kanamycin and grown until the optical density at 600 nm (OD 600) reached 0.5. Cultures were then treated with 0.3 mM IPTG to induce expression of the protein of interest and grown at 25°C for 5 h with shaking set to 200 rpm. Cells were harvested and disrupted on ice by sonication (VCX750, SONICS, USA) using a preset program (45 cycles of 2 sec On/5 sec Off, amp 40%), followed by centrifugation at 20,000 × g for 15 min at 4°C. After centrifugation, supernatants were collected as a soluble fraction, while pellets were solubilized using 50 mM Tris-Cl, 2 M urea, pH 12.5 buffer and collected as an insoluble fraction. Equivalent volumes of solubilization buffer versus supernatants were used to prepare the insoluble fraction. Insoluble and soluble fractions of each recombinant protein were analyzed through SDS-PAGE followed by Coomassie Blue staining (**Fig. 1A**). The insoluble fraction of S0 was subsequently refolded as described previously [8].

To express each recombinant protein in the presence of the chaperone *tig*, we transformed each expression plasmid (pS0, pS0-F and pF-S0) into *E. coli* BL21(DE3)/pTf16 (Takara, Japan), which can induce the expression of trigger factor chaperone *tig*. A seed culture was



Fig. 1. Analysis of solubility of recombinant proteins in the presence or absence of the chaperone *tig.* (A, B) Insoluble and soluble fractions of each recombinant protein were analyzed by SDS-PAGE followed by Coomassie Blue staining in the absence or presence of the coexpressed chaperone *tig* (A and B, respectively). (C, D) Band densities of target recombinant protein from (A) and (B) were analyzed using ImageJ (C and D, respectively). Densities were calculated using the relative ratio of the S0 insoluble fraction without the chaperone *tig*. Respective values presented for each corresponding bar. IS, insoluble fraction; S, soluble fraction; M, marker; black arrow, location of S0-F or F-S0; dotted arrow, location of F; empty arrow, location of S0; dashed arrow, location of *tig*.

https://vetsci.org



prepared by inoculating a single colony in LB broth containing 50 μg/mL kanamycin and 20 μg/mL chloramphenicol overnight at 37°C. *tig* was expressed before the induction of each recombinant protein. One percent of the seed culture was inoculated into LB broth

20 μ g/mL chloramphenicol overnight at 37°C. *tig* was expressed before the induction of each recombinant protein. One percent of the seed culture was inoculated into LB broth supplemented with 0.5 mg/ml L-arabinose, 20 μ g/mL chloramphenicol and 50 μ g/mL kanamycin until the OD 600 reached 0.35. At this point, cells were cooled to 4°C for 15 min and subsequently induced with 0.1 mM IPTG at 15°C for 24 h. The cell disruption process was as described above. Insoluble and soluble fractions of each recombinant protein were analyzed by SDS-PAGE followed by Coomassie Blue staining (**Fig. 1B**). The band densities of each recombinant protein were measured using Image Lab software (Bio-Rad Laboratories) (**Fig. 1C and D**).

The soluble fractions of S0-F and F-S0, which were expressed in the presence of *tig*, were purified using affinity chromatography on a Ni²⁺-NTA column. Purified samples were dialyzed against phosphate buffered saline (PBS) at 4°C three times and subsequently concentrated using Amicon ultra-15 centrifugal filters (Merck, Germany). Contaminating lipopolysaccharide (LPS) was further removed by using an endotoxin removal spin column (Thermo Scientific, USA), and the residual LPS content of each recombinant protein was determined using the ToxinSensor Chromogenic LAL Endotoxin Assay Kit (Genescript, USA). The LPS levels of each recombinant protein were below 0.03 EU/mL and 0.0075 EU per dose.

IL-8 and MCP-1 release by Caco-2 cells

Caco-2 cells (KCTC, Korea) were seeded at 1×10^5 per well in 48-well plates (SPL, Korea) and incubated at 37°C for 5 days in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (Invitrogen, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco, USA) in an atmosphere containing 5% CO₂. Cells were then cultivated overnight without FBS and subsequently stimulated with different concentrations of each recombinant protein for 6 h. The supernatants were harvested, and cytokine levels were quantified using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits (Cusabio, China).

Serum cytokine detection

Six-week-old BALB/c mice (n = 3) were injected intraperitoneally with 150 pmol of each recombinant protein in 100 μ L PBS (3.8 μ g of S0, 10 μ g of S0-F or F-S0 and 6.2 μ g of F per mouse, a total of 4 groups, total n = 12). Sera were collected 1 and 4 h postinjection from intrapetrosal veins with a disposable syringe and delivered into sterilized tubes. Serum was separated by centrifugation at 12,000 rpm for 3 min using a serum separator tube (BD microtainer, USA). Cytokine levels were analyzed using IL-6 and IL-18 ELISA kits (Invitrogen, USA; Cusabio, China, respectively).

