


# A Patent Review on SARS Coronavirus Main Protease (3CL<sup>pro</sup>) Inhibitors

C. S. Brian Chia,  ${}^{\!\!*{}^{\!\![a]}}$  Weijun Xu,  ${}^{\!\![a]}$  and Pearly Shuyi  $Ng^{\!\![a]}$ 





The severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) pandemic is an unprecedented global health emergency causing more than 4.2 million fatalities as of 30 July 2021. Only three antiviral therapies have been approved or granted emergency use authorization by the FDA. The SARS-CoV-2 3CL protease (3CL<sup>pro</sup>) is deemed an attractive drug target as it plays an essential role in viral polyprotein processing and patho-

## 1. Introduction

In late 2019, a number of severe pneumonia clusters were reported in Wuhan, Hubei province, China.<sup>[1,2]</sup> Genome sequencing revealed the responsible pathogen was a coronavirus with 80% nucleotide sequence identity to the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV), responsible for the 2002-2004 outbreak originating from Foshan, Guangdong province, China.<sup>[3-6]</sup> This new coronavirus was named 'severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2' (SARS-CoV-2) by the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses<sup>[7]</sup> and the disease was called 'coronavirus disease 2019' (COVID-19) by the World Health Organization (WHO). The virus has since spread globally, infecting more than 196 million people and causing more than 4.2 million deaths as of 30 July 2021.<sup>[8]</sup> Although a number of vaccines have been granted authorization, only three antiviral therapies (Remdesivir, Casirivimab+Imdevimab and Sotrovimab)<sup>[9-11]</sup> have so far been approved or granted emergency use authorization by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA), signifying an urgent need for more antiviral drugs.

The coronavirus 3-chymotrypsin-like protease (3CL<sup>pro</sup>; also known as 'main protease' or 'Mpro') is deemed an attractive drug target due to its essential role in coronavirus polyprotein processing. It cleaves the polyprotein at more than 11 sites to yield essential proteins required for virus replication and pathogenesis.<sup>[12–16]</sup> As 3CL<sup>pro</sup> has no reported human homologues, a 3CL<sup>pro</sup> inhibitor should not inhibit any human proteases and hence, reduce the risk of side-effects.<sup>[15,16]</sup> 3CL<sup>pro</sup> is a cysteine protease with a unique substrate preference for a P1 glutamine, hydrolysing the peptide bond at the C-terminus of glutamine.<sup>[12-16]</sup> Particularly noteworthy is that the 3CL<sup>pro</sup> of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 share 96% amino acid sequence identity so inhibitors designed for the former should work equally well on the latter.<sup>[12,17]</sup> Indeed, the first coronavirus 3CL<sup>pro</sup> inhibitor to enter clinical trials is Pfizer's PF-07304814 (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier NCT04535167) in September 2020. First described in a patent filed in 2005 as a SARS-CoV 3CL<sup>pro</sup> inhibitor (WO 2005/113580), its synthesis, structure-activity relationship study and preclinical development details were published only much later in 2020.<sup>[18]</sup>.

 [a] Dr. C. S. B. Chia, Dr. W. Xu, Dr. P. Shuyi Ng Experimental Drug Development Centre 10 Biopolis Road, 138670 Chromos #08-01, Singapore E-mail: cschia@eddc.a-star.edu.sg genesis, although no inhibitors have been approved. This patent review discusses SARS coronavirus 3CL<sup>pro</sup> inhibitors that have been filed up to 30 July 2021, giving an overview on the types of inhibitors that have generated commercial interest, especially amongst drug companies. Insights into the common structural motifs required for active site binding is also discussed.

#### 2. Patent Review

Although many SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 3CLpro inhibitors have been reported, collated and reviewed in academic journals since the 2002-2004 SARS-CoV pandemic, none have so far been approved as antiviral drugs.<sup>[12-14]</sup> A plausible explanation could be that commercial interest in coronavirus drug development waned rapidly after the SARS-CoV pandemic ended. However, since the start of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic in late 2019, academic and commercial interest in coronavirus 3CL<sup>pro</sup> inhibitors returned with a vengeance. This review collates SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 3CL<sup>pro</sup> inhibitors reported in patent applications filed since the 2002 SARS-CoV pandemic up to 30 July 2021. SciFinder<sup>®</sup> software (CAS)<sup>[19]</sup> was employed and search terms: 'coronavirus 3CL<sup>pro</sup> inhibitor', 'coronavirus 3CL protease inhibitor', 'coronavirus Mpro inhibitor', 'coronavirus main protease inhibitor' and 'coronavirus protease inhibitor' were used. The patents are summarized in Table 1 in chronological order with detailed analysis included vide infra.

SciFinder<sup>®</sup> revealed 18 patents on CoV 3CL<sup>pro</sup> inhibitors and together with a 2020 patent review on coronavirus therapeutic agents,<sup>[44]</sup> a total 24 patents are summarised in Table 1. Unsurprisingly, majority of the patents (23 out of 24) described inhibitors designed to inhibit SARS-CoV 3CL<sup>pro</sup> as the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic started only in late 2019. However, since both viral proteases share 96% sequence identity,<sup>[12,17]</sup> inhibitors designed for the former should also inhibit the latter protease. Indeed, Pfizer's PF-00835231 (**6b**), first described in WO 2005/113580, was originally designed to inhibit SARS-CoV 3CL<sup>pro</sup> (IC<sub>50</sub> 4 nM).<sup>[18]</sup> and was later shown to be a potent inhibitor of SARS-CoV-2 3CL<sup>pro</sup> (IC<sub>50</sub> 8 nM).<sup>[45]</sup>

To our best knowledge, the earliest patent application describing SARS-CoV 3CL<sup>pro</sup> inhibitors was filed by Pfizer in 2004 (WO 2004/093860; Table 1)<sup>[20]</sup> involving 3-residue peptidomimetics bearing a P1 glutamine or lactam glutamine mimic with a C-terminal electrophilic  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated ester warhead (Figure 1). Some inhibitors had their P3 amino acid residue substituted by a 2-pyridone moiety (1b), presumably to improve their pharmacokinetic properties. All the described compounds were originally designed to inhibit the rhinovirus 3C protease which shares some structural similarity to coronavirus 3CL<sup>pro [20]</sup> Unfortunately, no coronavirus 3CL<sup>pro</sup> inhibition data were reported in the patent. We believe this is the first patent describing peptidomimetics bearing a P1 lactam glutamine mimic (1 a) used for inhibiting SARS-CoV 3CLpro and were originally designed as rhinovirus 3C protease inhibitors in 1999 by Agouron Pharmaceuticals (WO 99/57135).<sup>[46]</sup> We are currently unable to recommend these peptidomimetics for further drug



Patent number	Applicant/Assignee	Filing date (dd.mm.yyyy)	Inhibitor type	Fig.	Ref.
WO 2004/093860	Pfizer	13.04.2004	peptidomimetic	1	[20]
WO 2004/101742	Cytovia	06.05.2004	peptidomimetic	2	[21]
US 2006/0014821	Agouron Pharmaceuticals	13.08.2004	peptidomimetic	3	[22]
WO 2005/041904	Fulcrum Pharmaceuticals	01.11.2004	small molecule	4	[23]
WO 2005/066123	Taigen Biotechnology	28.12.2004	peptidomimetic	5	[24]
WO 2005/113580	Pfizer	09.05.2005	peptidomimetic	6	[25]
US 2006/0019967	National Health Research Institutes, Taiwan	20.07.2005	small molecule	7	[26]
WO 2006/042478	Tsinghua University, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry	24.10.2005	peptidomimetic	8	[27]
CN 1965833 A	Peking University	18.11.2005	small molecule	9	[28
WO 2006/061714	Pfizer	06.12.2005	peptidomimetic	10	[29]
WO 2006/095624	Tokyo Medical and Dental University, RIKEN	01.03.2006	small molecule	11	[30]
WO 2007/075145	Singapore Polytechnic, Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica	15.12.2006	small molecule	12	[31]
CN 103159665B	Tianjin International Joint Academy of Biotechnology and Medicine	09.12.2011	small molecule	13	[32]
WO 2013/049382	Kansas State University, Ohio State University, Wichita State University	27.09.2012	peptidomimetic	14	[33]
KR 1020130002975	Chonnam National University	20.12.2012	small molecule	15	[34]
WO 2013/166319	Kansas State University, Wichita State University	02.05.2013	peptidomimetic	16	[35]
CN 106176728 A	Institute of Microbiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences	07.07.2016	small molecule	17	[36]
WO 2017/114509	Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica, University of Lübeck	30.12.2016	peptidomimetic	18	[37]
US 2017/0313685	Purdue Research Foundation	28.04.2017	small molecule	19	[38]
WO 2017/222935	Kansas State University, Wichita State University	16.07.2017	peptidomimetic	20	[39]
CN 108785293 A	Tianjin International Joint Academy of Biomedicine	28.04.2017	small molecule	21	[40]
WO 2018/042343	GSK	30.08.2017	peptidomimetic	22	[41]
WO 2020/030143	Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica, Fudan University	09.08.2019	peptidomimetic	23	[42]
WO 2021/176369	Pfizer	03.03.2021	peptidomimetic	24	[43]

development until their SARS-CoV-2  $3 \mbox{CL}^{\mbox{\tiny pro}}$  inhibitory potencies are revealed.

The second earliest patent application on SARS-CoV 3CL<sup>pro</sup> inhibitors was filed by Cytovia in 2004 (WO 2004/101742; Table 1)<sup>[21]</sup> describing 2-residue peptidomimetics containing a P1 glutamine residue with a C-terminal electrophilic monofluoromethylketone warhead (Figure 2). P1 alanine, gly-



Brian Chia obtained his B.Sc. with first-class honours and a Ph.D. from the University of Adelaide followed by postdoctoral training at Cambridge University, UK. In 2005, he joined the Institute of Molecular and Cell Biology before becoming a group leader at the Experimental Drug Development Centre, Agency for Science, Technology and Research (A\*STAR). He has co-authored more than 50 research papers and is an inventor/co-inventor of 8 granted or pending patents involving small molecules, peptides and peptidomimetics with antibacterial, anticancer, antifungal and antiviral properties. fied although their inhibitory activities were not disclosed. Compound **2b** was revealed to be the most potent inhibitor with an EC<sub>50</sub> of 0.02  $\mu$ g/mL in a SARS-CoV Vero cell assay. No biochemical 3CL<sup>pro</sup> inhibition (IC<sub>50</sub>) data were reported in the patent. A publication was found for **2e** containing a P1 N-dimethylated glutamine with an EC<sub>50</sub> of 2.5  $\mu$ M in a SARS-CoV

cine and N-dimethylated glutamine mimics were also exempli-



Weijun Xu obtained his B.Sc. with first-class honours in Biochemistry and a Ph.D. from the University of Queensland followed by postdoctoral training at the University of California, San Diego. He lectured at the Singapore Polytechnic School of Chemical and Life Sciences for 8 years before his current position at the Experimental Drug Development Centre, Agency for Science, Technology and Research (A\*STAR) as a senior research fellow. His research interests include computational biology, modelling of protein-ligand and protein – protein interactions including GPCRs, proteases and kinases inhibitors.

Pearly S. Ng is a medicinal chemist who has been involved in the development of various novel small molecule therapeutics for infectious diseases in the past decade. Prior to being a medicinal chemist, she spent 6 years as a research chemist in various companies working on diversity-oriented synthesis and parallel synthesis technologies. She obtained her Ph.D. in organic chemistry from Nanyang Technological University and has co-authored several research publications in medicinal and organic chemistry. She is also a co-inventor of 3 patent applications.




Figure 1. General scaffolds from WO 2004/093860.




Figure 2. Structures from WO 2004/101742. (a) general scaffold; (b–f) exemmplified structures.

Vero cell assay.<sup>[47]</sup> The authors revealed that the P1 glutamine residue was incompatible with the C-terminal electrophilic warhead due to intramolecular cyclisation with the P1 glutamine side-chain primary amide, resulting in bioactivity loss.[47] To solve this problem, Pfizer filed a patent in 2004 describing peptidomimetic inhibitors where the P1 glutamine was modified to either 5- or 6-membered lactams (WO 2004/093860; Figure 1a).<sup>[20]</sup> Notably, this P1 modification strategy was adopted in subsequent patents involving coronavirus 3CL<sup>pro</sup> peptidomimetic inhibitors after 2004. In addition, the idea of incorporating a 2-pyridone moiety at the P3 position, exemplified in compound 2f, was reported in an earlier Pfizer patent (WO 2004/093860; Figure 1b).<sup>[20]</sup> Although there are currently no follow-up publications for 2f, this idea was later adopted for peptidomimetic ketoamide SARS-CoV-2 3CLpro inhibitors in a 2020 Science paper.<sup>[48]</sup>

The third earliest patent on coronavirus  $3CL^{pro}$  inhibitors was filed in 2004 by Agouron Pharmaceuticals involving 1-, 2and 3-residue peptidomimetics bearing a P1 5-membered lactam glutamine mimic with a C-terminal electrophilic  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ unsaturated ester warhead (US 2006/0014821; Table 1).<sup>[22]</sup> 8 structures were exemplified (Figure 3) but no biochemical  $3CL^{pro}$  inhibition (IC<sub>50</sub>) data were reported in the patent. A structure search on all 8 compounds, including compounds **3 c** to **3 f**, revealed no follow-up publications. Notably, peptidomimetics with  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -unsaturated ester warheads inhibiting SARS-CoV  $3CL^{pro}$  were described in an earlier patent (WO




Figure 3. Structures from US 2006/0014821. (a, b) general scaffolds; (c–f) exemplified structures.

2004/093860; Figure 1).<sup>[20]</sup> We are currently unable to gauge their potential for further antiviral drug development due to the lack of inhibitory potency data. Interestingly, another patent involving peptidomimetic inhibitors with electrophilic  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -unsaturated ester warheads was filed in 2004 by Taigen Biotechnology (WO 2005/066123; Figure 5),<sup>[24]</sup> discussed *vide infra*.

The fourth earliest patent was filed in 2004 by Fulcrum Pharmaceuticals involving small molecules containing one or two boronic acid moieties (WO 2005/041904; Table 1).<sup>[23]</sup> 403 structures were described. The strongest binder was identified to be compound **4b**, also named FL-166, with a SARS-CoV 3CL<sup>pro</sup>  $K_i$  of 22 nM, followed by **4c** to **4f** with  $K_i$ s




Figure 4. Structures from WO 2005/041904. (a–e) exemplified structures with the most potent  $K_{is}$  against SARS-CoV 3CL<sup>pro</sup>.





Figure 5. Structures from WO 2005/066123. (a) general scaffold; (b–f) exemmplified structures.




ranging between 3.5 and 6.9  $\mu$ M (Figure 4). Compounds **4b**, **4c** and **4d** were reported in a research article to possess  $K_{is}$  of 0.04, 4.5 and 16  $\mu$ M against SARS-CoV 3CL<sup>pro</sup> respectively.<sup>[49]</sup> No SARS-CoV-2 3CL<sup>pro</sup> inhibition data have been reported for these compounds using SciFinder<sup>®</sup> structure searches. In our view, cell-based EC<sub>50</sub> values should first be obtained for **4a** to gauge its potential for further development as an antiviral drug.

The fifth patent was filed in 2004 by Taigen Biotechnology involving 3-residue peptidomimetics containing a P1 5-membered lactam glutamine mimic with a C-terminal electrophilic  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -unsaturated ester warhead (WO 2005/066123; Table 1).<sup>[24]</sup> 145 compounds were reported and 77 were disclosed to be sub-micromolar SARS-CoV 3CL<sup>pro</sup> inhibitors. Unfortunately, no  $K_i$ or IC<sub>50</sub> values were assigned to any of the structures. However, a literature search revealed compound 5b, also named TG-0203770, to be the strongest binder with a SARS-CoV  $3CL^{pro}$  K<sub>i</sub> of 58 nM, followed by 5c and 5d (K<sub>i</sub>s 0.66 and 2.26 µM respectively; Figure 5).<sup>[50]</sup> Compound 5c was later reported to inhibit SARS-CoV-2  $3CL^{pro}$  with an IC<sub>50</sub> of 286 nM.<sup>[45]</sup> In contrast, 5d was reported to be a weak SARS-CoV 3CL<sup>pro</sup> inhibitor (IC<sub>50</sub>  $85 \,\mu$ M),<sup>[51]</sup> suggesting that a P2 phenylalanine should be avoided in the design of new SARS-CoV 3CL<sup>pro</sup> inhibitors. Compounds 5e and 5f, known as TG-0205486 and TG-0204998 respectively, were reported with K<sub>i</sub>s of 99 and 38 nM respectively.<sup>[52]</sup> Notably, two earlier patents involving electrophilic  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated ester warhead peptidomimetics were filed by Pfizer and Agouron Pharmaceuticals (WO 2004/093860 and US 2006/0014821), discussed vide supra. We opine compounds 5b, 5e and 5f warrant further investigation as SARS-CoV-2 drug candidates.