Mouse immunization and antibody detection

Six-week-old BALB/c mice (n = 5) were immunized intramuscularly with 30 pmol of each recombinant protein (0.76 μ g of S0, 2 μ g of S0-F or F-S0 and 0.76+1.24 μ g of S0/F per mouse, a total of 5 groups, total n = 25) three times at 2-week intervals after adaptation. CFA and IFA were mixed with antigens for the priming and boosting of mice, respectively. Blood samples were collected on days 13, 27, and 42 (sacrifice) from intrapetrosal veins with a disposable syringe and delivered into sterilized tubes. Serum was separated by centrifugation at 12,000 rpm for 3 min using a serum separator tube (BD microtainer, USA).

To detect S0-specific IgG levels, sera were analyzed by ELISA. 96-well immunoplates (SPL, Korea) were coated with 10 μ g/mL of purified recombinant S0 (100 μ L/well) in carbonate-



bicarbonate buffer (pH 9.6) at 37°C for 2 h. Coated plates were blocked with 0.5% skim milk in PBS (100 μ L/well) for 1 h at room temperature. Then, serially diluted serum from a 1:100 dilutions was added to the wells (100 μ L/well) and incubated at 37°C for 2 h. After incubation, horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG, IgG1, IgG2a (1:2,000 dilutions, 100 μ L/well) or IgA (1:5,000 dilutions, 100 μ L/well) was added to the designated wells for 1 h at room temperature. Appropriate washing of each well was performed between each step with PBS containing 0.05% Tween 20. TMB solution (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) was added to the wells (100 μ L/well) as an HRP substrate and incubated for 30 min without light interference, followed by the addition of stop solution (0.16 M H₂SO₄; 100 μ L/well) to stop the enzymatic reaction. Finally, the absorbance was measured at 450 nm using a microplate reader (Infinite[®] 200 PRO, USA). ELISA results were expressed as the endpoint titer.

Statistical analysis

Data are presented as the mean ± standard error of mean (SEM). Statistical significance was assessed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and post hoc Tukey's multiple comparisons test.

RESULTS

Construction, soluble expression and purification of SO-F, F-SO and F

The truncated S0 region (25-229 amino acid) of the PEDV spike protein, which contains neutralizing epitopes and supports cross-protection among non-S-Indel PEDV strains [10], was chosen as the target antigen. Bacterial flagellin from *Vibrio vulnificus*, FlaB, was used as a fusion adjuvant candidate. FlaB was fused to the C- or N-terminus of S0 to generate S0-F and F-S0, respectively (**Fig. 2A**). Nucleotide sequences encoding each recombinant protein (S0, S0-F, F-S0 and F) were inserted into the pET-28a(+) expression vector, which supports hexahistidine tags (6His-tags) that flank the N- and C-terminus of the target protein to enhance purification efficiency. The *BamHI* and *NheI* restriction enzyme sites were used to generate S0-F and F-S0 in which each sequence of S0 and F were linked by two amino acids (Gly-Ser or Ser-Ser, respectively). All genetic codons were optimized to facilitate expression in *E. coli*.

We transformed each expression vector into E. coli BL21(DE3) and induced expression with IPTG. After cell disruption by sonication, soluble lysates were separated from insoluble lysates. Enhancing the solubility of each recombinant protein was deemed an important factor because we planned to utilize the soluble lysates for further experiments. To assess soluble expression, soluble and insoluble fractions of each recombinant protein were confirmed through SDS-PAGE followed by Coomassie Blue staining. S0 was expressed almost entirely as an insoluble protein, while 99% of flagellin was expressed as a soluble protein (Fig. 1A and C). In contrast to F-SO, which was expressed mostly as an insoluble protein, 59% of SO-F was expressed as a soluble protein. Trigger factor chaperone *tig* was used to enhance solubility, as it has been shown to assist protein folding by protecting long hydrophobic nascent chains during synthesis and initial folding stages [17]. We cotransformed the *tig* expression vector pTF16 (Fig. 2B) into E. coli BL21(DE3) together with each target protein's expression vector (pS0, pS0-F and pF-S0). Each target protein was induced by adding IPTG in the presence of *tig*, which had already been induced by L-arabinose addition. In this condition, 66% of S0 was expressed as a soluble protein, in contrast to S0-F and F-S0, which were almost entirely expressed as soluble proteins (Fig. 1B and D). Interestingly, the total amount of





Fig. 2. Schematic view of the expression vector system. (A) Construction of expression vectors for recombinant SO, SO-F, F-SO and F. (B) Vector map of tig expression plasmid pTF16 purchased from TAKARA BIO INC.

SO, truncated region of porcine epidemic diarrhea virus spike protein; F, FlaB from Vibrio vulnificus; H, 6X His-tag.

F-SO expression was 6.2 times higher than SO-F. Flagellin appeared to be expressed well in a soluble form without tig assistance. Each target protein in soluble and insoluble fractions was also confirmed by western blot analysis using anti-histag antibody (Supplementary Fig. 1). Data revealed that the soluble expression patterns among target proteins have similar tendency to those of Coomassie blue staining results in Fig. 2.