The sixth earliest patent was filed in 2005 by Pfizer involving 2-residue peptidomimetics containing a P1 5-membered lactam glutamine mimic with a C-terminal electrophilic hydroxymeth-ylketone warhead (WO 2005/113580; Table 1).<sup>[25]</sup> 61 compounds were reported with 35 exhibiting sub-micromolar IC<sub>50</sub>s against SARS-CoV 3CL<sup>pro</sup>. A literature search revealed PF-00835231 (**6** b; Figure 6) as the lead candidate with a very potent IC<sub>50</sub> of 4 nM against SARS-CoV 3CL<sup>pro</sup> and a SARS-CoV EC<sub>50</sub> of 4.8  $\mu$ M in a SARS-CoV infection cellular assay while a recent paper reported





an IC<sub>50</sub> of 8 nM against SARS-CoV-2  $3CL^{pro}$ .<sup>[18,45]</sup> This strongly suggests that inhibitors designed to inhibit SARS-CoV  $3CL^{pro}$  can be repurposed for SARS-CoV-2  $3CL^{pro}$  as both proteases share 96% sequence identity.<sup>[12,17]</sup> The phosphate prodrug of PF-0835231 entered phase 1 clinical trials in September 2020 to evaluate safety and pharmacokinetics in hospitalised COVID-19 patients (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT04535167). Notably, substituting the P2 leucine with phenylalanine resulted in a 25-fold activity loss (IC<sub>50</sub> 103 vs. 4 nM; **6e** and **6b** respectively), suggesting that the SARS-CoV  $3CL^{pro}$  S2 binding pocket preferred smaller sized residues.<sup>[18]</sup> N-methylating the P2 leucine resulted in a 20-fold activity loss (IC<sub>50</sub> 83 vs. 4 nM; **6f** and **6b** respectively), suggesting that the P2 NH plays an important role in  $3CL^{pro}$  binding.<sup>[18]</sup> 6-membered lactam glutamine mimics were also described in the patent but none were exemplified.

The seventh earliest patent was filed in 2005 by the National Health Research Institutes, Taiwan, involving small molecules from a commercially available small molecule library screen (US 2006/0019967; Table 1).<sup>[26]</sup> 21 compounds were reported to possess inhibitory activities with 4 exhibiting 'very low' micromolar IC<sub>50</sub>s against SARS-CoV 3CL<sup>pro</sup> (compounds 7a to 7d; Figure 7). Unfortunately,  $IC_{50}$  values were not disclosed in the patent. A literature search revealed compound 7a to be the most potent with an IC<sub>50</sub> of 300 nM against SARS-CoV 3CL<sup>pro [53]</sup> The next most potent is 7b with an IC<sub>50</sub> of 900 nM while 7c to 7f exhibited  $IC_{50}$ s between 3 to 10  $\mu$ M.<sup>[53]</sup> Structure searches revealed there are currently no reports on their SARS-CoV-2 3CL<sup>pro</sup> inhibitory activities. Interestingly, compound 7c, also known as PNU-136592, was earlier reported by Pharmacia scientists to inhibit MurB, a bacteria enzyme involved in cell wall peptidoglycan biosynthesis.<sup>[54]</sup> In our view, cell-based EC<sub>50</sub> values should first be obtained to gauge their potential for further antiviral drug development.

The eighth earliest patent was filed in 2005 by Tsinghua University and the Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry involving 3- and 4-residue peptidomimetics bearing a P1 5-membered lactam glutamine mimic with a C-terminal electrophilic  $\alpha_r\beta$ -unsaturated ester warhead (WO 2006/042478; Table 1).<sup>[27]</sup> 10 compounds were exemplified and no IC<sub>50</sub> data was reported (Figure 8). The patent is written in Chinese and an English version could not be found online. A research article published in the same month of patent filing reported inhibitors **8b** and **8d** (named N1 and N3 respectively) with  $K_{i}$ s of 10.7 and 9.0  $\mu$ M against SARS-CoV 3CL<sup>pro</sup> respectively.<sup>[55]</sup> A




Figure 7. Six most potent SARS-CoV  $3CL^{\text{pro}}$  inhibitors reported in US 2006/0019967.






Figure 8. Structures from WO 2006/061714. (a) general scaffold; (b-e) exemmplified structures.

2020 Nature paper reported **8**d/N3 to be a very potent SARS-CoV-2 3CL<sup>pro</sup> inhibitor and that its  $K_i$  could not be feasibly measured.<sup>[15]</sup> No SARS-CoV-2 3CL<sup>pro</sup> inhibition data have been reported so far for compounds **8**c and **8**e using SciFinder<sup>®</sup> structure searches. In our opinion, **8**d/N3 warrants further investigation as an antiviral drug.

The ninth earliest patent was filed in 2005 by Peking University involving the discovery of the oral H<sub>1</sub> histamine receptor antagonist oxatomide possessing SARS-CoV  $3CL^{pro}$ inhibitory activity (CN 1965833 A; Figure 9).<sup>[28]</sup> No IC<sub>50</sub> or K<sub>i</sub> data was reported. The patent is written in Chinese and an English version could not be found online. A SciFinder<sup>®</sup> structure search did not reveal any academic journals reporting its SARS-CoV  $3CL^{pro}$  inhibitory activity. Due to the lack of inhibitory data, we are currently unable to gauge its potential for further development as an antiviral drug.

The tenth earliest patent was filed in 2005 by Pfizer involving 2- and 3-residue peptidomimetics containing P1 5membered lactam and tertiary amide glutamine mimics with Cterminal electrophilic aldehyde or ketone warheads (WO 2006/061714; Table 1).<sup>[29]</sup> 15 compounds were exemplified with 4 (**10b** to **10e**; Figure 10) exhibiting sub-micromolar  $IC_{50}$ s against SARS-CoV 3CL<sup>pro</sup>. Ketone peptidomimetic **10f** exhibited a moderate  $IC_{50}$  of 2.3  $\mu$ M, suggesting that aldehyde warheads are much more reactive than ketones. Compound **10d** has been resynthesized and reported to inhibit SARS-CoV-2 3CL<sup>pro</sup> with an  $IC_{50}$  of 8.5 nM.<sup>[56]</sup> Aldehydes are known to be highly reactive towards endogenous biological nucleophiles, potentially rendering compounds **10b** to **10e** cytotoxic. Aldehydes are also metabolically unstable due to their susceptibility to oxidation and reduction by liver enzymes.<sup>[57,58]</sup> Hence, we believe aldehyde peptidomimetics lack potential for further drug development due to their metabolic liabilities.

The eleventh earliest patent was filed in 2006 by Tokyo Medical and Dental University and RIKEN involving small molecules with a 3-cyanopyridine scaffold (WO 2006/095624; Table 1; Figure 11).<sup>[30]</sup> 102 compounds were described. The patent is published in Japanese and no English version can be found online. To our best knowledge,  $3CL^{pro} IC_{50}$  inhibition data was not reported in the patent. Structure searches using SciFinder<sup>®</sup> did not reveal any follow-up journal publications. Due to the lack of inhibitory data, we are unable to gauge their potential for further drug development.

The twelfth earliest patent was filed in 2006 by Singapore Polytechnic and Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica involving small molecules with a chromone (1,4-benzopyrone) scaffold (WO 2007/075145; Table 1).<sup>[31]</sup> 17 structures were exemplified and 4 compounds, **12b** to **12e** (Figure 12), were reported with SARS-CoV 3CL<sup>pro</sup> IC<sub>50</sub>s ranging from 24 to 49  $\mu$ M. These compounds were published in a research article in the same year of patent filing.<sup>[59]</sup> Due to their weak inhibitory activities, we opine that these compounds are not potent enough for further drug development.




Figure 9. Exemplified structure from CN 1965833 A.




Figure 10. Structures from WO 2006/061714. (a) general scaffold; (b–f) exemmplified structures.





Figure 11. Structures from WO 2006/095624. (a) general scaffold; (b–f) exemmplified structures.



**Figure 12.** Structures from WO 2007/075145. (a) general scaffold; (b–e) exemplified structures.



The thirteenth earliest patent was filed in 2011 by Tianjin International Joint Academy of Biotechnology and Medicine involving 2,3-dioxindole SARS-CoV  $3CL^{pro}$  inhibitors (CN 103159665B; Table 1).<sup>[32]</sup> The patent is written in Chinese and an English version could not be found online. 5 structures (Figure 13) were exemplified with SARS-CoV  $3CL^{pro}$  IC<sub>50</sub>s between 20 to 88  $\mu$ M. Structure searches on the compounds using Sci-Finder<sup>®</sup> did not reveal any follow-up journal publications. In our opinion, these compounds are not potent enough to be developed as antiviral drugs.

The fourteenth patent was filed in 2012 by Kansas State, Ohio State and Wichita State Universities involving 2- and 3residue peptidomimetics containing a P1 5-membered lactam glutamine mimic with a C-terminal electrophilic aldehyde or ketoamide warhead (WO 2013/049382; Table 1).<sup>[33]</sup> A hydroxymethyl sulfonic acid prodrug masking the reactive aldehyde warhead was also described (14c; Figure 14). Compounds 14b, 14c and 14d exhibited IC<sub>50</sub>s ranging between 3.5 to 4.7 µM in a SARS-CoV 3CL<sup>pro</sup> biochemical assay. No SARS-CoV 3CL<sup>pro</sup> IC<sub>50</sub>s were reported for tripeptide aldehyde 14e and ketoamide 14f in the patent but were later reported in a research article (0.23 and 0.61 µM respectively).[60] Compounds 14b and 14c, also named GC373 and GC376 respectively, were reported to inhibit SARS-CoV 3CLpro with 3.5 and 4.4  $\mu$ M IC<sub>50</sub>s in a research paper.<sup>[61]</sup> Interestingly, 14b/GC373 was shown to be much more active against SARS-CoV-2 3CL<sup>pro</sup>, exhibiting an  $IC_{50}$  of 0.042  $\mu M$  in a recent paper.  $^{[45]}$  Similarly,  $14\,c/\text{GC376}$  was





Figure 13. Structures from CN 103159665B. (a) general scaffold; (b–f) exemmplified structures.

also shown to be much more active against SARS-CoV-2 3CL<sup>pro</sup>, exhibiting IC<sub>50</sub>s ranging between 0.03 to 0.62  $\mu$ M.<sup>[45,62,63]</sup> We opine ketoamide peptidomimetics **14d** and **14f** can potentially be further developed as SARS-CoV-2 3CL<sup>pro</sup> antivirals because ketoamide peptidomimetic protease inhibitors such as Boceprevir and Telaprevir have been approved for treating hepatitis C virus infections.<sup>[64]</sup>

The fifteenth earliest patent was filed in 2012 by Chonnam National University, South Korea revealing that the plant flavonoid, quercetin (KR 1020130002975; Table 1; Figure 15), was able to inhibit SARS-CoV 3CL<sup>pro</sup> with an IC<sub>50</sub> of 73  $\mu$ M and suggested that it could potentially be used to treat SARS-CoV infections. The patent is written in Korean and no English version could be found online. In our opinion, we believe that its inhibitory activity is too weak to be considered for development as an antiviral drug.

The sixteenth earliest patent was filed in 2013 by Kansas State and Wichita State Universities involving 3-residue cyclic peptidomimetics and linear piperidine-2,6-dione peptidomimetics with C-terminal aldehyde or ketoamide warheads (WO 2013/166319; Table 1).<sup>[35]</sup> Although SARS-CoV 3CL<sup>pro</sup> IC<sub>50</sub>s were not disclosed in the patent, a research article by the inventors reported compound **16c** (Figure 16) inhibited SARS-CoV 3CL<sup>pro</sup> with an IC<sub>50</sub> of 15.5  $\mu$ M.<sup>[65]</sup> No SARS-CoV-2 3CL<sup>pro</sup> inhibitory activities have so far been reported for compounds **16c** to **16i**. In our view, **16c** lacks potency for further drug development.

The seventeenth earliest patent was filed in 2016 by The Institute of Microbiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences involving small molecule disulphide SARS-CoV  $3CL^{pro}$  inhibitors (CN 106176728 A; Table 1).<sup>[36]</sup> The patent is written in Chinese and an English version could not be found online. 12 structures were exemplified and the 5 most potent compounds possess  $IC_{50}$ s between 1.3 to 2.2  $\mu$ M (**17b** to **17f**; Figure 17). A SciFinder<sup>®</sup> structure search revealed one follow-up academic paper reporting their SARS-CoV 3CL<sup>pro</sup> inhibitory activities.<sup>[66]</sup> There are currently no reports on SARS-CoV-2 3CL<sup>pro</sup> inhibitory activities. We opine that these compounds lack drug development potential due to their disulphide moiety, reported to be







Figure 14. Structures from WO 2013/049382. (a) general scaffold; (b–f) exemmplified structures.

Figure 15. Structure of quercetin from KR 1020130002975.



**Figure 16.** Structures from WO 2013/166319. (a, b) general scaffolds; (c–i) exemplified structures.

ChemMedChem 2022, 17, e202100576 (7 of 12)







Figure 17. Structures from CN 106176728 A. (a) general scaffold; (b–f) top five most potent inhibitors.

unstable in physiological conditions due to the presence of biological reducing agents, free thiols and isomerases.<sup>[67]</sup> Hence, pharmacokinetic studies should be conducted first before these compounds can be evaluated further.

The eighteenth patent was filed in 2016 by Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica and the University of Lübeck involving 2- and 3-residue peptidomimetics bearing a P1 5membered lactam glutamine mimic and a C-terminal electrophilic aldehyde warhead (WO 2017/114509; Table 1).<sup>[37]</sup> 74 structures were described with SARS-CoV 3CL<sup>pro</sup> IC<sub>50</sub>s ranging from 30 nM to 7.4  $\mu$ M. The four most potent structures are shown in Figure 18 (compounds 18b to 18e) with SARS-CoV 3CL<sup>pro</sup> IC<sub>50</sub>s ranging from 30 to 100 nM. The most potent inhibitor 18b is structurally similar to Pfizer's 10b (Figure 10), differing at the P2 residue. 18b's SARS-CoV 3CLpro inhibitory activity is 1.5-fold weaker than Pfizer's 10b (IC<sub>50</sub>s 30 and 20 nM respectively), suggesting that SARS-CoV 3CL<sup>pro</sup> prefers a P2 leucine over phenylalanine. This distinction is an important consideration when designing new coronavirus 3CL<sup>pro</sup> inhibitors and may be the reason why a P2 leucine was selected for Pfizer's lead compound PF-00835231 (Figure 6b).<sup>[18]</sup> 18b was also reported to be the most potent SARS-CoV-2 3CL<sup>pro</sup> inhibitor (IC<sub>50</sub> 34 nM) amongst 13 peptide aldehydes in a recent publication,[68] suggesting that inhibitors designed for SARS-CoV 3CL<sup>pro</sup> can be effectively used to inhibit SARS-CoV-2 3CLpro. Interestingly, the addition of a P3 valine to **18b** to yield tripeptide aldehyde **18d** resulted in an approximate 2-fold activity reduction for SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2  $3CL^{pro}$  (IC<sub>50</sub>s 30 to 50 nM and 34 to 68 nM respectively).<sup>[68]</sup> Notably, all the inhibitors described in the patent possess highly electrophilic aldehyde warheads which are known to be highly reactive towards endogenous biological nucleophiles, potentially rendering them cytotoxic. Aldehydes are also metabolically unstable due to their susceptibility to oxidation and reduction by liver enzymes.<sup>[57,58]</sup> Hence, we believe these aldehyde peptidomimetics lack potential for further drug development.