For further experiments, we purified soluble lysates of each recombinant protein utilizing Ni²⁺-NTA affinity chromatography, subsequently removed endotoxins and confirmed through SDS-PAGE followed by Coomassie Blue staining (Fig. 3A and C). The representative band of each recombinant protein appeared at the appropriate molecular weight location in the IPTGinduced whole cell lysate lane (Lane 2 of Fig. 3A-C) compared with the IPTG noninduced whole cell lysate lane (lane 1 of Fig. 1A-C). The purities of SO-F, F-SO and F were 90.3%, 92.6% and 99%, respectively (Table 2). Due to the difficulty of purifying S0 as a soluble lysate, S0 insoluble aggregates were solubilized, refolded and subsequently purified as described previously [8].

Effect of flagellin conjugation to SO on the SO-specific humoral immune response

Flagellin's adjuvant effect on the humoral immune response to PED antigen SO was evaluated through mouse serum SO-specific IgG levels after immunization. Five groups of mice were



Adjuvancy of flagellin conjugation to PED subunit vaccine antigen, SO



Fig. 3. Purification of SO-F, F-SO and F. (A) SO-F, (B) F-SO and (C) F were expressed in *E. coli* BL21 (DE3). After sonication, soluble fractions were purified. Normalized amounts of each sample were analyzed using SDS-PAGE followed by Coomassie Blue staining. M, marker; W, whole cell lysates; S, soluble fraction; P, purified fraction; black arrows, the location of each recombinant protein; dotted arrow, the location of the chaperone *tig*.

Table 2. Purity and yield of SO-F, F-SO and F

Name of recombinant protein	Purity	Yield (mg/L)
SO-F	90.3	0.64
F-SO	92.6	1.17
F	99.0	5.88

immunized three times intramuscularly with PBS, 30 pmol of S0 (0.76 μ g), S0-F (2 μ g), F-S0 (2 μ g) and a mixture of S0/F (0.76+1.24 μ g) at 14-day intervals. Sera were collected at the 2nd, 4th and 6th weeks postinjection, and S0-specific IgG levels were evaluated by indirect ELISA (**Fig. 4A**). Interestingly, the F-S0 immunized group had significantly higher levels of S0-specific IgG and IgA compared to all other groups on the 6th week (**Fig. 4D and E**). Sera collected at the 2nd and 4th week postinjection had a similar tendency as the 6th-week sera (**Fig. 4B and C**).

We also evaluated serum S0-specific IgG2a and IgG1 titers as indicators of Th1 and Th2 type immune responses [18], respectively, at the 6th week postinjection. Data were expressed as a ratio of IgG2a/IgG1. **Fig. 4F** shows that the S0-immunized group had a more dominant S0-specific IgG1 response than IgG2a response, apart from one mouse. Mice from the S0-F or F-S0 immunized groups also had a more dominant S0-specific IgG1 response than IgG2a response. Interestingly, mice immunized with the S0/F mixture had a more dominant S0-specific IgG2a response than IgG1 response (**Fig. 4F**).

Evaluation of SO, SO-F, F-SO, F's ability to activate the TLR5 or NLRC4/NAIP5 pathway

Flagellin has been shown to be a highly potent adjuvant through TLR5 in a number of innate and adaptive immune cells [19]. To interpret different SO-specific IgG outcomes among various groups receiving the flagellin adjuvant, we used the epithelial cell line Caco-2, which expresses TLR5 constitutively (**Supplementary Fig. 3**), to assess the TLR5 activating ability of each recombinant protein. Caco-2 cells were treated with each recombinant protein at 1, 10, or 100 nM. IL-8 and MCP-1, which are representative cytokines for the TLR5 pathway, were measured in the supernatant by ELISA 72 h after treatment. As shown in **Fig. 5A**, SO-F,





Fig. 4. SO-specific humoral immune response in mice after immunization of each recombinant protein. (A) Schematic view of immunization and blood sampling schedule (n = 5/group) (B-E) Mice were immunized with the indicated recombinant proteins. Blood samples from 2, 4, and 6 weeks postinjection were analyzed with an SO-coated sandwich ELISA. (F) IgG2a and IgG1 subtypes of SO-specific IgG at 6 weeks postinjection. Samples were analyzed by ELISA, and the IgG2a/IgG1 ratio was calculated. All data were plotted on a log 10 scale. ELISA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay.

*p < 0.05; **p < 0.01.

F-S0 and F effectively induced IL-8 more significantly than S0 alone at 10 and 100 nM. At 1 nM, treatment with F induced IL-8 more significantly than treatment with S0-F or F-S0. Similar patterns were observed for MCP-1 (**Fig. 5B**). There was no evident difference in the ability of S0-F and F-S0 to induce IL-8 or MCP-1. For more in-depth analysis, we verified each recombinant protein's ability to activate the TLR5 pathway *in vivo*. Mice were injected intraperitoneally with equivalent molar values of each recombinant protein, and sera were analyzed by ELISA to detect IL-6 as a marker of TLR5 activation. The data revealed that the F treatment induced stronger serum IL-6 levels when compared to S0-F or F-S0 treatments at 4 h (**Fig. 6A**). Consistent with the *in vitro* data, S0-F and F-S0 had a tendency to induce higher levels of serum IL-6 than S0, but the levels were lower than for F. TLR5 stimulation profile of each recombinant protein was also confirmed by TLR5 reporter system and similar tendency was once again confirmed (**Supplementary Fig. 2**).