The nineteenth earliest patent was filed in 2017 by Purdue Research Foundation involving small molecules with amidophenyl scaffolds (US 2017/0313685; Table 1).<sup>[38]</sup> 77 structures were reported with SARS-CoV 3CL<sup>pro</sup> IC<sub>50</sub>s between 0.8 to 27.3  $\mu$ M. The five most potent inhibitors were identified to be compounds **19b** to **19f** (Figure 19) with 0.8 to 2.0  $\mu$ M IC<sub>50</sub>s. Interestingly, the structure of compound **19f** was reported in an earlier 2015 research paper with an IC<sub>50</sub> of 1.9  $\mu$ M against HKU4-CoV 3CL<sup>pro</sup>.<sup>[69]</sup> There are currently no reports on their SARS-CoV-2 3CL<sup>pro</sup> inhibitory activities. We opine that the most potent inhibitor, **19b**, should be further evaluated for drug development.

The twentieth earliest patent was filed in 2017 by Kansas State and Wichita State Universities involving dipeptide peptidomimetics with a P1 5-membered lactam glutamine analog and a C-terminal hydroxymethyl sulfonic acid prodrug warhead against the Middle East Respiratory Syndrome (MERS) coronavirus  $3CL^{pro}$  (WO 2017/222935; Table 1).<sup>[39]</sup> The hydroxymethyl sulfonic acid moiety changes to a reactive electrophilic aldehyde warhead at physiological pH, first described in WO 2013/049382.<sup>[33]</sup> Although no SARS-CoV  $3CL^{pro}$  inhibitory activities were disclosed, the MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2  $3CL^{pro}$  share approximately 50% sequence identity<sup>[16]</sup> so inhibitors designed to inhibit MERS-CoV  $3CL^{pro}$ . 8 compounds were described with  $IC_{50}$ s ranging from 0.3 to 3.1  $\mu$ M with compounds **20 b** to **20 f** being the most



**Figure 18.** Structures from WO 2017/114509. (a) general scaffold; (b–f) most potent exemplified inhibitors against SARS-CoV 3CL<sup>pro</sup>.




Figure 19. Structures from US 2017/0313685. (a) general scaffold; (b–f) most potent exemplified structures.



potent against MERS-CoV 3CL<sup>pro</sup> (Figure 20). There are currently no reports on their SARS-CoV-2 3CLpro inhibitory activities. As the hydroxymethyl sulfonic acid moiety transforms into a reactive aldehyde warhead in physiological conditions, we opine these compounds lack drug development potential as explained *vide supra*.

The twenty-first earliest patent was filed in 2017 by Tianjin International Joint Academy of Biomedicine revealing that the N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor antagonist and gamma aminobutyric acid (GABA) receptor modulator, acamprosate calcium (Figure 21), was able to inhibit SARS-CoV 3CL<sup>pro</sup> (CN 108785293 A; Table 1).<sup>[40]</sup> The patent is written in Chinese and no English version could be found online. Unfortunately, no IC<sub>50</sub> or  $K_i$  value was reported and there are no follow-up reports in academic journals. Hence, we are currently unable to comment on its drug development potential for treating COVID-19.




Figure 20. Structures from WO 2017/222935. (a) general scaffold; (b–f) exemmplified structures.




Figure 21. Structure of acamprosate calcium from CN 108785293 A.

 $\begin{array}{c} R^{1} & R^{2} & R^{3} & R^{4} & \frac{SARS \cdot Cv \, SCL^{20}}{|C_{90} \, (\mu M)|} \\ \hline R^{1} & 0 & R^{1} & R^{2} & R^{3} & R^{4} & \frac{1}{|C_{90} \, (\mu M)|} \\ \hline 22a & & & & & \\ \hline 1 & & \\ 1 & & \\ \hline 1 & & \\ \hline 1 & & \\ 1 & & \\ 1 & & \\ \hline 1 & & \\ 1$ 

Figure 22. Structures from WO 2018/042343. (a) general scaffold; (b-e) exemplified structures.

The twenty-second patent was filed by GSK in 2017 involving 3-residue peptidomimetics containing a P1 5-membered lactam glutamine mimic with a C-terminal electrophilic ketoamide warhead (WO 2018/042343; Table 1).<sup>[41]</sup> 48 structures were described with SARS-CoV 3CL<sup>pro</sup> IC<sub>50</sub>s of approximately 7 nM. The five most potent inhibitors against SARS-CoV 3CL<sup>pro</sup> are shown in Figure 22. There are currently no reports on their SARS-CoV-2 3CLpro inhibitory activities based on a SciFinder<sup>®</sup> search. In our opinion, these compounds can potentially be developed as SARS-CoV-2 3CL<sup>pro</sup> inhibitors to treat COVID-19 as oral ketoamide peptidomimetic protease inhibitors such as Boceprevir and Telaprevir have been approved for treating hepatitis C virus infections.<sup>[64]</sup>

A special note is also made for WO 2020/030143 (Table 1), filed by Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica and Fudan University in 2019.<sup>[42]</sup> It involves 2-residue peptidomimetics bearing a P1 5- or 6-membered lactam glutamine mimic with a C-terminal electrophilic ketoamide warhead designed to inhibit MERS-CoV 3CL<sup>pro</sup>. The patent is written in Chinese and the English version could not be found online. No SARS-CoV or SARS-CoV-2 3CL<sup>pro</sup> IC<sub>50</sub> data were reported in the patent. However, this patent is included in this review as the MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 3CLpro share approximately 50% sequence identity<sup>[16]</sup> so inhibitors designed to inhibit MERS-CoV 3CL<sup>pro</sup> will likely be able to inhibit SARS-CoV-2 3CLpro. 253 compounds were described with IC50s ranging from sub-nanomolar to 786 nM using a MERS pseudovirus neutralization assay. The five most potent MERS-CoV 3CL<sup>pro</sup> inhibitors, 23b to 23f, possess sub-nanomolar to 5 nM IC<sub>50</sub>s (Figure 23). There are currently no reports on their SARS-CoV-2 3CLpro inhibitory activities based on a SciFinder® search. In our opinion, these compounds can potentially be developed as SARS-CoV-2 3CLpro inhibitors to treat COVID-19 as oral ketoamide peptidomimetic protease inhibitors such as Boceprevir and Telaprevir have been approved for treating hepatitis C virus infections.<sup>[64]</sup>

The final patent in this review is an application patent involving 13 peptidomimetic protease inhibitors (WO 2021/176369; Table 1).<sup>[43]</sup> Interestingly, 6 peptidomimetics with various C-terminal electrophilic warheads have been described in an earlier patent (WO 2005/113580),<sup>[25]</sup> all bearing a P1 5-membered lactam glutamine mimic. No 3CL<sup>pro</sup> inhibitory data





Figure 23. Structures from WO 2020/030143. (a) general scaffold; (b–f) most potent exemplified MERS-CoV 3CL  $^{\rm pro}$  inhibitors.



were disclosed in the patent but SARS-CoV  $3CL^{pro}$  IC<sub>50</sub>s for compounds 24b to 24e (Figure 24) were reported in a recent research paper.[18] Surprisingly, the patent also described the peptidomimetic rhinovirus 3C protease inhibitor, Rupintrivir (24f), as a potential COVID-19 therapeutic despite its lack of SARS-CoV-2 3CL<sup>pro</sup> inhibitory activity.<sup>[62]</sup> Three hepatitis C and human immunodeficiency virus protease inhibitors were also described; Filibuvir (24g), Nelfinavir (24h) and AG-1859 (24i). No IC<sub>50</sub>s were reported in the patent but a literature search revealed Nelfinavir to possess weak SARS-CoV-2 3CLpro inhibitory activity (IC\_{50} 41.5  $\mu M).^{[70]}$  In our view, the peptidomimetic hydroxymethylketones 24b and 24c possess the highest potential for further drug development if converted to a phosphate prodrug as observed for clinical candidate PF-00835231 (6b).<sup>[18]</sup> In contrast, we opine that Rupintrivir (24f) and Nelfinavir (24h) are not potent enough for further development. We are unable to comment on the drug development potential for Filibuvir (24g) and AG-1859 (24i) due to the lack of SARS-CoV-2 3CL<sup>pro</sup> inhibitory data.

Lastly, a special mention is made for Pfizer's oral SARS-CoV-2 3CL<sup>pro</sup> peptidomimetic inhibitor PF-07321332 (Figure 25)



**Figure 24.** Structures from WO 2021/176369. (a) peptidomimetic general scaffold; (b–i) exemplified inhibitors.



Figure 25. Structure of PF-07321332.

which entered phase 3 clinical trials in July 2021 for treating non-hospitalised SARS-CoV-2 infected adults (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier NCT04960202).<sup>[71]</sup> Like previous Pfizer 3CL<sup>pro</sup> inhibitor patents, it bears a P1 5-membered lactam glutamine mimic and interestingly, a nitrile warhead not described in any patents found in this review. This is particularly intriguing as nitrile warhead peptidomimetics have been reported to be relatively weak SARS-CoV 3CL<sup>pro</sup> inhibitors with IC<sub>50</sub>s ranging between 4.6 to 49  $\mu$ M.<sup>[72]</sup> To our best knowledge, there are currently no published reports on its SARS-CoV-2 3CL<sup>pro</sup> IC<sub>50</sub> and a SciFinder<sup>®</sup> structure search did not reveal any patents for PF-07321332.

## 3. Summary and Outlook

24 patents describing coronavirus 3CL<sup>pro</sup> inhibitors have so far been filed up to 30 July 2021 (Table 1). 9 of the patents were filed by pharmaceutical companies while the remaining 15 from academia. 10 of the 24 patents described inhibitors that have not been reported in academic journals. 14 of the 24 patents involved peptidomimetics with electrophilic warheads, signifying that this modality has generated more commercial interest compared to small molecules. A plausible reason could be that warhead peptidomimetics generally show more potent inhibitory activities, typically in the nanomolar range, compared to small molecules. In addition, warhead peptidomimetics have been successfully developed into antiviral drugs, exemplified by the hepatitis C virus protease inhibitors Boceprevir and Telaprevir.<sup>[64]</sup>

The peptidomimetic inhibitors covered in this review bear a P1 5- of 6-membered lactam glutamine-mimicking residue first described by Agouron Pharmaceuticals in 1999 (WO 99/57135).<sup>[46]</sup> Reported P2 residues ranged from leucine to cyclohexylalanine and phenylalanine, suggesting that the SARS-CoV S2 subsite could accommodate bulky residues with 6membered side-chains. However, a 2020 research paper by Pfizer revealed that a P2 leucine exhibited 11- and 25-fold IC<sub>50</sub> improvement over cyclohexylalanine and phenylalanine respectively, suggesting that leucine was highly preferred at the P2 position.[18] Indeed, Pfizer utilised a P2 leucine in their phosphate prodrug of PF-00835231 (6b) which entered phase 1 clinical trials in September 2020 (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT04535167). Other plausible P2 residue substitutions include norvaline and t-butylalanine (Figure 24). The P3 position is more accommodating to different moieties including indoles (Figures 6, 10 and 18), amino acid residues like threonine (Figure 3), valine (Figures 5 and 8) and naphthylalanine (Figures 14 and 22). The longest peptidomimetic inhibitor in this review constituted 4 residues (Figure 8) but unfortunately, no  $IC_{50}$ s were reported as it would be interesting to study the correlation of peptidomimetic length to inhibition potency.

Small molecule inhibitors constituted 10 of the 24 patents (Table 1). In contrast to the peptidomimetic inhibitors, their reported  $IC_{50}$ s or  $K_i$ s were generally inferior (close to or above 1  $\mu$ M), with the exception of two patents filed by Fulcrum Pharmaceuticals and the National Health Research Institutes, Taiwan (Table 1; Figures 4 and 7 respectively). The former



described a small molecule containing two boronic acid moieties ( $K_i$  22 nM) and the latter, a biphenyl sulphone (IC<sub>50</sub> 300 nM). In our view, both warrant further investigations into their drug development potential.

We believe this review serves to bolster the knowledge of existing coronavirus 3CL<sup>pro</sup> inhibitors reported beyond academic journals and the general structural motifs required for binding and inhibiting SARS-CoV-2 3CL<sup>pro</sup>. We are hopeful that some of these inhibitors will be successfully developed and approved as drugs for treating COVID-19.

## **Abbreviations**

3CL <sup>pro</sup>	3-chymotrypsin-like protease
CoV	coronavirus
COVID-19	coronavirus disease 2019
EC <sub>50</sub>	half-maximal effective concentration
FDA	Food and Drug Administration
GABA	gamma aminobutyric acid
IC <sub>50</sub>	half-maximal inhibitory concentration
MERS	Middle East Respiratory Syndrome
M <sup>pro</sup>	main protease
NMDA	N-methyl-D-aspartate
SARS	severe acute respiratory syndrome
WHO	World Health Organization

#### Acknowledgements

This review was supported by the Agency for Science, Technology and Research (A\*STAR).

# **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: 3CLpro · Mpro · SARS-CoV-2 · cysteine protease inhibitor · COVID-19

- [1] N. Zhu, D. Zhang, W. Wang, X. Li, B. Yang, J. Song, X. Zhao, B. Huang, W. Shi, R. Lu, P. Niu, F. Zhan, X. Ma, D. Wang, W. Xu, G. Wu, G. F. Gao, W. Tan, N. Engl. J. Med. 2020, 382(13), 727. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2001017.
- [2] Q. Li, X. Guan, P. Wu, X. Wang, L. Zhou, Y. Tong, R. Ren, K. S. M. Leung, E. H. Y. Lau, J. Y. Wong, X. Xing, N. Xiang, N. Engl. J. Med. 2020, 382(13), 1199. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2001316.
- [3] T. G. Ksiazek, D. Erdman, C. S. Goldsmith, S. R. Zaki, T. Peret, S. Emery, S. Tong, C. Urbani, J. A. Comer, W. Lim, P. E. Rollin, S. F. Dowell, A. Ling, C. D. Humphrey, W. Shieh, J. Guarner, C. D. Paddock, P. Rota, B. Fields, J. DeRisi, J. Yang, N. Cox, J. M. Hughes, J. W. LeDuc, W. J. Bellini, L. J. Anderson, N. Engl. J. Med. 2003, 348(20), 1953. DOI: 10.1056/ NEJMoa030781.
- [4] C. Drosten, S. Günther, W. Preiser, S. van der Werf, H. Brodt, S. Becker, H. Rabenau, M. Panning, L. Kolesnikova, R. A. M. Fouchier, A. Berger, A. Burguière, J. Cinatl, M. Eickmann, N. Escriou, K. Grywna, S. Kramme, J. Manuguerra, S. Müller, V. Rickerts, M. Stürmer, S. Vieth, H. Klenk, A. D. Osterhaus, H. Schmitz, H. W. Doerr, N. Engl. J. Med. 2003, 348(20), 1967. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa030747.
- [5] R. Lu, X. Zhao, J. Li, P. Niu, B. Yang, H. Wu, W. Wang, H. Song, B. Huang, N. Zhu, Y. Bi, X. Ma, F. Zhan, L. Wang, T. Hu, H. Zhou, Z. Hu, W. Zhou, L.

Zhao, J. Chen, Y. Meng, J. Wang, Y. Lin, J. Yuan, Z. Xie, J. Ma, W. J. Liu, D. Wang, W. Xu, E. C. Holmes, G. F. Gao, G. Wu, W. Chen, W. Shi, W. Tan, Lancet. 2020, 395, 565. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30251-8.