Adjuvancy of flagellin conjugation to PED subunit vaccine antigen, SO



Fig. 5. The TLR5 activation properties of S0, S0-F, F-S0 and F in the Caco-2 model. (A) IL-8 and (B) MCP-1, downstream cytokines of TLR5, were secreted from Caco-2 cells 72 h after treatment with 1, 10, 100 nM of S0, S0-F, F-S0 and F. Supernatants were analyzed by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. **p* < 0.05; ***p* < 0.01; ****p* < 0.001, respectively.



Fig. 6. The TLR5 and NLRC4/Naip5 activation properties of SO, SO-F, F-SO and F in a mouse model. Mice were intraperitoneal injected with equivalent moles of SO, SO-F, F-SO and F. Blood was collected 1 and 4 h after injection. From blood samples, (A) IL-6 and (B) IL-18, downstream cytokines of TLR5 and Nlrc4/Naip5, respectively, were analyzed by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. *p < 0.05.

Flagellin is recognized not only by the transmembrane receptor TLR5 on the cell surface but also by the cytosolic receptor NLRC4/NAIP5 [20]. Flagellin's adjuvant effect on the humoral immune response can be influenced by activation of both receptors [21,22]. Therefore, we also sought to determine the ability of each recombinant protein to activate the cytosolic receptor NLRC4/NAIP5 by measuring its responsive cytokine IL-18 from sera. Interestingly, the S0-F-injected group had serum IL-18 levels that were significantly higher than all other groups at 1 h (**Fig. 6B**). Similar patterns were also observed at 4 h.

DISCUSSION

Flagellin has been frequently used as a molecular adjuvant in conjugation with various antigens [11-14]; however, the adjuvant effect of flagellin varies depending on the target antigen or the position of its conjugation [13,16]. In this study, we conjugated flagellin to the N- or C-terminus of PEDV antigen S0 and evaluated its ability to enhance both solubility and the antigen-specific humoral immune response.



Expression of recombinant proteins in an *E. coli* system has many advantages in terms of cost, yield and scalability; however, expression in *E. coli* often leads proteins of interest to form biologically inactive aggregates known as inclusion bodies (IBs) [23]. Despite enclosing neutralizing epitopes, PED subunit vaccine candidate antigens, including the S0 (25-229 amino acid), S1D (636-789 amino acid) and COE (499-638 amino acid) regions of the PEDV spike protein, are prone to be expressed as IBs [8,9]. Although IBs can be refolded by several methods, it is better to express recombinant proteins in a soluble form and prevent IB formation in the first place [23-25]. There are various approaches to enhancing the solubility of recombinant proteins, including conjugation of a solubility-enhancing fusion partner protein or optimization of protein expression by adjusting the physiological condition of the host cells [23,26,27]. Here, we tested whether a flagellin fusion could enhance the solubility of the recombinant PEDV antigen S0 in the presence or absence of the trigger factor chaperone *tig*.

As shown in **Fig. 1A and C**, and in our previous study [8], the major fraction of S0 is expressed as an IB. In contrast to S0, 59% of S0-F was expressed as a soluble protein, while F-S0 was mainly expressed as an IB. Our data suggest that flagellin conjugation to the C-terminus of S0 improved solubility in the *E. coli* expression system without *tig* expression. To improve the solubility of the recombinant proteins, trigger factor chaperone *tig*, which has been shown to assist protein folding during synthesis and the initial folding process, was introduced and coexpressed with the respective protein of interest. In contrast to S0, which had 66% solubility, 99% of S0-F and F-S0 were expressed as soluble proteins (**Fig. 1B and D**), suggesting that flagellin conjugation also improved the solubility of recombinant proteins in the presence of *tig*. Furthermore, coexpression of *tig* ameliorated the solubility of S0, S0-F and F-S0 from 0% to 66%, 59% to 99%, and 6% to 99%, respectively. Taking this result into account, both flagellin conjugation and chaperone *tig* coexpression increased the solubility of S0-related recombinant proteins.

Interestingly, despite their similar molecular weights, the total amount of F-SO expression was approximately 6.2- and 1.5-times higher than SO-F in the presence or absence of *tig*, respectively (Fig. 1). This difference in protein levels suggests that the N-terminal flagellin sequence may offer efficient translation initiation, resulting in increased production of F-S0. In fact, it is generally accepted that fusion of a solubility enhancer to the N-terminus of a target protein can enable efficient translation initiation, which makes it a more favorable choice for soluble expression than the C-terminal fusion [28]. The conjugation of flagellin to the C-terminus of SO (SO-F) improved soluble expression in comparison to the N-terminal conjugation (F-SO) or SO alone in the absence of *tig* (Fig. 1A and C). *tig* enhances the solubility of recombinant protein through by assisting with the protein folding process. Proteins that are prone to aggregation, including the S1D and COE region of PEDV's spike protein, which are otherwise totally insoluble, have been expressed as soluble proteins with the assistance of tig [9]. In contrast, the condition lacking tig had a relatively lower capacity to fold recombinant protein correctly and make soluble protein. Therefore, rapid translation of F-S0 in the absence of *tig* is thought to produce IBs rather than soluble protein in spite of its total protein expression level being 1.5-times higher than the SO-F protein level.