- [6] P. Zhou, X. Yang, X. Wang, B. Hu, L. Zhang, W. Zhang, H. Si, Y. Zhu, B. Li, C. Huang, H. Chen, J. Chen, Y. Luo, H. Guo, R. Jiang, M. Liu, Y. Chen, X. Shen, X. Wang, X. Zheng, K. Zhao, Q. Chen, F. Deng, L. Liu, B. Yan, F. Zhan, Y. Wang, G. Xiao, Z. Shi, Nature. 2020, 579, 270. DOI: 10.1038/ s41586-020-2012-7.
- [7] Coronaviridae Study Group of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses, Nat. Microbiol. 2020, 5, 536. DOI: 10.1038/s41564-020-0695-z.
- [8] "World Health Organization Coronavirus (COVID-19) Dashboard" can be found under https://covid19.who.int.
- [9] D. Rubin, K. Chan-Tack, J. Farley, A. Sherwat, N. Engl. J. Med. 2020, 383, 2598. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMp2032369.
- [10] P. C. Taylor, A. C. Adams, M. M. Hufford, I. de la Torre, K. Winthrop, R. L. Gottlieb, Nat. Rev. Immunol. 2021, 21, 382. DOI: 10.1038/s41577-021-00542- x.
- [11] E. Dolgin, Nat. Biotechnol. 2021, 39, 783. DOI: 10.1038/s41587-021-00980-x.
- [12] R. Banerjee, L. Perera, L. M. V. Tillekeratne, Drug Discovery Today 2021, 26(3), 804. DOI: 10.1016/j.drudis.2020.12.005.
- [13] W. Xiong, H. Su, W. Zhao, H. Xie, Q. Shao, Y. Xu, Med. Res. Rev. 2021, 41, 1965. DOI: 10.1002/med.21783.
- [14] H. Yang, J. Yang, RSC Med. Chem. 2021, 12, 1026. DOI: 10.1039/ d1md00066g.
- [15] Z. Jin, X. Du, Y. Xu, Y. Deng, M. Liu, Y. Zhao, B. Zhang, X. Li, L. Zhang, C. Peng, Y. Duan, J. Yu, L. Wang, K. Yang, F. Liu, R. Jiang, X. Yang, T. You, X. Liu, X. Yang, F. Bai, H. Liu, L. W. Guddat, W. Xu, G. Xiao, C. Qin, Z. Shi, H. Jiang, Z. Rao, H. Yang, Nature. 2020, 582, 289. DOI: 10.1038/s41586-020-2223-y.
- [16] S. Ullrich, C. Nitsche, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2020, 30, 127377. DOI: 10.1016/j.bmcl.2020.127377.
- [17] A.K. Ghosh, M. Brindisi, D. Shahabi, M.E. Chapman, A.D. Mesecar, ChemMedChem. 2020, 15, 907. DOI: 10.1002/cmdc.202000223.
- [18] R. L. Hoffman, R. S. Kania, M. A. Brothers, J. F. Davies, R. A. Ferre, K. S. Gajiwala, M. He, R. J. Hogan, K. Kozminski, L. Y. Li, J. W. Lockner, J. Lou, M. T. Marra, L. J. Mitchell Jr., B. W. Murray, J. A. Nieman, S. Noell, S. P. Planken, T. Rowe, K. Ryan, G. J. Smith, J. E. Solowiej, C. M. Steppan, B. Taggart, J. Med. Chem. 2020, 63(21), 12725. DOI: 10.1021/acs.jmedchem.0c01063.
- [19] S. W. Gabrielson, J. Med. Libr. Assoc. 2018, 106(4), 588. DOI: 10.5195/ jmla.2018.515.
- [20] S. A. Fuhrman, D. A. Matthews, A. K. Patick, P. A. Rejto (Pfizer Inc.), WO 2004/093860, 2004.
- [21] S. X. Cai, W. E. Kemnitzer, H. Zhang, H. Zhang (Cvtovia Inc.), WO 2004/101742, 2004.
- [22] M. He, R. S. Kania, J. Lou, S. Planken (Agouron Pharmaceuticals Inc.), US 2006/0014821, 2004.
- [23] E. Freire, R. Ottenbrite, Y. Xiao, A. Velazquez-Campoy, S. Leavitt, U. Bacha, J. Barrila (Fulcrum Pharmaceuticals Inc.), WO 2005/041904, 2004.
- [24] S. Yang, J. Wu, Y. Xiao, F. Su, S. Leavitt, C. Kuo, W. Chen, Y. Xiang, C. Wang, S. Liao (Taigen Biotechnology), WO 2005/066123, 2004.
- [25] R. L. Hoffman, R. S. Kania, J. A. Nieman, S. P. Planken, G. J. Smith (Pfizer Inc.), WO 2005/113580, 2005.
- [26] S. Wu, H. Hsieh, T. Hsu, I. Lu (National Health Research Institutes, Taiwan), WO 2005/066123, 2004.
- [27] H. Yang, X. Xue, M. Bartlam, K. Yang, D. Ma, W. Xie (Tsinghua University, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry), WO 2006/042478, 2005.
- [28] L. Lai, Y. Liu, Z. Liu, K. Fan, C. Huang, P. Wei, J. Pei, S. Liu, H. Chen (Peking University), CN 1965833 A, 2005.
- [29] R. S. Kania, L. J. Mitchell, J. A. Nieman (Pfizer Inc.), WO 2006/061714, 2005
- [30] N. Yamamoto, N. Yamamoto, S. Yokoyama, H. Hirota, H. Hiroshi, O. Hiroyuki, S. Kazuhito, T. Akiko, M. Takehisa, U. Hideaki, S. Mayuko, M. Shigeru, S. Masayuki, K. Ichiro (Tokyo Medical and Dental University, RIKEN) WO 2006/095624, 2006.
- [31] C. M. Puah, W. Zhu, J. Li, L. Chen, C. Luo, G. Chen, Z. Zuo, X. Luo, X. Shen, K. Chen, H. Jiang (Singapore Polytechnic, Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica), WO 2007/075145, 2006.
- Z. Rao, C. Yang, Z. Lou, Y. Sun, H. Liu, W. Liu, B. Sun, H. Zhu, W. Chen [32] (Tianjin International Joint Academy of Biotechnology and Medicine), CN 103159665B, 2011.
- [33] K. Chang, Y. Kim, W. C. Groutas, D. Hua, L. J. Saif (Kansas State University, Ohio State University, Wichita State University), WO 2013/049382, 2012.