As shown in **Fig. 3** and **Table 2**, S0-F, F-S0 and F underwent purification, resulting in 90.3%, 92.6% and 99% purity, respectively. However, purified S0-F and F-S0 had remarkably lower expression yields than purified F. Differences between the band intensities of the soluble and purified fractions revealed that there was a significant amount of target protein loss during



ig. 3A-C). We inferred that both 6His-tags bound

the purification process (lanes 3 and 4 of **Fig. 3A-C**). We inferred that both 6His-tags bound to the N- and C-termini of SO-F and F-SO were difficult to access by the Ni²⁺ metal ions during the purification process.

To protect piglets, the main contributor to substantial economic loss, it is imperative to immunize sows to establish the passive immunity of piglets via colostrum/milk. Therefore, enhancement of the PEDV-specific humoral immune response is a prerequisite for PED vaccine development. Flagellin has been used as a fusion adjuvant for a broad range of recombinant antigens in past decades [11,12,14]; however, there have been relatively few studies assessing the effect of the flagellin fusion position on its adjuvant activity. Therefore, we tested the ability of SO-F and F-SO to induce SO-specific humoral immune responses in mice. Interestingly, as shown in Fig. 4B-E, we confirmed that flagellin exerts a differential adjuvant effect depending on its conjugation position in reference to S0. The S0-specific antibodies in Fig. 4 is inferred to be the neutralizing antibodies, as the PEDV neutralization titers have positive correlations to indirect ELISA results which use SO produced from *E. coli* as a coating protein [29]. We used Complete Freund's adjuvant (CFA) and incomplete Freund's adjuvant (IFA) together with our conjugated vaccine system in all mice immunization experiments equally as a 'basal' adjuvant (Fig. 4) because we expected the additional adjuvant effect from the flagellin which could enhance the antigenicity of the SO over the basal Freund's adjuvant effect.

As flagellin has shown enormous potency as an adjuvant through TLR5 on a number of innate and adaptive immune cells [19], we evaluated the ability of each recombinant protein to activate TLR5 to interpret different S0-specific IgG outcomes among various flagellin adjuvanted groups. As shown in **Fig. 5**, flagellin, regardless of whether it was conjugated to S0, activated the TLR5 pathway. In particular, F alone activated this pathway to significantly higher levels than the levels achieved by S0-F or F-S0 based on the 1 nM treatment data. This activation tendency was also confirmed *in vivo* (**Fig. 6A**). The superior ability of F-S0 to induce S0-specific IgG relative to the mixture of S0/F may result from the effects of the conjugation. In fact, the conjugation of immunopotentiators to antigens has been demonstrated to elicit stronger immune responses than nonconjugated, separated treatments in many previous research investigations [14,30-32]. This stronger response occurs because conjugation ensures the delivery and uptake of both antigen and immunopotentiator by the same antigen presenting cell, assuring the potentiation of antigen-specific immune responses [33-35]. Indeed, the conjugation of flagellin to various antigens has been shown to provide stronger antigen-specific humoral immune responses than separated mixtures [14,32,36].

The cells of the innate immune system are equipped with two germline-encoded receptors to detect flagellin present on flagellated bacteria. The transmembrane receptor TLR5 detects extracellular flagellin, thus activating a number of innate and adaptive immune cells via methods such as secretion of proinflammatory cytokines. Injection of virulence factors containing flagellin from invading microbes results in flagellin being found within the cytosol of macrophages and being recognized by the cytosolic receptor NLRC4/NAIP5, which supports a stronger pathogen killing environment through IL-18, IL-1β and pyroptosis [20]. The role of these two receptors on, in particular, flagellin's adjuvant effect is suggested to be complementary, as a single knockout of either pathway did not interfere with the adjuvancy of flagellin, while a double-knockout resulted in a significant reduction of the adjuvancy of flagellin [21,37]. However, the NLRC4/NAIP5 pathway may interfere with flagellin's adjuvancy in the presence of intact TLR5. Li W et al. [22] demonstrated that FliC-L3A flagellin that



induced a significantly

lacks the ability to activate NLRC4/NAIP5 due to a point mutation, induced a significantly higher antigen-specific serum IgG titer than wild-type flagellin when used as an adjuvant with the model antigen p24 in mice. A/J mice, which are naturally partially deficient in *Naip5*, displayed higher IgG responses to ovalbumin (OVA) than C57BL/6 mice after immunization with a mixture of OVA and flagellin [37]. Our data revealed that S0-F treatment induced significantly higher levels IL-18, a representative cytokine of the NLRC4/NAIP5 pathway, than F-S0 or F treatment in mice (**Fig. 6B**), suggesting that the superior ability of S0-F to activate the NLRC4/NAIP5 pathway may interfere with flagellin's adjuvancy and reduce serum S0-specific IgG despite its advantage in the conjugated form.

Flagellin showed a variety of abilities to activate its cognate receptors depending on its conjugation status. In fact, there have been several reports assessing the effect of the directionality of the flagellin fusion on its bioactivity. For example, flagellin conjugated to the C-terminus of HPV-16 E7 protein activated the TLR5 pathway 10-fold more potently than its counterpart, whereas flagellin conjugated to the N-terminus of E7 stimulated the NLRC4/ NAIP5 pathway significantly more than its counterpart or even flagellin alone [16]. We speculate that the receptor binding domains of flagellin may be influenced by the presence of the S0 protein, causing the NLRC4/NAIP5 binding domain of S0-F to be more exposed than the binding domain F-S0 or F.

Here, we evaluated the adjuvant effect of flagellin by the induction of systemic humoral immune response (**Fig. 4**). Though many studies immunized the sow through intramuscular route [38], induction of the virus-specific IgA at colostrum/milk resulted from mucosal immunization is important factor in terms of lactogenic immunity [39]. Further works using target animals, however, is crucial for the development of this antigen as an effective vaccine.

In this study, we conjugated flagellin, Vibrio vulnificus FlaB, to the N- or C-terminus of PEDV antigen S0 and evaluated its ability to improve protein solubility and an antigen-specific humoral immune response. The ability of conjugating an immunopotentiator to an antigen to enhance the performance of the vaccine was once again confirmed. Conjugation of flagellin to S0 improved the solubility of the recombinant proteins when combined with chaperone tig. Flagellin conjugation did not improve the solubility of all other proteins; however, flagellin has been shown to be a good candidate for enhancing antigen solubility in proteins that are prone to form IBs such as SO. Conjugation of flagellin to the N-terminus of SO enhanced the SOspecific humoral immune response more than any other type of flagellin assistance. However, flagellin conjugation to the N-terminus of other antigens (e.g. HIV gp120, HPV E7) is not guaranteed to improve the antigen-specific humoral response because flagellin's preference to activate TLR5 or NLRC4/NAIP5 in its position differs depending on the conjugated antigens. Rather, we suggest that flagellin-conjugated antigens, which have an inferior ability to activate NLRC4/NAIP5, may be good candidates for inducing an increased antigenspecific humoral immune response. Furthermore, SO-induced responses were dominated by S0-specific IgG1 rather than IgG2a. Because the induction of IgG2a and IgG1 represent Th1- and Th2-type immune responses, respectively [18]. S0 seems to have an inherent ability to induce Th2-type immune response to itself (Fig. 4F). In contrast to SO-F and F-SO, flagellin alone induced IgG2a more than IgG1, suggesting that flagellin skewed the type of immune response from Th2 to Th1. As Th2 cell-derived cytokines, such as IL-5 and IL-6, are important for the generation of IgA at mucosal site [40], SO-F or F-SO is expected to have an additional advantage if they are utilized as a mucosal vaccine. These findings provide crucial information for the rational design of a PED vaccine and flagellin-based immunotherapeutics.



SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Supplementary Fig. 1

Immunoblot analysis of recombinant proteins in the presence or absence of the chaperone *tig.* (A, B) Insoluble and soluble fractions of each recombinant protein were analyzed by SDS-PAGE followed by immunoblotting using anti-histag antibody in the absence or presence of the coexpressed chaperone *tig* (A and B, respectively).

Click here to view

Supplementary Fig. 2

The TLR5 activation properties of S0, S0-F, F-S0 and F in the TLR5 reporter system. Alkaline phosphatases were secreted from HEK293^{TLR5} reporter cells 8 h after treatment with 1, 10, 100 nM of S0, S0-F, F-S0 and F. Supernatants were mixed with alkaline phosphatase substrate (p-nitrophenyl phoasphate, Sigma Aldrich, USA) and the absorbance at 405 nm was determined by spectrophotometry. Data were divided by absorbance of medium control and expressed as a ratio.

Click here to view

Supplementary Fig. 3

The TLR5 expression in Caco-2 cell lines. Caco-2 cells in culture were harvested and stained with anti-hTLR5 anitbody (ab13876, abcam, UK) followed by Alexa Fluor 488 conjugated anti-mouse IgG (A-11001, Invitrogen, USA). Samples were collected with FACScanto (BD PharMingen, USA) and analyzed with FlowJo (Treestar, USA). Isotype control were stained only with Fluor 488 conjugated anti-mouse IgG.