- [34] D. Kim, H. Ryu, T. Hanh, S. Hwang (Chonnam National University), KR 1020130002975, 2012.
- [35] K. Chang, Y. Kim, W. C. Groutas (Kansas State University, Wichita State University), WO 2013/166319, 2013.
- [36] L. Zhang, F. Song, H. Dai, Q. Wang (Institute of Microbiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences), CN 106176728 A, 2016.
- [37] H. Liu, Y. Nian, J. Li, R. Hilgenfeld, D. Lin, H. Liu, Y. Zhou, H. Jiang, K. Chen (Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica, University of Lübeck), WO 2017/114509, 2016.
- [38] S. E. St. John, A. D. Mesecar (Purdue Research Foundation), WO 2017/0313685, 2017.
- [39] K. Chang, Y. Kim, W. Groutas (Kansas State University, Wichita State University), WO 2017/222935, 2017.
- [40] H. Yang, K. Zhou, C. Hu, Z. Wang, C. Chen, Y. Cai, S. Li, S. Fu (Tianjin International Joint Academy of Biomedicine), CN 108785293 A, 2017.
- [41] J. Botyanszki, J. G. Catalano, P. Y. Chong, H. Dickson, Q, Jin, A, Leivers, A. Maynard, X. Liao, J. Miller, J. B. Shotwell, V.W. Tai, R. Thalji (Glaxosmithkline Intellectual Property Limited), WO 2004/101742, 2004.
- [42] H. Liu, S. Jiang, W. Dai, L. Lu, J. Peng, S. Xia, J. Wang, J. Li, H. Jiang, K. Chen (Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica, University of Lübeck), WO 2020/030143, 2019.
- [43] P. Bezawada, B. J. Burke, E. L. Hawking, R. L. Hoffman, R. S. Kania, J. R. Lillis, M. N. O'Brien Laramy, K. D. Pencheva, B. P. Sullivan, A. J. Thiel, M. D. Ticehurst (Pfizer Inc.), WO 2021/176369, 2021.
- [44] J. A. Carvalho Nascimento Junior, A. M. Santos, L. J. Quintans, C. I. B. Walker, L. P. Borges, M. R. Serafini, *Expert Opin. Ther. Pat.* 2020, 106(4), 588. DOI: 10.1080/13543776.2020.1772231.
- [45] S. Vankadara, Y. X. Wong, B. Liu, Y. Y. See, L. H. Tan, Q. W. Tan, G. Wang, R. Karuna, X. Guo, S. T. Tan, J. Y. Fong, J. Joy, C. S. B. Chia, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2021**, *48*, 128263. DOI: 10.1016/j.bmcl.2021.128263.
- [46] P. S. Dragovich, J. T. Marakovits, T. J. Prins, J. G. Tikhe, S. E. Webber, R. Zhou, T. O. Johnson (Agouron Pharmaceuticals Inc.), WO 99/57135, 1999.
- [47] H. Zhang, H. Zhang, W. Kemnitzer, B. Tseng, J. Cinatl Jr, M. Michaelis, H. W. Doerr, S. X. Cai, J. Med. Chem. 2006, 49(3), 1198. DOI: 10.1021/ jm0507678.
- [48] L. Zhang, D. Lin, X. Sun, U. Curth, C. Drosten, L. Sauerhering, S. Becker, K. Rox, R. Hilgenfeld, *Science*. **2020**, *368*(6489), 409. DOI: 10.1126/ science.abb3405.
- [49] U. Bacha, J. Barrila, A. Velazquez-Campoy, S. A. Leavitt, E. Freire, Biochemistry. 2004, 43(17), 4906. DOI: 10.1021/bi0361766.
- [50] S. Yang, S. Chen, M. Hsu, J. Wu, C. K. Tseng, Y. Liu, H. Chen, C. Kuo, C. Wu, L. Chang, W. Chen, S. Liao, T. Chang, H. Hung, H. Shr, C. Li, Y. Huang, L. Chang, J. Hsu, C. J. Peters, A. H. Wang, M. Hsu, *J. Med. Chem.* 2006, 49(16), 4971. DOI: 10.1021/jm0603926.
- [51] J. Shie, J. Fang, T. Kuo, C. Kuo, P. Liang, H. Huang, Y. Wu, J. Jan, Y. E. Cheng, C. Wong, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2005, *13*, 5240. DOI: 10.1016/j.bmc.2005.05.065.
- [52] C. Lee, C. Kuo, T. Ko, M. Hsu, Y. Tsui, S. Chang, S. Yang, S. Chen, H. Chen, M. Hsu, S. Shih, P. Liang, A. H. Wang, *J. Biol. Chem.* **2009**, *284*(12), 7646. DOI: 10.1074/jbc.M807947200.
- [53] I. Lu, N. Mahindroo, P. Liang, Y. Peng, C. Kuo, K. Tsai, H. Hsieh, Y. Chao, S. Wu, J. Med. Chem. 2006, 49(17), 5154. DOI: 10.1021/jm0602070.
- [54] R. W. Sarver, J. M. Rogers, D. E. Epps, J. Biomol. Screening 2002, 7(1), 21. DOI: 10.1177/108705710200700104.
- [55] H. Yang, W. Xie, X. Xue, K. Yang, J. Ma, W. Liang, Q. Zhao, Z. Zhou, D. Pei, J. Ziebuhr, R. Hilgenfeld, K. Y. Yuen, L. Wong, G. Gao, S. Chen, Z.

Chen, D. Ma, M. Bartlam, Z. Rao, *PLoS Biol.* 2005, 3(10), e324. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0030324.

- [56] K. S. Yang, X. R. Ma, Y. Ma, Y. R. Alugubelli, D. A. Scott, E. C. Vatansever, A. K. Drelich, B. Sankaran, Z. Z. Geng, L. R. Blankenship, H. E. Ward, Y. J. Sheng, J. C. Hsu, K. C. Kratch, B. Zhao, H. S. Hayatshahi, J. Liu, P. Li, C. A. Fierke, C. K. Tseng, S. Xu, W. R. Liu, *ChemMedChem.* **2021**, *16*, 942. DOI: 10.1002/cmdc.202000924.
- [57] N. Manevski, L. King, W. R. Pitt, F. Lecomte, F. Toselli, J. Med. Chem. 2019, 62(24), 10955. DOI: 10.1021/acs.jmedchem.9b00875.
- [58] C. Gampe, V. A. Verma, J. Med. Chem. 2020, 63(23), 14357. DOI: 10.1021/ acs.jmedchem.0c01177.
- [59] L. Chen, J. Li, C. Luo, H. Liu, W. Xu, G. Chen, O. W. Liew, W. Zhu, C. M. Puah, X. Shen, H. Jiang, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2006**, *14*(24), 8295. DOI: 10.1016/j.bmc.2006.09.014.
- [60] A. M. Prior, Y. Kim, S. Weerasekara, M. Moroze, K. R. Alliston, R. A. Z. Uy, W. C. Groutas, K. Chang, D. H. Hua, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2013**, *23*, 6317. DOI: 10.1016/j.bmcl.2013.09.070.
- [61] Y. Kim, S. Lovell, K. Tiew, S. R. Mandadapu, K. R. Alliston, K. P. Battaile, W. C. Groutas, K. Chang, J. Virol. 2012, 86(21), 11754. DOI: 10.1128/ JVI.01348–12.
- [62] C. Ma, M. D. Sacco, B. Hurst, J. A. Townsend, Y. Hu, T. Szeto, X. Zhang, B. Tarbet, M. T. Marty, Y. Chen, J. Wang, *Cell Res.* **2020**, *30*, 678. DOI: 10.1038/s41422-020-0356-z.
- [63] A. D. Rathnayake, J. Zheng, Y. Kim, K. D. Perera, S. Mackin, D. K. Meyerholz, M. M. Kashipathy, K. P. Battaile, S. Lovell, S. Perlman, W. C. Groutas, K. Chang, *Sci. Transl. Med.* **2020**, *12*(557), eabc5332. DOI: 10.1126/scitranslmed.abc5332.
- [64] M. P. Manns, T. von Hahn, Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery 2013, 12, 595. DOI: 10.1038/nrd4050.
- [65] S. R. Mandadapu, P. M. Weerawarna, A. M. Prior, R. A. Z. Uy, S. Aravapalli, K. R. Alliston, G. H. Lushington, Y. Kim, D. H. Hua, K. Chang, W. C. Groutas, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2013**, *23*, 3709. DOI: 10.1016/ j.bmcl.2013.05.021.
- [66] L. Wang, B. Bao, G. Song, C. Chen, X. Zhang, W. Lu, Z. Wang, Y. Cai, S. Li, S. Fu, F. Song, H. Yang, J. Wang, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2017**, *137*, 450. DOI: 10.1016/j.ejmech.2017.05.045.
- [67] A. Gori, P. Gagni, S. Rinaldi, Chem. Eur. J. 2017, 23(60), 14987. DOI: 10.1002/chem.201703199.
- [68] W. Dai, D. Jochmans, H. Xie, H. Yang, J. Li, H. Su, D. Chang, J. Wang, J. Peng, L. Zhu, Y. Nian, R. Hilgenfeld, H. Jiang, K. Chen, L. Zhang, Y. Xu, J. Neyts, H. Liu, J. Med. Chem. 2021. DOI: 10.1021/acs.jmedchem.0c02258.
- [69] S. E. St. John, S. Tomar, S. R. Stauffer, A. D. Mesecar, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2015, 23, 6036. DOI: 10.1016/j.bmc.2015.06.039.
- [70] Y. Li, J. Zhang, Z. Duan, N. Wang, X. Sun, Y. Zhang, L. Fu, K. Liu, Y. Yang, S. Pan, Y. Shi, H. Zeng, G. Guo, R. Lai, Q. Zou, *Signal Transduct. Target Ther.* 2021, *6*, 356. DOI: 10.1038/s41392-021-00763–5.
- [71] K. Vandyck, J. Deval, Curr. Opin. Virol. 2021, 49, 36. DOI: 10.1016/ j.coviro.2021.04.006.
- [72] C. Chuck, C. Chen, Z. Ke, D. C. Wan, H. Chow, K. Wong, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2013, 59, 1. DOI: 10.1016/j.ejmech.2012.10.053.

Manuscript received: August 31, 2021 Revised manuscript received: October 11, 2021 Accepted manuscript online: October 15, 2021 Version of record online: October 28, 2021