Click here to view

REFERENCES

- Song D, Moon H, Kang B. Porcine epidemic diarrhea: a review of current epidemiology and available vaccines. Clin Exp Vaccine Res 2015;4:166-176.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Sun RQ, Cai RJ, Chen YQ, Liang PS, Chen DK, Song CX. Outbreak of porcine epidemic diarrhea in suckling piglets, China. Emerg Infect Dis 2012;18:161-163.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Debouck P, Pensaert M. Experimental infection of pigs with a new porcine enteric coronavirus, CV 777. Am J Vet Res 1980;41:219-223.
 PUBMED
- Jung K, Saif LJ. Porcine epidemic diarrhea virus infection: Etiology, epidemiology, pathogenesis and immunoprophylaxis. Vet J 2015;204:134-143.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Schulz LL, Tonsor GT. Assessment of the economic impacts of porcine epidemic diarrhea virus in the United States. J Anim Sci 2015;93:5111-5118.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Ayudhya SN, Assavacheep P, Thanawongnuwech R. One world--one health: the threat of emerging swine diseases. An Asian perspective. Transbound Emerg Dis 2012;59 Suppl 1:9-17.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Moyle PM, Toth I. Modern subunit vaccines: development, components, and research opportunities. ChemMedChem 2013;8:360-376.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF



- Piao DC, Lee YS, Bok JD, Cho CS, Hong ZS, Kang SK, Choi YJ. Production of soluble truncated spike protein of porcine epidemic diarrhea virus from inclusion bodies of Escherichia coli through refolding. Protein Expr Purif 2016;126:77-83.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Piao DC, Shin DW, Kim IS, Li HS, Oh SH, Singh B, Maharjan S, Lee YS, Bok JD, Cho CS, Hong ZS, Kang SK, Choi YJ. Trigger factor assisted soluble expression of recombinant spike protein of porcine epidemic diarrhea virus in Escherichia coli. BMC Biotechnol 2016;16:39.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Li C, Li W, de Esesarte EL, Guo H, van den Elzen P, Aarts E, van den Born E, Rottier PJ, Bosch BJ. Cell attachment domains of the PEDV spike protein are key targets of neutralizing antibodies. J Virol 2017;91:e00273-17.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Lee SE, Kim SY, Jeong BC, Kim YR, Bae SJ, Ahn OS, Lee JJ, Song HC, Kim JM, Choy HE, Chung SS, Kweon MN, Rhee JH. A bacterial flagellin, Vibrio vulnificus FlaB, has a strong mucosal adjuvant activity to induce protective immunity. Infect Immun 2006;74:694-702.

 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Nguyen CT, Kim SY, Kim MS, Lee SE, Rhee JH. Intranasal immunization with recombinant PspA fused with a flagellin enhances cross-protective immunity against Streptococcus pneumoniae infection in mice. Vaccine 2011;29:5731-5739.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 13. Mizel SB, Bates JT. Flagellin as an adjuvant: cellular mechanisms and potential. J Immunol 2010;185: 5677-5682.

PUBMED | CROSSREF

- Moyle PM. Biotechnology approaches to produce potent, self-adjuvanting antigen-adjuvant fusion protein subunit vaccines. Biotechnol Adv 2017;35:375-389.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 15. Rhee JH, Lee SE, Kim SY. Mucosal vaccine adjuvants update. Clin Exp Vaccine Res 2012;1:50-63. PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Lin KH, Chang LS, Tian CY, Yeh YC, Chen YJ, Chuang TH, Liu SJ, Leng CH. Carboxyl-terminal fusion of E7 into Flagellin shifts TLR5 activation to NLRC4/NAIP5 activation and induces TLR5-independent antitumor immunity. Sci Rep 2016;6:24199.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 17. O'donnell CW, Lis M. The Trigger Factor Chaperone. Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, 2006.
- Stevens TL, Bossie A, Sanders VM, Fernandez-Botran R, Coffman RL, Mosmann TR, Vitetta ES. Regulation of antibody isotype secretion by subsets of antigen-specific helper T cells. Nature 1988;334:255-258.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Hajam IA, Dar PA, Shahnawaz I, Jaume JC, Lee JH. Bacterial flagellin-a potent immunomodulatory agent. Exp Mol Med 2017;49:e373.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 20. Miao EA, Andersen-Nissen E, Warren SE, Aderem A. TLR5 and Ipaf: dual sensors of bacterial flagellin in the innate immune system. In: Seminars in Immunopathology. pp.275-288, Springer, Berlin, 2007.
- Vijay-Kumar M, Carvalho FA, Aitken JD, Fifadara NH, Gewirtz AT. TLR5 or NLRC4 is necessary and sufficient for promotion of humoral immunity by flagellin. Eur J Immunol 2010;40:3528-3534.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Li W, Yang J, Zhang E, Zhong M, Xiao Y, Yu J, Zhou D, Cao Y, Yang Y, Li Y, Yan H. Activation of NLRC4 downregulates TLR5-mediated antibody immune responses against flagellin. Cell Mol Immunol 2016;13:514-523.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Sørensen HP, Mortensen KK. Soluble expression of recombinant proteins in the cytoplasm of Escherichia coli. Microb Cell Fact 2005;4:1.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Davis GD, Elisee C, Newham DM, Harrison RG. New fusion protein systems designed to give soluble expression in Escherichia coli. Biotechnol Bioeng 1999;65:382-388.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 25. Gräslund S, Nordlund P, Weigelt J, Hallberg BM, Bray J, Gileadi O, Knapp S, Oppermann U, Arrowsmith C, Hui R, Ming J, dhe-Paganon S, Park HW, Savchenko A, Yee A, Edwards A, Vincentelli R, Cambillau C, Kim R, Kim SH, Rao Z, Shi Y, Terwilliger TC, Kim CY, Hung LW, Waldo GS, Peleg Y, Albeck S, Unger T,



Dym O, Prilusky J, Sussman JL, Stevens RC, Lesley SA, Wilson IA, Joachimiak A, Collart F, Dementieva I, Donnelly MI, Eschenfeldt WH, Kim Y, Stols L, Wu R, Zhou M, Burley SK, Emtage JS, Sauder JM, Thompson D, Bain K, Luz J, Gheyi T, Zhang F, Atwell S, Almo SC, Bonanno JB, Fiser A, Swaminathan S, Studier FW, Chance MR, Sali A, Acton TB, Xiao R, Zhao L, Ma LC, Hunt JF, Tong L, Cunningham K, Inouye M, Anderson S, Janjua H, Shastry R, Ho CK, Wang D, Wang H, Jiang M, Montelione GT, Stuart DI, Owens RJ, Daenke S, Schütz A, Heinemann U, Yokoyama S, Büssow K, Gunsalus KC. Protein production and purification. Nat Methods 2008;5:135-146.

- Esposito D, Chatterjee DK. Enhancement of soluble protein expression through the use of fusion tags. Curr Opin Biotechnol 2006;17:353-358.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Sørensen HP, Mortensen KK. Advanced genetic strategies for recombinant protein expression in Escherichia coli. J Biotechnol 2005;115:113-128.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Costa S, Almeida A, Castro A, Domingues L. Fusion tags for protein solubility, purification and immunogenicity in *Escherichia coli*: the novel Fh8 system. Front Microbiol 2014;5:63.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 29. Li Y, Zheng F, Fan B, Muhammad HM, Zou Y, Jiang P. Development of an indirect ELISA based on a truncated S protein of the porcine epidemic diarrhea virus. Can J Microbiol 2015;61:811-817. PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 30. Huang Q, Yu W, Hu T. Potent antigen-adjuvant delivery system by conjugation of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* Ag85B-HspX fusion protein with arabinogalactan-Poly (I: C) conjugate. Bioconjug Chem 2016;27:1165-1174. PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Kreutz M, Giquel B, Hu Q, Abuknesha R, Uematsu S, Akira S, Nestle FO, Diebold SS. Antibody-antigenadjuvant conjugates enable co-delivery of antigen and adjuvant to dendritic cells in cis but only have partial targeting specificity. PLoS One 2012;7:e40208.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Huleatt JW, Jacobs AR, Tang J, Desai P, Kopp EB, Huang Y, Song L, Nakaar V, Powell TJ. Vaccination with recombinant fusion proteins incorporating Toll-like receptor ligands induces rapid cellular and humoral immunity. Vaccine 2007;25:763-775.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Kastenmüller W, Kastenmüller K, Kurts C, Seder RA. Dendritic cell-targeted vaccines--hope or hype? Nat Rev Immunol 2014;14:705-711.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 34. Zom GG, Khan S, Filippov DV, Ossendorp F. TLR ligand-peptide conjugate vaccines: toward clinical application. In: Advances in Immunology. vol. 114. pp. 177-201. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2012.
- Blander JM, Medzhitov R. Toll-dependent selection of microbial antigens for presentation by dendritic cells. Nature 2006;440:808-812.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 36. Delavari S, Sohrabi M, Ardestani MS, Faezi S, Tebianian M, Taghizadeh M, Shajiei A, Hosseini SY, Moghaddampour M, Mahdavi M. Pseudomonas aeruginosa flagellin as an adjuvant: superiority of a conjugated form of flagellin versus a mixture with a human immunodeficiency virus type 1 vaccine candidate in the induction of immune responses. J Med Microbiol 2015;64:1361-1368. PUBMED | CROSSREF
- López-Yglesias AH, Zhao X, Quarles EK, Lai MA, VandenBos T, Strong RK, Smith KD. Flagellin induces antibody responses through a TLR5- and inflammasome-independent pathway. J Immunol 2014;192:1587-1596.

PUBMED | CROSSREF

- Subramaniam S, Yugo DM, Heffron CL, Rogers AJ, Sooryanarain H, LeRoith T, Overend C, Cao D, Meng XJ. Vaccination of sows with a dendritic cell-targeted porcine epidemic diarrhea virus S1 protein-based candidate vaccine reduced viral shedding but exacerbated gross pathological lesions in suckling neonatal piglets. J Gen Virol 2018;99:230-239.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Langel SN, Paim FC, Lager KM, Vlasova AN, Saif LJ. Lactogenic immunity and vaccines for porcine epidemic diarrhea virus (PEDV): historical and current concepts. Virus Res 2016;226:93-107.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Yamamoto M, Vancott JL, Okahashi N, Marinaro M, Kiyono H, Fujihashi K, Jackson RJ, Chatfield SN, Bluethmann H, McGhee JR. The role of Th1 and Th2 cells for mucosal IgA responses. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1996;778:64-71.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF